#### **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

#### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

AN ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION OF THE	)	
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT	)	Care No. 2022 00009
CLAUSE OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY	)	Case No. 2023-00008
FROMNOVEMBER 1, 2020 THROUGH	)	
OCTOBER31, 2022	)	

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

#### DAVID L. MELL

#### ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID L. MELL ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

#### CASE NO. 2023-00008

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

#### **SECTION**

#### PAGE

I.	INTRODUCTION	. 1
II.	BACKGROUND	. 1
III.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	. 2
IV.	BIG SANDY EXTENDED PLANNED AND MAINTENANCE OUTAGES	. 2
V.	CONCLUSION	12

#### MELL - 1

#### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DAVID L. MELL ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

#### CASE NO. 2023-00008

#### I. INTRODUCTION

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.		
2	A.	My name is David L Mell, and my business address is Big Sandy Power Plant, 2300 US		
3		23, Louisa, Kentucky 41230. I am the Energy Production Superintendent at AEP-Kentucky		
4		Power Company, Big Sandy Plant.		
		II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>		
5	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND PROFESSIONAL		
6		BACKGROUND.		
7	A.	I have a Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering Degree from The Ohio State		
8		University. I also have a Master of Science Degree in Engineering Management from		
9		Marshall University and am a Professional Engineer in the State of Ohio.		
10		I have been employed by AEP Kentucky Power at the Big Sandy Plant for 38 years.		
11	Q.	WHAT ARE YOUR PRINCIPAL AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY WITH		
12		KENTUCKY POWER?		
13	A.	I am responsible for the safe, reliable, and economic operation of the Big Sandy Power		
14		Plant. I am responsible for all aspects of the day-to-day operation and maintenance		
15		including outage execution and long-range planning. Currently, I lead 22 full time plant		
16		employees and associated on site contractors.		
17				

### 1Q.HAVEYOUPREVIOUSLYTESTIFIEDINANYREGULATORY2PROCEEDINGS?

A. Yes, I have previously testified before the Public Service Commission of Kentucky
("Commission") in Kentucky Power's previous two-year fuel adjustment clause hearing
(Case No. 2021-00053), and sponsored discovery responses in five of the Company's last
seven fuel adjustment clause cases (Case Nos. 2021-00292, 2021-00053, 2020-00245,
2020-00004, and 2019-00226).

#### III. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>

#### 8 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

9 A. My testimony describes the Planned and Maintenance Outages at the Big Sandy Plant that
10 lasted longer than the original outage end date during the review period of November 1,
2020, to October 31, 2022 ("Review Period"). Further, I will discuss the actions taken by
12 Kentucky Power to address and remedy the factors that extended the outages, and to
13 minimize each extension duration.

#### 14 IV. <u>BIG SANDY EXTENDED PLANNED AND MAINTENANCE OUTAGES</u>

#### 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE KENTUCKY POWER'S PRACTICES GENERALLY WITH

#### 16 **RESPECT TO OPERATING AND MAINTAINING BIG SANDY PLANT?**

17 A. The Company utilizes a robust inspection and Circular Letter Program established by

- 18 American Electric Power's Corporate Engineering Group. Company Witness
- 19 Rosenberger provides additional detail on the Circular Letter Program in his testimony.
- 20 The Company's inspection and maintenance practices allow for not only known
- 21 issues to be addressed and resolved, but also for discovery of potential issues not

previously known. The nature of the operation of generating units brings occasional
 mechanical issues and the sooner the issues are discovered the better the Company can
 resolve them in a timely manner.

# 4 Q. PLEASE LIST THE PLANNED OR MAINTENANCE OUTAGES THAT WERE 5 EXTENDED BEYOND THE ORIGINAL ESTIMATED END DATE DURING THE 6 REVIEW PERIOD.

A. Big Sandy Plant had three Planned Outages and eight Maintenance Outages that were
extended beyond the original estimated end date during the Review Period. They are listed
in Table DLM-1 below with the original end dates and the actual end dates after the
extension.

	<u>v</u>			0		0	1 0	
Outage Number	Unit	Outage Type	Original Start Date	Original End Date	Original Outage Length (Days)	Actual Start Date	Actual End Date	Actual Outage Length (Days)
1	Big Sandy 1	Planned	9/12/2020	10/25/2020	43	9/12/2020	11/2/2020	50
2	Big Sandy 1	Planned	10/9/2021	11/21/2021	43	10/9/2021	12/22/2021	74
3	Big Sandy 1	Planned	9/10/2022	12/4/2022	85	9/10/2022	1/16/2023	128
4	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	11/11/2020	11/16/2020	5	11/12/2020	11/16/2020	4
5	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	1/13/2021	1/19/2021	6	1/13/2021	1/22/2021	9
6	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	6/22/2021	6/25/2021	3	6/22/2021	6/28/2021	6
7	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	6/30/2021	7/4/2021	4	7/1/2021	7/4/2021	4
8	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	9/23/2021	10/1/2021	8	9/23/2021	10/8/2021	15
9	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	3/30/2022	4/2/2022	3	3/30/2022	4/5/2022	6
10	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	4/8/2022	4/12/2022	4	4/8/2022	4/14/2022	6
11	Big Sandy 1	Maintenance	8/15/2022	8/16/2022	1	8/15/2022	8/17/2022	2

#### Table DLM-1

**Big Sandy Maintenance & Planned Outages Extended During Reporting Period** 

11

#### 12 Q. WAS OUTAGE NUMBER 3 IN TABLE DLM-1 THE MOST SIGNIFICANT IN

14 A. Yes.

### Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ORIGINAL TIMEFRAME AND PURPOSE OF THIS PLANNED OUTAGE.

A. Outage Number 3 was originally scheduled from September 10, 2022, until December 4,
 2022. The purpose of this Planned Outage was primarily the routine inspection and repair
 of many plant components including the boiler, turbine valves, cooling tower, and a
 generator inspection.

### 7 Q. DID THIS PLANNED OUTAGE EXTEND BEYOND THE ORIGINAL 8 ESTIMATED END DATE?

9 A. Yes. The original scheduled end date was December 4, 2022, but was extended several
10 times until January 16, 2023, due to emergent work that became necessary during the
11 planned inspection and repair.

#### 12 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON FOR THE EXTENSION OF THE OUTAGE

#### 13 AND THE ACTIONS THE COMPANY TOOK TO MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

A. Outage Number 3 was extended several times beyond the planned end date due to thefollowing issues:

16 1) During the Planned Outage, it was discovered that the generator required a 17 complete re-wedge and corresponding loop testing program to ensure long term 18 reliability. The generator consists of many copper bars and coils. These 19 components are wedged together to maintain physical integrity and eliminate 20 the possibility of electrical hot spots, also known as overheating. Re-wedging 21 and loop testing are the methods used to ensure continued operation. The 22 extension resulting from the need for this work lasted from December 4, 2022, 1

2

to December 12, 2022. It was requested on November 7, 2022 and was approved by PJM that same day.

- 3 2) During reassembly on November 13, 2022, a crack was discovered on one of 4 the two generator rotor retaining rings. Generator retaining rings secure the 5 copper bars to the massive rotating generator field. These rings, one on each 6 end, maintain the integrity of the rotating field which weighs 88,000 pounds 7 and rotates at 3600 revolutions per minute. Following evaluation of crack depth 8 and length, a replacement retaining ring was located and machined for 9 installation. The structural integrity of either retaining ring is critical due for 10 long term reliability and safety. If either retaining ring should fail with the unit in service, the rotating field bars will be ejected into the stator and destroy the 11 12 generator. This violent mechanical failure would not be contained within the 13 generator housing which would release hydrogen gas along with molten debris 14 into the turbine building, and was required to be addressed. This extension 15 lasted from December 12, 2022, to December 30, 2022, and was requested by 16 the Company and approved by PJM on December 2, 2022.
- 173) During generator reassembly on December 18, 2022, the hydrogen seal housing18on the exciter end was leaking hydrogen at a level beyond the manufacturer's19(Westinghouse) acceptable level. This issue required hydrogen seal removal20and machining to repair. The Westinghouse acceptable level (hydrogen loss21rate) is 20 cubic feet of leakage per 24 hours. During acceptance testing, the22equivalent hydrogen as-measured leakage was over 800 cubic feet per 24 hours.23Leak rate testing is performed with compressed air and converted by formula

1	to a hydrogen equivalent. At this leakage rate there would not be enough
2	capacity in the hydrogen makeup system to sustain operation. In addition, at
3	this hydrogen leakage rate, hydrogen could leak into the turbine building setting
4	up a potential explosive atmosphere. The issue therefore was required to be
5	addressed. This extension, from December 30, 2022, to January 5, 2023, was
6	requested on December 22, 2022, and approved by PJM on December 28, 2022.
7	4) During unit startup, the unit was removed from service due to water chemistry
8	exceeding quality limitations. Exceeding water chemistry limitations would
9	result in failures of boiler, turbine, and condensate/feedwater components. As
10	suspected, a corresponding condenser leak was located on January 10, 2023.
11	This extension, and the final extension listed in item number 5 below were
12	requested by Kentucky Power and approved by PJM as inspection/repairs to the
13	condensers were part of the original Planned Outage work scope. This PJM
14	guideline anticipates short term potential extensions associated with work to
15	improve long term reliability.
16	5) Following repair of the main condenser leak the Planned Outage was extended
17	from January 14, 2023, to January 16, 2023, to return boiler water chemistry to
18	acceptable levels. This final extension, from January 14, 2023, to January 16,
19	2023, was requested on January 12, 2023, and approved by PJM on January 14,
20	2023.
21	During each of the delays, manpower schedules were modified to include weekends
22	and nights where applicable. AEP Engineering and machine shop services were also

23 utilized.

MELL - 7

# 2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 1 IN TABLE DLM-1 3 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS THE COMPANY TOOK TO 4 MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

5 A. Outage Number 1 was a Planned Outage for boiler inspection and repair, including high 6 energy piping inspections and replacement of several hydraulic hoses associated with the 7 main turbine steam admission valves. The hydraulic hose replacement was prescribed per 8 the Circular Letter Program. After replacement of several hoses, debris was detected 9 during testing, which required flushing, cleaning, and repeated operation of the main 10 turbine steam admission valves.

11 This Planned Outage was extended seven days due to the erratic operation of 12 main turbine steam admission valves found during post maintenance testing. This 13 reliability work was supported by extended shifts including weekends. In addition, the 14 replacement hose vendor was utilized to minimize this extension.

## 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 2 IN TABLE DLM-1 16 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS THE COMPANY TOOK TO 17 MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

A. Outage Number 2 was a Planned Outage for boiler inspection and repair, including high
 energy piping inspections. A portion of these inspections, per the Circular Letter

- 20 Program, was to inspect the reheat steam piping. Reheat steam piping is comprised of a
- 21 high temperature alloy which operates at a temperature of 1,050 degrees Fahrenheit.
- 22 This Planned Outage was extended thirty-one days due to the fact that during the reheat
- 23 steam piping inspection a six-foot length of piping was found to be at end of life. AEP

1		internal engineering performed this evaluation and developed the plan for replacement.
2		The material for this repair is not readily available. In addition, the replacement piping
3		had to be bent to fit in a special pipe shop and stress relieved following installation.
4		Finally, to safely execute this in-line replacement, extensive planning, temporary
5		supports, and detailed procedures were required.
6		Big Sandy Plant, AEPSC Engineering, and several vendors and contractors
7		worked extended hours to safely resolve this issue.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 4 IN TABLE DLM-1
9		ABOVE WAS EXTENDED.
10	A.	Outage Number 4 was a Maintenance Outage primarily scheduled to protect Big Sandy
11		Unit 1 from any impact damage associated with the demolition of a portion of Big Sandy
12		Unit 2.
13		This Maintenance Outage schedule was modified. The start date was delayed by
14		one day as the demolition team was not ready to begin at the original start time. The end
15		time extended by four hours to allow completion of the work on the north hydrogen
16		cooling water pump.
17	Q	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 5 IN TABLE DLM-1
18		ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO
19		MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.
20	A.	Outage Number 5 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair, including
21		the inspection of the auxiliary boiler feed pump. The auxiliary boiler feed pump required
22		during each unit startup and shutdown was intermittently pumping. This intermittent
23		issue put the unit at risk for a forced outage to repair the problem.

1 This Maintenance Outage was extended by three days because the debris found in 2 the auxiliary boiler feed pump inlet valve required three additional days to remove and 3 return this pump to service. Part of this extension was inspecting the deaerator storage 4 tank for additional debris. The duration of this extension was minimized by focused 5 planning and appropriately assigning resources.

## 6 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 6 IN TABLE DLM-1 7 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO 8 MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

- 9 A. Outage Number 6 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair. During this
  10 outage a boiler leak was detected. The leak was found during the slow cool down period
  11 and is the reason Big Sandy regularly schedules three-day maintenance outages following
  12 the unit being removed from reserve shutdown.
- 13This Maintenance Outage was extended three days to repair two tubes on the14boiler rear wall. These repairs included a partial boiler scaffold to access the leak location15inside the main furnace. The duration of this extension was minimized by focused16planning and appropriately assigning resources.

### 17 Q PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 7 IN TABLE DLM-1 18 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED.

A. Outage Number 7 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair. This
 Maintenance Outage was extended for five hours for administrative purposes. This short

21 extension was added to properly align the unit parallel time with PJM system scheduling.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 8 IN TABLE DLM-1
2		ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO
3		MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

- A. Outage Number 8 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair which
  included investigating a steam leak on reheat turbine low point startup drain piping.
  Utilizing Maintenance Outage Number 8 uncovered a significant piping failure and safety
  issue that was subsequently repaired during Planned Outage Number 2.
- 8 This Maintenance Outage was extended by seven days due to the non-destructive 9 examination of the reheat steam piping at a small steam leak repair location. These 10 additional steps were done at the request of AEP Engineering to fully evaluate pipe 11 condition as related to safety integrity. This Maintenance Outage duration extended 12 seamlessly into Planned Outage Number 2. The duration of this extension was 13 minimized by focused planning and appropriately assigning resources.

## 14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 9 IN TABLE DLM-1 15 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO 16 MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

A. Outage Number 9 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair. During the
boiler cool-down the steam drum doors were found to be slightly leaking steam and hot
water. Rather than taking the risk of the subsequent startup being delayed, both steam
drum doors were sealed.

This Maintenance Outage was extended by three days to reseal the steam drum doors. The steam drum doors seal against an operating pressure of 2,600 psi. Sealing the doors takes very little time. Cooling the drum to eliminate the internal steam pressure 1

2

was the main reason for the extension. The duration of this extension was minimized by focused planning and appropriately assigning resources.

## 3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 10 IN TABLE DLM-1 4 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO 5 MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

- A. Outage Number 10 was a Maintenance Outage that followed a forced outage for a main
   condenser leak. During a large condenser leak the boiler and condensate water were
   contaminated. Once the condenser leak was repaired the corresponding maintenance
   outage was required to flush plant components and build water inventory.
- 10 This Maintenance Outage was extended two days to build condensate inventory 11 for startup. The duration of this extension was minimized by focused planning and 12 appropriately assigning resources.

## Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE REASON OUTAGE NUMBER 11 IN TABLE DLM-1 ABOVE WAS EXTENDED AND THE ACTIONS TAKEN BY THE COMPANY TO MINIMIZE THE EXTENSION.

A. Outage Number 11 was a Maintenance Outage for boiler inspection and repair including
 repair to the steam seal regulator. The steam seal regulator provided steam to seal the
 turbines. Without proper steam seals with unit cannot be restarted. Although all the
 necessary parts were on site, making the repairs took one day longer than expected.
 This Maintenance Outage was extended one day. The duration of this extension
 was minimized by focused planning and appropriately assigning resources.

22

#### V. CONCLUSION

#### 1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes, it does.





#### **Mell Testimony Verification Form.doc**

DocVerify ID: 2D67CDA5-08A4-475D-90A2-0509E16132CE

Created: October 03, 2023 08:42:37 -8:00

Pages:

Remote Notary: Yes / State: KY

2

This document is a DocVerify VeriVaulted protected version of the document named above. It was created by a notary or on the behalf of a notary, and it is also a DocVerify E-Sign document, which means this document was created for the purposes of Electronic Signatures and/or Electronic Notary. Tampered or altered documents can be easily verified and validated with the DocVerify veriCheck system. This remote online notarization involved the use of communication technology.

Go to www.docverify.com at any time to verify or validate the authenticity and integrity of this or any other DocVerify VeriVaulted document.

#### E-Signature Summary

E-Signature 1: David L Meil (DLM)

October 03, 2023 10:07:21 -8:00 [D058510638A8] [167.239.221.105] dlmell@aep.com (Principal) (Personally Known)

#### E-Signature Notary: Marilyn Michelle Caldwell (MMC)

October 03, 202310:07:21-8:00 [33459EE693C3] [167.239.221.107] mmcaldwell@aep.com

I, Marilyn Michel'e Caldwell, did witness the participants named above electronically sign this document.



DocVentry documents cannot be altered or tampered with in any way once they are protected by the DocVentry VenVault System. Best viewed with Adobe Reader or Adobe Acrobat All visible electronic signatures contained in this document are symbolic representations of the persons signature, and not intended to be an accurate depiction of the persons actual signature as defined by vanous Acts and or Laws

#### VERIFICATION

The undersigned, David L. Mell, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Energy Production Superintendent – Big Sandy Plant for Kentucky Power Company, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and the information contained therein is true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief after reasonable inquiry.

	David L Mell	
	David L. Mell	
Commonwealth of Kentu County of Boyd	cky ) ) Case No. 2023-00 ) Notarial act performed by audio	0008 visual communication
Subscribed and and State, by <u>David L. N</u>	sworn to before me, a Notary Mell, onOctober 3, 2023	y Public in and before said County
Notary Public	Malythaluce	MARILYN MICHELLE CALDWELL
		QINLINE NOTARY PUBLIC STATE AT LARGE KENTUCKY Commission # KYNP71841 My Commission Expires May 05, 2027

My Commission Expires May 5, 2027

Notary ID Number KYNP71841

2023-10 03 19 07 21 PET