Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 1 of 286 Imber



May 23, 2019

Kentucky Division of Water, Surface Water Permits Branch 300 Sower Boulevard, 3rd Floor Frankfort, KY 40601

RE: KPDES No. KY0002020 E. W. Brown Generating Station, Mercer County, KY Agency Interest # AI 3148

This letter and enclosures provide a complete technical update for the reissuance of the KPDES permit No. KY0002020 held by Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) for its E.W. Brown fossil-fuel fired steam electric generating station. This information updates previous KPDES permit renewal submissions on August 28, 2014. KPDES Form 1, Form C and other supporting documents have been updated with recent monitoring data and information on process changes, re-certified and are enclosed with other related support documents.

Significant process water management and ash pond-impoundment changes have been constructed or planned for construction at Brown Station to comply with Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) federal regulatory rules recently finalized by the USEPA, Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KyWQS) for KPDES discharges, and in anticipation of requirements for the USEPA ELG (Effluent Limitations Guidelines) final rule (under reconsideration by USEPA. Generally, these changes are required for:

- Wet-to-dry conversion of coal ash handling systems for management in a landfill constructed on-site. Fly ash is pneumatically collected in silos and moist-pugmilled for management to the landfill; bottom ash (including mill rejects/pyrites) is sluiced to remote submerged conveyors, dewatered and moist solids subsequently conveyed to the landfill (i.e., dry handling);
- Construction upgrades to Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) gypsum dewatering equipment to accommodate marketing, on-site landfilling, with extensive re-piping work to segregate, recycle and minimize FGD process wastewaters for treatment;
- Construction of a FGD Process Waters Treatment System (PWS: Physical-Chemical precipitation equipment including potential/future biological system final treatment) and filtration/sludge handling equipment for dewatering treatment solids for management into the landfill;
- Construction of a new physical/chemical treatment system for the closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain and Coal Pile Runoff Treatment System (TDCPRTS) flows (the toe drain collection system was installed previously), which will discharge to the Process Pond;
- Efforts to dewater, close, cap, vegetate and install cap runoff monitoring controls for the Auxiliary Ash Pond scheduled completion by 2022;

- Construction of a new Process Pond to replace ash pond treatment of plant process flows including treated FGD wastewater; plant sumps, coal/limestone piles runoff waters, landfill leachate/CCR-contact runoff flow, incidental fractions of bottom ash recycle water flows, and other low volume wastewaters currently treated in one (1) large CCR-impoundment which is being closed per the USEPA CCR Rule requirements;
- Construction of a high-rate, multiport diffuser for process water discharges from Outfall 006 to Herrington Lake, which accommodates KU's request for establishment of a mixing zone and zone of initial dilution for the process flows of outfall 001 with same flows relocated to new Outfall 006;

As required by the ELG regulations, and further described in **Attachment 11** of this submission, KU is providing information to determine compliance applicability dates for first-time-KyWQS limits and the as soon as possible compliance deadline for ELG rule requirements that are not under reconsideration. EPA recently granted a petition to reconsider the new 2015 Steam-Electric ELG (Effluent Limitations Guidelines) regulations for FGD wastewaters and bottom ash transport water (scheduled for finalization in 2019/2020), and revised applicability dates for the ELG discharge limits. However, nearly the same treatment technologies (as required for compliance with the ELG regulations) are being installed to assure that plant discharges meet anticipated KyWQS discharge limits (e.g. mercury, arsenic, etc.). Permittees are required to provide information 'for regulators to consider to determining the compliance deadlines, including time to expeditiously plan (including to raise capital), design, procure, and install equipment to comply with the requirements of the final rule'. Other information to be supplied includes impacts from CCR regulations, needs for initial commissioning periods for FGD wastewaters treatment equipment, and other factors as appropriate, such as EPA's ongoing reconsideration of the standards themselves.

Due to the Brown plant size, inter-related systems complexity, construction sequence challenges, and procurement of equipment/systems based upon still-developing technologies; KU requests an implementation schedule for compliance with any first-time KyWQS based limits that also best positions the plant to meet future ELG requirements when the reconsideration of FGD standards is completed and the revised compliance deadlines are finalized. This construction work will:

- Segregate, recycle and treat FGD (Flue Gas Desulfurization) wastewaters with dedicated physical-chemical systems to ensure in-lake compliance with the KyWQS by mid-2020 and ELG technology-based limits on two internal wastestreams (bottom ash transport water and FGD wastewater) by a compliance date of December 31, 2023 (which will be revisited upon finalization of the USEPA reconsideration of these treatment requirements for these wastewaters);
- Install dry handling for all fly ash systems to eliminate the discharge of fly ash sluice waters (this work has already been completed);
- Install a recirculation system to recycle all bottom ash sluice waters and prevent the discharge of bottom ash sluice waters by December 31, 2023 (redesign/modification work on-going to resolve reliability issues and assure 100% flows recycling, to the extent that is required by the reconsidered ELGs).

Accordingly, this work will be performed in Phases defined here for identifying changes to plant KPDES outfall 001 (external), and new proposed external outfalls 006-008 and new proposed internal outfall 007. Generally, most changes will ultimately occur associated with flows currently

contributing to outfall 001 which, upon construction of a multiport diffuser expected by Q3-2019, will discharge as Outfall 006 to Herrington Lake.

Contributing flows to these outfalls will vary according to three proposed phases of Plant flow configurations:

1. **EXISTING Operations** (current to anticipated Permit Effective date ~July/August 2019)

Continued/temporary use of the existing Auxiliary Ash Pond (to be closed/capped by 2021). Contributing process flows to Outfall 001 from the existing pond include:

- a. Bottom Ash Sluice Flows (blowdown from Remote SFC-Submerged Flight Conveyors recycled sluice flows, etc.);
- b. FGD-Gypsum Wastewaters from Dewatering/filtration and inert fines FGD blowdowns (until future Process Water Treatment System (PWS) constructed);
- c. Plant sumps & other low-volume wastewaters;
- d. Coal pile runoff;
- e. Landfill leachate & CCR-contact runoff flows;
- f. Auxiliary Ash Pond stormwater runoff flows;
- g. <u>No</u> dewatering flows from Auxiliary Ash Pond.
- 2. **TRANSITION Operations** (Permit Effective date ~*August 2019 until Future Op's*)

Process Pond Wastewater and Auxiliary Ash Pond Closure/Dewatering Flows Begin with Discharges to Outfall 006/Diffuser; Landfill Stormwater Discharges Continue thru Existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet.

KU requests a compliance schedule for application of Kentucky Water Quality Standards to Outfall 006 flows until July 1, 2020.

Transition flows to New Outfall 006/Diffuser include:

- a. Bottom Ash Sluice Flows -same as existing flows described above;
- b. FGD-Gypsum Wastewaters same as existing described above until Q4-2019 when PWS operations begin startup, optimization/testing, and reliable/commercial operations by mid-2020;
- c. Plant sumps & other low-volume wastewaters same as existing;
- d. Coal pile runoff same as existing;
- e. Landfill leachate & CCR-contact runoff flows- same as existing;
- f. Auxiliary Ash Ponds Direct Precipitation Stormwater flows;
- g. Auxiliary Ash Pond Closure/Capping Work Begins with Initial Dewatering Flows - ash ponds work to begin for final-grade, liner installed, dirt/vegetation capped, and stormwater runoff management controls/monitoring for completion by 2021.

Transition flows to Existing Outfall 001 include:

- a. Landfill Stormwater Runoff Flows from Inactive Areas (deminimis CCR-contact);
- b. Uncontaminated Stormwater Runoff flows from Outfall Channel adjacent areas;
- c. Misc. Landfill Liner Monitoring System Drains

3. FUTURE Operations (starting July 1, 2020)

Herrington Lake Diffuser for Outfall 006 Process Pond Discharges, Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering Flows Planned Cessation, PWS-FGD Wastewaters Treatment System Optimized and Ponds Clean Purge Completed to Meet KyWQS for Discharges.

Future flows to Outfall 006 Include:

- a. Herrington Lake Diffuser (multiport, high-rate) discharges all plant process flows described above under Transition flows (*except Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering Flows Cease and except for Outfall 003 cooling tower blowdown flows*) and Outfall 006 flows are expected to meet KyWQS limits;
- Bottom Ash Sluice Waters (10% of water separated from solids) pumped to plant sumps/Process Pond but transport waters discharge elimination and conformance to ELG requirements planned by December 31, 2023;
- c. FGD-Gypsum Wastewaters from Dewatering/filtration and inert fines FGD blowdowns with PWS operations constructed/optimized but discharges conformance to ELG requirements planned by December 31, 2023 and when Internal Outfall 007 for PWS monitoring established (*until USEPA ELG reconsideration announcement, on-going analysis of installing a biological treatment system following the physical-chemical system under construction, will be communicated with KPDES staff).*
- d. Auxiliary Ash Pond Closure/Capping work progresses until cap stormwater runoff flows diverted to Outfall 001 (*rather than collection to Process Pond/Outfall 006*).

Future flows to Existing Outfall 001 include:

- a. Landfill Stormwater Runoff Flows from Inactive Areas (deminimis CCR-contact);
- b. Uncontaminated Stormwater Runoff flows from Outfall Channel adjacent areas;
- c. Misc. Landfill Liner Monitoring System Drains;
- d. Uncontaminated Stormwater Runoff Flows from Closed/Capped Auxiliary Ash Pond.

We request a mixing zone for any pollutant where a mixing zone is deemed appropriate as a result of the reasonable potential analysis that is conducted with the new data.

We also request a mixing zone/variance with respect to ORSANCO's water quality standard for mercury. Pursuant to ORSANCO's revised 2015 Pollution Control Standards, a mixing zone for mercury for existing sources is authorized where compliance with the standard is not economically or technically feasible. At a minimum, compliance with the 12 ng/L (ppt) ORSANCO standard cannot be ascertained until after the ash ponds dewatering flows are assessed, and the new

wastewater treatment system is designed, installed and operational. Even then, it is not certain whether such systems are capable of attaining reductions sufficient to assure the ORSANCO standard is achieved at all times.

Lastly, we request a compliance schedule for meeting the Kentucky Water Quality Standard for mercury of 51 ng/L (ppt) for plant discharges. Outfall 006 will consist of flows directed to the existing Auxiliary Ash Pond including ash sluice-related flows, FGD dewatering flows, and pond dewatering flows with initiating closure efforts. Although this permit renewal Form C data indicates a current discharge with a 18.8 ppt mercury content, process/seasonal/sampling variability aside, reconfiguration of FGD flows to minimize discharges may elevate concentrations where reliably complying with 51 ppt may currently be difficult at times. While the new PWTS-FGD wastewaters treatment system will begin to operate by late 2019 to reduce mercury concentrations below 51 ppt of new flows, the large volume of legacy wastewater will require a significant amount of time to manage. The Process Ponds store 5-10 million gallons and may require 6+ months to gradually comingle these legacy flows with treated wastewaters, while remaining in compliance with the limit for the blended flows. Therefore, KU-Brown requests a compliance schedule until July 1, 2020 to achieve the 51 ppt standard for mercury at outfall 006.

As you are aware, KU-Brown have already conducted the required 316(b) studies to assess impacts of cooling intake flows and those studies were submitted to your office in September 2018. Those studies confirm the current cooling tower use meets BTA for impingement and entrainment for the intake and KU requests that be confirmed in the Fact Sheet of the renewal permit.

Enclosed is a \$7,000.00 check for the application filing fee (major industry). As discussed with KPDES staff, this technical update to the KPDES permit renewal application includes 1 set of Priority Pollutant Analyses recently sampled and analyzed during January-April 2019.

The total supporting documents enclosed include 12 attachments:

Attachment 1	KPDES Permit Application Synopsis;						
Attachment 2	KPDES Form 1;						
Attachment 3	Check to Kentucky State Treasurer for KPDES application filing fee;						
Attachment 4	Copy of the USGS Topographic Map (noting the facility site and Outfalls);						
Attachment 5	KPDES Form C;						
Attachment 6	Sample results for the priority pollutant analysis required for Form C from						
	the contracted commercial laboratory;						
Attachment 7	Quarterly Metals Analyses Summarized for Two (2) Recent Years for						
	KPDES monitored outfalls and as reported on Monthly DMRs;						
Attachment 8	Stormwater Runoff Calculations;						
Attachment 9	Stormwater Runoff Diagram(s);						
Attachment 10	Water Balance Diagram(s): 30-Day Peak Monthly Process and						
	Annual Daily Average Rainfall Conditions						
	• (E) Existing Conditions Diagram;						
	• (T) Transition Conditions Diagram						
	• (F) Future Conditions Diagram						
Attachment 11	Construction Activities Required at KU-Brown Generating Station						
	Impacting the Schedule of Compliance with KY Water Quality Standards,						

USEPA CCR Rule and USEPA ELG Rule;

.

Attachment 12 Design Document for a High-Rate, Multiport Diffuser for Outfall 006 Discharges to Herrington Lake.

If I may be of assistance or you have any questions concerning the attached information, please feel free to contact me at (502) 627-2997 (or my email is roger.medina@lge-ku.com).

Sincerely,

Hager & Madin

Roger J. Médina Environmental Affairs, Sr. Chemical Engineer

Attachments (12) cc: internal distribution list file Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 7 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 1

KU – Brown Plant

KPDES Permit Application Synopsis

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 1 of 9

Rev. May 23, 2019

Name and Address of Applicant

(Corporate) Kentucky Utilities Company P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, Kentucky 40232 c/o Roger J. Medina

KPDES No. KY0002020

Agency Interest # AI 3148

(Facility) Brown Generating Station 815 Dix Dam Road Harrodsburg, Kentucky 40330-9282 c/o Tamara Powell

Description of Applicants' Operation

Fossil fuel fired steam electric power plant for the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity (SIC Code 4911 NAICS Code 221112). Located on a 1,185+ acre site along the Herrington Lake/Dix River at mile mark 3.4 (the Dix River confluence into Kentucky River nearby at Mile Mark 118).

Production Capacity of Facility

Generation of electric power from fossil fuel-fired units with the following nameplate generating capacity: (Retired March 1, 2019) Unit 1 – 114 MW (coal) (Retired March 1, 2019) Unit 2 – 180 MW (coal) <u>Unit 3 – 464 MW (coal)</u> Total Coal: 464 MW

Combustion Turbines, Natural Gas or Fuel Oil Fired Unit 5 – 123 MW Units 6-7 – 177 MW/each, <u>Units 8-11 – 126 MW/each</u> Total Combustion Turbines: 981 MW

Solar Facility - Universal Solar Facility, 44,000 panels, 50 acres – 10 MW **Dix Dam Hydroelectric Facility**, 3 Turbines, - 33 MW

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 2 of 9

Description of Submitted Outfalls

Note: See attached flow diagrams and rainfall runoff calculations for flow and acreage information

At the KU-Brown plant, recent/current construction activities to comply with the USEPA CCR regulations final rule, Kentucky Water Quality Standards, and in accordance with the ELG final rule, include:

- Final conversion of handling systems from wet-to-dry solids management in the Coal Combustion Residuals Transport (CCRT) facility to manage fly ash, bottom ash, and gypsum for transport to the landfill or marketing/trucking these CCR materials off-site for beneficial reuse. This includes significant tank/piping modifications of FGD wastewaters and systems associated with the gypsum dewatering vacuum belts (2). Bottom ash sluice waters management includes redesign/repairs to Submerged Flight Conveyors (SFC) constructed to dewater sluice flows and facilitate 'dry' solids-truck handling to the landfill (reliability problems currently being addressed);
- A Process Water System (PWS) to treat FGD wastewaters (by physical-chemical and potential/future biological technology) including piping to segregate and manage FGD blowdown, gypsum dewatering, reclaim, and other related process flows scheduled to be operational by mid-2020;
- Construction of a new physical/chemical treatment system for the closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain and Coal Pile Runoff Treatment System (TDCPRTS) flows (the toe drain dedicated/closed system installed previously) which will discharge to the process pond scheduled to be operational by mid-2020;
- Closure/capping/vegetation of the Auxiliary Ash Pond scheduled completion by 2022;
- Expand the existing CCR-Landfill for Phase 1/Cell 2 operations and close/cap the Phase 3 phase of the landfill (not-needed following recent retirement of coal-fired Units 1-2 units);
- A New Process Pond (North and South cells) to settle/mix/neutralize all plant wastewaters (adjacent/north of the future closed/capped Auxiliary Ash Pond);
- A multiport, high-rate diffuser for enhanced mixing zone/ZID discharge of outfall 006 treated process wastewaters to Herrington Lake completion date expected by 3rd quarter 2019;
- Installing three (3) new outfall monitoring/sample structures for flows associated with the process pond/Diffuser, FGD-PWS, and railway stormwater/wick-drain high flow events.

Accordingly, this work will be performed in Phases defined here for purposes of identifying changes to plant KPDES outfall 001 and new proposed outfalls 006 thru 008. Contributing flows to these outfalls will vary according to three (3) proposed phases of plant flow configurations:

- **Phase 1** *Existing:* Auxiliary Ash Pond or New Process Pond Treat Plant Process Flows and Combine with Landfill Stormwater Pond Discharge to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet thru existing Outfall 001;
- Phase 2 Transition: Dewatering of Auxiliary Ash Pond Begins and Plant Process Flows Treated by Process Pond Flows are Collectively Discharged thru New Diffuser (and New Outfall 006) to Herrington Lake; Landfill Stormwater Pond Continues to Discharge thru

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 3 of 9

existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet; FGD-Process Wastewater Chemical Treatment Facilities Still Under Construction (per Requested Compliance Schedule);

• Phase 3 – Future: Process Wastewaters Treatment Facilities Completed and Treated Flows Discharged to Diffuser/Outfall 006; Ash Pond Dewatering Completed and Stormwater Runoff with Landfill Stormwater Discharged thru Outfall 001 (Note: ELG Compliance Dates for FGD and Bottom Ash Sluice Wastewaters Dates requested for December 31, 2023).

Outfall #001 – Auxiliary Ash Pond and Landfill Flows

EXISTING OPERATIONS (Phase 1):

The Auxiliary Ash Pond (and/or Process Pond planned to start May 2019) receives plant process wastewaters and treated discharges combine with flows from the Landfill Stormwater Runoff Pond to the external Outfall 001 (to Herrington Lake). Stormwater runoff from areas adjacent the Outfall 001 channel and a landfill liner underdrain system also contribute to the flows monitored by Outfall 001. Specifically, wastewater flows to Outfall 001 currently include:

- Unit 3 FGD wastewater flows including FGD-inerts/fines wastewaters (*FGD-PWS under construction*) and CCRT gypsum dewatering/filtration wastewaters;
- Unit 3 bottom ash sluice waters blowdown (~10%) from recirculated sluice waters associated with submerged flight conveyor bottom ash handling systems (*percentage blowdown of sluiced bottom ash flows may continue until troubleshooting-optimization work is completed and reliability established*);
- <u>No</u> dewatering flows from Auxiliary Ash Pond (*closure activities begin after KPDES* renewal permit effective date when such flows will be directed to Outfall 006 and with commencement of Phase 2);
- Landfill active area leachate/runoff flows (flows pumped to Auxiliary Ash Pond and in Phase 2 the Process Pond);
- Units 1-3 basement/plant sumps including non-chemical air heater washwaters;
- Coal pile runoff and Closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain sump flows (temporary treatment until future permanent system constructed);
- Treated Boiler chemical cleaning wastewaters (Internal Outfall 004);
- Demineralized-Boiler water treatment wastewaters (filter backwash & reverse osmosis reject waters);
- Boiler blowdown wastewaters;
- Railway area stormwater/wick-drain sump flows;
- Stormwater runoff from Landfill Stormwater Runoff Pond (*from Perimeter Haul Road & Inactive/Capped Runoff Areas: deminimis CCR-Contact*) and plant Stormwater Runoff Areas 1.a-h and 6.c-6.k including stormwaters runoff contacting CCRs or process materials;
- Landfill Liner Underdrain System (*i.e.*, for emergency/monitoring/potential flows);
- Direct precipitation upon the Auxiliary Ash Pond/Process Pond areas (including associated perimeter areas draining inward).

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 4 of 9

The existing Auxiliary Ash Pond settles/mixes/neutralizes plant wastewaters; discharges are controlled thru a decant/stop-log structure which is equipped with floating skimmer-equipment. These flows are piped to a channel to combine with landfill stormwater runoff pond flows; the combined flows are monitored at Outfall 001 sampling/monitoring structure and subsequently discharge to Herrington Lake (via Curds Inlet).

When constructed, the Process Pond is designed to flow as two-cells-in-series with the first cell for primary settling and coupled with a secondary/polishing cell; these cells allow settling, mixing, and neutralization of flows to occur. If maintenance were required, either cell can be used separately. Both cells have decant structures equipped with skimmer-baffles to prevent the discharge of floating materials.

The existing Outfall 001 sampling/monitoring structure provides sampling access and measures flow by a cipoleti-type weir and level-staff gauge in the outfall channel prior to discharge to Herrington Lake.

Outfall #001 -cont'd

NEW: TRANSITION OPERATIONS (Phase 2)

External Outfall 001 discharges to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet and primarily includes many of the same landfill-stormwater flows as during Phase I; however, Plant process flows will be redirected to the Process Pond configured to discharge to external Outfall 006. Specifically, Phase 2 flows to Outfall 001 will include:

- Stormwater runoff from Landfill Stormwater Runoff Pond (for Perimeter Haul Road & Inactive/Capped Runoff Areas: deminimis CCR-Contact) and plant Stormwater Runoff Areas 1.a-h (note: plant stormwaters runoff contacting CCRs or process materials ultimately directed to Outfall 006);
- Landfill Liner Underdrain System (i.e., for emergency/monitoring/potential flows);
- <u>No</u> wastewater flows from Process Pond or plant process wastewaters such as FGD, bottom ash sluice, plant sumps, coal pile runoff, toe drains, etc. will be directed to Outfall 001 during the Transition Phase 2 Operations. These process flows will be re-directed to the New Diffuser/Outfall 006.
- <u>No</u> Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering flows will be directed to Outfall 001. Such dewatering flows will be directed to the Diffuser/Outfall 006.
- No coal pile runoff or toe/abutment drain collection system waters, which will be directed to the New Diffuser/Outfall 006.
- <u>No</u> flows of direct precipitation upon the Auxiliary Ash Pond areas, including associated perimeter areas draining inward, will flow to Outfall 001; these flows will ultimately be pumped to the Process Pond and to the Diffuser/Outfall 006.

The landfill stormwater runoff pond treats/settles runoff flows from non-CCR portions of the landfill already inactive/capped which may contain total suspended solids from cover soils prior to establishing vegetation. This pond will also receive stormwater runoff flows from the landfill perimeter haul road which may include incidental TSS from the tire treads of truck traffic around/into/out of the landfill. These flows are piped to the channel and joined by

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 5 of 9

stormwater runoff from adjacent areas and the landfill liner underdrain/system-monitoring pipe, prior to discharge through the Outfall 001 monitoring/sampling structure with final entry into Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet.

Drainage from the Landfill Stormwater Pond occurs through a passive fabric-filtration system. The existing Outfall 001 monitoring/sampling structure will be used for Transition Phase 2 (and Future Phase 3) operational discharges to Herrington Lake.

Outfall #001 -cont'd

NEW: FUTURE OPERATIONS (Phase 3)

Upon Auxiliary Ash Pond closure, all dewatering flows or potential process wastewaters from the Auxiliary pond will stop (which were directed to Process Ponds/Outfall 006). Stormwater runoff from the closed/capped Auxiliary Ash Pond will be directed to Outfall 001. Future Phase 3 flows, similar to Phase 2 flows, will include:

- The Landfill Stormwater Runoff Pond flows;
- Landfill liner underdrain/system-monitoring pipe;
- Adjacent/contributing areas stormwater runoff.
- Uncontaminated non-CCR-contact stormwater runoff from the closed/capped/vegetated Auxiliary Ash Pond will be directed to Outfall 001

The Outfall 001 discharge monitoring structure specifics remain the same for Future Phase 3 operations as for the Transition Operations (Phase 2).

Outfall #002 - RETIRED Units 1-2 Cooling Tower Blowdown Flows

EXISTING: External Outfall 002 discharges to Herrington Lake, and previously included the Cooling Tower Blowdown flows from the currently-RETIRED Units 1-2 (*Retired as of March 1, 2019*); direct precipitation upon the Units 1-2 building roofs also drain to this outfall. All flows pass through an oil-water separator prior to monitoring/sampling and discharge.

Outfall #002

NEW: FUTURE (Phases 2-3) Current operations and into the future will include drainage from the Units 1-2 building rooftop direct precipitation flows which will continue to flow through the oil-water separator prior to discharge past the existing Outfall 002 sampling-monitoring structure. For this reason, KU requests this outfall sampling and monitoring conditions be changed to require BMP-monitoring and inspections only.

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 6 of 9

Outfall #003 – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown Flows

EXISTING/FUTURE: External Outfall 003 discharges to Herrington Lake, and primarily includes the Cooling Tower Blowdown flows from Unit 3 as well as misc. areas stormwater flows. Specifically, flows include:

- Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown flows;
- Unit 3 Cooling Tower(s) direct precipitation (upon east/west cooling towers);
- Unit 3 Building roof direct precipitation.

All flows pass through an oil-water separator prior to monitoring/sampling and discharge. The cooling tower recirculating waters are periodically brominated to control bio-fouling of the condenser as provided for in the current permit.

Outfall #004 – Boiler Chemical Metal-Cleaning Flows

EXISTING/FUTURE: Treated Internal Outfall 004 will discharges to the Process Pond/Outfall 006 in Phase 2 and 3 (*currently to Auxiliary Ash Pond/Outfall 001*) and is an intermittent flow (potentially once or twice per 5-yr period) of wastewater generated during the chemical cleaning of the boiler tubes from the units.

Outfall #005 – Plant Intake

EXISTING/FUTURE: External Outfall 005 from Herrington Lake is the plant intake water used to supply the service water, cooling water, fire protection, and other systems.

Outfall #006 – Process Pond Flows To Diffuser

PROPOSED: Not applicable for existing operations, the outfall is currently under construction and will not discharge process flows until Phase 2 of new KPDES permit.

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 7 of 9

<u>Outfall #006 – Process Pond Flows To Diffuser</u> NEW: TRANSITION OPERATIONS (Phase 2)

External Outfall 006 will discharge to Herrington Lake through a multi-port, high-rate diffuser at a location southeast of Curds Inlet at a wide area of the lake. Flows will generally include Plant-Process Pond flows and stormwater runoff from some areas. Specifically, Phase 2 Outfall 006 flows include:

- Unit 3 FGD wastewater flows including FGD-inerts/fines wastewaters (FGD-PWS under construction until late-2019) and CCRT gypsum dewatering/filtration wastewaters;
- Unit 3 bottom ash sluice waters blowdown (~10%) from recirculated sluice waters associated with submerged flight conveyor bottom ash handling systems (percentage blowdown of sluiced bottom ash flows may continue until troubleshooting-optimization work is completed and reliability established);

SEE BELOW – FOOTNOTE 1. Bottom Ash Transport Water ELG Compliance Schedule (at this document bottom)

- Dewatering flows from Auxiliary Ash Pond for closure activities; these flows would commence with Phase 2/KPDES permit effective date following appropriate advance notice to KDOW;
- Landfill active area leachate/runoff flows pumped to Process Pond);
- Units 1-3 basement/plant sumps including non-chemical air heater washwaters (treated through oil/water separators before pumping to Process Pond);
- Coal pile runoff (temporary treatment provided prior to late-2019 completion of TDCPRTS (Toe Drain and Coal Pile Runoff Treatment System);
- Closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain sump flows (temporary treatment provided prior to late-2019 completion of TDCPRTS (Toe Drain and Coal Pile Runoff Treatment System);
- Treated boiler chemical cleaning wastewaters (Internal Outfall 004);
- Demineralized-Boiler water treatment wastewaters (filter backwash & reverse osmosis system reject waters);
- Boiler blowdown wastewaters;
- Railway area stormwater/wick-drain sump flows;
- Stormwater runoff from plant Stormwater Runoff Areas 6.a-k including stormwaters runoff contacting CCRs or process materials;
- Direct precipitation upon the Process Pond areas (including associated perimeter areas draining inward).

The Process Pond is designed to flow in series for primary settling, coupled with a secondary/polishing cell, to allow settling, mixing, and neutralization of flows to occur. If maintenance were required, either cell can be used separately. Both cells have decant structures equipped with skimmer-baffles to prevent the discharge of floating materials.

Flows are piped to the multi-port, high-rate Diffuser and the Outfall 006 monitoring/sampling structure is located along the pipeline mid-way before entry into Herrington Lake.

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 8 of 9

Outfall #006 -cont'd NEW: FUTURE OPERATIONS (Phase 3)

Upon Auxiliary Ash Pond closure, all dewatering flows or potential process wastewaters from the Auxiliary pond to Outfall 006 will stop; thus, only Process Pond flows will be directed to Outfall 006. Except for exclusion of Auxiliary Pond flows, all wastewater flows streams, treatment/configuration of combined flows, and discharge monitoring structure specifics remain the same for Future Phase 3 operations as for the Transition Operations (Phase 2).

Upon startup, troubleshooting, testing and optimization of the PWS and TDCPRTS treatment systems(anticipated to occur during Phase 2 in first half of 2020), the accumulated water volume within the Process Pond will likely require at least 3-6 months to purge of non-chemically treated flows. KU requests a compliance schedule for application of Kentucky Water Quality Standards to Outfall 001 (during Phase 1) and Outfall 006 flows until July 31, 2020.

Outfall #007 – Process Water System (PWS) for FGD-Wastewaters Treatment

PROPOSED (*By End-of Year 2019*): Internal Outfall 007 to the Process Pond/Outfall 006 will designate the monitoring/sample point of discharges from the Process Water Treatment System (PWTS) Treated Wastewater Effluent Tank; treated wastewaters will be subsequently pumped to the New Process Pond and discharged with combined wastewaters to Herrington Lake.

The PWS treatment trains startup is planned for late-2019, commercial operations are expected to begin 1st quarter 2020, and a 3-6 month troubleshooting and optimizing period is expected to establish reliable operations to reduce mercury and arsenic concentrations. ELG reconsideration rules may change treatment requirements or limits, and are expected to affect whether biological technology is potentially needed for selenium. Because of the reconsideration, an additional 36-42 months of design-procurement-installation-troubleshooting will be required (following the anticipated November 20, 2020 USEPA Reconsideration/finalization date), so an applicability date of December 31, 2023 is requested for setting limits and conditions for this outfall and meeting the ELGs for FGD wastewater, unless additional time and considerations are included in the final rule.

KPDES KY0002020 Permit Application Synopsis – May 2019 Technical Update Page 9 of 9

Outfall #008 - Railway Area Stormwater/Wick-Drain High Rainfall Flows

PROPOSED (*By Mid-Year 2020*): Railway area stormwater/wick-drain sump flows are pumped to Process Pond (existing flows to Auxiliary Ash Pond before closure). During high rainfall flow events, sump pump flow capabilities may be exceeded so the excess flows will be directed to a Outfall Sampling-monitoring structure to allow monitoring of these flows (of typically short duration). This external Outfall 008 discharge will combine into the Unit 3 cooling tower blowdown channel to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet.

FOOTNOTE 1. Bottom Ash Transport Water ELG Compliance Schedule

As noted above (in Outfall 006/Transition Operations), ongoing Bottom ash sluice waters management includes redesign/repairs to Submerged Flight Conveyors (SFC) constructed to dewater sluice flows and facilitate 'dry' solids-truck handling to the landfill (reliability problems currently being addressed). EPA is currently reconsidering the requirements in the ELGs for bottom ash transport waters and may authorize limited carry over/blowdown waters, which could affect the design or operation of KU's current system. In the September 18, 2017 final rule preamble, EPA explained that the earliest as soon as possible date for bottom ash transport water is extended to November 1, 2020, to accommodate the rule reconsideration. Given the likelihood that the rule reconsideration will not be completed until that date, KU requests until December 31, 2023, to design and install any required changes to its bottom ash handling system to comply with the ELGs, , unless additional time and considerations are included in the final rule. Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 17 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 2

Kentucky Division of Water

KPDES – Form 1

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Personse to 11 1 Question No. 1 101(e)						0402	
-		Attaching	ent 5 to Kes	ponse to .	P	age 18 of	286
Form 1	KENTUCKY POLLUTION DISCHARGE ELIMINATION SYSTEM Permit Application					In Division	of Water
NAME OF FACILITY:				AGENCY U	SE ONLY		
PERMIT NO.:				COUNTY			
 This is an application to: (che □ Apply for a new permit. ⊠ Apply for reissuance of □ Modify an existing permit. A complete application consistent 	eck one) expiring permit. nit.* (Give reas s of this form (Fo	son for modification form 1), and one or mo	under Section	III) ing: Form A	A, Form B, Form	C, Form F,	or Form SC.
I. FACILITY AND CONTA	ACT INFORMA	ATION					
Name of business, municipali	ity, company, etc	c. requesting permit	: E.W. Brown	Generating	Station		
Owner Name (and Title if app	plicable):	Kentucky Utilities	Company, Attr	n: Gary H. I	Revlett (Director	Environme	ental Affairs)
Owner Mailing Address (Stre	eet, etc.):	P.O Box 32010					
Owner City, State, Zip:	Louisville, KY	40232					
Owner Telephone Number:	502-627-46	521					
Owner Email Address:	gary.revlett@l	ge-ku.com					
Type of Ownership:	Publicly Owned	Privately Owned	State Ov	wned	Both Publicly Privately Own	and ed	Federally Owned
Contact Name and Title (if di	ifferent):	Roger Medina, Seni	or Environmen	tal Enginee	r		
Contact Mailing Address (if c	different):	P.O Box 32010					
Contact City, State, Zip (if di	fferent):	Louisville, KY 402	232				
Contact Telephone Number (if different):	502-627-2997					
Contact Email Address (if dif	fferent):	roger.medina@lge-	ku.com				
NetDMR Official Contact for	r Facility:	William Michael	Winkler				
NetDMR Official Contact Te	elephone Number	r: 502-627-2	2338				
NetDMR Official Contact En	nail Address:	Michael.winkl	er@lge-ku.con	n			
II. FACILITY LOCATION	I						
Facility Location (street, road	l, highway, etc.):	: 815 Dix Da	m Road				
Facility City, State, Zip:	Harrodsburg,	KY 40330-9282					
Facility Latitude (Decimal De	egrees): 3	7 47' 17"					
Facility Longitude (Decimal	Degrees):	84 42' 44"					
Attach a site location m other map that identifies	ap with the facil s the site location	ity and outfalls clea n and significant fea	rly marked. Pro tures.	ovide either	an aerial map, to	opographic	map, or

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 19 of 286

III. FACILITY DESCRIPTION	Imber
Provide a brief description of activities, products, etc.:	Fossil-fuel fired steam electric generating station
* Reason for modifying existing permit, if applicable:	
Principal SIC Code and description: 4911	
Other SIC Codes:	
IV. OPERATOR INFORMATION	
Treatment Plant Operator Name: NA	
Operator Mailing Address (Street, etc.):	
Operator City, State, Zip:	
Operator Telephone Number:	
Operator Email Address:	
Operator Certification Class:	Operator Certification Number:
V. ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS/REGISTRATIONS	FOR THIS FACILITY
KPDES Permit Number: KY 0002020	Issue Date of Current Permit: March 1, 2010
Expiration Date of Current Permit: Feb 28, 2015	Date of Original Permit Issuance: Dec 31, 1974
□ Other DOW Permits (list):	
□ Sludge Disposal Permit Number:	
□ Air Emission Source Control Permit Number:	KYDAQ Title V Permit V-10-004 R2
□ Solid Waste or Special Waste Permit Number:	KYDWM 084-00010 S.W. Landfill
□ Hazardous Waste Registration or Permit Number:	KYD-000-622-951 CESQG/SQG
□ Surface Mine or Underground Mine Permit Number:	
\Box Other (specify):	
VI. PERMIT FEE (See instructions)	
Select the type of permit being requested. See instructions to be found in "General Instructions" at <u>Water.Ky.Gov/Permit</u>	for applicable fees and methods of payment. Additional information can tting/WastewaterDischarge
Major Industry	□ Large Non-POTW
Minor Industry	Intermediate Non-POTW
□ Non-Process Industry	□ Small Non-POTW
□ Surface Mining Operation	$\Box 501(c)(3)$

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 20 of 286 Imber

Agriculture	Exempt Publicly Owned Facility	
Total Amount Enclosed \$		

IX. CERTIFICATION						
I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified persounel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.						
PRINTED NAME AND TITLE: Donald Ralph Bowling, Vice President Power Production						
SIGNATURE: Kalph Bound						
TELEPHONE NO. 502-627-4121	EMAIL: ralph.bowling@lge-ku.com					

Return completed application form and attachments to: Division of Water Surface Water Permits Branch 300 Sower Boulevard, 3rd Floor Frankfort, KY 40601

Direct questions to: Surface Water Permits Branch at (502) 564-3410.

KPDES FORM 1 – INSTRUCTIONS

Section A: GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

The facility name should be the official or legal name by which the facility is commonly known and/or uniquely identified. Do not use a colloquial name. List the county where the facility is located.

With the exceptions described in Section C of these instructions, Federal and State laws prohibit you from the discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States or waters of the Commonwealth.

Where to File:	Return completed application form and attachments to:				
	Division of Water				
	Surface Water Permits Branch				
	300 Sower Boulevard, 3 rd Floor				
	Frankfort, KY 40601				
When to File:	File the application at least 180 days prior to expiration of your current KPDES permit or at least 180 days prior to startup of a new facility.				
Fees:	Permit Fees are listed in Section B of these instructions.				
Completion of Form:	Unless otherwise specified in the detailed instructions, you must answer each item in the form. To indicate that you have considered each item, enter "NA," for not applicable, if a particular item does not fit the circumstances of your facility or activity. If more space is necessary to answer a question, attach a separate sheet entitled "Additional Information."				

Section B: COMPLETING FORM 1

Listed below are explanations of select Form 1 questions. If further information is needed concerning any section, please contact Division of Water, Surface Water Permits Branch at (502) 564-3410.

I. Facility and Contact Information

Use the official or legal name of the business, company, municipality, etc. requesting permit. Do not use a colloquial name. Give the name, as it is legally referred to, of the person, firm, public organization, or any other entity that operates the facility described in this application. This may or may not be the same name as the facility. The operator of the facility is the legal entity which controls the facility's operation rather than the plant or site manager. This use of "operator" in many cases is not the same as the treatment plant Certified Operator.

The owner mailing address should be the legal permittee of record and is the address where correspondence regarding the application, permit, etc. for the facility will be sent unless otherwise indicated. This often is not the address used to designate the location of the facility or activity. Give the name, title, and work telephone number of a person who is thoroughly familiar with the operation of the facility and with the facts reported in this application and who can be contacted by reviewing offices if necessary. The contact mailing address is to be provided if different from the owner mailing address. The name, telephone number, and email address of the facility's official contact for netDMR (Discharge Monitoring Reports) is to be provided.

II. Facility Location

The facility location should be for the actual location of the facility (i.e. road name, highway number, not the P.O. Box address). If there is no street address, identify the facility by the most accurate alternative geographic information such as direction and distance to the nearest intersection or permanent landmark (e.g., ¹/₂ mile east of intersection of KY 70 and US 127).

List the latitude and longitude for the facility site. The latitude/longitude reading for the site should be taken at the influent to the wastewater treatment plant, if applicable.

Attach a site location map with the facility and outfalls clearly marked. Provide either an aerial map, topographic map, or other map that identifies the site location and significant features including the facility's intake and discharge structures. Also mark the locations of those wells, springs, surface water bodies, and drinking water wells listed in public records or otherwise known to the applicant within one-quarter mile of the facility property boundary.

III. Facility Description

Briefly describe the nature of the business and the activities being conducted that require a KPDES permit.

Identify the principal 4-digit standard industrial classification (SIC) code and other applicable SIC codes that best describe your facility in terms of the principal products or services you produce or provide. Also, specify each classification in words. These classifications may differ from the SIC codes describing the operation generating the discharge. The SIC codes are numbers and descriptions of activities classified by the Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget. These are found in the latest edition of the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Manual.

If the application is for the modification of an existing permit, please provide the specific reason(s) for modifying the existing permit.

IV. Operator Information

For those facilities that require a Certified Operator, enter the name of a Certified Operator who will operate the treatment plant, or enter the name of an operator who will be certified before commencement of discharge. The operator of the treatment plant is often someone other than the operator of the facility identified in Section I.

List the Certified Operator's mailing address, telephone number, and email address. Also, provide the Certified Operator's Certification Class and Certification Number.

The operator must be currently certified with the Division of Water. For information concerning those requirements, please contact the Division of Compliance Assistance at (502) 564-0323.

V. Environmental Permits/Registrations for This Facility

List any existing environmental permits for this facility and identify any permits for which the facility will apply. KPDES permits use an NPDES generated number.

VI. Permit Fee

The payment of the permit fee, as listed below, must accompany the application for a new KPDES Permit or for reissuance of an expiring KPDES Permit in order for the permit application to be processed. For an application to modify an existing permit, the Division of Water will notify the applicant of the required permit fee to be paid prior to issuance of the permit modification. Your check must be made payable to "Kentucky State Treasurer." For permit renewals, to ensure proper credit to your account, please include the KPDES permit number on the check. The permit fee is not refundable if the application is withdrawn or the permit is denied. Listed below are the facility categories and associated base five-year permit fees. (See the separate "General Instructions" for definitions of facility categories.)

Facility Category	<u>Five-Year Permit Fee</u>
Major Industry	\$7,000
Minor Industry	\$4,500
Non-Process Industry	\$2,200
Large Non-POTW	\$3,700
Intermediate Non-POTW	\$3,200
Small Non-POTW	\$2,200
Agriculture	\$1,200
Surface Mining Operation	\$3,300
501(c)(3)	\$100

If this application is for a new project, see separate General Instructions for the applicable Construction Permit fee.

VII. Certification

The permit application must be signed as follows:

- **Corporation:** by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice president.
- **Partnership or sole proprietorship:** by a general partner or the proprietor respectively.

• **Municipality, state, federal, or other public agency:** by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

Section C: ACTIVITIES WHICH DO NOT REQUIRE KPDES PERMITS

You are not required to obtain a KPDES permit if your discharge is one of the following categories, as provided by the Clean Water Act (CWA) and KPDES regulations (401 KAR Chapter 5).

- 1. <u>Dredged or Fill Material</u>: Discharges of dredged or fill material as defined at 33 CFR 323.2 into waters of the Commonwealth do not need KPDES permits if the dredging or filling is authorized by a permit issued by the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers.
- 2. <u>Discharges into Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTW)</u>: The introduction of sewage, industrial wastes, or other pollutants into a POTW does not need a KPDES permit. You must comply with all applicable pretreatment standards promulgated under Section 307 (b) of the CWA, which may be included in the permit issued to the POTW. If you have a plan or an agreement to switch to a POTW in the future, this does not relieve you of the obligation to apply for and receive a KPDES permit until you have stopped discharging pollutants into waters of the Commonwealth.
- 3. <u>Dischargers into Privately Owned Treatment Works</u>: Dischargers into privately owned treatment works do not have to apply for or obtain KPDES permits except as otherwise required by the Cabinet. The owner or operator of the treatment works itself, however, must apply for a permit and identify all users in its application.
- 4. <u>Discharges from Agricultural and Silvicultural Activities:</u> Most discharges from agricultural and silvicultural activities to waters of the Commonwealth do not require KPDES permits. These include runoff from orchards, cultivated crops, pastures, range lands, and forest lands. However, the discharge listed below DO require KPDES permits.
 - a. Discharges from Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations.
 - b. Discharges from Concentrated Aquatic Animal Production Facilities.
 - c. Discharges associated with approved Aquaculture Projects.
 - d. Discharges from Silvicultural Point Sources. Nonpoint source silvicultural activities are excluded from KPDES permit requirements. However, some of these activities, such as stream crossings for roads, may involve point source discharge of dredged or fill material which may require a Section 404 permit. See 33 CFR 209.120.
- 5. <u>Underground Injection Control Permits Under the Safe Drinking Water Act</u>

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 24 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 3

Kentucky Division of Water

KPDES

Application Filing Fee Check to State Treasurer

•	Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment-3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 25 of 286				
DOR NO: 56	ENDORSEMENT OF ATTACHED CHECK WILL ACKNOWLEDGE PAYMENT IN FULL OF ITEMS SET FORTH . KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	I) BELOW	nber ND: 610216 DATE: 19-Feb-19		
INVOICE NUMBER	INVOICE DATE DESCRIPTION I	NECOLINT	NET AMOUNT		
NTUC021419	14-Feb-2019 RTN TO CONNIE YORK LGE 4	0.00	7,000.00		

+

CG11Z

KENTUC021419	14-Feb-2019	RTN TO CONNIE YORK LGE 4	0.00	7,000.00
	1			
TOTALS			0.00	7.000.00

PLEASE DETACH BEFORE PRESENTING CHECK

REMOVE DOCUMENT ALONG THIS PERFORATION

IL ANK REACH ARE PRESENT. LENT IS PRINTED IN TWO COLUM



#00610216# #061112788# 329 5 0 8 7583#

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

P.O. Box 32030 Louisville, KY 40232

VENDOR NO: 15166

> KENTUCKY STATE TREASURER SURFACE WATER PERMITS BRANCH **DIVISION OF WATER 300 SOWER BLVD** FRANKFORT KY US 40601

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 26 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 4

USGS TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 27 of 286



WILMORE, KENTUCKY, USGS QUADRANGLE MAP

Scale: 1"=2000'

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 28 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 5

Kentucky Division of Water

KPDES – Form C

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Ouestion No. 1.101(a)

	-	A	ttachment 3 to) Res	sponse to JI-	1 Questio	on No. 1.101(a) Page 29 of 286
Form	RGE	Imber Division of Water					
NAME OF FA	CILITY:	E.W. Brown Generating	Station		AGENCY USE C	ONLY	-
PERMIT NO.:	KY	0002020		1	COUNTY:	Merce	r
I. OUTFALL	LOCATI	ON		-	0		
For each of	outfall, list	the latitude and longitude of i	ts location to five	decin	nal points.		
OUTFALL	NUMBE	R LATITUDE In Decimal Degr	rees I	LO n Dec	NGITUDE cimal Degrees	R	ECEIVING WATER (name)
00	01	37.784741		-8	4.715331		Herrington Lake
00	02	37.786910		-8	4.712715		Herrington Lake
00	03	37.787529		-8	4.714465		Herrington Lake
00	05	37.783567		-8	4.709256		Herrington Lake
00	06	37.782714		-8	4.715321		Herrington Lake
00	07	37.787328		-8	4.716126		Internal to 006
00	08	37.787492	-84.714583			Herrington Lake	
II. FLOWS, S	OURCES	OF POLLUTION, AND TR	EATMENT TE	CHN	OLOGIES		
Attach a l wastewate water bala water bala amount of For each wastewate and (3) th	line drawir er to the ef ance on th ance canno f any sourc outfall, pr er, sanitary e treatment	ng showing the water flow the fluent, and treatment units lab e line drawing by showing ar of be determined (e.g., for ca es of water and any collection rovide a description of: (1) wastewater, cooling water, a treceived by the wastewater.	rough the facility beled to correspon- verage flows betwertain mining act or treatment mea all operations cound stormwater ru Continue on addit	India d to t veen i vities sures. ntribu noff; ional	cate sources of he more detaile intakes, operatio), provide a pio- tting wastewate (2) the average sheets if necessa	intake wate d descriptions, treatme ctorial description r to the end flow contri	er, operations contributing ons in Item B. Construct a ent units, and outfall. If a cription of the nature and ffluent, including process ibuted by each operation;
OUTFALL		SOURCES OF V	VASTEWATER			TREAT	MENT DESCRIPTION
NUMBER	Ор	erations Contributing to Flow	Avg. Flow (include units)	[] (i	Design Flow nclude units)		from Table C-1)
Existing Op	erations	– Outfalls 001 to 005 per curi	rent permit (whicl	ı chan	ge with Transiti	ion Operati	ions)
	Flue Gas Fines + I	Desulfurization (FGD) Inert Dewatering+Flush Flows	0.0864 MGD				
	Bottom A (Approx	Ash Sluice + Flush Waters 10% of Recycled Flows)	0.1206 MGD				
	Landfill CCR-Co	Leachate (Precipitation, ntact)	0.1193 MGD]	
001	Plant Sur Washwat Deminera filter/bac	nps including Air Heater ers, Boiler Blowdowns, alizer Wastes, Seal Waters, kwashes, etc.	0.5531 MGD			Settling,	Mixing, Neutralization
	Coal Pile Washdov	/Yard Runoff &	0.2079 MGD				
	Metal Cl	eaning Wastes (<i>Outfall 004</i>)	0.0038 MGD				
	Abutmen Flows	t & Wick-Drain Sumps	0.1044 MGD				

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

				Page 30 of 286
	Closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain, Sump Flows	0.5205 MGD		Imber
	Precipitation, on Limestone Pile	0.0031 MGD		
	Precipitation, to Oil/Water Separators (misc. plant process areas)	0.0176 MGD		
	Precipitation, Direct Aux-Ash Pond and newly Construct. Process Ponds	0.0495 MGD		
	Precipitation, Landfill Inactive Areas Perimeter Access Areas Stormwater Runoff	0.0948 MGD		
	Precipitation, Landfill Liner Underdrain-Monitoring System	0 MGD		
002	Precipitation, Units 1-2 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0038 MGD		
002	Previously Cooling Tower Blowdown but now- RETIRED Units 1-2	0 MGD	0	Discharge to Surface Water
	Cooling Tower Blowdown Unit 3	1.9728 MGD		Disinfection (Other)
003	Precipitation, Direct to Cooling Tower(s) and Unit 3 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0086 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
004 (Internal)	Boiler-Metal Cleaning Wastewaters	See above 001		Chemical Precipitation
005	Plant Intake	6.2875 MGD		
AVERA	GE & MAXIMUM FLOWS = 30 day Cor	nposite of 29 days N	Maximum Flows &	1 day Maintenance Max Flows
Transition (Ash Pond Dew	Operations – Outfalls 001 to 005, New atering Flows Begin to New Outfall 006	9 006-008 per new p Multiport, High-Ra	permit (to continue te Diffuser to Herri	into Future Operations), Auxiliary ington Lake
001	Precipitation, Landfill Inactive Areas Perimeter Access & Adjacent Drainage Areas Stormwater Runoff	0.0948 MGD		Settling, Mixing, Neutralization
	Precipitation, Landfill Liner Underdrain-Monitoring System	0 MGD		
002	Precipitation, Units 1-2 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0038 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
002	Previously Cooling Tower Blowdown from now- RETIRED Units 1-2	0 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
	Cooling Tower Blowdown Unit 3	1.9728 MGD		Disinfaction (Other)
003	Precipitation, Direct to Cooling Tower(s) and Unit 3 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0086 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
004 (Internal)	Boiler-Metal Cleaning Wastewaters	See Outfall 006		Chemical Precipitation
005	Plant Intake	6.2875 MGD		
	Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering Flows	0.325 MGD		
006 (NEW, to Multiport, High-Rate Diffuser)	Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Inert Fines + Dewatering+Flush Flows (<i>Outfall 007 after Optimization</i>)	0.0086 MGD		Settling, Mixing, Neutralization
	Bottom Ash Sluice + Flush Waters (Approx 10% of Recycled Flows)	0.1206 MGD		
	Landfill Leachate (Precipitation, CCR-Contact)	0.1193 MGD		

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 31 of 286

	Plant Sumps including Air Heater Washwaters, Boiler Blowdowns, Demineralizer Wastes, Seal Waters, filter/backwashes, etc.	0.5531 MGD		Imber
	Coal Pile/Yard Runoff & Washdowns	0.2079 MGD		
	Metal Cleaning Wastes (Outfall 004)	0.0038 MGD		
	Abutment and Wick-Drain Sumps Flows	0.1044 MGD		
	Closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain Sump Flows	0.5205 MGD		
	Precipitation, on Limestone Pile	0.0031 MGD		
	Precipitation, to Oil/Water Separators (misc. plant process areas)	0.0176 MGD		
	Precipitation, Direct Aux-Ash Pond and newly Construct. Process Ponds	0.0082 MGD		
007 (NEW, Internal)	Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Inert Fines + Dewatering+Flush Flows (<i>after Optimization Period</i>)	0.0043 MGD		Chemical Precipitation
008 (NEW)	Railway Area/Wick-Drin Stormwater High Rainfall Flow Events (<i>Fraction</i> of Flow not pumped to Process Pond/Outfall 006)	0.0558 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
AVERA	GE & MAXIMUM FLOWS = 30 day Com	nposite of 29 days l	Maximum Flows & I	day Maintenance Max Flows
Future Ope	rations – Outfalls 001 to 008 continue	per new permit, Au.	xiliary Ash Pond De	watering Flows Nearly
Outfall 006 Mu	ltiport, High-Rate Diffuser to Herrington	i Lake	ess riows (except C	ooling Tower Blowdowns) to New
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Precipitation, Landfill Inactive Areas Perimeter Access & Adjacent Drainage Areas Stormwater Runoff	0.0948 MGD		
001	Precipitation, Landfill Liner Underdrain-Monitoring System	0 MGD		Settling, Mixing, Neutralization
	Precipitation, Closed/Capped Auxiliary Ash Pond Stormwater Runoff Flows	0.0413 MGD		
	Same Flows as Tra	nsition Operations		
002	Precipitation, Units 1-2 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0038 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
	Previously Cooling Tower Blowdown from now- RETIRED Units 1-2	0 MGD		
	Same Flows as Tra	nsition Operations		
003	Cooling Tower Blowdown Unit 3 Precipitation, Direct to Cooling Tower(s) and Unit 3 Building Roof Drains (thru O/W Separator)	0.0086 MGD		
004	Boiler-Metal Cleaning Wastewaters	See Outfall 006		Chemical Precipitation
005	Plant Intake	6.2875 MGD		1
	Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering Flows (STOPPED)	0 MGD		
Multiport, High-Rate Diffuser)	Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Inert Fines + Dewatering+Flush Flows (<i>Outfall 007 Optimization Complete</i>)	0.0086 MGD		Settling, Mixing, Neutralization
Diffuser)	Bottom Ash Sluice + Flush Waters (Approx 10% of Recycled Flows)	0.1206 MGD		

	A	ttachment 3 to	Response to JI-	Question No. 1.101(a)
	Landfill Leachate (Precipitation, CCR-Contact)	0.1193 MGD		Imber
	Plant Sumps including Air Heater Washwaters, Boiler Blowdowns, Demineralizer Wastes, Seal Waters, filter/backwashes, etc.	0.5531 MGD		
	Coal Pile/Yard Runoff & Washdowns	0.2079 MGD		
	Metal Cleaning Wastes (Outfall 004)	0.0038 MGD		
	Abutment & Wick-Drain Sumps Flows	0.1044 MGD		
	Closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain Sump Flows	0.5205 MGD		
	Precipitation, on Limestone Pile	0.0031 MGD		
	Precipitation, to Oil/Water Separators (misc. plant process areas)	0.0176 MGD		
	Precipitation, Direct to <i>newly</i> Construct. Process Ponds	0.0082 MGD		
007 (NEW, Internal)	Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Inert Fines + Dewatering+Flush Flows (<i>Optimization Completed</i>)	0.0086 MGD		Chemical Precipitation
008 (NEW)	Railway Area/Wick-Drin Stormwater High Rainfall Flow Events (Fraction of Flow not pumped to Process Pond/Outfall 006)	0.0558 MGD		Discharge to Surface Water
AVERA(GE & MAXIMUM FLOWS = 30 day Con	1posite of 29 days N	<mark>Aaximum Flows & 1</mark>	day Maintenance Max Flows

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

				Attachment	3 to Respon	ıse to Л-	Case No 1 Question	. 2022- No. 1.1	00402 101(a)	
II. FLOWS	, SOURC	ES OF POLL	UTION, AND '	TREATMENT	TECHNOLO	GIES (Co	Pantinued)	a ge 33 (]	of 286 Imber	
C. Except	for stormv	vater runoff, le	aks, or spills, ar	re any of the disc	charges describ	ed in Items	s II-A or B int	ermittent	or seasonal?	
□ Y	es. If yes t	hen complete t	the following ta	ble.						
🛛 N	o. If no the	en go to Sectio	n III.							
OUTFALL	OPE	RATIONS	DAYS PER	MONTHS	FLOW RAT	E (MGD)	(include units)		DURATION	
NUMBER	CONTRI F	IBUTING TO LOW	WEEK (specify avg.)	PER YEAR (specify avg.)	Long-Term Avg.	Max Daily	Long-Term Avg.	Max Daily	(days)	
III. PRODU	UCTION			<u>_</u>			J			
A. Does at	n effluent l	imitation guide	eline promulgat	ed by EPA unde	r Section 304 c	of the Clear	n Water Act a	pply to y	our facility?	
(40 CF)	Tes Compl	ete Item III-B :	and list the efflu	ient limitation g	uideline catego	orv(ies) 40) CFR Part 42	3		
	Go to S	ection IV						-		
Are the	e limitation	ns in the appli	icable effluent	limitations guid	eline expresse	d in terms	of production	n or othe	er measures of	
B. operatio	on? (40 CF	FR 401 – 471)			Ĩ		1			
□ Y	es. Compl	ete Item III-C.								
N N	o. Go to S	ection IV.	III D 1' / 1		1	. 1		1 1		
C. If you a express	answered sed in the te	erms and units	used in the app	licable effluent l	imitation guide	ctual meas eline, and i	ndicate the aff	fected ou	of production, tfalls	
		AVERA	AGE DAILY P	RODUCTION			A	Affected	Outfalls	
Quantity F	Per Day	Units of I	Measure	Operation, Product, Material, Etc. (specify)			(lis	(list outfall numbers)		
					(~ r y)					
			 							

	Case No. 2022-00402
Attachment 3 to Response to JI	-1 Ouestion No. 1.101(a)

Page 34 of 286										
IV. IMPROVEMENTS					Im	ber				
A. Are you now required by upgrading, or operation of discharges described in this orders, enforcement compl	any feder wastewat s applicationance scheor	al, state or local authories equipment or praction? This includes, but dule letters, stipulations	ority to meet any implementation ices or any other environmental is not limited to, permit condition s, court orders and grant or loan co	n sched progran is, admition	ule for the c ns which ma nistrative or c s	onstruction, y affect the enforcement				
□ Yes. Complete the following table.										
No. Go to Section IV-B.										
INDENTIFICATION OF CONDITION	AFFI	ECTED OUTFALLS	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF PRO	JECT	FINAL COMPLIANCE DATE					
AGREEMENT, ETC.	No.	Source of Discharge		Required	Projected					
B. environmental projects whiprogram is now under way	attach add ich may af or planned	itional sheets describ- fect your discharges) y l, and indicate your act	ing any additional water pollut ou now have under way or which ual or planned schedules for const	ion con you platruction	trol program an. Indicate w	s (or other whether each				
V. INTAKE AND EFFLUEN	Γ CHARA	CTERISTICS	•							
B. See instructions before pro- Complete one set of tables C. Place the outfall number in Use the space below to list D. which you know or have re- briefly describe the reasons	any of the space	utfall. provided on each table pollutants (refer to SA elieve is discharged or ve it to be present and 1	RA Title III, Section 313) listed is may be discharged from any out report any analytical data in your i	n TABI fall. For	E C-3 of the every pollut	instructions ant you list,				
POLLUTANT	<u></u>	SOURCE	POLLUTANT		SOURC	E				
Ammonium Hydroxide	Boiler,	/Feedwater System	Sodium Hypochlorite	Fee	edwater Pre-T	reatment				
Sodium Hydroxide & Sulfuric	Demin	eralizer Regenerant	Sodium BiSulfite	Rever	se Osmosis (I	RO) System				
Sodium Bisulfite	Den Treatm	nineralizer Water	Phosphoric Acid	R	O System Me	embrane				
Sodium Molybdate	Closed	d Cooling Inhibitor	Quat-DIMAC Ammonium Chloride	Cooli Bioc	ng System Ze	ebra Mussel Freatments				
Sodium Hydroxide, Organo- Sulfide & Polymer Coagulant	Toe Drai Flows I	n & Coal Pile Runoff Metals Precipitation	Sodium Hydroxide, Organo- Sulfide & Polymer Coagulant	FGD Flov	& Gypsum I ws Metals Pre	Dewatering cipitation				
VI. POTENTIAL DISCHARGES NOT COVERED BY ANALYSIS										
A. Is any pollutant listed in Table C of this section a substance or a component of a substance which you currently use or manufacture as an intermediate or final product or byproduct?										
Yes. List all such pollutants in the space provided below.										
No. Go to Section VI	I.									

Imber

VII. BIOLOGICAL TOXICITY TESTING DATA

Do you have any knowledge of or reason to believe that any biological test for acute or chronic toxicity has been made on any of your discharges or on a receiving water in relation to your discharge within the last 3 years?

Yes. Identify the test(s) and describe their purposes below.

No. Go to Section VIII.

Toxicity Control & Biomonitoring Program Testing was incorporated into the current KPDES for Outfall 001 discharge including: 1. a 48-hour static toxicity test with Ceriodaphnia sp.;

2. a 48-hour static toxicity test with fathead minnow

These tests were performed at least annually and indicated full compliance with the KPDES toxicity limits.

VIII. CONTRACT ANALYSIS INFORMATION

Applicants that discharge pollutants to waters of the Commonwealth must provide analytical data for the parameters shown on this Form. The analysis must be performed by a laboratory that is certified in accordance with 401 KAR 5:320 All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFP part 126 methods. In addition

All information reported must be based on data collected through analysis conducted using 40 CFR Part 136 methods. In addition, this data must comply with QA/QC requirements of 40 CFR Part 136 and other appropriate QA/QC requirements for standard methods for analytes not addressed by 40 CFR Part 136.

Below please list any analyses reported in Section V that were performed by a contract laboratory or consulting firm.

NAME	ADDRESS	TELEPHONE	POLLUTANTS ANALYZED
Microbac-Laboratories Kentucky Testing Laboratory	3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd Louisville, KY 40213 (or)	502-962-6400	Biomonitoring and chemical composition analyses
Division	2520 Regency Road Lexington, KY 40503	859-276-3506	

IX. CERTIFICATION.

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations.

PRINTED NAME AND TITLE: Donald Ralph Bowling, Vice President Power Production

SIGNATURE: Kalal Band	DATE: 5-22-19
TELEPHONE NO. 502-627-4121	EMAIL: ralph.bowling@lge-ku.com

Return completed application form and attachments to: Division of Water Surface Water Permits Branch 300 Sower Boulevard, 3rd Floor Frankfort, KY 40601

Direct questions to: Surface Water Permits Branch at (502) 564-3410.

Case No. 2022-00402

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS (Continued from Section V. INTAKE AND EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS) Imber												
PART A.	PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY OF TABLE A. See instructions before proceeding. Complete one set of tables for each outfall. Place the outfall number in the space provided on each table. You must provide the results of at least one analysis for every pollutant in this table.											
TABLE APage 1 of 1	OUTFALL NO	OUTFALL NO. 001 (E.W. Brown – Auxiliary Pond)										
			2. E	FFLUENT				3. UNIT (specify if bl	S ank)	4. IN (opt	TAKE tional)	
1. POLLUTANT	a. Maximum Daily Value		b. Maximum 30-Day Avg. Value (if available)		c. Long-Term Avg. Value (if available) d.		d.	a.	h.	a. Long-Term Avg. Value		b.
	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	No. of Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	- No of Analyses
1. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) ₅	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L			_	
2. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
3. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	4.14				4.14		1	mg/L				
4. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	27				27		1	mg/L				
5. Ammonia (as N)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
6. Flow (MGD)	0.403	3 VALUE		0.403 1		1	MGD		VALUE			
7. Temperature (winter)	8.6	8.6 VALUE		8.6		1	°C		VALUE			
8. Temperature (summer)	VALU	VALUE VALUE		IE	VALUE			°C		VALUE		
9. pH	MINIMUM 7.77	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM			1	STANDARD U	JNITS			
Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

V. INTAKE	AND EFF	LUENT	CHARACTER	ISTICS	(Continued)							r age 5	Imb	so er
PART B.	PLEASE See instru Complete In column Believed If you ma Complete	PRINT OF uctions before e one set of n "2. MAR Absent co ark the Beli e one table	R TYPE IN THE U ore proceeding. tables for each ou K X", place an "> lumn (2.b) for eac eved Present colu for each outfall. So	JNSHAE tfall. Plac (" in eithe h polluta umn for a ee the ins	DED AREAS ONI ce the outfall num er the Believed Pr nt you believe to t ny pollutant, you structions for addit	LY OF TA ber in the resent colore absent must provisional det	ABLE B. e space provided of umn (2.a) for each vide the results of a ails and requireme	n each tab 1 pollutant at least on nts.	le. you know or e analysis for	have reason to b that pollutant.	elieve is	present; or place a	an "X" ir	ı the
TABLE BPage 1 of 2	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.W. Brow	wn – Au	xiliary Pond)									
1.	2. MA	RK "X"			3. E	FFLUEN	Т			4. UNITS	s	5. IN (op	NTAKE (tional)	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Believed	b. Believed	a. Maximum Dail	ly Value	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av (1)	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Av (if availab) (1)	vg. Value le) (2)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value (1)	Avg. (2)	b. No of
	Present	Absent	Concentration	Mass	Concentration	Mass	Concentration	Mass	Analyses			Concentration	Mass	Analyses
1. Bromide (24959-67-9)	Х		2.2						1	mg/L				
2. Chloride	Х		79						1	mg/L				
3. Chlorine, Total Residual	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
4. Color	X		20						1	ADMI				
5. E.coli	Х		20.3						1	MPN/100 ml				
6. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	Х		2.3						1	mg/L				
7. Hardness (CaCO ₃)	х		980						1	mg/L				
8.Nitrate–Nitrite (as N)	X		2.0						1	mg/L				
9. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)	х		0.86						1	mg/L				
10. Oil and Grease	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
11. Phosphorous (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	Х		0.21						1	mg/L				
12. Radioactivity	·	•		·	·	·	·	·	·		·	·	·	
(1) Alpha, Total	Х		2.64						1	pCi/L		BDL		1
(2) Beta, Total	Х		7.87						1	pCi/L		2.92		1
(3) Radium, Total	Х		0.706						1	pCi/L		0.562		1

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TABLE B	OUTFALL NO. 001 (E.W. Brown – Auxiliary Pond)													
Page 2 of 2	UUIFA	LL NO. (ЮТ (E.W. БЮУ	vn – Au	xillary Polid)								Imbe	er
1	2. MAI	RK "X"			3. El	FFLUEN	ſ			4. UNITS	5	5. IN	TAKE	-
POLLUTANT	9	h	a. Maximum I	Daily	b. Maximum 3	0-Day	c. Long-Term Av	g. Value	d			a. Long-Term	Avg.	h
and CAS NO.	a. Believed	Believed			Avg. Value (if av	ailable)	(if availabl	e)	No. of	a.	b. Mass	Value	(2)	No of
(II available)	Present	Absent	Concentration	(2) Mass	Concentration	(2) Mass	Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
(4) Radium, 226, Total	Х		0.567						1	pCi/L		0.182		1
(5) Strontium-90, Total	Х		0.772						1	pCi/L		BDL		1
(6) Uranium	Х		3.67						1	ug/L		0.359		1
13. Sulfate (asSO ₄) (14808-79-8)	Х		740						1	mg/L				
14. Sulfide (as S)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
15. Sulfite (asSO4) (14286-46-3)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
16. Surfactants	Х		BDL						1	mg/L MBAS				
17.Aluminum,Total (7429-90)	Х		470						1	ug/L				
18. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	Х		43						1	ug/L				
19. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	Х		9.1						1	mg/L				
20. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)	Х		6.2						1	ug/L				
21. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	Х		700						1	ug/L				
22. Magnesium, Total (7439-96-4)	Х		71						1	mg/L				
23. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)	Х		450						1	ug/L				
24. Manganese, Total (7439-96-6)	Х		1,400						1	ug/L				
25. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
26. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 39 of 286

V. INTAKE ANI) EFFLUI	ENT CHA	ARACTE	RISTICS (Co	ontinue	d)							rage 39	Imbe	r
PART C.	PLEASE See instr Complet If you ar you mus Mark "X If you ar Believed Mark "X If you m pollutant See the i	E PRINT (ructions be e one set t e a prima: t test for. "in the T e not requ I Present t: in the B ark either t. Note that	OR TYPE efore proc of tables in ry industr Cesting Ro uired to m column fre elieved A the Testi at there ar as for add	E IN THE UNS ceeding. for each outfall y and this outf equired colum ark this colum or each polluta bsent column ng Required of e eight pages t itional details a	HADE I. Place all conta in for al n (<u>secon</u> nt you I for eacl or Belie o this pa and requ	D AREAS ON the outfall nun ains process wa l such GC/MS <u>ndary industrie</u> cnow or have r h pollutant you ved Present co art; please revi- nirements	LY OF aber in the astewate fraction s, nonpu- eason to believe blumns ew each	TABLE C. the space prove er, refer to the as that apply to rocess wasteway believe is pre- to be absent. for any polluta carefully. Con	ided on instruct your ir ater out esent. ant, you mplete o	each table ions (Tabl idustry and falls, and r must prov one table (e C-2) to deter d for ALL toxi non-required G ride the result o all eight pages	mine w c metal: <u>C/MS f</u> of at lea) for ead	hich of the GC s, cyanides, and <u>ractions</u>), mark st one analysis ch outfall.	C/MS fra d total p c "X" in for that	ctions henols. the
TABLE C Page 1 of 8	BLE C OUTFALL NO. 001 (E.W. Brown – Auxiliary Pond) 1. 2. MARK "X" 3. EFFLUENT 4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional) POLLUTANT a. Maximum Daily b. Maximum 30-Day c. Long-Term Avg. a. Long-Term Avg.														
1	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNITS	S	5. II (op	NTAKE otional)	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing Required	b. Believed Present	c. Believed Absent	a. Maximum Value (1) Concentration	Daily (2) Mass	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av (1) Concentration	0-Day vailable) (2) Mass	c. Long-Term Value (if availab (1) Concentration	d. No. of Analyses	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value (1) Concentration	(2) Mass	b. No of Analyses	
METALS, CYANIDE A	AND TOTAL	PHENOLS	2	5		2		<u> </u>	-	2	6		<u>.</u>		
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-0)	X			2.0						1	ug/L				
2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)	X			6.2						1	ug/L				
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)	X			BDL						1	ug/L				
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)	X			1.6						1	ug/L				
Total (7440-43-9)	X			1.9						1	ug/L				
6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8)	x			6.6						1	ug/L				
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	X			1.2						1	ug/L				
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)	x			18.8						1	ng/L				
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)	x x			18.8 29						1	ng/L ug/L				
8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0) 10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)	x x x			18.8 29 22						1 1 1 1	ng/L ^{ug/L}				

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TADLEC	1												-Page 40	⊢ of 28 (6
Page 2 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO.	001 (E.V	V. Brown – Au	xiliary	Pond)							0	Imbe	r
	2.	. MARK "X				3. EI	FLUENI	,			4. UNIT	s	5. I (01	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	30-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	ı Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
METALS, CYANIDE A	ND TOTAL	PHENOLS	continued			•	-		-		-				
12M Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)	x			BDL						1	ug/L				
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	X			17						1	ug/L				
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
15M. Phenols, Total	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
DIOXIN	•	•				•	•		•	•					
2,3,7,8 Tetra-				DESCRIBE RE	SULTS:										
chlorodibenzo-P-			X												
Dioxin (1784-01-6)			DC												
GC/MSFRACTION -			105	1		1				1	1		I	<u> </u>	1
(107-02-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
4V. Bis (Chloromethyl) Ether (542-88-1)	x			BDL*						1	mg/L				
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
8V. Chlorodibromomethane (124-48-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
9V. Chloroethane (74-00-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
10V. 2- Chloroethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C											Page 41	01 28	0		
Page 3 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.V	V. Brown – Au	xiliary	Pond)								Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNIT	s	5. 1	NTAKE	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	ı Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	a Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Iviass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE (COMPOUN	DS continue	ed	-	<u>.</u>			-		-	-			
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-71-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane (75-71-8)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
14V. 1,1- Dichloroethane (75-34-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
15V. 1,2- Dichloroethane (107-06-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
16V. 1,1- Dichlorethylene (75-35-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
17V. 1,2- Dichloropropane (78-87-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
18V. 1,3- Dichloropropylene (452-75-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-00-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
24V. Tetra- chloroethylene (127-18-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
27V. 1,1,1- Trichloroethane (71-55-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLEC													rage 42	01 28	6
Page 4 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.V	V. Brown – Au	xiliary	Pond)							_	Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	s	5. II (op	NTAKE otional)	
I. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	concentration	1111155	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE	COMPOUN	DS continue	d	-	-					-	-			
28V. 1,1,2- Trichloroethane (79-00-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
29V. Tri- chloroethylene (79-01-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION - A	ACID COMP	POUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
2A. 2,4- Dichlorophenol (120-83-2)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
3A. 2,4- Dimethylphenol (105-67-9)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
4A. 4,6-Dinitro- O-Cresol (534-52-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
9A. Pentachloro- phenol (87-88-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
10A. Phenol (108-05-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro- phenol (88-06-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

TABLE C Page 5 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.W	V. Brown – Au	xiliary	Pond)							Tage 45	Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X				3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNITS	5	5. II (or	NTAKE (ional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMI	POUNDS	-	-	-	-				-			_	
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
5B . Benzo (a) Anthracene (56-55-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
6B. Benzo (a) Pyrene (50-32-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene (205-99-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
8BBenzo (ghi) perylene (191-24-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
9BBenzo (k)- fluoranthene (207-08-9)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
10B. Bis (2-chloroethoxy) Methane (111-91-1)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
11B. Bis (2- chloroethel) Ether (111-44-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
12B. Bis (2-chloroisopropyl)- Ether (102-80-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
13B. Bis (2-ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate (117-81-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
16B. 2-Chloro- Naphthalene (7005-72-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TABLE C											rage 44	01 28	0		
Page 6 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.V	V. Brown – Au	ixiliary 1	Pond)								Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENT	,			4. UNIT	5	5. II (op	NTAKE otional)	
I. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	- BASE/NEUT	RAL COMI	POUNDS con	ntinued	<u>.</u>		•	· ·	-		-	-			
18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19B. Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene (53-70-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
20B. 1,2-Dichloro- benzene (95-50-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
21B. 1,3-Dichloro- Benzene (541-73-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- benzene (106-46-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- benzidene (91-94-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131-11-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- toluene (121-14-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- toluene (606-20-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
31B. Fluoranthene (208-44-0)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-71-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C													rage 45	01 28	0
Page 7 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO.	001 (E.W	V. Brown – Au	xiliary	Pond)								Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X				3. EF	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	S	5. I	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Tern Value (if availab	n Avg. de)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	ı Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	L BASE/NEUT	L RAL COMI	OUNDS cor	ntinued	-		-	Concentration	-		-	-	Concentration	1111100	_
36B. Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
39B. Napthalene (91-20-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
42B. N-Nitrosodi- N-Propylamine (621-64-7)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene (120-82-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION - F	PESTICIDES				•					•					
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)			Х												
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)			Х												
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)			Х												
4P. γ-BHC (58-89-9)			Х												
5Р. δ-ВНС (319-86-8)			Х												
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)			X												

TABLEC													Page 46	of 28	6
Page 8 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (001 (E.V	V. Brown – Au	ixiliary	Pond)								Imbe	r
1 uge 0 01 0	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENT	,			4. UNIT	s	5. I	NTAKE	
1. DOLLATION					D "			c. Long-Tern	ı Avg.					otional)	
and CAS NO.	a. Testing	b. Believed	C. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Dany	Avg. Value (if a	vailable)	Value (if availab	de)	d. No of	a.	b.	a. Long-Tern Value	i Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1)	(2)		(2)	(1)		Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1)	(2)	Analyses
			-	Concentration	Mass		Mass	Concentration	Mass				Concentration	Wass	-
7P 4 4' DDT					1				1		1				
(50-29-3)			Х												
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)			Х												
9P. 4,4'-DDD			Х												
10P. Dieldrin															
(60-57-1)			X												
11P. α-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			X												
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			Х												
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031-07-8)			Х												
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)			Х												
15P. Endrin Aldehyde			Х												
16P Heptachlor			v												
(76-44-8)			Λ												
17P. Heptaclor Epoxide (1024-57-3)			Х												
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)			Х												
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)			Х												
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)			Х												
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)			Х												
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)			Х												
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)			Х												
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)			Х												
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)			Х												

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 47 of 286

V. INTAKE A	AND EFFLUEN	T CHARAC	TERISTICS (Co	ontinued fro	m Section V. IN	TAKE AN	D EFFLU	ENT CHARAC	TERIST	ICS)	Imb	er			
PART A.	PLEASE PRIN See instructions Complete one s You must provi	T OR TYPE s before proc set of tables f ide the result	IN THE UNSHA eeding. for each outfall. Pl s of at least one a	DED AREA ace the outfa nalysis for ev	S ONLY OF TA Ill number in the very pollutant in t	BLE A. space provi his table.	ided on eacl	h table.							
TABLE APage 1 of 1	OUTFALL NO	D. 002 (E.W	7. Brown – Units	1-2 Cooling	Tower Blowdown	n & Misc.)				_					
			2. E	FFLUENT				3. UNIT (specify if bl	'S lank)	4. IN (opt	TAKE tional)				
1. POLLUTANT	a. Maximum I	Daily Value	b. Maximum 3 Value (if av	0-Day Avg. ailable)	c. Long-Term A (if availa)	vg. Value ble)	d.	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b.			
	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	No. of Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	No of Analyses			
1. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) ₅	BDL	BDL BDL 1 mg/L													
2. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	BDL	BDL BDL 1 mg/L													
3. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	6.88				6.88		1	mg/L							
4. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	3				3		1	mg/L							
5. Ammonia (as N)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L							
6. Flow (MGD)	<u>0.</u> 007	7	VALU	Е	0.007		1	MGD		VALUE					
7. Temperature (winter)	20.7		VALU	Е	20.7		1	°C		VALUE	¢				
8. Temperature (summer)	VALU	JE	VALU	Е	VALUI	Е		°C		VALUE					
9. pH	MINIMUM 8.24	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM			1	STANDARD U	JNITS						

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 48 of 286

V. INTAKE	AND EFF	LUENT	CHARACTER	ISTICS	(Continued)							r age 4	o or ze	so er
PART B.	PLEASE See instru Complete In colum Believed If you ma Complete	PRINT OI uctions before e one set of n "2. MAR Absent co ark the Beli e one table	R TYPE IN THE U ore proceeding. `tables for each ou K X", place an "> lumn (2.b) for eac ieved Present colu for each outfall. So	JNSHAE tfall. Plac (" in eithor h polluta umn for a ee the ins	DED AREAS ONI ce the outfall num er the Believed Pr nt you believe to b ny pollutant, you structions for addit	LY OF TA ber in the resent color be absent must pro- tional det	ABLE B. e space provided of lumn (2.a) for each vide the results of ails and requireme	n each tabh n pollutant at least on- nts.	le. you know or e analysis for	have reason to b that pollutant.	believe is	present; or place	an "X" in	ı the
TABLE BPage 1 of 2	OUTFA	LL NO.	002 (E.W. Brow	vn – Un	its 1-2 Cooling	Гower В	lowdown & Mis	c.)						
1.	2. MA	RK "X"			3. E	FFLUEN	Т			4. UNIT	S	5. II (op	NTAKE (tional)	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Believed	b. Believed	a. Maximum Dai	ly Value	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Av (if availab	vg. Value le)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
1. Bromide (24959-67-9)	Х		BDL					-	1	mg/L				
2. Chloride	Х		9.8						1	mg/L				
3. Chlorine, Total Residual	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
4. Color	X		25						1	ADMI				
5. E.coli	Х		26.5						1	MPN/100 ml				
6. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	x		BDL						1	mg/L				
7. Hardness (CaCO ₃)	х		260						1	mg/L				
8.Nitrate–Nitrite (as N)	Х		1.9						1	mg/L				
9. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)	х		0.60						1	mg/L				
10. Oil and Grease	х		BDL						1	mg/L				
11. Phosphorous (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	Х		0.36						1	mg/L				
12. Radioactivity	·	I		·	I			·	I	I		I	<u>. </u>	L
(1) Alpha, Total	Х		1.21						1	pCi/L				
(2) Beta, Total	X		5.52						1	pCi/L				
(3) Radium, Total	Х		0.451						1	pCi/L				

TABLE BPage 2 of 2	OUTFA	LL NO. (002 (E.W. Brow	vn – Un	its 1-2 Cooling	Fower B	lowdown & Mis	sc.)				r age 4	Imbe	er
1.	2. MAI	RK "X"			3. El	FFLUEN	Г			4. UNITS	5	5. IN (op	TAKE	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Believed	b. Believed	a. Maximum l Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day ailable)	c. Long-Term Av (if availabl	rg. Value e)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
(4) Radium, 226, Total	Х		BDL						1	pCi/L				
(5) Strontium-90, Total	Х		BDL						1	pCi/L				
(6) Uranium	Х		0.582						1	ug/L				
13. Sulfate (asSO ₄) (14808-79-8)	X		38						1	mg/L				
14. Sulfide (as S)	X		BDL						1	mg/L				
15. Sulfite (asSO4) (14286-46-3)	X		BDL						1	mg/L				
16. Surfactants	Х		BDL						1	mg/L MBAS				
17.Aluminum,Total (7429-90)	Х		59						1	ug/L				
18. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	X		39						1	ug/L				
19. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	X		0.24						1	mg/L				
20. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)	X		0.10						1	ug/L				
21. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	Х		62						1	ug/L				
22. Magnesium, Total (7439-96-4)	Х		13						1	mg/L				
23. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)	X		58						1	ug/L				
24. Manganese, Total (7439-96-6)	x		19						1	ug/L				
25. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)	x		BDL						1	mg/L				
26. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6)	x		BDL						1	mg/L				

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 50 of 286

V. INTAKE AND) EFFLUI	ENT CHA	ARACTE	RISTICS (Co	ontinue	d)							I age 50	Imbe	r
PART C.	PLEASE See instr Complet If you ar you mus Mark "X If you ar Believed Mark "X If you m pollutant See the i	E PRINT (uctions be e one set t e a prima: t test for. "in the T e not requ I Present t: in the B ark either t. Note that	OR TYPE efore proc of tables ry industr Cesting R aired to m column f elieved A the Testi at there ar as for add	E IN THE UNS ceeding. for each outfall y and this outf equired colum ark this colum or each polluta .bsent column ng Required of e eight pages t itional details a	HADE I. Place all conta in for al n (<u>secon</u> nt you l for each or Belie o this pa and requ	D AREAS ON the outfall nun ains process wa l such GC/MS <u>adary industrie</u> cnow or have r h pollutant you ved Present co art; please revi iirements	LY OF aber in the astewate fraction s, nonpu- believe believe blumns ew each	TABLE C. the space provident of the space provident of the space provident of the space provident of the space of the spac	ided on instruct your ir <u>ater outf</u> ssent. unt, you mplete o	each table ions (Tabl ndustry and falls, and r must prov one table (e C-2) to deter d for ALL toxi non-required G ide the result c all eight pages	mine w c metals <u>C/MS f</u> of at lea:) for eac	hich of the GC s, cyanides, and ractions), mark st one analysis ch outfall.	/MS fra d total p c "X" in for that	ctions henols. the
TABLE CPage 1 of 8	C OUTFALL NO. 002 (E.W. Brown – Units 1-2 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) 2. MARK "X" 3. EFFLUENT 4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional)														
1	2.	2. MARK "X" 3. EFFLUENT 4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional) a. b. c. a. Maximum Daily Value b. Maximum 30-Day Avg. Value (if available) c. Long-Term Avg. Value d. a. b. b. b. Value b. Value b. Value b. No. of c. b. Value b. Value b. No. of value value b. No. of value value<													
POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing Required	b. Believed Present	c. Believed Absent	a. Maximum Value	Daily (2)	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av (1)	0-Day vailable) (2)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab (1)	d. No. of Analyses	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value (1)	Avg.	b. No of Analyses	
METALS, CYANIDE A	L AND TOTAL	PHENOLS			IVIA55		11111111		IVIA55				Concentration	101455	-
1M Antimony	I														
Total (7440-36-0)	X			0.56						1	ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2)	X X			0.56						1	ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)	X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL						1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7) 4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)	x x x x x			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL						1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7) 4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9)	X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91						1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7) 4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9) 6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8)	X X X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91 44						1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7) 4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9) 6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8) 7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	X X X X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91 44 BDL						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7) 4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9) 6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8) 7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)	X X X X X X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91 44 BDL BDL BDL						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ng/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-43-2) 3M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9) 6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8) 7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)	X X X X X X X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91 44 BDL BDL 4.9						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				
Total (7440-36-0) 2M. Arsenic, Total (7440-38-2) 3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-43-2) 3M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9) 5M. Chromium, Total (7440-43-9) 6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8) 7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0) 10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)	X X X X X X X X X X X X X X			0.56 3.3 BDL BDL 0.91 44 BDL BDL 4.9 0.66						1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L ug/L				

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TABLEC												- rage 51	01 28	0	
Page 2 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO.	002 (E.V	V. Brown – Un	its 1-2	Cooling Tower	Blowd	own & Misc.)						Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENI				4. UNIT	S	5. II (or	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	ı Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Iviass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
METALS, CYANIDE A	ND TOTAL	PHENOLS	continued	2		2		-		-	2		-		
12M Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)	x			0.11						1	ug/L				
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	x			5.8						1	ug/L				
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
15M. Phenols, Total	x			0.011						1	mg/L				
DIOXIN	•					•	•			•					
2,3,7,8 Tetra-				DESCRIBE RE	SULTS:										
chlorodibenzo-P-			Х												
Dioxin (1784-01-6)															
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE	COMPOUN	DS												
1V. Acrolein (107-02-8)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
4V. Bis (Chloromethyl) Ether (542-88-1)	x			BDL*						1	mg/L				
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
8V. Chlorodibromomethane (124-48-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
9V. Chloroethane (74-00-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
10V. 2- Chloroethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C Page 3 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (002 (E.W	V. Brown – Un	its 1-2 (Cooling Tower	Blowd					rage 52	Imbe	r	
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	S	5. II (or	NTAKE (ional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(1 1 (1111010)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	1111055	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE (COMPOUN	DS continue	d		-		-			-	-			
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-71-8)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane (75-71-8)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
14V. 1,1- Dichloroethane (75-34-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
15V. 1,2- Dichloroethane (107-06-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
16V. 1,1- Dichlorethylene (75-35-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
17V. 1,2- Dichloropropane (78-87-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
18V. 1,3- Dichloropropylene (452-75-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-00-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
24V. Tetra- chloroethylene (127-18-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
27V. 1,1,1- Trichloroethane (71-55-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C	OUTFALL NO. 002 (E.W. Brown – Units 1-2 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.)													0120	0
Page 4 of 8	JUIFA		JUZ (E.V	w. Brown – Un	ns 1-2 (BIOWO	own & Misc.)						Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	s	5. II (op	NTAKE otional)	
I. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	60-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Wass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	- VOLATILE (- COMPOUN	- DS continue	ed	5	÷	•		-		2	-		-	
28V. 1,1,2- Trichloroethane	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
(79-00-5)															
chloroethylene (79-01-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION - A	ACID COMP	OUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
2A. 2,4- Dichlorophenol (120-83-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
3A. 2,4- Dimethylphenol (105-67-9)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
4A. 4,6-Dinitro- O-Cresol (534-52-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
9A. Pentachloro- phenol (87-88-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
10A. Phenol (108-05-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro- phenol (88-06-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

TABLE C Page 5 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (002 (E.W	V. Brown – Un	its 1-2 (Cooling Tower					rage 54	Imbe	o r		
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNIT	s	5. II	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses			(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	= BASE/NEUT	RAL COMI	POUNDS	-	_	-	_	_	_		-	-	-	_	
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
5B . Benzo (a) Anthracene (56-55-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
6B. Benzo (a) Pyrene (50-32-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene (205-99-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
8BBenzo (ghi) perylene (191-24-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
9BBenzo (k)- fluoranthene (207-08-9)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
10B. Bis (2-chloroethoxy) Methane (111-91-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
11B. Bis (2- chloroethel) Ether (111-44-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
12B. Bis (2-chloroisopropyl)- Ether (102-80-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
13B. Bis (2-ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate (117-81-7)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
16B. 2-Chloro- Naphthalene (7005-72-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TABLE C Page 6 of 8	OUTFA	OUTFALL NO. 002 (E.W. Brown – Units 1-2 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.)													o r
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENT				4. UNIT	s	5. II (or	NTAKE (tional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Tern Value (if availab	n Avg. de)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Iviass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	BASE/NEUT	RAL COME	POUNDS con	ntinued	-					-		-			
18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19B. Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene (53-70-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
20B. 1,2-Dichloro- benzene (95-50-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
21B. 1,3-Dichloro- Benzene (541-73-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- benzene (106-46-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- benzidene (91-94-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131-11-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- toluene (121-14-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- toluene (606-20-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
31B. Fluoranthene (208-44-0)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-71-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C	OUTFA	LL NO.	002 (E.V	W. Brown – Ui			rage 56	01 28 Imbe	o r						
rage / 01 8	2.	MARK "X	"			- 3. EI	FLUENT	·			4. UNIT	s	5. 1	NTAKE	-
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if uvullubic)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	111133	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMI	POUNDS co	ntinued		-					-	-	-	-	
36B. Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
39B. Napthalene (91-20-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
42B. N-Nitrosodi- N-Propylamine (621-64-7)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene (120-82-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION - H	PESTICIDES														
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)			Х												
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)			Х												
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)			Х												
4P. γ-BHC (58-89-9)			X												
5Р. δ-ВНС (319-86-8)			Х												
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)			Х												

TABLEC													rage 57	01 28	0
Page 8 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO.	002 (E.W	V. Brown – Ur	nits 1-2	Cooling Towe	r Blowd	own & Misc.)						Imbe	r
	2.	MARK "X	?"			3. EI	FFLUENI				4. UNIT	s	5. I (0	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum Avg. Value (if a	30-Day vailable)	c. Long-Tern Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Tern Value	n Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDES	S continued	-	-		2		-		-	2			-	
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50-29-3)			X												
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)			X												
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72-54-8)			Х												
10P. Dieldrin (60-57-1)			Х												
11P. α-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			X												
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			X												
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031-07-8)			Х												
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)			Х												
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421-93-4)			х												
16P Heptachlor (76-44-8)			X												
17P. Heptaclor Epoxide (1024-57-3)			Х												
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)			Х												
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)			Х												
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)			Х												
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)			Х												
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)			X												
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)			X												
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)			X												
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)			Х												

V. INTAKE A	ND EFFLUEN	T CHARAC	TERISTICS (Co	ontinued from	m Section V. IN	TAKE AN	D EFFLU	ENT CHARAC	FERIST	I age	Jo of 20 Imb	er
PART A.	PLEASE PRIN See instructions Complete one s You must provi	T OR TYPE s before proce et of tables fo de the results	IN THE UNSHA eeding. or each outfall. Pl s of at least one a	DED AREA ace the outfa nalysis for ev	S ONLY OF TA Il number in the very pollutant in t	BLE A. space provi his table.	ided on eacl	h table.				
TABLE APage 1 of 1	OUTFALL NO	D. 003 (E.W	'. Brown – Unit 3	Cooling Toy	wer Blowdown &	Misc.)						
			2. E	FFLUENT				3. UNIT (specify if bl	S ank)	4. IN (opt	TAKE tional)	
1. POLLUTANT	a. Maximum D	aily Value	b. Maximum 3 Value (if av	0-Day Avg. ailable)	c. Long-Term A (if availa)	vg. Value ble)	d.	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b.
	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	No. of Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	No of Analyses
1. Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) ₅	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
2. Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
3. Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	6.07				6.07		1	mg/L				
4. Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
5. Ammonia (as N)	BDL				BDL		1	mg/L				
6. Flow (MGD)	0.432	2	VALU	Е	0.432		1	MGD		VALUE		
7. Temperature (winter)	13.2		VALU	Е	13.2		1	°C		VALUE		
8. Temperature (summer)	VALU	Έ	VALU	Е	VALUI	Ξ		°C		VALUE		
9. pH	MINIMUM 7.51	MAXIMUM	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM			1	STANDARD U	JNITS			

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 59 of 286

V. INTAKE	AND EFF	LUENT	CHARACTERI	STICS	(Continued)							r age 5	9 01 20 T	90
						VOET							Imb	er
PART B.	In column Believed	n "2. MAR Absent co ark the Beli	K TYPE IN THE Core proceeding. tables for each ou K X", place an "X lumn (2.b) for eac eved Present colu	tfall. Plac (" in eithe h pollutation for a	the outfall number the Believed Pr nt you believe to b ny pollutant, you i	ber in the esent col be absent. must prov	SPACE B. space provided of umn (2.a) for each vide the results of a	n each tabl 1 pollutant at least on	le. you know of e analysis for	r have reason to b	elieve is	present; or place a	an "X" in	ı the
	Complete	e one table	for each outfall. Se	ee the ins	tructions for addit	ional det	ails and requireme	nts.	,	1				
TABLE BPage 1 of 2	OUTFA	LL NO. (003 (E.W. Brow	vn – Uni	it 3 Cooling Tow	ver Blow	down & Misc.)							
1	2. MA	RK "X"			3. E	FFLUEN	Г			4. UNITS	S	5. IN	NTAKE tional)	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Believed	b. Believed	a. Maximum Dail	y Value	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day ailable)	c. Long-Term Av (if availab)	vg. Value le)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
1. Bromide (24959-67-9)	Х		BDL	ć			2	2	1	mg/L		-		
2. Chloride	х		7.7						1	mg/L				
3. Chlorine, Total Residual	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
4. Color	Х		20						1	ADMI				
5. E.coli	Х		6.3						1	MPN/100 ml				
6. Fluoride (16984-48-8)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
7. Hardness (CaCO ₃)	Х		190						1	mg/L				
8.Nitrate–Nitrite (as N)	Х		1.5						1	mg/L				
9. Nitrogen, Total Organic (as N)	Х		0.76						1	mg/L				
10. Oil and Grease	х		BDL						1	mg/L				
11. Phosphorous (as P), Total (7723-14-0)	х		0.16						1	mg/L				
12. Radioactivity	1	1	I	1	l	1			1	I		l		1
(1) Alpha, Total	Х		BDL						1	pCi/L				
(2) Beta, Total	X		1.64						1	pCi/L				
(3) Radium, Total	Х		1.433						1	pCi/L				

TABLE BPage 2 of 2	OUTFA	LL NO00	03 (E.W. Brow	n – Unit	3 Cooling Tow	er Blow	down & Misc.)					rageo	Imbe	er
1.	2. MAI	RK "X"			3. El	FFLUEN	ſ			4. UNITS	5	5. IN	NTAKE tional)	-
POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Believed	b. Believed	a. Maximum l Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	0-Day ailable)	c. Long-Term Av (if availabl	rg. Value e)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
(4) Radium, 226, Total	Х		0.880						1	pCi/L				
(5) Strontium-90, Total	Х		0.735						1	pCi/L				
(6) Uranium	Х		0.453						1	ug/L				
13. Sulfate (asSO ₄) (14808-79-8)	x		27						1	mg/L				
14. Sulfide (as S)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
15. Sulfite (asSO4) (14286-46-3)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
16. Surfactants	Х		BDL						1	mg/L MBAS				
17.Aluminum,Total (7429-90)	Х		48						1	ug/L				
18. Barium, Total (7440-39-3)	Х		31						1	ug/L				
19. Boron, Total (7440-42-8)	X		0.17						1	mg/L				
20. Cobalt, Total (7440-48-4)	X		BDL						1	ug/L				
21. Iron, Total (7439-89-6)	Х		66						1	ug/L				
22. Magnesium, Total (7439-96-4)	Х		9.3						1	mg/L				
23. Molybdenum, Total (7439-98-7)	х		7.6						1	ug/L				
24. Manganese, Total (7439-96-6)	х		14						1	ug/L				
25. Tin, Total (7440-31-5)	Х		BDL						1	mg/L				
26. Titanium, Total (7440-32-6)	X		BDL						1	mg/L				

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 61 of 286

V. INTAKE ANI) EFFLUI	ENT CHA	ARACTE	CRISTICS (Co	ontinue	d)							I age 01	Imbe	r
PART C.	 PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE IN THE UNSHADED AREAS ONLY OF TABLE C. See instructions before proceeding. Complete one set of tables for each outfall. Place the outfall number in the space provided on each table. If you are a primary industry and this outfall contains process wastewater, refer to the instructions (Table C-2) to determine which of the GC/MS fraction you must test for. Mark "X" in the Testing Required column for all such GC/MS fractions that apply to your industry and for ALL toxic metals, cyanides, and total pheno If you are not required to mark this column (secondary industries, nonprocess wastewater outfalls, and non-required GC/MS fractions), mark "X" in the Believed Present column for each pollutant you believe to be absent. Mark "X: in the Believed Absent column for each pollutant you believe to be absent. If you mark either the Testing Required or Believed Present columns for any pollutant, you must provide the result of at least one analysis for that pollutant. Note that there are eight pages to this part; please review each carefully. Complete one table (all eight pages) for each outfall. See the instructions for additional details and requirements OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) 											ctions henols. the			
TABLE C Page 1 of 8	OUTFA	UTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.)													
	2.	MARK "X	; "	3. EFFLUENT 4. UNITS 5. INTAKE (optional)											
POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing Required	b. Believed Present	c. Believed Absent	a. Maximum Value (1) Concentration	Daily (2) Mass	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av (1) Concentration	0-Day vailable) (2) Mass	c. Long-Term Value (if availab) (1) Concentration	le) (2) Mass	d. No. of Analyses	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value (1) Concentration	Avg. (2) Mass	b. No of Analyses
METALS, CYANIDE A	AND TOTAL	PHENOLS	-				2					•			
1M. Antimony, Total (7440-36-0)	X			1.2						1	ug/L				
(7440-38-2)	X			1.1						1	ug/L				
3M. Beryllium, Total (7440-41-7)	X			BDL						1	ug/L				
4M. Cadmium, Total (7440-43-9)	X			BDL						1	ug/L				
Total (7440-43-9)	X			0.32						1	ug/L				
6M. Copper, Total (7550-50-8)	X			4.0 1 ug/L											
			BDL 1 ug/L												
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1)	X			BDL						1	ug/L				
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6)	X X			BDL BDL						1	ug/L ng/L				
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0)	X X X			BDL BDL 1.4						1 1 1	ug/L ng/L ug/L				
7M. Lead, Total (7439-92-1) 8M. Mercury, Total (7439-97-6) 9M. Nickel, Total (7440-02-0) 10M. Selenium, Total (7782-49-2)	x x x x x			BDL BDL 1.4 BDL						1 1 1 1	ug/L ng/L ug/L ug/L				

KPDES Form C, DEP 7032C

TABLE C	OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) Imber														
rage 2 of 8	2.	MARK "X	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			- 3. EF	FLUENI			4. UNITS	S	5. 11	NTAKE		
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	ı Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Iviass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
METALS, CYANIDE A	ND TOTAL	PHENOLS	continued	-		2		-		-	-	•	-		
12M Thallium, Total (7440-28-0)	x			BDL						1	ug/L				
13M. Zinc, Total (7440-66-6)	x			2.7						1	ug/L				
14M. Cyanide, Total (57-12-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
15M. Phenols, Total	x			0.013						1	mg/L				
DIOXIN															
2,3,7,8 Tetra- chlorodibenzo-P- Dioxin (1784-01-6)			Х	DESCRIBE RE	SULTS:										
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE (COMPOUN	DS				-		-				-		-
1V. Acrolein (107-02-8)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
2V. Acrylonitrile (107-13-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
3V. Benzene (71-43-2)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
4V. Bis (Chloromethyl) Ether (542-88-1)	x			BDL*						1	mg/L				
5V. Bromoform (75-25-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
6V. Carbon Tetrachloride (56-23-5)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
7V. Chlorobenzene (108-90-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
8V. Chlorodibromomethane (124-48-1)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
9V. Chloroethane (74-00-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
10V. 2- Chloroethylvinyl Ether (110-75-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
11V. Chloroform (67-66-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C Page 3 of 8	Page 63 of 286 OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) Imber 2 MARK "Y?" 3 EFEL LIENT 4 LINUTS 5. INTAKE														
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI]			4. UNIT	s	5. II	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab)	Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE (COMPOUN	DS continue	d	-	2	-				-	-		-	
12V. Dichloro- bromomethane (75-71-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
13V. Dichloro- difluoromethane (75-71-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
14V. 1,1- Dichloroethane (75-34-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
15V. 1,2- Dichloroethane (107-06-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
16V. 1,1- Dichlorethylene (75-35-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
17V. 1,2- Dichloropropane (78-87-5)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
18V. 1,3- Dichloropropylene (452-75-6)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19V. Ethylbenzene (100-41-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
20V. Methyl Bromide (74-83-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
21V. Methyl Chloride (74-87-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
22V. Methylene Chloride (75-00-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
23V. 1,1,2,2- Tetrachloroethane (79-34-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
24V. Tetra- chloroethylene (127-18-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25V. Toluene (108-88-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
26V. 1,2-Trans- Dichloroethylene (156-60-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
27V. 1,1,1- Trichloroethane (71-55-6)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C													r age 04	01 20	0
Page 4 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (003 (E.W	V. Brown – Ur				Imbe	r						
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	s	5. II (or	NTAKE ptional)	
I. POLLUTANT and CAS NO.	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	30-Day vailable)	c. Long-Tern Value (if availab	n Avg. de)	d. No. of	a.	b.	a. Long-Term Value	ı Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	VOLATILE	COMPOUN	DS continue	ed	5	¢.		·			<u>.</u>	-			
28V. 1,1,2- Trichloroethane	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
(79-00-5)															
29V. Tri- chloroethylene (79-01-6)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
30V. Trichloro- fluoromethane (75-69-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
31V. Vinyl Chloride (75-01-4)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION -	ACID COMF	OUNDS													
1A. 2-Chlorophenol (95-57-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
2A. 2,4- Dichlorophenol (120-83-2)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
3A. 2,4- Dimethylphenol (105-67-9)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
4A. 4,6-Dinitro- O-Cresol (534-52-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
5A. 2,4-Dinitrophenol (51-28-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
6A. 2-Nitrophenol (88-75-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
7A. 4-Nitrophenol (100-02-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
8A. P-Chloro-M- Cresol (59-50-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
9A. Pentachloro- phenol (87-88-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
10A. Phenol (108-05-2)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
11A. 2,4,6-Trichloro- phenol (88-06-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

TABLE C Page 5 of 8	OUTFA	LL NO. (003 (E.W	V. Brown – Un	it 3 Coo	oling Tower Bl	lowdow	n & Misc.)					rage 65	Imbe	r
0	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNITS	5	5. II	NTAKE otional)	-
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a. Concentration	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	BASE/NEUT	RAL COME	POUNDS		-	-	-	-			-	-		2	
1B. Acenaphthene (83-32-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
2B. Acenaphtylene (208-96-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
3B. Anthracene (120-12-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
4B. Benzidine (92-87-5)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
5B . Benzo (a) Anthracene (56-55-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
6B. Benzo (a) Pyrene (50-32-8)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
7B. 3,4-Benzo- fluoranthene (205-99-2)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
8BBenzo (ghi) perylene (191-24-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
9BBenzo (k)- fluoranthene (207-08-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
10B. Bis (2-chloroethoxy) Methane (111-91-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
11B. Bis (2- chloroethel) Ether (111-44-4)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
12B. Bis (2-chloroisopropyl)- Ether (102-80-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
13B. Bis (2-ethyl- hexyl) Phthalate (117-81-7)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
14B. 4-Bromophenyl Phenyl Ether (101-55-3)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
15B. Butyl Benzyl Phthalate (85-68-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
16B. 2-Chloro- Naphthalene (7005-72-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
17B. 4-Chlorophenyl Phenyl Ether (7005-72-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
KPDES Form C, DE	EP 7032C							37					Revi	sed 3/2	018

TABLE C Page 6 of 8	Page 66 01 280 OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) Imber A MARK (SP) 5. INTAKE														
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENT				4. UNIT	s	5. II (or	NTAKE	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(if available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIdss	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	BASE/NEUT	RAL COMF	OUNDS con	ntinued		-		-			-	-	-		
18B. Chrysene (218-01-9)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
19B. Dibenzo (a,h) Anthracene (53-70-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
20B. 1,2-Dichloro- benzene (95-50-1)	x			BDL						1	mg/L				
21B. 1,3-Dichloro- Benzene (541-73-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
22B. 1,4-Dichloro- benzene (106-46-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
23B. 3,3-Dichloro- benzidene (91-94-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
24B. Diethyl Phthalate (84-66-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
25B. Dimethyl Phthalate (131-11-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
26B. Di-N-Butyl Phthalate (84-74-2)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
27B. 2,4-Dinitro- toluene (121-14-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
28B. 2,6-Dinitro- toluene (606-20-2)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
29B. Di-N-Octyl Phthalate (117-84-0)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
30B. 1,2-Diphenyl- hydrazine (as Azo- benzene) (122-66-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
31B. Fluoranthene (208-44-0)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
32B. Fluorene (86-73-7)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
33B. Hexachloro- benzene (118-71-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
34B. Hexachloro- butadiene (87-68-3)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
35B. Hexachloro- cyclopentadiene (77-47-4)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				

TABLE C	OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) Imber														
Page 7 of 8			. (~	5.1	NTAKE	
1.	2.	MARK "X				3. EF	FLUENI				4. UNIT	8	(or	otional)	
POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if av	80-Day vailable)	c. Long-Tern Value (if availab	l Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Mass	a. Long-Term Value	Avg.	b. No of
(ii available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	Iviass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION - I	- BASE/NEUTI	- RAL COMF	POUNDS con	- ntinued	2	-	-		-		2	-	•	-	
36B. Hexachloro- ethane (67-72-1)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
37B. Indeno (1,2,3-cd) Pyrene (193-39-5)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
38B. Isophorone (78-59-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
39B. Napthalene (91-20-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
40B. Nitrobenzene (98-95-3)	X			BDL						1	mg/L				
41B. N-Nitro- sodimethylamine (62-75-9)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
42B. N-Nitrosodi- N-Propylamine (621-64-7)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
43B. N-Nitro- sodiphenylamine (86-30-6)	х			BDL						1	mg/L				
44B. Phenanthrene (85-01-8)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
45B. Pyrene (129-00-0)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
46B. 1,2,4-Trichloro- benzene (120-82-1)	Х			BDL						1	mg/L				
GC/MS FRACTION - F	PESTICIDES														
1P. Aldrin (309-00-2)			Х												
2P. α-BHC (319-84-6)			Х												
3P. β-BHC (319-85-7)			Х												
4Р. ү-ВНС (58-89-9)			X												
5Р. δ-ВНС (319-86-8)			X												
6P. Chlordane (57-74-9)															

TABLE C													rage 68	01 28	0
Page 8 of 8	OUTFALL NO. 003 (E.W. Brown – Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc.) Imber 2. MARK "X" 3. EFFLUENT 4. UNITS														
	2.	MARK "X	"			3. EI	FLUENI	2			4. UNIT	s	5. II (or	NTAKE otional)	
1. POLLUTANT and CAS NO. (if available)	a. Testing	b. Believed	c. Believed	a. Maximum Value	Daily	b. Maximum 3 Avg. Value (if a	30-Day vailable)	c. Long-Term Value (if availab	n Avg. le)	d. No. of	a.	b. Maga	a. Long-Term Value	ı Avg.	b. No of
(II available)	Required	Present	Absent	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses	Concentration	WIASS	(1) Concentration	(2) Mass	Analyses
GC/MS FRACTION -	PESTICIDES	continued	-			-		-			2				
7P. 4,4'-DDT (50-29-3)			Х												
8P. 4,4'-DDE (72-55-9)			Х												
9P. 4,4'-DDD (72-54-8)			X												
10P. Dieldrin (60-57-1)			Х												
11P. α-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			Х												
12P. β-Endosulfan (115-29-7)			Х												
13P. Endosulfan Sulfate (1031-07-8)			Х												
14P. Endrin (72-20-8)			X												
15P. Endrin Aldehyde (7421-93-4)			Х												
16P Heptachlor (76-44-8)			X												
17P. Heptaclor Epoxide (1024-57-3)			Х												
18P. PCB-1242 (53469-21-9)			Х												
19P. PCB-1254 (11097-69-1)			Х												
20P. PCB-1221 (11104-28-2)			Х												
21P. PCB-1232 (11141-16-5)			Х												
22P. PCB-1248 (12672-29-6)			Х												
23P. PCB-1260 (11096-82-5)			Х												
24P. PCB-1016 (12674-11-2)			X												
25P. Toxaphene (8001-35-2)			Х												

KPDES FORM C – INSTRUCTIONS

Listed below are explanations of select Form C questions. If further information is needed concerning any questions, please contact the Division of Water, at (502) 564-3410.

Section I: Outfall Location

Use the map you provided for Item II of Form 1 to determine the latitude and longitude of each of your outfalls and the name of the receiving water.

Section II: Flows, Sources of Pollution, and Treatment Technologies

- A. The line drawing should show generally the route taken by water in your facility from intake to discharge. Show all operations contributing wastewater, including process and production areas, sanitary flows, cooling water, and storm water runoff. Group similar operations into a single unit and label to correspond to the more detailed listing in Item II.B. The water balance should show average flows. Show all significant losses of water to products, atmosphere, and discharge. Use actual measurements whenever available. Otherwise, use your best estimate.
- B. List all sources of wastewater to each outfall. Operations may be described in general terms (for example, "dye-making reactor" or "distillation tower"). Estimate the flow contributed by each source if no data are available. For storm water, use any reasonable measure of duration, volume, or frequency. For each treatment unit, indicate its size, flow rate, and retention time; and describe the ultimate disposal of any solid or liquid wastes not discharged. Treatment units should be listed in order. Select the proper code from Table C-1 to fill in the treatment code for each treatment unit. Insert "XX" for the treatment code if no code corresponds to a treatment unit you have listed.

If the permit application is for a privately-owned treatment works, you must also identify all of your contributors in an attached listing.

C. A discharge is intermittent unless it occurs without interruption during the operating hours of the facility, except for shutdowns for maintenance, process changes, or other similar activities. A discharge is seasonal if it occurs during certain parts of the year. Fill in every applicable column in this item for each source of intermittent or seasonal discharge. Base your answers on actual data whenever available, otherwise, provide your best estimate. Report the highest daily for flow rate and total volume in the "Maximum Daily" columns. Report the average of all daily values measured during days when discharge occurred within the last year in the "Long Term Average" columns.

Section III: Production

- A. All effluent guidelines promulgated by EPA appear in the Federal Register and are published annually in 40 CFR Subchapter N. A guideline applies to you if you have any operations contributing process wastewater in any subcategory covered by a BPT, BCT, or BAT guideline. If you are unsure whether you are covered by a promulgated effluent guideline, check with the Department for Environmental Protection, Division of Water. You must check "yes" if an applicable effluent guideline has been promulgated, even if the guideline limitations are being contested in court. If you believe that promulgated effluent guideline has been remanded for reconsideration by a court and does not apply to your operation, you may check "no."
- B. An effluent guideline is expressed in terms of production (or other measure of operation) if the limitation is expressed as mass of pollutant per operational parameter, for example, "pounds of BOD per cubic foot of logs from which bark is removed," or "pounds of TSS per megawatt hour of electrical energy consumed by smelting furnace." An example of a guideline not expressed in terms of a measure of operation is one that limits the concentration of pollutants.
- C. This item must be completed only if you check "yes" to Item III.B. The production information requested here is necessary to apply effluent guidelines to your facility and you may not claim it as confidential. However, you do not have to indicate how the reported information was calculated.

Report quantities in the units of measurements used in the applicable effluent guidelines. The figures provided must be a measure of actual operation over a one month period, such as the production for the highest month during the last twelve months, or the monthly average production for the highest year of the last five years, or other reasonable measure of actual operation. But these figures may not be based on design capacity or on predictions of future increases in operation.

If you have two or more substantially identical outfalls, request permission from the Division of Water to sample and analyze only one outfall and submit the results of the analysis for other substantially identical outfalls. If your request is granted, identify on a separate sheet attached to the application form the outfall tested, and describe why the outfalls not tested are substantially identical to the tested outfall.

Section IV: Improvements

A. If you check "yes" to this question, complete all parts of the chart or attach a copy of any previous submission you have made to the Department for Environmental Protection containing the same information.

Section V: Intake and Effluent Characteristics

This item requires you to collect and report data on the pollutants discharged for each of your outfalls. Each part of this item addresses a different set of pollutants and must be completed in accordance with the specific instructions for that part. The following general instructions apply to the entire item.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

In the "Mark X" columns of Parts B and C mark only one box per pollutant. Part D requires you to list any of a group of pollutants which you believe to be present, with a brief explanation of why you believe it to be present. See specific instruction on the form and below for Parts A through D.

Base your determination that a pollutant is present in or absent from your discharge on your knowledge of your raw materials, maintenance chemicals, intermediate and final products and byproducts, and any previous analyses known to you of your effluent or of any similar effluent. (For example, if you manufacture pesticides, you should expect those pesticides to be present in contaminated storm water runoff.) If you would expect a pollutant to be present solely as a result of its presence in your intake water, you must mark "Believed Present" but "X" in that "Intake" column.

REPORTING

All levels must be reported as concentration and as total mass. Use the following abbreviations in the columns headed "Units" (column 3, Part A, and column 4, Parts B and C).

CONC	CENTRATIONS	MASS	
ppm	parts per million	lbs.	Pounds
mg/l	milligrams per liter	ton	Tons (english tons)
ppb	parts per billion	mg	Milligrams
μg/l	micrograms per liter	g	Grams
		kg	Kilograms
		Т	Tonnes (metric tons)
		MGD	Million Gallons Per Day

If you measure only one daily value, complete only the "Maximum Daily Values" columns and insert "1" into the "Number of Analyses" columns (columns 2-a and 2-d, Part A, and columns 3-a and 3-d, Parts B and C).

For composite samples, the daily value is the total mass or average concentration found in a composite sample taken over the operating hours of the facility during a 24-hour period. For grab samples, the daily value is the arithmetic

or flow-weighted total mass or average concentration found in a series of at least four grab samples taken over the operating hours of the facility during a 24-hour period.

If you measure more than one daily value for a pollutant, determine the average of all values within the last year and report the concentration and mass under the "Long-Term Average Values" columns (column 2-c, Part A, and column 3-c, Parts B and C). Also report the total number of daily values under the "Number of Analyses" columns (column 2-d, Part A, and column 3-d, Parts B and C). Determine the average of all daily values taken during each calendar month, and report the highest average under the "Maximum 30-Day Values" columns (2-b, Part A, and column 3-b, Parts B and C).

SAMPLING

The collection of the samples for the reported analyses should be supervised by a person experienced in performing sampling of industrial wastewater. You may contact the Department for Environmental Protection or appropriate regional office for detailed guidance on sampling techniques and for answers to specific questions. Any specific requirements contained in the applicable analytical methods should be followed for sample containers, sample preservation, holding times, the collection of duplicate samples, etc. The time when you sample should be representative of your normal operation, to the extent feasible, with all processes which contribute wastewater in normal operation, and with your treatment system operating properly with no system upsets.

ANALYSIS

Use test methods promulgated in 40 CFR Part 136; however, if none have been promulgated for a particular pollutant, use any suitable methods for measuring the level of the pollutant in your discharge provided that you submit a description of the methods or a reference to a published method. Your description should include the sample holding times, preservation techniques, and the quality control measures used.

REPORTING OF INTAKE DATA

You are not required to report data under the "Intake" columns unless you wish to demonstrate your eligibility for a "net" effluent limitation for one or more pollutants, that is, effluent limitations adjusted by subtracting the average level of the pollutant(s) present in your intake water. 401 KAR 5:065, Section 3(7), allows net limitations only in certain circumstances. To demonstrate your eligibility, report the average of the results of analysis on your intake water in the "Intake" columns (if your water is treated before use, test the water after it is treated), and attach a separate sheet containing the following for each pollutant:

- 1. A statement that the intake and discharge are from the same water body (Otherwise, you are not eligible for net limitations);
- 2. A statement of the extent to which the level of the pollutant is reduced by treatment of your wastewater (Your limitations will be adjusted only to the extent that the pollutant is not removed);
- 3. When applicable (for example, when the pollutant represents a class of compounds), a demonstration of the extent to which the pollutants in the intake vary physically, chemically, or biologically from the pollutants contained in your discharge. (Your limitations will be adjusted only to the extent that the intake pollutants do not vary from the discharged pollutants.)

SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS

A. This part must be completed by all applicants for all outfalls, including outfalls containing only noncontact cooling water or storm runoff. However, at your request, the Division of Water may waive the requirements to test for one or more of these pollutants upon a determination that testing for the pollutant(s) is not appropriate for your effluents.

Use grab samples for pH and temperature. Use composite samples for all pollutants in this part. See discussion in General Instructions to Item V for definitions of the columns in Part A. The "Long-Term

Average Values" column (column 2-c) and "Maximum 30-Day Values" column (column 2-b) are not compulsory but should be filled out if data are available.

B. This part must be completed by all applicants for all outfalls including those containing only noncontact cooling water or storm runoff.

Use composite samples for all pollutants you analyze in this part, except use grab samples for residual chlorine, oil and grease, fecal coliform, and E.coli. The "Long-Term Average Values" column (column 3-b) are not compulsory but should be filled out if data are available.

C. Table C-2 lists the 34 "primary" industry categories in the left-hand column. For each outfall, if any of your processes which contribute wastewater falls into one of those categories, you must mark "X" in "Testing Required" column (column 2-a) and test for: (A) all of the toxic metals, cyanide, and total phenols; and (B) the organic toxic pollutants contained in the gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) fractions indicated in Table C-2 as applicable to your category, unless you qualify as a small business (see below). The organic toxic pollutants are listed by GC/MS fractions on pages V-4 through V-10 in Part V-C. For example, the Organic Chemical industry has an "X" in all four fractions; therefore, applicants in this category must test for all organic toxic pollutants in Part V-C. If you are applying for a permit for a privately owned treatment works, determine your testing contributors. The industry category you use for testing requirements is not used to categorize you for any other purpose.

For all other cases (secondary industries, non-process wastewater outfalls, and non-required GC/MS fractions), you must mark "X" in either the "Believed Present" column (column 2-b) or the "Believed Absent" column (column 2-c) for each pollutant, and test for those you believe present (those marked "X" in column 2-b). If you qualify as a small business (see below) you are exempt from testing for the organic toxic pollutants listed on page V-4 through V-10 in Part C. For pollutants in intake water, see discussion in General Instructions to this item. The "Long-Term Average Values" column (column 3-c) and "Maximum 30-Day Values" column (column 3-b) are not compulsory but should be filled out if data are available.

Use grab samples for total phenols and cyanide. Use composite samples for all other pollutants in this part.

Mark "Testing Required" for dioxin if you use or manufacture one of the following compounds:

- A. 2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy acetic acid (2,4,5-T);
- B. 2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) propanoic acid (Silvex, 2,4,5,-TP);
- C. 2-(2,4,5-trichlorophenoxy) ethyl 2,2-dichloropropionate (Erbon);
- D. 0, 0-dimethyl 0-(2,4,5-trichlorophenyl) phosphorothioate (Ronnel);
- E. 2,4,5-trichlorophenol (TCP); or
- F. Hexachlorophene (HCP)

If you mark "Testing Required" or "Believed Present" you must perform a screening analysis for dioxins, using gas chromotography with an electron capture detector. A TCDD standard for quantification is not required. Describe the results of this analysis in the space provided, for example, "no measurable baseline deflection at the retention time of TCDD" or "a measurable peak within the tolerances of the retention time of TCDD." You may be required to perform a quantitative analysis if you report a positive result.

The Engineering and Analysis Division of EPA has collected and analyzed samples from some facilities for the pollutants listed in Part C in the course of its BAT guidelines development program. If your effluents were sampled and analyzed as part of this program in the last three years, you may use this data to answer Part C. This may be done provided that no process change or change in raw materials, process or operating practices has occurred since the samples were taken which would make the analyses unrepresentative of your current discharge.

Small Business Exemption
Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 73 of 286 Imber

If you qualify as a "small business," under 401 KAR 5:060, Section 2(8) you are exempt from the reporting requirements for the organic toxic pollutants listed on pages 9 through 18 in Part C. If your facility is a coal mine with a probable total annual production of less than 100,000 tons, you may submit past production data or estimated future production (such as a schedule of estimated total production under 30 CFR Section 795.14(c)) instead of conducting analyses for the organic toxic pollutants. If your facility is not a coal mine, and if your gross total annual sales for the most recent three years average less than \$100,000 per year (in second quarter 1980 dollars), you may submit sales data for those years instead of conducting analyses for the organic toxic pollutants.

The production or sales data must be for the facility that is the source of the discharge. The data should not be limited to production or sales for the process or processes that contribute to the discharge, unless those are the only processes of your facility. For sales data, in situations involving intra-corporate transfers of goods and services, the transfer price per unit should approximate market prices for those goods and services as closely as possible. Sales figures for years after 1980 should be indexed to the second quarter of 1980 by using the gross national product prices deflator (second quarter of 1980 = 100). This index is available in "National Income and Product Accounts of the United States" (U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis).

D. List any pollutants in Table C-3 that you believe to be present and explain why you believe them to be present. No analysis is required, but if you have analytical data, you must report it also.

NOTE: Under 40 CFR 117.12(a)(2), certain discharges of hazardous substances (listed in Table C-3 of these instructions) may be exempted from the requirements of Section 311 of the Clean Water Act (33 USC Section 1321), which establishes reporting requirements, civil penalties, and liability for cleanup costs for spills of oil and hazardous substances. A discharge of a particular substance may be exempted if the origin, source, and amount of the discharged substance are identified in the KPDES permit application or in the permit, if the permit contains a requirement for treatment of the discharge, and if the treatment is in place. To apply for an exclusion of the discharge of any hazardous substance from the requirement of Section 311, attach additional sheets of paper to your form, setting forth the following information:

- A. the substance and the amount of each substance which may be discharged;
- B. the origin and source of the discharge of the substance;
- C. the treatment which is provided or to be provided for the discharge by:
 - 1. an on-site treatment system separate from any treatment system treating your normal discharge;
 - 2. a treatment system designed to treat your normal discharge and which is additionally capable of treating the amount of the substance identified under paragraph 1 above; or
 - 3. any combination of the above.

See 40 CFR Section 117.12(a)(2) and (c), published on August 29, 1979, or contact the Division of Water for further information on exclusions from Section 311.

Section VI. Potential Discharges Not covered by Analysis

- A. You may not claim this information as confidential. However, you do not have to distinguish between use of production of the pollutants or list the amounts. Under KPDES regulations, your permit will contain limits to control all pollutants you report in answer to this question, as well as pollutants reported in Item V and VI.B at levels exceeding the technology-based limits appropriate to your facility. Your permit will also require you to report to the Department for Environmental Protection if you begin or expect to begin to use or manufacture any toxic pollutant as an immediate or final product or byproduct which you did not report here. Your permit may be modified at that time if necessary to control that pollutant.
- B. Consider only those variations which may result in the concentrations of pollutants in effluents which exceed twice the maximum values you reported in Item V. These variations may be part of your routing operations, or part of your regular cleaning cycles.

Under KPDES regulations, your permit will contain limits to control any pollutant that you report in this item at levels exceeding the technology-based limits appropriate to your facility. Your permit will also

require you to report to the Department for Environmental Protection if you know or have reason to believe that any toxic pollutant two times the maximum values reported in Item V-C or in this item. Your permit may be modified at that time if necessary to control the pollutant.

Do not consider variations that are the result of bypasses or upsets. Increased levels of pollutants that are discharged as a result of bypasses or upsets are regulated separately under KPDES regulations.

C. Variation exemptions to be described here include:

Changes in raw or intermediate materials Changes in process equipment or materials; Changes in product lines; Significant chemical reactions among pollutants in waste streams; and Significant variation in removal efficiencies of pollution control equipment.

You may indicate other types of variations as well, except those that are the result of bypasses or upsets. You may be required to further investigate or document variations you report here.

Base your prediction on expected levels of these pollutants upon your knowledge of your processes, raw materials, past and projected product ranges, etc., or upon any testing of your effluent which indicates the range of variability that can be expected over the next five years.

EXAMPLE: Outfall 001 discharges water used to clean six 500-gallon tanks. These tanks are used for formulation of dispersions of synthetic resins in water (adhesives). Use of toxic pollutants which can be expected in the next 5 years is:

- 1. copper acetate inhibitor, 1/2 lb. per tank;
- 2. dibutyl phthalate, 50 lbs. per tank;
- 3. toluene, 5 lbs. per tank; and
- 4. antimony oxide, 1 lb. per tank.

Based on normal cleaning, an average of 1% and a maximum of 3% of the contents of each tank is collected and discharged once every two weeks in the 150 gallons of water used for cleaning. Treatment (pH adjustment, flocculation, filtration) removes 85% of metals and 50% of organic compounds.

Section IX: Certification

The permit application must be signed as follows:

- **Corporation:** by a principal executive officer of at least the level of vice president.
- **Partnership or sole proprietorship:** by a general partner or the proprietor respectively.
- Municipality, state, federal, or other public agency: by either a principal executive officer or ranking elected official.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) TABLE C-1 Page 75 of 286 CODES FOR TREATMENT UNITS (For use with Form C, Item II, Part B)

PHYSICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES

1-A	Ammonia Stripping	1-M	Grit Removal
1-B	Dialysis	1-N	Microstraining
1-C	Diatomaceous Earth Filtration	1-0	Mixing
1-D	Distillation	1-P	Moving Bed Filters
1-Е	Electrodialysis	1-Q	Multimedia Filtration
1-F	Evaporation	1-R	Rapid Sand Filtration
1-G	Flocculation	1-S	Reverse Osmosis (Hyperfiltration)
1-H	Flotation	1-T	Screening
1-I	Foam Fractionation	1-U	Sedimentation (Settling)
1-J	Freezing	1-V	Slow Sand Filtration
1-K	Gas-Phase Separation	1-W	Solvent Extraction
1-L	Grinding (Comminutors)	1-X	Sorption

CHEMICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES

2-A	Carbon Adsorption	2-G	Disinfection (Ozone)
2-B	Chemical Oxidation	2-H	Disinfection (Other)
2-C	Chemical Precipitation	2-I	Electrochemical Treatment
2-D	Coagulation	2-J	Ion Exchange
2-Е	Dechlorination	2-K	Neutralization
2-F	Disinfection (Chlorine)	2-L	Reduction

BIOLOGICAL TREATMENT PROCESSES

3-A	Activated Sludge	3-Е	Pre-Aeration
3-В	Aerated Lagoons	3-F	Spray Irrigation/Land Application
3-С	Anaerobic Treatment	3-G	Stabilization Ponds
3-D	Nitrification-Denitrification	3-Н	Trickling Filtration

OTHER PROCESSES

4-A	Discharge to Surface Water	4-C	Reuse/Recycle of Treated Effluent
4-B	Ocean Discharge Through Outfall	4-D	Underground Injection

SLUDGE TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL PROCESSES

5-A	Aerobic Digestion	5-M	Heat Drying
5-B	Anaerobic Digestion	5-N	Heat Treatment
5-C	Belt Filtration	5-O	Incineration
5-D	Centrifugation	5-P	Land Application
5-Е	Chemical Conditioning	5-Q	Landfill
5-F	Chlorine Treatment	5-R	Pressure Filtration
5-G	Composting	5-S	Pyrolysis
5-H	Drying Beds	5-Т	Sludge Lagoons
5-I	Elutriation	5-U	Vacuum Filtration
5-J	Flotation Thickening	5-V	Vibration
5-K	Freezing	5-W	Wet Oxidation
5-L	Gravity Thickening		

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) TABLE C-2 Page 76 of 286 TESTING REQUIREMENTS FOR ORGANIC TOXIC POLLUTANTS BY INDUSTRY CATEGORY* (For use with Form C, Item V, Part C)

GC/MS FRACTION¹

INDUSTRY CATEGORY	Volatile	Acid	Base/Neutral P	esticide
	_	-		
Adnesives and seatants	. X	X	X	-
Autominum forming	. X	X	X	-
Auto and other raundries	. X	х	X	Х
Battery manufacturing	. X *	-	X *	*
		-	-	-
Concealing	. X	X	X	-
	. X	Х	Х	-
Electric and electronic compounds	. X	х	х	Х
Electroplating	. X	Х	х	-
Explosives manufacturing		х	Х	-
Foundries	. X	Х	х	-
Gum and wood chemicals	. X	Х	х	-
Inorganic chemicals manufacturing	. X	Х	х	-
Iron and steel manufacturing	. X	Х	х	-
Leather tanning and finishing	. X	х	х	-*
Mechanical products manufacturing	. X	Х	х	-
Nonferrous metals manufacturing	. X	Х	х	х
Ore mining	. X	х	х	х
Organic chemicals manufacturing	. X	х	х	х
Paint and ink formulation	. X	х	х	-*
Pesticides	. X	х	х	х
Petroleum refining	. X	-	-	-
Pharmaceutical preparation	. X	х	х	-
hotographic equipment and supplies	. X	х	х	-*
Plastic and synthetic materials manufacturing	. x	х	х	х
Plastic processing	. x	-	-	-
Porcelain enameling	*	-*	-*	-*
Printing and publishing	. X	х	х	х
Pulp and paperboard mills	. X	х	х	х
Rubber Processing	. x	х	х	-
Soap and detergent manufacturing	. x	х	х	-
Steam electric power plants	. X	х	х	-
Textile mills	. x	х	х	х
Timber products processing	. x	х	х	х

*See note at conclusion of 40 CFR Part 122, Appendix D (1983) for explanation of effect of suspensions on testing requirements for primary industry categories. See Note 1 at 46 FR 2045, Jan. 8, 1981; Note 2 at 46 FR22585, Apr. 20, 1981; and Note 3 at FR 35090, July 1, 1981.

- ¹ The pollutants in each fraction are listed in item V-C.
- x = Testing required.
- = Testing not required.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

TOXIC POLLUTANTS AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES REQUIRED TO BE IDENTIFIED BY APPLICANTS IF EXPECTED TO BE PRESENT (For use with Form C, Item V, Part D)

•	use with rorm c, item v, ruit D
	TOVIC DOLLUTANT
	IUAIC PULLUIANI

			Asbestos		
			HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES	5	
1.	Acetaldehyde	35.	Ammonium thiocyanate	69.	Calcium chromate
2.	Acetic Acid	36.	Ammonium thiosulfate	70.	Calcium cyanide
3.	Acetic anhydride	37.	Amyl acetate	71.	Calcium dodecylbenzenesulfonate
4.	Acetone cyanohydrin	38.	Aniline	72.	Calcium hypochlorite
5.	Acetyl bromide	39.	Antimony pentachloride	73.	Captan
6.	Acetyl chloride	40.	Antimony potassium tartrate	74.	Carbaryl
7.	Acrolein	41.	Antimony tribromide	75.	Carbofuran
8.	Acrylonitrile	42.	Antimony trichloride	76.	Carbon disulfide
9.	Adipic acid	43.	Antimony trifluoride	77.	Carbon tetrachloride
10.	Aldrin	44.	Antimony trioxide	78.	Chlordane
11.	Allyl alcohol	45.	Arsenic disulfide	79.	Chlorine
12.	Allyl chloride	46.	Arsenic pentoxide	80.	Chlorobenzene
13.	Aluminum sulfate	47.	Arsenic trichloride	81.	Chloroform
14.	Ammonia	48.	Arsenic trioxide	82.	Chloropyrifos
15.	Ammonium acetate	49.	Arsenic trisulfide	83.	Chlorosulfonic acid
16.	Ammonium benzoate	50.	Barium cyanide	84.	Chromic acetate
17.	Ammonium bicarbonate	51.	Benzene	85.	Chromic acid
18.	Ammonium bichromate	52.	Benzoic acid	86.	Chromic sulfate
19.	Ammonium bifluoride	53.	Benzonitrile	87.	Chromous chloride
20.	Ammonium bisulfite	54.	Benzoyl chloride	88.	Cobaltous bromide
21.	Ammonium carbamate	55.	Benzyl chloride	89.	Cobaltous formate
22.	Ammonium carbonate	56.	Beryllium chloride	90.	Cobaltous sulfamate
23.	Ammonium chloride	57.	Beryllium fluoride	91.	Coumaphos
24.	Ammonium chromate	58.	Beryllium nitrate	92.	Cresol
25.	Ammonium citrate	59.	Butylacetate	93.	Crotonaldehyde
26.	Ammonium fluoroborate	60.	n-Butylphthalate	94.	Cupric acetate
27.	Ammonium fluoride	61.	Butylamine	95.	Cupric acetoarsenite
28.	Ammonium hydroxide	62.	Butyric acid	96.	Cupric chloride
29.	Ammonium oxalate	63.	Cadmium acetate	97.	Cupric nitrate
30.	Ammonium silicofluoride	64.	Cadmium bromide	98.	Cupric oxalate
31.	Ammonium sulfamate	65.	Cadmium chloride	99.	Cupric sulfate
32.	Ammonium sulfide	66.	Cadmium arsenate	100.	Cupric sulfate ammoniated
33.	Ammonium sulfite	67.	Calcium arsenite	101.	Cupric tartrate
34.	Ammonium tartrate	68.	Calcium carbide	102.	Cyanogen chloride

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

Page 78 of 286 HAZADDOUG SUBSTANCES (nti ed)

HAZARDOUS	SUBSTANCES	(continue
-----------	------------	-----------

		ΠΑ	ZARDOUS SUBSTANCES (com	unueu)	Imber
103.	Cyclohexane	134.	Ethylene dichloride	165.	Lead iodide
104.	2,4-D acid (2,4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid)	135.	Ethylene diaminetetracetic acid (EDTA)	166.	Lead nitrate
105.	2,4-D esters (2,4- Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid esters)	136.	Ferric ammonium citrate	167.	Lead stearate
106.	DDT	137.	Ferric ammonium oxalate	168.	Lead sulfate
107.	Diazinon	138.	Ferric chloride	169.	Lead sulfide
108.	Dicamba	139.	Ferric fluoride	170.	Lead thiocyanate
109.	Dichlobenil	140.	Ferric nitrate	171.	Lindane
110.	Dichlone	141.	Ferric sulfate	172.	Lithium chromate
111.	Dichlorobenzene	142.	Ferrous ammonium sulfate	173.	Malathion
112.	Dichloropropane	143.	Ferrous chloride	174.	Maleic acid
113.	Dichloropropene	144.	Ferrous sulfate	175.	Maleic anhydride
114.	Dichloropropene- dichloropropane mix	145.	Formaldehyde	176.	Mercaptodimethur
115.	2,2-Dichloropropionic acid	146.	Formic acid	177.	Mercuric cyanide
116.	Dichlorvos	147.	Fumaric acid	178.	Mercuric nitrate
117.	Dieldrin	148.	Furfural	179.	Mercuric sulfate
118.	Diethylamine	149.	Guthion	180.	Mercuric thiocyanate
119.	Dimethylamine	150.	Heptachlor	181.	Mercurous nitrate
120.	Dinitrobenzene	151.	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene	182.	Methoxychlor
121.	Dinitrophenol	152.	Hydrochloric acid	183.	Methyl mercaptan
122.	Dinitrotoluene	153.	Hydrofluoric acid	184.	Methyl methacrylate
123.	Diquat	154.	Hydrogen cyanide	185.	Methyl parathion
124.	Disulfoton	155.	Hydrogen sulfite	186.	Mevinphos
125.	Diuron	156.	Isoprene	187.	Mexacarbate
126.	Dodecylbenzesulfonic acid	157.	Isopropanolamine dodecylbenzenesulfonate	188.	Monoethylamine
127.	Endosulfan	158.	Kelthane	189.	Monomethylamine
128.	Endrin	159.	Kepone	190.	Naled
129.	Epichlorohydrin	160.	Lead acetate	191.	Naphthalene
130.	Ethion	161.	Lead arsenate	192.	Naphthenic acid
131.	Ethylbenzene	162.	Lead chloride	193.	Nickel ammonium sulfate
132.	Ethylenediamine	163.	Lead fluoborate	194.	Nickel chloride
133.	Ethylene dibromide	164.	Lead fluorite	195.	Nickel hydroxide

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 79 of 286

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES (continued)

		ΠΑΖ	LARDOUS SUBSTANCES (cont	inuea)	- Lash and
196.	Nickel nitrate	221.	Propargite	246.	Sodium phosphate (tribasic)
197.	Nickel sulfate	222.	Propionic acid	247.	Sodium selenite
198.	Nitric acid	223.	Propionic anhydride	248.	Strontium choromate
199.	Nitrobenzene	224.	Propylene oxide	249.	Strychnine
200.	Nitrogen dioxide	225.	Pyrethrins	250.	Styrene
201.	Nitrophenol	226.	Quinoline	251.	Sulfuric acid
202.	Nitrotoluene	227.	Resorcinol	252.	Sulfur monochloride
203.	Paraformaldehyde	228.	Selenium oxide	253.	2,4,5-T acid (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy acetic acid)
204.	Parathion	229.	Silver nitrate	254.	2,4,5-T amines (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy acetic acid amines)
205.	Pentachlorophenol	230.	Sodium	255.	2,4,5-T esters (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy acetic acid esters)
206.	Phenol	231.	Sodium arsenate	256.	2,4,5-salts (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy acetic acid salts)
207.	Phosgene	232.	Sodium arsenite	257.	2,4,5-TP acid (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxy propanoic acid)
208.	Phosphoric acid	233.	Sodium bichromate	258.	2,4,5-TP acid esters (2,4,5- Trichlorophenoxy propanoic acid esters)
209.	Phosphorus	234.	Sodium bifluoride	259.	TDE (Tetrachlorodiphenyl ethane)
210.	Phosphorus oxychloride	235.	Sodium bisulfite	260.	Tetraethyl lead
211.	Phosphorus pentasulfide	236.	Sodium chromate	261.	Tetraethyl pyrophosphate
212.	Phosphorus trichloride	237.	Sodium cyanide	262.	Thallium sulfate
213.	Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB)	238.	Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate	263.	Toluene
214.	Potassium arsenate	239.	Sodium fluoride	264.	Toxaphene
215.	Potassium arsenite	240.	Sodium hydrosulfide	265.	Trichlorofon
216.	Potassium bichromate	241.	Sodium hydroxide	266.	Trichloroethylene
217.	Potassium chromate	242.	Sodium hypochlorite	267.	Trichlorophenol
218.	Potassium cyanide	243.	Sodium methylate	268.	Triethanolamine dodecylbenzenesulfonate
219.	Potassium hydroxide	244.	Sodium nitrate	269.	Triethylamine
220.	Potassium permanganate	245.	Sodium phosphate (dibasic)	270.	Trimethylamine
271.	Uranyl acetate	280.	Zinc ammonium chloride	289.	Zinc nitrate
272.	Uranyl nitrate	281.	Zinc borate	290.	Zinc phenolsulfonate
273.	Vanadium pentoxide	282.	Zinc bromide	291.	Zinc phosphate
274.	Vanadyl sulfate	283.	Zinc carbonate	292.	Zinc silicofluoride
275.	Vinyl acetate	284.	Zinc chloride	293.	Zinc sulfate
276.	Vinylidene chloride	285.	Zinc cyanide	294.	Zirconium nitrate
277.	Xylene	286.	Zinc fluoride	295.	Zirconium potassium fluoride
278.	Xylenol	287.	Zinc formate	296.	Zirconium sulfate
279.	Zinc acetate	288.	Zinc hydrosulfonate	297.	Zirconium tetrachloride

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) EXAMPLE Page 80 of 286 Imber

LINE DRAWING



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 81 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 6

LABORATORY RESULTS

(FORM C SUPPORT DATA)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)



Page 82 of 286 Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.

Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232

Analytical Testing Parameters

Client Sample ID: 0 Sample Matrix: V Lab Sample ID: L	01 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond VATER .9A1312-01				Collected Collectio	By: Brando n Date: 01/23/	on Thorpe 2019 14:15	
Field Parameters		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 600								
Flow by Measurement &	& Calc.	0.403	0	MGD			01/23/19 1415	BZT
Method: SM 2550B								
Temperature		8.6		deg C			01/23/19 1415	BZT
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: Calculated								
Total Organic Nitrogen		0.86	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1346	EES
Method: EPA 1664B								
Oil & Grease		<5.7	5.7	mg/L		01/25/19 1419	01/25/19 1443	AXJ
Method: EPA 365.1								
Phosphorus		0.21	0.050	mg/L		01/24/19 1716	01/25/19 1146	EES
Method: SM 2120B								
Color, Pt-Co (Apparent)		20	5	CU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
pH at Color		6	1	SU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
Method: SM 4500 NH3 G	;							
Nitrogen, Ammonia		<0.25	0.25	mg/L		01/25/19 1243	01/26/19 1719	EES
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl		0.86	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1346	EES
Method: SM 4500 S2 D								
Sulfide		<0.20	0.20	mg/L		01/26/19 1009	01/26/19 1015	CJL
Method: SM 4500 SO3 E	3							
Sulfite		<2.0	2.0	mg/L	H1	01/24/19 0844	01/24/19 1100	MGM
Method: SM 5210 B								
BOD, 5 Day		<5.0	5.0	mg/L			01/24/19 1136	BWS
Method: SM 5220D								
COD		<25	25	mg/L		01/28/19 1329	01/28/19 1517	NWW

Page 1 of 35

Project Name: EW Brown - Form C - KPDES Renewal Project / PO Number: 620758 Received: 01/23/2019 Reported: 02/04/2019



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-01				Collection Date	e: 01/23/	2019 14:15	
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note F	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM 5540C								
MBAS (as LAS MW 3	40)	<0.20	0.20	mg/L			01/24/19 1330	EES
Method: USGS I-3765	-85							
Solids, Total Suspend	led	27	2	mg/L	01/	27/19 1401	01/28/19 1352	BWS
Anions by Ion Chroma	atography	Result	RL	Units	Note F	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 300.0								
Bromide		2.2	0.50	mg/L	01/	25/19 1605	01/25/19 1605	LJC
Chloride		79	0.50	mg/L	01/	25/19 1605	01/25/19 1605	LJC
Fluoride		2.3	0.50	mg/L	01/	25/19 1605	01/25/19 1605	LJC
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nit	trite	2.0	0.75	mg/L	01/	25/19 1805	01/25/19 1805	LJC
Sulfate		740	7.0	mg/L	01/	26/19 0906	01/26/19 0906	LJC
Metals, Total by EPA 2	200/6000/7000 Series	Result	RL	Units	Note F	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Methods								
Method: EPA 200.7								
Calcium		280	5.0	mg/L	01/	/24/19 1110	01/30/19 0230	JSW
Magnesium		69	0.50	mg/L	01/	/24/19 1110	01/28/19 2252	JSW
Method: SM 2340B								
Hardness, Total as Ca	aCO3	980	12	mg/L	01/	/24/19 1110	01/30/19 0230	JSW
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note F	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 624								
Vinyl Chloride		<0.0020	0.0020	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Chloromethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Bromomethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Chloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Trichlorofluoromethar	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Methylene Chloride		<0.010	0.010	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Acrolein		<0.025	0.025	mg/L	L4		01/25/19 2007	LJC
Acrylonitrile		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Chloroform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Carbon Tetrachloride		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Benzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID: Sample Matrix: Lab Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond WATER L9A1312-01				Collected By: Collection Date:	Brand 01/23/	on Thorpe 2019 14:15	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note Pre	pared	Analyzed	Analyst
Trichloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Dichlorobromometha	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Et	her	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
cis-1,3-Dichloroprope	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Toluene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
trans-1,3-Dichloropro	pene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Dibromochlorometha	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Tetrachloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Chlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Ethylbenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Bromoform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroeth	nane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BF	3	101	Limit: 86-115	5 % Rec			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	98.5	Limit: 86-118	8 % Rec			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	97.4	Limit: 80-120) % Rec			01/25/19 2007	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol-	D8	97.8	Limit: 88-110) % Rec			01/25/19 2007	LJC

Analyses Performed by: Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Lexington

Field Parameters	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: HACH 8167							
Chlorine, Total Residual	<0.02	0.02	mg/L			01/23/19 1415	BZT
Mothod: SM 4500 H+ P							
рН	7.77	1.00	SU			01/23/19 1415	BZT
Microbiology	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM9223B (Colilert-18)							
E. coli	20.3	1.0	MPN/100mL		01/23/19 1735	01/23/19 1746	CDW

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 625 Rev 7/95							
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-01				Collection Date:	01/23/	2019 14:15	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,4-Dichlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,4-Dimethylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,4-Dinitrophenol		<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,6-Dichlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Chloronaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Chlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Methylnaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
2-Nitrophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidin	le	<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
3/4-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
3-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylp	henol	<0.025	0.025	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Bromophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Chloro-3-methylphe	enol	<0.020	0.020	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Chloroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Chlorophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
4-Nitrophenol		<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Acenaphthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Acenaphthylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Acetophenone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Aniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzidine		<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzo[a]anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzo[a]pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzo[b]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzo[k]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzoic acid		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Benzyl alcohol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)m	lethane	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ethe	er	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phth	alate	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Butyl benzvl phthalate	e	<0.010	0.010	ma/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Carbazole		<0.010	0.010	ma/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Chrvsene		< 0.010	0.010	ma/l	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
Dibenz[a,h]anthracen	ne	<0.010	0.010	ma/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0125	CLR
				J. –				



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond							
TER				Collected B	y: E	Brandon Thorpe	
1312-01				Collection D	Date: C	01/23/2019 14:15	
	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepare	ed Analyzed	Analyst
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.051	0.051	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
ophenol	75.7	Limit: 47.8-138	8 % Rec		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
nyl	54.6	Limit: 10-110) % Rec		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
ol	34.0	Limit: 10-110) % Rec		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
-d5	55.7	Limit: 10-110) % Rec		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
	24.4	Limit: 10-60.8	8 % Rec		01/28/19	1136 01/30/19 0125	CLR
1	65.5	Limit: 16.8-110) % Rec		01/28/19	01/30/19 0125	CLR
	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER .1312-01 e e ophenol .nyl ol d5	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER 1312-01 Result <0.010 <0.0	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER 1312-01 Result RL <0.010	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER 1312-01 Result RL Units <0.010	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER Collected B 1312-01 Collection I Result RL Units Note 0.010 0.010 mg/L 0.010 0.010 0.010 mg/L 0.010 0.010 0.010 mg/L 0.010 0.010 0.010 mg/L 0.010 0.010 0.010 mg/L 0.010 0.010	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER 1312-01	- Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond TER 1312-01

Metals	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.8 Rev 5.4							
Aluminum	470	5.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Antimony	2.0	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/31/19 1646	RPL
Arsenic	6.2	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Barium	43	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Beryllium	<1.0	1.0	µg/L	J	01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Boron	9.1	0.50	mg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/29/19 1459	RPL
Cadmium	1.6	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Chromium	1.9	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Cobalt	6.2	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Copper	6.6	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Iron	700	100	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/29/19 1526	RPL



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-01				Collection	n Date: 01/23/	2019 14:15	
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Lead		1.2	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/29/19 1526	RPL
Magnesium		71	10	mg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/29/19 1459	RPL
Manganese		1400	5.0	µg/L		01/31/19 0900	01/31/19 1351	RPL
Molybdenum		450	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Nickel		29	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Selenium		22	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Silver		<1.0	1.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Thallium		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	J	01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Tin		<0.0010	0.0010	mg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Titanium		<0.030	0.030	mg/L	J	01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Zinc		17	2.0	µg/L		01/28/19 1042	01/28/19 1728	RPL
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 420.4 R	ev 1.0							
Phenolics, Total Reco	overable	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 1319	02/01/19 1424	ABG
Method: SM 4500-CN	C/E-1999							
Cyanide, Total		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/29/19 0825	01/29/19 1416	ABG
	Analyses Subo	contracted to: M	licrobac Labo	ratories, Inc	c Ohio Vall	ley		
Total Organic Carbon		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM5310-C-20	000							
Total Organic Carbor	1	4.14	1.00	mg/L			01/29/19 1509	EPT
Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond - L	ow Level Mercur	у					
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By: Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-02				Collection	n Date: 01/23/	2019 14:20	
	Analyses Subc	ontracted to: Mi	icrobac Labor	atories, Inc.	Chicagola	and		
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E								
Mercury		18.8	5.00	ng/L		01/29/19 1243	01/31/19 0937	/FXE1 g

Page 6 of 35

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 88 of 286



Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / As	h Pond - Low Level Merc	ury Blank					
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By: Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-03				Collectio	n Date: 01/23/	2019 14:20	
	Analys	ses Subcontracted to: N	Vicrobac Labo	ratories, Inc.	- Chicagola	and		
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E								
Manager								



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling To	wers Blowdown			0		T h	
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	a By: Brando	on Thorpe	
East Sample ID.		Baault	D I	Unito	Noto	Bronorod	Analuzad	Analyst
		Result	KL	Units	9JOVI	Prepared	Analyzeo	Analyst
Method: EPA 600			•					
Flow by Measuremen	t & Calc.	0.007	0	MGD			01/23/19 1230	BZT
Method: SM 2550B								
Temperature		20.7		deg C			01/23/19 1230	BZT
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: Calculated								
Total Organic Nitroge	n	0.60	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1348	EES
Method: EPA 1664B								
Oil & Grease		<6.0	6.0	mg/L		01/25/19 1419	01/25/19 1443	AXJ
Method: EPA 365.1								
Phosphorus		0.36	0.050	mg/L		01/24/19 1716	01/25/19 1148	EES
Method: SM 2120B								
Color, Pt-Co (Apparer	nt)	25	5	CU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
pH at Color		6	1	SU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
Method: SM 4500 NH3	G							
Nitrogen, Ammonia		<0.25	0.25	mg/L		01/25/19 1243	01/26/19 1721	EES
Nitrogen, Total Kjelda	hl	0.60	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1348	EES
Method: SM 4500 S2 [)							
Sulfide		<0.20	0.20	mg/L		01/26/19 1009	01/26/19 1015	CJL
Method: SM 4500 SO3	B							
Sulfite		<2.0	2.0	mg/L	H1	01/24/19 0844	01/24/19 1100	MGM
Method: SM 5210 B								
BOD, 5 Day		<5.0	5.0	mg/L			01/24/19 1136	BWS
Method: SM 5220D								
COD		<25	25	mg/L		01/28/19 1329	01/28/19 1517	NWW
Method: SM 5540C								
MBAS (as LAS MW 3	40)	<0.20	0.20	mg/L			01/24/19 1330	EES
Method: USGS I-3765	-85							
Solids, Total Suspend	led	3	3	mg/L		01/27/19 1401	01/28/19 1352	BWS



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:002 - Units 1-2 Cooling ToweSample Matrix:WATERLab Sample ID:L9A1312-04	ers Blowdown			Collected Collection	By: Date:	Brando 01/23/	on Thorpe 2019 12:30	
Anions by Ion Chromatography	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 300.0								
Bromide	<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1620	01/25/19 1620	LJC
Chloride	9.8	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1620	01/25/19 1620	LJC
Fluoride	<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1620	01/25/19 1620	LJC
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite	1.9	0.75	mg/L		01/25/19	1820	01/25/19 1820	LJC
Sulfate	38	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1620	01/25/19 1620	LJC
Metals, Total by EPA 200/6000/7000 Series Methods	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.7								
Calcium	81	0.50	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2258	JSW
Magnesium	14	0.50	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2258	JSW
Method: SM 2340B								
Hardness, Total as CaCO3	260	2.1	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2258	JSW
Volatile Organics	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 624								
Vinyl Chloride	<0.0020	0.0020	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Chloromethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Bromomethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Chloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Methylene Chloride	<0.010	0.010	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Acrolein	<0.025	0.025	mg/L	L4			01/25/19 2033	LJC
Acrylonitrile	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Chloroform	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Benzene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Trichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Dichlorobromomethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
Toluene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2033	LJC



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Towers Blo	owdown					
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brandon Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-04				Collection Date:	01/23/2019 12:30	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note Prej	pared Analyzed	Analyst
Dibromochlorometha	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Tetrachloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Chlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Ethylbenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Bromoform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroeth	nane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BFI	В	96.6	Limit: 86-115	5 % Rec		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	102	Limit: 86-118	8 % Rec		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	105	Limit: 80-120) % Rec		01/25/19 2033	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol-	-D8	97.3	Limit: 88-110) % Rec		01/25/19 2033	LJC

Analyses Performed by: Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Lexington

Field Parameters	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: HACH 8167							
Chlorine, Total Residual	<0.02	0.02	mg/L			01/23/19 1230	BZT
Mothod: SM 4500 H+ P							
рН	8.24	1.00	SU			01/23/19 1230	BZT
Microbiology	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM9223B (Colilert-18)							
E. coli	26.5	1.0	MPN/100mL		01/23/19 1735	01/23/19 1746	CDW

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 625 Rev 7/95							
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4-Dimethylphenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4-Dinitrophenol	<0.051	0.051	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,6-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling	Towers Blowdown						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-04				Collection Date:	01/23/	2019 12:30	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
2-Chloronaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2-Chlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2-Methylnaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
2-Nitrophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidin	e	<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
3/4-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
3-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylp	henol	<0.025	0.025	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Bromophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Chloro-3-methylphe	enol	<0.020	0.020	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Chloroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Chlorophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
4-Nitrophenol		<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Acenaphthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Acenaphthylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Acetophenone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Aniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzidine		<0.051	0.051	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzo[a]anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzo[a]pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	9	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	9	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzoic acid		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Benzyl alcohol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)m	ethane	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ethe	er	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phth	alate	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Butyl benzyl phthalate	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Carbazole		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Chrysene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Dibenz[a,h]anthracen	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Dibenzofuran		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Diethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Dimethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Di-n-butyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Di-n-octyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	- mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Fluorene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Hexachlorobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	U							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By: Bran	don Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-04				Collectio	n Date: 01/23	3/2019 12:30	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Hexachlorobutadiene	9	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Hexachlorocyclopent	adiene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Hexachloroethane		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Indeno[1,2,3cd]pyren	ie	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Isophorone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Naphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Nitrobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
N-Nitrosodimethylam	line	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
N-Nitrosodi-n-propyla	amine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
N-Nitrosodiphenylam	ine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Pentachlorophenol		<0.051	0.051	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Phenanthrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Phenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Pyridine		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tri	ibromophenol	52.5	Limit: 47.8-	-138 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluoro	biphenyl	38.8	Limit: 10	-110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluoro	phenol	26.8	Limit: 10	-110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrober	nzene-d5	40.3	Limit: 10	-110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-	d5	18.9	Limit: 10-	60.8 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Surrogate: Terphen	yl-d14	58.2	Limit: 16.8	-110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0146	CLR
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 R	ev 5.4	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum	ev 5.4	Result 59	RL 5.0	Units µg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony	ev 5.4	Result 59 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530	Analyst RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 R Aluminum Antimony Arsenic	ev 5.4	Result 59 <1.0 3.3	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium	ev 5.4	Result 59 <1.0 3.3 39	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/L	Note J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1503	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ra Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L mg/L μg/L	J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1503 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1503 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1503 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1503 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1530	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 R Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1530 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1530 01/28/19 1553	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1530 01/28/19 1553 01/28/19 1503 02/01/19 1307	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molvbdenum	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1503 02/01/19 1307 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ra Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1503 01/28/19 1503 02/01/19 1307 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1503 01/28/19 1503 02/01/19 1307 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RP
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.050 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1503 02/01/19 1307 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Silver Thallium	ev 5.4	S9 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 10	Analyzed 01/28/19 1751 01/29/19 1530 01/28/19 1751 01/28/19 1751	Analyst RPL RP



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Tow	ers Blowdown							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	I By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-04				Collectio	n Date:)1/23/2	2019 12:30	
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Tin		<0.0010	0.0010	mg/L	J	01/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1751	RPL
Titanium		<0.030	0.030	mg/L		01/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1751	RPL
Zinc		5.8	2.0	µg/L		01/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1751	RPL
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 420.4 R	ev 1.0								
Phenolics, Total Rec	overable	0.011	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19	1319	02/01/19 1426	ABG
Method: SM 4500-CN	C/E-1999								
Cyanide, Total		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/29/19	0825	01/29/19 1418	ABG
	Analyses	Subcontracted to: M	licrobac Labo	oratories, Inc	c Ohio Vall	ley			
Total Organic Carbon	1	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM5310-C-2	000								
Total Organic Carbor	1	6.88	1.00	mg/L				01/29/19 1531	EPT
Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Tow	ers Blowdown - Low L	evel Mercury						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	I By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-05				Collectio	n Date: ()1/23/:	2019 12:40	
	Analyses	Subcontracted to: Mi	crobac Labo	ratories, Inc	Chicagola	and			
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E									
Mercury		<5.00	5.00	ng/L		01/29/19	1243	01/31/19 0946	BTM
Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Tow	ers Blowdown - Low L	evel Mercury E	Blank					
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	I By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-06				Collection	n Date: ()1/23/:	2019 12:40	
	Analyses	Subcontracted to: MI	CIODAC LADOI	atories, inc.	Unicagola	DITE			
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E									
Mercury		<0.500	0.500	ng/L		01/29/19	1243	01/31/19 0953	/FXE1 g

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 95 of 286



Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID: Sample Matrix: Lab Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blow WATER L9A1312-07	rdown			Collectec Collectio	By: Brando n Date: 01/23/	on Thorpe 2019 13:25	
Field Parameters		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 600								
Flow by Measuremen	t & Calc.	0.432	0	MGD			01/23/19 1325	BZT
Method: SM 2550B								
Temperature		13.2		deg C			01/23/19 1325	BZT
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: Calculated								
Total Organic Nitroge	n	0.76	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1350	EES
Method: EPA 1664B								
Oil & Grease		<5.9	5.9	mg/L		01/25/19 1419	01/25/19 1443	AXJ
Method: EPA 365.1								
Phosphorus		0.16	0.050	mg/L		01/24/19 1719	01/25/19 1212	EES
Method: SM 2120B								
Color, Pt-Co (Apparer	nt)	20	5	CU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
pH at Color		6	1	SU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
Method: SM 4500 NH3	G							
Nitrogen, Ammonia		<0.25	0.25	mg/L		01/25/19 1243	01/26/19 1723	EES
Nitrogen, Total Kjelda	hl	0.76	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1350	EES
Method: SM 4500 S2 I)							
Sulfide		<0.20	0.20	mg/L		01/26/19 1009	01/26/19 1015	CJL
Method: SM 4500 SO3	B							
Sulfite		<2.0	2.0	mg/L	H1	01/24/19 0844	01/24/19 1100	MGM
Method: SM 5210 B								
BOD, 5 Day		<5.0	5.0	mg/L			01/24/19 1136	BWS
Method: SM 5220D								
COD		<25	25	mg/L		01/28/19 1329	01/28/19 1517	NWW
Method: SM 5540C								
MBAS (as LAS MW 3	40)	<0.20	0.20	mg/L			01/24/19 1330	EES
Method: USGS I-3765	-85							
Solids, Total Suspend	led	<2	2	mg/L		01/27/19 1401	01/28/19 1352	BWS

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

Page 14 of 35



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower B	lowdown							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-07				Collection	Date:	01/23/2	2019 13:25	
Anions by Ion Chroma	atography	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 300.0									
Bromide		<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1735	01/25/19 1735	LJC
Chloride		7.7	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1735	01/25/19 1735	LJC
Fluoride		<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1735	01/25/19 1735	LJC
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nit	trite	1.5	0.75	mg/L		01/25/19	1835	01/25/19 1835	LJC
Sulfate		27	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1735	01/25/19 1735	LJC
Metals, Total by EPA 2 Methods	200/6000/7000 Series	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.7									
Calcium		60	0.50	ma/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2303	JSW
Magnesium		11	0.50	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2303	JSW
Ŭ				5					
Method: SM 2340B									
Hardness, Total as Ca	aCO3	190	2.1	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2303	JSW
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 624									
Vinyl Chloride		<0.0020	0.0020	ma/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Chloromethane		<0.0050	0.0050	ma/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Bromomethane		<0.0050	0.0050	ma/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Chloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Trichlorofluoromethar	16	<0.0050	0.0050	ma/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	ma/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Methylene Chloride		<0.010	0.010	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Acrolein		<0.025	0.025	mg/L	L4			01/25/19 2100	LJC
Acrylonitrile		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethe	ene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Chloroform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Carbon Tetrachloride		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Benzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Trichloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,2-Dichloropropane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Dichlorobromomethar	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Et	ther	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
cis-1,3-Dichloroprope	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
Toluene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
trans-1,3-Dichloropro	pene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2100	LJC

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

Page 15 of 35



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdo	wn					
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brandon Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-07				Collection Date:	01/23/2019 13:25	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note Prep	bared Analyzed	Analyst
Dibromochlorometha	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Tetrachloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Chlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Ethylbenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Bromoform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroeth	hane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BFI	В	94.3	Limit: 86-115	5 % Rec		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	101	Limit: 86-118	8 % Rec		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	99.2	Limit: 80-120) % Rec		01/25/19 2100	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol-	-D8	101	Limit: 88-110) % Rec		01/25/19 2100	LJC

Analyses Performed by: Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Lexington

Field Parameters	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: HACH 8167							
Chlorine, Total Residual	<0.02	0.02	mg/L			01/23/19 1325	BZT
Method: SM 4500 H+ B							
рН	7.51	1.00	SU			01/23/19 1325	BZT
Microbiology	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM9223B (Colilert-18)							
E. coli	6.3	1.0	MPN/100mL		01/23/19 1735	01/23/19 1746	CDW

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 625 Rev 7/95							
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4-Dimethylphenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4-Dinitrophenol	<0.052	0.052	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,6-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tow	er Blowdown						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-07				Collection Date:	01/23/	2019 13:25	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
2-Chloronaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2-Chlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2-Methylnaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
2-Nitrophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidin	e	<0.052	0.052	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
3/4-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
3-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylp	henol	<0.026	0.026	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Bromophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Chloro-3-methylphe	enol	<0.021	0.021	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Chloroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Chlorophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
4-Nitrophenol		<0.052	0.052	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Acenaphthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Acenaphthylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Acetophenone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Aniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzidine		<0.052	0.052	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzo[a]anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzo[a]pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzo[b]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzo[k]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzoic acid		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Benzyl alcohol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)m	ethane	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ethe	er	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phth	alate	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Butyl benzyl phthalate	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Carbazole		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Chrysene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Dibenz[a,h]anthracen	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Dibenzofuran		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Diethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Dimethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Di-n-butyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Di-n-octyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Fluorene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Hexachlorobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/28/19	9 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
				5				

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

Page 17 of 35



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	erne erne erne grone	BIOWOOWII						I
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By: Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-07				Collection	n Date: 01/23/	2019 13:25	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Hexachlorobutadiene	9	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Hexachlorocyclopent	tadiene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Hexachloroethane		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Indeno[1,2,3cd]pyren	ne	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Isophorone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Naphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Nitrobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
N-Nitrosodimethylam	ine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
N-Nitrosodi-n-propyla	amine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
N-Nitrosodiphenylam	ine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Pentachlorophenol		<0.052	0.052	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Phenanthrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Phenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Pyridine		<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tri	ibromophenol	70.0	Limit: 47.8-	138 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluoro	biphenyl	52.1	Limit: 10-	110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluoro	phenol	36.1	Limit: 10-	110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrober	nzene-d5	54.8	Limit: 10-	110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-	d5	25.9	Limit: 10-6	60.8 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Surrogate: Terphen	iyl-d14	64.8	Limit: 16.8-	110 % Rec		01/28/19 1136	01/30/19 0208	CLR
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re	ev 5.4	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum	ev 5.4	Result 48	RL 5.0	Units μg/L	Note	Prepared	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2	RL 5.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650	Analyst RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ro Aluminum Antimony Arsenic	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0 0.17	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L mg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1508	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium	ev 5.4	Kesult 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/29/19 1508 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/29/19 1508 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/29/19 1508 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper	ev 5.4	48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Re Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Baryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron	ev 5.4	Kesult 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.10	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ra Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.10 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.10 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.10 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Silver	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL
Metals Method: EPA 200.8 Ref Aluminum Antimony Arsenic Barium Beryllium Boron Cadmium Chromium Cobalt Copper Iron Lead Magnesium Manganese Molybdenum Nickel Selenium Silver Thallium	ev 5.4	Result 48 1.2 1.1 31 <1.0	RL 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.025 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	Units µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L µg/L	Note J J	Prepared 01/28/19 1042	Analyzed 01/28/19 1755 01/31/19 1650 01/28/19 1755 01/28/19 1755	Analyst RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL RPL

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower	Blowdown							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	l By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-07				Collectio	n Date:	01/23/	2019 13:25	
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Tin		<0.0010	0.0010	mg/L		01/28/19	0 1042	01/28/19 1755	RPL
Titanium		<0.030	0.030	mg/L		01/28/19	0 1042	01/28/19 1755	RPL
Zinc		2.7	2.0	µg/L		01/28/19	0 1042	01/28/19 1755	RPL
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 420.4 R	ev 1.0								
Phenolics, Total Rec	overable	0.013	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19	9 1319	02/01/19 1427	ABG
Method: SM 4500-CN	C/E-1999								
Cyanide, Total		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/29/19	0825	01/29/19 1420	ABG
	Analyses	s Subcontracted to: M	licrobac Labo	ratories, Inc	c Ohio Val	ley			
Total Organic Carbor	Total Organic Carbon		RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM5310-C-2	000								
Total Organic Carbor	n	6.07	1.00	mg/L				01/29/19 1553	EPT
Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower	Blowdown - Low Level	Mercury		_		-		
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:		Subcontracted to: Mi	icrobac Labor	ratories Inc		n Date:	01/23/	2019-13:30	
	Analyses				Unicayula				
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E									
Mercury		<5.00	5.00	ng/L		01/29/19	1243	01/31/19 0955	BTM
Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Towor	Blowdown Low Lovel		,					
Sample Matrix:	WATER	DIOWOOWN - LOW LEVEL			Collecter	l Bv:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-09				Collectio	n Date:	01/23/	2019 13:30	
	Analyses	Subcontracted to: Mi	icrobac Labor	atories, Inc	Chicagola	and			
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E								-	-
Mercury		<0.500	0.500	ng/L		01/29/19	1243	01/31/19 0958	/FXE1 g
-				5					5

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 101 of 286 MICROBAC® Imber



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake	;						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	Brande	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-10				Collection	n Date: 01/23/	2019 11:30	
Field Parameters		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 600								
Flow by Measuremer	nt & Calc.	0.001	0	MGD			01/23/19 1130	BZT
Method: SM 2550B								
Temperature		10.9		deg C			01/23/19 1130	BZT
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: Calculated								
Total Organic Nitroge	en	0.64	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1355	EES
Method: EPA 1664B								
Oil & Grease		<5.6	5.6	mg/L		01/25/19 1419	01/25/19 1443	AXJ
Method: EPA 365.1								
Phosphorus		0.11	0.050	mg/L		01/24/19 1719	01/25/19 1213	EES
Method: SM 2120B								
Color, Pt-Co (Appare	nt)	25	5	CU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
pH at Color		6	1	SU		01/24/19 0926	01/24/19 1350	CJL
Method: SM 4500 NH	3 G							
Nitrogen, Ammonia		<0.25	0.25	mg/L		01/25/19 1243	01/26/19 1724	EES
Nitrogen, Total Kjelda	ahl	0.64	0.40	mg/L		01/28/19 0948	01/29/19 1355	EES
Method: SM 4500 S2	D							
Sulfide		<0.20	0.20	mg/L		01/26/19 1009	01/26/19 1015	CJL
Method: SM 4500 SO	3 B							
Sulfite		3.1	2.0	mg/L	H1	01/24/19 0844	01/24/19 1100	MGM
Method: SM 5210 B								
BOD, 5 Day		<5.0	5.0	mg/L			01/24/19 1136	BWS
Method: SM 5220D								
COD		<25	25	mg/L		01/28/19 1329	01/28/19 1517	NWW
Method: SM 5540C								
MBAS (as LAS MW 3	340)	<0.20	0.20	mg/L			01/24/19 1330	EES
Method: USGS I-3765	i-85							
Solids, Total Suspend	ded	<3	3	mg/L		01/27/19 1204	01/28/19 1352	BWS

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID: 005 - Plant Intake - Herringte	on Lake							
Sample Matrix: WATER				Collected	By:	Brande	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID: L9A1312-10				Collection	Date:	01/23/	2019 11:30	
Anions by Ion Chromatography	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 300.0								
Bromide	<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1750	01/25/19 1750	LJC
Chloride	5.9	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1750	01/25/19 1750	LJC
Fluoride	<0.50	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1750	01/25/19 1750	LJC
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite	1.2	0.75	mg/L		01/25/19	1850	01/25/19 1850	LJC
Sulfate	21	0.50	mg/L		01/25/19	1750	01/25/19 1750	LJC
Metals, Total by EPA 200/6000/7000 Series Methods	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.7								
Calcium	52	0.50	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2308	JSW
Magnesium	8.8	0.50	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2308	JSW
Method: SM 2340B								
Hardness, Total as CaCO3	170	2.1	mg/L		01/24/19	1110	01/28/19 2308	JSW
Volatile Organics	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 624								
Vinyl Chloride	<0.0020	0.0020	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Chloromethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Bromomethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Chloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Trichlorofluoromethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Methylene Chloride	<0.010	0.010	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Acrolein	<0.025	0.025	mg/L	L4			01/25/19 2126	LJC
Acrylonitrile	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,1-Dichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Chloroform	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Carbon Tetrachloride	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Benzene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,2-Dichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Trichloroethene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,2-Dichloropropane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Dichlorobromomethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
2-Chloroethyl Vinyl Ether	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
Toluene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L				01/25/19 2126	LJC
			•					



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brandon Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-10				Collection Date:	01/23/2019 11:30	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note Prep	ared Analyzed	Analyst
Dibromochlorometha	ne	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Tetrachloroethene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Chlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Ethylbenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Bromoform		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroet	hane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BF	В	98.2	Limit: 86-115	% Rec		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	105	Limit: 86-118	% Rec		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	117	Limit: 80-120	% Rec		01/25/19 2126	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol	-D8	102	Limit: 88-110	% Rec		01/25/19 2126	LJC

Analyses Performed by: Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Lexington

Field Parameters	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: HACH 8167							
Chlorine, Total Residual	<0.02	0.02	mg/L			01/23/19 1130	BZT
Method: SM 4500 H+ B							
рН	7.38	1.00	SU			01/23/19 1130	BZT
Microbiology	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM9223B (Colilert-18)							
E. coli	5.2	1.0	MPN/100mL		01/23/19 1735	01/23/19 1746	CDW

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 625 Rev 7/95							
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
1,2-Diphenylhydrazine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,2'-oxybis(1-chloropropane)	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4-Dimethylphenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4-Dinitrophenol	<0.050	0.050	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,6-Dichlorophenol	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-10				Collection Date:	01/23/	2019 11:30	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note Pre	pared	Analyzed	Analyst
2-Chloronaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2-Chlorophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2-Methylnaphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
2-Nitrophenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidin	e	<0.050	0.050	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
3/4-Methylphenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
3-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylp	henol	<0.025	0.025	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Bromophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Chloro-3-methylphe	enol	<0.020	0.020	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Chloroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Chlorophenyl pheny	yl ether	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Nitroaniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
4-Nitrophenol		<0.050	0.050	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Acenaphthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Acenaphthylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Acetophenone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Aniline		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzidine		<0.050	0.050	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzo[a]anthracene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzo[a]pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzo[b]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzo[k]fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzoic acid		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Benzyl alcohol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)m	ethane	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ethe	er	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Bis(2-ethylhexyl)phth	alate	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Butyl benzyl phthalate	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Carbazole		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Chrysene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Dibenz[a,h]anthracen	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Dibenzofuran		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Diethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Dimethyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Di-n-butyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Di-n-octyl phthalate		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Fluoranthene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Fluorene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Hexachlorobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	01/29/	19 0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR



Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake								
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By	:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-10				Collection Da	ate:	01/23/2	2019 11:30	
GCMS Semivolatiles		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Hexachlorobutadiene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Hexachlorocyclopenta	adiene	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Hexachloroethane		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Indeno[1,2,3cd]pyrene	e	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Isophorone		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Naphthalene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Nitrobenzene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
N-Nitrosodimethylami	ne	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
N-Nitrosodi-n-propyla	mine	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
N-Nitrosodiphenylami	ne	<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Pentachlorophenol		<0.050	0.050	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Phenanthrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Phenol		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Pyrene		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Pyridine		<0.010	0.010	mg/L	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tril	bromophenol	73.8	Limit: 47.8-1	38 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorol	biphenyl	50.0	Limit: 10-1	10 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluoro	phenol	33.9	Limit: 10-1	10 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: Nitroben:	zene-d5	56.2	Limit: 10-1	10 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-d	15	24.4	Limit: 10-60).8 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Surrogate: Terpheny	/l-d14	64.1	Limit: 16.8-1	10 % Rec	0	1/29/19	0924	01/29/19 2038	CLR
Madala		D K	51	11	Nata			•	Amahant
		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	rea	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.8 Re	ev 5.4	45	5.0	ua/I	0	4/00/40	1010	04/00/40 4000	DDI
Autimoun		45	5.0	µg/∟	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Anumony		<1.0	1.0	µg/∟	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Arsenic		<1.0	1.0	µg/∟	J 0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Barium		26	1.0	µg/∟	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Beryllium		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Boron		0.14	0.025	mg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/29/19 1512	RPL
Cadmium		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Chromium		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Cobalt		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	J 0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Copper		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	J 0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Iron		<100	100	µg/L	J 0	1/28/19	1042	01/29/19 1539	RPL
Lead		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Magnesium		8.0	0.10	mg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Manganese		11	1.0	µg/L	0	1/31/19	0900	01/31/19 1400	RPL
Molybdenum		5.0	1.0	µg/L	0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Nickel		<1.0	1.0	µg/L	J 0	1/28/19	1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Nickel Selenium		<1.0 <1.0	1.0 1.0	μg/L μg/L	J 0	1/28/19 1/28/19	1042 1042	01/28/19 1800 01/28/19 1800	RPL RPL
Nickel Selenium Silver		<1.0 <1.0 <1.0	1.0 1.0 1.0	μg/L μg/L μg/L	0 L 0 0	1/28/19 1/28/19 1/28/19	1042 1042 1042	01/28/19 1800 01/28/19 1800 01/28/19 1800	RPL RPL RPL

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington L	ake				_		_	
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By:	Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-10				Collection	n Date:	01/23/	2019 11:30	
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Tin		<0.0010	0.0010	mg/L		01/28/19	9 1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Titanium		<0.030	0.030	mg/L		01/28/19	9 1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Zinc		2.6	2.0	µg/L		01/28/19	9 1042	01/28/19 1800	RPL
Wet Chemistry		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 420.4 R	ev 1.0								
Phenolics, Total Reco	overable	0.014	0.010	mg/L		01/29/19	9 1319	02/01/19 1438	ABG
Method: SM 4500-CN	C/E-1999								
Cyanide, Total		<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L		01/29/19	0825	01/29/19 1421	ABG
	Analyses Sub	contracted to: N	licrobac Labo	ratories, Inc	: Ohio Vall	еу			
Total Organic Carbon	l	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM5310-C-2	000								
Total Organic Carbor	1	5.86	1.00	mg/L				01/29/19 1615	EPT
Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington L	ake - Low Level M	lercury						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By:	Brand	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-11 Analysos Subr	contracted to: M	icrobac Labor	atorios Inc	Collection	n Date:	01/23/	2019 11:40	
	Analyses Sub			atones, inc.	Chicagola	inu			
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E									
Mercury		<5.00	5.00	ng/L		01/29/19	9 1243	01/31/19 1000	BTM
Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington La	ake - Low Level M	lercury Blank						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected	By:	Brande	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1312-12				Collection	n Date:	01/23/	2019 11:40	
	Analyses Subo	contracted to: M	icrobac Labor	atories, Inc.	Chicagola	and			
Metals		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 1631E									
Mercury		<0.500	0.500	ng/L		01/29/19	9 1243	01/31/19 1002	/FXE1 g

Microbac Laboratories, Inc. 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1312

Definitions

RL:	Reporting Limit
MDL:	Minimum Detection Limit
L4:	the sample. Lab Control Sample (LCS) recovery below lower Control Limit, analyte not detected.
J:	The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in
H1:	Sample received outside of holding time for these analytes.

Project Requested Certification(s)

Microbac Laboratories, Inc Chicagoland	
75	Kentucky EPPC analysis Underground Storage Tanks (k)
90147	Kentucky Wastewater Laboratory Certification Program (j)

Report Comments

Samples were received in proper condition and the reported results conform to applicable accreditation standard unless otherwise noted.

The data and information on this, and other accompanying documents, represents only the sample(s) analyzed. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the footnote are present and an authorized signature is included.

Reviewed and Approved By:

JOAN HEINSOHN Account Manager Reported: 02/04/2019 12:41

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

Page 26 of 35

Case No. 2022. to Response to JI-1 Question No. 11 Attachment Page3108 OA286 EINSOHN

Kentucky Testing Divison 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.051

Imber

Page 1 of 9

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

	Route	Freq.	·····	
LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.	Cust. #	EL056	Project	EW Brown - Form C Renew
Roger Medina	Phone	(502) 627-2997		
220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010	Fax	(502) 627-2550	Cust. P.O.	620758
Louisville, KY 40232	Email	roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Permit #	
EW Brown - Form C - KPDES Renewal	Acct. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN		

Method **Containers/Preservative** Suppress On COA # Bottles Units Min Max Analysis

Instructions				
01 001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond Type Grab			Sample Date/Time/Fleid Results/Meter ID	
			1-23-17 1415 TRC-F2	
Semi-Volatile Organics - 625	EPA 625	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	mg/L	
BOD, 5 Day	SM 5210 B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	mg/L	
Solids, Total Suspended	USGS I-3765-85	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	mg/L	
Surfactants, MBAS	SM 5540C	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	mg/L	
Bromide	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C	mg/L	
Chloride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C	mg/L	
Fluoride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C	mg/L	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C	mg/L	
Chlorine, Total Residual	HACH 8167	A-FIELD PARAMETERS	mg/L <i>②</i> . つう	
Flow by Calculation	EPA 600	A-FIELD PARAMETERS	MGD 0-403	
Sampling Labor - Hourly	NA	A-FIELD PARAMETERS	Hours	
Aluminum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Antimony	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Arsenic	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Barlum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Beryllium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Boron	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L	
Cadmium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Chromium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Cobalt	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Copper	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Iron	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Lead	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Magnesium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L	
Manganese	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Molybdenum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Nickel	EPA 200.B	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Selenium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Silver	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Thallium	EPA 200 <u>.8</u>	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Tin	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L	
Titanlum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L	
Zinc	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L	
Oll and Grease, Total	EPA 1664B	C-1 LITER O&G-H2SO4	mg/L	
Phenolics, Tot. Recoverable	EPA 420,4	C-250 ML AMBER GLASS-H2SO4	mg/L	
COD	SM 5220D	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L	
Attachnicht 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Kentucky Testing Divison 3223 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Page 109 of 286

Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

Imber Page 2 of 9

Rompto (Barry Winner Warm Bernatter Marter) (B)

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

			Route	Freq.				<u>.</u>
LG&E - KU ENERC Roger Medina	FY LLC.		Cust. # Phone	EL056 (502) 627-2997	Project	EW Brown	- Form C	Renew
220 West Main St., I Louisville, KY 4023	P.O. Box 32010 2		Fax Email	(502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Cust. P.O. Permit #	620758		
EW Brown - Form	C - KPDES Renewal		Acet. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN				
Analysis	Method	Containers/Preservative	e	Suppress On COA	# Bottles U	nits	Min	Max
Instructions								

(1825) - Siller Assochtigers/ // Auth (Fored)

Surfactants, MBAS

SM 5540C

			1.23.09 1415
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 300.0	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L
Phosphorus, Total	EPA 365.1	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L
Total Organic Carbon	SM 5310C	C-40 ML AMBER VOA VIALS-H2SO4	mg/L
E, coli	SM9223B (Collert-18)	D-STERILE BAC-T CUP-NA2S2O3	MPN/100mL
Color, Platinum-Cobait	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	
Color, Platinum-Cobalt	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN	
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	CHEM-4°C A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	
Calcium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	
Hardness Pkg. By ICP	SM 2340B	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	
Nitrogen, Ammonia	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2504	
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	
Environmental Fee	NA	NA	
pH - Field	SM 4500 H+ B	NA	su77
Temperature at pH - Field	SM 2550B	NA	deg C 8-6
Volatile Organic Compounds -	624 EPA 624	O-40 ML VOA VIALS-HCL	mg/L
Cyanide, Total	SM 4500 CN E	O-CYANIDE-NAOH 250 ML	mg/L
Sulfide	SM 4500 S2 D	O-SULFIDE-NAOH/ZNC4H6O4	mg/L
Sulfite	SM 4500 SO3 B	O-SULFITE-EDTA-ZERO HEADSPACE	mg/L
02 001 - Site Auxi	liary / Ash Pond - Lo	w Level Mercury	Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID
Type Grab			1-23-19 1420
Mercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTRA CLEAN	ng/L
03 001 - Site Auxi	liary / Ash Pond - Lo	w Level Mercury Blank	Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID
Type Grab			1-23-19 1420
Mercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTRA CLEAN	ng/L
04 002 - Units 1-2	Cooling Towers Blow	wdown	Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID
Type Grab			1-23-14 1230 PAS TRA-E
Semi-Volatile Organics - 625	EPA 625	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	mg/L
BOD, 5 Day	SM 5210 B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	mg/L
Solids, Total Suspended	USGS I-3765-85	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C	mg/L

A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C

mg/L

Attachinent 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

Kentucky Testing Divison

Page 110 of 286

Imber

Page 3 of 9

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Freq. Route LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC. EL056 Project EW Brown - Form C Renew Cust. # Roger Medina (502) 627-2997 Phone 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 (502) 627-2550 Cust. P.O. 620758 Fax Louisville, KY 40232 roger.medina@lge-ku.com Permit # Email JOAN HEINSOHN EW Brown - Form C - KPDES Renewal Acct. Mgr.

Units Method **Containers/Preservative** Suppress On COA **# Bottles** Min Max Analysis Instructions Restants, Party Trans Waltin Bausita Walter (3) - Weilts 2-2 Cambling Towarrs discontineer the state Time (Graff) in standing and 1230 1-13-28 A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C mg/L EPA 300.0 Bromide mg/L Chloride EPA 300.0 A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C mg/L Fluoride EPA 300.0 A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C mg/L EPA 300.0 Sulfate 0.00 HACH 8167 A-FIELD PARAMETERS ma/L Chlorine, Total Residual MGD 0.007 EPA 600 A-FIELD PARAMETERS Flow by Calculation EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Aluminum Antimony EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 uq/L ug/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Arsenic B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 Barium B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 Beryllium EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 mg/L Boron Cadmlum EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Chromium ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Cobalt B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 Copper **B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3** ug/L EPA 200.8 Iron EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Lead B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 mg/L EPA 200.8 Magnesium ug/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Manganese B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Molybdenum EPA 200.8 ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Nickel EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Selenium EPA 200.8 EPA 200.B B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ua/L Silver EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Thallium mg/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Tin mg/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Titanium ug/L Zinc EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 mg/L C-1 LITER O&G-H2SO4 Oil and Grease, Total EPA 1664B C-250 ML AMBER GLASS-H2SO4 mg/L Phenolics, Tot. Recoverable EPA 420.4 <u>CO</u>D C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 mg/L SM 5220D Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite EPA 300.0 C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 mg/L Phosphorus, Total FPA 365.1 C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 mg/L C-40 ML AMBER VOA VIALS-H2SO4 mg/L Total Organic Carbon SM 5310C SM9223B (Colilert-18) D-STERILE BAC-T CUP-NA2S2O3 MPN/100mL E. coli

Attachnicht 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Kentucky Testing Divison 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Imbor

Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

Imber Page 4 of 9

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

			Route	Freq.		
LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC. Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Box Louisville, KY 40232	32010		Cust. # Phone Fax Email	EL056 (502) 627-2997 (502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Project EW Cust. P.O. 62 Permit #	' Brown - Form C Rene 20758
EW Brown - Form C - KPD	ES Renewal		Acct. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN		
Analysis	Method	Containers/Preservative	:	Suppress On COA #	Bottles Units	Min Max
Instructions	Cataling Tawara 8	Streethower		Rea - 1/3-	18 // // Jac	anter Bassandar Mikanos (311
Color, Platinum-Cobalt	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C				
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C				
Color, Platinum-Cobalt	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN				
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	CHEM-4°C A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C				9999
Calcium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-	НNO3			
Hardness Pkg. By ICP	SM 2340B	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-	HNO3			
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-	HNO3			
Nitrogen, Ammonia	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4				
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4				
Environmental Fee	NA	NA			10 , 40, 40	
pH - Field	SM 4500 H+ B	NA			su	8-24
Temperature at pH - Field	SM 2550B	NA			deg C	20.70
Volatile Organic Compounds - 6	524 EPA 624	0-40 ML VOA VIALS-HCL			mg/L	
Cyanide, Total	SM 4500 CN E	O-CYANIDE-NAOH 250 ML			mg/L	
Sulfide	SM 4500 S2 D	O-SULFIDE-NAOH/ZNC4H6O4			mg/L	
Sulfite	SM 4500 SO3 B	O-SULFITE-EDTA-ZERO HEAD	SPACE		mg/L	
05 002 - Units 1-2	Cooling Towers B	lowdown - Low Level Merc	cury	Samj	de Date/Time/Fie	d Results/Meter ID
Type Grab				1-23-19	1240	
Mercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULT	RA CI FAN		na/l	
06 002 - Units 1-2	Cooling Towers B	lowdown - Low Level Mer	urv Blank	Sami	le Date/Time/Fie	d Results/Meter ID
Type Grab	cooming romaid a		ary Diarin	1-23 -	<u>а</u> эис	
Marauni	EDA 1621E				1675	
Mercury	EPA 1631E		KA ULEAN	Somr	ng/L	d Results/Meter ID
07 005 - Unit 5 et	oning_rower_blowc	JOMU		(,)??		TRO-FS
Lype Grad					1 196	, ///
Semi-Volatile Organics - 625	EPA 625	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C			mg/L	
BOD, 5 Day	SM 5210 B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CH	em-4°C		mg/L	
Solids, Total Suspended	USGS I-3765-85	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CH	EM-4°C		mg/L	
Surfactants, MBAS	SM 5540C	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CH	EM-4°C		mg/L	
Bromide	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-	4°C		mg/L	
Chloride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-	1°C		mg/L	
Fluoride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE	4°C		mg/L	
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-	4°C		mg/L	Page 30 of 35

Attachient 340 Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

 Kentucky Testing Divison
 Page 112 of 286

 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213
 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411
 Fax: 502.962.6411

 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 850.376 2500 Delayer
 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411
 Fax: 502.962.6411
 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

Imber Page 5 of 9

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

	Route	Freq.		
LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.	Cust. #	EL056	Project	EW Brown - Form C Renew
Roger Medina	Phone	(502) 627-2997		
220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010	Fax	(502) 627-2550	Cust. P.O.	620758
Louisville, KY 40232	Email	roger.medina@lge-ku.eom	Permit #	
EW Brown - Form C - KPDES Renewal	Acet. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN		

Containers/Preservative

Analysis

Method

Suppress On COA # Bottles Units

Min Max

Instructions	oling Tower Blowdo	ND.	Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID				
Type Grab	Joining Tomai Siottaa						
continued			$1 = 10^{-10}$				
			$(-c_3)(1)(3)(3)$				
Chlorine, Total Residual	HACH 8167	A-FIELD PARAMETERS	(1 422 MCD G G				
Flow by Calculation	EPA 600						
Aluminum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HN03	ug/L (1997)				
Antimony	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	<u>uq/L</u>				
Arsenic	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Barium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Beryllium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Boron	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L				
Cadmium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Chromium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Cobalt	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Copper	EPA 200.8	8-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Iron	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Lead	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Magnesium	EPA 200.8	8-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	mg/L				
Manganese	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Molybdenum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Nickei	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Selenium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Silver	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Thalllum	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Tin	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	rng/L				
Titanium	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	rng/L				
Zinc	EPA 200.8	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3	ug/L				
Oil and Grease, Total	EPA 16648	C-1 LITER O&G-H2SO4	rng/L				
Phenolics, Tot. Recoverable	EPA 420.4	C-250 ML AMBER GLASS-H2SO4	rng/L				
COD	SM 5220D	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L				
Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite	EPA 300.0	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L				
Phosphorus, Total	EPA 365.1	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4	mg/L				
Total Organic Carbon	SM 5310C	C-40 ML AMBER VOA VIALS-H2SO4	mg/L				
E, coli	SM9223B (Colilert-18)	D-STERILE BAC-T CUP-NA2S2O3	MPN/100mL				

Attachnicht Fto Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Kentucky Testing Divison 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Imber

Evansville 812,464,9000 Lexington 859,276,3506 Paducah 270,898,3637 Hazard 606,487,0511

Imber Page 6 of 9

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

		R	oute	Freq.			
LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Boz Louisville, KY 40232	x 32010	C P F E	Cust, # hone 'ax Cmail	EL056 (502) 627-2997 (502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Project EW Cust. P.O. 62 Permit #	Brown - Form 20758	IC Renew
EW Brown - Form C - KPI	DES Renewal		.cct. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN			
Analysis	Method	Containers/Preservative		Suppress On COA #	Bottles Units	Min	Max
Instructions	and in the same different				and the second second second second	and the surface has	and the second
EA OUR CHANGE OF	annual games games	REMARK.			Non-Questi, () more (c)	AND DESCRIPTION	ALLEY ALLEY
a)ile (SiH)						1	6.88
Contended			-	1-23/11	1325		per fre
Color. Platinum-Cobalt	SM 21208	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C					
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C					
Color, Platinum-Cobalt	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			··· ·	
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	CHEM-4°C A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN		• •			
		CHEM-4°C					
Calcium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HN	03				
Hardness Pkg. By ICP	SM 2340B	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HN	03				
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HN	03			-	
Nitrogen, Ammonia	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4					
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahi	SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4					
Environmentai Fee	NA	NA					
рН - Field	SM 4500 H+ B	NA			SU	7.51	
Temperature at pH - Fleid	SM 2550B	NA			deg C	13.2°	
Volatile Organic Compounds -	624 EPA 624	0-40 ML VOA VIALS-HCL			mg/L		
Cyanide, Total	SM 4500 CN E	O-CYANIDE-NAOH 250 ML			mg/L		
Sulfide	SM 4500 S2 D	O-SULFIDE-NAOH/ZNC4H6O4			mg/L		
Sulfite	SM 4500 SO3 B	O-SULFITE-EDTA-ZERO HEADSP	ACE		mg/L		
08 003 - Unit 3 Co	ooling Tower Blowdo	own - Low Level Mercury		Samp	le Date/Time/Fiel	d Results/Met	er D
Type Grab				1-23	-19 133	ю	
Mercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTRA	CLEAN		ng/L	naniformani manaki manaki minanifordi	
09 003 - Unit 3 Co	ooling Tower Blowdo	own - Low Level Mercury Bl	ank	Samp	le Date/Time/Fiel	d Results/Met	er ID
Type Grab				1.23	- 19 13	30	
Mercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTRA	CLEAN		ng/L		THE CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR OF
10 005 - Plant Int	ake - Herrington La	ke		Samp	le Date/Time/Fiel	d Results/Met	er ID
Type Grab				1-23	•17 /	130	
Semi-Volatile Organics - 625	EPA 625	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C			mg/L		
BOD, 5 Day	SM 5210 B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM	-4°C		mg/L		
Solids, Total Suspended	USGS I-3765-85	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM	-4°C		mg/L		
Surfactants, MBAS	SM 5540C	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM	-4°C		mg/L		
Bromide	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C		-	mg/L		
Chloride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C			mg/L		
Fluoride	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C			mg/L		
Sulfate	EPA 300.0	A-50 ML PLASTIC DIGITUBE-4°C		1 - 1.00.00 m 1.0	mg/L		
					Γ	Page 32	of 35

Attachine hE3to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

Kentucky Testing Divison

 Fon
 Page 114 of 286

 962.6400 Fax; 502.962.6411
 Imber

 NR08 3637 Hazard 606.487.0511
 Imber

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Freq. Route EW Brown - Form C Renew Project EL056 LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC. Cust. # (502) 627-2997 Roger Medina Phone 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 (502) 627-2550 Cust. P.O. 620758 Fax Louisville, KY 40232 Permit # roger.medina@lge-ku.com Email JOAN HEINSOHN Acct. Mgr. EW Brown - Form C - KPDES Renewal

Bottles Units Min Max **Containers/Preservative** Suppress On COA Method Analysis Instructions Terrapia (Same Classe States: Annalia/Market (A) UTITE - PROFILE UNITABLE - MARTINESSON Labor 10 Tops Crest Transformer: 1-225 1.15 63071 A-FIELD PARAMETERS HACH 8167 Chlorine, Total Residual 001 \sim MGD A-FIELD PARAMETERS Flow by Calculation EPA 600 ug/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Aluminum ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Antimony ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 <u>Arsenic</u> ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Barlum ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Beryilium mq/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Boron B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 Cadmium ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Chromium_ ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Cobalt ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Copper ug/L EPA 200.8 B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Iron B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L EPA 200.8 Lead mg/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Magnesium ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 Manganese EPA 200.8 ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Molybdenum ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Nickel ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Selenium ua/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Silver B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 ug/L Thailium EPA 200.8 mq/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Tin mg/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Titanium ug/L B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-HNO3 EPA 200.8 Zinc mg/L C-1 LITER O&G-H2SO4 EPA 1664B Oil and Grease, Total mg/L C-250 ML AMBER GLASS-H2SO4 Phenolics, Tot. Recoverable EPA 420.4 mg/L C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 COD SM 5220D C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 mg/L EPA 300.0 Nitrogen, Nitrate + Nitrite mg/L C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 EPA 365.1 Phosphorus, Total mg/L SM 5310C C-40 ML AMBER VOA VIALS-H2SO4 Total Organic Carbon MPN/100mL D-STERILE BAC-T CUP-NA2S2O3 SM9223B (Colilert-18) E. coli

Attachine to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Kentucky Testing Divison 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Imher

Evansville 812,464,9000 Lexington 859,276,3506 Paducah 270,898,3637 Hazard 606,487,0511

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Imber Page 8 of 9

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Route	Freq.			
LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC. Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Boy Louisville, KY 40232	< 32010		Cust. # Phone Fax Email	EL056 (502) 627-2997 (502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Project EW Cust. P.O. 6 Permit #	7 Brown - Form (20758	C Renew
ew Brown - Corm C - KPL 	Method	Containers/Preservative	Acct. Mgr.	Suppress On COA - +	t Pottlog Units	M:	Max
la native tie no	inceniou				· Dotties Onits	IVIII	Wax
The Craft Craft	unior - Marrington L	344		The second se	1-2-19	pryse	
Color, Platinum-Cobait	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C					
oH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C					
Color, Platinum-Cobalt	SM 2120B	A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN					
pH (at Color determination)	SM 2120B	CHEM-4°C A-1 LITER PLASTIC - GEN CHEM-4°C			••••••		
Calcium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-H	INO3				
lardness Pkg. By ICP	SM 2340B	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-H	INO3				
Magnesium	EPA 200.7	B-250 ML PLASTIC-METALS-H	INO3				
Nitrogen, Ammonia Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	SM 4500 NH3 G SM 4500 NH3 G	C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4 C-250 ML PLASTIC - H2SO4					
nvironmental Fee	NA	NA					
)H - Field	SM 4500 H+ B	NA			SU	7.78	
emperature at pH - Field	SM 2550B	NA			deg C	10.90	
/olatile Organic Compounds - (624 EPA 624	O-40 ML_VOA VIALS-HCL			mg/L		
Cyanide, Total	SM 4500 CN E	O-CYANIDE-NAOH 250 ML			mg/L		
Sulfide	SM 4500 S2 D	O-SULFIDE-NAOH/ZNC4H6O4			mg/L		
Sulfite	SM 4500 SO3 B	O-SULFITE-EDTA-ZERO HEADS	PACE		mg/L		
l1 — 005 - Plant Inta	ake - Herrington La	ike - Low Level Mercury		Samp	le Date/Time/Fiel	d Results/Meter	ID
Type Grab					- 23 - 19	1140	
1ercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTR	A CLEAN		лg/L		
2 005 - Plant Inta Type Grab	ake - Herrington La	ike - Low Level Mercury Bl	ank	Samp /-	le Date/Time/Fiel	d Results/Meter	D
1ercury	EPA 1631E	A-MERCURY LOW LEVEL-ULTR	A CLEAN		ng/L		
<u>SETUP:</u> Deg C	Sunny/Cloudy/Ptly	Cloudy/Rain <u>REC</u> :	Deg C Sun	ny/Cloudy/Ptly Cloudy/	/Rain <u>Rain Du</u>	ring Event: YE	S / NC
NOTES:							
					andatton	sam Sam	pled By
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:	E fam	-1-23-17 1550	Rec'd Date/	lime/Sign: Yau	B 1.2319	(550	
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:			Rec'd Date/	Fime/Sign:			
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:			Rec'd Date/	Fime/Sign:			
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••					<u>,,,,,,,</u>		

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Kentucky Testing Divison 3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Page 116 of 286

Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

Imber Page 9 of 9

	CHAIN OF CUSTODY	
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:	Rec'd Date/Time/Sign:	
SAMPLE RECEIPT DOCUMENTATION	Cooler/Sample Temp (Deg C): LEXEVV PADLOU HAZ	
COC & proper paperwork provided & cor Appropriate bottles provided intact with Chain of Custody seal intact?	nplete / COC, samples, & bottles are in agreement: sufficient volume / Samples are within hold time / Samples properly preserved : ples on Ice? $\frac{\sqrt{e-5}}{\sqrt{2}}$ Number of bottles $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}$? Thermometer ID $\frac{1-\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$	/ NO / NO
Notes, Correspondence, Subcontracting,	<u>& Non-Conformance Documentation (All Non-Conformances must be documented & client notified) :</u>	
H 7.76 H 8.24 pH7.54 pH	7.32	
40 8.7 (1000 ? 0.6 Tem/3.1 K Fer	P 11, 0	

TRC 0.01



Page 117 of 286 Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1314

LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.

Project Name: EW Brown - Form C - Radiologicals

Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232 Project / PO Number: 620758 Received: 01/23/2019 Reported: 02/27/2019

Analytical Testing Parameters

Client Sample ID: Sample Matrix: Lab Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond WATER L9A1314-01				Collected E Collection	By: Date:	Brando 01/23/2	on Thorpe 2019 14:15	
Gross Alpha & Beta		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM 7110B									
Gross Alpha		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Gross Beta		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Radionuclides		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepar	ed	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.8									
Uranium		See attached		mg/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500 RA-	В								
Radium 226		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Mathadi SM 7500 BA	D								
Dodium 229		Soo attachad		nCi/l		00/07/10	1510	02/26/10 1520	
raululli 220		See allached		poi/L		02/27/19	1318	02/20/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500-SR	B (Modified)								
Strontium		See attached		pCi/g		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL

Page 1 of 41

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1314

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Towe	ers Blowdown							
Sample Matrix: Lab Sample ID:	WATER L9A1314-02					By: Date:	r: Brandon Thorpe ate: 01/23/2019 12:30		
Gross Alpha & Beta		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM 7110B									
Gross Alpha		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Gross Beta		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Radionuclides		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	red	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.8									
Uranium		See attached		mg/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500 RA	В								
Radium 226		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500 RA	Đ								
Radium 228		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500-SR	B (Modified)								
Strontium		See attached		pCi/g		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowd	lown							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected E	By:	Brando	on Thorpe	
Lab Sample ID:	L9A1314-03				Collection	Date:	01/23/2	2019 13:25	
Gross Alpha & Beta		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SM 7110B									
Gross Alpha		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Gross Beta		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Radionuclides		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepa	ared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: EPA 200.8									
Uranium		See attached		mg/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500 RA	B								
Radium 226		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500 RA-	Đ								
Radium 228		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: SM 7500-SR	B (Modified)								
Strontium		See attached		pCi/g		02/27/19	1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com

Page 2 of 41

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9A1314

Sample Matrix: WATER Lab Sample ID: Collected By: L9A1314-04 Brandon Thorpe O1/23/2019 11:30 Gross Alpha & Beta Result RL Units Note Prepared Analyzed Analyzed Method: SM 7110B See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gross Alpha Gross Alpha See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gr Radionuclides Result RL Units Note Prepared Analyzed Analyzed Method: See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gr Radionuclides Result RL Units Note Prepared Analyzed Analyzed Method: EPA 200.8 mg/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gr Method: SM 7500 RA-B See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gr Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 G	Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lak	ke						
Lab Sample ID: L9A1314-04 Collection Date: 01/23/2019 11:30 Gross Alpha & Beta Result RL Units Note Prepared Analyzed	Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected B	By: Brar	idon Thorpe	
Cross Alpha & BetaResultRLUnitsNotePreparedAnalyzed <th>Lab Sample ID:</th> <th>L9A1314-04</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Collection I</th> <th>Date: 01/2</th> <th>3/2019 11:30</th> <th></th>	Lab Sample ID:	L9A1314-04				Collection I	Date: 01/2	3/2019 11:30	
Method: SM 7110BGross Alpha Gross BetaSee attached See attachedPCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiRadionuclidesResultRLUnitsNotePreparedAnalyzedAnalyzedAnalyzedMethod: EPA 200.8 UraniumSee attachedmg/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-B Radium 226See attachedmg/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-D Radium 228See attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) StrontiumSee attachedpCi/g02/27/19151802/26/191520GiDefinitionsSee attachedpCi/g02/27/19151802/26/191520Gi	Gross Alpha & Beta		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Gross AlphaSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiGross BetaSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiRadionuclidesResultRLUnitsNotePreparedAnalyzedAnaMethod: EPA 200.8UraniumSee attachedmg/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-BSee attachedmg/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-DSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-DSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-DSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 -SR B (Modified)See attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiDefinitionsSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520Gi	Method: SM 7110B								
Gross BetaSee attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiRadionuclidesResultRLUnitsNotePreparedAnalyzedAnaMethod: EPA 200.8 UraniumSee attachedmg/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-B Radium 226See attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500 RA-D Radium 228See attachedpCi/L02/27/19151802/26/191520GiMethod: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) StrontiumSee attachedpCi/g02/27/19151802/26/191520GiDefinitions	Gross Alpha		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19 1518	8 02/26/19 1520	GEL
RadionuclidesResultRLUnitsNotePreparedAnalyzed	Gross Beta		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19 1518	8 02/26/19 1520	GEL
Method: EPA 200.8 rg/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500 RA-B Radium 226 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500 RA-D Radium 228 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Definitions See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi	Radionuclides		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Uranium See attached mg/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI Method: SM 7500 RA-B See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI Definitions See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI	Method: EPA 200.8								
Method: SM 7500 RA-B Radium 226 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Method: SM 7500 RA-D Radium 228 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Definitions Strontium See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE	Uranium		See attached		mg/L		02/27/19 1518	02/26/19 1520	GEL
Radium 226 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500 RA-D Radium 228 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Strontium See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Definitions See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi	Method: SM 7500 RA-	В							
Method: SM 7500 RA-D See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Definitions Definitions Definition Defini Definition Defini	Radium 226		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19 1518	8 02/26/19 1520	GEL
Radium 228 See attached pCi/L 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) Strontium See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi Definitions See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 Gi	Method: SM 7500 RA-	D							
Method: SM 7500-SR B (Modified) Strontium pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GE Definitions Example 1 Example 2	Radium 228		See attached		pCi/L		02/27/19 1518	8 02/26/19 1520	GEL
Strontium See attached pCi/g 02/27/19 1518 02/26/19 1520 GI	Method: SM 7500-SR	B (Modified)							
Definitions	Strontium		See attached		pCi/g		02/27/19 1518	8 02/26/19 1520	GEL
RL: Reporting Limit	Definitions RL:	Reporting Limit							

Report Comments

The data and information on this, and other accompanying documents, represents only the sample(s) analyzed. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the footnote are present and an authorized signature is included.

Reviewed and Approved By:

JOAN HEINSOHN Account Manager Reported: 02/27/2019 15:35

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com

Page 3 of 41

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question

(S) MICROBAC*

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411

Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270.898.3637 Hazard 606.487.0511

Page 1 of 2

12:1

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.Cust. #EL056ProjectEW Brown - Form C RadsRoger MedinaPhone(502) 627-2997220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010Fax(502) 627-2550Cust. P.O. 620758Louisville, KY 40232Emailroger.medina@lge-ku.comPermit #EW Brown - Form C - RadiologicalsAcct. Mgr.JOAN HEINSOHN		Route	Fre	q.	
	LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC. Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232 EW Brown - Form C - Radiologicals	Cust. # Phone Fax Email Acct. Mgr.	EL056 (502) 627-2997 (502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.cor JOAN HEINSOHN	Project Cust. P.O. n Permit#	EW Brown - Form C Rads 620758

Analysis Method **Containers/Preservative** Suppress On COA # Bottles Units Min Max Instructions 001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond 01 Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID Type Grab 1-23-19 1415 Gross Alpha SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 nCi/I B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 Gross Beta SM 7110B pCi/L Radium 226 SM 7500 RA-B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 228 SM 7500 RA-D B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Strontium - 90 SM 7500-SR B (Modified) B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/g Uranium EPA 200.8 B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 mg/L 02 002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Towers Blowdown Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID Type Grab 1-23-19 1230 Gross Alpha SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/l Gross Beta SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 226 SM 7500 RA-B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 228 SM 7500 RA-D B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/l Strontium - 90 SM 7500-SR B (Modified) B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCl/g Uranium EPA 200.8 B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 mg/L 003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown 03 Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID Type Grab 1-23-19 1325 Gross Alpha SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Gross Beta SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 226 SM 7500 RA-B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 228 SM 7500 RA-D B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCI/L Strontium - 90 SM 7500-SR B (Modified) B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/g Uranium EPA 200.8 B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 mg/L 04 005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake Sample Date/Time/Field Results/Meter ID

Type Grab 1-23-19 1130 Gross Alpha SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/l Gross Beta SM 7110B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/l Radium 226 SM 7500 RA-B B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Radium 228 SM 7500 RA-D B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/L Strontlum - 90 SM 7500-SR B (Modified) B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 pCi/g Uranium EPA 200.8 B-1 LITER PLASTIC - DW METALS-HNO3 mg/L

SETUP: ____Deg C Sunny/Cloudy/Ptly Cloudy/Rain <u>REC:</u> ____Deg C Sunny/Cloudy/Ptly Cloudy/Rain <u>Rain During Event</u>: YES / NC

NOTES:

Frances Thome Sampled By

Page 4 of 41



a member of The GEL Group INC

PO Box 30712 CAMDDC & C 29417 2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 P 843.556.8171 F 843.766.1178

gel.com

February 25, 2019

Ms. Joan Heinsohn Company: Microbac Laboratories, Inc Kentucky Division 3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard Louisville, Kentucky 40213

Re: Radiochemistry Analysis-Kentucky Work Order: 469928 SDG: L9A1314

Dear Ms. Heinsohn:

GEL Laboratories, LLC (GEL) appreciates the opportunity to provide the enclosed analytical results for the sample(s) we received on January 28, 2019. This original data report has been prepared and reviewed in accordance with GEL's standard operating procedures.

Our policy is to provide high quality, personalized analytical services to enable you to meet your analytical needs on time every time. We trust that you will find everything in order and to your satisfaction. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at (843) 556-8171, ext. 4778.

Sincerely,

200 (\mathcal{D})

Hope Taylor Project Manager

Purchase Order: GELP16-0258 Enclosures



Page 5 of 41

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

Certificate of Analysis Report for

MBAC001 Microbac Laboratories

Client SDG: L9A1314 GEL Work Order: 469928

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

* A quality control analyte recovery is outside of specified acceptance criteria

DC

- ** Analyte is a Tracer compound
- ** Analyte is a surrogate compound
- U Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, MDC or LOD.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the Certificate of Analysis.

The designation ND, if present, appears in the result column when the analyte concentration is not detected above the limit as defined in the 'U' qualifier above.

This data report has been prepared and reviewed in accordance with GEL Laboratories LLC standard operating procedures. Please direct any questions to your Project Manager, Hope Taylor.

Reviewed by

25 2010 4 D.4 Eak

									r	Report Dat	e: Fe	bruary .	25, 2019
	Company :	Con	pany: Microbac Lab	oratories, Inc	Kentucky D	ivision							
	Address :	332	3 Gilmore Industrial I	Boulevard									
		Lou	isville Kentucky 40'	213									
	Contact:	Me	Ioan Heinschn	215									
	Project:	Rad	iochemistry Analysis	-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID:	IQA	1314 01	nontaony		Dro	ioot:		MBA	C00116			
	Chefit Sample ID.	1/0	1314-01				JEUI.		MDA	C00110			
	Sample ID:	4093	928001			Che	ent ID	:	MBA	10001			
	Matrix:	Wat	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 14:15										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clie	nt										
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.3	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			3.67	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2026	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods w	ere pe	rformed:										
Method	Desci	iptior	l		Analyst	Date	1	Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	S 200.2	PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	18	343973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				А	nalys	t Coi	nmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8						- /-					

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor RL: Reporting Limit MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

2010

									ľ	leport Dat	e: Fe	bruary .	25, 2019
	Company :	Con	pany: Microbac Labor	ratories, Inc	Kentucky Di	ivision							
	Address :	332	Gilmore Industrial B	oulevard	-								
		Lou	isville Kentucky 4021	13									
	Contact:	Mo	Joan Hoinsohn	15									
	Project:	Rad	jochemistry Analysis-I	Kentucky									
		LOA		Kentucky			• ,			000116			
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-02			Pro	oject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	4699	928002			Cli	ent ID	:	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wat	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 12:30										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clie	nt										
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.3	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			0.582	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2039	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods w	ere pe	rformed:										
Method	Desci	iptior	l		Analyst	Date		Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	S 200.2	PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	18	43973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Cor	nment	S			
1	EPA 20	0.8											

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor RL: Reporting Limit MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

25 2010 + Dat Eak

									1	Report Dat	e: Fe	bruary	25, 2019
	Company :	Con	npany: Microbac Labo	oratories, Inc	Kentucky D	ivision							
	Address :	332	3 Gilmore Industrial E	Boulevard									
		Lou	isville. Kentucky 402	213									
	Contact:	Ms.	Joan Heinsohn										
	Project:	Rad	iochemistry Analysis-	-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-03			Pro	ject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	469	928003			Cli	ent ID):	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wat	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 13:25										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clie	nt										
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.2	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			0.453	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00) 1	BAJ	01/29/19	2042	1843974	1
The follow	ring Prep Methods w	ere pe	erformed:										
Method	Desci	iptior	1		Analyst	Date		Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	S 200.2	2 PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	1	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods v	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	nalys	t Co	mmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8											

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity RL: Reporting Limit MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

25 2010 4 D.4 Eak

									1	Report Dat	e: Fe	bruary .	25, 2019
	Company :	Con	pany: Microbac Labo	oratories, Inc	Kentucky D	ivision							
	Address :	3323	3 Gilmore Industrial E	Soulevard	-								
		Lou	avilla Vantualar 400	12									
	Contoot	Lou	Isville, Kentucky 402	15									
	Contact:	MS.	Joan Heinsonn	77 . 1									
	Project:	Rad	iochemistry Analysis-	Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	.1314-04			Pro	oject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	4699	928004			Cli	ent ID):	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wat	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 11:30										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clie	nt										
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.3	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			0.359	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2046	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods w	ere pe	rformed:										
Method	Descr	iptior	1		Analyst	Date		Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	S 200.2	PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	13	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Coi	nmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8					-						

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity RL: Reporting Limit MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Report Date: February 25, 2019

	Company : Address :	Mic 332	robac Laboratries, 3 Gilmore Industri	, Inc. ial Boulevard						1		J	,
	Contact: Project:	Lou Mr. Rad	isville, Kentucky David Lester iochemistry Analy	40213 /sis-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID: Sample ID: Matrix: Collect Date: Receive Date: Collector:	L9A 4699 Wat 23-J 28-J Clie	1314-01 928001 er AN-19 14:15 AN-19 nt			Pro Cli	oject: ent ID	:	MBA MBA	AC00116 AC001			
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.8	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			3.67	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2026	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods we	ere pe	erformed:										
Method	Descr	iptior	1		Analyst	Date	1	Tim	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	\$ 200.2	2 PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	1	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Co	mmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8											

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor RL: Reporting Limit MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Report Date: February 25, 2019

	Company : Address :	Mic 332	robac Laboratries, 3 Gilmore Industria	Inc. al Boulevard						1		j	- ,
	Contact: Project:	Lou Mr. Rad	isville, Kentucky 4 David Lester iochemistry Analys	40213 sis-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID: Sample ID: Matrix: Collect Date: Receive Date: Collector:	L9A 4699 Wat 23-J 28-J Clie	1314-02 928002 er AN-19 12:30 AN-19 nt			Pro Cli	oject: ent ID	::	MBA MBA	C00116 C001			
Parameter	Qualit	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.8 Uranium	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"	0.582	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2039	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods we	ere pe	erformed:			ç							
Method	Descr	iption	1		Analyst	Date		Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	\$ 200.2	2 PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	1	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Co	mmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8					-						

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity RL: Reporting Limit MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Report Date: February 25, 2019

	Company : Address :	Mic: 3323	robac Laboratries, 3 3 Gilmore Industria	Inc. Il Boulevard						1		j	-,
		Lou	isville, Kentucky 4	40213									
	Contact:	Mr.	David Lester										
	Project:	Rad	iochemistry Analys	sis-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-03			Pro	ject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	4699	928003			Cli	ent ID	:	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wat	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 13:25										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clie	nt										
Parameter	Quali	ier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.8	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"											
Uranium			0.453	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2042	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods we	ere pe	erformed:										
Method	Descr	iption	1		Analyst	Date		Time	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	\$ 200.2	2 PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	1	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Co	mmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8											

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor RL: Reporting Limit MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Report Date: February 25, 2019

	Company : Address :	Mic 332	robac Laboratries, l 3 Gilmore Industria	nc. l Boulevard						I		j	-,
	Contact: Project:	Lou Mr. Rad	isville, Kentucky 4 David Lester iochemistry Analys	0213 is-Kentucky									
	Client Sample ID: Sample ID: Matrix: Collect Date: Receive Date: Collector:	L9A 4699 Wat 23-J 28-J Clie	1314-04 928004 er AN-19 11:30 AN-19 nt			Pro Cli	oject: ent ID	:	MBA MBA	AC00116 AC001			
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	DL	RL	Units	PF	DF	Anal	yst Date	Time	Batch	Method
Metals Ana	alysis-ICP-MS												
200.2/200.8 Uranium	8 Uranium "As Rece	ived"	0.359	0.067	0.200	ug/L	1.00	1	BAJ	01/29/19	2046	1843974	1
The follow	ing Prep Methods w	ere pe	erformed:			8							
Method	Descr	iptior	1		Analyst	Date	1	Tim	e P	rep Batch			
EPA 200.2	ICP-M	S 200.2	2 PREP		JXM8	01/28/19		1600	1	843973			
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	ods w	vere performed:										
Method	Descri	ption				A	Analys	t Co	mmen	ts			
1	EPA 20	0.8											

Notes:

Column headers are defined as follows: Lc/LC: Critical Level DF: Dilution Factor **DL:** Detection Limit PF: Prep Factor MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity RL: Reporting Limit MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

N/A N1 See case narrative

- Analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit
- NJ Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier
- Q One or more quality control criteria have not been met. Refer to the applicable narrative or DER.
- R Sample results are rejected
- U Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, MDC or LOD.

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Report Date: February 25, 2019

Case No. 2022-00402

Page 131 of 286

Imber

Page 1 of 2

Contact: Ms. Joan Heinsohn Workorder: 469928

Parmname NOM Sample Qual QC Units RPD% REC% Range Anlst Date Time Metals Analysis - ICPMS Batch 1843974 QC1204207139 469928001 DUP 3.67 3.81 Uranium 3.64 BAJ 01/29/19 20:30 ug/L (0% - 20%)OC1204207138 LCS 50.0 50.1 100 Uranium ug/L (85%-115%) 01/29/19 20:23 QC1204207137 MB U ND Uranium ug/L 01/29/19 20:20 QC1204207140 469928001 MS Uranium 50.0 3.67 55.2 ug/L 103 (75%-125%) 01/29/19 20:33 QC1204207141 469928001 SDILT 0.747 01/29/19 20:36 Uranium 3.67 ug/L 1.72 (0% - 10%)

Notes:

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

- < Result is less than value reported
- > Result is greater than value reported
- Е % difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria

Company: Microbac Laboratories, Inc Kentucky Division

3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard

Louisville, Kentucky

- FB Mercury was found present at quantifiable concentrations in field blanks received with these samples. Data associated with the blank are deemed invalid for reporting to regulatory agencies
- Analytical holding time was exceeded Η
- J Value is estimated
- Ν Metals--The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits
- RPD or %Recovery limits do not apply.
- ND

Page 132 of 286

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

WORKOR	uer: 469928									Page	e 2 of 2
Parmnar	ne	NOM	Sample Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range	Anlst	Date	Time
Х	Consult Case Narrative, Data S	Summary package	e, or Project Manager c	oncerning	this qualifi	er					

Y Other specific qualifiers were required to properly define the results. Consult case narrative.

^ RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL. Qualifier Not Applicable for Radiochemistry.

h Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded

Wanlandon

4/00000

N/A indicates that spike recovery limits do not apply when sample concentration exceeds spike conc. by a factor of 4 or more or %RPD not applicable. ^ The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) obtained from the sample duplicate (DUP) is evaluated against the acceptance criteria when the sample is greater than five times (5X) the contract required detection limit (RL). In cases where either the sample or duplicate value is less than 5X the RL, a control limit of +/- the RL is used to evaluate the DUP result.

* Indicates that a Quality Control parameter was not within specifications.

For PS, PSD, and SDILT results, the values listed are the measured amounts, not final concentrations.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the QC Summary.

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Report Date: February 25, 2019

Page 133 of 286

Imber

	Microbac Laboratries, Inc.	Report Dute. I columy 23, 2017	Page 1 of 2
	3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard		0
	Louisville, Kentucky		
Contact:	Mr. David Lester		
Workorder:	469928		

Parmname	NOM	Sample Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range A	Anlst	Date Time
Metals Analysis - ICPMS Batch 1843974									
QC1204207139 469928001 D Uranium	UP	3.67	3.81	ug/L	3.64		(0%-20%)	BAJ	01/29/19 20:30
QC1204207138 LCS Uranium	50.0		50.1	ug/L		100	(85%-115%)		01/29/19 20:23
QC1204207137 MB Uranium		U	ND	ug/L					01/29/19 20:20
QC1204207140 469928001 M Uranium	is 50.0	3.67	55.2	ug/L		103	(75%-125%)		01/29/19 20:33
QC1204207141 469928001 SI Uranium	DILT	3.67	0.747	ug/L	1.72		(0%-10%)		01/29/19 20:36

Notes:

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

- < Result is less than value reported
- > Result is greater than value reported
- E %difference of sample and SD is >10%. Sample concentration must meet flagging criteria
- FB Mercury was found present at quantifiable concentrations in field blanks received with these samples. Data associated with the blank are deemed invalid for reporting to regulatory agencies
- H Analytical holding time was exceeded

J Value is estimated

- N Metals--The Matrix spike sample recovery is not within specified control limits
- N/A RPD or %Recovery limits do not apply.
- N1 See case narrative
- ND Analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit
- NJ Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier
- Q One or more quality control criteria have not been met. Refer to the applicable narrative or DER.
- R Sample results are rejected
- U Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, MDC or LOD.

Page 134 of 286

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

WOLKOLO	uer: 469928									Page	e 2 of 2
Parmnar	ne	NOM	Sample Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range	Anlst	Date	Time
Х	Consult Case Narrative, Data S	Summary package	e, or Project Manag	er concerning	g this qualifi	ier					

Y Other specific qualifiers were required to properly define the results. Consult case narrative.

^ RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL. Qualifier Not Applicable for Radiochemistry.

h Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded

Wanlandon

4/00000

N/A indicates that spike recovery limits do not apply when sample concentration exceeds spike conc. by a factor of 4 or more or %RPD not applicable. ^ The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) obtained from the sample duplicate (DUP) is evaluated against the acceptance criteria when the sample is greater than five times (5X) the contract required detection limit (RL). In cases where either the sample or duplicate value is less than 5X the RL, a control limit of +/- the RL is used to evaluate the DUP result.

* Indicates that a Quality Control parameter was not within specifications.

For PS, PSD, and SDILT results, the values listed are the measured amounts, not final concentrations.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the QC Summary.

Metals

Product: Determination of Metals by ICP-MS Analytical Method: EPA 200.8 **Analytical Procedure:** GL-MA-E-014 REV# 33 **Analytical Batch:** 1843974

<u>Preparation Method:</u> EPA 200.2 <u>Preparation Procedure:</u> GL-MA-E-016 REV# 18 <u>Preparation Batch:</u> 1843973

The following samples were analyzed using the above methods and analytical procedure(s).

Work Order #: 469928

<u>GEL Sample ID#</u>	Client Sample Identification
469928001	L9A1314-01
469928002	L9A1314-02
469928003	L9A1314-03
469928004	L9A1314-04
1204207137	Method Blank (MB)ICP-MS
1204207138	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)
1204207141	469928001(L9A1314-01L) Serial Dilution (SD)
1204207139	469928001(L9A1314-01D) Sample Duplicate (DUP)
1204207140	469928001(L9A1314-01S) Matrix Spike (MS)

The samples in this SDG were analyzed on an "as received" basis.

Data Summary:

All sample data provided in this report met the acceptance criteria specified in the analytical methods and procedures for initial calibration, continuing calibration, instrument controls and process controls where applicable, with the following exceptions.

Calibration Information

ICSA/ICSAB Statement

For the ICP-MS analysis, the ICSA solution contains analyte concentrations which are verified trace impurities indigenous to the purchased standard.

Radiochemistry

Product: GFPC, Ra228, Liquid <u>Analytical Method:</u> EPA 904.0/SW846 9320 Modified <u>Analytical Procedure:</u> GL-RAD-A-063 REV# 3 <u>Analytical Batch:</u> 1844202

<u>GEL Sample ID#</u>	Client Sample Identification
469928001	L9A1314-01
469928002	L9A1314-02
469928003	L9A1314-03
469928004	L9A1314-04
1204207743	Method Blank (MB)
1204207744	469671002(NonSDG) Sample Duplicate (DUP)
1204207745	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The samples in this SDG were analyzed on an "as received" basis.

Data Summary:

All sample data provided in this report met the acceptance criteria specified in the analytical methods and procedures for initial calibration, continuing calibration, instrument controls and process controls where applicable, with the following exceptions.

Technical Information

Recounts

Samples were re-eluted and recounted due to a low recovery. The recounts are reported.

<u>Product:</u> GFPC, Gross A/B, liquid <u>Analytical Method:</u> EPA 900.0/SW846 9310 <u>Analytical Procedure:</u> GL-RAD-A-001 REV# 20 <u>Analytical Batch:</u> 1845944

The following samples were analyzed using the above methods and analytical procedure(s).

GEL Sample ID#	Client Sample Identification
469928001	L9A1314-01
469928002	L9A1314-02
469928003	L9A1314-03
469928004	L9A1314-04
1204211483	Method Blank (MB)
1204211484	470317003(NonSDG) Sample Duplicate (DUP)
1204211485	470317003(NonSDG) Matrix Spike (MS)
1204211486	470317003(NonSDG) Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD)
1204211487	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The samples in this SDG were analyzed on an "as received" basis.

Data Summary:

All sample data provided in this report met the acceptance criteria specified in the analytical methods and procedures for initial calibration, continuing calibration, instrument controls and process controls where applicable, with the following exceptions.

Preparation Information

Aliquot Reduced

Aliquot volume was reduced due to the sample matrix. 469928001 (L9A1314-01).

Quality Control (QC) Information

Duplication Criteria between QC Sample and Duplicate Sample

The Sample and the Duplicate, (See Below), did not meet the relative percent difference requirement; however, they do meet the relative error ratio requirement with the value listed below.

Sample	Analyte	Value
1204211484 (Non SDG 470317003DUP)	BETA	RPD 28.6* (0.00%-20.00%) RER 1.68 (0-3)

Technical Information

Gross Alpha/Beta Preparation Information

High hygroscopic salt content in evaporated samples can cause the sample mass to fluctuate due to moisture absorption. To minimize this interference, the salts are converted to oxides by heating the sample under a flame until a dull red color is obtained. The conversion to oxides stabilizes the sample weight and ensures that proper alpha/beta efficiencies are assigned for each sample. Volatile radioisotopes of carbon, hydrogen, technetium, polonium and cesium may be lost during sample heating.

Miscellaneous Information

Additional Comments

The matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate, 1204211485 (Non SDG 470317003MS) and 1204211486 (Non SDG 470317003MSD), aliquots were reduced to conserve sample volume.

<u>Product:</u> GFPC, Sr90, liquid <u>Analytical Method:</u> EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE RP501 Rev. 1 Modified <u>Analytical Procedure:</u> GL-RAD-A-004 REV# 20 <u>Analytical Batch:</u> 1845973

The following samples were analyzed using the above methods and analytical procedure(s).

<u>GEL Sample ID#</u>	Client Sample Identification
469928001	L9A1314-01
469928002	L9A1314-02
469928003	L9A1314-03
469928004	L9A1314-04
1204211568	Method Blank (MB)
1204211569	469928004(L9A1314-04) Sample Duplicate (DUP)
1204211570	Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The samples in this SDG were analyzed on an "as received" basis.

Data Summary:

There are no exceptions, anomalies or deviations from the specified methods. All sample data provided in this

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 138 of 286 report met the acceptance criteria specified in the analytical methods and procedures for initial calibration,

continuing calibration, instrument controls and process controls where applicable.

<u>Product:</u> Lucas Cell, Ra226, liquid <u>Analytical Method:</u> EPA 903.1 Modified <u>Analytical Procedure:</u> GL-RAD-A-008 REV# 15 <u>Analytical Batch:</u> 1843819

The following samples were analyzed using the above methods and analytical procedure(s).

Client Sample Identification
L9A1314-01
L9A1314-02
L9A1314-03
L9A1314-04
Method Blank (MB)
469893010(NonSDG) Sample Duplicate (DUP)
469893010(NonSDG) Matrix Spike (MS)
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

The samples in this SDG were analyzed on an "as received" basis.

Data Summary:

All sample data provided in this report met the acceptance criteria specified in the analytical methods and procedures for initial calibration, continuing calibration, instrument controls and process controls where applicable, with the following exceptions.

Miscellaneous Information

Additional Comments

The matrix spike, 1204206747 (Non SDG 469893010MS), aliquot was reduced to conserve sample volume.

Certification Statement

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless otherwise noted in the analytical case narrative.

										К	epoir Da	le. re	oruary.	25, 2019
	Company :	Comp	pany: M	icrobac Labora	tories, Inc k	Kentucky D	ivision							
	Address :	3323	Gilmore	e industrial Bo	ulevard									
		Louis	sville, Ko	entucky 40213	3									
	Contact:	Ms. J	oan Hei	nsohn	_									
	Project:	Radio	ochemist	ry Analysis-K	entucky									
	Client Sample ID:	L9A1	314-01				Pı	roject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	46992	28001				C	lient ID	:	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wate	r											
	Collect Date:	23-JA	AN-19 14	4:15										
	Receive Date:	28-JA	AN-19											
	Collector:	Clien	t											
Demonster	Quali	£	Descrit	The sector inter-	MDC	DI	T Tasida	DE	DE	A a 1-	at Data		D. (1	Mathad
Parameter	Quan	ner	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	KL	Units	PF	DF	Analy	st Date		Batch	Method
Rad Gas Fl	low Proportional Co	unting												
GFPC, Gro	oss A/B, liquid "As F	Receive	d"	(0.074	1.10	2.00	0.4			LVDA	02/11/10	1750	1045044	
Alpha Beta			2.64	+/-0.974	1.12	3.00 4.00	pCi/L pCi/L			LXB3	02/11/19	1759	1845944	1
GEPC Ra?	228 Liquid "As Rece	eived"	7.07	17-1.02	1.57	4.00	pei/L							
Radium-228	220, Elquid The field	U	0.139	+/-0.367	0.649	1.00	pCi/L			JXC9	02/14/19	0908	1844202	2
GFPC, Sr9	0, liquid "As Receiv	ed"												
Strontium-90	•	U	0.772	+/-1.11	1.90	2.00	pCi/L			JXK3	02/11/19	1308	1845973	3
Rad Radiu	m-226													
Lucas Cell,	, Ra226, liquid "As I	Receive	ed"											
Radium-226			0.567	+/-0.355	0.465	1.00	pCi/L			PCW	02/08/19	0735	1843819	4
The follow	ving Analytical Meth	nods we	ere perfo	rmed:										
Method	Descri	iption						Analys	t Coi	nment	8			
1	EPA 90	00.0/SW8	346 9310											
2	EPA 90)4.0/SW8	846 9320 N	Addified	1.6. 1									
3	EPA 90 EPA 90)3.1 Mod	lified/DOE	2 RP501 Rev. 1 M	odified									
- Surrogate/	Tracer Recovery	Test	inneu			R	lesult	Nomin	al	Reco	very%	Accer	otable L	imits
Barium-133 T	Fracer (GFPC, Ra	a228, Liqu	id "As Received"							86.3	(15	5%-125%))
Strontium Car	rrier C	GFPC, Sr	90, liquid	"As Received"							95.1	(25	5%-125%)	í.
Notes: Counting U	Jncertainty is calcula	ated at 1	the 95%	confidence lev	vel (1.96-sig	ma).								
Column he	eaders are defined as	follow	's:											
DF: Diluti	on Factor	//		Lc/LC: Criti	cal Level									
DL: Detec	tion Limit			PF: Prep Fac	ctor									
MDA: Mir	nimum Detectable A	ctivity		RL: Reportin	ng Limit									
MDC: Mir	nimum Detectable Co	oncenti	ation	SOL: Sampl	e Ouantitatio	on Limit								

										K	epoir Da	ie. re	oruary .	25, 2019
	Company :	Con	npany: M	icrobac Labora	tories, Inc k	Kentucky D	ivision							
	Address :	332	3 Gilmore	e Industrial Boi	ulevard									
		Lou	isville, K	entucky 40213	3									
	Contact:	Ms.	Joan Hei	nsohn										
	Project:	Rad		ry Analysis-Ko	entucky						000114			
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-02				Pr	oject:		MBA	C00116			
	Sample ID:	469	928002				C	lient ID	:	MBA	001			
	Matrix:	wat	ter	2.00										
	Collect Date:	23-J	IAN-19 II	2:30										
	Receive Date:	28-J	IAN-19											
	Collector:	Clie	ent											
Parameter	Ouali	fier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF	DF	Analy	st Date	Time	Batch	Method
Rad Gas Flo	w Proportional Cou	inting	5											
GEPC. Gros	s A/B, liquid "As R	eceiv	ved"											
Alpha	s i i b, iiquita i is i	U	1.21	+/-1.71	2.97	3.00	pCi/L			LXB3	02/11/19	1411	1845944	1
Beta			5.52	+/-1.56	2.18	4.00	pCi/L							
GFPC, Ra22	28, Liquid "As Rece	eived'	'											
Radium-228		U	0.451	+/-0.350	0.547	1.00	pCi/L			JXC9	02/14/19	0908	1844202	2
GFPC, Sr90	, liquid "As Receive	ed"												
Strontium-90	226	U	-0.742	+/-0.877	1.98	2.00	pCi/L			JXK3	02/11/19	1308	1845973	3
	-220 D 226 1: 114 T		1.1											
Lucas Cell, J	Ra226, liquid "As F	keceiv	ved"	. / 0.252	0.559	1.00	nC:/I			DCW	02/08/10	0725	10/2010	4
The fellers:		U a da se	-0.0388	+/-0.232	0.558	1.00	pCI/L			PCW	02/08/19	0755	1645619	4
I ne tollowi	ng Analytical Meth	ods v	vere perio	rmed:										
Method	Descri	ption	V046 0210					Analys	t Cor	nment	5			
1	EPA 90 FPA 90	0.0/SV 4.0/SV	V 840 9310 V 846 9320 N	Aodified										
3	EPA 90	5.0 Mc	odified/DOF	E RP501 Rev. 1 Mo	odified									
4	EPA 90	3.1 Mo	odified											
Surrogate/Ti	racer Recovery	Test				F	Result	Nomin	al	Reco	very%	Accer	otable Li	mits
Barium-133 Tra	acer G	FPC, I	Ra228, Liqu	id "As Received"							65.1	(15	5%-125%)	
Strontium Carri	ier G	FPC, S	Sr90, liquid	"As Received"							67	(25	5%-125%)	
Notes: Counting Ur	ncertainty is calcula	ted at	t the 95%	confidence lev	vel (1.96-sig	ma).								
Column hea	ders are defined as	follo	ws:											
DF: Dilution	n Factor			Lc/LC: Criti	cal Level									
DL: Detecti	on Limit			PF: Prep Fac	ctor									
MDA: Mini	mum Detectable A	ctivity	у	RL: Reportir	ng Limit									
MDC: Mini	mum Detectable Co	oncen	tration	SQL: Sample	e Quantitatio	on Limit								

									K	epoir Da	ie. re	oruary 2	25, 2019
Company	y:	Company: N	Aicrobac Lab	oratories, Inc	Kentucky	Division							
Address	:	3323 Gilmo	re Industrial	Boulevard									
]	Louisville, l	Kentucky 402	213									
Contact:]	Ms. Joan He	einsohn										
Project:]	Radiochemi	stry Analysis	-Kentucky									
Client Sa	mple ID: 1	L9A1314-0	3			Pı	roject:		MBA	C00116			
Sample I	D: 4	469928003				C	lient ID):	MBA	C001			
Matrix:	· ·	Water											
Collect I	Date:	23-JAN-19	13:25										
Receive	Date: 2	28-JAN-19											
Collector	r: (Client											
	0.110				DI	TT .		DE				D 1	
Parameter	Qualifie	er Result	Uncertaint	y MDC	RL	Units	PF	DF	Analy	st Date	Time	Batch	Method
Rad Gas Flow Propor	tional Coun	ting											
GFPC, Gross A/B, lic	juid "As Ree	ceived"			2.00	C . 4			LVDA	02/11/10	1.405	1045044	
Alpha Beta		U -0.23	4 + -1.3 4 + -1.7	5 2.93 7 2.88	3.00 4.00	pCi/L pCi/L			LXB3	02/11/19	1405	1845944	1
GFPC, Ra228, Liquid	l "As Receiv	ved"		2.00	4.00	pert							
Radium-228		U 0.55	63 +/-0.444	4 0.710	1.00	pCi/L			JXC9	02/14/19	0908	1844202	2
GFPC, Sr90, liquid "A	As Received	l''											
Strontium-90		U 0.73	5 +/-1.00	5 1.83	2.00	pCi/L			JXK3	02/11/19	1308	1845973	3
Rad Radium-226													
Lucas Cell, Ra226, lie	quid "As Re	ceived"			1.00	<i></i>			DOW	00/00/10		1010010	
Radium-226		0.88	30 +/-0.583	3 0.836	1.00	pC1/L			PCW	02/08/19	0735	1843819	4
The following Analy	tical Metho	ds were per	tormed:										
Method	Descript	tion	<u></u>				Analys	t Cor	nments	3			
1	EPA 900.0	0/SW846 9310 0/SW846 0220) Modified										
3	EPA 904. EPA 905.	0/3 w 840 9320 0 Modified/D0	ERP501 Rev. 1	Modified									
4	EPA 903.	1 Modified											
Surrogate/Tracer Rec	overy Te	est				Result	Nomin	al	Recov	very%	Accer	otable Li	imits
Barium-133 Tracer	GF	PC, Ra228, Lio	quid "As Receive	ed"						63.7	(15	5%-125%)	
Strontium Carrier	GF	PC, Sr90, liqui	d "As Received"							64.9	(25	5%-125%)	J.
Notes: Counting Uncertainty	is calculate	ed at the 95%	6 confidence	level (1.96-sig	gma).								
Column headers are o	defined as fo	ollows:											
DF: Dilution Factor			Lc/LC: Ci	ritical Level									
DL: Detection Limit			PF: Prep l	Factor									
MDA: Minimum Det	tectable Act	ivity .	RL: Repo	rting Limit									
MDC: Minimum Det	ectable Con	centration	SQL: San	ple Quantitati	on Limit								

										ĸ	epon Da	е: ге	oruary .	25, 2019
	Company : Address :	Com 3323	ipany: Mi 3 Gilmore	icrobac Labora e Industrial Bo	atories, Inc k ulevard	Kentucky D	Division							
	Contact: Project:	Loui Ms Radi	sville, Ko Joan Hei lochemist	entucky 40213 nsohn try Analysis-Ko	3 entucky									
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-04				P	roject:		MBA	200116			
	Sample ID:	4699	28004				С	lient ID):	MBA	C001			
	Matrix:	Wate	er											
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-19 1	1:30										
	Receive Date:	28-J	AN-19											
	Collector:	Clier	nt											
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF	DF	Analy	st Date	Time	Batch	Method
Rad Gas Flo	ow Proportional Cou	inting												
GFPC. Gros	ss A/B. liquid "As R	leceive	ed"											
Alpha		U	-0.0202	+/-1.22	2.57	3.00	pCi/L			LXB3	02/11/19	1407	1845944	1
Beta			2.92	+/-1.48	2.32	4.00	pCi/L							
GFPC, Ra2	28, Liquid "As Rece	eived"												
Radium-228		U	0.380	+/-0.389	0.645	1.00	pCi/L			JXC9	02/14/19	0912	1844202	2
GFPC, Sr90), liquid "As Receive	ed"	0.40	10.015	1.55	2.00	0.4			11/1/2	00/11/10	1200	1045052	2
Strontium-90	n 776	U	-0.48	+/-0.815	1.//	2.00	pCi/L			JXK3	02/11/19	1308	1845973	3
Kau Kaululi	D=220													
Lucas Cell,	Kazzo, liquid As F	veceiv	0 182	1/ 0 253	0.437	1.00	pCi/I			DCW	02/08/10	1020	18/3810	4
The fellour	ing Applytical Math	ode w	0.162	+/-0.255	0.437	1.00	pci/L			I C W	02/08/19	1020	1043019	4
Matha 1	Deseri	ious w	ere perio	illieu.				A 1						
Method	EDA 00	ption	846.0310					Analys	t Coi	nments	5			
2	EPA 90	4.0/SW	846 9310 846 9320 N	Aodified										
3	EPA 90	5.0 Mo	dified/DOE	E RP501 Rev. 1 M	odified									
4	EPA 90	3.1 Mo	dified											
Surrogate/T	racer Recovery	Test				I	Result	Nomir	nal	Recov	/ery%	Accer	table Li	imits
Barium-133 Ti	racer G	SFPC, R	a228, Liqu	id "As Received"							75	(15	5%-125%))
Strontium Carr	rier C	FPC, S	r90, liquid	"As Received"							73.5	(25	5%-125%)	1
Notes: Counting U	ncertainty is calcula	ited at	the 95%	confidence lev	vel (1.96-sig	ma).								
Column hea	aders are defined as	follov	vs:											
DF: Dilutio	on Factor			Lc/LC: Criti	cal Level									
DL: Detect	ion Limit			PF: Prep Fac	ctor									
MDA: Min	imum Detectable A	ctivity	7	RL: Reportir	ng Limit									
MDC: Min	imum Detectable Co	oncent	tration	SQL: Sample	e Quantitatio	on Limit								

Company : Address :	Microbac Laboratries, Inc. 3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard			
	Louisville, Kentucky 40213			
Contact:	Mr. David Lester			
Project:	Radiochemistry Analysis-Kentucky			
Client Sample ID:	L9A1314-01	Project:	MBAC00116	
Sample ID:	469928001	Client ID:	MBAC001	
Matrix:	Water			
Collect Date:	23-JAN-19 14:15			
Receive Date:	28-JAN-19			
Collector:	Client			

Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF 1	DF Analy	st Date	Time Batch	Method
Rad Gas Flow Proportion	nal Counting										
GFPC, Gross A/B, liquid	"As Receive	ed"									
Alpha		2.64	+/-0.974	1.12	3.00	pCi/L		LXB3	02/11/19	1759 1845944	1
Beta		7.87	+/-1.02	1.39	4.00	pCi/L					
GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "A	s Received"										
Radium-228	U	0.139	+/-0.367	0.649	1.00	pCi/L		JXC9	02/14/19	0908 1844202	2
GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"											
Strontium-90	U	0.772	+/-1.11	1.90	2.00	pCi/L		JXK3	02/11/19	1308 1845973	3
Rad Radium-226											
Lucas Cell, Ra226, liquid	l "As Receive	ed"									
Radium-226		0.567	+/-0.355	0.465	1.00	pCi/L		PCW	02/08/19	0735 1843819	4
The following Analytica	l Methods w	ere perfo	ormed:								
Method	Description						Analyst (Comments	3		
1	EPA 900.0/SW	846 9310									
2	EPA 904.0/SW	846 9320 I	Modified								
3	EPA 905.0 Mod	lified/DOI	E RP501 Rev. 1 Mod	dified							
4	EPA 903.1 Mod	lified									
Surrogate/Tracer Recover	ry Test				R	esult	Nominal	l Recov	/ery%	Acceptable L	imits
Barium-133 Tracer	GFPC, R	a228, Liqu	id "As Received"						86.3	(15%-125%))
Strontium Carrier	GFPC, Si	r90, liquid	"As Received"					1	95.1	(25%-125%))
Notes:											
Counting Uncertainty is o	calculated at	the 95%	confidence leve	el (1.96-sigr	ma).						
Column headers are defined as follows:											
DF: Dilution Factor			Lc/LC: Critic	al Level							

DF: Dilution Factor	Lc/LC: Critical Level
DL: Detection Limit	PF: Prep Factor
MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity	RL: Reporting Limit
MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration	SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Company : Address :	Microbac Laboratries, Inc. 3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard			
Contact:	Louisville, Kentucky 40213 Mr. David Lester			
Project:	Radiochemistry Analysis-Kentucky			
Client Sample ID:	L9A1314-02	Project:	MBAC00116	
Sample ID:	469928002	Client ID:	MBAC001	
Matrix:	Water			
Collect Date:	23-JAN-19 12:30			
Receive Date:	28-JAN-19			
Collector:	Client			

Qualifier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF I	DF Analy	st Date	Time Batch	Method
onal Counting	5									
d "As Receiv	ed"									
U	1.21	+/-1.71	2.97	3.00	pCi/L		LXB3	02/11/19	1411 1845944	1
	5.52	+/-1.56	2.18	4.00	pCi/L					
As Received'	1									
U	0.451	+/-0.350	0.547	1.00	pCi/L		JXC9	02/14/19	0908 1844202	2
Received"										
U	-0.742	+/-0.877	1.98	2.00	pCi/L		JXK3	02/11/19	1308 1845973	3
id "As Receiv	ved"									
U	-0.0388	+/-0.252	0.558	1.00	pCi/L		PCW	02/08/19	0735 1843819	4
al Methods w	vere perfo	rmed:								
Description						Analyst (Comments	5		
EPA 900.0/SW	/846 9310									
EPA 904.0/SW	/846 9320 N	Modified								
EPA 905.0 Mo	odified/DOE	E RP501 Rev. 1 Mo	dified							
EPA 903.1 Mo	odified									
ery Test				R	esult	Nominal	Recov	very%	Acceptable L	imits
GFPC, I	Ra228, Liqu	id "As Received"						65.1	(15%-125%)	
GFPC, S	Sr90, liquid	"As Received"						67	(25%-125%)	
calculated at	the 95%	confidence leve	el (1.96-sig	ma).						
fined as follo	ws:									
		Lc/LC: Critic	al Level							
	Quainter onal Counting d "As Received" U Received" U id "As Received" U id "As Received" EPA 900.0/SW EPA 905.0 Mc EPA 903.1 Mc GFPC, I GFPC, S is calculated at fined as follow	Qualifier Result onal Counting d "As Received" U 1.21 5.52 As Received" U 0.451 Received" U -0.742 id "As Received" U -0.742 id "As Received" U -0.0388 cal Methods were perfor Description EPA 900.0/SW846 9310 EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE EPA 903.1 Modified ery Test GFPC, Ra228, Liqu GFPC, Sr90, liquid a calculated at the 95% fined as follows:	Qualifier Result Uncertainty pnal Counting d "As Received" U 1.21 +/-1.71 5.52 +/-1.56 As Received" U 0.451 +/-0.350 Received" U -0.742 +/-0.877 id "As Received" U -0.742 +/-0.877 id "As Received" Description EPA 900.0/SW846 9310 EPA 900.0/SW846 9310 EPA 904.0/SW846 9320 Modified EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE RP501 Rev. 1 Mo EPA 903.1 Modified ery Test GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received" GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received" GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received" calculated at the 95% confidence level fined as follows: Lc/LC: Critic	QualifierResultUncertaintyMDConal Counting U 1.21+/-1.712.97 5.52 +/-1.562.18As Received"U0.451+/-0.3500.547Received"U-0.742+/-0.8771.98id "As Received"U-0.742+/-0.2520.558al Methods were performed:DescriptionEPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 905.0 Modified/DOE RP501 Rev. 1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 ModifiedEPA 905.0 Sr90, liquid "As Received"GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"Calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-signLc/LC: Critical Level	QualifierResultUncertaintyMDCRLonal Counting U 1.21+/-1.712.973.00 5.52 +/-1.562.184.00As Received" U 0.451+/-0.3500.5471.00Received" U -0.742+/-0.8771.982.00id "As Received" U -0.742+/-0.2520.5581.00id "As Received" U -0.0388+/-0.2520.5581.00eal Methods were performed: $Description$ $EPA 900.0/SW846 9310$ $EPA 900.0/SW846 9310$ $EPA 905.0$ Modified/DOE RP501 Rev. 1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 Modified ery $Test$ R $GFPC$, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received" $GFPC$, Sr90, liquid "As Received" R $calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).Eined as follows:Lc/LC: Critical Level$	QuantierResultUncertaintyMDCRLUnitsonal Countingd "As Received"U1.21+/-1.712.973.00pCi/L5.52+/-1.562.184.00pCi/LAs Received"U0.451+/-0.3500.5471.00pCi/LReceived"U-0.742+/-0.8771.982.00pCi/Lid "As Received"U-0.0388+/-0.2520.5581.00pCi/Lid "As Received"EPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 900.0/SW846 9320 ModifiedEPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 903.1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 ModifiedEPA 903.1 ModifiederyTestResultGFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"a calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).fined as follows:Lc/LC: Critical Level	QuantierResultUncertaintyMDCRLUnitsPFIonal Countingd "As Received"U 1.21 $+/-1.71$ 2.97 3.00 pCi/LAs Received"U 0.451 $+/-0.350$ 0.547 1.00 pCi/LReceived"U -0.742 $+/-0.877$ 1.98 2.00 pCi/Lid "As Received"U -0.742 $+/-0.877$ 1.98 2.00 pCi/Lid "As Received"U -0.742 $+/-0.252$ 0.558 1.00 pCi/Lal Methods were performed:DescriptionAnalyst OEPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 900.0/SW846 9320ModifiedEPA 900.0/SW846 9320ModifiedEPA 903.1ModifiederyTestResultNominalGFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"c calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).Eined as follows:Lc/LC: Critical Level	QualifierResultUncertaintyMDCRLUnitsPFDFAnalyonal Countingd "As Received"U1.21 $+/-1.71$ 2.973.00pCi/LLXB35.52 $+/-1.56$ 2.184.00pCi/LLXB3As Received"U0.451 $+/-0.350$ 0.5471.00pCi/LJXC9Received"U -0.742 $+/-0.877$ 1.982.00pCi/LJXK3id "As Received"U -0.0388 $+/-0.252$ 0.5581.00pCi/LPCWcal Methods were performed:DescriptionAnalyst CommentsEPA 900.0/SW846 9310EPA 904.0/SW846 9310EPA 903.1 ModifiederyTestResultNominalGFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "As Received"GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received"calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).Eined as follows:Lc/LC: Critical Level	QuartnerResultUncertaintyMDCRLUnitsPFDFAnalyst Dateonal Countingd "As Received"U1.21+/-1.712.973.00pCi/LLXB302/11/195.52+/-1.562.184.00pCi/LAs a constraint of the product o	Qualifier Result Uncertainty MDC RL Onits PF DF Analyst Date Time Batch onal Counting U 1.21 +/-1.71 2.97 3.00 pCi/L LXB3 02/11/19 1411 1845944 5.52 +/-1.56 2.18 4.00 pCi/L LXB3 02/11/19 190 1844202 Received" U 0.451 +/-0.350 0.547 1.00 pCi/L JXC9 02/14/19 0908 1844202 Received" U -0.742 +/-0.877 1.98 2.00 pCi/L JXK3 02/11/19 1308 1845973 id "As Received" U -0.742 +/-0.877 1.98 2.00 pCi/L PCW 02/08/19 0735 1843819 al Methods were performed: Description Analyst Comments EPA 900.0/SW846 9310 EPA 903.1 Modified EGPPC, Sr90, liquid "As Received" 65.1 (15%-125%) </td

DF: Dilution Factor	Lc/LC: Critical Level
DL: Detection Limit	PF: Prep Factor
MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity	RL: Reporting Limit
MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration	SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit
Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: February 25, 2019

Company : Address :	Microbac Laboratries, Inc. 3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard			
	Louisville, Kentucky 40213			
Contact:	Mr. David Lester			
Project:	Radiochemistry Analysis-Kentucky			
Client Sample ID:	L9A1314-03	Project:	MBAC00116	
Sample ID:	469928003	Client ID:	MBAC001	
Matrix:	Water			
Collect Date:	23-JAN-19 13:25			
Receive Date:	28-JAN-19			
Collector:	Client			

Parameter	Qualifier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF I	OF Analy	vst Date	Time Batch	Method	
Rad Gas Flow Proportion	nal Counting	<u>,</u>										
GFPC, Gross A/B, liquid	l "As Receiv	red"										
Alpha	U	-0.28	+/-1.35	2.93	3.00	pCi/L		LXB3	02/11/19	1405 1845944	1	
Beta	U	1.64	+/-1.72	2.88	4.00	pCi/L						
GFPC, Ra228, Liquid "A	s Received'											
Radium-228	U	0.553	+/-0.444	0.710	1.00	pCi/L		JXC9	02/14/19	0908 1844202	2	
GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As I	Received"											
Strontium-90	U	0.735	+/-1.06	1.83	2.00	pCi/L		JXK3	02/11/19	1308 1845973	3	
Rad Radium-226												
Lucas Cell, Ra226, liquid	d "As Receiv	ved"										
Radium-226		0.880	+/-0.583	0.836	1.00	pCi/L		PCW	02/08/19	0735 1843819	4	
The following Analytica	l Methods w	vere perfo	ormed:									
Method	Description					Analyst Comments						
1	EPA 900.0/SW	V846 9310										
2	EPA 904.0/SW	V846 9320 I	Modified									
3	EPA 905.0 Mo	odified/DOI	E RP501 Rev. 1 Mo	dified								
4	EPA 903.1 Mo	odified										
Surrogate/Tracer Recove	ry Test				R	esult	Nominal	Reco	very%	Acceptable L	imits	
Barium-133 Tracer	GFPC, I	Ra228, Liqu	id "As Received"						63.7	(15%-125%))	
Strontium Carrier	GFPC, S	Sr90, liquid	"As Received"						64.9	(25%-125%))	
Notes:												
Counting Uncertainty is	calculated at	t the 95%	confidence lev	el (1.96-sig	ma).							
Column headers are defi	ned as follo	ws:										
DE: Dilution Factor			I c/I C · Critic	al Loval								

DF: Dilution Factor	Lc/LC: Critical Level
DL: Detection Limit	PF: Prep Factor
MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity	RL: Reporting Limit
MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration	SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: February 25, 2019

									· T ·			5	- ,
	Company :	Micr	obac Lab	ooratries, Inc.									
	Address :	3323	Gilmore	e Industrial Bou	ılevard								
		Loui	sville, K	entucky 40213									
	Contact:	Mr. 1	David Le	ster									
	Project:	Radi	ochemist	try Analysis-Ke	entucky								
	Client Sample ID:	L9A	1314-04				Pro	ject:	MBAC00	116			
	Sample ID:	4699	28004				Cli	ent ID	D: MBAC00	1			
	Matrix:	Wate	er										
	Collect Date:	23-J	AN-191	1:30									
	Receive Date:	28-J/	AN-19										
	Collector:	Clier	nt										
Parameter	Quali	fier	Result	Uncertainty	MDC	RL	Units	PF	DF Analyst I	Date	Time E	Batch	Method
Rad Gas Fl	ow Proportional Cou	inting											
GFPC, Gro	oss A/B, liquid "As R	leceive	ed"										
Alpha	, 1	U	-0.0202	+/-1.22	2.57	3.00	pCi/L		LXB3 02/	11/19	1407 18	345944	1
Beta			2.92	+/-1.48	2.32	4.00	pCi/L						
GEPC Ra?	28 Liquid "As Rece	vived"											

Rad Gas Flow Proporti	onal Counting										
GFPC, Gross A/B, liqu	id "As Receive	ed"									
Alpha	U	-0.0202	+/-1.22	2.57	3.00	pCi/L		LXB3	02/11/19	1407 1845944	1
Beta		2.92	+/-1.48	2.32	4.00	pCi/L					
GFPC, Ra228, Liquid	"As Received"										
Radium-228	U	0.380	+/-0.389	0.645	1.00	pCi/L		JXC9	02/14/19	0912 1844202	2
GFPC, Sr90, liquid "As	s Received"										
Strontium-90	U	-0.48	+/-0.815	1.77	2.00	pCi/L		JXK3	02/11/19	1308 1845973	3
Rad Radium-226											
Lucas Cell, Ra226, liqu	uid "As Receiv	ed"									
Radium-226	U	0.182	+/-0.253	0.437	1.00	pCi/L		PCW	02/08/19	1020 1843819	4
The following Analyti	cal Methods w	ere perforn	ned:								
Method	Description						Analyst Co	mment	s		
1	EPA 900.0/SW	846 9310									
2	EPA 904.0/SW	846 9320 Mo	dified								
3	EPA 905.0 Mo	dified/DOE R	P501 Rev. 1 Mo	dified							
4	EPA 903.1 Mo	dified									
Surrogate/Tracer Reco	very Test				Re	esult	Nominal	Reco	very%	Acceptable Lin	nits
Barium-133 Tracer	GFPC, F	a228, Liquid	"As Received"						75	(15%-125%)	
Strontium Carrier	GFPC, S	r90, liquid "A	s Received"						73.5	(25%-125%)	
Notes:											

Counting Uncertainty is calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).

Column headers are defined as follows:

DF: Dilution Factor	Lc/LC: Critical Level
DL: Detection Limit	PF: Prep Factor
MDA: Minimum Detectable Activity	RL: Reporting Limit
MDC: Minimum Detectable Concentration	SQL: Sample Quantitation Limit

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Report Date: February 25, 2019

Case No. 2022-00402

Page 147 of 286

Company: Microbac Laboratories, Inc Kentucky Division
3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard
Louisville, Kentucky
Ms. Joan Heinsohn

Workorder:

469928

Contact:

Parmname	NOM	Sample	Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range	Anlst	Date Time
Rad Gas Flow										
Batch 1844202										
QC1204207744 469671002 D	UP									
Radium-228	U	1.92		4.67	pCi/L	83.5		(0% - 100%)	JXC9	02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty	+/-2.14		+/-2.63						
QC1204207745 LCS										
Radium-228	5.52			5.24	pCi/L		95.1	(75%-125%)		02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty			+/-0.612						
QC1204207743 MB										
Radium-228			U	0.0459	pCi/L					02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty			+/-0.305						
Batch 1845944										
QC1204211484 470317003 D	UP									
Alpha		7.64		14.5	pCi/L	62.2		(0% - 100%)	LXB3	02/11/19 14:11
	Uncertainty	+/-3.90		+/-4.92						
Beta		15.4		20.6	pCi/L	28.6*		(0%-20%)		
	Uncertainty	+/-2.91		+/-3.06						
QC1204211487 LCS										
Alpha	80.5			97.4	pCi/L		121	(75%-125%)		02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty			+/-9.49						
Beta	309			296	pCi/L		95.9	(75%-125%)		
	Uncertainty			+/-11.4						
QC1204211483 MB										
Alpha			U	-0.948	pCi/L					02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty			+/-0.713						
Beta			U	1.18	pCi/L					
	Uncertainty			+/-1.21						
QC1204211485 470317003 M	IS									
Alpha	483	7.64		571	pCi/L		117	(75%-125%)		02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty	+/-3.90		+/-63.4						
Beta	1850	15.4		1810	pCi/L		97.2	(75%-125%)		
	Uncertainty	+/-2.91		+/-65.9						

Imber

Page 1 of 3

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) LABORATORIES LLC Page 148 of 286

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Workorder: 469928		-							Page 2 of 3
Parmname	NOM	Sample	Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range Anlst	Date Time
Rad Gas Flow Batch 1845944									
QC1204211486 470317003 MSD									
Alpha	483	7.64		594	pCi/L	4	121	(0%-20%) LXB3	02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty	+/-3.90		+/-66.7					
Beta	1850	15.4		1900	pCi/L	4.46	102	(0%-20%)	
	Uncertainty	+/-2.91		+/-66.8	-				
Batch 1845973									
QC1204211569 469928004 DUP									
Strontium-90	U	-0.48	U	-0.0948	pCi/L	N/A		N/A JXK3	02/11/19 13:07
	Uncertainty	+/-0.815		+/-1.01					
QC1204211570 LCS									
Strontium-90	77.1			87.7	pCi/L		114	(75%-125%)	02/11/19 13:07
	Uncertainty			+/-6.11					
QC1204211568 MB									
Strontium-90			U	0.412	pCi/L				02/11/19 13:07
	Uncertainty			+/-1.05					
Rad Ra-226									
OC1204206746 460802010 DUD									
Radium-226	U	0.229		0.509	pCi/L	75.9		(0% - 100%) PCW	02/08/19 08:05
	Uncertainty	+/-0.280		+/-0.334	•			· · · ·	
QC1204206748 LCS									
Radium-226	26.0			23.7	pCi/L		91.4	(75%-125%)	02/08/19 08:05
	Uncertainty			+/-1.90					
QC1204206745 MB									
Radium-226			U	0.339	pCi/L				02/08/19 08:05
	Uncertainty			+/-0.287					
QC1204206747 469893010 MS									
Radium-226	130 U	0.229		136	pCi/L		104	(75%-125%)	02/08/19 08:05
	Uncertainty	+/-0.280		+/-10.7					

Notes:

Counting Uncertainty is calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

- ** Analyte is a Tracer compound
- < Result is less than value reported
- > Result is greater than value reported

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Workor	Page 3 of 3									
Parmna	me NOM Sample Qual QC Units RPD% REC% Range Anlst Date Time									
BD	Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low									
FA	Failed analysis.									
Н	Analytical holding time was exceeded									
J	Value is estimated									
Κ	Analyte present. Reported value may be biased high. Actual value is expected to be lower.									
L	Analyte present. Reported value may be biased low. Actual value is expected to be higher.									
М	M if above MDC and less than LLD									
М	REMP Result > MDC/CL and < RDL									
N/A	RPD or %Recovery limits do not apply.									
N1	See case narrative									
ND	Analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit									
NJ	Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier									
Q	One or more quality control criteria have not been met. Refer to the applicable narrative or DER.									
R	Sample results are rejected									
U	Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, MDC or LOD.									
UI	Gamma SpectroscopyUncertain identification									
UJ	Gamma SpectroscopyUncertain identification									
UL	Not considered detected. The associated number is the reported concentration, which may be inaccurate due to a low bias.									
Х	Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier									
Y	Other specific qualifiers were required to properly define the results. Consult case narrative.									
^	RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL. Qualifier Not Applicable for Radiochemistry.									

h Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded

N/A indicates that spike recovery limits do not apply when sample concentration exceeds spike conc. by a factor of 4 or more or % RPD not applicable. ^ The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) obtained from the sample duplicate (DUP) is evaluated against the acceptance criteria when the sample is greater than five times (5X) the contract required detection limit (RL). In cases where either the sample or duplicate value is less than 5X the RL, a control limit of +/- the RL is used to evaluate the DUP result.

* Indicates that a Quality Control parameter was not within specifications.

For PS, PSD, and SDILT results, the values listed are the measured amounts, not final concentrations.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the QC Summary.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Report Date: February 25, 2019

Page 150 of 286

Imber

Page 1 of 3

Microbac Laboratries, Inc.
3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard
Louisville, Kentucky
Mr. David Lester

Workorder: 469928

Contact:

Parmname	NOM	Sample Qu	al QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range A	nlst	Date Time
Rad Gas Flow									
Batch 1844202 —									
QC1204207744 469671002 DUP									
Radium-228	U	1.92	4.67	pCi/L	83.5		(0% - 100%)	JXC9	02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty	+/-2.14	+/-2.63						
QC1204207745 LCS									
Radium-228	5.52		5.24	pCi/L		95.1	(75%-125%)		02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty		+/-0.612						
QC1204207743 MB									
Radium-228		U	0.0459	pCi/L					02/14/19 09:12
	Uncertainty		+/-0.305						
Batch 1845944									
QC1204211484 470317003 DUP									
Alpha		7.64	14.5	pCi/L	62.2		(0% - 100%)	LXB3	02/11/19 14:11
	Uncertainty	+/-3.90	+/-4.92						
Beta		15.4	20.6	pCi/L	28.6*		(0%-20%)		
	Uncertainty	+/-2.91	+/-3.06						
QC1204211487 LCS									
Alpha	80.5		97.4	pCi/L		121	(75%-125%)		02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty		+/-9.49						
Beta	309		296	pCi/L		95.9	(75%-125%)		
	Uncertainty		+/-11.4						
QC1204211483 MB									
Alpha		U	-0.948	pCi/L					02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty		+/-0.713						
Beta		U	1.18	pCi/L					
	Uncertainty		+/-1.21						
QC1204211485 470317003 MS									
Alpha	483	7.64	571	pCi/L		117	(75%-125%)		02/11/19 14:05
	Uncertainty	+/-3.90	+/-63.4						
Beta	1850	15.4	1810	pCi/L		97.2	(75%-125%)		
	Uncertainty	+/-2.91	+/-65.9						

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) ABORATORIES LLC Page 151 of 286

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Workorder: 469928											Page	2 of 3
Parmname		NOM	Sample	Qual	QC	Units	RPD%	REC%	Range	Anlst	Date	Time
Rad Gas Flow Batch 1845944												
QC1204211486 4703170	003 MSD											
Alpha		483	7.64		594	pCi/L	4	121	(0%-20%)	LXB3	02/11/1	9 14:05
		Uncertainty	+/-3.90		+/-66.7							
Beta		1850	15.4		1900	pCi/L	4.46	102	(0%-20%)			
		Uncertainty	+/-2.91		+/-66.8							
Batch 1845973												
QC1204211569 4699280	004 DUP											
Strontium-90		U	-0.48	U	-0.0948	pCi/L	N/A		N/A	A JXK3	02/11/1	9 13:07
		Uncertainty	+/-0.815		+/-1.01							
QC1204211570 LCS												
Strontium-90		77.1			87.7	pCi/L		114	(75%-125%)		02/11/1	9 13:07
		Uncertainty			+/-6.11							
QC1204211568 MB												
Strontium-90				U	0.412	pCi/L					02/11/1	9 13:07
		Uncertainty			+/-1.05							
Rad Ra-226 Batch 1843819												
QC1204206746 4698930	010 DUP											
Radium-226		U	0.229		0.509	pCi/L	75.9		(0% - 100%)	PCW	02/08/1	9 08:05
		Uncertainty	+/-0.280		+/-0.334							
QC1204206748 LCS												
Radium-226		26.0			23.7	pCi/L		91.4	(75%-125%)		02/08/1	9 08:05
		Uncertainty			+/-1.90							
QC1204206745 MB												
Radium-226		TT		U	0.339	pCi/L					02/08/1	9 08:05
		Uncertainty			+/-0.28/							
QC1204206747 4698930	010 MS	100 1	0.000		105	~ *		104	(750) 1050 ···		00/00/1	0.00.07
Kadium-226		130 U	0.229		136	pC1/L		104	(75%-125%)		02/08/19	9 08:05
		Uncertainty	+/-0.280		+/-10./							

Notes:

Counting Uncertainty is calculated at the 95% confidence level (1.96-sigma).

The Qualifiers in this report are defined as follows:

** Analyte is a Tracer compound

< Result is less than value reported

> Result is greater than value reported

Imber

GEL LABORATORIES LLC

2040 Savage Road Charleston, SC 29407 - (843) 556-8171 - www.gel.com

QC Summary

Workor	Page 3 of 3										
Parmna	me NOM Sample Qual QC Units RPD% REC% Range Anlst Date Time										
BD	Results are either below the MDC or tracer recovery is low										
FA	Failed analysis.										
Н	Analytical holding time was exceeded										
J	Value is estimated										
Κ	Analyte present. Reported value may be biased high. Actual value is expected to be lower.										
L	Analyte present. Reported value may be biased low. Actual value is expected to be higher.										
М	M if above MDC and less than LLD										
М	REMP Result > MDC/CL and < RDL										
N/A	RPD or %Recovery limits do not apply.										
N1	See case narrative										
ND	Analyte concentration is not detected above the detection limit										
NJ	Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier										
Q	One or more quality control criteria have not been met. Refer to the applicable narrative or DER.										
R	Sample results are rejected										
U	Analyte was analyzed for, but not detected above the MDL, MDA, MDC or LOD.										
UI	Gamma SpectroscopyUncertain identification										
UJ	Gamma SpectroscopyUncertain identification										
UL	Not considered detected. The associated number is the reported concentration, which may be inaccurate due to a low bias.										
Х	Consult Case Narrative, Data Summary package, or Project Manager concerning this qualifier										
Y	Other specific qualifiers were required to properly define the results. Consult case narrative.										
^	RPD of sample and duplicate evaluated using +/-RL. Concentrations are <5X the RL. Qualifier Not Applicable for Radiochemistry.										

h Preparation or preservation holding time was exceeded

N/A indicates that spike recovery limits do not apply when sample concentration exceeds spike conc. by a factor of 4 or more or % RPD not applicable. ^ The Relative Percent Difference (RPD) obtained from the sample duplicate (DUP) is evaluated against the acceptance criteria when the sample is greater than five times (5X) the contract required detection limit (RL). In cases where either the sample or duplicate value is less than 5X the RL, a control limit of +/- the RL is used to evaluate the DUP result.

* Indicates that a Quality Control parameter was not within specifications.

For PS, PSD, and SDILT results, the values listed are the measured amounts, not final concentrations.

Where the analytical method has been performed under NELAP certification, the analysis has met all of the requirements of the NELAC standard unless qualified on the QC Summary.

MICROBAC°

Page 33 of 37 SDG: L9A

409928

INTERLABORATORY CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Please E-mail all results to: KENTUCKY.INBOX@MICROBAC.COM

KENTUCKY TESTING LABORATORY DIVISION

3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411

Evansville, IN 812.464.9000 | Lexington, KY 859.276.3506 | Paducah, KY 270.898.3637 | Hazard 606.487.0511

amp #	Sample Description	Test		Matrix	Method	Units	Analyte		Requested RL	Samp Date	Due Date
9A1314-0	1 001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond									01/23/2019	01/30/201
		Uranium		WATER	EPA 200.8	mg/L	Uranium		0.00100		
7			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3				
		Gross Alpha		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Alpha		3.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3				
		Gross Beta		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Beta		4.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				
		Radium 226		WATER	SM 7500 RA-B	pCi/L	Radium 226	>	1.0		
			Designator: B	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				
		Radium 228		WATER	SM 7500 RA-D	pCi/L	Radium 228		1.0		
			Designator: C	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3				
		Strontium - 90		WATER	SM 7500-SR B	(Mo·pCi/g	Strontium - 90		÷?		
9A1314-02 002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Towers	i	Designator: D	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3			01/23/2019	01/30/201	
	Diomaonin	Uranium		WATER	EPA 200.8	mg/L	Uranlum		0.00100		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PI	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3		0.00100		
		Gross Alpha	-	WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Alpha		3.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PL	ASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				
		Gross Beta		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Beta		4.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PI	ASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				
		Radium 226		WATER	SM 7500 RA-B	pCi/L	Radium 226		1.0		ч
			Designator: B	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PI	ASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				ag
		Radium 228		WATER	SM 7500 RA-D	pCi/L	Radium 228		1.0		e 1
			Designator: C	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	ASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				53
		Strontium - 90	-	WATER	SM 7500-SR B	(Mo pCi/g	Strontium - 90				of
			Designator: D	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3				286 ber

(S) MICROBAC[®]

Page 34 of 37 SDG: L9A

INTERLABORATORY CHAIN OF CUSTODY

Please E-mail all results to: KENTUCKY.INBOX@MICROBAC.COM

KENTUCKY TESTING LABORATORY DIVISION

3323 Gilmore Industrial Boulevard Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411

Evansville, IN 812.464.9000 | Lexington, KY 859.276.3506 | Paducah, KY 270.898.3637 | Hazard 606.487.0511

amp # 9A1314-0	Sample Description 03 003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower	Test		Matrix	Method	Units	Analyte	Requested RL	Samp Date 01/23/2019	Due Date 01/30/2019
	Biowaown	Uranium		WATER	EPA 200.8	mg/L	Uranium	0.00100		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3	0.00100		
		Gross Alpha		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Alpha	3.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PL	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3			
		Gross Beta		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Beta	4.0		
			Designator: A	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3			
		Radium 226		WATER	SM 7500 RA-B	pCi/L	Radium 226	1.0		
			Designator: B	Contain	er: B-1 LITER PI	LASTIC - E	DW METALS-HNO3			
		Radium 228		WATER	SM 7500 RA-D	pCi/L	Radium 228	1.0		
			Designator: C	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - E	OW METALS-HNO3			
	Strontium - 90		WATER	SM 7500-SR B	(Mo pCi/g	Strontium - 90				
			Designator: D	Contain	er: B-1 LITER P	LASTIC - L	OW METALS-HNO3			
41314-	04 005 - Plant Intake - Herringtor Lake	ו							01/23/2019	01/30/2019
		Uranium		WATER	EPA 200.8	mg/L	Uranium	0.00100		
			Designator: A	Containe	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3			
		Gross Alpha		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Alpha	3.0		
			Designator: A	Containe	er: B-1 LITER Pl	ASTIC - D	W METALS-HNO3			
		Gross Beta		WATER	SM 7110B	pCi/L	Gross Beta	4.0		
			Designator: A	Containe	er: B-1 LITER Pl	ASTIC - E	W METALS-HNO3			
		Radium 226		WATER	SM 7500 RA-B	pCi/L	Radium 226	1.0		ဦးရ
			Designator: B	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - E	OW METALS-HNO3			je]
		Radium 228		WATER	SM 7500 RA-D	pCi/L	Radium 228	1.0		54
			Designator: C	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - L	DW METALS-HNO3			In
		Strontium - 90		WATER	SM 7500-SR B	(Mo pCi/g	Strontium - 90			ıbe
			Designator: D	Contain	er: B-1 LITER Pl	LASTIC - L	DW METALS-HNO3			ř 6

Page 2 of 3

Page	
SDG: S	INTERLABORATORY CHAIN OF CUSTOD Please E-mail all results to: KENTUCKY.INBOX@MICROBAC.COM 6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 70.898.3637] Hazard 606.487.0511
	RECEIVING LAB
Courier UPS Zip FedExOther Number of Bottles Sent:] Auto-log Sent: NA Sub COC Scanned into Element: Custody Assigned:	
Special Instructions:	
Relinquished By: Date: 124/19	Received By: A Man Date: 478/19 8:55 Cooler Temperature Upon Receipt:°C

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 155 of 286

Page 39 of 41

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 156 of 286

-	~ `	-	_	~	~
		r			
		m	h	P	r

Noninerate State			-	
	łT		S	SAMPLE RECEIPT & REVIEW FORM
ent: MBAC		s	SDG/,	AR/COC/Nork Order: 410000
ceived By: ATA		1	Date	Received: 1/28/14
				FedEx Express FedEx Ground UPS Field Services Courier Other
Carrier and I raciong Number				7743 Ø457 8223
spected Hazard Information	Yes	Nn	•If N	iet Counts > 100cpm on samples not marked "radioactive", contact the Radiation Safety Group for further investige
)Shipped as a DOT Hazardous?			Haza If Ui	urd Class Shipped: UN#: N2910, Is the Radioactive Shipment Survey Compliant? Yes No
) Did the client designate the samples are to be accived as radioactive?			coc	C notation or radioactive stickers on containers equal client designation.
") Did the RSO classify the samples as adioactive?		\checkmark	Max	sified as: Rud 1 Rad 2 Rad 3
D) Did the client designate samples are azardous?		1	cod	C notation or hazard labels on containers equal client designation.
E) Did the RSO identify possible hazards?		/	PCE) or E is yes, select Hazards below. B's Flammable Foreign Soil RCRA Asbestos Beryllium Other:
Sample Receipt Criterin	Ycs	NN N	°N.	Comments/Qualifiers (Required for Non-Conforming Items)
I Shipping containers received intact and scaled?	1		2012200	Circle Applicable: Seals broken Damaged container Leaking container Other (describe)
2 Chain of custody documents included with shipment?	V			Preservation Method: Wet Ice Ice Packs Dry ice None Dother
3 Samples requiring cold preservation within $(0 \le 6 \text{ deg. C})$?*	2		1	Temperatures are recorded in Celsius TEMP:
temperature gun?	+	際に		Secondary Temperature Device Serial # (If Applicable): Circle Applicable: Seals broken Damaged container Leaking container Other (describe)
6 Samples requiring chemical preservatio	<u> </u>			Sample ID's and Containers Affected:
at proper pH?	1	-	-	If Preservation added. Lot# If Yes, are Encores or Soil Kits present for solids? Yes No_ NA_ (If yes, take to VOA Freezer)
7 Do any samples require Volatile Analysis?				Do liquid VOA vials contain acid preservation? Yes No NA (If unknown, select No) Are liquid VOA vials free of headspace? Yes No NA Sample ID's and containers affected:
8 Samples received within holding time?	1			ID's and tests affected:
9 Sample ID's on COC match ID's on bottles?	1		STATES	ID's and containers affected:
10 Date & time on COC match date & tim on bottles?	ı¢		V	Circle Applicable: No dates on containers No thir 's on containers COC missing into Other (describe) -01 14:15, -02 12:30, -03 13:25, -04 11:30
11 Number of containers received match number indicated on COC?	v			Circle Applicable: No container count on CUC Other (describe)
12 GEL provided?			a√	Circle Applicable Net alignmithed Other (describe)
I ICOC term is properly signed in		/緊		Circle Applicable. (Not remiquished Other (describe)

State	Certification						
Alaska	17-018						
Arkansas	88-0651						
CLIA	42D0904046						
California	2940						
Colorado	SC00012						
Connecticut	PH-0169						
DoD ELAP/ ISO17025 A2LA	2567.01						
Florida NELAP	E87156						
Foreign Soils Permit	P330-15-00283, P330-15-00253						
Georgia	SC00012						
Georgia SDWA	967						
Hawaii	SC00012						
Idaho	SC00012						
Illinois NELAP	200029						
Indiana	C-SC-01						
Kansas NELAP	E-10332						
Kentucky SDWA	90129						
Kentucky Wastewater	90129						
Louisiana NELAP	03046 (AI33904)						
Louisiana SDWA	LA024						
Maryland	270						
Massachusetts	M-SC012						
Michigan	9976						
Mississippi	SC00012						
Nebraska	NE-OS-26-13						
Nevada	SC000122019-1						
New Hampshire NELAP	2054						
New Jersey NELAP	SC002						
New Mexico	SC00012						
New York NELAP	11501						
North Carolina	233						
North Carolina SDWA	45709						
North Dakota	R-158						
Oklahoma	9904						
Pennsylvania NELAP	68-00485						
Puerto Rico	SC00012						
S. Carolina Radiochem	10120002						
South Carolina Chemistry	10120001						
Tennessee	TN 02934						
Texas NELAP	T104704235-19-14						
Utah NELAP	SC000122018–27						
Vermont	VT87156						
Virginia NELAP	460202						
Washington	C780						

List of current GEL Certifications as of 25 February 2019

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)



Page 158 of 286 Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9D1108

LG&E - KU ENERGY LLC.

Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232

Project Name: EW Brown - Form C - KPDES

Renewal Project / PO Number: N/A Received: 04/18/2019 Reported: 04/30/2019

Analytical Testing Parameters

Client Sample ID:	001 - Site Auxiliary / Ash Pond							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	JARC	D ROOP	
Lab Sample ID:	L9D1108-01				Collection Dat	te: 04/18	/2019 11:35	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW846 8260	В							
Dichlorodifluorometh	ane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			04/24/19 1951	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BF	В	99.1	Limit: 86-1	115 % Rec			04/24/19 1951	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	BFM	104	Limit: 86-1	118 % Rec			04/24/19 1951	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	107	Limit: 80-1	20 % Rec			04/24/19 1951	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol	I-D8	101	Limit: 88-1	110 % Rec			04/24/19 1951	LJC
Volatile Organics Method: SW846 8260 Dichlorodifluorometh Surrogate: SR / BF Surrogate: SR / DB Surrogate: SR / DC Surrogate: SR / TO	B ane B BFM CA I-D8	Result <0.0050 99.1 104 107 101	RL 0.0050 Limit: 86-1 Limit: 80-1 Limit: 88-1	Units mg/L 115 % Rec 118 % Rec 120 % Rec 110 % Rec	Note	Prepared	Analyzed 04/24/19 1951 04/24/19 1951 04/24/19 1951 04/24/19 1951 04/24/19 1951	Anal LJC LJC LJC LJC

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW-846 8270C							
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	<0.052	0.052	mg/L		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	79.9	Limit: 47.8-138	8 % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	63.0	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	36.9	Limit: 10-110) % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	64.3	Limit: 10-110) % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-d5	29.0	Limit: 10-60.8	8 % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	66.1	Limit: 16.8-110) % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2229	CLR



Imber

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9D1108

Client Sample ID:	002 - Units 1-2 Cooling Towe	rs Blowdown						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected I	By: J	IAROD ROOP	
Lab Sample ID:	L9D1108-02				Collection	Date: 0	04/18/2019 11:16	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepare	ed Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW846 8260	В							
Dichlorodifluorometha	ane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			04/24/19 2017	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BF	В	96.5	Limit: 86-	-115 % Rec			04/24/19 2017	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	105	Limit: 86-	-118 % Rec			04/24/19 2017	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	114	Limit: 80-	120 % Rec			04/24/19 2017	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol	-D8	103	Limit: 88-	-110 % Rec			04/24/19 2017	LJC

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW-846 8270C							
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	<0.052	0.052	mg/L		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	80.0	Limit: 47.8-138	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	59.4	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	31.9	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	60.1	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-d5	25.0	Limit: 10-60.8	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	73.9	Limit: 16.8-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2251	CLR

Microbac Laboratories, Inc., Louisville

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9D1108

Client Sample ID:	003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown	n						
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	JARC	D ROOP	
Lab Sample ID:	L9D1108-03				Collection Date:	04/18	/2019 11:23	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note Pr	epared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW846 8260E	3							
Dichlorodifluorometha	ane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			04/24/19 2044	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BFE	3	97.0	Limit: 86-	115 % Rec			04/24/19 2044	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DBI	FM	102	Limit: 86-	118 % Rec			04/24/19 2044	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC/	A	112	Limit: 80-	120 % Rec			04/24/19 2044	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol-	D8	101	Limit: 88-	110 % Rec			04/24/19 2044	LJC

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW-846 8270C							
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	<0.051	0.051	mg/L		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	74.9	Limit: 47.8-138	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	57.7	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	28.5	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	56.4	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-d5	23.1	Limit: 10-60.8	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	72.9	Limit: 16.8-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2313	CLR



CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS

L9D1108

Client Sample ID:	005 - Plant Intake - Herrington Lake							
Sample Matrix:	WATER				Collected By:	JARC	D ROOP	
Lab Sample ID:	L9D1108-04				Collection Date	e: 04/18	/2019 11:05	
Volatile Organics		Result	RL	Units	Note P	repared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW846 8260	В							
Dichlorodifluorometha	ane	<0.0050	0.0050	mg/L			04/24/19 2110	LJC
Surrogate: SR / BFI	В	96.2	Limit: 86	6-115 % Rec			04/24/19 2110	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DB	FM	105	Limit: 80	6-118 % Rec			04/24/19 2110	LJC
Surrogate: SR / DC	A	116	Limit: 80	0-120 % Rec			04/24/19 2110	LJC
Surrogate: SR / Tol-	-D8	102	Limit: 88	8-110 % Rec			04/24/19 2110	LJC

Analyses Subcontracted to: Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

GCMS Semivolatiles	Result	RL	Units	Note	Prepared	Analyzed	Analyst
Method: SW-846 8270C							
Bis(chloromethyl)ether	<0.052	0.052	mg/L		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: 2,4,6-Tribromophenol	76.1	Limit: 47.8-138	8 % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	61.4	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: 2-Fluorophenol	31.4	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: Nitrobenzene-d5	59.6	Limit: 10-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: Phenol-d5	25.3	Limit: 10-60.8	8 % Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	69.0	Limit: 16.8-110	% Rec		04/22/19 1043	04/25/19 2335	CLR

Definitions

Reporting Limit

Project Requested Certification(s)

Microbac Laboratories, Inc. - Chicagoland

75

90147

RL:

Kentucky EPPC analysis Underground Storage Tanks (k) Kentucky Wastewater Laboratory Certification Program (j)

Report Comments

Samples were received in proper condition and the reported results conform to applicable accreditation standard unless otherwise noted.

The data and information on this, and other accompanying documents, represents only the sample(s) analyzed. This report is incomplete unless all pages indicated in the footnote are present and an authorized signature is included.

Reviewed and Approved By:

Urtin,

LISA MARTIN Customer Relationship Specialist Reported: 04/30/2019 08:35

Microbac Laboratories, Inc.

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd | Louisville, KY 40213 | 502.962.6400 p | www.microbac.com

Page 4 of 5

Case No. 2022-00102 Attachment & @ Response to JI-1 Question No. 1100 (a) Kentucky Testing Divison Page 162 61 286 IARTIN

3323 Gilmore Industrial Blvd. Louisville, KY 40213 502.962.6400 Fax: 502.962.6411 Evansville 812.464.9000 Lexington 859.276.3506 Paducah 270,898.3637 Hazard 606,487,0511

Imber Page 1 of 1

b := - A b

CHAIN OF CUSTODY

 .			Route	Fre	q			
LG&E - KU ENERGY LL Roger Medina 220 West Main St., P.O. B Louisville, KY 40232	.C. Box 32010		Cust. # Phone Fax Email	EL056 (502) 627-2997 (502) 627-2550 roger.medina@lge-ku.com	Project Cust. P.O. n Permit #	EW Brown - 1 REDO Short	Form C	Renewa
EW Brown - Form C - Kl	PDES Renewal		Acet. Mgr.	JOAN HEINSOHN				
Analysis	Method	Containers/Preservativ	e	Suppress On COA	# Bottles U	nits P	Ain	Max
Instructions	***							
01 001 - Site Au	xiliary / Ash Pond			jAQ 8	Sample Date/Tin	ne/Field Resul	ts/Metc	r DD
Type Grab				35	418 19	113	5	
Semi-Volatile Organics Addit	ional SW846 8270C	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	2		ma	3/L		
Volatile Organic Compounds	- 82(SW846 8260B	0-40 ML VOA VIALS-HCL	3		ma	ı/L		
02 002 - Units 1	-2 Cooling Towers Blo	wdown		service and the service of \$	iample Date/Tin	ne/Field Resul	ts/Mete	r ID
Type Grab				5	4-18-19	11	11	
Semi-Volatile Organics Addit	ional SW846 8270C	A-1 LITER AMBER-4°C	2		<u>יאיי</u> mr	//. 1/I	/ (2	
Volatile Organic Compounds	- 82(SW846 8260B	0-40 ML VOA VIALS-HCL	3		ma	<u>n ⊢</u>		
03 003 - Unit 3 (Cooling Tower Blowdo	Wn		service and the officer and all s	ample Date/Tin	ne/Field Resul	ts/Mete	r ID
Type Grab				5	Aneria	1	1.79	
Semi-Volatile Organics Addit	ional SW/846 8270C	A-1 LITER AMBER-49C	2		11817	1) 1/1		
Volatile Organic Compounds	- 82/ SW846 82608		3		ma	1/I		
04 005 - Plant Ir	take - Herrington Lak			S	ample Date/Tin	ne/Field Resul	ls/Mete	r ID
Type Grab	iterington Euk			5	1 200	1	100	
Semi Velatile Oreanics Addit	ional 51/1946 9270C	A 1 LETED AMOED 40C	2		1 18-17	- //	100	
Semi=volatile Organics Addit	924 SW040 8270C		3		ng	//L		
	- 620 3W840 62005	ON THE YOR VIALSHICE						<u> </u>
SETUP:Deg	C Sunny/Cloudy/Ptly C	loudy/Rain <u>REC</u> :	_Deg C Sur	ny/Cloudy/Ptly Cloud	dy/Rain <u>Rain</u>	n During Eve	nt: YE	S / NO
NOTES:								
					Sec C	R	Samp	oled By:
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign	: Fail Pp	418-19 1428	Rec'd Date/	Time/Sign: Aptal t	Williams	4/18/19 1	428	
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:	<i>د</i>		Rec'd Date/	Time/Sign:				
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:	:		Rec'd Date/	Time/Sign:				
Relinq. Date/Time/Sign:	:		Rcc'd Date/	Time/Sign:				
SAMPLE RECEIPT DO	CUMENTATION Coole	er/Sample Temp (Deg C):	lex <u>2.4</u> e		.OUHA	Z		
COC & proper paperw Appropriate bottles pr Chain of Custody seal	ork provided & complete ovided intact with suffic intact?	+ COC, samples, & bottles ient volume / Samples ar	are in agre e within ho of bottles	ement: Id time / Samples pro 20 ? Thermometer	perly preserve ID Class	ed :	(YES (YES) NO / NO

Notes, Correspondence, Subcontracting, & Non-Conformance Documentation (All Non-Conformances must be documented & client notified) ;

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 163 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 7

DMR QUARTERLY METALS ANALYSES

TWO-YEAR SUMMARY TABLE

Station	rterly Metals Analyses	
company - Brown	Ionitoring Reports - Qua	Rev May 11, 2019
Kentucky Utilities C	KPDES DMR -Discharge M	Data Summary for 2016-2019,

			Quarterly &	Average	Values <mark>[pp</mark>	[q									
			Sb	As	Be	Cq	ъ	Cu	Pb	Нg	ïz	Se	Ag	F	Zn
			Antimony	Arsenic	Beryllium	Cadmium (Chromium	Copper	Lead	Mercury	Nickel	Selenium	Silver	Thallium	Zinc
	L	and de													
100-NG	Auxilia	ry Asn Pon	d Discharge												
			Quarterly Va	alues [ppl	p]										
2016	g	01/04/16	< 50	< 100	< 10	< 5.0	< 10	< 20	< 10	< 0.2	78.0	53.0	< 10	< 50	3.2
	Q2	04/01/16	< 25	< 50	د ۲	< 2.5	< 5.0	< 20	< 5.0	< 0.2	31.0	32.0	< 5.0	< 25	11.0
	Q3	07/06/16	< 25	< 50	د ۲	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 20	< 5.0	< 0.2	23.0	30.0	< 5.0	< 25	18.0
	Q4	10/03/16	< 25	< 50	د ۲	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 20	< 5.0	< 0.2	32.0	< 25	< 5.0	< 25	13.0
		I													
2017	g	02/15/17	3.20	13.50	< 0.85	< 0.75	< 3.5	9.6	< 1.8	< 0.045	19.5	47.2	1.0	1.70	7.0
	Q2	04/03/17	1.30	8.90	< 0.34	0.69	< 1.4	5.3	< 0.7	< 0.007	30.0	16.5	< 0.35	3.50	9.6
	Q3	07/03/17	1.90	7.20	< 0.51	0.20	< 2.1	3.8	1.50	< 0.013	0.6	19.7	< 0.53	0.80	< 4.1
	Q4	10/02/17	< 2.0	4.0	< 2.0	<0.4	< 2.0	4.0	< 2.0	< 0.0125	11.0	6.0	< 3.0	< 0.4	< 4.0
2018	ð	01/04/18	5.3	13.1	< 0.6	2.0	2.7	3.9	< 3	10	18.8	10.7	108	0.7	10.2
	Q2	06/05/18	4.8	9.9	0.8	1.8	2.9	7.9	< 2.5	not sampl'd	29.3	55.9	< 3.0	1.3	< 5.0
	С3 ОЗ	07/09/2018 1	5.1	7.9	< 0.5	1.9	3.2	7.0	< 2.5	< 0.0063	25.5	39.5	< 2.5	2.1	9.4
	Q4	10/02/18	< 3	3.3	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 5	< 3	< 0.0125	26.1	5.7	< 2.5	0.61	18.1
2019	ð	01/02/19	< 2.5	5.6	< 0.8	< 0.5	< 0.5	3.8	< 2.5	11	13.2	0.6	< 2.5	< 0.5	15.2

Plant Int
BR-005

R-005	Plant	Intake													
	1		Quarterly V	lalues [pp	P]										
2016	g	01/06/16	< 50	< 100	< 10	< 5.0	< 10	< 20	< 10	< 0.2	< 10	< 50	< 10	< 50	< 10
	Q2	04/04/16	< 25	< 50	5	< 2.5	ې د	< 10	ې م	< 0.2	د د	< 25	< ح	< 25	< ح
	Q3	07/05/16	< 25	< 50	د ۲	ې د	ې ۲	< 10	ې ۲	< 0.2	< ح	< 25	< 5 <	< 25	0.0
	Q4	10/03/16	< 25	< 50	< 5	< 5	< 5	< 10	< 5	< 0.2	< 5	< 25	< 5	< 25	< 5
		I													
2017	g	01/04/16	< 0.78	< 2.38	< 0.85	< 0.74	< 3.53	< 3.58	< 1.79	< 0.025	< 1.27	< 2.57	< 0.88	0.70	6.8
	Q2	04/01/16	< 0.31	< 0.95	< 0.34	< 0.3	< 1.4	< 1.45	< 0.7	< 0.008	< 0.5	< 1.05	< 0.35	< 0.26	12.9
	Q3	07/06/16	< 0.47	1.50	< 0.51	< 0.45	< 2.1	< 2.1	0.96	< 0.13	0.6	< 1.5	< 0.53	< 0.38	2.1
	Q4	10/03/16	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 0.4	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 2.0	< 0.0125	< 2.0	< 2.0	3.00	< 0.4	< 4.0
2018	ğ	01/04/18	< 3.0	< 2.5	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 0.6	< 3.0	< 3.0	10	< 3.0	< 2.5	74.2	< 0.6	< 6.0
	Q2	04/02/18	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 0.6	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 3.0	< 3.0	<0.015	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 3.0	< 0.3	< 6.0
	Q3	2018 13:27:00	< 2.5	< 50	< 0.5	< 0.5	ې ۲	< 2.5	< 2.5	< 0.0063	د د	< ح	< 2.5	< 0.5	< 5.0
	Q4	10/02/18	< 2.5	1.2	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	6.3	< 2.5	< 0.0125	< 2.5	< 5.0	< 2.5	< 0.5	10.6
2019	g	01/02/19	< 4	1.1	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 0.8	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 0.005	< 4	< 4	< 4	< 0.8	< 8

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 164 of 286 Imber

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 165 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 8

STORMWATER RUNOFF CALCULATIONS

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 166 of 286 Stormwater Runoff Calculations Kentucky Utilities - E.W. Brown Generating Station Imber

April 29, 2019

Data		
Area Coefficient for Rainfall Runoff 10-Year, 24-Hour Rainfall Annual Average Rainfall	# Acres Cr 4.3 44.49	inch/24 hours inch/year
Runoff Equations: 1-Day Maximum Flow: (#Acres)(43560 ft2)/Acre)(Cr)(4.3 in/day)(1 ft/12 inch) (7.481 gal/ft3)(1 MG/1000000 Gal) = 0.1167709 (#Acres)(Cr) MGD	<u>1-Day Flow</u> 0.116770929	MGD [million gal/day]
Daily Annual Average Flow: (#Acres)(43560 ft2)/Acre)(Cr)(44.49 in/year)(1 ft/12 inch) (7.481 gal/ft3)(1 year/365 days)(1 MG/1000000 Gal) = 0.0033101 (#Acres)(Cr) MGD	<u>30-Day Flow</u> 0.00331006	MGD [million gal/day]
Runoff Coefficients: Vegetated Areas (without slope considerations) Gypsum & Loose Gravel (substations, rail beds, dam face, rock-faced slopes, etc.) Packed Surfaces (Coal, Bare Soil, Packed Gravel [Roads, Parking Areas, etc.]) Impervious Surfaces (Pavement, Roofs, Cooling Tower Direct Precip) Basin Surfaces		Cr 0.25 0.25 0.5 0.85 1

	KPDES OUTFALLS DESCRIPTION	Outfall Location
001	Landfill Stormwater Ponds, Auxiliary Ash Pond, & Misc. Other Flows Temporary/Transition to Include Process Ponds until January 1, 2020 (or Proc.Flows Emergency/Bypass if Outfall 006 Requires Maintenance) FUTURE: includes Closed/Capped Auxiliary Pond Storm Runoff Flows	Weir-Monitoring Structure at End of Lined Channel to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet (southside)
002	Units 1-2 Cooling Tower Blowdown (Retired) & Misc. Storm Drains	Weir-Monitoring Point at Beginning of Channel to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet (Northside)
003	Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown & Misc. Storm Drains	same
004	Boiler Chemical Metal Cleaning Wastewaters	(Frac Tanks adjacent Unit 3)
005	Intake from Herrington Lake	Deep/Submerged Lake Intake
006	Process Pond(s) to 006 - Planned to Start July 1, 2019	Flow Sample/Monitoring Structure In-Line from Pond Decant Structures to Diffuser
007	Treated FGD Wastewaters - Internal Outfall (monitored after Dec 31, 2023)	PWS Building Effluent Tank (adj Gypsum Dewatering)
008	Railway Stormwater & Landfill Wick Drain HighFlows (not sumped to Proc.Pond)	Weir-Monitoring Point at Beginning of Channel to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet (Northside)

KU-BROWN STATION - Stormwater Runoff Areas Listing

(Organized by Outfalls/Areas to Herrington Lake or Dix River/Cedar Creek Tributaries to Kentucky River)

PLANT KPDES OUTFALLS TO HERRINGTON LAKE

- 1 Outfall 001 Landfill Stormwater Ponds, Misc. Flows and Auxiliary Pond Pre-Closure Transition Flows to Herrington Lake
 - 1.a Landfill North Stormwater Pond (1) Impoundment & Internal Slopes 1.b Landfill South Stormwater Pond (2) Impoundment & Internal Slopes
 - 1.b Landfill South Stormwater Pond (2) Impoundment & Internal Slopes 1.c Landfill Phase I (Cells 1-2) Perimeter Drainage Channel & Roadways
 - 1.d Landfill Phase III Area including Cap/Cover Drainage Slopes to Landfill South Stormwater Pond
 - 1.e CCRT Area (East, Non-CCR/Contact) Drains to North Storm Pond (1)
 - 1.f Inter-Landfill-Auxiliary Pond Area (Headwater Areas Drainage to Lined Channel to Outfall 001)
 - 1.g Inter-Landfill/Dam-to-Aux.Pond Berm-to-Process Ponds Areas (Landfill Dam Southern Face, Aux.Pond North Ext.Slopes, Process Pond West.Uphill
 - 1.h Auxiliary Pond Closed/Capped/Vegetated Internal Slopes & Drainage Channel Areas to Outfall 001

2 Outfall 002 - Units 1-2 (Retired) Cooling Tower Blowdown/Basins Direct Precipitation and Building Roof Drainage 2.a Units 1-2 Boiler-Turbine and Office Buildings Roof Areas Stormwater Runoff

- Outfall 003 Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown/Basins Direct Precipitation and Building Roofs Drainage
- 3.a Unit 3 Cooling Tower Basin(West)/Structural Areas Stormwater Runoff 3.b Unit 3 Cooling Tower Basin(East)/Structural Areas Stormwater Runoff
- 3.c Unit 3 Boiler-Turbine Building Roof Areas Stormwater Runoff

4-5 Outfalls 004 and 005 (Reserved)

3

6 Outfall 006 - Plant Process Ponds Treated Wastewater and Stormwater Flows to Herrington Lake

- 6.a North Process Pond (1) North Impoundment, Slopes & Roadways
- 6.b South Process Pond (2) South Impoundment, Slopes & Roadways
- 6.c Combustion Turbines Facility Bermed Areas to Process/Auxiliary Pond through Oil/Water Separators OS-1, OS-2, OS-3
- 6.d Coal Storage-Handling and U1 RETIRED Cooling Tower Areas to Coal Settling Basin to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to Process Pond(s)
- 6.e Limestone Storage Pile and Sump to Process Pond(s)
- 6.f U1-2 Oil/Water Separator U1-2 Unit Areas, Chimneys, Transformers, Fuel Oil 15K Tank Berm, U2 RETIRED Cooling Tower and Parking Areas
- 6.g U3 Oil/Water Separator U3 Unit Areas, FGD-Abs/Drain Tank, Fuel Oil 500K Tank Berm and Chimneys Areas
- 6.h Landfill Leachate Pond
- 6.i Landill Phase I Cells 1-2 (Under-Drainage/Runoff to Leachate Pond)
- 6.j CCRT Area (West, BA/Gypsum CCR-Contact) Drains to Landfill Leachate Pond
- 6.k Landfill Dam Toe Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to Process Pond(s)

7 Outfall 007 (Reserved)

8 Outfall 008 - Railway Stormwater & Landfill Wick Drain Sump High Flows (Base Fraction Pumped to Proc.Pond) to Herrington Lake

- 8.a Landfill Embankment-North Slope (toward Railcar Sidings) Railcar Siding Gravel Areas (Between Fuel Unloading until U3 ESP railroad
- 8.b crossing & down to Bottom Ash PipeRack)
- 8.c Entrance Road Pavement (Fuel Unloading to Unit 3 ESP)
- 8.d CT Ice Plant (Thermal Storage Equipment-Bldg/Gravel)
- 8.e Natural Gas Pressure Regulation Station
- 8.f Limestone Unloading Area (Outside/Adjacent Pile)
- 8.g Limestone Handling-Slurry Prep.Bldgs, FDG/Abs-Drain Tank, to 500K Tank Area
- 8.h Field/Grass Areas

9 Outfall 009 (Reserved)

HERRINGTON LAKE: NON-POINT UNCONTAMINATED RUNOFF AREAS

- 10 Steam Plant-Coalyard South/Downhill Areas Sloped To Lake Herrington (i.e., Below Railroad Tracks)
- 11 Landfill-Damface North-to-South Slopes (Ditch Drains To Lake Herrington/Curds Inlet)
- 12 Auxiliary Pond External Berm & Downhill Fields Draining to Stream to Quarry/Stormwater Pond to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet
- 13 Fields Below Auxiliary Pond/Stream to Quarry and Hardin Heights Road (from Curds Inlet to Subdivision Entrance)
- 14 Solar Farm to Hardin Heights Boat Ramp Inlet to Railroad Tracks
- 15 Southern Property (prev. Dempsey Property)

DIX RIVER AND DAM SPILLWAY: NON-POINT UNCONTAMINATED RUNOFF AREAS

- 16 Dix HydroElectric Areas (Including Spillway and Across/East Areas) Draining to Dix River
- 17 Westcliff Substation & Dix Dispatch Building/Complex Areas
- 18 Areas North of Steam Plant from Combustion Turbine Site Extending to Dam Spillway Including Brown North Substation

CEDAR CREEK DRAINAGE AREAS TO KENTUCKY RIVER

- 19 Combustion Turbines Facility Uncontaminated Runoff Areas (Not Bermed/Pumped to Process Pond)
- 20 Plant Entrance Gate, Roadway & Railway Areas (From Entrance to Fuel Truck Unloading Area)
- 21 Gypsum Building (Retired/InActive) Near Entrance Gate
- 22 Areas Adjacent Retired Gypsum Building (to Webb Road Plant Entrance)
- 23 Landfill-West Embankment Along Curdsville Road Area to Retired Gypsum Bldg/Webb Road Entrance (Drains Northward)
- 24 Area Between Road/Railway Across Curdsville Road to Roadway Overpass Bridge (Drains Northward)
- 25 Middle/West Property Areas Across/West of Railway
- 26 Northwest Cedar Branch Property West Across/Along Railway
- 27 North Property Area East Along Railway (prev. Haup Property)

HERRINGTON LAKE (Water Area Portion included in Survey)

April 29, **1049 ber**

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 4 68 25 286 Imber

E.W. Brown Station - Stormwater Runoff Calculations

1.a		Landfill North Stormwater Pond (1) Impoundment & Internal Slopes				1-Day Max	Daily (Avc
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	North Landfill Stormwater Pond	Basin Surface	1.000	3.18	0.3716	0.0105
	2	Perimeter Roadway (East)	Packed Surface	0.500	0.26	0.0153	0.0004
				Total Area 1.a	3.45	0.3870	0.0110
1.b		Landfill South Stormwater Pond (1) Impoundment, Slopes and Adjacent R	oadways (not including	Landfill)			
		Surface Dataile	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
	1	South Landfill Stormwater Pond	Basin Surface	1 000	5.35	0.6248	0.0177
	2	Perimeter Roadway (South-East)	Packed Surface	0.500	0.70	0.0411	0.0012
				Total Area 1.b	6.05	0.6658	0.0189
1.c		Landfill Phase I (Cells 1-2) Perimeter Drainage Channel & Roadways					
		Surface Dataila	Bun Off Coofficient	<u>.</u>	#4.0700	1-Day Max	Daily (Av
	1	Cell 1 (South) Perimeter Roadway & Drainage Channel (includes middle roadway)	Packed Surface	0.500	#Acres	0.6401	0.0181
	2	Cell 2 (North) Perimeter Roadway & Drainage Channel (& Road Adi Phase III)	Packed Surface	0.500	5.71	0.3331	0.0094
	-		i dokod Odinaco	Total Area 1.c	16.67	0.9732	0.0276
1.d		Landfill Phase III Vegetated Slopes. Perimeter Drainage Channel & Roadw	avs				
						1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Vegetated Slopes	Vegetated Area	0.250	29.97	0.8750	0.0248
	2	Perimeter Roadway & Drainage Channel	Packed Sunace	Total Area 1.d	33.53	1.0824	0.0059
1.0		CCBT Area (Fast New CCB/Cantest) Drains to North Storm Band (1)			-		
1.0						1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	East-side Pavement and CCRT Building Roof Drains	Impervious Surface	0.850	2.36	0.2345	0.0066
				Total Area 1.c		0.2040	0.0000
1.f		Inter-Landfill-Auxiliary Pond Area (Headwater Areas Drainage to Lined Ch	annel to Outfall 001)			1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Graded Drainage Area and Access Road (NorthWest) adjacent Landfill	Packed Surface	0.500	7.39	0.4313	0.0122
				Total Area 1.f	7.39	0.4313	0.0122
1.g		Inter-Landfill/Dam-to-Aux.Pond Berm-to-Process Ponds Areas (Landfill Da	am Southern Face, Aux.	Pond North Ext.Slopes,			
						1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Slopes Areas Adjacent/Below Landfill Dam & Aux Pond Berm to Channel to 001	Packed Sufface	Total Area 1.g	2.53	0.1477	0.0042
4 6		Auviliant Band Classed/Conned/Vagatated Internal Classo & Drainage Cha	nnal Araga ta Quitfall 001		-		
1.11		Auxiliary Fond Closed/Capped/Vegetated internal Slopes & Drainage Chai	inel Aleas to Outian of			1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Capped North Half Vegetated Slopes	Vegetated Area	0.250	18.06	0.5273	0.0149
	2	Capped North Half Perimeter Roadway & Drainage Channel	Packed Surface	0.500	5.40	0.3154	0.0089
	3	Capped South Half Vegetated Slopes	Vegetated Area	0.250	9.73	0.2841	0.0081
	4	Capped South Half Perimeter Roadway & Drainage Channel	Packed Surface	0.500 Total Area 1.h	5.66 38.86	0.3306	0.0094
				AREA TOTAL 1	110.83	1.9607	1.9607
tfall 002		Outfall 002 - Units 1-2 (Retired) Cooling Tower Blowdown/Basin	s Direct Precipitation	n and Building Roof Dra	inage		
		Surface Details	Rup Off Coofficient	Cr.	#4.0700	1-Day Max	Daily (Ave
		IN THE REPORT OF T		UI III	#ACIES		(IVIGD)
2 9		Units 1-2 Boiler-Turbine, and Office Buildings Roof Areas Stormwater Rupoff	Impervious Surface	0.850	1 37	0.1358	0,0038

Outfall 003

Outfall 003 - Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown/Basins Direct Precipitation and Building Roofs Drainage

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
3.a	Unit 3 Cooling Tower Basin(West)/Structural Areas Stormwater Runoff	Impervious Surface	0.850		0.35	0.0350	0.0010
3.b	Unit 3 Cooling Tower Basin(East)/Structural Areas Stormwater Runoff	Impervious Surface	0.850		0.31	0.0307	0.0009
3.c	Unit 3 Boiler-Turbine Building Roof Areas Stormwater Runoff	Impervious Surface	0.850		2.38	0.2361	0.0067
			AREA TOTAL	3	3.04	0.3018	0.0086

EA TOTAL

.1358

Outfalls 004-5 Outfalls 004 and 005 (Reserved)

		A 44	mont 2 to Da	enonco to II 1	Ouastia	$n Nc^{-1}$	
		Attach	ment 5 to Re	sponse to J1-1	Questio	n INO. I	1.101(3
		E.W. Brown Station - Stormwater Runoff Calculat	ions		P	age 46	9, 91, 28 Jack 28
II 006		Outfall 006 - Plant Process Ponds Treated Wastewater and Stor	mwater Flows to Her	rrington Lake			Imp
6.a		North Process Pond (1) - North Impoundment, Slopes & Roadways				1-Day Max	Daily (Av
	1	Surface Details North Process Pond Basin Surface	Run Off Coefficient Basin Surface	Cr 1.000	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD) 0.0026
	2	Perimeter Roadway and Inner Slopes	Packed Surface	0.500	0.87	0.0507	0.0014
				Total Area 6.a	1.65	0.1422	0.0040
6.b		South Process Pond (2) - South Impoundment, Slopes & Roadways					
		Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	1-Day Max (MGD)	Daily (Avg
	1	South Process Pond Basin Surface	Basin Surface	1.000	0.96	0.1117	0.0032
	2	Perimeter Roadway and Inner Slopes	Packed Surface	0.500 Total Area 6 b	0.60	0.0348	0.0010
						011100	0.0012
6.C		Combustion Turbines Facility Bermed Areas to Process/Auxiliary Pond th	rough Oil/Water Separat	tors OS-1, OS-2, OS-3		1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	CT-Facility Diked Transformer Pads	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.14	0.0139	0.0004
	2	Fuel Oil Storage Tank/Pumps Berned Area	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.53	0.0528	0.0039
	4	CT Facility Diked Fuel-Handling Equipment Areas	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.08	0.0080	0.0002
				Total Area 6.c	2.14	0.2124	0.0060
6.d		Coal Storage and Handling Area to Coal Settling Basin to Auxiliary Pond (001]			1-Day Max	Daily (Av
		Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Basin Surface	Basin Surface	1.000	0.74	0.0870	0.0025
	2	Coal Pile Unit 1 (RETIRED/EMPTY) Cooling Tower Direct Precipitation	Packed Surface	0.500	0.43	0.6435	0.0182
				Total Area 6.d	12.19	0.7729	0.0219
6.e		Limestone Storage Pile and Sump to Process Pond(s)					
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
	1	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr 0.500	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
			Facked Sunace	Total Area 6.e	1.85	0.1083	0.0031
6 f		11-2 OilWater Separator - 11-2 Unit Areas Chimneys Transformers Fue	Oil 15K Tank Berm and	Parking Areas			
0.1		Chinale Separator - 01-2 Onic Areas, Chinnieys, Transformers, Puer	On 15K Tank Berni, and	a Faiking Aleas		1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
		Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Unit 1 (RETIRED) Circulating Pumps and North/Rear Gravel Areas	Packed Surface	0.500	0.11	0.0065	0.0002
	3	Asphalt Parking/Roadway (Main lot & behind/front of Unit 1)	Impervious Surface	0.850	2.74	0.2724	0.0077
	4	Grass (uphill towards cooling towers)	Vegetated Area	0.250	0.50	0.0145	0.0004
	5	15,000 Fuel Oil Tank and Concrete Containment to Unit 1-2 OWS Unit 1-2 GSU/Aux Transformers Bermed Containment	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.03	0.0035	0.0001
	7	Coal Maintenance Fuel Tanks/Unloading Area (Bermed drains to Unit 1-2 OWS)	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.05	0.0049	0.0001
	8	Unit 2 (RETIRED/EMPTY) Cooling Tower Direct Precipitation	Impervious Surface	0.850 Total Area 6 f	0.37	0.0370	0.0011
						0.07.20	0.0100
6.g		U3 Oil/Water Separator - U3 Unit Areas, FGD-Abs/Drain Tank, Fuel Oil 500	K Tank Berm and Chimn	neys Areas		1-Day Max	Daily (Avo
		Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Unit 3 Circ.Pumps/Rear, N/S Access, Old Stack/U2-3 Shop Access, U3 ID Fans-Baghouse, New Stack, FGD-Abs/Drain Tank, & Adjacent-to-Rear Access	Impervious Surface	0.850	5.76	0.5720	0.0162
	2	Areas	Importious Surface	0.950	0.40	0.0494	0.0014
	2	Subjour gai Fuel Oil Fank and Concrete Containment to Onit 3 OWS	Impervious Sunace	Total Area 6.g	6.25	0.0484	0.0014
6.h		Landfill Leachate Pond & Adjacent Pavement Areas (Pumped to Process)	Aux Pond)				
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
	1	Surface Details	RO Coefficient Basin Surface	Cr 1.000	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	2	Landfill Leachate Pond -Asphalt Perimeter Roads (North & South)	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.42	0.0421	0.0012
	3	Landfill Leachate Pond -Gravel Perimeter Road (East)	Packed Surface	0.500	0.33	0.0193	0.0005
				Total Area 6.11	3.10	0.3444	0.0098
6.i		Landill Phase I Cells 1-2 (Under-Drainage/Runoff to Leachate Pond)				1-Day Mox	Daily (Ass
		Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
	1	Phase I-Cell 1 -Landfill Inside Perimeter Road & Drainage Channel	Packed Surface	0.500	35.94	2.0983	0.0595
	2	Phase I-Cell 2 -Landfill Inside Perimeter Road & Drainage Channel	Packed Surface	0.500 Total Area 6.i	24.63 60.57	1.4378 3.5361	0.0408
		COBT Area (Maat DA/Ourgening COD Content) Destructor Long (11)	Dond		_		
<u>.</u>		CUR I Area (west, BA/Gypsum CCR-Contact) Drains to Landfill Leachate I	-0110			1-Day Max	Daily (Ave
6.j			RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
6.j		Surface Details	imponuouo Surtooo	0.850	3.32	0.3293	0.0093
6.j	1	Surrace Details West-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas	Impervious Sunace	Total Area 6.	0.02		
6.j	1	Surface Details West-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas I andfill Dam Top Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRP/A andfill Loophete Part 4 to 1	Process Pond(s)	Total Area 6.j			
6.j 6.k	1	Surface Defauls West-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas Landfill Dam Toe Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to I	Process Pond(s)	Total Area 6.j		1-Day Max	Daily (Avg
6.j 6.k	1	Surface Details Uest-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas Landfill Dam Toe Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to I Surface Details Londfill Dam Toe Drain Area (accurate data areas and areas	Process Pond(s) RO Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	1-Day Max (MGD)	Daily (Avg (MGD)
6.j 6.k	1	Surface Details West-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas Landfill Dam Toe Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to I Surface Details Landfill Dam Toe Drain Area (assume drains into sump when not lake-flooded)	Process Pond(s) RO Coefficient Packed Surface	Cr 0.500 Total Area 6.k	#Acres 0.33 0.33	1-Day Max (MGD) 0.0190 0.0190	Daily (Avg (MGD) 0.0005 0.0005
6.j 6.k	1	Surface Details West-side Pavement and CCRT/Bottom Ash-Gypsum Handling Areas Landfill Dam Toe Drain Sump/Area to TDCPRS/Landfill Leachate Pond to I Surface Details Landfill Dam Toe Drain Area (assume drains into sump when not lake-flooded)	RO Coefficient Packed Surface	Cr 0.500 Total Area 6.k	#Acres 0.33 0.33	1-Day Max (MGD) 0.0190 0.0190	Daily (Avg (MGD) 0.0005 0.0005

Outfall 007 Outfall 007 (Reserved)

Outfall 008 Outfall 008 - Railway Stormwater & Landfill Wick Drain Sump High Flows (Base Fraction Pumped to Proc.Pond) to Herrington Lake 1-Day Max Daily (Avg) Run Off Coefficient Cr (MGD) (MGD) Surface Details #Acres 8.a Landfill Embankment-North Slope (toward Railcar Sidings) Railcar Siding Gravel Areas (Between Fuel Unloading until U3 ESP railroad crossing & Loose Gravel 0.250 6.86 0.2002 0.0057 Loose Gravel 0.250 8.b down to Bottom Ash PipeRack) 6.52 0.1904 0.0054 0.850 8.c Entrance Road Pavement (Fuel Unloading to Unit 3 ESP) Impervious Surface 1.53 0.1521 0.0043 0.0015 CT Ice Plant (Thermal Storage Equipment-Bldg/Gravel) 8.d Impervious Surface 0.850 0.55 0.0544 8.e Natural Gas Pressure Regulation Station Packed Surface 0.500 1.21 0.0709 0.0020 8.f Limestone Unloading Area (Outside/Adjacent Pile) Packed Surface 0.500 5.12 0.2988 0.0085 8.g 8.h Limestone Handling-Slurry Prep.Bldgs, FDG/Abs-Drain Tank, to 500K Tank Area Impervious Surface 0.850 3.06 0.3039 0.0086 Field/Grass Areas Uphill of Plant Access Road 0.250 0.5082 Vegetated Area 17.41 0.0144 AREA TOTAL 42.26 1.7789 0.0504

Outfall 009 Outfall 009 (Reserved)

HERRINGTON LAKE: NON-POINT UNCONTAMINATED RUNOFF AREAS

Area 10	steam Plant-Coalyard South/Downhill Areas Sloped To Lake Herrington (i.e., Below Railroad Tracks)										
					1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)					
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)					
10.a	Substation-Brown Plant South	Loose Gravel	0.250	1.50	0.0437	0.0012					
10.b	Coal Maintenance Buildings & Gravel Area	Packed Surface	0.500	3.17	0.1850	0.0052					
10.c	Fields/Vegetation from DamFace-to-U3 CT Blowdown Channel	Loose Gravel	0.250	2.64	0.0770	0.0022					
10.d	Fields/Vegetation from U3 CT Blowdown Channel to Coalyard, Dix Dispatch, Lake	Vegetated Area	0.250	40.45	1.1810	0.0335					
			AREA TOTAL 10	47.76	1.4867	0.0421					

Area 11	Landfill-Damface North-to-South Slopes (Ditch Drains To Lake Herrington/Curds Inlet)									
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)			
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)			
11	Landfill Embankment (Dam Face) East Slope (Main Slope to Herrington Lake)	Loose Gravel	0.250		10.54	0.3076	0.0087			

Area 12 Auxiliary Pond External Berm & Downhill Fields Draining to Stream to Quarry/Stormwater Pond to Herrington Lake/Curds Inlet

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
12.a	Quarry Basin Surface	Basin Surface	1.000		0.63	0.0731	0.0021
12.b	Fields/Vegetation Below Auxiliary Pond External Berms to Stream/Channel	Vegetated Area	0.250		31.47	0.9187	0.0260
12.c	Auxiliary Pond External Berm (Eastern, Southern & Western Slopes)	Loose Gravel	0.250		15.68	0.4576	0.0130
			AREA TOTAL	12	47.77	1.4494	0.0411

Area 13 Fields Below Auxiliary Pond/Stream to Quarry and Hardin Heights Road (from Curds Inlet to Subdivision Entrance)

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
13	Fields/Vegetation Below Stream/Channel to Quarry Extending From Solar Farm, Along Hardin Heights, to Lake Edge and to Dam Face/Curds Inlet	Vegetated Area	0.250		40.20	1.1737	0.0333
			AREA TOTAL	13	40.20	1.1737	0.0333

Area 14 Solar Farm to Hardin Heights Boat Ramp Inlet to Railroad Tracks

					1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
14.a	Solar Farm Central Pond	Basin Surface	1.000	0.82	0.0952	0.0027
14.b	Solar Panels	Impervious Surface	0.850	38.90	3.8614	0.1095
14.c	Solar Batteries/Switchgear Gravel Area	Packed Surface	0.500	4.39	0.2560	0.0073
14.d	Fields/Vegetation Adjacent/Surrounding Solar Facilities	Vegetated Area	0.250	108.98	3.1816	0.0902
			AREA TOTAL 14	4 153.09	7.3942	0.2096

Area 15 Southern Property (prev. Dempsey Property)

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
15	Fields/Vegetation of Property South of Solar Facilities	Vegetated Area	0.250		124.14	3.6240	0.1027
			AREA TOTAL	15	124.14	3.6240	0.1027

DIX RIVER AND DAM SPILLWAY: NON-POINT UNCONTAMINATED RUNOFF AREAS

Area 16 Dix HydroElectric Areas (Including Spillway and Across/East Areas) Draining to Dix River

					1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr	#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
16.a	Hydroelectric Building Roof and Roadway	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.23	0.0227	0.0006
16.b	Dix Substation	Loose Gravel	0.250	0.44	0.0127	0.0004
16.c	Gravel Parking & Roadways adjacent Substation	Packed Surface	0.500	1.05	0.0614	0.0017
16.d	Dix River Tailrace Area (to River-Center Property Line)	Basin Surface	1.000	2.16	0.2521	0.0071
16.e	Dix Dam & Spill Gates Inner Slopes to Herrington Lake	Impervious Surface	0.850	2.30	0.2284	0.0065
16.f	Dix Dam (Downstream) Embankment to Toe/Hydro Area	Packed Surface	0.500	7.02	0.4100	0.0116
16.g	Spillway Gates Concrete Discharge/Apron Area	Impervious Surface	0.850	0.92	0.0915	0.0026
16.h	Spillway Channel (rock) Area	Impervious Surface	0.850	13.74	1.3637	0.0387
16.i	Fields & Vegetated Slopes Across/East-Side of Herrington Lake & Dix River	Vegetated Area	0.250	16.97	0.4953	0.0140
16.j	Village Houses & Vegetated Areas Between Spillway, Dix Dam and Dix River	Vegetated Area	0.250	47.43	1.3846	0.0392
			AREA TOTAL 16	92.26	4.3224	0.1225

Area 17 Westcliff Substation & Dix Dispatch Building/Complex Areas

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
17.a	Westcliff Substation	Loose Gravel	0.250		1.12	0.0326	0.0009
17.b	Dix Dispatch Building and Adjacent Roadway/Parking Areas	Impervious Surface	0.850		2.37	0.2355	0.0067
			AREA TOTAL	17	3.49	0.2681	0.0076

Area 18

Areas North of Steam Plant from Combustion Turbine Site Extending to Dam Spillway Including Brown North Substation

						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
18.a	Brown-North Substation	Loose Gravel	0.250		8.11	0.2367	0.0067
18.b	Brown-North Substation - Gravel Laydown Area	Packed Surface	0.500		6.11	0.3568	0.0101
18.c	Training Building & Fire Protection Buildings, Roadway & Gravel Areas Adjacent Buildings	Impervious Surface	0.850		1.92	0.1903	0.0054
18.d	Warehouse, Perimeter Roadway & Adjacent/Uphill Gravel Areas	Impervious Surface	0.850		3.81	0.3786	0.0107
18.e	Unit 3 Cooling Towers Gravel Areas Between/to Roadway	Packed Surface	0.500		3.35	0.1954	0.0055
18.f	Units 1-2 Cooling Towers Between/Adjacent Buildings (incl GT Demin Bldg), Roadway & Gravel Areas	Packed Surface	0.500		1.64	0.0958	0.0027
18.g	Septic Lateral Field	Vegetated Area	0.250		1.87	0.0546	0.0015
18.h	Vegetated/Field Areas Draining to Dix River from behind CT-site/Curdsville Road to Dix Dam Spillway including Areas behind/downhill of Steam Plant	Vegetated Area	0.250		125.39	3.6604	0.1038
			AREA TOTAL	18	152 20	5 1687	0 1465

CEDAR CREEK DRAINAGE AREAS TO KENTUCKY RIVER

Area 19	Combustion Turbines Facility - Uncontaminated Runoff Are	as (Not Bermed/Pumped	I to Process Por	ıd)			
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	Run Off Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
19.a	CT-Facility Building Roofs & Asphalt Pavement Areas	Impervious Surface	0.850		5.54	0.5504	0.0156
19.b	CT Facility Gravel Areas, Roads & Parking Lots	Packed Surface	0.500		19.55	1.1414	0.0324
19.c	CT Switchyard/Substation Gravel Areas	Loose Gravel	0.250		2.78	0.0813	0.0023
19.d	CT Facility Grass Areas	Vegetated Area	0.250		21.66	0.6323	0.0179
			AREA TOTAL	19	49.54	2.4053	0.0682
Area 20	Plant Entrance Gate, Roadway & Railway Areas (From Entra	ance to Fuel Truck Unloa	iding Area)				
	Overfaces Datalla		0-			1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
20.a	Entrance Road Pavement & Guardhouse Roof Areas	Impervious Surface	0.850		0.92	0.0910	0.0026
20.0	Railfoad Bed Gravel Areas	Loose Gravel	0.250		1.64	0.0480	0.0014
			AREA TOTAL	20	2.56	0.1390	0.0039
Aroa 21	Gunsum Building (Poticod/In Activo) Near Entrance Cate						
Alea Zi	Sypsum Building (Retired/IIActive) Near Entrance Sate					1 Doy Mox	
	Surface Dataila	BO Coofficient	Cr.		#Aoroa		(MCD)
21	Cursum (Petirod/Inactive) Building and Adiacont Crovel Areas	Backed Surface	0.500		#Acres	0.1806	0.0051
21	Gypsun (Netheu/mactive) building and Aujacent Graver Aleas		AREA TOTAL	21	3.09	0.1806	0.0051
Area 22	Gypsum Building (Retired/InActive) Near Entrance Gate						
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
22	Fields/Slopes Surrounding/Adjacent Gypsum (Retired/Inactive) Building	Vegetated Area	0.250		10.69	0.3121	0.0088
			AREA TOTAL	22	10.69	0.3121	0.0088
Area 23	Landfill-West Embankment Along Curdsville Road Area to I	Retired Gypsum Bldg/We	bb Road Entran	ce (Draii	ns Northwa	rd)	
						1-Day Max	Daily (Avg)
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	(MGD)	(MGD)
23	Fields/Slopes Along/Between Roadway and Landfill West Slopes	Vegetated Area	0.250		23.48	0.6854	0.0194
			AREA TOTAL	23	23.48	0.6854	0.0194
	E.W. Brown Station - Stormwater Runoff Calcu	ulations				April 2	29, 2019

Area 24 Area Between Road/Railway Across Curdsville Road to Roadway Overpass Bridge (Drains Northward) Surface Details RO Coefficient Cr 1-Day Max Daily (Avg)

					Case No. 2022-00402		
	Atta	chment 3 to Re	esponse to	JI-1	Questio	on No. 1	1.101(a)
24	Fields/Slopes Along/Between Roadway and Railway Across Curdsville Road	Vegetated Area	0.250		19.1Page93 72 of 286		
			AREA TOTAL	24	19.16	0.5593	0.0159 Imber
Area 25	Middle/West Property Areas Across/West of Railway						
	Surface Details	RO Coefficient	Cr		#Acres	1-Day Max (MGD)	Daily (Avg) (MGD)
25	Fields/Slopes Across/Along Bailway (Drains Northward)	Vegetated Area	0.250		68.75	2.0069	0.0569
25	Tields/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drailis Northward)						0.0500
25			AREA TOTAL	25	68.75	2.0069	0.0569
Area 26	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail	way		25	68.75	2.0069 1-Day Max (MCD)	Daily (Avg)
25 Area 26 26	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail	RO Coefficient Vecetated Area	Cr 0.250	25	#Acres	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749	0.0569 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191
25 Area 26 26	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward)	RO Coefficient Vegetated Area	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL	25 26	#Acres 23.12 23.12	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749	0.0569 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191
25 Area 26 26 Area 27	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward)	RO Coefficient Vegetated Area	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL	25 26	#Acres 23.12 23.12	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749	0.0569 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191
25 Area 26 26 Area 27	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward) North Property Area - East Along Railway (prev. Haup Prop	Way RO Coefficient Vegetated Area	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL	25 26	#Acres 23.12 23.12	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749 1-Day Max	0.0559 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191 Daily (Avg)
25 Area 26 26 Area 27	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward) North Property Area - East Along Railway (prev. Haup Prop	way RO Coefficient Vegetated Area erty) RO Coefficient	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL Cr	25 26	68.75 #Acres 23.12 23.12 #Acres	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749 1-Day Max (MGD)	Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191 Daily (Avg) (MGD)
25 Area 26 26 Area 27 27.a	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward) North Property Area - East Along Railway (prev. Haup Prop Surface Details Borrow Area Pond Surface	RO Coefficient Vegetated Area	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL Cr 1.000	25	68.75 #Acres 23.12 23.12 #Acres 6.37	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.7434	0.0559 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0211
25 Area 26 26 Area 27 27.a 27.a 27.b	Northwest Cedar Branch Property - West Across/Along Rail Surface Details Fields/Woods/Slopes Across/Along Railway (Drains Northward) North Property Area - East Along Railway (prev. Haup Prop Surface Details Borrow Area Pond Surface Vegetated/Field Areas Draining to Cedar Branch	RO Coefficient Vegetated Area erty) RO Coefficient Basin Surface Vegetated Area	Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL Cr Cr 0.250 AREA TOTAL Cr Cr 1.000 0.250 0.250	25	68.75 #Acres 23.12 23.12 #Acres 6.37 51.91	2.0069 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.6749 0.6749 1-Day Max (MGD) 0.7434 1.5154	0.0559 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0191 0.0191 Daily (Avg) (MGD) 0.0211 0.0430

TOTAL LISTED STORMWATER AREAS	res	1185.17
Herrington Lake Surface Area (Included Inside Property Boundary Boundary)	res	37.68
TOTAL SITE PER SURVEY 1,222.473	res	1222.85

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 173 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 9

STORMWATER RUNOFF DIAGRAMS



STORMWATER RUNOFF AREA DESCRIPTION

- VEGETATED - WOODS & GRASS AREAS

- IMPERVIOUS PAVEMENT, ROOFS, COOLING

- IMPOUNDMENT BASIN SURFACES





Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 174 of 286 Imber

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 175 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 10

WATER BALANCE DIAGRAMS

Diagrams for Process Operations and AVERAGE Stormwater Runoff







Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 179 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 11

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES

AT

KU-BROWN GENERATING STATION

IMPACTING

THE SCHEDULE OF COMPLIANCE

WITH FEDERAL AND STATE REGULATIONS

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

> *Rev May 23, 2019* Page 1 of 10

SUMMARY

To update renewal information for issuance of the renewed Kentucky Utilities Company (KU) Brown Generating Station (Plant or Brown Station) KPDES permit, this document describes construction activities and scheduling information to support setting applicability dates for new KPDES permit conditions to meet Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELG) regulations and Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KyWQS). The USEPA announced it is reconsidering the ELG final rule regarding Flue Gas Desulfurization (FGD) Wastewater treatment requirements and bottom ash sluicing/transport waters. A September 18, 2017 final rule revised the earliest possible 'as-soon-as-possible' date from November 1, 2018 to November 1, 2020 for treatment requirements of FGD wastewaters and bottom ash sluice waters and retained December 31, 2023 as the latest possible compliance date for treatment of these wastewaters. USEPA anticipates completing its reconsideration rulemaking by November 2020, and that rule will establish the ELG treatment standards for these two wastestreams.

The Brown Station has completely converted to dry fly ash handling; therefore, the ELG regulatory prohibition of the discharge of fly ash transport waters conditionally beginning November 1, 2018 will not affect existing or planned operations at the station. For bottom ash, a remote submerged conveyor to dewater bottom ash sluice streams was installed but the equipment has operated unreliably; therefore, until the system is redesigned/repaired, a portion of bottom ash sluicing flows will continue to the Auxiliary Ash Pond and/or new Process Pond (under construction). KU is evaluating whether to redesign, modify and/or replace the equipment, which will be influenced by the ELG reconsideration. KU-Brown will comply with the bottom ash transport water ELG by no later than December 31, 2023, unless USEPA establishes a different deadline consideration in the revised rule..

For FGD wastewaters, the treatment technologies to meet the ELG regulations are similar to those required to be installed for plant discharges to meet anticipated KyWQS limits (e.g. mercury, selenium, arsenic, etc.) for the new diffuser discharge. However, because USEPA announced their reconsideration of ELG wastewaters treatment requirements, setting a compliance date for specific ELG treatment requirements for FGD waters cannot be definitively resolved without finalization of these ELG regulations. As a result, KU has proposed December 31, 2023 as the compliance date for this wastestream as well.

Simultaneously, the Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) Final Rule also profoundly impacts plans for future facility operations and water/solids management by in-effect, requiring the closure of existing CCR- related impoundments. As a result, the Brown plant CCR/ash/gypsum materials will be alternatively managed in the on-site landfill (and/or marketed when possible).

Therefore, to comply with anticipated KyWQS discharge limits and to best position the plant to meet future ELG requirements, KU has implemented the following projects:

• Segregate, recycle and treat FGD (Flue Gas Desulfurization) wastewaters with dedicated physicalchemical systems to meet anticipated KyWQS limits for the new diffuser discharge outfall by mid-2020 and ELG technology-based limits for FGD wastewater and bottom ash transport water by a compliance date of December 31, 2023 (which will be revisited upon finalization of the USEPA reconsideration of these treatment requirements for these wastewaters);
Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 2 of 10

- Install dry handling for all fly ash systems to prevent the discharge of fly ash sluice waters (this has already been completed);
- Install a recirculation system to recycle all bottom ash sluice waters (troubleshooting-optimizing efforts still continuing) to prevent the discharge of bottom ash sluice waters by December 31, 2023.

Specifically at the Brown Plant, construction of new wastewater treatment facilities for FGD (Flue Gas Desulfurization) systems wastewaters and other plant process waters require:

- Final conversion of handling systems from wet-to-dry solids management in the Coal Combustion Residuals Transport (CCRT) facility to manage fly ash, bottom ash, and gypsum for transport to the landfill or marketing/trucking these CCR materials off-site for beneficial reuse. This includes significant tank/piping modifications of FGD wastewaters and systems associated with the gypsum dewatering vacuum belts (2). Bottom ash sluice waters management includes redesign/repairs to Submerged Flight Conveyors (SFC) constructed to dewater sluice flows and facilitate 'dry' solids-truck handling to the landfill (reliability problems currently being addressed);
- A Process Water System (PWS) to treat FGD wastewaters (by physical-chemical and potential/future biological technology) including piping to segregate and manage FGD blowdown, gypsum dewatering, reclaim, and other related process flows scheduled to be operational by mid-2020;
- Construction of a new physical/chemical treatment system for the closed Main Ash Pond toe drain and coal pile runoff treatment system (TDCPRTS) flows (the toe drain dedicated/closed system installed previously) which will discharge to the Process Pond scheduled to be operational by mid-2020;
- Closure/capping/vegetation of the Auxiliary Ash Pond scheduled completion by 2022;
- Expand the existing CCR-Landfill for Phase 1/Cell 2 operations and close/cap the Phase 3 phase of the landfill (not-needed following recent retirement of coal-fired Units 1-2 units);
- A New Process Pond (North and South cells) to settle/mix/neutralize all plant wastewaters (adjacent/north of the future closed/capped Auxiliary Ash Pond);
- A multiport, high-rate diffuser for enhanced mixing zone/ZID discharge of outfall 006 treated process wastewaters to Herrington Lake completion date expected by 3rd quarter 2019;
- Installing three (3) new outfall monitoring/sample structures for flows associated with the Process Pond/Diffuser, FGD-PWS, and railway stormwater/wick-drain high flow events.

To reduce the discharge of wastewater contaminants as expeditiously and economically as possible, the description and schedule provided here are accelerated and represent a current best-estimate, but it must be recognized that detailed engineering is not yet complete and delays in contractual bidding, procurement and all-weather construction activities may have a profound effect upon the final completion date. Many of these work tasks are still in the design-phase, many tasks completion are serially dependent, and the simultaneous high-demands by others in the power industry is expected to impair vendors' capabilities to supply equipment and services. Accordingly, KU-Brown plant will contact KDOW-KPDES staff to provide updated information if the actual schedule significantly deviates from that provided here, including whether adjustment in ELG applicability dates are required.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

> *Rev May 23, 2019* Page 3 of 10

CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES – WORKFLOW SCHEDULING

In accordance with requirements of the recently finalized USEPA CCR-(Coal Combustion Residuals) and ELG (Effluent Limitation Guidelines) regulatory rules, the plant has commenced efforts to modify existing process equipment systems and construct new wastewater treatment equipment to comply with these new regulations. Generally the work requires modification, retirement/removal or the new installation of five (5) ponds, construction of the Process Water Treatment System (PWS) for FGD wastewater treatment and solids handling systems, construction of other water-treatment systems, completion of the CCRT/piping-handling systems modifications, CCR-Landfill expansion and partial closure/capping work, and very extensive changes or new piping systems associated with management of process waters from operating the plant coal-fired unit. The current ELG Rule considers that FGD wastewaters have high concentrations of metals and nutrients and must be segregated from other plant process waters for treatment by a physical-chemical and potential/futurebiological system (or by equivalent performance technology) prior to co-mingling with other plant wastewater streams. In addition, compliance with water quality-based standards in light of the process wastewater changes and elimination of transport waters is a major consideration for the new combined/total plant discharges. Ensuring compliance with water quality standards is being achieved through treatment of contributing flows with elevated metals concentrations such as FGD wastewaters, and in particular mercury and selenium, and use of a new diffuser discharge outfall.

To determine compliance applicability dates with ELG requirements "as soon as possible", permittees are required to provide information for regulators to consider:

- *Time to expeditiously plan (including to raise capital), design, procure, and install equipment to comply with the requirements of the final rule;*
- Changes being made or planned at the plant in response to greenhouse gas regulations for new or existing fossil fuel-fired power plants under the Clean Air Act, as well as regulations for the disposal of coal combustion residuals under Subtitle D of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act;
- For FGD wastewater requirements only, an initial commissioning period to optimize the installed equipment;
- Other factors as appropriate.

It is challenging, costly and difficult-to-schedule the installation of systems to segregate and treat FGD wastewaters, which are currently co-managed as low-volume wastes with other CCR/plant wastewaters as provided by the current KPDES permit. Because the Plant's coal-fired unit is large, the numerous auxiliary systems are complex and highly inter-connected, and required the retention of professional engineering design and construction firms to assist. These efforts include:

- 1. Select alternative process technologies for fly ash/bottom ash/gypsum handling and management including:
 - i. Dry bottom ash handling for solids landfilling instead of ash pond impoundment management;
 - ii. Conversion of air heaters/economizers fly ash management systems from wet-handling (currently sluiced to ash pond) to dry-handling (pneumatic piping, silos, and trucking to onsite landfill);
 - iii. FGD wastewaters treatment systems of physical-chemical precipitation design (e.g., mercury, arsenic, other metals) followed by potential/future biological treatment (e.g., selenium, nitrates, etc.) with solids filtration and placement in the site landfill;

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 4 of 10

- 2. Select and contract consulting engineer firms for process and balance-of-plant equipment including planning, designing, specifying, bidding, procuring, construction management, etc.;
- 3. Prepare informational packages for submission to the Kentucky Public Service Commission for regulatory approval of these expenditures under base rate/environmental surcharge categories;
- 4. Conduct pilot testing of biological candidate process technologies to determine feasibility and finalize/optimize detailed systems process designs;
- 5. Complete general and detailed engineering designs for equipment selection, modifications, plan/coordinate installation according to unit outage schedules;
- 6. Prepare detailed equipment specifications;

Compliance

- 7. Prepare, award, and negotiate bidding for contracts to procure and install the selected process technologies-equipment;
- 8. Demolition of existing facilities where required for plant footprint/tight-quarters require for various technical, practical, and economic reasons;
- 9. Install ponds, tanks, piping, pumps, structural/electrical/controls for segregation of existing plant flows and management by new wastewater treatment systems, including redundant systems for operational reliability;
- 10. Install facilities in new treatment buildings for plant staff operations control rooms, restrooms/lockerrooms and safe occupancy;
- 11. Startup/troubleshoot process and wastewater treatment systems operations and commission for up to 6 months to assure regulatory compliance with anticipated internal and lake outfall permitted discharge limits;
- 12. Site ponds-related work generally includes 3 scenarios:
 - i. Pond flows diversion, excavation, pond liner installation, and restoration/refurbishment of piping/pumps/discharge flow controls;
 - ii. Pond retirement by excavation, and backfill (for future plant maintenance/laydown areas);
 - iii. Pond retirement by limited excavation, regrading, installation of in-place cap/vegetative cover and stormwater runoff management systems.

Currently, the Plant co-manages process waters from multiple CCR-materials and FGD wastewaters in many of the site impoundments to meet KPDES permit limits prior to discharge to Herrington Lake. Some of these ponds were configured to flow either in series or parallel which requires temporary diversion and later restoration of these flows in order to perform the closure/cleaning/re-lining activities as required by the CCR Rule. Furthermore, the new FGD wastewater treatment systems alone requires impacts to acres of buildings/tanks/piping/etc. (including the FGD Maintenance Drain Tank and modification/interconnects to hydrocyclone piping at the FGD); thus, to provide areas to construct the FGD and other plant process wastewater treatment systems, excavation/clean-closure of some of these ponds is required.

Scheduling for construction activities for both the Plant ponds and the new FGD wastewater treatment systems have been *optimized and accelerated as much as possible*; but some of the ponds-related work must be scheduled sequentially to allow for the temporary flows redirections, while assuring continued compliance with KPDES permit conditions.

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 5 of 10

PROCESS FLOWS DISCHARGES OVERVIEW

FGD Wastewaters Processes

Compliance

Currently, Unit 3 FGD wastewaters primarily include gypsum dewatering/filtration flows and inert-solids blowdown streams; these flows are pumped to the Auxiliary Ash Pond and combine with plant low volume waste streams and misc. stormwater flows for settling/mixing/neutralization of the combined flows prior to discharge to Herrington Lake.

By late-2019, FGD wastewaters will be completely segregated for treatment by reconfiguring piping between the FGD/gypsum slurry hydrocyclones, the new FGD maintenance drainage tank, and the CCRT gypsum dewatering equipment systems. Most surplus FGD process waters will be recycled to supply FGD systems makeup water (to reduce treatment volumes and reduce freshwater use), and the remaining wastewater flows will be treated by the new Process Waters Treatment System (PWS, using physical-chemical technology), which is scheduled to be operational in the first half of 2020. Treated effluent will discharge to a future ELG-compliance monitoring point (new Outfall 007) and then combines with plant low-volume wastes and other process flows for settling/mixing/neutralization in the new Process Pond and monitored at the new outfall 006 sampling-monitoring structure prior to discharge into Herrington Lake through a new diffuser.

With planned completion by mid-2019, the Outfall 006/Process Pond discharge to Herrington Lake will be installed with a multiport, high-rate diffuser; in combination with mid early-2020 "commercial" operation of the new PWS for FGD wastewaters and adequate time for mixed pond flows to reflect new treatment systems effluent flows, this is expected to assure compliance with current KYWQS. Ultimately the PWS system ELG compliance can be monitored at the new internal Outfall 007 prior to combining the discharge with any other flows (i.e., to satisfy ELG anti-circumvention measures). However, if the ELG reconsideration requires the installation of biological technology in order to meet the ELG limits, it is expected that design-procurement-installation-startup-testing and reliably operation of these units can require at least 36-42 months following the finalization announcement. Thus, although we plan the PWS system will begin startup-testing flows in December 2019 with reliable commercial physical-chemical operations by February 2020 (and subsequent pond mix/displacement flows until July 1, 2020), designing and installing any ELG- required biological systems to achieve full compliance would at least require the additional time until December 31, 2023.

Ash Sluice Waters

Currently, all fly ash is dry-managed using the plant CCRT system where fly ash is pugmill-moistened and truck-managed to the on-site landfill; therefore, the KU-Brown plant no longer sluices fly ash.

For bottom ash (as described previously), KU installed a remote submerged conveyor to convey/dewater sluiced bottom ash solids to the on-site landfill. However, the equipment has experienced operating/reliability problems (e.g., pH, corrosion, metal integrity/failure issues); consequently, to address these problems, it is estimated up to 10% of the recirculated bottom ash sluice flows have been directed to the existing Auxiliary ash pond. The company is currently evaluating requirements for the bottom ash sluice waters recirculation system per current ELG rule requirements to redesign/modify unreliable components of this remote submerged conveyor system. KU will provide an updated evaluation of the appropriate compliance date and approach for bottom ash water before the earliest compliance deadline of November 1, 2020. Therefore, in compliance with

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 6 of 10

current ELG regulations, KU-Brown plans to cease discharging bottom ash sluice waters flows by December 31, 2023.

NEW OR MODIFIED PROCESS EQUIPMENT-FLOWS LISTING

Recent construction activities have profoundly changed the plant's CCRT materials handling management systems for fly ash, bottom ash and gypsum. Significant features of new/modified process equipment and/or flow changes related to FGD, CCRs and other materials processing-management include:

- Converting (wet) sluicing conveyance systems to dry fly ash from boiler air heaters/economizers hoppers to combine with existing dry fly ash silos for marketing, off-site/beneficial reuse, or pugmill-moistened for landfilling. Fly ash sluicing flows have already stopped; discharges of bottom ash sluicing flows are planned to stop by December 31, 2023, but may be affected by EPA's ongoing rule reconsideration;
- Remote submerged conveyors were installed to receive sluiced bottom ash sluicing flows to a process vessel where moist bottom ash solids can be dredged to bins beside the remote conveyor equipment; however, this system has experienced operational problems including pH, corrosion, and metal integrity/failure issues. Currently, equipment redesign/improvements/modification efforts continue but sometimes require draining of recirculated bottom ash sluice flows to perform the repair work. Current ELG regulations prohibit the discharge of any bottom ash sluice waters, but repairs-improvements of the remote submerged conveyors/system are expected to continue until the KU-requested applicability date of December 31, 2023 for compliance with this ELG requirement.
- Segregation of FGD wastewaters, flushwaters and FGD-gypsum filtration waters requires installing: large storage tanks; constructing new piping/pipe-racks between the vacuum-filtration solids-dewatering belt systems; recycling/flush systems, and complex water management-control systems;
- Construction of the Coal Combustion Residuals Transport (CCRT) system has included dry-fly ash storage silos, pneumatic/dry handling or pugmill-moistening capability for loading fly ash into truck-transport to the new on-site landfill; bottom ash handling capabilities for truck-transport to the new on-site landfill; FGD/gypsum de-watering and solids handling for landfilling or marketing beneficial re-use off-site by trucks---the FGD dewatering recycle flows to the FGD systems and bottom ash sluice water recycling systems require design, installation and troubleshooting work for reliable commercial operations;
- Construction of a PWS for FGD wastewaters using physical-chemical technology-based systems to reduce mercury, arsenic, selenium and other metals levels in discharge waters. If the ELG regulatory reconsideration requires compliance with reduced selenium/nitrates/nitrites limits, a biological system can be added later (if needed) to incrementally treat the physical-chemical system effluent. At this time the need for a biological system has not been demonstrated in order for combined plant discharges to comply with anticipated Kentucky water quality standards limits.
- Construction of clarification/sludge handling systems to convey precipitated solids to the gypsum filtration vacuum belts to manage the combined gypsum and PWS/physical-chemical solids produced (including future potential biological system solids) for landfilling on-site.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 186 of 286 KU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules

> *Rev May 23, 2019* Page 7 of 10

IMPOUNDMENTS AND OUTFALLS WORK OVERVIEW

Auxiliary Ash Pond Closure/Caps and New Process Pond

To close and cap the Auxiliary Ash Pond, construction of a New Process Pond to treat all plant wastewaters was necessary; due to plant site limitations, the most economic and feasible alternative was to excavate/construct the new Process Pond (North and South cells) adjacent the Auxiliary pond. They are designed to flow as two-cells-in-series with a first cell for primary settling coupled with a secondary/polishing cell; this pond is planned for completion in May 2019. This will facilitate closure of the Auxiliary ash pond and may require several months to divert/transfer flows from the Auxiliary Pond to the Process Pond for settling/mixing/neutralizing of all plant wastewaters prior to their monitored discharge to Herrington Lake. In August-2019 (*or new KPDES permit effective date*), dewatering flows from the Auxiliary ash pond are planned to begin to Outfall 006 in preparation for closure in accordance with the CCR final rule requirements.

With closure of this pond by December 31, 2021, all in-flows to this ash pond must stop and the process changes described in this document are required to handle the flows currently sent to this pond. The Auxiliary ash pond will be dewatered, capped, vegetative cover established, and uncontaminated stormwater runoff flows will be managed to combine with landfill stormwater runoff flows to the existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake. Dewatering will commence via the diffuser outfall (006) upon issuance of the KPDES permit.

Starting December-2019, FGD wastewaters currently sent to the Auxiliary Ash Pond will be treated by the PWS system and discharged thru a new internal Outfall 007 to the New Process Pond. There will be a shakedown period for the new PWS system, with commercial operation and displacement of untreated flows in the Process Pond anticipated by July 2020. The treated FGD wastewaters will combine with the plant low volume wastewaters, misc. other process flows and stormwater flows to Herrington Lake through Outfall 006 and a multiport, high-rate diffuser.

Landfill Leachate Pond

Compliance

With retirement of Units 1-2, the landfill future Phase 3 was not needed, so the landfill permit was modified for Phase 1 to include Cells 1-2 (Phase 2 designation now 'omitted'), and Phase 3 was to be closed/capped and vegetated. The Landfill Leachate Pond will receive flows from both Phase 1 Cell 1 (existing) and Cell 2 (new) active landfill sections; subsequently the leachate pond will be pumped to the new Process Pond(s) and to Outfall 006. Stormwater Runoff flows (deminimis CCR-contact) from the closed/capped Phase 3 drainage will be directly managed to the (South) Stormwater Pond. Liner installation for both Phase 1 Cell 2 and also for Phase 3 is planned for completion by late 2019; vegetation is expected to be established by mid-2020.

The Landfill Leachate pond will also be utilized to receive wastewater treatment flows from a new physicalchemical management facility for flows from the closed Main Ash Pond Toe Drain sump and Coal Pile Runoff Treatment System (TDCPRTS); for this purpose it will include a low-height partition to provide additional retention volume and enhance capture of suspended solids. This work is planned for completion during May 2019.

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 8 of 10

IMPOUNDMENTS AND OUTFALLS LISTING

These activities involve work on five (5) existing/future ponds, including closure or new construction of some of these same ponds, including:

- Closure of the Auxiliary Ash Pond (1) by dewatering, closure-in-place, regraded, liner/capped, and stormwater runoff management drainage from the capped areas;
- Construct the new Process Pond North Cell (1) and Process Pond South Cell (1) (collectively the "New Process Pond"), with liners and independent decant-discharge structures;
- Modification/construction of the landfill leachate pond(1) and landfill (north) temporary retention pond (1) for isolation from treatment of ash pond dewatering flows;
- Construct Outfalls Sampling Structures for Outfall 006/Process Ponds/Diffuser Flows monitoring and Outfall 008/Railway Stormwater/Wick-Drain High Rainfall Flows monitoring.

1. Auxiliary Ash Pond – Closure Started, Dewatering to begin Mid-2019, Completion by 2021 Close-in-place Auxiliary Ash Pond, Install geo-membrane/vegetative cap, future stormwater runoff controls to existing Outfall 001

- Channelize/reconfigure flows to allow initial re-grading efforts including wastewaters supplemental management (e.g., free-water drainage controls with turbidity curtains, etc.);
- Manage free-water discharges (at or above normal/typical pool level) to existing Outfall 001;
- Redirect Process Flows to new Process Pond with management to discharge to existing Outfall 001 (i.e., prior to new permit and subsequent redirection to new Outfall 006);
- Install dewatering pumps and infrastructure for dewatering flows discharge upon new KPDES permit effective date (potentially starting August 2019);
- Manage dewatering flows to new Process Pond thru new Diffuser/Outfall 006 monitoring/sample point in accordance with KPDES permit conditions;
- Provide pond fill solids, grade slopes for cap, install geo-membrane, soil cover, establish vegetation;
- Construct perimeter internal drainage channel for stormwater runoff from capped pond areas through new stormwater control structures to existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake.

2. Process Pond North Cell – Construction Started, Completion Planned for May 2019

Prepare North ('Secondary/Polishing Cell) Process Pond & Outfall Structure(s) to receive/redirected Plant Wastewater Flows currently discharged to Auxiliary Ash Pond

- Excavate final North Process Cell, slopes, emergency overflow, perimeter, and access roadways;
- Construct discharge piping/controls to direct flows to either existing Outfall 001 or to new Outfall 006 Monitoring/Sample control structure to Diffuser/Herrington Lake;
- Install pond liner (geomembrane);
- Construct Pipe-racks/infrastructure/Process Collection Box to divert process flows to New Process Pond, instead of to the Auxiliary Ash Pond including plant sumps, bottom ash sluice flows from Submerged Flight Conveyors (SFC) dewatering equipment (low solids %), FGD wastewaters (low solids %);

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 188 of 286 Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

Rev May 23, 2019 Page 9 of 10

• Transition pond wastewater flows from Auxiliary Ash Pond Process Pond(s) during May 2019, allow simultaneous discharge from both New Process Pond and Auxiliary Ash Pond to combine into existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake until new KPDES permit and when Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering flows will commence (KPDES permit Phase 2). KPDES Phase 2 Flows will be directed to Outfall 006/Diffuser to Herrington Lake.

3. Process Pond South Cell – Construction Started, Completion Planned for May 2019

Prepare South ('Primary/Knock-out Cell) Process Pond & Outfall Structure(s) to receive/redirected Plant Wastewater Flows currently discharged to Auxiliary Ash Pond

- Excavate final South Process Cell, slopes, emergency overflow, perimeter, and access roadways;
- Construct discharge piping/controls capable of directing flows to either existing Outfall 001 or to new Outfall 006 Monitoring/Sample control structure to Diffuser/Herrington Lake;
- Install pond liner (geomembrane);
- Construct Pipe-racks/infrastructure/Process Collection Box to divert process flows to New Process Pond(s), instead of to the Auxiliary Ash Pond including plant sumps, bottom ash sluice flows from Submerged Flight Conveyors (SFC) dewatering equipment (low solids %), FGD wastewaters (low solids %);
- Transition pond wastewater flows from Auxiliary Ash Pond Process Pond during May 2019, allow simultaneous discharge from both New Process Pond and Auxiliary Ash Pond to combine into existing Outfall 001 to Herrington Lake until new KPDES permit when Auxiliary Ash Pond Dewatering flows will commence (KPDES permit Phase 2). KPDES Phase 2 Flows will be directed to Outfall 006/Diffuser to Herrington Lake.

4. Landfill Leachate Pond Modification – Started, Completion Planned for May 2019

Construct internal partition, connect additional Active Landfill areas inflow drainage piping.

- Construct low-height internal pond partition (to provide additional settling/retention volume), groutin-place
- Connect piping to allow inflow drainage from Phase 1 Cell 2 new active landfill areas.

5. Process Pond to Diffuser to Herrington Lake – Outfall 006– Completion by July 2019

Construct Outfall 006 Monitoring/Sample Structure from new Process Pond discharge of Process and Stormwater Flows to Multiport, High-Rate Diffuser to Herrington Lake

• Install Outfall 006 Monitoring/Sampling structure at grade along buried pipe from Process Pond to Diffuser to Herrington Lake.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) RU - Brown Station – KPDES Permit Renewal Information Construction Projects Work Required for CCR and ELG Federal Rules Compliance

> *Rev May 23, 2019* Page 10 of 10

6. Railway Stormwater/Wick-Drain High Rain Flows Monitoring – Outfall 008

- Completion by July 2019

Construct Outfall 008 Monitoring/Sample Structure for stormwater sump (for monitoring any overflows s from infrequent high rainfall events where partial flows exceed maximum sump-pump capabilities to Process Pond/Outfall 006)

• Install Outfall 008 Monitoring/Sampling structure at outlet of existing discharge to Herrington Lake (prior to combining into Unit 3 Cooling Tower Blowdown flows ditch to lake).

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 190 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT 12

DESIGN REPORT FOR HIGH-RATE, MULTIPORT DIFFUSER FOR OUTFALL 006 DISCHARGES TO HERRINGTON LAKE

Technical Memorandum

Date: 09/10/2018

To: Jeff Oeswein, PE

From: Wayne Ingram

CC: Wade Turner, John Storm

Ref: Project No. 567530067

Re: E.W. Brown Process Pond Effluent Discharge Mixing Analyses

This technical memorandum summarizes the analyses, results and recommendations of discharge mixing analyses for a multiport diffuser for discharge of polishing pond effluent into Herrington Lake. Wood relied upon Process Pond Effluent concentration flow data described in a separate technical memorandum (Amec Foster Wheeler, January 2018) and additional analyses described in this memorandum to develop inputs to the mixing analyses performed. Mixing analyses were performed using software CORMIX version 10.0.3 GT, a proprietary expert system software from MixZon, Inc.

Background

As part of the Auxiliary Pond CCR Rule Pond Closure activities, LG&E-KU will develop a polishing pond to manage future industrial waste streams and, potentially, partial stormwater discharges from the facility. Discharge from the polishing pond will be to Herrington Lake through a single discharge that will utilize a multiport diffuser to optimize initial mixing. LG&E-KU is required to utilize a multiport diffuser for the discharge. Standard requirements for mixing of wastewater discharges in receiving waters, or mixing zones, are provided at 401 Kentucky Administrative Regulation (KAR) 10:029. Kentucky surface water quality standards are provided at 401 KAR 10:031.

Water quality standards must be achieved within the boundaries of the permitted mixing zone. As an effluent mixes with a receiving water, the concentrations of materials in the effluent are changed by dilution with the ambient water. The rate of mixing is highly dependent upon both the discharge and the ambient water characteristics. Rapid mixing is achieved by

disaggregating the discharge into multiple smaller discharges with high velocity, or "jets", which entrain ambient water. The trajectory of the effluent may also begin to either rise or sink due to density differences between the effluent and the ambient water. Density difference is associated with both the temperature and the concentrations of constituents in each. Beyond the zone where discharge characteristics, including velocity and momentum, control the plume or jet trajectory, it transitions to a true plume in which movement and mixing are controlled mainly by the turbulence associated with ambient water current and density difference. Mixing is typically very slow in that region compared to the initial mixing. The effluent plume movement and mixing may be significantly influenced by stratification in the ambient water.

A regulatory mixing zone in a reservoir in Kentucky is defined at 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4 and includes the following criterion:

• In a lake or a reservoir, unless assigned on or before December 8, 1999, an assigned mixing zone, from the point of discharge in any spatial direction, shall not exceed one-tenth (1/10) of the width of the lake, or reservoir at the discharge point.

A zone of initial dilution (ZID) is also defined with respect to toxic substances and criteria include:

- (3)(b) Concentrations of toxic substances shall not exceed the acute criteria for the protection of aquatic life at the edge of the assigned zone of initial dilution, except, numeric acute criteria may be exceeded within the zone if the frequency and duration of exposure of aquatic organisms are not sufficient to cause acute toxicity;
- (4) Unless assigned on or before July 6, 2009, a zone of initial dilution for a pollutant shall be available only to a submerged high-rate multiport outfall structure and shall be limited in size to the most restrictive of the acute criteria which shall be met:
 - Within ten (10) percent of the distance from the edge of the outfall structure to the edge of the regulatory mixing zone in a spatial direction;
 - Within a distance of fifty (50) times the square root of the cross-sectional area of a discharge port, in a spatial direction; or
 - In a horizontal direction within a distance of five (5) times the natural water depth that prevails under mixing zone design conditions, and exists before the installation of a discharge outlet.

This memorandum provides a summary of effluent characteristics, Herrington Lake characteristics, CORMIX model mixing analyses, and basic diffuser system hydraulics.

MIXING ANALYSES

A mixing analysis requires information describing three basic categories of input information: the effluent characteristics, the ambient receiving water characteristics, and the discharge structure characteristics. Each of these are briefly summarized below.

Effluent Discharge Characteristics

Polishing pond effluent characteristics are summarized in Attachment A, Table 1 (Amec Foster Wheeler, January 2018). These effluent characteristics were developed based on flow weighted concentrations of several polishing pond influents that have been sampled.

Effluent Flow Rate

The maximum volumetric discharge rate from the pond is 19.5 cfs (12.6 MGD) and the average discharge is 3.0 cfs (1.9 MGD) (Amec Foster Wheeler, January 2018). Flows into the pond are from a number of sources with varying flow generation characteristics (i.e., seepage, process water, precipitation, etc.). For a temporary initial period, drainage of interstitial water from an ash impoundment that is to be closed may be directed to the polishing pond. That flow rate is estimated to be from 100,000 gpd to 400,000 gpd (0.15 cfs to 0.62 cfs) and is additional to flows anticipated during normal operations period (19.5 cfs and 3.0 cfs). For the mixing analyses a maximum flow of 20.0 cfs was assumed, allowing 0.5 cfs for the temporary condition with drainage of interstitial water from the ash pond.

Discharge from the polishing pond is assumed to occur as gravity flow from one or more control structures such as weirs or gated outlets at the polishing pond, with pond discharge responding to inflow to the pond and temporary storage in the pond.

Effluent Concentrations

Comparison of the expected effluent concentrations to water quality standards indicates a few parameters with anticipated concentrations at or over the standards, thus relying on mixing to achieve concentrations below the standard at the edge of the mixing zone or at the edge of the ZID. Based on the sampling data representing maximum concentrations and associated water quality standards for the constituents of interest, a dilution of less than 10 at the edge of the mixing zone appears to be required to lower concentrations below water quality standards.

Effluent Temperature and Density

Polishing pond effluent temperatures will vary seasonally and over the shorter time increments dependent upon weather and pond residence time that is dependent upon flow rate. Detailed data characterizing the effluent temperatures are not available and detailed analyses to predict pond effluent temperatures on a statistical basis are not available. Effluent density is dependent upon both temperature and constituent concentrations. The polishing pond will have a surface area of approximately 3.2 acres. The storage volume will be relatively steady over time based on a fixed outlet structure (i.e., not pumped or otherwise operationally controlled) at approximately 15 acre-feet (4.9 MG). Based on average and maximum flow rates of 3.1 cfs and 19.5 cfs, the average residence time would be 2.4 days and the residence time at the maximum effluent flow rate will be approximately 0.4 day, assuming complete mixing and no short-circuiting in the pond. Based on available information, the polishing pond effluent temperature may range from significantly higher than the Herrington Lake water temperature at the discharge depth (see next section) to lower than lake temperature. Consequently, the effluent plume may range from strongly positively buoyant to negatively buoyant.

Receiving Water Characteristics

Herrington Lake is the receiving water. The effluent discharge to Herrington Lake will occur near the downstream end of Herrington Lake near the left descending bank and approximately 2000 to 2600 feet upstream from Dix Dam. The Dix River drainage area at Dix Dam is approximately 439 square miles (USGS). Discharge from Herrington Lake is either via hydroelectric turbine discharge or discharge of excess storage over the spillway. A plot of water levels from 2015 to early 2018 from USGS Station 03286000 in provided in Figure 1.

Ambient Flow and Velocity

The project location is near the downstream end of Herrington Lake. The rate of flow through a lake cross section near the site under nearly all conditions of interest is controlled by gated outlet and power generation; natural river flow is highly modified by power generation periods and reservoir storage. USGS flow data at Dix Dam provides hourly flow data for 2015 - 2017, as well as mean daily flows for 2009 – 2018, which show consistent operations in terms of flow releases. Higher releases occurred during approximately 15 percent of the time with lower discharges occurring over the majority (80 percent) of the time (Figure 2). The hydro station has three units, each rated at approximately 9.4 MW electric power capacity http://globalenergyobservatory.org/geoid/480 (28.3 MW electric total). The maximum power generation flow rate is approximately 2400 cfs. However, power is not produced consistently for the present operation (LG&E KU, 2018; https://lge-ku.com/our-company/community/neighborneighbor/dix-dam-generating-station), but limited to times of high flow and above normal lake levels. The discharge is normally through gates at elevations near 532 ft and 584 ft (Crain et al, 2000). Therefore, in the vicinity of the dam and the diffuser, the velocity produced by discharge is likely limited to the lower portion of the water column due to stratification with current in the upper portion of the water column produced by wind driven circulation.



Figure 1. Daily Water Level Record USGS Station 03286000



Figure 2. Hourly Flow Duration Plot, 2015, 2016, and 2017

Downstream average velocities in lower Herrington Lake are small, even for relatively infrequent high flow events; low flow conditions are most critical to mixing zone compliance. This is due to the large depth and cross sectional area of Herrington Herrington at this location and the flows discharged from Dix Dam. Near the diffuser, the average cross section area may be approximately 80,000 to 100,000 sq ft. A high flow is in the range of 2000 cfs, which yields an average velocity of less than 0.1 ft/s for a high flow condition. At a low flow of 100 cfs, the net average velocity would be approximately 0.01 ft/s. Wind driven circulation and density currents, which may be in any direction and not limited to the downstream direction, are likely to be significant than these average downstream flow velocities.

Constituent Concentrations

Herrington Lake concentrations for parameters of interest based on the polishing pond effluent have been estimated from limited lake sampling data. The primary source of data is the data available from the Corrective Action Plan (CAP) sampling that occurred in 2017 (LG&E KU, March 2018). That sampling consisted of two events, identified in the CAP as a "stratified" condition and an "over-turn" condition. The stratified condition sample was more extensive and included sampling at three depths at lake transects along the length of the lake and in embayments, including Curds Inlet and HQ Inlet in the vicinity of the discharge. Over-turn samples appeared to be from near the lake surface (no vertical profile sampling) and more limited. Selected information from the CAP in the form of concentration plots is included in Attachment B.

There is also limited other relevant sampling results available from other publically available sources, including data available through the National Water Quality Monitoring Council's Water Quality Portal (https://www.waterqualitydata.us/).

Temperatures / Density

Herrington Lake is a deep, narrow reservoir with steeply sloping side walls, resulting in a relatively small surface area for the lake water volume. This contributes to the strong stratification observed in the available temperature profiles from Herrington Lake. The lake is reported to typically experience turn-overs. Reported vertical temperature information for Herrington Lake in the vicinity of the discharge are presented in Figures 3 (Buckaveckas and Crain, 1997), Figure 4 (USEPA, 1977), Figure 5 (NWQMC, 2018), and Figure 6 (Crain et al, 2000). The lake level and flow conditions on the dates of the USEPA profiles are not known, although reported monthly information indicates no unusual conditions.

A typical temperature gradient based on the available information is a nearly linear gradient with a slope of 0.2°F/ft depth. The local gradient at the diffuser depth may range from no stratification to more than 0.5°F/ft depth.

Process water intakes for the E.W. Brown plant are located immediately downstream of the alternative discharge locations, a distance of approximately 900 ft from the selected discharge location. During periods of low flow this may create the potential for re-circulation. However, recirculation would depend not only on horizontal distance but also on lake thermal stratification and plume density (temperature). The plant service water Intake elevation is at 661 feet (email from Brian Sumner to Scott Straight, 6/12/18). The normal lake level is controlled and the target elevation ranges seasonally from 720 feet (winter-spring) to 750 feet (summer – fall). The water level has periodically departed significantly from the target level in the past, however, including temporary drawdowns for dam maintenance or inspections or droughts. Since 2003, the water level is reported to have twice been as low as 716 ft. A review of data during the period from 1970 – 1990 found five instances of abnormally low pool levels between 703 and 712 feet in 1976, 1978, 1984, 1987 and 1989. Lake management and operations prior to 1970 are considered to be non-representative of current and future operations and not relevant.



Figure 3. Herrington Lake epilimnion and hypolimnion temperatures near Dix Dam, 1995 – 1996 (Buckaveckas and Crain, 1997)



Figure 4. Observed Herrington Lake temperature profiles near proposed diffuser location, 1973 (USEPA, June 1977)



Figure 5. Herrington Lake temperature profiles at Kentucky water quality monitoring station 21KY-CLN091 (located in lower Herrington Lake) (NWQMC, 2018)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 198 of 286 Imber





Mixing Analyses

Mixing analyses for the purpose of multiport diffuser design was performed using CORMIX. CORMIX is applicable to the near field with limited applicability and reliability to mixing beyond that region (i.e., the transition and far-field regions) due to the lake environment. Given the input information characterizing the effluent and receiving water characteristics, it remains to define the diffuser configuration based on those characteristics and the dilution required.

Target Diffuser Dilution

A multiport diffuser is required for the polishing pond discharge. A multiport diffuser optimizes initial mixing to reduce concentrations in the effluent to concentrations to meet water quality

standards within the regulatory mixing zone. A multiport diffuser reduces the concentrations only by dilution resulting from rapid entrainment of ambient water. If the constituent of interest is present in the receiving water, then the dilution required to attain the water quality standard is larger than if the concentration in the ambient water is negligible. An element of design of a multiport diffuser, therefore, is to determine the dilution required for the design condition for one or more design constituent effluent concentrations.

Background concentrations in Herrington Lake are not constant and variations, in particular the high end of the range, are not well defined due to a limited number of samples. In particular, selenium, arsenic, copper, sulfate, total residual chlorine, and TDS are constituents that were identified as having a likelihood of maximum concentrations in the effluent exceeding the surface water quality standard or concentration allowed by permit. Comparing design high effluent concentrations and design ambient water concentrations, the required dilutions are generally approximately 20 or less. From sampling completed during 2012, the ambient concentrations exceeded the potential permit concentrations and a diffuser, while reducing the plume concentration at the edge of a regulatory mixing zone, could not provide for compliance for that condition.

The dilution needed to meet water quality standards at the mixing zone boundary is given by the following equation:

 $S = (C_e - C_a) / (C_s - C_a)$

where S = required dilution

Ca = ambient background concentration

 $C_e = effluent concentration$

Cs = maximum concentration (water quality standard)

A summary of representative pollutants with effluent, Herrington Lake, water quality standard concentrations, and required dilution is presented in Table 1. Not all parameters listed are likely to be of similar interest. Based on Table 1 and other considerations, a target dilution of 20 at the edge of the mixing zone was selected.

Diffuser Ports

Because of the large range in discharges anticipated, diffuser ports were assumed to be equipped with elastomeric duckbill diffuser valves. This type of valve opens to varying size as a result of the pressure and thereby maintains a more consistent, higher port discharge velocity which is beneficial for initial mixing. Based on vendor information for such valves, a relationship was used to relate an equivalent round port diameter required by CORMIX to an assumed valve maximum flow rate and nominal diameter. The shape of this generic curve and regression equations fit to the curve are presented in Figure 7. The relationship in Figure 7 was developed from representative TideFlex Series 35 product information and used to easily estimate an equivalent circular opening diameter approximating a typical duckbill valve by simply assuming a maximum diameter and design flow. The relationship is: $D/D_{max} = (Q/Q_{max})^{0.225}$.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 200 of 286 Imber

		Concen					
Pollutant	Water Qual	ity Standard	Polishing Pond Effluent	Herrington	Required Dilution		
	chronic acute (max.)		Lake	chronic	acute		
Arsenic	0.150	0.340	0.115	0.0025	NR	NR	
Cadmium ^a	0.00038ª	0.0034	0.0005	0.0001°	1.4	NR	
Copper ^a	0.0139ª	0.0218	0.174	0.002 ^b	14.5	8.7	
Selenium	0.005	0.005	0.02	0.002 °	6.0	6.0	
Zinc ^a	0.178ª 0.178		0.13	0.004 ^c	NR	NR	
Total Dissolved Solids	250	250	1109	175	12.5	12.5	

 Table 1. Representative Required Pollutant Concentrations and Dilutions

 $^{\rm a}\,$ water quality standard based on 160 mg/L hardness as CaCO_3 $\,$

^b from PWS sampling data 2017

^c from CAP sample data



Figure 7. Duckbill Valve Generic Relationship between Flow and Equivalent Diameter

Scenarios Analyzed

The distance along the plume trajectory to a given dilution value will generally increase with effluent flow rate with other conditions remaining constant. However, threshold conditions for flow classification upon which CORMIX is based sometimes change such that sudden changes

in plume characteristics occur and predicted dilution is not always continuous. The analyses includes consideration of all flows up to the maximum discharge rate (20 cfs). The majority of the time the discharge will be significantly lower than the maximum.

The difference in density between the pond effluent and Herrington Lake at the diffuser elevation will vary. Analyses were completed with a range of relative temperature differences to reflect strongly buoyant discharges to negatively buoyant discharges.

Mixing will generally be least when the ambient velocity is low. Downstream average velocities in lower Herrington Lake are small, even for relatively infrequent high flow events. Near the diffuser, the average cross section area may be approximately 80,000 to 100,000 sq ft. A high flow is in the range of 2000 cfs, yield an average velocity of less than 0.1 ft/s for a high flow condition. Consequently, a significant longitudinal downstream velocity was neglected and a near zero ambient velocity will provide the appropriate ambient velocity for conservative assessment of mixing within the regulatory mixing zone. Flows through Herrington Lake at water levels below the overflow spillway are limited by discharges through gates located relatively low in the water column (elevations 532 ft near the bottom and 584 ft MSL) as described by Crain et al (2000). Therefore, in the vicinity of the dam and the diffuser, the velocity produced by discharge is likely limited to the lower portion of the water column due to stratification with current in the upper portion of the water column produced by wind driven circulation.

The density difference between the effluent and ambient water is an important parameter that is expected to be significant influence on mixing and plume configuration. Density depends on both the temperature and the constituent concentrations. Based on relationships given in Morris and Fan (1998), there is a density difference of approximately 0.135 kg/m³ per degree Fahrenheit (°F) of temperature difference and approximately 0.076 kg/m³ per 100 mg/L of total dissolved solids (TDS) concentration difference. Based on available information, it appears that density differences will be primarily influenced by temperature differences. Accounting for TDS and TSS, the effluent may typically have a density up to approximately 0.3 kg/m³ greater than the lake density with density differences due to temperature differences (15°F to -15°F) ranging from approximately 2 kg/m³ to -2 kg/m³. An overall range in density difference, therefore, would range from approximately 1.7 kg/m³ (positively buoyant plume) to -2.3 kg/m³ (negatively buoyant plume).

CORMIX merges three originally separate mixing programs into the single software. CORMIX2 is the multiport diffuser program while CORMIX1 is the single port mixing program. CORMIX2 models the discharge as a slot while CORMIX1 assumes a single circular port. It is appropriate to model individual ports of a multiport diffuser using CORMIX1 for the portion of the jet prior to merging with other jets. For the proposed diffuser, the jets/plumes do not merge until relatively near the edge of the mixing zone, and both single port and multiport analyses were completed.

Herrington Lake is approximately 800 feet wide at the location of the diffuser. Consequently, the regulatory mixing zone extends from the diffuser ports laterally for a distance of approximately 80 feet, or 10% of the lake width in accordance with 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4.

CORMIX includes numerous constraints regarding allowable input conditions, including geometry relating water depths and discharge structure configuration. For a multiport diffuser, there are limitations such that the ambient water depth cannot be greater than the diffuser

length and the diffuser discharge port must be in either the lower or the upper one-third of the water column. Consequently, the depths on the ambient input data cannot be associated with either an actual assumed lake surface elevation or a lake bottom elevation. Rather, it is assumed to be a layer within the lake water column which was set approximately equal to the diffuser length.

CORMIX Predictions

A series of CORMIX analyses were completed using a range of effluent flow rates, holding other inputs constant (see Attachment C) at the final proposed diffuser design configuration. The results are plotted in Figure 8. For these conditions, the minimum dilution at a distance of 80 feet (24.4 m) is approximately 33 at the maximum discharge (20 cfs). The target dilution value of 20 occurs at a minimum of approximately 62 feet (19 m).



Figure 8. Lateral Distance to Selected Dilutions for Range of Diffuser Flow (for case of $T_e=27^{\circ}C$, H0=10m)

It is observed that CORMIX predicts consideration variation in dilution based on effluent flow. This variation results from the approach used by CORMIX that utilizes flow classifications based on length-scale relationships. Plume characteristics can change significantly with a transition from one flow classification to the next. The flow classification at lower effluent discharge rates is IMS4, but at 12 cfs total effluent flow the flow class transitions to IMPU8.

CORMIX generates five different tabular output files with each analysis. Each file provides some unique information as well as some duplicative information. Tabular output for the

proposed diffuser configuration for maximum and average flows, and multiport and single port analyses, is included in Attachment D.

Numerous other series of analyses were completed to evaluate changes in dilution with variations in other inputs, such as port vertical angle, temperature (density) differences defining buoyancy strength (as well as negatively and positive buoyancy), and number of ports.

Recommended Multiport Diffuser

The proposed diffuser configuration is as follows:

- Three ports spaced at approximately 25 ft.
- Each port equipped with an elastomeric duckbill valve that produces maximum and average velocities of approximately 19 ft/s and 6.7 ft/s for total flows of 20 cfs and 3 cfs (e.g., TideFlex Series 35W TFW 8-inch valve (Hydraulic Code 588), or equivalent).
- End ports oriented with horizontal angle of 22.5° from perpendicular to the diffuser axis, middle port perpendicular to the axis.
- End ports oriented with vertical angle of approximately -15° (downward) from horizontal and middle port oriented at approximately +15° (upward) from horizontal
- Diffuser axis located at approximately elevation 685 ft
- Diffuser ports located approximately 15 ft or more from the lake side slope.

This is based on the lake side slope being significantly steeper than 15° (1.2H:1V) in the vicinity of the ports such that the downward-angled ports do not cause a discharge impingement on the lake side or lake bed with potential erosion. Based on bathymetric mapping, the local slope is expected to accommodate these angles. However, if the local slope is found to be flatter and impingement potential exists, the port vertical angles should be adjusted to avoid that potential.

Because the diffuser will discharge flows generated by gravity discharge from overflow of the polishing pond and therefore potentially highly variable, it is recommended that an elastomeric "duck-bill" type of discharge port be provided. This type of valve functions with opening area and discharge related to pressure such that velocities are more consistent than would occur with a fixed port area. CORMIX does not provide the capability to define a duck-bill type port. For CORMIX analyses representing conditions consistent with vary effluent flow rates for a given diffuser design, a generic relationship based on typical manufacturer data was assumed relating discharge rate and port area (and therefore velocity). A port discharge velocity of approximately 19 ft/s was assumed for maximum discharge (20 cfs) and 6.7 ft/s at a discharge of 3.0 cfs.

It is noted that there is potential for operational control of the discharge to maximize mixing. As seen in Figure 8, at lower flows the lateral distance to a given dilution is larger than for higher flows. This is due to slower mixing at lower port velocities. Controlled discharges with higher flows released periodically might eliminate the lower flows at which the dilution is reduced. However, CORMIX modeling indicates that dilution is more than adequate to meet water quality standards at the edge of the mixing zone at any effluent flow rate. If compliance with a toxic substance standard and ZID criteria may be more significant based on Figure 8. For the proposed diffuser, the equivalent port diameter is 8 inches (0.203 m) with area of 0.35 sq ft. The 10% width of the mixing zone is the controlling ZID dimension, being 8 feet (2.44 m)

compared to 30 feet (9.0 m) based on port area. For higher flows a dilution of 15 is predicted within 8 ft of the port while the dilution at lower flows may be approximately 3. However, it must be recognized that CORMIX provides a best estimate of mixing, but results must be considered approximate due to the complexity of mixing processes.

Placing the diffuser at approximately 685 ft puts the discharge at approximately 24 feet above the service water intake which, at 900 feet horizontal distance, is relatively close to the diffuser. CORMIX predictions indicate that in a normally stratified lake the plume beyond the near-field will quickly rise or sink and then spread laterally in a relatively thin vertical layer. That same thermally stratified condition is expected to result in the service water being obtained from a vertical layer that is likely relatively limited with little mixing with overlying or underlying water. Additionally, it is anticipated that the pond discharge will normally be at a higher temperature than the lake water at elevation 685 feet, resulting in a positively buoyant effluent plume. During the more infrequent periods of a negatively buoyant plume with the effluent temperature colder than the lake temperature at 685 feet, the plume appears unlikely to sink more than several feet before buoyance is reduced and the plume transitions to a lateral spreading regime.

CORMIX2 provides an option to specify a "fanned" multiport diffuser. CORMIX uses a specific configuration for a fanned diffuser; based on the equation given for unidirectional fanned diffusers, it appears that the end ports are rotated outward at a horizontal angle of approximately 8.6°. The proposed 3-port diffuser has the two end ports with horizontal angle of 22.5° from the diffuser axis and will generally result in each of the jets functioning as discreet jets with little opportunity for merging of jets in the near-field due to the angles and separation distances. This is expected to maximize the initial mixing potential.

Diffuser System Hydraulics

A single gravity flow pipeline will convey the process pond discharge to the diffuser manifold. The selected pipeline alignment length is approximately 1600 lineal feet. The pipe will slope from an upstream end elevation of approximately 822 feet to 685 feet, a fall of 127 feet. The slope varies along the alignment with a maximum slope at the diffuser end of where the elevation change is from 772 feet to 685 feet with a length of approximately 200 feet (slope of nearly 40%). An 800-feet long segment in the middle of the alignment has an average slope of 1.3%. The most upstream 500-feet long segment will have a slope of approximately 6%.

The pipe will be HDPE. Due to the smooth wall the pipe will have low friction head loss. The flat (1.3% slope) section would convey 20 cfs at a depth of approximately 12 inches.

The downstream end of the pipe will be submerged to varying elevations, from a high of approximately 772 feet to a low of approximately 685 feet. These elevations are all within the steep, 40%, slope segment. The head loss versus discharge relationship for the TideFlex Series 35W 8-inch TFW valve is approximately linear with flow, with a head loss of approximately 5.5 feet at a flow of 6.7 cfs. This indicates that the head loss will cause water to "back-up" in the steeply sloping pipe segment to a level slightly above the lake level, thus creating the pressure to open the duckbill valves to the corresponding opening size to pass that flow. Upstream of the port head level, flow in the pipe will be rapid down the steep slope with significant turbulence created by the water plunging into the full pipe.

Depending on the minimum installed slope along the pipe and potential for near full pipe flow, an air release valve may be appropriate to avoid potential for surging flow.

REFERENCES

- Amec Environment & Infrastructure, Inc., September 27, 2013. Groundwater Assessment Report. E.W. Brown Generating Station, Mercer County, Kentucky, Agency Interest #3148, Activity ID No. AIN20120001. Prepared for LG&E – KU.
- Buckaveckas, PA, and AS Crain, 1997. Calibration of a Water-Quality Model for Herrington Lake Using Empirically Derived Measurements of Phytoplankton Growth and Nutrient Assimilation. Research Report No. 22, University of Kentucky, Kentucky Water Resources Research Institute, Lexington, KY
- Crain, Angela S., Allison A. Shipp, Thomas O. Mesko, and G. Lynn Jarrett, 2000. Modeling Hydrodynamics and Water Quality in Herrington Lake, Kentucky. Water-Resources Investigations Report 99-4281. Prepared in cooperation with the Kentucky Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Cabinet, Louisville, Kentucky
- Kentucky Administrative Regulations, Title 401 Energy and Environment Cabinet Department of Environmental Protection, Chapter 10 Water Quality Standards, Part 29 General Provisions
- LG&E KU, March 2018. Draft Surface Water Data Tables, Sampling performed in accordance with Herrington Lake Corrective Action Plan, Mercer County, Kentucky. Prepared for Kentucky Utilities Company for submittal to Kentucky Division of Water, Agreed Order No. DOW-17001

Morris, G.L. and J. Fan, 1998. Reservoir Sedimentation Handbook. McGraw-Hill

National Water Quality Monitoring Council, . Water Quality Data Portal <u>https://www.waterqualitydata.us/portal/</u> accessed February 2018.

- Ramboll Environ, Herrington Lake Corrective Action Plan, Mercer County, Kentucky. Prepared for Kentucky Utilities Company for submittal to Kentucky Division of Water, Agreed Order No. DOW - 17001
- US Geological Survey. National Water Information System: Web Interface.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, June 1977. National Eutrophication Survey, Report on Herrington Lake, Bolye, Garrard, and Mercer Counties, Kentucky, EPA Region IV, Working Paper 353. Prepared by Corvallis Environmental Research Laboratory and Environmental Monitoring & Support Laboratory.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 207 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENTS

- Polishing Pond Effluent Concentrations Herrington Lake Water Quality CORMIX Input Windows CORMIX Tabular Output Α.
- В.
- C.
- D.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 208 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT A

Polishing Pond Effluent Concentrations

Table 1: Polishing Pond Effluent Characteristics.											
	Potential		Combined	Combined							
Description	KPDES Permit	MCL	Streams	Streams							
	Limits		(Average)	(Maximum)							
Flow, gpm			1,377	8,731							
Specific Gravity			1.00	1.00							
Temp, ⁰C			21	28							
Commons											
pH, S.U.	6 - 9		7.56	8.56							
Total Alkalinity			144.7	179.1							
Nitrogen, Ammonia			0.1	0.2							
Nitrogen, Nitrate	4.4, (17)		1.4	1.9							
Sulfide			0.1	0.1							
Silica			-	-							
Boron			2.6	3.9							
Total Residual	0.2		0.2	1.2							
Chlorine	0.2		0.2	1.3							
Anions											
Bicarbonate			173	181							
Carbonate			1	12							
Bromide			6	22							
Chloride	1200		41	59							
Fluoride			1	1							
Nitrate			6.2	9							
Sulfate	250		456	562							
Cations											
Calcium			167	199							
Magnesium			33	44							
Sodium			60	82							
Metals											
Aluminum			0.67	4.37							
Antimony	0.640	0.006	0.000	0.000							
Arsenic	0.340	0.01	0.027	0.115							
Barium		2	0.03	0.06							
Beryllium	0.010	0.004	0.000	0.000							
Cadmium	0.00506	0.005	0.00051	0.00000							
Chromium	0.1	0.1	0.00	0.01							
Copper	0.0205	1.3	0.0595	0.1744							
Cyanide		0.2	0.01	0.01							
Iron*	4		< 4	< 4							
Lead	0.13680	0.015	0.00086	0.00666							
Manganese			1.86	3.98							
Mercury	0.000051		0.000000	0.000000							
Nickel	0.6612		0.0790	0.2284							

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 210 of 286 Imber

Selenium	0.005, (0.02)	0.05	0.010	0.020
Silver	0.0076		0.0002	0.0007
Thallium	0.00047	0.002	0.00000	0.00000
Zinc	0.169		0.051	0.130
Other				
TSS#	30, (65)		< 30	< 30
TDS (180 °C)	250		875	1,109
Total Hardness, mg/L CaCO ₃			554.9	682.3

Notes:

All values in mg/L, unless noted otherwise;

CaCO₃ - calcium carbonate; OWS - oil water separator; TSS - Total suspended solids; TDS - Total dissolved solids; gpm - gallons per minute; Temp - temperature; °C - degree Celsius; S.U. - Standard Units; MCL - Maximum contaminant level; KPDES -Kentucky Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

*Iron average and maximum concentration immediately after mixing will be at 10.24 and 25.7 mg/L, respectively. After settling in the pond this concentration will be lesser than 4 mg/L, and will be able to meet the expected limits. [#] Total Suspended Solids average and maximum concentrations immediately after mixing will be at 39 ad 299 mg/L, respectively.

After settling in the pond the concentration will be lesser than 30 mg/L, and will be able to meet the expected limits.

Prepared by: SO; Checked by RK

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 211 of 286 Imber

Table 2a: Water Quality of Waste Streams (Average)

Description	Potential KPDES Permit Limits	MCL	Combustion Turbine OWS 1	Combustion Turbine OWS 2	Unit 1 & 2 OWS	Unit 3 OWS	Abutment Drain	Wick Drain	Landfill Leachate	Coal Pile Runoff	Toe Drain Sump	Limestone Pile Runoff Sump	Briar Patch Spring
Flow, gpm			3.00	3.00	347.00	383.00	60.00	62.00	120.00	120.00	120.00	35.00	69.00
Temp, ⁰C			24	23	18	25	18	19	26	24	23	-	17
Commons													
pH, S.U.	6 - 9		7.88	7.95	7.82	7.81	6.87	8.34	8.16	5.74	7.82	8.30	6.93
Total Alkalinity			81.3	60.6	120.1	146.0	171.2	186.0	161.7	75.8	184.2	100.0	192.1
Nitrogen, Ammonia			0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	1.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-	0.1
Nitrogen, Nitrate	4.4, (17)		1.0	0.1	1.1	1.1	0.1	1.3	3.7	1.5	1.0	-	1.7
Sulfide			0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	-	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-	0.0
Boron			0.2	0.1	0.3	0.7	4.9	0.3	17.6	0.4	3.3	-	2.7
Total Residual Chlorine	0.2		0.1	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.1
Anions													
Bicarbonate			98	73	145	176	208	220	173	92	221	121	234
Carbonate			0	0	0	1	0	3	3	0	1	1	0
Bromide			-	1	-	1	26	1	28	13	1	-	7
Chloride	1200		6	61	13	14	65	18	248	25	39	-	23
Fluoride			-	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	-	1
Nitrate			4.5	0.4	5.0	5.0	0.4	5.6	16.3	6.8	4.3	-	7.7
Sulfate	250		20	8	28	141	1,073	309	2,212	582	318	-	731
Cations													
Calcium			32	26	48	95	402	26	633	120	159	40	292
Magnesium			6	18	9	15	62	1	133	28	38	-	59
Sodium			4	3	5	8	42	217	333	135	9	-	16
Metals													
Aluminum			0.05	0.50	0.10	0.30	0.04	0.04	0.97	3.73	0.05	-	0.09
Antimony	0.640	0.006	0.004	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.000	0.000		0.001
Arsenic	0.340	0.01	0.001	0.030	0.003	0.012	0.222	0.001	0.006	-	0.140	-	0.009
Barium		2	0.03	0.46	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	-	0.03

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 212 of 286 Imber

Beryllium	0.010	0.004	0.001	0.005	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.000	0.000		0.001
Cadmium	0.00506	0.005	-	0.00300	-	0.00094	0.00100	0.00100	0.00073	-	-	-	0.00100
Chromium	0.1	0.1	-	0.06	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	0.00
Copper	0.0205	1.3	0.0870	0.0846	0.2000	0.0221	0.0008	0.0008	0.0078	0.0200	-	-	0.0010
Cyanide		0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.03	-	0.01		0.01
Iron	4		0.70	76.45	0.25	4.48	73.38	0.13	1.36	57.08	2.16	-	0.16
Lead	0.13680	0.015	-	0.08989	-	0.00100	0.00100	0.00100	0.00240	-	-	-	0.00100
Manganese			0.06	2.27	0.03	1.70	24.31	0.19	0.98	1.60	0.39	-	0.04
Mercury	0.000051		0.000002	0.000019	0.000005	0.000004	0.000001	0.00100	0.000073	0.000003	0.000002		0.000002
Nickel	0.6612			0.0768	0.2300	0.0062	0.0189	0.0007	0.0064	0.2000	-	-	0.0028
Selenium	0.005, (0.02)	0.05	0.002	0.006	-	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.118	-	-		0.010
Silver	0.0076			0.0010	-	-	-	0.0010	0.0010	-	-	-	0.0010
Thallium	0.00047	0.002	0.00100	0.00173	0.00000	0.00096	0.00100	0.00100	0.00241	0.00000	0.00000		0.00052
Zinc	0.169		0.083	0.685	0.010	0.016	0.008	0.006	0.018	0.440	0.010	-	0.009
Other													
TSS	30, (65)		3	460	16	58	68	2	61	90	5	-	3
TDS (180 °C)			123	159	184	375	1,828	694	3,798	964	700	101	1,269
Total Hardness, mg/L CaCO ₃			105.4	144.1	155.2	303.0	1,300.7	68.5	2,126.1	415.7	553.7	100.0	969.9

Notes:

All values in mg/L, unless noted otherwise

CaCO₃ – calcium carbonate; OWS – oil water separator; TSS – Total suspended solids; TDS – Total dissolved solids; gpm – gallons per minute; Temp – temperature; °C – degree Celsius; S.U. – Standard Units

Prepared by: <u>SO;</u> Checked by <u>RK</u>

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 213 of 286 Imber

Table 2b: Water Quality of Waste Streams (Maximum)

Description	Potential KPDES Permit Limits	MCL	Combustion Turbine OWS 1	Combustion Turbine OWS 2	Unit 1 & 2 OWS	Unit 3 OWS	Abutm ent Drain	Wick Drain	Landfill Leachate	Coal Pile Runoff	Toe Drain Sump	Limestone Pile Runoff Sump	Briar Patch Spring
Flow, gpm			41.00	41.00	694.00	4,379.00	721.00	721.00	533.00	533.00	533.00	150.00	500
Temp, ⁰C			26	27	31	30	19	21	37	28	25	20	20
Commons													
pH, S.U.	6 - 9		8.53	8.92	8.87	8.98	8.33	9.36	9.01	4.30	8.53	8.30	7.73
Total Alkalinity			193.0	90.7	140.0	180.0	219.0	230.0	228.0	-	204.0	100.0	219.0
Nitrogen, Ammonia			0.1	1.2	0.1	0.2	1.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	-	0.1
Nitrogen, Nitrate	4.4, (17)		3.5	0.1	1.3	1.6	0.1	3.0	6.0	2.8	1.5	-	2.4
Sulfide			0.1	1.2	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	-	0.0
Boron			0.5	0.3	0.4	0.8	5.3	0.4	36.5	0.6	5.0	-	6.5
Total Residual Chlorine	0.2		0.3	1.7	0.3	2.2	1.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1		0.2
Anions													
Bicarbonate			224	93	152	188	252	208	104	-	229	119	263
Carbonate			5	5	8	14	4	34	16	-	6	1	1
Bromide			1	1	1	1	100	5	95	100	1	-	20
Chloride	1200		21	57	53	17	73	27	475	38	53	-	55
Fluoride			0	0	0	0	0	1	6	1	1	-	2
Nitrate			16	0	6	7	0	13	27	12	7	-	10
Sulfate	250		63	13	50	192	1,190	388	2,900	1,300	433	-	848
Cations													
Calcium			76	35	57	116	430	185	701	168	202	40	337
Magnesium			20	2	9	18	67	29	270	42	51	-	62
Sodium			14	9	37	15	101	49	515	404	9	-	50
Metals													
Aluminum			0.14	556.00	0.41	1.57	0.10	0.13	5.06	9.72	0.10	-	0.27
Antimony	0.640	0.006	0.017	0.002	0.000	0.002	0.002	0.002	0.007	0.000	0.000		0.002
Arsenic	0.340	0.01	0.002	0.295	0.003	0.023	0.978	0.002	0.023	0.010	0.290	-	0.015
Barium		2	0.04	4.33	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.05	-	0.05
Beryllium	0.010	0.004	0.001	0.040	0.000	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.000	0.000		0.001

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 214 of 286 Imber

Cadmium	0.00506	0.005	0.00100	0.02110	0.00000	0.00094	0.0010 0	0.00100	0.00073	0.01000	0.00000	0.00000	0.00100
Chromium	0.1	0.1	0.00	0.62	-	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	-	-	-	0.00
Copper	0.0205	1.3	0.1740	0.8230	1.8100	0.0388	0.0008	0.0022	0.0198	0.0800	-	-	0.0014
Cyanide		0.2	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.12	0.01	0.01		0.01
Iron	4		1.06	756.00	1.37	6.58	118.00	0.19	5.11	137.00	3.88	-	1.23
Lead	0.13680	0.015	0.00100	0.89200	-	0.00345	0.0010 0	0.00100	0.00840	-	-	-	0.00100
Manganese			0.11	21.00	0.16	2.34	27.70	0.28	2.52	2.94	0.73	-	0.09
Mercury	0.000051		0.000003	0.000142	0.000018	0.000012	0.0000 02	0.000008	0.000206	0.00000 5	0.00000 3		0.00000 3
Nickel	0.6612		0.0026	0.7580	2.2700	0.0179	0.0921	0.0007	0.0105	0.4400	0.0000	0.0000	0.0036
Selenium	0.005, (0.02)	0.05	0.002	0.042	-	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.180	0.010	0.010		0.037
Silver	0.0076		0.0010	0.0014	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0010	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0100
Thallium	0.00047	0.002	0.00100	0.00829	0.00000	0.00100	0.0010 0	0.00100	0.00386	0.00000	0.00000		0.00100
Zinc	0.169		0.144	6.720	0.080	0.049	0.016	0.014	0.067	0.960	0.010	-	0.009
Other													
TSS	30, (65)		5	4,490	77	470	101	3	242	196	11	-	7
TDS (180 °C)			331	209	305	483	2,152	838	5,270	2,073	907	101	1,554
Total Hardness, mg/L CaCO ₃			269.2	135.0	181.5	368.5	1,396.9	579.2	2,863.9	596.4	716.6	100.0	1,093.7

Notes:

All values in mg/L, unless noted otherwise CaCO₃ – calcium carbonate; OWS – oil water separator; TSS – Total suspended solids; TDS – Total dissolved solids; gpm – gallons per minute; Temp – temperature; °C – degree Celsius; S.U. – Standard Units

Prepared by: <u>SO</u>; Checked by <u>RK</u>

ATTACHMENT B

HERRINGTON LAKE WATER QUALITY SAMPLE PLOTS

CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN SAMPLES 2017 (LG&E KU, March 2018)

- LHL Lower Herrington Lake
- CI Curds Inlet Lake
- T Total
- D Dissolved

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 216 of 286 Imber








Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 217 of 286









Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 218 of 286









Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 219 of 286









Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 220 of 286 Imber





Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 221 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT C

CORMIX INPUT WINDOWS

(BASIC INPUT DATA FOR DESIGN CONFIGURATION)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 222 of 286 Imber

CORMIX v10.0	0.3.0				M		~a0				
roject Pages	Pre-Processi	ng Tools R	un Output Dat	ta Reports P	Post-Processi	ng/Adva	nced H	elp			
oad Clear	Save Save	As Print	Ibs kg SI-Units	CorSpy	Validate & Run FC	Tree	CorVue	CorJet	FFL	CorSens	User Manual Cort
Project		uent	Ambient	Di	scharge	Mb	ing Zone	T	Output	Effluent f	Processing Page
Effluent Characterization/Pollutant Type Pollutant Type											
Conservativ	e Pollutant	Non-Conser	vative Pollutant	Heated [Discharge	Brine [ischarge	1		1	
			The pollute	ant does NOT decay/gro	undergo ch wth process	emical/b es.	ologīcal				
			The pollut	ant does NOT decay/gro	undergoch with process	emical/b es.	ological				
Dische	arge Concentrat	on (Excess) :	The pollut	ant does NOT decay/groo m Effinient C	undergo ch wth process	emical/b es.	ological				

Figure C-1. CORMIX2 Effluent Window (for 20 cfs effluent flow case)

g/Advanced Help									
Tree CorVue CorJet FFL CorSens Manual CorHelp									
Project Effluent Arribient Discharge Mixing Zone Output Processing Ambient Page + +									
eld Data									
Bounded Unbounded									
Width: 244 m Appearance: Uniform									
Manning Darcy Manning's n: 0.015									
Ambient Density Data									
Temperature (deg. C) at Surface: 23 at Bottom: 22									

Figure C-2. CORMIX2 Ambient Window (same for multiport and single port cases)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 223 of 286 Imber

CORMIX v10.0.3.0									
Project Pages Pre-Processing Tools Run Output Data Reports Post-Processing/Advanced Help									
Load Clear Save Save As Print SLUnits	CorSpy Validate FC Tree CorVue CorJet FFL CorSens Manual CorHelp								
Project Effluent Ambient	Discharge Mixing Zone Output Processing								
DI	Uischarge Page 🔶								
UIS	charge Geometry Data								
CORMIX1 CORMIX2 CORMIX3 Single Port Multiport Surface									
	Multiport Discharge								
Nearest bank is on the: Iffuser length: Diffuser length: I5.24 Mean Both from Dist. to 1st endpoint: Outline and the second	Configuration of Ports or Nozzles Note: Risers do not exist for simple diffuser! Single Two per Riser A single port per riser OR individual holes in diffuser pipe Orientation of Ports or Nozzles Unidirectional Alternating Angles (degrees) Vertical - THETA: 115 Horizontal - SIGMA: 270 Relative Drientation - BETA: 90 Nözzle Direction: ▼ Fanned-Out								



CORMIX v10.0.3.0	
Project Pages Pre-Processing Tools Run Output Data Reports	Post-Processing/Advanced Help
Load Clear Save Save As Print SI-Units	y Validate FC Tree CorVue CorVue FFL CorSens Manual CorHelp
Project Effluent Ambient	Discharge Mixing Zone Output Processing Discharge Page + +
Discharg	ge Geometry Data
CORMIX1 CORMIX2 CORMIX3 Single Port Multiport Surface	
Single	Part Discharge
Nearest bank is on the:	Vertical Angle THETA: 15 degrees Horizontal Angle SIGMA: 270 degrees
Port Specification Port Diameter Port Area	Select Offshore Discharge Configuration Submerged Above Surface
Port Diameter. 0.203 m	Submerged Offshore Discharge Configuration Poit Ht, Above Channel Btm: 10 m

Figure C-4. CORMIX1 Discharge window (for single port effluent flow of 6.6 cfs)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 224 of 286 Imber

ATTACHMENT D

CORMIX TABULAR OUTPUT

CORMIX2 Multiport Diffuser, Effluent Flow 20 cfs CORMIX2 Multiport Diffuser, Effluent Flow 3 cfs CORMIX1 Single Port Diffuser, Effluent Flow 6.6 cfs CORMIX1 Single Port Diffuser, Effluent Flow 1.0 cfs

CORMIX SESSION, PROCESSING, AND PREDICTION FILES – Multiport Diffuser with 20 cfs Discharge

Date: 07/27/18 Time: 14:15:10 Design Case: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports Site Name: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Prepared By: DWI Project Notes: Location 1 is conceptual location along main lake bank, 15 ft from lake bank/bed 3 port multiport diffuser, 25-ft port spacing, 8-inch TFW, -15 degree vertical angle linear ambient stratification 20 cfs discharge VALIDATING INPUT DATA ... Checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs... Effluent Page has been validated. Ambient Page has been validated. Discharge Page has been validated. Mixing Zone Page has been validated. Finished checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs. Loading Correct RuleBases Validating RuleBases ... AMBIENT DATA: Ambient flowrate = 43.920 m^3/s. Equivalent Darcy-Weisbach friction factor = 0.007 Ambient surface density = 995.9449 kg/m^3 . Ambient bottom density = 997.7714 kg/m^3 . The ambient DENSITY PROFILE you have specified is DYNAMICALLY STABLE in the presence of the given ambient crossflow. (This has been checked with a FLUX RICHARDSON NUMBER CRITERION). Ambient Rule Base has been validated. DISCHARGE DATA: CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges Diffuser center (mid-point of diffuser line) is located 7 m from left bank/shore. Average spacing between the individual ports/nozzles = 7.62 m. This spacing is of the order of, or less than, the discharge water depth of 15 m. Therefore, significant lateral interaction of adjacent jets in the near field is expected, forming essentially two-dimensional near-field conditions as if the discharge would issue from a twodimensional slot. CORMIX2 is FULLY APPLICABLE for this situation and will analyze this discharge using twodimensional prediction models in the near field. *** WARNING ***

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 226 of 286

Imber

You have entered a multiport diffuser with the minimum number of ports/openings NOPEN = 3 allowed in CORMIX. Please carefully check your multiport diffuser input configuration with CORMIX2 line source assumptions.

Effective port/nozzle diameter D0 = 0.203 mEffective port X-sectional area of each port A0 = 0.0324 m^2 Effective port X-sectional area of all ports in each riser AORG = 0.0324 m^2

This is a Slightly Submerged Discharge, where the height of the discharge port (H0 = 10 m), above the bottom, Exceeds two-thirds of the local ambient water depth (HD = 15 m) Note: For special advice on this limitation please consult Section 5.3 of the CORMIX2 technical report (Akar and Jirka, 1991).; yet more detail can be found in the CORMIX1 report, Doneker and Jirka, 1990

The present diffuser type is UNIDIRECTIONAL WITH PARALLEL ALIGNMENT.

Discharge velocity U0 = 5.833 m/s. Note: Discharge Velocity (U0) < 2.5 m/s may in some cases be recommended to avoid possible adverse conditions for sensitive fish populations.

Effective discharge velocity U0 = 5.83 m/s

The submergence of the port below the water surface is SUBO = 5 m.

Discharge density RHO0 = 997.0456 kg/m^3 .

Discharge Rules for CORMIX2 have been validated.

MIXING ZONE SPECIFICATION:

REGULATORY MIXING ZONE (RMZ) Specifications:

In general practice, there are two possible interpretations for the RMZ:

Interpretation 1: The RMZ is a spatially defined (by State/Federal agencies) restricted region at whose boundary a specified water quality standard for conventional pollutants - or the CCC for toxic pollutants - has to be met.

Interpretation 2: The applicant or the State/Federal agency may propose on an ad-hoc basis an RMZ as that region at whose boundary a water quality standard - or CCC - has been demonstrated to be met. That demonstration is usually made by means of a mixing zone prediction.

CORMIX will evaluate the RMZ conditions on the basis of both interpretations.

Mixing Zones Rule Base has been validated.

Finished validating RuleBases.

Calculating Parameters.

FLOW PARAMETERS AND LENGTH SCALES:

Relative density differences between discharge and ambient:

Ambient density at the discharge level RHOAH0 = 996.553718 kg/m^3 Vertical mean ambient density RHOAM = 996.858144 kg/m^3

The effluent density (997.04559 kg/m^3) is greater than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level (996.55372 kg/m^3). Therefore, the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to sink towards the bottom. IMPORTANT NOTE: Since the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT, it is recommended that you consider using the Brine or Sediment options for Effluent specification for a more detailed analysis, particularly for coastal discharges over a sloping bottom where density currents are important.

CORMIX will however continue with the current simulation.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 227 of 286 Imber Flow bulk parameters: (Bulk variables are defined on a 2-D basis, i.e. per unit diffuser length) Ambient momentum flux $ma = 0.0015 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$ Discharge volume flux $q0 = 0.03716 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ Discharge momentum flux m0 = $0.21675 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$ This flux has a net component in the discharge direction (orientation of ports/nozzles). Discharge buoyancy flux j0 = $-0.00018 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^3$ Flow length scales: (Length scales are defined on a 2-D basis, i.e. per unit diffuser length) Discharge length scale lq = 0.0064 m. Jet-to-crossflow length scale lm = 2167.51 m. Jet-to-plume transition length scale 1M = 59.18 m. Jet stratification length scale lm' = 5.66 m. Plume stratification length scale lb' = 1.63 m. Crossflow stratification length scale la = 0.29 m. Non-dimensional parameters: Slot densimetric Froude number FR0 = 1286.39 Equivalent slot width B0 = 0.0042mCORMIX2 uses the equivalent two-dimensional slot diffuser concept to classify the actual threedimensional diffuser dynamics. For the dilution predicted however, CORMIX2 models the flow from each port or, if applicable, collectively from each riser group. Port/nozzle densimetric Froude number FRD0 = 186.08 Jet/crossflow velocity ratio R = 583.27 Parameters for CORMIX2 have been calculated. Classifying Flows. FLOW CLASSIFICATION: CORMIX2 includes FIVE MAJOR CLASSES of possible flow configurations: Classes MS, IMS: Flows trapped in a layer within linear ambient stratification. Classes MU : Near Bottom, Positively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes IMU : Near Surface, Negatively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes MNU : Near Bottom, Negatively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes IMPU : Near Surface, Positively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. The NEAR FIELD FLOW will have the following features: If flow trapping occurs, then the flow is jet-like and is strongly affected by the ambient density stratification with weak crossflow effect (if any). Terminal Height Level Zt = -8.60The specified ambient density stratification is weak relative to the discharge conditions and is dynamically unimportant. The discharge will behave as if the ambient were unstratified. New length scales will be computed based on a vertically averaged uniform ambient. Since ambient density stratification is unimportant, the ambient density will be approximated with its mean vertical value 996.8581 $\rm kg/m^3.$ New jet to plume transition length scale 1M = 129.85 m.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 228 of 286

Imber

The discharge near-field behavior is dominated by either the negative buoyancy of the discharge or the downward vertical orientation of the discharge port.

The discharge flow will experience INSTABILITIES WITH FULL VERTICAL MIXING in the near-field. There may be surface impact of high pollutant concentrations.

The following conclusion on the flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the full water depth at the discharge site:

*** FLOW CLASS = IMU3 ***

Applicable layer depth HS = 15 m.

*** Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 78.6 ***

Flow has been classified.

Executing the simulation... FORTRAN simulation complete.

Generating Session Report... Session Report complete.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 229 of 286 Imber

CORMIX SESSION REPORT: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO2:Version-10.0.2.0 April, 2017 SITE NAME/LABEL: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK DESIGN CASE: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports FILE NAME: D:\LG&E KU Brown\CORMIX\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE 3-PORT DIFFUSER.prd Using subsystem CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges 07/27/2018--14:15:10 Start of session: SUMMARY OF INPUT DATA: _____ AMBIENT PARAMETERS: Cross-section= boundedWidthBS= 244 mChannel regularityICHREG = 1Ambient flowrateQA= 43.92 m^3/sAverage depthHA= 18 mDepth at dischargeHD= 15 mAmbient velocityUA= 0.01 m/s Cross-section = bounded Darcy-Weisbach friction factor F = 0.0067 Calculated from Manning's n = 0.015 Wind velocity UW = 1.34 m/s Stratification TypeSTRCND = ASurface temperature= 29 degCBottom temperature= 22 degC Calculated FRESH-WATER DENSITY values: Surface density $RHOAS = 995.9449 \text{ kg/m}^3$ RHOAB = 997.7714 kg/m^3 Bottom density DISCHARGE PARAMETERS: Diffuser type Diffuser length Submerged Multiport Diffuser DITYPE = unidirectional parallel LD = 15.24 m = left. _____ Submerged Multiport Diffuser Discharge Nearest bank= leftDiffuser endpointsYB17 m;YB27 mNumber of openingsNOPEN3Number of RisersNRISER3Ports/Nozzles per RiserNPPERR1 Spacing between risers/openings SPAC = 7.62 m Spacing between risers/openingsSPAC= 7.62 mPort/Nozzle diameterD0= 0.203 mwith contraction ratio= 1Equivalent slot widthB0= 0.0042 mTotal area of openingsTA0= 0.0971 m^2Discharge velocityU0= 5.83 m/sTotal discharge flowrateQ0= 0.566337 m^3/sDischarge port heightH0= 10 mNozzle arrangementBETYPE = unidirectional with fanningDiffuser alignment angleGAMMA= 0 degVertical discharge angleTHETA= -15 degActual Vertical discharge angleTHEAC= -15 deg Actual Vertical discharge angle THEAC = -15 deg Horizontal discharge angle SIGMA = 270 deg Relative orientation angle BETA = 90 deg Discharge temperature (freshwater) = 25 degC Discharge temperature (freshwater)= 25 degcCorresponding densityRHO0= 997.0456 kg/m^3Density differenceDRHO= -0.1874 kg/m^3Buoyant accelerationGP0= -0.0018 m/s^2Discharge concentrationC0= 10 ppmSurface heat exchange coeff.KS= 0 m/sCoefficient of decayKD= 0 /s _____ FLUX VARIABLES PER UNIT DIFFUSER LENGTH: Discharge (volume flux)q0= $0.037161 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ Momentum fluxm0= $0.216751 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$ Buoyancy fluxj0= $-0.000069 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^3$

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 230 of 286 Imber

_____ DISCHARGE/ENVIRONMENT LENGTH SCALES: LQ = 0.01 m Lm = 2167.51 m LM = 129.85 lm' = 99999 m Lb' = 99999 m La = 99999 m LM = 129.85 m(These refer to the actual discharge/environment length scales.) _____ NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS: FR0 = 2084.15Slot Froude number FRD0 = 301.47 Port/nozzle Froude number R = 583.27 Velocity ratio _____ MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION ZONE / AREA OF INTEREST PARAMETERS: Toxic discharge = no Water quality standard specified = yes Water quality standard CSTD = 5 ppm Regulatory mixing zone = yes Regulatory mixing zone specification = distance Regulatory mixing zone value = 25 m (m^2 if area) Region of interest = 5000 m***** HYDRODYNAMIC CLASSIFICATION: *_____* | FLOW CLASS = IMU3 | *_____* This flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the full water depth at the discharge site. The ambient density stratification at the discharge site is relatively weak and unimportant so the discharge flow penetrates to the surface and/or breaks down the existing stratification through vigorous mixing. Applicable layer depth = water depth = 15 m Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 78.6MIXING ZONE EVALUATION (hydrodynamic and regulatory summary): _____ X-Y-Z Coordinate system: Origin is located at the BOTTOM below the port/diffuser center: 7 m from the left bank/shore. Number of display steps NSTEP = 100 per module. _____ NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) CONDITIONS : Note: The NFR is the zone of strong initial mixing. It has no regulatory implication. However, this information may be useful for the discharge designer because the mixing in the NFR is usually sensitive to the discharge design conditions. Pollutant concentration at NFR edge c = 0.1499 ppmDilution at edge of NFR s = 66.7x = 64.94 mNFR Location: y = -189.60 m(centerline coordinates) z = 0 mNFR plume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 42.90 m thickness (bv) = 7.23 m Cumulative travel time: 7829.1289 sec. _____ Buoyancy assessment: The effluent density is greater than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level. Therefore, the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to sink towards the bottom. IMPORTANT NOTE: Since the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT, it is recommended that you consider using the Brine or Sediment options for Effluent specification for a more detailed analysis, particularly for coastal discharges over a sloping bottom where density currents are important.

CORMIX will however continue with the current simulation.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 231 of 286 Imber _____ Stratification assessment: The specified ambient density stratification is weak relative to the discharge conditions and is dynamically unimportant. The discharge will behave as if the ambient were unstratified. _____ Near-field instability behavior: The diffuser flow will experience instabilities with full vertical mixing in the near-field. There may be benthic impact of high pollutant concentrations. _____ FAR-FIELD MIXING SUMMARY: Plume is vertically fully mixed WITHIN NEAR-FIELD (or a fraction thereof), but RE-STRATIFIES LATER. Plume becomes vertically fully mixed again at 95.67 m downstream. _____ PLUME BANK CONTACT SUMMARY: Plume in bounded section contacts one bank only at 64.94 m downstream. No TDZ was specified for this simulation. *********************** REGULATORY MIXING ZONE SUMMARY ************************** The plume conditions at the boundary of the specified RMZ are as follows: c = 0.198427 ppm Pollutant concentration s = 50.4Corresponding dilution Plume location: x = 25 m(centerline coordinates) y = -94.56 mz = 0 mPlume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 15.13 m thickness (bv) = 12.13 m Cumulative travel time < 7829.1289 sec. (RMZ is within NFR) Note: Plume concentration c and dilution s values are reported based on prediction file values - assuming linear interpolation between predicted points just before and just after the RMZ boundary has been detected. Please ensure a small step size is used in the prediction file to account for this linear interpolation. Step size can be controlled by increasing (reduces the prediction step size) or decreasing (increases the prediction step size) the - Output Steps per Module - in CORMIX input. At this position, the plume is NOT IN CONTACT with any bank. Furthermore, the specified water quality standard has indeed been met within the RMZ. In particular: The ambient water quality standard was encountered at the following plume position: Water quality standard = 5 ppm Corresponding dilution s = 2.9Plume location: x = 0 m(centerline coordinates) y = -0.05 mz = 7.5 mhalf-width (bh) = 7.54 mPlume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 0.10 m_____ Regulatory Mixing Zone Analysis: The RMZ specification occurs before the near-field mixing regime (NFR) has been completed. The specification of the RMZ is highly restrictive. *********************** FINAL DESIGN ADVICE AND COMMENTS ************************* CORMIX2 uses the TWO-DIMENSIONAL SLOT DIFFUSER CONCEPT to represent the actual three-dimensional diffuser geometry. Thus, it approximates the details of the merging process of the individual jets from each port/nozzle. In the present design, the spacing between adjacent ports/nozzles (or riser assemblies) is of the order of, or less than, the local water depth so that the slot diffuser approximation holds well. Nevertheless, if this is a final design, the user is advised to use a

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 232 of 286 Imber

final CORMIX1 (single port discharge) analysis, with discharge data
for an individual diffuser jet/plume, in order to compare to
the present near-field prediction.

REMINDER: The user must take note that HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING by any known technique is NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE.

Extensive comparison with field and laboratory data has shown that the CORMIX predictions on dilutions and concentrations (with associated plume geometries) are reliable for the majority of cases and are accurate to within about +-50% (standard deviation).

As a further safeguard, CORMIX will not give predictions whenever it judges the design configuration as highly complex and uncertain for prediction.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 233 of 286 Imber

CORMIX2 PREDICTION FILE: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM Subsystem CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO2 Version 10.0.2.0 April 2017 _____ _____ CASE DESCRIPTION Site name/label: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Design case: FILE NAME: Time stamp: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports D:\...X\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE 3-PORT DIFFUSER.prd 07/27/2018--14:19:03 ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS (metric units) Bounded section BS = 244.00 AS = 4392.00 QA = 43.92 ICHREG= 1 $\begin{array}{rcl} HA & = & 18.00 & HD & = & 15.00 \\ HA & = & 0.010 & F & = & 0.007 & USTAR = 0.2903E-03 \\ UW & = & 1.341 & UWSTAR=0.1449E-02 \end{array}$ 18.00 HD Density stratified environment STRCND= A RHOAM = 996.8582RHOAS = 995.9449 RHOAB = 997.7714 RHOAH0= 996.5537 E =0.1197E-02 DIFFUSER DISCHARGE PARAMETERS (metric units) Diffuser type: DITYPE= unidirectional_parallel BANK = LEFT DISTB = 7.00 YB1 = 7.00 YB2 = LD = 15.24 NOPEN = 3 NRISER= 3 SPAC = D0 = 0.133 A0 = 0.014 H0 = 10.00 SUB0 = D0INP = 0.133 CR0 = 1.000 7.00 7.62 NPPERR = 1 5.00 Nozzle/port arrangement: unidirectional with fanning GAMMA = 0.00 THETA = -15.00 SIGMA = 270.00 BETA = 90.00 U0 = 2.038 Q0 = 0.085 Q0A = 0.8495E-01 RHOO = 997.0456 DRHOO =-.4919E+00 GP0 =-.4840E-02 CO =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm IPOLL = 1 KS =0.0000E+00 KD =0.0000E+00 FLUX VARIABLES - PER UNIT DIFFUSER LENGTH (metric units) q0 =0.5574E-02 m0 =0.7574E-02 j0 =-.1799E-04 SIGNJ0= -1.0 Associated 2-d length scales (meters) lQ=B = 0.003 lM = 11.01 lm = 113.61 2.12 lbp = 0.87 la = 0.29 lmp = FLUX VARIABLES - ENTIRE DIFFUSER (metric units) Q0 =0.8495E-01 M0 =0.1154E+00 J0 =-.2741E-03 Associated 3-d length scales (meters) LQ = 0.12 LM = 11.96 Lm = 41.61 Lb = 411.18 Lmp = 3.47 Lbp = 1.78 NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS FR0 = 686.12 FRD0 = 80.33 R = 203.82 PL = 59.34 (slot) (port/nozzle) RECOMPUTED SOURCE CONDITIONS FOR RISER GROUPS: Properties of riser group with 1 ports/nozzles each: U0 = 2.038 D0 = 0.133 A0 = 0.014 THETA = -15.00 FR0 = 686.12 FRD0 = 80.33 R = 203.82 (slot) (riser group) FLOW CLASSIFICATION 2 Flow class (CORMIX2) = IMS4 2 2 Applicable layer depth HS = 15.00 2 2 Limiting Dilution S =QA/Q0= 518.01 2

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 234 of 286 Imber MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION / REGION OF INTEREST PARAMETERS C0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm NTOX = 0NSTD = 1 CSTD =0.5000E+01 REGMZ = 1 REGMZ = 1 REGSPC= 1 XREG = 25.00 WREG = 0.00 AREG = 0.00 XINT = 5000.00 XMAX = 5000.00 X-Y-Z COORDINATE SYSTEM: ORIGIN is located at the bottom and the diffuser mid-point: 7.00 m from the LEFT bank/shore. X-axis points downstream, Y-axis points to left, Z-axis points upward. NSTEP = 100 display intervals per module _____ _____ BEGIN MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE (SINGLE PORT AT DIFFUSER CENTER)
 X
 Y
 Z
 S
 C
 BV
 BH
 Uc
 TT

 0.00
 0.00
 10.00
 1.0
 0.100E+02
 0.07
 0.07
 2.038
 .00000E+00
 END OF MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE (SINGLE PORT AT DIFFUSER CENTER) _____ _____ BEGIN CORJET (MOD110): JET/PLUME NEAR-FIELD MIXING REGION Jet-like motion in linear stratification with weak crossflow. Zone of flow establishment: THETAE= -15.00 SIGMAE= 270.12 LE = 0.65 XE = 0.00 YE = -0.63 ZE =9.83 Profile definitions: BV = Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width, in vertical plane normal to trajectory BH = before merging: Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width in horizontal plane normal to trajectory after merging: top-hat half-width in horizontal plane parallel to diffuser line S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) Uc = Local centerline excess velocity (above ambient) TT = Cumulative travel time Z S C BV BH Uc Y Х TΤ Individual jet/plumes before merging: 1vidual jet/plumes before merging:0.00-0.639.831.00.100E+020.070.072.038.00000E+000.00-0.639.831.00.100E+020.070.072.038.95307E-030.00-0.759.801.00.999E+010.080.082.038.45995E-010.00-0.869.771.20.860E+010.090.092.038.98972E-010.00-0.979.741.30.754E+010.110.111.815.15988E+000.00-1.099.711.50.672E+010.120.121.617.22873E+000.00-1.209.681.70.606E+010.130.131.458.30551E+000.01-1.319.651.80.551E+010.140.141.327.39022E+000.01-1.439.622.00.505E+010.160.161.216.48512E+00 ** WATER QUALITY STANDARD OR CCC HAS BEEN FOUND ** The pollutant concentration in the plume falls below water quality standard or CCC value of 0.500E+01 in the current prediction interval. This is the spatial extent of concentrations exceeding the water quality standard or CCC value. 2.10.467E+010.170.171.124.58588E+002.30.434E+010.180.181.044.69458E+002.50.405E+010.200.200.976.81121E+002.60.380E+010.210.210.915.93577E+002.80.358E+010.220.220.862.10683E+013.00.338E+010.240.240.815.12087E+01 0.01 -1.54 9.59

 -1.66
 9.55
 2.3
 0.434E+01
 0.18

 -1.77
 9.52
 2.5
 0.405E+01
 0.20

 -1.88
 9.49
 2.6
 0.380E+01
 0.21

 -2.00
 9.46
 2.8
 0.358E+01
 0.22

 -2.11
 9.43
 3.0
 0.338E+01
 0.24

 0.01 0.01 0.01 0.862 .10683E+01 0.815 .12087E+01 0.771 .13606P+1 0.02 0.02 0.02 -2.23 9.40 3.1 0.320E+01 0.25 0.25

 0.02
 -2.34
 9.37
 3.3
 0.304E+01
 0.26
 0.26
 0.733
 .15171E+01

 0.03
 -2.45
 9.34
 3.5
 0.290E+01
 0.27
 0.27
 0.698
 .16815E+01

 0.03
 -2.57
 9.31
 3.6
 0.277E+01
 0.29
 0.29
 0.667
 .18539E+01

 0.733 .15171E+01

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 235 of 286

Imber

0.03	-2.68	9.27	3.8 0.265E+01	0.30	0.30	0.638	.20342E+01
0.04	-2.79	9.24	3.9 0.254E+01	0.31	0.31	0.612	.22225E+01
0 04	-2 91	9 21	4 1 0 243E+01	0 33	0 33	0 587	242345+01
0.01	2.01	0 10	4 2 0 2245101	0.33	0.33	0.507	26277E+01
0.04	-3.02	9.18	4.3 0.234E+01	0.34	0.34	0.564	.202//E+UI
0.05	-3.14	9.15	4.4 0.225E+01	0.35	0.35	0.544	.28400E+01
0.05	-3.25	9.12	4.6 0.217E+01	0.37	0.37	0.524	.30603E+01
0.06	-3.36	9.08	4.8 0.210E+01	0.38	0.38	0.506	.32885E+01
0 06	-3 47	9 05	1 9 0 203E+01	0 30	0 30	0 189	352/75+01
	5.47	9.05	4.9 0.2051101		0.59	0.409	
Level of A	ouoyancy	reversal	in stratified amb	ient.			
0.07	-3.59	9.02	5.1 0.196E+01	0.40	0.40	0.474	.37689E+01
0.07	-3.70	8.99	5.3 0.190E+01	0.42	0.42	0.458	.40271E+01
0.08	-3.82	8,96	5.4 0.184E+01	0.43	0.43	0.444	.42875E+01
0 0 0	-3 03	0 03	5 6 0 170 E + 01	0 4 4	0 4 4	0 121	45550 <u><u><u></u></u>+01</u>
0.00	-3.93	0.95	5.0 0.1792+01	0.44	0.44	0.431	.433396+01
0.09	-4.04	8.89	5.8 U.1/4E+UI	0.46	0.46	0.419	.48323E+01
0.10	-4.16	8.86	5.9 0.169E+01	0.47	0.47	0.407	.51168E+01
0.10	-4.27	8.83	6.1 0.164E+01	0.48	0.48	0.396	.54093E+01
0.11	-4.38	8.80	6.2 0.160E+01	0.50	0.50	0.386	.57098E+01
0 1 2	_1 50	0 77	$6 \ 1 \ 0 \ 156 E + 01$	0 51	0 51	0 275	60250 <u><u><u></u></u>+01</u>
0.12	-4.50	0.77	0.4 0.1500+01	0.51	0.51	0.375	.002306+01
0.13	-4.61	8./4	6.6 U.152E+UI	0.52	0.52	0.366	.6342/E+01
0.13	-4.72	8.71	6.7 0.148E+01	0.54	0.54	0.357	.66678E+01
0.14	-4.84	8.68	6.9 0.145E+01	0.55	0.55	0.348	.70011E+01
0.15	-4.95	8.64	7.1 0.141E+01	0.56	0.56	0.340	73425E+01
0.16	-5.06	0 61	7 2 0 139E + 01	0 50	0 50	0 333	76020E+01
0.10	-5.00	0.01	7.2 0.130E+01	0.50	0.50	0.552	.709206+01
0.1/	-5.18	8.58	/.4 0.135E+01	0.59	0.59	0.324	.80283E+01
0.18	-5.29	8.55	7.6 0.132E+01	0.60	0.60	0.317	.84245E+01
0.19	-5.41	8.52	7.7 0.129E+01	0.62	0.62	0.310	.87990E+01
0.20	-5.52	8.50	7.9 0.127E+01	0.63	0.63	0.303	.91818E+01
0.20	-5.63	0.00	9 1 0 12/E+01	0.63	0.63	0.207	05730E+01
0.21	-5.05	0.4/	0.1 0.1246+01	0.04	0.04	0.297	.957506+01
0.22	-5./5	8.44	8.2 0.122E+01	0.66	0.66	0.290	.99/25E+01
0.23	-5.86	8.41	8.4 0.119E+01	0.67	0.67	0.284	.10380E+02
0.24	-5.98	8.38	8.6 0.117E+01	0.68	0.68	0.279	.10807E+02
0 25	-6 09	8 35	8 7 0 115E+01	0 70	0 70	0 273	11232E+02
0.26	-6.21	0.33	0.0 0.113E+01	0 71	0.71	0.269	11665E±02
0.20	-0.21	0.33	0.9 0.1136+01	0.71	0.71	0.200	.110036+02
0.28	-6.32	8.30	9.0 0.111E+01	0.72	0.72	0.262	.1210/E+02
0.29	-6.43	8.28	9.2 0.109E+01	0.74	0.74	0.257	.12558E+02
0.30	-6.55	8.25	9.4 0.107E+01	0.75	0.75	0.253	.13017E+02
0.32	-6.67	8.23	9.5 0.105E+01	0.76	0.76	0.248	13496E+02
0.33	-6.78	8 20	970103E+01	0 78	0 78	0 243	13073E±02
0.33	-0.78	0.20	9.7 0.1038+01	0.70	0.70	0.243	.139736+02
0.35	-6.89	8.18	9.9 0.101E+01	0.79	0.79	0.239	.14459E+02
0.36	-7.01	8.16	10.0 0.997E+00	0.80	0.80	0.234	.14954E+02
0.38	-7.12	8.14	10.2 0.981E+00	0.82	0.82	0.230	.15457E+02
0.39	-7.24	8.12	10.4 0.965E+00	0.83	0.83	0.226	.15969E+02
0 41	-7 35	8 10	10 5 0 950E+00	0 84	0.84	0 222	164905+02
0.42	7.55	0.10	10.7 0.0358.00	0.04	0.04	0.222	1702200102
0.43	-/.4/	8.08	10.7 0.935E+00	0.86	0.86	0.219	.1/033E+02
0.44	-7.59	8.07	10.9 0.921E+00	0.87	0.87	0.215	.17572E+02
0.46	-7.70	8.05	11.0 0.907E+00	0.88	0.88	0.211	.18120E+02
0.48	-7.82	8.04	11.2 0.893E+00	0.90	0.90	0.208	.18677E+02
0 50	-7 93	8 03	11 4 0 880E+00	0 91	0 91	0 204	19243E+02
0.50	_9 05	0.03 0 01	11 5 0 9695+00	0.03	0 03	0 201	10010E+02
0.52	-0.05	0.01	11.5 0.808E+00	0.95	0.95	0.201	.190106+02
0.54	-8.1/	8.00	11./ 0.855E+00	0.94	0.94	0.198	.20416E+02
0.56	-8.28	7.99	11.9 0.843E+00	0.95	0.95	0.195	.21010E+02
0.58	-8.40	7.99	12.0 0.831E+00	0.97	0.97	0.192	.21613E+02
0 60	-8 51	7 98	12 2 0 819E+00	0 98	0 98	0 189	22224E+02
0.63	-0.63	7 00	$12.4 0.907 \pm 00$	1 00	1 00	0 196	22221E:02
0.05	0.05	7.90	12.4 0.0071100	1.00	1.00	0.100	.220456102
0.65	-8./4	1.97	12.6 0./96E+00	1.01	1.01	0.184	.234/4E+02
0.67	-8.86	7.97	12.7 0.785E+00	1.02	1.02	0.181	.24113E+02
Minimum je	et height	has been	reached.				
0.70	-8.98	7.97	12.9 0.774E+00	1.04	1.04	0.178	.24775E+02
0 70	_9 00	7 07	13 1 0 76/12+00	1 05	1 05	0 176	254325±02
0.72	9.09	1.21	12 2 0 752-102	1.00	1.00	0.170	.204025102
0.75	-9.21	1.98	13.3 U./53E+00	Τ.Ο./	T.0./	U.1/3	.∠609/E+02
0.77	-9.32	7.98	13.5 0.743E+00	1.08	1.08	0.171	.26772E+02
0.80	-9.43	7.99	13.6 0.733E+00	1.09	1.09	0.169	.27454E+02
0.82	-9.55	8.00	13.8 0.723E+00	1.11	1.11	0.167	.28146E+02
0 25	-9 66	Q 01	$14 0 0 713 \pm 00$	1 1 2	1 1 2	0 165	288465+02
0.00	9.00	0.01		1 1 A	1 1 A	0.100	.20040ETUZ
0.88	-9./8	8.02	14.2 U./U4E+UU	⊥.⊥4	1.14	0.162	.295/2E+U2
0.91	-9.89	8.03	14.4 0.694E+00	1.15	1.15	0.161	.30289E+02
0.94	-10.01	8.04	14.6 0.685E+00	1.16	1.16	0.159	.31015E+02

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 236 of 286

Imber

									mber	
0.97	-10.12	8.06	14.8 0.	676E+00	1.18	1.18	0.157	.3174	49E+02	
1.00	-10.23	8.08	15.0 0.	667E+00	1.19	1.19	0.155	.3249	92E+02	
1.03	-10.34	8.10	15.2 0.	658E+00	1.21	1.21	0.153	.3324	43E+02	
1.06	-10.45	8.12	15.4 0.	650E+00	1.22	1.22	0.151	.3400)2E+02	
1 09	-10 57	8 1 4	15 6 0	641E+00	1 23	1 23	0 150	3478	88E+02	
1 13	-10.68	8 16	15 8 0	633E+00	1 25	1 25	0 148	3550	64F+02	
1.15	10.00	0.10	16.0.0	625E100	1.25	1.25	0.140		10E+02	
1.10	-10.79	8.19	16.0 0.	625E+00	1.20	1.20	0.146	.3034	496+02	
1.19	-10.90	8.21	16.2 0.	617E+00	1.28	1.28	0.145	.3714	42E+02	
1.23	-11.01	8.24	16.4 0.	609E+00	1.29	1.29	0.143	.3794	43E+02	
1.26	-11.12	8.26	16.6 0.	601E+00	1.31	1.31	0.141	.3875	53E+02	
1.30	-11.22	8.29	16.8 0.	594E+00	1.32	1.32	0.140	.395	71E+02	
1.34	-11.33	8.32	17.0 0.	587E+00	1.34	1.34	0.138	.4041	18E+02	
1.38	-11.44	8.35	17.3 0.	580E+00	1.35	1.35	0.137	.4125	54E+02	
1,41	-11.55	8.38	17.5 0.	573E+00	1.36	1.36	0.135	.4209	99E+02	
1 45	-11 66	8 41	1770	566E+00	1 38	1 38	0 134	429	53E+02	
1 49	-11 76	8 4 4	17 9 0	560E+00	1 39	1 39	0 132	438	17F+02	
1 52	_11 07	0.44	10 1 0	5522+00	1 11	1 11	0.132	. 400.	205+02	
1.55	-11.07	0.47	10.1 0.	5556+00	1.41	1.41	0.131	.4400	39E+02	
1.5/	-11.98	8.50	18.3 0.	54/E+00	1.42	1.42	0.129	.455	/28+02	
Terminal	level in s	tratifie	d ambient	: has bee:	n reache	ed.				
Cumulativ	e travel ti	me =	45.	5716 sec	(().01 hrs)				
Merging	of individu	al jet/p	lumes not	: found i	n this n	nodule, but	t interac	tion		
will o	ccur in fol	lowing m	odule. C	verall j	et/plume	e interact:	ion dimen	sions:		
1.57	-11.98	8.50	18.3 0.	547E+00	1.42	7.69	0.129	.455	72E+02	
END OF COR	JET (MOD110): JET/F	LUME NEAF	R-FIELD M	IXING RE	EGION				
BEGIN MOD2	37: TERMINA	L LAYER	INJECTION	I/UPSTREA	M SPREAD	DING				
TIDOTDEAM	TNITICTON D		c.							
OF STREAM	TNIKOSION P	tion of		mino	_	11 / 5 m				
Ma.	ximum eieva		Jec/prume	e rise	=	11.45 m				
La	yer thickne	ss in im	pingement	region	=	0.69 m				
Up	stream intr	usion le	ngth		= 1	L35.07 m				
X-:	position of	upstrea	m stagnat	ion poin	t = -1	L33.49 m				
Th	ickness in	intrusic	n region		=	0.69 m				
Ha	lf-width at	downstr	eam end		= 2	269.59 m				
Th	ickness at	downstre	am end		=	0.53 m				
Control	volume infl	ow:								
X	Y	7.	S	С	BV	BH	ጥጥ			
1 57	-11 98	8 50	18 3 0	547E+00	1 42	7 69	45572E+	02		
1.07	11.90	0.00	10.0 0.	51/1100	1.12	1.05	. 100/201	02		
Drofilo d	ofinitions.									
FIOITIE U	erinicions.									
BV = to	p-hat thick	ness, me	asured ve	ertically						
BH = to	p-hat half-	width, m	easured h	orizonta	lly in y	y-direction	n			
ZU = up	per plume b	oundary	(Z-coordi	nate)						
ZL = 10	wer plume b	oundary	(Z-coordi	nate)						
S = hy	drodynamic	average	(bulk) di	lution						
C = av	erage (bulk) concen	tration (includes	reactio	on effects,	, if any)			
TT = Cu	mulative tr	avel tim	e				_			
	-									
х	Y	Z	S	С	BV	BH	ZIJ	ZL	ŢΤ	
-133 49	-11 98	8 50	9999 9 0	0005+00	0 00	0 00	8.50	8 50	.13525E+05	
_100 10	-11 98	8 50	73 0 0	1375+00	0 17	28 12	8 5 8	g /1	4557201-00	
-120.10	-11.90	0.50	73.0 0.	1376+00	0.17	JO.IJ	0.00	0.41	.4JJ72E+02	
-101.65	-11.98	8.50	30.4 0.	329E+UU	0.42	92.61	8./⊥	8.29	.455/2E+02	
-75.20	-11.98	8.50	23.1 0.	433E+00	0.55	125.29	8.77	8.22	.455/2E+02	
-48.76	-11.98	8.50	20.0 0.	499E+00	0.63	151.07	8.81	8.18	.45572E+02	
-22.31	-11.98	8.50	18.6 0.	536E+00	0.68	173.04	8.84	8.16	.45572E+02	
4.13	-11.98	8.50	18.3 0.	546E+00	0.69	247.04	8.84	8.15	.30182E+03	
** REGULA	TORY MIXING	ZONE BC	UNDARY is	within	the Near	-Field Red	rion **			
In this p	rediction i	nterval	the plume	DOWNSTR	EAM dist	ance meet	s or exce	eds		
the requil	atory value	= 25	.00 m							
This is +	he extent o	f the RT	GIILATORY	MIXING 7	ONE					
3U 20 TUTO TO C	_11 00		20 0 0	4795109 20	0 67	252 22	8 83	Q 1 G	291615+01	
50.58	_11 00	0.00	20.9 U.	2070100	0.07	256 00	0.00	0.10	- Z 9404ETU4 55011E+04	
57.03	-11.98	0.50	23.9 U.	2011年100	10.0	200.99	0.00	0.19	.009116+04	
83.47	-11.98	8.50	30.2 0.	332E+00	0.57	261.44	8./8	8.21	.8235/E+04	
109.92	-11.98	8.50	32.5 0.	308E+00	0.54	265.62	8.77	8.23	.10880E+05	

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 237 of 286 Imber 136.37 -11.98 8.50 33.7 0.297E+00 0.53 269.59 8.76 8.23 .13525E+05 Cumulative travel time = 13524.9756 sec (3.76 hrs) END OF MOD237: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTREAM SPREADING _____ ** End of NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) ** Some BOUNDARY INTERACTION with both banks occurs at end of near-field. The dilution values in one or more of the preceding zones may be too high. Carefully evaluate results in near-field and check degree of interaction. Bottom coordinate for FAR-FIELD is determined by average depth, ZFB = -3.00m_____ BEGIN MOD281: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION An UPSTREAM INTRUDING BOTTOM WEDGE is formed. UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION PROPERTIES in bounded channel (laterally uniform): X-Position of wedge tip = 2344.69 m Thickness the Y Thickness at discharge (end of NFR) = 1.17 m (Wedge thickness gradually decreases to zero at wedge tip.) In this case, the upstream INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding 10 times the local water depth. This may be caused by a very small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with large discharge buoyancy. If the ambient conditions are strongly transient (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions prior to boundary impingement and wedge formation will be acceptable, however. S С Y BV BH Х Z ZU ZL TT 136.377.008.5033.70.297E+001.17244.009.087.91.13525E+05Cumulative travel time =13524.9746 sec (3.76 hrs) Flow is LATERALLY MIXED over the channel width. END OF MOD281: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION _____ _____ BEGIN MOD262: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT Vertical diffusivity (initial value) = 0.143E-09 m^2/s Horizontal diffusivity (initial value) = 0.545E-02 m^2/s Profile definitions: BV = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) thickness, measured vertically = or equal to layer depth, if fully mixed BH = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) half-width, measured horizontally in Y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Plume Stage 2 (bank attached): X Y Z S C
 X
 Y
 Z
 S
 C
 BV
 BH
 ZU
 ZL
 TT

 136.37
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .13525E+05

 185.00
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .18389E+05

 233.64
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .23252E+05

 282.28
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .28116E+05

 330.91
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .32980E+05

 379.55
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .37843E+05

 428.18
 7.00
 8.50
 33.7
 0.297E+00
 1.17
 244.00
 9.08
 7.91
 .42707E+05

 428.18
 7.00</t BH ZU ΖL BV TΤ 476.82 7.00 8.50 33.7 0.297E+00 1.17 244.00 9.08 7.91 .47570E+05 525.467.008.5033.70.297E+001.17244.009.087.91.52434E+05574.097.008.5033.70.297E+001.17244.009.087.91.57298E+05

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 238 of 286

Imber

								IIIIOC
622.73	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.62161E+05
671.37	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.67025E+05
720 00	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 2975+00	1 17	244 00	9 0.8	7 91	718898+05
769 61	7.00	0.50	33 7 0 2075+00	1 17	244 00	0.00	7 01	76752E+05
700.04	7.00	0.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	.10/JZE+0J
817.28	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.29/E+UU	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.81616E+05
865.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.29/E+00	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.86480E+05
914.55	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.91343E+05
963.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.96207E+05
1011.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.10107E+06
1060.46	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.10593E+06
1109.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.11080E+06
1157 73	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 297E+00	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	11566E+06
1206 37	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 297E+00	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	120525+06
1255 00	7.00	0.50	33 7 0 2075+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.01	125305+06
1202.00	7.00	0.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	.12559E+06
1303.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.297E+00	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13025E+06
1352.28	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.29/E+00	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13512E+06
1400.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13998E+06
1449.55	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.14484E+06
1498.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.14971E+06
1546.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.15457E+06
1595.46	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.15943E+06
1644.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	16430E+06
1692 73	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 2975+00	1 17	244 00	9.00	7 91	16916E+06
1741 27	7.00	0.50	22 7 0 207E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	174020106
1741.37	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.17402E+06
1/90.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.29/E+00	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.1/889E+06
1838.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.18375E+06
1887.28	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.18862E+06
1935.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.19348E+06
1984.55	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.19834E+06
2033.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.20321E+06
2081.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	20807E+06
2130 46	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 297E+00	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	21293E+06
2170.00	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 2975+00	1 17	244 00	9.00	7 91	217805+06
2179.09	7.00	0.50	22 7 0 207E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	.217000100
2221.13	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.29/E+UU	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.22266E+06
22/6.3/	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.29/E+00	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.22/52E+06
2325.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.23239E+06
2373.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.23725E+06
2422.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.24212E+06
2470.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.24698E+06
2519.55	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.25184E+06
2568.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.25671E+06
2616.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	26157E+06
2665 46	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 297E+00	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	26643E+06
2714 00	7.00	0.50	33 7 0 2075+00	1 17	211.00	0.00	7 01	271200+06
2714.09	7.00	0.50	33.7 0.29/E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	.271306+00
2/62./3	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.2/010E+06
2811.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 U.29/E+UU	1.1/	244.00	9.08	7.91	.28102E+06
2860.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.28589E+06
2908.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.29075E+06
2957.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.29562E+06
3005.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.30048E+06
3054.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.30534E+06
3103.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.31021E+06
3151.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7,91	.31507E+06
3200 45	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 297E+00	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	31993E+06
3249 09	7 00	8 50	33 7 0 2975+00	1 17	244 00	9.00	7 91	32480E+06
2249.09	7.00	0.50	22 7 0 207E+00	1 17	244.00	9.00	7.91	- 32400E100
2221.13	7.00	0.00	ンン・/ U・Zダ/世+UU ンス 7 0 207戸・00	⊥•⊥/ 1 1⊓	244.00	9.U0 9.00	1.91 7.01	 ・ ンとツロロビキリり シンメモロロ・ハイ
3340.30	7.00	8.3U	33.7 0.29/E+00	⊥.⊥/	244.00	9.08	1.91	.33452E+U6
3395.00	/.00	8.50	33./ U.29/E+00	⊥.⊥/	244.00	9.08	1.91	.33939E+06
3443.63	/.00	8.50	33./ 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	/.91	.34425E+06
3492.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.34912E+06
3540.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.35398E+06
3589.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.35884E+06
3638.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.36371E+06
3686.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.36857E+06
3735.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.37343E+06
3784,09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0,297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7,91	.37830E+06
3832 72	7 00	8 50	$33.7 0 297 \pm 00$	1 17	244 00	9 08	7 91	.38316E+06
		2.20		- • - <i>'</i>		2.00	· • ノ エ	

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 239 of 286

Imber

								IIIDC
3881.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.38802E+06
3930.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.39289E+06
3978.63	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.39775E+06
4027.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.40262E+06
4075.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.40748E+06
4124.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.41234E+06
4173.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.41721E+06
4221.81	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.42207E+06
4270.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.42693E+06
4319.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.43180E+06
4367.72	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.43666E+06
4416.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.44152E+06
4465.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.44639E+06
4513.63	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.45125E+06
4562.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.45612E+06
4610.90	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.46098E+06
4659.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.46584E+06
4708.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.47071E+06
4756.81	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.47557E+06
4805.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.48043E+06
4854.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.48530E+06
4902.72	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49016E+06
4951.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49502E+06
4999.99	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49989E+06
Cumulative	travel tim	ne =	499888.3438 sec	(13	8.86 hrs)			

Note:

CORMIX is a steady state model and assumes discharge and ambient conditions do not vary over time. The predicted plume cumulative travel time exceeds 48 hours at this trajectory distance. Keep in mind that ambient and discharge conditions are likely to vary over large space and time scales. Predictions at such large space and time scales may be inconsistent with CORMIX modeling assumptions.

Please carefully evaluate your simulation results and limit model interpretation to space and time scales consistent with steady state assumptions and ambient schematization.

Simulation limit based on maximum specified distance = 5000.00 m. This is the REGION OF INTEREST limitation.

END OF MOD262: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT

 Design Case: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports Site Name: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Prepared By: DWI

Project Notes:

Location 1 is conceptual location along main lake bank, 15 ft from lake bank/bed 3 port multiport diffuser, 25-ft port spacing, 8-inch TFW, -15 degree vertical angle linear ambient stratification 20 cfs discharge

VALIDATING INPUT DATA ...

Checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs...

Effluent Page has been validated. Ambient Page has been validated. Discharge Page has been validated. Mixing Zone Page has been validated.

Finished checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs.

Loading Correct RuleBases

Validating RuleBases ...

AMBIENT DATA:

Ambient flowrate = $43.920 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$.

Equivalent Darcy-Weisbach friction factor = 0.007

Ambient surface density = 995.9449 kg/m^3.

Ambient bottom density = 997.7714 kg/m^3.

The ambient DENSITY PROFILE you have specified is DYNAMICALLY STABLE in the presence of the given ambient crossflow. (This has been checked with a FLUX RICHARDSON NUMBER CRITERION).

Ambient Rule Base has been validated.

DISCHARGE DATA: CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges

Diffuser center (mid-point of diffuser line) is located 7 m from left bank/shore.

Average spacing between the individual ports/nozzles = 7.62 m.

This spacing is of the order of, or less than, the discharge water depth of 15 m. Therefore, significant lateral interaction of adjacent jets in the near field is expected, forming essentially two-dimensional near-field conditions as if the discharge would issue from a two-dimensional slot.

CORMIX2 is FULLY APPLICABLE for this situation and will analyze this discharge using twodimensional prediction models in the near field.

*** WARNING ***

You have entered a multiport diffuser with the minimum number of ports/openings NOPEN = 3 allowed in CORMIX. Please carefully check your multiport diffuser input configuration with CORMIX2 line source assumptions.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 241 of 286 Imber

Effective port/nozzle diameter D0 = 0.133 m Effective port X-sectional area of each port A0 = 0.0139 m^2 Effective port X-sectional area of all ports in each riser A0RG = 0.0139 m^2

This is a Slightly Submerged Discharge, where the height of the discharge port (H0 = 10 m), above the bottom, Exceeds two-thirds of the local ambient water depth (HD = 15 m) Note: For special advice on this limitation please consult Section 5.3 of the CORMIX2 technical report (Akar and Jirka, 1991).; yet more detail can be found in the CORMIX1 report, Doneker and Jirka, 1990

The present diffuser type is UNIDIRECTIONAL WITH PARALLEL ALIGNMENT.

Effective discharge velocity U0 = 2.04 m/s

The submergence of the port below the water surface is SUBO = 5 m.

Discharge density RHOO = 997.0456 kg/m^3 .

Discharge Rules for CORMIX2 have been validated.

MIXING ZONE SPECIFICATION:

REGULATORY MIXING ZONE (RMZ) Specifications:

In general practice, there are two possible interpretations for the RMZ:

Interpretation 1: The RMZ is a spatially defined (by State/Federal agencies) restricted region at whose boundary a specified water quality standard for conventional pollutants - or the CCC for toxic pollutants - has to be met.

Interpretation 2: The applicant or the State/Federal agency may propose on an ad-hoc basis an RMZ as that region at whose boundary a water quality standard - or CCC - has been demonstrated to be met. That demonstration is usually made by means of a mixing zone prediction.

CORMIX will evaluate the RMZ conditions on the basis of both interpretations.

Mixing Zones Rule Base has been validated.

Finished validating RuleBases.

Calculating Parameters.

FLOW PARAMETERS AND LENGTH SCALES:

Relative density differences between discharge and ambient:

Ambient density at the discharge level RHOAH0 = 996.553718 kg/m^3 Vertical mean ambient density RHOAM = 996.858144 kg/m^3

The effluent density (997.04559 kg/m^3) is greater than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level (996.55372 kg/m^3). Therefore, the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to sink towards the bottom. IMPORTANT NOTE: Since the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT, it is recommended that you consider using the Brine or Sediment options for Effluent specification for a more detailed analysis, particularly for coastal discharges over a sloping bottom where density currents are important.

CORMIX will however continue with the current simulation.

Flow bulk parameters: (Bulk variables are defined on a 2-D basis, i.e. per unit diffuser length)

Ambient momentum flux $ma = 0.0015 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$

Discharge volume flux q0 = $0.00557 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ Discharge momentum flux m0 = $0.01136 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^2$ This flux has a net component in the discharge direction (orientation of ports/nozzles).

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 242 of 286 Imber Discharge buoyancy flux $j0 = -0.00003 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}^3$ Flow length scales: (Length scales are defined on a 2-D basis, i.e. per unit diffuser length) Discharge length scale lq = 0.0027 m. Jet-to-crossflow length scale lm = 113.61 m. Jet-to-plume transition length scale IM = 11.01 m. Jet stratification length scale lm' = 2.12 m. Plume stratification length scale lb' = 0.87 m. Crossflow stratification length scale la = 0.29 m. Non-dimensional parameters: Slot densimetric Froude number FR0 = 686.12 Equivalent slot width B0 = 0.0018mCORMIX2 uses the equivalent two-dimensional slot diffuser concept to classify the actual threedimensional diffuser dynamics. For the dilution predicted however, CORMIX2 models the flow from each port or, if applicable, collectively from each riser group. Port/nozzle densimetric Froude number FRD0 = 80.33 Jet/crossflow velocity ratio R = 203.82 Parameters for CORMIX2 have been calculated. Classifying Flows. FLOW CLASSIFICATION: CORMIX2 includes FIVE MAJOR CLASSES of possible flow configurations: Classes MS, IMS: Flows trapped in a layer within linear ambient stratification. : Near Bottom, Positively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes MU : Near Surface, Negatively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes IMU Classes MNU : Near Bottom, Negatively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes IMPU : Near Surface, Positively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. The NEAR FIELD FLOW will have the following features: If flow trapping occurs, then the flow is jet-like and is strongly affected by the ambient density stratification with weak crossflow effect (if any). Terminal Height Level Zt = -3.43The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow may be trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer. The discharge near-field behavior is dominated by either the negative buoyancy of the discharge or the downward vertical orientation of the discharge port. The following conclusion on the flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the full water depth at the discharge site: *** FLOW CLASS = IMS4 *** Applicable layer depth HS = 15 m. *** Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 518.0 *** Flow has been classified. Executing the simulation ... FORTRAN simulation complete.

Generating Session Report... Session Report complete.

CORMIX SESSION REPORT:

CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO2:Version-10.0.2.0 April, 2017 EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK SITE NAME/LABEL: DESIGN CASE: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports FILE NAME: D:\LG&E KU Brown\CORMIX\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE 3-PORT DIFFUSER.prd Using subsystem CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges Start of session: 07/27/2018--15:10:43 SUMMARY OF INPUT DATA: _____ AMBIENT PARAMETERS: Cross-section = bounded Width BS = 244 mChannel regularity ICHREG = 1QA $= 43.92 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ Ambient flowrate Average depth HA = 18 mDepth at discharge HD = 15 mAmbient velocity UA = 0.01 m/sDarcy-Weisbach friction factor F = 0.0067 = 0.015 Calculated from Manning's n Wind velocity UW = 1.34 m/sSTRCND = AStratification Type Surface temperature = 29 degC Bottom temperature = 22 degCCalculated FRESH-WATER DENSITY values: Surface density RHOAS = 995.9449 kg/m^3 Bottom density RHOAB = 997.7714 kg/m^3 _____ DISCHARGE PARAMETERS: Submerged Multiport Diffuser Discharge Diffuser type DITYPE = unidirectional parallel Diffuser length LD = 15.24 mNearest bank = left YB1 = 7 m; YB2 = 7 m Diffuser endpoints Number of openings NOPEN = 3 Number of Risers NRISER = 3Ports/Nozzles per Riser NPPERR = 1 Spacing between risers/openings SPAC = 7.62 m DO Port/Nozzle diameter = 0.133 m= 1 with contraction ratio = 0.0018 m Equivalent slot width в0 TA0 = 0.0417 m^2 Total area of openings Discharge velocity U0 = 2.04 m/sQO $= 0.084951 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ Total discharge flowrate НO Discharge port height = 10 mBETYPE = unidirectional with fanning Nozzle arrangement GAMMA = 0 deg Diffuser alignment angle

Vertical discharge angle	THETA	= -15 deg
Actual Vertical discharge angle	THEAC	= -15 deg
Horizontal discharge angle	SIGMA	= 270 deg
Relative orientation angle	BETA	= 90 deg
Discharge temperature (freshwat	er)	= 25 degC
Corresponding density	RHO0	= 997.0456 kg/m^3
Density difference	DRHO	= -0.4919 kg/m^3
Buoyant acceleration	GP0	$= -0.0048 \text{ m/s}^2$
Discharge concentration	C0	= 10 ppm
Surface heat exchange coeff.	KS	= 0 m/s
Coefficient of decay	KD	= 0 /s
FLUX VARIABLES PER UNIT DIFFUSER	LENGTH:	
Discharge (volume flux)	q0	= 0.005574 m^2/s
Momentum flux	m0	= 0.011361 m^3/s^2
Buoyancy flux	iО	= -0.000027 m^3/s^3
DISCHARGE/ENVIRONMENT LENGTH SCAL	ES:	
LQ = 0.00 m $Lm = 113.$	61 m	LM = 11.01 m
lm' = 2.12 m $Lb' = 0.87$	m	La = 0.29 m
(These refer to the actual disc	harge/e	environment length scales.)
NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS:		
Slot Froude number F	'R0 =	686.12
Port/nozzle Froude number	FRD0	= 80.33
Velocity ratio	R	= 203.82
MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION ZONE	/ AREA	• OF INTEREST PARAMETERS:
Toxic discharge		= no
Water quality standard specifie	d	= yes
Water quality standard	CSTD	= 5 ppm
Regulatory mixing zone		= yes
Regulatory mixing zone specific	ation	= distance
Regulatory mixing zone value		= 25 m (m^2 if area)
Region of interest		= 5000 m
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****	****************
HYDRODYNAMIC CLASSIFICATION:		
**		
FLOW CLASS = IMS4		
**	to a l	aver corresponding to the linearly
stratified density layer at the	discha	arge site.
Applicable layer depth = water	depth =	= 15 m
Limiting Dilution $S = (QA/Q0) +$	1.0 = 5	518.0
*****	* * * * * * *	*******
MIXING ZONE EVALUATION (hydrodyna	mic and	d regulatory summary):
X-Y-Z Coordinate system:		
		the next/dittucer conter:

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 245 of 286 Imber 7 m from the left bank/shore. Number of display steps NSTEP = 100 per module. _____ NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) CONDITIONS : Note: The NFR is the zone of strong initial mixing. It has no regulatory implication. However, this information may be useful for the discharge designer because the mixing in the NFR is usually sensitive to the discharge design conditions. Pollutant concentration at NFR edge c = 0.2969 ppm Dilution at edge of NFR s = 33.7x = 136.37 mNFR Location: (centerline coordinates) y = -11.98 mz = 8.50 mNFR plume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 269.59 m thickness (bv) = 0.53 mCumulative travel time: 13524.9746 sec. _____ Buoyancy assessment: The effluent density is greater than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level. Therefore, the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to sink towards the bottom. IMPORTANT NOTE: Since the effluent is NEGATIVELY BUOYANT, it is recommended that you consider using the Brine or Sediment options for Effluent specification for a more detailed analysis, particularly for coastal discharges over a sloping bottom where density currents are important. CORMIX will however continue with the current simulation. _____ Stratification assessment: The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow is trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer. _____ UPSTREAM INTRUSION SUMMARY: Plume exhibits upstream intrusion due to low ambient velocity or strong discharge buoyancy. = 135.07 m Intrusion length Intrusion stagnation point = -133.49 mIntrusion thickness = 0.69 mIntrusion half width at impingement = 269.59 m Intrusion half thickness at impingement = 0.53 m In this case, the UPSTREAM INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding ten (10) times the local water depth. This may be caused by the small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with the strong buoyancy of the effluent, or alternatively, a strong ambient stratification. If the ambient conditions are quite unsteady (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of the upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions in the immediate near-field, prior to the intrusion layer formation, are acceptable, however.

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 246 of 286 Imber

FAR-FIELD MIXING SUMMARY: Plume becomes laterally fully mixed at 0 m downstream. No TDZ was specified for this simulation. The plume conditions at the boundary of the specified RMZ are as follows: Pollutant concentration c = 0.493056 ppm s = 20.3 Corresponding dilution Plume location: x = 25 m(centerline coordinates) y = -11.98 mz = 8.50 mhalf-width (bh) = 251.13 m Plume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 0.67 mCumulative travel time < 13524.9746 sec. (RMZ is within NFR)

Note:

Plume concentration c and dilution s values are reported based on prediction file values - assuming linear interpolation between predicted points just before and just after the RMZ boundary has been detected.

Please ensure a small step size is used in the prediction file to account for this linear interpolation. Step size can be controlled by increasing (reduces the prediction step size) or decreasing (increases the prediction step size) the - Output Steps per Module - in CORMIX input.

At this position, the plume is NOT IN CONTACT with any bank. Furthermore, the specified water quality standard has indeed been met within the RMZ. In particular: The ambient water quality standard was encountered at the following plume position: = 5 ppm Water quality standard Corresponding dilution s = 2 x = 0.01 mPlume location: y = -1.44 m(centerline coordinates) z = 9.61 mPlume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 0.16 m thickness (bv) = 0.16 m

Regulatory Mixing Zone Analysis:

port/nozzle. In the present design, the spacing between adjacent ports/nozzles

(or riser assemblies) is of the order of, or less than, the local water depth so that the slot diffuser approximation holds well.

Nevertheless, if this is a final design, the user is advised to use a

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 247 of 286 Imber final CORMIX1 (single port discharge) analysis, with discharge data for an individual diffuser jet/plume, in order to compare to the present near-field prediction.

- REMINDER: The user must take note that HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING by any known technique is NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE.
- Extensive comparison with field and laboratory data has shown that the CORMIX predictions on dilutions and concentrations (with associated plume geometries) are reliable for the majority of cases and are accurate to within about +-50% (standard deviation).
- As a further safeguard, CORMIX will not give predictions whenever it judges the design configuration as highly complex and uncertain for prediction.

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 248 of 286 Imber CORMIX2 PREDICTION FILE: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM Subsystem CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO2 Version 10.0.2.0 April 2017 _____ _____ CASE DESCRIPTION Site name/label: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Design case: Location 1; MP diffuser; 3 ports FILE NAME: D:\...X\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE 3-PORT DIFFUSER.prd 07/27/2018--15:10:43 Time stamp: ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS (metric units) Bounded section BS = 244.00 AS = 4392.00 QA = 43.92 ICHREG= 1 HA = 18.00 HD = 15.00 = 0.007 USTAR =0.2903E-03 UA = 0.010 F UW = 1.341 UWSTAR=0.1449E-02 Density stratified environment STRCND= A RHOAM = 996.8582 RHOAS = 995.9449 RHOAB = 997.7714 RHOAH0= 996.5537 E =0.1197E-02 DIFFUSER DISCHARGE PARAMETERS (metric units) Diffuser type: DITYPE= unidirectional_parallel BANK = LEFT DISTB = 7.00 YB1 = 7.00 YB2 = 7.00 3 NRISER= 3 LD 15.24 NOPEN = SPAC = 7.62 NPPERR = 1 = D0 = 0.133 A0 = 0.014 H0 = 10.00 SUB0 = 5.00 0.133 CR0 = DOINP = 1.000 Nozzle/port arrangement: unidirectional with fanning 0.00 THETA = -15.00 SIGMA = 270.00 BETA = 90.00 GAMMA = U0 = 2.038 Q0 = 0.085 QOA =0.8495E-01 RHOO = 997.0456 DRHOO =-.4919E+00 GP0 =-.4840E-02 C0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm IPOLL = 1 KS =0.0000E+00 KD =0.0000E+00 FLUX VARIABLES - PER UNIT DIFFUSER LENGTH (metric units) =0.5574E-02 m0 =0.7574E-02 j0 =-.1799E-04 SIGNJ0= -1.0 q0 Associated 2-d length scales (meters) lQ=B = 0.003 lM = 11.01 lm = 113.61 lmp = 2.12 lbp = 0.87 la = 0.29 FLUX VARIABLES - ENTIRE DIFFUSER (metric units) =0.8495E-01 M0 =0.1154E+00 J0 =-.2741E-03 Q0 Associated 3-d length scales (meters) 11.96 Lm = 41.61 Lb = 411.18 = 0.12 LM = LO Lmp = 3.47 Lbp = 1.78 NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS FR0 = 686.12 FRD0 = 80.33 R = 203.82 PL = 59.34 (slot) (port/nozzle)

Case No. 2022-00402

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 249 of 286 Imber RECOMPUTED SOURCE CONDITIONS FOR RISER GROUPS: Properties of riser group with 1 ports/nozzles each: U0 = 2.038 D0 0.133 AO = = 0.014 THETA = -15.00FRO = 686.12 FRDO = 80.33 R = 203.82 (slot) (riser group) FLOW CLASSIFICATION 2 Flow class (CORMIX2) = IMS4 2 2 Applicable layer depth HS = 15.00 2 2 Limiting Dilution S =QA/Q0= 518.01 2 MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION / REGION OF INTEREST PARAMETERS С0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm NTOX = 0 NSTD = 1CSTD =0.5000E+01 REGMZ = 1REGSPC= 1 XREG = 25.00 WREG = 0.00 AREG = 0.00 XINT = 5000.00 XMAX = 5000.00 X-Y-Z COORDINATE SYSTEM: ORIGIN is located at the bottom and the diffuser mid-point: 7.00 m from the LEFT bank/shore. X-axis points downstream, Y-axis points to left, Z-axis points upward. NSTEP = 100 display intervals per module _____ _____ BEGIN MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE (SINGLE PORT AT DIFFUSER CENTER) Х Y Z S C BV BH Uc ΤT 2.038 .00000E+00 0.00 0.00 10.00 1.0 0.100E+02 0.07 0.07 END OF MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE (SINGLE PORT AT DIFFUSER CENTER) _____ _____ BEGIN CORJET (MOD110): JET/PLUME NEAR-FIELD MIXING REGION Jet-like motion in linear stratification with weak crossflow. Zone of flow establishment: THETAE= -15.00 SIGMAE= 270.12 = 0.65 XE = 0.00 YE = -0.63 ZE LE = 9.83 Profile definitions: BV = Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width, in vertical plane normal to trajectory BH = before merging: Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width in horizontal plane normal to trajectory after merging: top-hat half-width in horizontal plane parallel to diffuser line S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution

Case No. 2022-00402

C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any)

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 250 of 286 Imber

Uc = Local centerline excess velocity (above ambient)
TT = Cumulative travel time

Х	Y	Z	S	С	BV	BH	Uc	TT
Individual	jet/plur	mes before	e merging	g:				
0.00	-0.63	9.83	1.0 0	.100E+02	0.07	0.07	2.038	.00000E+00
0.00	-0.63	9.83	1.0 0	.100E+02	0.07	0.07	2.038	.95307E-03
0.00	-0.75	9.80	1.0 0	.999E+01	0.08	0.08	2.038	.45995E-01
0.00	-0.86	9.77	1.2 0	.860E+01	0.09	0.09	2.038	.98972E-01
0.00	-0.97	9.74	1.3 0	.754E+01	0.11	0.11	1.815	.15988E+00
0.00	-1.09	9.71	1.5 0	.672E+01	0.12	0.12	1.617	.22873E+00
0.00	-1.20	9.68	1.7 0	.606E+01	0.13	0.13	1.458	.30551E+00
0.01	-1.31	9.65	1.8 0	.551E+01	0.14	0.14	1.327	.39022E+00
0.01	-1.43	9.62	2.0 0	.505E+01	0.16	0.16	1.216	.48512E+00

** WATER QUALITY STANDARD OR CCC HAS BEEN FOUND **

The pollutant concentration in the plume falls below water quality standard or CCC value of 0.500E+01 in the current prediction interval.

This is the spatial extent of concentrations exceeding the water quality

standard or CCC value.

0.01	-1.54	9.59	2.1 0.467E	2+01 0.17	0.17	1.124	.58588E+00
0.01	-1.66	9.55	2.3 0.434E	2+01 0.18	0.18	1.044	.69458E+00
0.01	-1.77	9.52	2.5 0.405E	2+01 0.20	0.20	0.976	.81121E+00
0.01	-1.88	9.49	2.6 0.380E	2+01 0.21	0.21	0.915	.93577E+00
0.02	-2.00	9.46	2.8 0.358E	2+01 0.22	0.22	0.862	.10683E+01
0.02	-2.11	9.43	3.0 0.338E	2+01 0.24	0.24	0.815	.12087E+01
0.02	-2.23	9.40	3.1 0.320E	2+01 0.25	0.25	0.771	.13606E+01
0.02	-2.34	9.37	3.3 0.304E	2+01 0.26	0.26	0.733	.15171E+01
0.03	-2.45	9.34	3.5 0.290E	2+01 0.27	0.27	0.698	.16815E+01
0.03	-2.57	9.31	3.6 0.277E	2+01 0.29	0.29	0.667	.18539E+01
0.03	-2.68	9.27	3.8 0.265E	2+01 0.30	0.30	0.638	.20342E+01
0.04	-2.79	9.24	3.9 0.254E	2+01 0.31	0.31	0.612	.22225E+01
0.04	-2.91	9.21	4.1 0.243E	2+01 0.33	0.33	0.587	.24234E+01
0.04	-3.02	9.18	4.3 0.234E	2+01 0.34	0.34	0.564	.26277E+01
0.05	-3.14	9.15	4.4 0.225E	2+01 0.35	0.35	0.544	.28400E+01
0.05	-3.25	9.12	4.6 0.217E	2+01 0.37	0.37	0.524	.30603E+01
0.06	-3.36	9.08	4.8 0.210E	2+01 0.38	0.38	0.506	.32885E+01
0.06	-3.47	9.05	4.9 0.203E	2+01 0.39	0.39	0.489	.35247E+01
Level of	buoyancy	reversal	in stratified	l ambient.			
0.07	-3.59	9.02	5.1 0.196E	2+01 0.40	0.40	0.474	.37689E+01
0.07	-3.70	8.99	5.3 0.190E	2+01 0.42	0.42	0.458	.40271E+01
0.08	-3.82	8.96	5.4 0.184E	2+01 0.43	0.43	0.444	.42875E+01
0.08	-3.93	8.93	5.6 0.179E	2+01 0.44	0.44	0.431	.45559E+01
0.09	-4.04	8.89	5.8 0.174E	2+01 0.46	0.46	0.419	.48323E+01
0.10	-4.16	8.86	5.9 0.169E	2+01 0.47	0.47	0.407	.51168E+01
0.10	-4.27	8.83	6.1 0.164E	2+01 0.48	0.48	0.396	.54093E+01
0.11	-4.38	8.80	6.2 0.160E	2+01 0.50	0.50	0.386	.57098E+01
0.12	-4.50	8.77	6.4 0.156E	2+01 0.51	0.51	0.375	.60258E+01
0.13	-4.61	8.74	6.6 0.152E	2+01 0.52	0.52	0.366	.63427E+01
0.13	-4.72	8.71	6.7 0.148E	2+01 0.54	0.54	0.357	.66678E+01
0.14	-4.84	8.68	6.9 0.145E	2+01 0.55	0.55	0.348	.70011E+01
0.15	-4.95	8.64	7.1 0.141E	2+01 0.56	0.56	0.340	.73425E+01
0.16	-5.06	8.61	7.2 0.138E	2+01 0.58	0.58	0.332	.76920E+01

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 251 of 286

Imber

0.17	-5.18	8.58	7.4	0.135E+01	0.59	0.59	0.324	.80583E+01
0.18	-5.29	8.55	7.6	0.132E+01	0.60	0.60	0.317	.84245E+01
0.19	-5.41	8.52	7.7	0.129E+01	0.62	0.62	0.310	.87990E+01
0.20	-5.52	8.50	7.9	0.127E+01	0.63	0.63	0.303	.91818E+01
0.21	-5.63	8.47	8.1	0.124E+01	0.64	0.64	0.297	.95730E+01
0.22	-5.75	8.44	8.2	0.122E+01	0.66	0.66	0.290	.99725E+01
0.23	-5.86	8.41	8.4	0.119E+01	0.67	0.67	0.284	.10380E+02
0.24	-5.98	8.38	8.6	0.117E+01	0.68	0.68	0.279	.10807E+02
0.25	-6.09	8.35	8.7	0.115E+01	0.70	0.70	0.273	.11232E+02
0.26	-6.21	8.33	8.9	0.113E+01	0.71	0.71	0.268	.11665E+02
0.28	-6.32	8.30	9.0	0.111E+01	0.72	0.72	0.262	.12107E+02
0.29	-6.43	8.28	9.2	0.109E+01	0.74	0.74	0.257	.12558E+02
0.30	-6.55	8.25	9.4	0.107E+01	0.75	0.75	0.253	.13017E+02
0.32	-6.67	8.23	9.5	0.105E+01	0.76	0.76	0.248	.13496E+02
0.33	-6.78	8.20	9.7	0.103E+01	0.78	0.78	0.243	.13973E+02
0.35	-6.89	8.18	9.9	0.101E+01	0.79	0.79	0.239	.14459E+02
0.36	-7.01	8.16	10.0	0.997E+00	0.80	0.80	0.234	.14954E+02
0.38	-7.12	8.14	10.2	0.981E+00	0.82	0.82	0.230	.15457E+02
0.39	-7.24	8.12	10.4	0.965E+00	0.83	0.83	0.226	.15969E+02
0.41	-7.35	8.10	10.5	0.950E+00	0.84	0.84	0.222	16490E+02
0.43	-7.47	8.08	10.7	0.935E+00	0.86	0.86	0.219	.17033E+02
0.44	-7.59	8.07	10.9	0.921E+00	0.87	0.87	0.215	.17572E+02
0 46	-7 70	8 05	11 0	0 907E+00	0.88	0.88	0 211	18120E+02
0.48	-7.82	8.04	11.2	0.893E+00	0.90	0.90	0.208	.18677E+02
0 50	-7 93	8 03	11 4	0 880E+00	0 91	0 91	0 204	19243E+02
0.52	-8.05	8 01	11 5	0 868E+00	0.93	0.93	0 201	19818E+02
0.54	-8.17	8.00	11.7	0.855E+00	0.94	0.94	0.198	.20416E+02
0 56	-8 28	7 99	11 9	0 843E+00	0 95	0 95	0 195	21010E+02
0.58	-8 40	7 99	12 0	0 831E+00	0 97	0 97	0 192	21613E+02
0.60	-8 51	7 98	12.0	0.819E+00	0.98	0.98	0.189	22224E+02
0.63	-8.63	7 98	12.2	0 807E+00	1 00	1 00	0 186	22845E+02
0.65	-8 74	7 97	12.1	0.796E+00	1 01	1 01	0 184	23474E+02
0.65	-8 86	7 97	12.0	0.785E+00	1 02	1 02	0.181	24113E+02
Minimum ie	+ height	has been	reach	d	1.02	1.02	0.101	•211101+02
	_8 98	7 97	12 9	0 774E+00	1 04	1 04	0 178	24775E+02
0.70	-9 09	7 97	13 1	0.764E+00	1 05	1 05	0.176	25432E+02
0.75	-9 21	7 98	133	0 753E+00	1 07	1 07	0 173	26097E+02
0.73	-9 32	7 98	13 5	0.743E+00	1 08	1 08	0 171	26772E+02
0.80	-9.43	7 99	13.6	0.733E+00	1 09	1 09	0 169	27454E+02
0.82	-9 55	8 00	13.8	0.723E+00	1 11	1 11	0.167	28146E+02
0.02	-9.66	8 01	14 0	0.723E+00	1 12	1 12	0.165	28846E+02
0.88	-9 78	8 02	14 2	0.713E+00	1 1 4	1 14	0.162	29572E+02
0.90	-9.89	8 03	14.4	0.694E+00	1 15	1 15	0.161	30289E+02
0.91	-10 01	8 04	1/ 6	0.685E+00	1 16	1 16	0.150	31015E+02
0.94	_10.01	8 06	1/ 8	0.676E+00	1 1 2	1 1 2	0.157	317/9E+02
1 00	-10 23	8 08	15 O	0 6671-00	1 10	1 10	0.155	324925102
1 00	10.23	0.00 Q 10	15 0	0 650010100	1 01	1 01	0.150	- JZ4 JZETUZ
1 06	-10.34 -10.45	0.1U 0.10	15 /	0.6505+00	1 00	1 22	0 151	.JJZ4JETUZ
1 00	-10.40	0.1Z 0.11	15 C	0.6/10.00	1 22	1 22	0 150	3/700E+02
1 10	-10.57	0.14	15.0	0.6320100	1 25	1 25	0 1 4 0	- JH / OOL+UZ
1 1 L	-10.00	0.10 0.10	16 0	0.6255+00	1 26	1 26	0.140	.JJJU4ETUZ
1 10	-10 00	0.19 8 01	16 0	0.02JE+00	1 20	1 20	0.140	371/2E±02
エ・エッ	エレ・ジワ	U. Z. I	± U • Z		1.20	1.20	し・エヨン	• フィエヨムロエロス

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 252 of 286 Imber -11.01 8.24 16.4 0.609E+00 1.23 1.29 1.29 0.143 .37943E+02 -11.12 8.26 16.6 0.601E+00 1.31 1.26 1.31 0.141 .38753E+02 1.30 -11.22 8.29 16.8 0.594E+00 1.32 1.32 0.140 .39571E+02 1.34 -11.33 8.32 17.0 0.587E+00 1.34 0.138 .40418E+02 1.34 1.38 -11.44 8.35 17.3 0.580E+00 1.35 1.35 0.137 .41254E+02 -11.55 8.38 17.5 0.573E+00 1.36 1.36 0.135 1.41 .42099E+02 1.45 -11.66 8.41 17.7 0.566E+00 1.38 1.38 0.134 .42953E+02 -11.76 8.44 17.9 0.560E+00 1.39 1.39 0.132 .43817E+02 1.49 1.53 -11.87 8.47 18.1 0.553E+00 1.41 1.41 0.131 .44689E+02 1.57 -11.98 8.50 18.3 0.547E+00 1.42 1.42 0.129 .45572E+02 Terminal level in stratified ambient has been reached. Cumulative travel time = 45.5716 sec (0.01 hrs) Merging of individual jet/plumes not found in this module, but interaction will occur in following module. Overall jet/plume interaction dimensions: 1.57 -11.98 8.50 18.3 0.547E+00 1.42 7.69 0.129 .45572E+02 END OF CORJET (MOD110): JET/PLUME NEAR-FIELD MIXING REGION _____ _____ BEGIN MOD237: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTREAM SPREADING UPSTREAM INTRUSION PROPERTIES: Maximum elevation of jet/plume rise = 11.45 m Layer thickness in impingement region = 0.69 m Upstream intrusion length = 135.07 m X-position of upstream stagnation point = -133.49 m Thickness in intrusion region = 0.69 m Half-width at downstream end = 269.59 m Thickness at downstream end = 0.53 m Control volume inflow: Y Z Х S C BV BH TΤ 1.57 -11.98 8.50 18.3 0.547E+00 1.42 7.69 .45572E+02 Profile definitions: BV = top-hat thickness, measured vertically BH = top-hat half-width, measured horizontally in y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic average (bulk) dilution C = average (bulk) concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Х Y Z S С BV BH ZU ZL ΤТ -11.98 8.50 9999.9 0.000E+00 0.00 0.00 -133.49 8.50 8.50 .13525E+05 -128.10 -11.98 8.50 73.0 0.137E+00 0.17 38.13 8.58 8.41 .45572E+02 -11.98 8.50 30.4 0.329E+00 0.42 8.29 -101.65 92.61 8.71 .45572E+02 -75.20 -11.98 8.50 23.1 0.433E+00 0.55 125.29 8.77 8.22 .45572E+02 -48.76 -11.98 8.50 20.0 0.499E+00 0.63 151.07 8.81 8.18 .45572E+02 -22.31 -11.98 8.50 18.6 0.536E+00 0.68 173.04 8.84 8.16 .45572E+02 -11.98 8.50 18.3 0.546E+00 0.69 4.13 247.04 8.84 8.15 .30182E+03

** REGULATORY MIXING ZONE BOUNDARY is within the Near-Field Region **
Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 253 of 286 Imber

In this prediction interval the plume DOWNSTREAM distance meets or exceeds the regulatory value = 25.00 m. This is the extent of the REGULATORY MIXING ZONE. -11.98 8.50 20.9 0.479E+00 0.67 8.83 8.16 .29464E+04 30.58 252.22 57.03 -11.98 8.50 25.9 0.387E+00 0.61 256.99 8.80 8.19 .55911E+04 83.47 -11.98 8.50 30.2 0.332E+00 0.57 261.44 8.78 8.21 .82357E+04 109.92 -11.98 8.50 32.5 0.308E+00 0.54 265.62 8.77 8.23 .10880E+05 8.76 8.23 .13525E+05 136.37 -11.98 8.50 33.7 0.297E+00 0.53 269.59 Cumulative travel time = 13524.9756 sec (3.76 hrs) END OF MOD237: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTREAM SPREADING _____ ** End of NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) ** Some BOUNDARY INTERACTION with both banks occurs at end of near-field. The dilution values in one or more of the preceding zones may be too high. Carefully evaluate results in near-field and check degree of interaction. Bottom coordinate for FAR-FIELD is determined by average depth, ZFB = -3.00m_____ BEGIN MOD281: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION An UPSTREAM INTRUDING BOTTOM WEDGE is formed. UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION PROPERTIES in bounded channel (laterally uniform): Wedge length 2344.69 m = X-Position of wedge tip = -2208.32 m = Thickness at discharge (end of NFR) 1.17 m (Wedge thickness gradually decreases to zero at wedge tip.) In this case, the upstream INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding 10 times the local water depth. This may be caused by a very small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with large discharge buoyancy. If the ambient conditions are strongly transient (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions prior to boundary impingement and wedge formation will be acceptable, however. BH Y Z S С BV ZU ZL Х TΤ 136.37 7.00 8.50 33.7 0.297E+00 1.17 244.00 9.08 7.91 .13525E+05 Cumulative travel time = 13524.9746 sec (3.76 hrs) Flow is LATERALLY MIXED over the channel width. END OF MOD281: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION _____ BEGIN MOD262: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT Vertical diffusivity (initial value) = 0.143E-09 m^2/s Horizontal diffusivity (initial value) = $0.545E-02 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

Profile definitions:

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 254 of 286 Imber BV = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) thickness, measured vertically = or equal to layer depth, if fully mixed BH = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) half-width, measured horizontally in Y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate)

- S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution
- C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any)
- TT = Cumulative travel time

Plume Stage 2 (bank attached):

Х	Y	Z	S	С	BV	BH	ZU	ZL	ТТ
136.37	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13525E+05
185.00	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.18389E+05
233.64	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.23252E+05
282.28	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.28116E+05
330.91	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.32980E+05
379.55	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.37843E+05
428.18	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.42707E+05
476.82	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.47570E+05
525.46	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.52434E+05
574.09	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.57298E+05
622.73	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.62161E+05
671.37	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.67025E+05
720.00	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.71889E+05
768.64	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.76752E+05
817.28	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.81616E+05
865.91	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.86480E+05
914.55	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.91343E+05
963.18	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.96207E+05
1011.82	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.10107E+06
1060.46	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.10593E+06
1109.09	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.11080E+06
1157.73	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.11566E+06
1206.37	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.12052E+06
1255.00	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.12539E+06
1303.64	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13025E+06
1352.28	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13512E+06
1400.91	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.13998E+06
1449.55	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.14484E+06
1498.18	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.14971E+06
1546.82	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.15457E+06
1595.46	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.15943E+06
1644.09	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.16430E+06
1692.73	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.16916E+06
1741.37	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.17402E+06
1790.00	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.17889E+06
1838.64	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.18375E+06
1887.28	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.18862E+06
1935.91	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.19348E+06
1984.55	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.19834E+06
2033.18	7.00	8.50	33.7	0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.20321E+06

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 255 of 286

Imber

2081.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.20807E+06
2130.46	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.21293E+06
2179.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.21780E+06
2227.73	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.22266E+06
2276.37	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.22752E+06
2325.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.23239E+06
2373.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.23725E+06
2422.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.24212E+06
2470.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.24698E+06
2519.55	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.25184E+06
2568.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.25671E+06
2616.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.26157E+06
2665.46	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.26643E+06
2714.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.27130E+06
2762.73	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.27616E+06
2811.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.28102E+06
2860.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.28589E+06
2908.64	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.29075E+06
2957.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.29562E+06
3005.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.30048E+06
3054.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.30534E+06
3103.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.31021E+06
3151.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.31507E+06
3200.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.31993E+06
3249.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.32480E+06
3297.73	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.32966E+06
3346.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.33452E+06
3395.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.33939E+06
3443.63	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.34425E+06
3492.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.34912E+06
3540.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.35398E+06
3589.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.35884E+06
3638.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.36371E+06
3686.82	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.36857E+06
3735.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.37343E+06
3784.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.37830E+06
3832.72	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.38316E+06
3881.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.38802E+06
3930.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.39289E+06
3978.63	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.39775E+06
4027.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.40262E+06
4075.91	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.40748E+06
4124.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.41234E+06
4173.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.41721E+06
4221.81	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.42207E+06
4270.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.42693E+06
4319.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.43180E+06
4367.72	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.43666E+06
4416.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.44152E+06
4465.00	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.44639E+06
4513.63	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.45125E+06
4562.27	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.45612E+06

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 256 of 286 Imber

4610.90	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.46098E+06
4659.54	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.46584E+06
4708.18	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.47071E+06
4756.81	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.47557E+06
4805.45	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.48043E+06
4854.09	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.48530E+06
4902.72	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49016E+06
4951.36	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49502E+06
4999.99	7.00	8.50	33.7 0.297E+00	1.17	244.00	9.08	7.91	.49989E+06
Cumulative	travel ti	me =	499888.3438 sec	(13	8.86 hrs)			

Note:

CORMIX is a steady state model and assumes discharge and ambient conditions do not vary over time. The predicted plume cumulative travel time exceeds 48 hours at this trajectory distance. Keep in mind that ambient and discharge conditions are likely to vary over large space and time scales. Predictions at such large space and time scales may be inconsistent with CORMIX modeling assumptions.

Please carefully evaluate your simulation results and limit model interpretation to space and time scales consistent with steady state assumptions and ambient schematization.

Simulation limit based on maximum specified distance = 5000.00 m. This is the REGION OF INTEREST limitation.

END OF MOD262: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 257 of 286 Imber CORMIX SESSION, PROCESSING, AND PREDICTION FILES – Single Port; 6.6 cfs Discharge Date: 07/30/18 Time: 10:01:18 Design Case: Location 1; single port Site Name: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Prepared By: DWI Project Notes: Location 1 is conceptual location along main lake bank Single diffuser 10-ft submergence of ports linear ambient stratification VALIDATING INPUT DATA ... Checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs... Effluent Page has been validated. Ambient Page has been validated. Discharge Page has been validated. Mixing Zone Page has been validated. Finished checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs. Loading Correct RuleBases Validating RuleBases ... AMBIENT DATA: Ambient flowrate = $43.920 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Equivalent Darcy-Weisbach friction factor = 0.007Ambient surface density = 995.9449 kg/m^3 . Ambient bottom density = 997.7714 kg/m^3. The ambient DENSITY PROFILE you have specified is DYNAMICALLY STABLE in the presence of the given ambient crossflow. (This has been checked with a FLUX RICHARDSON NUMBER CRITERION). Ambient Rule Base has been validated. DISCHARGE DATA: CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges Port cross-sectional area $A0 = 0.032 \text{ m}^2$. Discharge flowrate $Q0 = 0.187 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Discharge velocity U0 = 5.774 m/s. Note: Discharge Velocity (U0) < 2.5 m/s may in some cases be recommended to avoid possible adverse conditions for sensitive fish populations. This is a Slightly Submerged or Above Surface Discharge, where the height of the discharge port (HO = 10 m) and the local ambient water depth (HD = 15 m). The submergence of the port below the water surface is SUB0 = 5 m. Discharge density RHOO = 996.2338 kg/m^3.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 258 of 286 Imber

Discharge Rules for CORMIX1 have been validated

MIXING ZONE SPECIFICATION:

REGULATORY MIXING ZONE (RMZ) Specifications:

In general practice, there are two possible interpretations for the RMZ:

Interpretation 1: The RMZ is a spatially defined (by State/Federal agencies) restricted region at whose boundary a specified water quality standard for conventional pollutants - or the CCC for toxic pollutants - has to be met.

Interpretation 2: The applicant or the State/Federal agency may propose on an ad-hoc basis an RMZ as that region at whose boundary a water quality standard - or CCC - has been demonstrated to be met. That demonstration is usually made by means of a mixing zone prediction.

CORMIX will evaluate the RMZ conditions on the basis of both interpretations.

Mixing Zones Rule Base has been validated.

Finished validating RuleBases.

Calculating Parameters.

FLOW PARAMETERS AND LENGTH SCALES:

Relative density differences between discharge and ambient:

Ambient density at the discharge level RHOAHO = 996.5537 kg/m^3. Vertical mean ambient density RHOAM = 996.8581 kg/m^3.

The effluent density (996.2338 kg/m^3) is less than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level (996.5537 kg/m^3).

Therefore, the effluent is POSITIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to rise towards the surface.

Flow bulk parameters:

Discharge volume flux Q0 = $0.18689 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Discharge momentum flux M0 = $1.07918 \text{ m}^4/\text{s}^2$.

Discharge buoyancy flux $JO = 0.000588 \text{ m}^4/\text{s}^3$.

Flow length scales:

Jet-to-crossflow length scale Lm = 103.88 m.

Plume-to-crossflow length scale Lb = 588.38 m.

Discharge length scale LQ = 0.1799 m.

Jet-to-plume transition length scale LM = 43.65 m.

Jet stratification length scale Lm' = 5.48 m. Plume stratification length scale Lb' = 1.94 m.

Non-dimensional parameters:

Densimetric Froude number FR0 = 228.41 Jet/crossflow velocity ratio R = 577.44

Parameters for CORMIX1 have been calculated

Classifying Flows.

FLOW CLASSIFICATION:

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 259 of 286 Imber

CORMIX1 includes SIX MAJOR CLASSES of possible flow configurations: Classes S, IS : Flows trapped in a layer within linear stratification. Classes V, H : Near Bottom, Positively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes IV, IH : Near Surface, Negatively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes NV, NH : Near Bottom, Negatively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes IPV, IPH: Near Surface, Positively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes A, AI : Flows affected by dynamic bottom or surface attachment.

The NEAR FIELD FLOW will have the following features:

If flow trapping occurs, then the flow is jet-like and is strongly affected by the ambient density stratification with a weak crossflow effect (if any).

Terminal Height Level Zt = -1.65

The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow may be trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer.

The discharge near-field behavior is dominated by either the negative buoyancy of the discharge or the downward vertical orientation of the discharge port. There is the possibility of dynamic surface attachment.

The following conclusion on the NEAR-FIELD FLOW CONFIGURATION applies to a layer corresponding to the FULL WATER DEPTH at the discharge site:

*** FLOW CLASS = IS3 ***

Applicable layer depth HS = 15 m.

*** Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 236.0 ***

Flow has been classified.

Executing the simulation... FORTRAN simulation complete.

Generating Session Report... Session Report complete.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 260 of 286 Imber

CORMIX SESSION REPORT: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO1:Version-10.0.1.0 April, 2017 EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK SITE NAME/LABEL: Location 1; single port DESIGN CASE: FILE NAME: D:\LG&E KU Brown\CORMIX\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE SINGLE PORT DIFFUSER.prd Using subsystem CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges Start of session: 07/30/2018--10:01:18 SUMMARY OF INPUT DATA: _____ AMBIENT PARAMETERS: = bounde BS = 244 m Channel regularity ICHREG = 1 Ambient flowrate QA = 43.92 Average depth = bounded $QA = 43.92 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ HA HD UA Depth at discharge = 15 m= 0.01 m/sAmbient velocity Darcy-Weisbach friction factorF= 0.01 m/sDarcy-Weisbach friction factorF= 0.0067Calculated from Manning's n= 0.015Wind velocityUW= 1.34 m/sStratification TypeSTRCND = ASurface temperature= 29 degC Bottom temperature = 22 degC Calculated FRESH-WATER DENSITY values: Surface density RHOAS = 995.9449 kg/m^3 Bottom density RHOAB = 997.7714 kg/m^3 _____ _____ DISCHARGE PARAMETERS: Single Port Discharge Nearest bank = left Nearest bank= leftDistance to bankDISTB= 7 mPort diameterD0= 0.203 mPort cross-sectional areaA0= 0.0324 m^2Discharge velocityU0= 5.77 m/sDischarge flowrateQ0= 0.186891 m^3/sDischarge port heightH0= 10 mVertical discharge angleTHETA= -15 degHorizontal discharge angleSIGMA= 270 deg Discharge temperature (freshwater) = 28 degC Corresponding density RH00 = 996.2338 kg/m^3 Corresponding densityKnod=990.2338 kg/mDensity differenceDRHO=0.3199 kg/m^3Buoyant accelerationGPO=0.0031 m/s^2Discharge concentrationCO=10 ppmSurface heat exchange coeff.KS=0 m/sCoefficient of decayKD=0 /s _____ _____ DISCHARGE/ENVIRONMENT LENGTH SCALES: LQ = 0.18 m Lm = 103.88 m Lb = 588.38 LM = 43.65 m Lm' = 5.48 m Lb' = 1.94 m Lb = 588.38 m _____ NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS: Port densimetric Froude number FR0 = 228.41 Velocity ratio R = 577.44 _____ MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION ZONE / AREA OF INTEREST PARAMETERS: Toxic discharge = no Water quality standard specified = yes Water quality standard CSTD = 5 ppm Regulatory mixing zone = yes Regulatory mixing zone specification = width Regulatory mixing zone value $= 24.40 \text{ m} (\text{m}^2 \text{ if area})$ = 5000 mRegion of interest

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 261 of 286 Imber

HYDRODYNAMIC CLASSIFICATION: *_____* | FLOW CLASS = IS3 | *_____* This flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the linearly stratified density layer at the discharge site. Applicable layer depth = water depth = 15 m Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 236.0MIXING ZONE EVALUATION (hydrodynamic and regulatory summary): _____ X-Y-Z Coordinate system: Origin is located at the BOTTOM below the port/diffuser center: 7 m from the left bank/shore. Number of display steps NSTEP = 100 per module. _____ NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) CONDITIONS : Note: The NFR is the zone of strong initial mixing. It has no regulatory implication. However, this information may be useful for the discharge designer because the mixing in the NFR is usually sensitive to the discharge design conditions. Pollutant concentration at NFR edge c = 0.206 ppm Dilution at edge of NFR s = 48.5x = 341.58 mNFR Location: y = -24.31 m(centerline coordinates) z = 7.77 mNFR plume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 681.51 m thickness (bv) = 0.67 m34116.7031 sec. Cumulative travel time: _____ Buoyancy assessment: The effluent density is less than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level. Therefore, the effluent is POSITIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to rise towards the surface. _____ Stratification assessment: The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow is trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer. _____ UPSTREAM INTRUSION SUMMARY: Plume exhibits upstream intrusion due to low ambient velocity or strong discharge buoyancy. Intrusion length = 314.16 m = -313.33 m Intrusion stagnation point = 0.69 mIntrusion thickness Intrusion half width at impingement = 681.51 m Intrusion half thickness at impingement = 0.67 mIn this case, the UPSTREAM INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding ten (10) times the local water depth. This may be caused by the small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with the strong buoyancy of the effluent, or alternatively, a strong ambient stratification. If the ambient conditions are quite unsteady (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of the upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions in the immediate near-field, prior to the intrusion layer formation, are acceptable, however. _____ FAR-FIELD MIXING SUMMARY: Plume becomes laterally fully mixed at 0 m downstream. No TDZ was specified for this simulation.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 262 of 286 Imber

The plume conditions at the boundary of the specified RMZ are as follows: c = 0.449431 ppm Pollutant concentration Corresponding dilution s = 22.2x = -597.64 mPlume location: y = -24.31 m(centerline coordinates) z = 7.77 mhalf-width (bh) = 12.20 m Plume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 0.61 mCumulative travel time < 34116.7031 sec. (RMZ is within NFR) Note: Plume concentration c and dilution s values are reported based on prediction file values - assuming linear interpolation between predicted points just before and just after the RMZ boundary has been detected. Please ensure a small step size is used in the prediction file to account for this linear interpolation. Step size can be controlled by increasing (reduces the prediction step size) or decreasing (increases the prediction step size) the - Output Steps per Module - in CORMIX input. At this position, the plume is NOT IN CONTACT with any bank. Furthermore, the specified water quality standard has indeed been met within the RMZ. In particular: The ambient water quality standard was encountered at the following plume position: = 5 ppm Water quality standard Corresponding dilution s = 2.0x = 0.00 mPlume location: y = -2.11 m(centerline coordinates) z = 9.44 mhalf-width (bh) = 0.25 m Plume dimension: _____ Regulatory Mixing Zone Analysis: The RMZ specification occurs before the near-field mixing regime (NFR) has been completed. The specification of the RMZ is highly restrictive. ********************** FINAL DESIGN ADVICE AND COMMENTS ************************** REMINDER: The user must take note that HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING by any known technique is NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE. Extensive comparison with field and laboratory data has shown that the CORMIX predictions on dilutions and concentrations (with associated plume geometries) are reliable for the majority of cases and are accurate to within about +-50% (standard deviation). As a further safeguard, CORMIX will not give predictions whenever it judges

the design configuration as highly complex and uncertain for prediction.

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 263 of 286 Imber CORMIX1 PREDICTION FILE: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM Subsystem CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO1 Version 10.0.1.0 April 2017 _____ _____ CASE DESCRIPTION Site name/label: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Design case: FILE NAME: Time stamp: Location 1; single port D:\...BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE SINGLE PORT DIFFUSER.prd 07/30/2018--10:01:18 ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS (metric units) Bounded section BS = 244.00 AS = 4392.00 QA = 43.92 ICHREG= 1 $\begin{array}{rcl} HA & = & 18.00 & HD & = & 15.00 \\ HA & = & 0.010 & F & = & 0.007 & USTAR = 0.2903E-03 \\ UW & = & 1.341 & UWSTAR=0.1449E-02 \end{array}$ Density stratified environment STRCND= A RHOAM = 996.8582 RHOAS = 995.9449 RHOAB = 997.7714 RHOAH0 = 996.5537 E = 0.1197E-02 DISCHARGE PARAMETERS (metric units) BANK = LEFT DISTB = 7.00 0.032 H0 = 10.00 SUB0 = 5.00 D0 = 0.203 A0 = -15.00 SIGMA = 270.00 5.774 Q0 = 0.187 THETA = =0.1869E+00 U0 = RHOO = 996.2338 DRHOO =0.3199E+00 GP0 =0.3148E-02 C0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm IPOLL = 1 KS =0.0000E+00 KD =0.0000E+00 FLUX VARIABLES (metric units) Q0 =0.1869E+00 M0 =0.1079E+01 J0 =0.5884E-03 SIGNJ0= 1.0 Associated length scales (meters) LQ = 0.18 LM = 43.65 Lm = 103.88 Lb = 588.38 Lmp = 5.48 Lbp = 1.94 NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS FRO = 228.41 R = 577.44 FLOW CLASSIFICATION 1 Flow class (CORMIX1) = IS3 1 1 Applicable layer depth HS = 15.00 1 1 Applicable layer depth HS = 15.00 1 1 Limiting Dilution S =QA/Q0= 236.00 1 MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION / REGION OF INTEREST PARAMETERS C0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm NTOX = 0CSTD =0.5000E+01 NSTD = 1 REGMZ = 1REGSPC= 2 XREG = 0.00 WREG = 24.40 AREG = 0.00 XINT = 5000.00 XMAX = 5000.00 X-Y-Z COORDINATE SYSTEM: ORIGIN is located at the bottom and below the center of the port: 7.00 m from the LEFT bank/shore. X-axis points downstream, Y-axis points to left, Z-axis points upward. NSTEP = 100 display intervals per module _____ _____ BEGIN MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE Z S C B UC Х Y ጥጥ

Case No. 2022-00402

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 264 of 286 Imber 1.0 0.100E+02 0.10 5.774 0.00 0.00 10.00 .00000E+00 END OF MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE _____ _____ BEGIN CORJET (MOD110): JET/PLUME NEAR-FIELD MIXING REGION Jet-like motion in linear stratification with weak crossflow. Zone of flow establishment: THETAE= -15.00 SIGMAE= 270.04 0.00 YE = LE = 1.01 XE = -0.97 ZE = 9.74 Profile definitions: B = Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width, normal to trajectory S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) Uc = Local centerline excess velocity (above ambient) TT = Cumulative travel time С Uc Х Y Z S В TΤ
 1
 2
 5
 6
 9
 11

 0.00
 10.00
 1.0
 0.100E+02
 0.10
 5.774
 .00000E+00

 -0.97
 9.74
 1.0
 0.100E+02
 0.11
 5.774
 .16490E-01
 0.00 0.00

 0.00
 -0.97
 9.74
 1.0
 0.100E+02
 0.11
 3.774
 .16436E-01

 0.00
 -1.09
 9.71
 1.1
 0.952E+01
 0.13
 5.774
 .34867E-01

 0.00
 -1.32
 9.65
 1.3
 0.790E+01
 0.15
 5.383
 .77284E-01

 0.00
 -1.55
 9.58
 1.5
 0.675E+01
 0.18
 4.599
 .12725E+00

 0.00
 -1.78
 9.52
 1.7
 0.589E+01
 0.21
 4.015
 .18477E+00

 0.00
 -2.01
 9.46
 1.9
 0.523E+01
 0.23
 3.562
 .24984E+00

 WATER QUALITY STANDARD OR CCC HAS BEEN FOUND The pollutant concentration in the plume falls below water quality standard or CCC value of 0.500E+01 in the current prediction interval. This is the spatial extent of concentrations exceeding the water quality standard or CCC value. -2.24 9.40 2.1 0.470E+01 0.26 3.201 .32245E+00 0.00 9.34 2.906 2.3 0.427E+01 0.29 0.00 -2.47 .40262E+00 -2.70 9.28 2.6 0.391E+01 0.31 2.661 .49035E+00 0.01 2.8 0.360E+01 0.34 2.454 .58563E+00 9.21 0.01 -2.93 -3.16 9.15 0.01 3.0 0.334E+01 0.36 2.277 .68846E+00 -3.39 9.09 3.2 0.312E+01 0.39 2.124 .79885E+00 0.01 0.05 -6.39 8.30 6.0 0.166E+01 0.73 1.131 .29226E+01 -6.62 8.24 6.2 0.161E+01 0.76 1.092 0.05 .31391E+01 0.05 -6.85 8.18 6.4 0.155E+01 0.78 1.055 .33631E+01 0.06 -7.09 8.12 6.7 0.150E+01 0.81 1.021 .35949E+01 0.06 -7.32 8.07 6.9 0.146E+01 0.84 0.988 .38342E+01 -7.55 -7.78 -8.01 7. -8.36 7.81 59 7.75 7.70 7.64 59 0.07 -7.55 8.01 7.1 0.141E+01 0.86 0.958 .40811E+01 -7.78 7.95 0.07 7.3 0.137E+01 0.89 0.930 .43358E+01 7.89 7.5 0.133E+01 0.92 0.903 .45980E+01 0.08 0.865 .50058E+01 0.09 7.8 0.128E+01 0.96 0.841 8.0 0.124E+01 0.98 .52872E+01 0.09 8.3 0.121E+01 8.5 0.118E+01 0.819 0.798 0.10 1.01 .55764E+01 0.10 1.03 .58732E+01 7.59 8.7 0.115E+01 1.06 0.778 -9.29 0.11 .61778E+01 -9.52 7.53 8.9 0.112E+01 1.09 0.759 .64901E+01 0.11

 0.12
 -9.75
 7.48
 9.1
 0.110E+01
 1.11
 0.740
 .68101E+01

 0.13
 -9.98
 7.43
 9.3
 0.107E+01
 1.14
 0.723
 .71379E+01

 0.13
 -10.22
 7.38
 9.5
 0.105E+01
 1.17
 0.706
 .74735E+01

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 265 of 286

Imber

							moer
0.14	-10.45	7.33	9.7 0.103E+01	1.19	0.690	.78169E+01	
0.15	-10.68	7.28	10.0 0.100E+01	1.22	0.675	.81681E+01	
0 15	-10.02	7 23	10 2 0 9935+00	1 25	0 660	05271E+01	
0.15	-10.92	7.23	10.2 0.985E+00	1.25	0.000	.032/16+01	
0.16	-11.15	/.18	10.4 0.963E+00	1.2/	0.646	.88939E+01	
0.17	-11.38	7.13	10.6 0.944E+00	1.30	0.633	.92686E+01	
0.17	-11.62	7.09	10.8 0.926E+00	1.32	0.620	.96511E+01	
0.18	-11.85	7.04	11.0 0.908E+00	1.35	0.607	10042E+02	
0 10	-12 00	7 00	11 2 0 901E+00	1 20	0 505	104405+02	
0.19	-12.09	7.00	11.2 0.091E+00	1.30	0.595	.104406+02	
0.20	-12.32	6.96	11.4 0.8/5E+00	1.40	0.584	.10846E+02	
0.21	-12.56	6.92	11.6 0.859E+00	1.43	0.573	.11260E+02	
0.21	-12.79	6.88	11.9 0.844E+00	1.46	0.562	.11682E+02	
0.22	-13.03	6.85	12.1 0.829E+00	1.48	0.552	.12112E+02	
0.23	-13 26	6 81	12 3 0 815E+00	1 51	0 542	125500+02	
0.25	12.20	0.01	12.5 0.015100	1.51	0.542	120000102	
0.24	-13.50	6.78	12.5 0.801E+00	1.54	0.532	.12996E+02	
0.25	-13.73	6.75	12.7 0.788E+00	1.56	0.523	.13450E+02	
0.26	-13.97	6.72	12.9 0.775E+00	1.59	0.514	.13912E+02	
0.27	-14.21	6.69	13.1 0.763E+00	1.62	0.505	.14382E+02	
0 28	-14 44	6 66	13 3 0 751E+00	1 64	0 497	14860E+02	
0.20	11.00	6.60	12 5 0 730E 00	1 67	0.190	152460102	
0.29	-14.68	6.64	13.5 0.739E+00	1.0/	0.489	.15346E+UZ	
0.30	-14.92	6.62	13./ U./28E+00	1.70	0.481	.15839E+02	
0.31	-15.16	6.60	13.9 0.717E+00	1.72	0.473	.16341E+02	
0.32	-15.39	6.58	14.2 0.707E+00	1.75	0.466	.16851E+02	
0.33	-15.63	6.57	14.4 0.696E+00	1.77	0.459	.17368E+02	
0.34	-15 87	6 56	14 6 0 686E+00	1 80	0 452	1789/F+02	
0.54	-13.07	0.50	14.0 0.000E+00	1.00	0.452	.1/0946+02	
0.35	-10.11	6.55	14.8 U.6/6E+UU	1.83	0.445	.1842/E+02	
0.36	-16.35	6.54	15.0 0.667E+00	1.85	0.439	.18968E+02	
0.37	-16.58	6.54	15.2 0.657E+00	1.88	0.432	.19517E+02	
0.38	-16.82	6.54	15.4 0.648E+00	1.91	0.426	.20074E+02	
Minimum i	ot hoight	has been	reached				
	17 00			1 0 2	0 401	206205102	
0.39	-17.06	6.54	15.6 U.639E+UU	1.93	0.421	.20638E+02	
0.40	-17.30	6.54	15.9 0.631E+00	1.96	0.415	.21210E+02	
0.42	-17.54	6.55	16.1 0.622E+00	1.99	0.410	.21790E+02	
0.43	-17.78	6.56	16.3 0.614E+00	2.01	0.404	.22378E+02	
0 44	-18 01	6 57	16 5 0 606E+00	2 04	0 399	22972E+02	
0.11	10.01	6 50	16 7 0 5085100	2.01	0.304	225750102	
0.45	-10.23	0.00	18.7 0.3986+00	2.07	0.394	.233/36+02	
0.46	-18.49	6.60	17.0 0.590E+00	2.09	0.389	.24185E+U2	
0.48	-18.73	6.62	17.2 0.582E+00	2.12	0.385	.24802E+02	
0.49	-18.96	6.65	17.4 0.575E+00	2.14	0.380	.25426E+02	
0.50	-19.20	6.67	17.6 0.567E+00	2.17	0.376	.26058E+02	
0 52	-19 44	6 70	17 9 0 560E+00	2 20	0 372	26697E+02	
0.52	10 67	6 73	19 1 0 5525100	2.20	0.372	272425102	
0.55	-19.07	0.73	10.1 0.555E+00	2.22	0.300	.2/3436+02	
0.54	-19.91	6.//	18.3 0.546E+00	2.25	0.364	.2/996E+02	
0.56	-20.14	6.80	18.5 0.539E+00	2.28	0.360	.28657E+02	
0.57	-20.38	6.84	18.8 0.533E+00	2.30	0.356	.29324E+02	
0.58	-20.61	6.89	19.0 0.526E+00	2.33	0.352	.29999E+02	
0 60	-20.85	6 93	19 2 0 520E+00	2 35	0 348	306805+02	
0.00	20.00	6.00	10 5 0 5145100	2.00	0.245	212600102	
0.01	-21.00	0.90	19.5 0.514E+00	2.30	0.343	.313096+02	
0.63	-21.31	1.02	19.7 U.508E+00	2.41	0.341	.32064E+02	
0.64	-21.55	7.07	19.9 0.502E+00	2.43	0.338	.32767E+02	
0.66	-21.78	7.13	20.2 0.496E+00	2.46	0.335	.33476E+02	
0.67	-22.01	7.18	20.4 0.490E+00	2.49	0.331	.34193E+02	
0.69	-22.01	7 22	20.60.1900 + 00	2.19	0 320	3/016E+02	
0.05	22.24	7.23	20.0 0.405E100	2.51	0.520	. 34 9100102	
0.70	-22.4/	1.29	20.9 0.4/9E+00	2.54	0.325	.3564/E+02	
0.72	-22.70	7.35	21.1 0.474E+00	2.56	0.322	.36385E+02	
0.73	-22.93	7.41	21.3 0.469E+00	2.59	0.319	.37130E+02	
0.75	-23.16	7.47	21.5 0.464E+00	2.62	0.316	.37882E+02	
0 76	-23 39	7 53	21.8 0 459E+00	2 64	0 312	38642E+02	
0.70	-72 60	7 50		2.01	0.012	20/100-02	
0./8	-23.02	1.59	22.0 0.405E+00	2.0/	0.309	. J J H L U L + UZ	
0.80	-23.85	1.65	22.2 0.450E+00	2.70	0.306	.40184E+02	
0.81	-24.08	7.71	22.4 0.446E+00	2.72	0.303	.40967E+02	
0.83	-24.31	7.77	22.5 0.443E+00	2.74	0.302	.41361E+02	
Terminal	level in a	stratified	ambient has been	n reache	ed.		
Cumulation	+ravol ++	mo =	41 3617 200	((101 hre		
cumurative	LIAVEI LI		HI.JUI4 SEC	((.or mrs)		
)),			10101		
END OF CORJ	ET (MODI1(): JET/PL	UME NEAR-FIELD M	ixing RE	SGION		

BEGIN MOD137: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTREAM SPREADING

UPSTREAM INTRUSION PROPERTIES: Maximum elevation of jet/plume rise Layer thickness in impingement region Upstream intrusion length X-position of upstream stagnation poin Thickness in intrusion region Half-width at downstream end Thickness at downstream end	= 12.43 m $= 0.69 m$ $= 314.16 m$ $t = -313.33 m$ $= 0.69 m$ $= 681.51 m$ $= 0.67 m$
<pre>In this case, the upstream INTRUSION IS VERY : the local water depth. This may be caused by a very small ambient very combination with large discharge buoyancy. If the ambient conditions are strongly transic CORMIX steady-state predictions of upstream unrealistic. The plume predictions prior to boundary impin will be acceptable, however.</pre>	LARGE, exceeding 10 times locity, perhaps in ent (e.g. tidal), then the intrusion are probably gement and wedge formation
Control volume inflow: X Y Z S C 0.83 -24.31 7.77 22.5 0.443E+00	B TT 2.74 .41361E+02
<pre>Profile definitions: BV = top-hat thickness, measured vertically BH = top-hat half-width, measured horizonta. ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic average (bulk) dilution C = average (bulk) concentration (includes TT = Cumulative travel time</pre>	lly in Y-direction reaction effects, if any)
X Y Z S C -313.33 -24.31 7.77 9999.9 0.000E+00 -300.23 -24.31 7.77 88.1 0.113E+00 -236.05 -24.31 7.77 36.7 0.272E+00 -171.87 -24.31 7.77 28.0 0.357E+00 -107.69 -24.31 7.77 24.4 0.410E+00 -43.51 -24.31 7.77 22.8 0.438E+00 ** REGULATORY MIXING ZONE BOUNDARY is within In this prediction interval the TOTAL plume w	BV BH ZU ZL TT 0.00 0.00 7.77 7.77 .34117E+05 0.18 96.38 7.86 7.69 .41361E+02 0.43 234.11 7.99 7.56 .41361E+02 0.56 316.74 8.05 7.49 .41361E+02 0.64 381.89 8.10 7.45 .41361E+02 0.69 437.44 8.12 7.43 .41361E+02 the Near-Field Region ** ** ** **
the regulatory value = 24.40 m. This is the extent of the REGULATORY MIXING ZC 20.67 -24.31 7.77 22.9 0.437E+00 84.86 -24.31 7.77 28.2 0.355E+00 149.04 -24.31 7.77 36.3 0.275E+00 213.22 -24.31 7.77 43.0 0.232E+00 277.40 -24.31 7.77 46.7 0.214E+00 341.58 -24.31 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 Cumulative travel time = 34116.6953 sec END OF MOD137: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTRE.	DNE. 0.69 486.69 8.12 7.43 .20259E+04 0.69 531.40 8.12 7.43 .84440E+04 0.68 572.63 8.11 7.44 .14862E+05 0.67 611.08 8.11 7.44 .21280E+05 0.67 647.25 8.11 7.44 .27699E+05 0.67 681.51 8.11 7.44 .34117E+05 (9.48 hrs) 9.48 hrs) 7.44 .34117E+05
** End of NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) ** Some BOUNDARY INTERACTION with both banks occu The dilution values in one or more of the p Carefully evaluate results in near-field and Bottom coordinate for FAR-FIELD is determined	urs at end of near-field. receding zones may be too high. check degree of interaction. by average depth, ZFB = -3.00m
BEGIN MOD181: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSS	IBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION
UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION PROPERTIES in bounder Wedge length	d channel (laterally uniform): = 60838.27 m

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 267 of 286 Imber X-Position of wedge tip = -60496.68 m Thickness at discharge (end of NFR) = 3.72 m (Wedge thickness gradually decreases to zero at wedge tip.) In this case, the upstream INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding 10 times the local water depth. This may be caused by a very small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with large discharge buoyancy. If the ambient conditions are strongly transient (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions prior to boundary impingement and wedge formation will be acceptable, however.
 X
 Y
 Z
 S
 C
 BV
 BH

 341.58
 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00

 Cumulative travel time =
 34116.7031
 sec
 (
 9.48
 hrs)
 ZU ZL TΤ 9.63 5.92 .34117E+05 Flow is LATERALLY MIXED over the channel width. END OF MOD181: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION _____ _____ BEGIN MOD162: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT Vertical diffusivity (initial value) = 0.143E-09 m^2/s Horizontal diffusivity (initial value) = 0.545E-02 m^2/s Profile definitions: BV = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) thickness, measured vertically = or equal to layer depth, if fully mixed BH = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) half-width, measured horizontally in Y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Plume Stage 2 (bank attached): С ZU X Y Z S BV BH ZL TΤ 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .34117E+05 341.58 7.00 7.77 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .38775E+05 388.17

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .387/5E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .43434E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .43434E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .48092E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .52750E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .57409E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .62067E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .66726E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .71384E+05

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 24 434.75 481.33 527.92 574.50 621.09 667.67 714.26 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .76042E+05 760.84 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .80701E+05 807.42 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .85359E+05 854.01 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .90018E+05 900.59 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .94676E+05 947.18 993.76 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .99335E+05 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .10399E+06 1040.34 9.63 5.92 .10865E+06 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 1086.93

 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00

 9.63 5.92 .11331E+06 7.00 1133.51
 9.63
 5.92
 .11797E+06

 9.63
 5.92
 .12263E+06

 9.63
 5.92
 .12729E+06
 1180.10 7.00 5.92 .12263E+06 5.92 .12729E+06 7.00 1226.68 7.00 1273.27 5.2 9.63 7.00 5.92 .13194E+06 1319.85 7.00 9.63 5.92 .13660E+06 1366.43

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .13660E+06

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .14126E+06

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .14126E+06

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .14592E+06

 7.00
 7.77
 48.5
 0.206E+00
 3.72
 244.00
 9.63
 5.92
 .15058E+06

 1413.02 1459.60 1506.19 1552.77 7.00 7.77 48.5 0.206E+00 3.72 244.00 9.63 5.92 .15524E+06

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 268 of 286

Imber

1599 36	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	15989E+06
1000.00	7.00		10.0 0.2002.00	0.72	211.00	5.00		.100001000
1645.94	7.00		48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.16455E+06
1692 52	7 00	7 77	18 5 0 2068+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	169215+06
1092.92	1.00	/ • / /	40.5 0.2000100	5.12	244.00	9.05	5.92	.109210100
1739.11	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.17387E+06
1705 60	7 00	7 77	19 5 0 2060 00	2 7 2	244 00	0 62	F 0.2	170520106
1/03.09	1.00	/ • / /	40.5 0.2006+00	5.12	244.00	9.05	5.92	.I/033E+00
1832.28	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.18319E+06
1070 06	7 00	7 77	49 E 0 206EL00	2 7 2	244 00	0 62	F 0.2	10704006
10/0.00	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZU6E+UU	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.10/04E+00
1925.45	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.19250E+06
1000.00				0.70	0.4.4.000	0.00	5.92	100100000
1972.03	/.00	/.//	48.5 U.206E+UU	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.19/16E+06
2018 61	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	20182E+06
2010.01	7.00		10.0 0.2002.00	0.72	211.00	5.00		.201021.00
2065.20	7.00	·/ • / /	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.20648E+06
2111 78	7 00	7 77	18 5 0 2068+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	211145+06
2111.70	1.00	· • / /	40.5 0.2000100	5.72	244.00	5.05	5.52	.211140100
2158.37	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.21580E+06
2204 05	7 00			2 7 2	244 00	0 (2	E 0.0	220455100
2204.95	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.ZZU45E+06
2251.53	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.22511E+06
2200 12	7 00		40 5 0 00 00 00	2 7 2	044 00	0 ()	F 00	000777.00
2298.12	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.229//E+U6
2344 70	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	23443E+06
				0.72	211.00			
2391.29	/.00	/.//	48.5 0.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.23909E+06
2437 87	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	24375E+06
210,.07	7.00		10.0 0.2002.00	0.72	211.00	5.00		.213/01/00
2484.46	/.00	/.//	48.5 0.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.24840E+06
2531 04	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	25306E+06
2001.01	7.00	, . , ,	10.5 0.2001.00	5.72	211.00	2.05	5.52	.20000000
2577.62	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.25772E+06
2624 21	7 00	7 77	49 5 0 2068+00	2 7 2	244 00	0 63	5 02	262395+06
2024.21	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZUOE+UU	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.202306+00
2670.79	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.26704E+06
0717 00	7 00			2 7 2	244 00	0 (2	E 0.0	271700.00
2/1/.38	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.Z/I/UE+06
2763.96	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.27635E+06
0010 55	7.00		10.0 0.2002:00	0.70	044.00	0.00	5.00	
2810.55	/.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.28101E+06
2857 13	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	28567E+06
2007.10	7.00		10.0 0.2002:00	0.72	211.00	5.00		.2000/1100
2903.71	7.00		48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.29033E+06
2950 30	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 2068+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	294995+06
2930.30	7.00	/ • / /	40.5 0.2000100	5.72	244.00	5.05	5.52	.294990100
2996.88	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.29965E+06
3043 47	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 2068+00	3 7 2	244 00	9 63	5 92	30/31 -
5045.47	1.00	/ • / /	40.5 0.2000100	5.12	244.00	9.05	5.92	·204210100
3090.05	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.30896E+06
2126 64	7 00	7 77	49 E 0 206EL00	2 7 2	244 00	0 62	F 0.2	21262000
3130.04	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZUOE+UU	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.31302E+00
3183.22	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.31828E+06
2220 00	7 00	7 77	49 E 0 206E 00	2 7 2	244 00	0 62	F 0.2	22204 106
3229.00	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZUOE+UU	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.322946+06
3276.39	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.32760E+06
2222 07	7 00	7 77	40 E 0 20(TL00	2 7 2	244 00	0 ()	F 0.2	22226106
3322.91	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZU6E+UU	3.12	244.00	9.03	5.92	.33220E+00
3369.56	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.33691E+06
2416 14	7 00	7 77		2 7 2	244 00	0 (2	F 00	241577.00
3416.14	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.3415/E+U6
3462.72	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.34623E+06
2500 21	7 00			2 7 2	244 00	0 (2	E 0.0	250007100
3509.31	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.35089E+06
3555.89	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.35555E+06
2602 40	7 00		40 5 0 00 (10 00	2 7 2	044 00	0 ()	F 00	260217106
3602.48	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.36UZIE+U6
3649.06	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.36486E+06
	7 00			0 70	044 00	0 00	5 00	0.00000000
3695.65	1.00	/ • / /	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	244.00	9.63	5.92	.36952E+U6
3742.23	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.37418E+06
0700 01	7 00			0 70	044 00	0 00	5 00	070047.00
J/88.81	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZU6E+UU	3.12	∠44.00	9.03	5.92	.3/884E+U6
3835.40	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.38350E+06
2001 00	7 00			2 7 2		0 00		2001 (= : 0 (
3881.98	1.00	1.11	48.5 U.206E+00	3.12	∠44.00	9.63	5.92	.388165+06
3928 57	7.00	7.77	48.5 0 2068+00	3.72	244 00	9.63	5 92	39282E+06
3920.37	7.00	, . , ,	10.5 0.2001.00	5.72	211.00	2.05	5.52	
3975.15	7.00		48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.39747E+06
4021 74	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 206E+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	40213E+06
1021.71	7.00	· · · ·	10.5 0.2001.00	5.72	211.00	2.05	5.52	. 102151-00
4068.32	7.00		48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.40679E+06
4114 90	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 2065+00	3 72	244 00	9 63	5 92	411458+06
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· • / /	10.J U.ZUUETUU	5.12	277.00	2.05	5.92	•
4161.49	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.41611E+06
4208 07	7 00	7 77	48 5 0 2068+00	3 70	244 00	9 63	5 92	420775+06
7200.07		· • / /	-0.J U.ZUOLTUU	5.12	277.00	2.05	5.92	· J C O I I D T O O
4254.66	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.42542E+06
1301 24	7 00	7 77	18 5 0 2060,00	3 70	211 00	0 63	5 00	1300000000
7301.24	1.00	/ • / /	-0.J U.ZU0E+UU	5.12	244.00	5.00	J.92	. 40000400
4347.82	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.43474E+06
1201 11	7 00	7 77	10 5 0 2000000	2 70	211 00	0 60	5 00	130100000
	1.00	· • / /	-0.J U.ZUDE+UU	5.12	244.00	2.02	5.92	.405406+00
4440.99	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.44406E+06
1107 50	7 00	7 77		3 7 7	244 00	0 62	5 00	110700-00
440/.00	1.00	1.11	40.J U.ZUOE+UU	3.12	∠44.00	2.03	5.92	.440/ZE+U0
4534.16	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.45337E+06
1500 71	7 00	7 77		3 7 7	244 00	0 62	5 00	150020100
400./4	1.00	/ • / /	40.0 U.ZU0E+UU	3.12	244.00	2.03	5.92	.400038+00
4627.33	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92	.46269E+06
1672 01	7 00			2 7 2	244 00	0 6 2	E 00	167250100
10/J.91	1.00	/ • / /	40.J U.ZUOE+UU	3.12	244.UU	2.03	5.92	.40/30E+U0

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 269 of 286

Imber

4720.50	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .47201E+00	б
4767.08	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .47667E+00	б
4813.66	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .48132E+00	б
4860.25	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .48598E+00	6
4906.83	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .49064E+06	6
4953.42	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .49530E+00	6
5000.00	7.00	7.77	48.5 0.206E+00	3.72	244.00	9.63	5.92 .49996E+00	6
Cumulative	travel ti	me =	499958.4375 sec	(138	8.88 hrs)			

Note:

CORMIX is a steady state model and assumes discharge and ambient conditions do not vary over time. The predicted plume cumulative travel time exceeds 48 hours at this trajectory distance. Keep in mind that ambient and discharge conditions are likely to vary over large space and time scales. Predictions at such large space and time scales may be inconsistent with CORMIX modeling assumptions.

Please carefully evaluate your simulation results and limit model interpretation to space and time scales consistent with steady state assumptions and ambient schematization.

Simulation limit based on maximum specified distance = 5000.00 m. This is the REGION OF INTEREST limitation.

END OF MOD162: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT

CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges	End of Prediction File
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111

CORMIX SESSION, PROCESSING, AND PREDICTION FILES – Single Port; 1.0 cfs Discharge

Date: 07/30/18 Time: 10:03:46 Design Case: Location 1; single port Site Name: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Prepared By: DWI Project Notes: Location 1 is conceptual location along main lake bank Single diffuser 10-ft submergence of ports linear ambient stratification VALIDATING INPUT DATA ... Checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs... Effluent Page has been validated. Ambient Page has been validated. Discharge Page has been validated. Mixing Zone Page has been validated. Finished checking Pages for invalid or missing inputs. Loading Correct RuleBases Validating RuleBases ... AMBIENT DATA: Ambient flowrate = $43.920 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Equivalent Darcy-Weisbach friction factor = 0.007 Ambient surface density = 995.9449 kg/m^3. Ambient bottom density = 997.7714 kg/m^3. The ambient DENSITY PROFILE you have specified is DYNAMICALLY STABLE in the presence of the given ambient crossflow. (This has been checked with a FLUX RICHARDSON NUMBER CRITERION). Ambient Rule Base has been validated. DISCHARGE DATA: CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges Port cross-sectional area $A0 = 0.014 \text{ m}^2$. Discharge flowrate $Q0 = 0.187 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Discharge velocity U0 = 13.452 m/s. Note: Discharge Velocity (U0) < 2.5 m/s may in some cases be recommended to avoid possible adverse conditions for sensitive fish populations. This is a Slightly Submerged or Above Surface Discharge, where the height of the discharge port (H0 = 10 m) and the local ambient water depth (HD = 15 m).

The submergence of the port below the water surface is SUB0 = 5 m.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 271 of 286 Imber

Discharge density RHOO = 996.2338 kg/m^3.

Discharge Rules for CORMIX1 have been validated

MIXING ZONE SPECIFICATION:

REGULATORY MIXING ZONE (RMZ) Specifications:

In general practice, there are two possible interpretations for the RMZ:

Interpretation 1: The RMZ is a spatially defined (by State/Federal agencies) restricted region at whose boundary a specified water quality standard for conventional pollutants - or the CCC for toxic pollutants - has to be met.

Interpretation 2: The applicant or the State/Federal agency may propose on an ad-hoc basis an RMZ as that region at whose boundary a water quality standard - or CCC - has been demonstrated to be met. That demonstration is usually made by means of a mixing zone prediction.

CORMIX will evaluate the RMZ conditions on the basis of both interpretations.

Mixing Zones Rule Base has been validated.

Finished validating RuleBases.

Calculating Parameters.

FLOW PARAMETERS AND LENGTH SCALES:

Relative density differences between discharge and ambient:

Ambient density at the discharge level RHOAHO = 996.5537 kg/m^3. Vertical mean ambient density RHOAM = 996.8581 kg/m^3.

The effluent density (996.2338 kg/m^3) is less than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level (996.5537 kg/m^3).

Therefore, the effluent is POSITIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to rise towards the surface.

Flow bulk parameters:

Discharge volume flux Q0 = $0.18689 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$. Discharge momentum flux M0 = $2.51411 \text{ m}^4/\text{s}^2$.

Discharge buoyancy flux $JO = 0.000588 \text{ m}^4/\text{s}^3$.

Flow length scales:

Jet-to-crossflow length scale Lm = 158.56 m.

Plume-to-crossflow length scale Lb = 588.38 m.

Discharge length scale LQ = 0.1179 m.

Jet-to-plume transition length scale LM = 82.31 m.

Jet stratification length scale Lm' = 6.77 m. Plume stratification length scale Lb' = 1.94 m.

Non-dimensional parameters:

Densimetric Froude number FR0 = 657.41 Jet/crossflow velocity ratio R = 1345.23

Parameters for CORMIX1 have been calculated

Classifying Flows.

FLOW CLASSIFICATION:

CORMIX1 includes SIX MAJOR CLASSES of possible flow configurations: Classes S, IS : Flows trapped in a layer within linear stratification. Classes V, H : Near Bottom, Positively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes IV, IH : Near Surface, Negatively buoyant flows in a uniform density layer. Classes NV, NH : Near Bottom, Negatively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes IPV, IPH: Near Surface, Positively buoyant flows in uniform density layer. Classes A, AI : Flows affected by dynamic bottom or surface attachment. The NEAR FIELD FLOW will have the following features: If flow trapping occurs, then the flow is jet-like and is strongly affected by the ambient density stratification with a weak crossflow effect (if any). Terminal Height Level Zt = -2.60The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow may be trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer. The discharge near-field behavior is dominated by either the negative buoyancy of the discharge or the downward vertical orientation of the discharge port. There is the possibility of dynamic surface attachment. The following conclusion on the NEAR-FIELD FLOW CONFIGURATION applies to a layer corresponding to the FULL WATER DEPTH at the discharge site: *** FLOW CLASS = IS3 *** Applicable layer depth HS = 15 m. *** Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 236.0 *** Flow has been classified. Executing the simulation ... FORTRAN simulation complete. Generating Session Report... Session Report complete.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 273 of 286 Imber

CORMIX SESSION REPORT: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO1:Version-10.0.1.0 April,2017 SITE NAME/LABEL: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK DESIGN CASE: Location 1; single port FILE NAME: D:\LG&E KU Brown\CORMIX\EW BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE SINGLE PORT DIFFUSER.prd Using subsystem CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges 07/30/2018--10:03:46 Start of session: SUMMARY OF INPUT DATA: _____ _____ AMBIENT PARAMETERS: = boundedBS = 244 m ICHREG = 1 QA = 43.92 m^3/s HA = 18 m Cross-section = bounded Width Channel regularity Ambient flowrate Average depth Ambient flowrateQA= 43.92 m^3Average depthHA= 18 mDepth at dischargeHD= 15 mAmbient velocityUA= 0.01 m/sDarcy-Weisbach friction factorF= 0.0067Calculated from Manning's n= 0.015Wind velocityUW= 1.34 m/sStratification TypeSTRCND= ASurface temperature= 29 degCBottom temperature= 22 degC Bottom temperature = 22 degC Calculated FRESH-WATER DENSITY values: Surface density RHOAS = 995.9449 kg/m^3 Bottom density RHOAB = 997.7714 kg/m^3 _____ DISCHARGE PARAMETERS: Single Port Discharge = left Nearest bank= leftDistance to bankDISTB= 7 mPort diameterD0= 0.133 mPort cross-sectional areaA0= 0.0139 m^2Discharge velocityU0= 13.45 m/sDischarge flowrateQ0= 0.186891 m^3/sDischarge port heightH0= 10 mVertical discharge angleTHETA= -15 degHorizontal discharge angleSIGMA= 270 degDischarge termenture (freshwater)= 28 degC Nearest bank Horizontal discharge angleSIGMA= 2/0 degDischarge temperature (freshwater)= 28 degCCorresponding densityRHO0= 996.2338 kg/m^3Density differenceDRHO= 0.3199 kg/m^3Buoyant accelerationGPO= 0.0031 m/s^2Discharge concentrationCO= 10 ppmSurface heat exchange coeff.KS= 0 m/sCoefficient of decayKD= 0 /s _____ DISCHARGE/ENVIRONMENT LENGTH SCALES: LQ = 0.12 m Lm = 158.56 m Lb = 588.38LM = 82.31 m Lm' = 6.77 m Lb' = 1.94 mLb = 588.38 m _____ NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS: Port densimetric Froude number FR0 = 657.41 Velocity ratio R = 1345.23 _____ MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION ZONE / AREA OF INTEREST PARAMETERS: Water quality standard specified = ves Water quality standard specified = ves = yes Water quality standard CSTD = 5 ppm Regulatory mixing zone = yes Regulatory mixing zone specification = width Regulatory mixing zone value $= 24.40 \text{ m} (\text{m}^2 \text{ if area})$ Region of interest = 5000 m*****

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 274 of 286 Imber

HYDRODYNAMIC CLASSIFICATION: *_____* | FLOW CLASS = IS3 | *_____* This flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the linearly stratified density layer at the discharge site. Applicable layer depth = water depth = 15 m Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 236.0MIXING ZONE EVALUATION (hydrodynamic and regulatory summary): _____ X-Y-Z Coordinate system: Origin is located at the BOTTOM below the port/diffuser center: 7 m from the left bank/shore. Number of display steps NSTEP = 100 per module. _____ NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) CONDITIONS : Note: The NFR is the zone of strong initial mixing. It has no regulatory implication. However, this information may be useful for the discharge designer because the mixing in the NFR is usually sensitive to the discharge design conditions. Pollutant concentration at NFR edge c = 0.1049 ppmDilution at edge of NFR s = 95.3 x = 591.76 mNFR Location: y = -29.93 m(centerline coordinates) z = 7.11 mNFR plume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 1181.85 m thickness (bv) = 0.75 mCumulative travel time: 59133.7539 sec. _____ Buoyancy assessment: The effluent density is less than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level. Therefore, the effluent is POSITIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to rise towards the surface. _____ Stratification assessment: The specified ambient density stratification is dynamically important. The discharge near field flow is trapped within the linearly stratified ambient density layer. _____ UPSTREAM INTRUSION SUMMARY: Plume exhibits upstream intrusion due to low ambient velocity or strong discharge buoyancy. Intrusion length = 538.14 m = -537.30 m Intrusion stagnation point = 0.69 mIntrusion thickness Intrusion half width at impingement = 1181.85 m Intrusion half thickness at impingement = 0.75 mIn this case, the UPSTREAM INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding ten (10) times the local water depth. This may be caused by the small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with the strong buoyancy of the effluent, or alternatively, a strong ambient stratification. If the ambient conditions are quite unsteady (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of the upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions in the immediate near-field, prior to the intrusion layer formation, are acceptable, however. _____ FAR-FIELD MIXING SUMMARY: Plume becomes laterally fully mixed at 0 m downstream. No TDZ was specified for this simulation.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 275 of 286 Imber

The plume conditions at the boundary of the specified RMZ are as follows: c = 0.249742 ppm Pollutant concentration s = 39.8 Corresponding dilution x = -1039.05 mPlume location: (centerline coordinates) y = -29.93 mz = 7.11 mhalf-width (bh) = 12.20 m Plume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 0.61 mCumulative travel time < 59133.7539 sec. (RMZ is within NFR) Note: Plume concentration c and dilution s values are reported based on prediction file values - assuming linear interpolation between predicted points just before and just after the RMZ boundary has been detected. Please ensure a small step size is used in the prediction file to account for this linear interpolation. Step size can be controlled by increasing (reduces the prediction step size) or decreasing (increases the prediction step size) the - Output Steps per Module - in CORMIX input. At this position, the plume is NOT IN CONTACT with any bank. Furthermore, the specified water quality standard has indeed been met within the RMZ. In particular: The ambient water quality standard was encountered at the following plume position: = 5 ppm Water quality standard s = 2.0Corresponding dilution x = 0.00 mPlume location: y = -1.34 m (centerline coordinates) z = 9.64 mhalf-width (bh) = 0.17 mPlume dimension: _____ Regulatory Mixing Zone Analysis: The RMZ specification occurs before the near-field mixing regime (NFR) has been completed. The specification of the RMZ is highly restrictive. ********************* FINAL DESIGN ADVICE AND COMMENTS ************************** REMINDER: The user must take note that HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING by any known technique is NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE. Extensive comparison with field and laboratory data has shown that the CORMIX predictions on dilutions and concentrations (with associated plume geometries) are reliable for the majority of cases and are accurate to within about +-50% (standard deviation). As a further safeguard, CORMIX will not give predictions whenever it judges the design configuration as highly complex and uncertain for prediction.

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 276 of 286 Imber CORMIX1 PREDICTION FILE: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM Subsystem CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges CORMIX Version 10.0GT HYDRO1 Version 10.0.1.0 April 2017 _____ _____ CASE DESCRIPTION Site name/label: EW BROWN - HERRINGTON LK Design case: Location 1; single port FILE NAME: D:\...BROWN HERRINGTON IN-LAKE SINGLE PORT DIFFUSER.prd Time stamp: 07/30/2018--10:03:46 ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS (metric units) Bounded section BS = 244.00 AS = 4392.00 QA = 43.92 ICHREG= 1 $\begin{array}{rcl} HA & = & 18.00 & HD & = & 152.00 & gH & 157.52 \\ HA & = & 18.00 & HD & = & 15.00 \\ UA & = & 0.010 & F & = & 0.007 & USTAR = 0.2903E-03 \\ UW & = & 1.341 & UWSTAR=0.1449E-02 \end{array}$ Density stratified environment STRCND= A RHOAM = 996.8582 RHOAS = 995.9449 RHOAB = 997.7714 RHOAHO= 996.5537 E =0.1197E-02 DISCHARGE PARAMETERS (metric units) $\begin{array}{rcl} \text{BANK} &= & \text{LEFT} & \text{DISTB} &= & 7.00 \\ \text{D0} &= & 0.133 \text{ A0} &= & 0.014 \text{ H} \\ \text{THETA} &= & -15.00 \text{ SIGMA} &= & 270.00 \\ \text{U0} &= & 13.452 \text{ Q0} &= & 0.187 \end{array}$ 0.014 H0 = 10.00 SUB0 = 5.00 =0.1869E+00

 RHO0
 =
 996.2338
 DRHO0
 =0.3199E+00
 GP0
 =0.3148E-02

 C0
 =0.1000E+02
 CUNITS=
 ppm

 IPOLL
 =
 1
 KS
 =0.0000E+00
 KD
 =0.0000E+00

 FLUX VARIABLES (metric units) Q0 =0.1869E+00 M0 =0.2514E+01 J0 =0.5884E-03 SIGNJ0= 1.0 Associated length scales (meters) LQ = 0.12 LM = 82.31 Lm = 158.56 Lb = 588.38Lmp = 6.77 Lbp = 1.94 NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS FR0 = 657.41 R = 1345.23 FLOW CLASSIFICATION 1 Flow class (CORMIX1) = IS3 1 1 Applicable layer depth HS = 15.00 1 1 Limiting Dilution S =QA/Q0= 236.00 1 MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION / REGION OF INTEREST PARAMETERS C0 =0.1000E+02 CUNITS= ppm NTOX = 0NSTD = 1 CSTD =0.5000E+01 REGMZ = 1 REGSPC= 2 XREG = 0.00 WREG = 24.40 AREG = 0.00 XINT = 5000.00 XMAX = 5000.00 X-Y-Z COORDINATE SYSTEM: ORIGIN is located at the bottom and below the center of the port: 7.00 m from the LEFT bank/shore. X-axis points downstream, Y-axis points to left, Z-axis points upward. NSTEP = 100 display intervals per module _____ BEGIN MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE Y Z S C B UC TT Х

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 277 of 286 Imber 0.00 0.00 10.00 1.0 0.100E+02 0.07 13.452 .00000E+00 END OF MOD101: DISCHARGE MODULE _____ _____ BEGIN CORJET (MOD110): JET/PLUME NEAR-FIELD MIXING REGION Jet-like motion in linear stratification with weak crossflow. -15.00 SIGMAE= 270.02 THETAE= Zone of flow establishment: LE = 0.66 XE = 0.00 YE = -0.64 ZE =9.83 Profile definitions: B = Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width, normal to trajectory S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) Uc = Local centerline excess velocity (above ambient) TT = Cumulative travel time Uc Х Y 7. S С В TΤ Y Z S C B UC TT 0.00 10.00 1.0 0.100E+02 0.07 13.452 .00000E+00 0.00
 0.00
 -0.64
 9.83
 1.0
 0.100E+02
 0.08
 13.452
 .74036E-02

 0.00
 -0.87
 9.77
 1.3
 0.756E+01
 0.11
 12.003
 .25835E-01

 0.00
 -1.21
 9.68
 1.8
 0.552E+01
 0.14
 8.768
 .62541E-01
 WATER QUALITY STANDARD OR CCC HAS BEEN FOUND The pollutant concentration in the plume falls below water quality standard or CCC value of 0.500E+01 in the current prediction interval. This is the spatial extent of concentrations exceeding the water quality standard or CCC value. -1.449.612.10.468E+010.177.432.93053E-01-1.789.522.60.381E+010.216.050.14788E+00-2.019.463.00.339E+010.245.382.19047E+00-2.359.373.40.291E+010.274.618.26342E+00-2.589.313.80.266E+010.304.218.31809E+00-2.929.224.30.235E+010.343.734.40916E+00 7.432 .93053E-01 0.00 -1.44 9.61 2.1 0.468E+01 0.17 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01 -3.15 9.16 4.6 0.218E+01 0.36 3.468 .47592E+00 0.01 -3.49 9.07 5.1 0.197E+01 0.40 3.134 .58512E+00 -6.68 8.22 9.6 0.104E+01 0.77 1.649 .21291E+01 0.04 -7.02 8.13 10.1 0.989E+00 0.81 1.569 .23509E+01 0.04 -7.25 8.07 10.4 0.959E+00 0.83 1.520 0.04 .25048E+01 -7.59 7.98 10.9 0.916E+00 0.87 1.452 .27447E+01 0.05 0.05 -7.82 7.92 11.2 0.889E+00 0.90 1.409 .29107E+01 0.06 -8.16 7.83 11.7 0.853E+00 0.94 1.350 .31689E+01 0.06 -8.39 7.77 12.1 0.830E+00 0.96 1.314 .33471E+01 -8.73 7.68 12.5 0.797E+00 1.00 1.262 .36235E+01 0.06 -8.96 7.62 12.9 0.777E+00 1.03 1.230 .38139E+01 0.07 1.07 1.10 1.143 1.116 7.54 13.3 0.749E+00 1.07 -9.30 .41086E+01 0.07 7.4513.80.723E+001.107.3914.20.706E+001.137.3114.60.683E+001.17 .44143E+01 0.08 -9.64 .46242E+01 0.08 -9.87 1.079 .49482E+01 -10.21 0.09 7.25 15.0 0.668E+00 1.20 1.055 -10.44 .51704E+01 0.09 -10.79 7.17 15.4 0.647E+00 1.23 1.021 0.10 .55128E+01 -11.02 7.11 15.8 0.634E+00 1.26 1.000 .57473E+01 0.11 -11.36 7.03 16.3 0.615E+00 1.30 0.970 .61082E+01 0.11 0.12 -11.59 6.98 16.6 0.603E+00 1.33 0.950 .63550E+01 0.12 -11.93 6.90 17.1 0.586E+00 1.36 0.923 .67344E+01 0.13 -12.28 6.82 17.5 0.570E+00 1.40 0.897 .71250E+01

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 278 of 286

Imber

0.14	-12.51	6.76	17.9 0.560E+00	1.43	0.880	.73916E+01
0.15	-12.85	6.69	18.3 0.545E+00	1.47	0.856	.78008E+01
0.15	-13.08	6.64	18.7 0.536E+00	1.49	0.841	.80798E+01
0 16	-13 43	6 56	$19 \ 1 \ 0 \ 523E+00$	1 53	0 819	85077E+01
0.10	12.45	0.50	10 4 0 5140,00	1 50	0.015	070020101
0.17	-13.66	6.52	19.4 0.514E+00	1.56	0.805	.8/992E+UI
0.17	-14.01	6.44	19.9 0.502E+00	1.60	0.785	.92459E+01
0.18	-14.24	6.40	20.2 0.494E+00	1.63	0.772	.95499E+01
0.19	-14.58	6.33	20.7 0.483E+00	1.66	0.753	.10015E+02
0 20	-14 82	6 29	$21 \ 0 \ 0 \ 475E+00$	1 69	0 741	10332E+02
0.20	-15 16	6.22	21.0 0.1700 000	1 73	0 724	10017E+02
0.21	-13.10	0.22	21.5 0.4056+00	1.75	0.724	.1001/E+02
0.22	-15.51	6.16	22.0 0.455E+00	1.//	0.707	.11312E+02
0.22	-15.74	6.12	22.3 0.448E+00	1.80	0.697	.11649E+02
0.23	-16.09	6.07	22.8 0.439E+00	1.83	0.681	.12164E+02
0.24	-16.33	6.03	23.1 0.433E+00	1.86	0.672	.12514E+02
0 25	-16 68	5 98	23 6 0 425E+00	1 90	0 657	13048E+02
0.20	16 01	5.90	23.0 0.1200	1 02	0.639	12410E+02
0.20	-10.91	5.95	23.9 0.4195+00	1.95	0.640	.134106+02
0.27	-1/.26	5.90	24.3 0.411E+00	1.97	0.635	.13963E+UZ
0.28	-17.49	5.87	24.7 0.406E+00	1.99	0.626	.14338E+02
0.29	-17.84	5.83	25.1 0.398E+00	2.03	0.614	.14910E+02
0.30	-18.20	5.79	25.6 0.391E+00	2.07	0.602	.1.5494E+02
0.31	_18 /3	5 77	25 9 0 3868+00	2 10	0 594	15880E+02
0.01	10.45	5.77	25.9 0.3000100	2.10	0.594	16400102
0.32	-18./8	5./4	26.4 U.3/9E+UU	2.14	0.583	.16492E+02
0.33	-19.02	5.72	26.7 0.374E+00	2.16	0.575	.16900E+02
0.34	-19.37	5.70	27.2 0.368E+00	2.20	0.565	.17522E+02
0.35	-19.60	5.69	27.5 0.364E+00	2.23	0.558	.17942E+02
0.36	-19.96	5 67	28 0 0 357E+00	2 27	0 548	18583E+02
0.50	20 10	5.07	20.0 0.0070100	2.27	0.540	100100102
0.37	-20.19	5.00	28.3 0.353E+00	2.29	0.542	.19016E+02
0.38	-20.55	5.65	28.8 0.348E+00	2.33	0.533	.19676E+02
0.39	-20.78	5.65	29.1 0.344E+00	2.36	0.527	.20122E+02
Minimum je	et height	has been	reached.			
0.41	-21.14	5.65	29.6 0.338E+00	2.40	0.518	.20800E+02
0 42	-21 49	5 65	30 1 0 333E+00	2 44	0 510	21489E+02
0.42	21.12	5.05	30.1 0.330E+00	2.11	0.510	210540-02
0.43	-21.72	5.00	30.4 0.329E+00	2.40	0.505	.21954E+UZ
0.45	-22.08	5.67	30.9 0.324E+00	2.50	0.497	.22661E+02
0.46	-22.31	5.68	31.2 0.320E+00	2.53	0.492	.23139E+02
0.47	-22.67	5.70	31.7 0.315E+00	2.57	0.485	.23864E+02
0.48	-22.90	5.72	32.0 0.312E+00	2.59	0.480	24354E+02
0 50	-23 25	5 75	32 5 0 307E+00	2 63	0 473	250070+02
0.50	23.23	5.75	32.9 0.30/ET00	2.05	0.475	250971102
0.51	-23.49	5.//	32.9 U.3U4E+UU	2.66	0.469	.25598E+UZ
0.52	-23.84	5.81	33.4 0.300E+00	2.69	0.462	.26359E+02
0.54	-24.19	5.85	33.9 0.295E+00	2.73	0.456	.27129E+02
0.55	-24.42	5.89	34.2 0.292E+00	2.76	0.452	.27649E+02
0.56	-24.77	5.94	34.8 0.288E+00	2.80	0.446	.28437E+02
0 58	-25 00	5 97	35 1 0 2850+00	2 82	0 113	289685+02
0.50	-23.00	5.97	35.1 0.285E+00	2.02	0.443	.209006+02
0.59	-25.35	6.03	35.6 U.281E+UU	2.86	0.437	.29//3E+UZ
0.60	-25.58	6.07	36.0 0.278E+00	2.89	0.433	.30315E+02
0.62	-25.93	6.14	36.5 0.274E+00	2.93	0.428	.31137E+02
0.63	-26.16	6.18	36.8 0.271E+00	2.95	0.425	.31690E+02
0.65	-26.51	6.25	37.4 0.268E+00	2.99	0.420	.32528E+02
0.66	-26.74	6.30	37 7 0 2655+00	3 0 2	0.116	33003E+03
0.00	-20.74	0.30	37.7 0.203E+00	3.02	0.410	.330936+02
0.68	-27.08	6.38	38.2 U.262E+UU	3.06	0.411	.33948E+UZ
0.70	-27.43	6.46	38.8 0.258E+00	3.09	0.407	.34813E+02
0.71	-27.66	6.51	39.1 0.256E+00	3.12	0.403	.35395E+02
0.73	-28.00	6.60	39.6 0.252E+00	3.16	0.399	.36278E+02
0 74	-28 23	6 66	40 0 0 250E+00	3 1 9	0 396	36871 E+02
0.71	20.23	6 74	10.0 0.200E+00	2 22	0.301	27770EL02
0./0	-20.3/	0./4		J.ZZ	0.391	· J / / / UL+UZ
0.77	-28.80	6.80	40.8 0.245E+00	3.25	0.388	.383/6E+02
0.79	-29.14	6.89	41.3 0.242E+00	3.29	0.384	.39293E+02
0.80	-29.37	6.95	41.7 0.240E+00	3.32	0.381	.39910E+02
0.82	-29.71	7.04	42.2 0.237E+00	3.35	0.376	.40844E+02
0.84	-29 93	7 11	42 5 0 2358+00	3 3 2	0 373	414748+02
U.U4 Torminal 1	27.95	/ • ± ±	ambiont has here	roache	J.J.J	•
Terminar 1	ever In S	stratified	anurent nas been	reacine	0.1 1 \	
cumulative	uravel t	riue =	41.4/36 sec	(0	.ui nrs)	
		·				
END OF CORJE	"1' (MOD11)	J): JET/PL	UME NEAR-FIELD MIX	XING RE	GION	

BEGIN MOD137: TERMINAL LAYER INJECTION/UPSTREAM SPREADING

UPSTREAM IN Maxi Laye Upst X-po Thic Half Thic	TRUSION P mum elevat er thicknes ream intrr sition of kness in : -width at kness at o	ROPERTIE tion of ss in im usion le upstrea .ntrusio downstre lownstre	S: jet/plum pingemen ength m stagna on region eam end am end	e rise t region tion poin	= = t = - = 1 =	12.86 m 0.69 m 538.14 m 537.30 m 0.69 m 181.85 m 0.75 m				
In this cas the local This may be combinati If the ambi CORMIX st	water dep water dep caused by on with la ent condit ceady-state	stream I oth. 7 a very arge dis zions ar 2 predic	NTRUSION small a charge b e strong tions of	IS VERY mbient ve uoyancy. ly transi upstream	LARGE, locity, ent (e. intrus	<pre>exceeding i perhaps in g. tidal), ion are pro</pre>	l0 times h then the bbably	e		
unrealist The plume p will be a	cic. predictions acceptable,	s prior howeve	to bound r.	ary impin	gement	and wedge i	formatio	n		
Control vo X 0.84	lume inflo Y -29.93	DW: Z 7.11	S 42.5 0	C .235E+00	В 3.38	TT.41474E+02				
Profile def BV = top- BH = top- ZU = uppe ZL = lowe S = hydr C = aver TT = Cumu	finitions: that thickny that half-v er plume bo codynamic a cage (bulk) clative tra	ness, me width, m bundary bundary average concen avel tim	easured v leasured (Z-coord (Z-coord (bulk) d tration le	ertically horizonta inate) inate) ilution (includes	lly in reacti	Y-direction on effects,	n . if any)		
X -537.30 -514.72 -404.07 -293.43 -182.78 -72.13 ** REGULATC In this pre	Y -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 PRY MIXING ediction in	Z 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 ZONE BC Dterval	S 9999.9 0 165.6 0 69.0 0 52.6 0 45.8 0 43.0 0 DUNDARY i the TOTA	C .000E+00 .604E-01 .145E+00 .190E+00 .218E+00 .233E+00 s within L plume w	BV 0.00 0.18 0.43 0.56 0.64 0.69 the Nea idth me	BH 0.00 167.14 405.98 549.27 662.26 758.59 r-Field Reg ets or exce	ZU 7.11 7.19 7.32 7.39 7.43 7.45 gion **	ZL 7.11 7.02 6.89 6.83 6.78 6.76	TT .59134E+05 .41474E+02 .41474E+02 .41474E+02 .41474E+02 .41474E+02	
the regulat This is the	ory value e extent of	= 24 E the RE	.40 m. GULATORY	MIXING Z	ONE.					
38.52 149.17 259.81 370.46 481.11 591.76 Cumulative	-29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 -29.93 travel tim	7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11 7.11	43.3 0 54.3 0 70.8 0 84.3 0 91.6 0 95.3 0 59133	.231E+00 .184E+00 .141E+00 .119E+00 .109E+00 .105E+00 .7461 sec	0.69 0.71 0.73 0.74 0.75 0.75 (1	844.01 921.54 993.03 1059.71 1122.44 1181.85 6.43 hrs)	7.45 7.46 7.47 7.48 7.48 7.48	6.76 6.75 6.74 6.73 6.73 6.73	.38097E+04 .14875E+05 .25939E+05 .37004E+05 .48069E+05 .59134E+05	
END OF MOD13	7: TERMINA	AL LAYER	. INJECTI	ON/UPSTRE.	AM SPRE	ADING				
** End of NE Some BOUNDA The dilut Carefully e Bottom coor	CAR-FIELD H ARY INTERAC tion values evaluate re cdinate for	REGION (CTION wi s in one esults i c FAR-FI	NFR) ** th both or more n near-f ELD is d	banks occ of the p ield and etermined	urs at recedin check d by ave	end of near g zones may egree of in rage depth,	r-field. y be too hteractio ZFB =	high. on. -3.00m		
BEGIN MOD181	: MIXED PI	LUME/BOU	NDED CHA	NNEL/POSS	IBLE UP	STREAM WEDO	GE INTRU	SION		
An INTERNAL	UPSTREAM	INTRUDI	NG WEDGE	is forme	d.					
UPSTREAM WE Wedg	DGE INTRUS ge length	SION PRO	PERTIES	in bounde	d chann = 46	el (latera) 0664.75 m	lly unif	orm):		

Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 280 of 286 Imber X-Position of wedge tip = -460073.00 mThickness at discharge (end of NFR) = 7.30 m (Wedge thickness gradually decreases to zero at wedge tip.) In this case, the upstream INTRUSION IS VERY LARGE, exceeding 10 times the local water depth. This may be caused by a very small ambient velocity, perhaps in combination with large discharge buoyancy. If the ambient conditions are strongly transient (e.g. tidal), then the CORMIX steady-state predictions of upstream intrusion are probably unrealistic. The plume predictions prior to boundary impingement and wedge formation will be acceptable, however. ZU Y S C BV BH ZL Ζ TT Х 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .59134E+05 7.00 7.11 591.76 Cumulative travel time = 59133.7539 sec (16.43 hrs) Flow is LATERALLY MIXED over the channel width. END OF MOD181: MIXED PLUME/BOUNDED CHANNEL/POSSIBLE UPSTREAM WEDGE INTRUSION _____ _____ BEGIN MOD162: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT Vertical diffusivity (initial value) = 0.143E-09 m^2/s Horizontal diffusivity (initial value) = 0.545E-02 m^2/s Profile definitions: BV = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) thickness, measured vertically = or equal to layer depth, if fully mixed BH = Gaussian s.d.*sqrt(pi/2) (46%) half-width, measured horizontally in Y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Plume Stage 2 (bank attached): Y Z S С BV BH ZU ΖL TΤ Х

 Y
 Z
 S
 C
 BV
 BH
 ZO
 ZL
 TT

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .59134E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .63542E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .63542E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .67950E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .72358E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .76767E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .81175E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .85983E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76</ 591.76 635.84 679.92 724.01 768.09 812.17 856.25 900.34
 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .94400E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .94400E+05

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105E+00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .98808E+05
 944.42 988.50 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .10322E+06 1032.58 1076.67 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .10762E+06 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .11203E+06 1120.75 1164.83 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .11644E+06 1208.91 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .12085E+06 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 10.76 3.45 .12526E+06 7.00 7.30 244.00 1252.99 7.00

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .12967±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .12967±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .12967±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .13407±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .13848±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .14289±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .14730±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .14730±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00
 10.76
 3.45
 .15171±06

 7.00
 7.11
 95.3
 0.105±00
 7.30
 244.00 3.45 .12967E+06 1297.08 1341.16 1385.24 1429.32 1473.41 1517.49 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .15612E+06 1561.57 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .16052E+06 1605.65 1649.74 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .16493E+06 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .16934E+06 1693.82 1737.90 7.00 7.11 95.3 0.105E+00 7.30 244.00 10.76 3.45 .17375E+06

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 281 of 286

Imber

1 - 0 1 0 0			0.5 0 0 1 0 5 7 0 0			10 50	0 4 5	1 - 0 1 6 - 0 6
1/81.98	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10./6	3.45	.1/816E+06
1826.07	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.18256E+06
1070 15	7 00	7 11	05 3 0 105E+00	0 7 20	244 00	10 76	2 15	196075+06
1070.13	7.00	/•±±	95.5 0.105E100	1.50	244.00	10.70	5.45	.1009/10100
1914.23	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.19138E+06
1958.31	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.19579E+06
2002 40	7 00	7 1 1	05 2 0 1050100	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 15	200200000
2002.40	7.00	/•⊥⊥	95.3 U.IUSE+U	1.30	244.00	10.70	3.45	.20020E+06
2046.48	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.20461E+06
2090 56	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 1058+00	0 7 3 0	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	209015+06
2000.00	7.00	7.11	99.9 0.105E+00	7.00	211.00	10.70	2.15	.200010.00
2134.64	/.00	/.11	95.3 0.105E+00) /.30	244.00	10./6	3.45	.21342E+06
2178.73	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.21783E+06
2222 01	7 00	7 11	05 3 0 105E+00	0 7 20	244 00	10 76	2 15	222245+06
2222.01	1.00	/•±±	95.5 0.105EI00	1.50	244.00	10.70	5.45	.222246100
2266.89	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.22665E+06
2310 97	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 105E+00) 730	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	23106E+06
2010.07	7.00	7.11		,	211.00	10.70	2.15	.201000100
2355.06	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.23546E+06
2399.14	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.23987E+06
2443 22	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 105E+00) 730	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	24428E+06
2113.22	7.00	7.11	99.9 0.105E+00	7.00	211.00	10.70	0.10	.211200.00
2487.30	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.24869E+06
2531.39	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.25310E+06
2575 47	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 105E+00) 730	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	25750E+06
2010 EE	7 00	7 1 1		7 20	244 00	10 70	2 4 5	201010100
2019.33	7.00	/•⊥⊥	95.5 0.105E+00	1.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.201916+00
2663.63	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.26632E+06
2707.72	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.27073E+06
2751 00	7 00	7 11	05 2 0 1050100	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 4 5	27514000
2/31.00	7.00	/•⊥⊥	95.5 0.105E+00	1.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.2/3146+00
2795.88	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.27955E+06
2839.96	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.28395E+06
2001 05	7 00	7 1 1	05 2 0 1050100	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 4 5	200260106
2004.00	7.00	/•⊥⊥	95.5 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.200306+00
2928.13	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.29277E+06
2972.21	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.29718E+06
3016 29	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 105E+00) 730	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	301598+06
2010.20	7.00	7.11	99.9 0.105E+00	7.00	211.00	10.70	0.10	.0010000.00
3060.38	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.30600E+06
3104.46	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.31040E+06
3148.54	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.31481E+06
2102 62	7 00	7 11	05 2 0 1055100	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 45	21022000
3192.02	7.00	/•⊥⊥	95.5 0.105E+00	1.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.319226+00
3236.71	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.32363E+06
3280.79	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.32804E+06
2221 07	7 00	7 11	05 2 0 1055100	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 45	22244EL06
5524.07	7.00	7.11	95.5 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.332446+00
3368.95	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.33685E+06
3413.04	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.34126E+06
3/57 12	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 1050+00	7 30	244 00	10 76	3 / 5	345678+06
3437.12	7.00	7.11	95.5 0.105E100	7.50	244.00	10.70	5.45	.545071100
3501.20	/.00	/.11	95.3 0.105E+00) /.30	244.00	10./6	3.45	.35008E+06
3545.28	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.35449E+06
3589 37	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 1058+00	0 7 3 0	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	358895+06
2622.45	7.00	7.11	99.9 0.105E+00	7.50	244.00	10.70	2.45	.0000000000
3633.45	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10./6	3.45	.36330E+06
3677.53	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.36771E+06
3721 61	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 1058+00	0 7 3 0	244 00	10 76	3 4 5	372125+06
0721.01	7.00	7.11	99.9 0.105E+00	7.00	211.00	10.70	2.15	
3/65./0	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.105E+00	/.30	244.00	10./6	3.45	.3/653E+06
3809.78	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.38094E+06
3853.86	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.38534E+06
2007 04	7 00	7 1 1			244 00	10 70	2 4 5	200750100
3897.94	7.00	/.11	95.3 U.IU5E+UU	1.30	244.00	10.70	3.45	.389/3E+06
3942.03	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.39416E+06
3986.11	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.39857E+06
1020 10	7 00	7 1 1	05 3 0 105E+00	7 20	244 00	10 76	2 15	102005+06
4030.19	7.00	7.11	95.5 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.70	5.45	.402906+00
4074.27	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.40739E+06
4118.36	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.41179E+06
1162 11	7 00	7 11	95 3 0 1058+00	0 7 3 0	244 00	10 76	3 15	41620F+06
4102.44	7.00	7.11	95.5 0.105E100	7.50	244.00	10.70	5.45	.410200100
4206.52	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.IU5E+0(1.30	244.00	LU./6	3.45	.42061E+06
4250.60	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.42502E+06
4294.69	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.42943E+06
1338 77	7 00	7 1 1		1 7 20	244 00	10 76	2 15	V3303EIUC
	1.00	/•⊥⊥	90.0 0.100E+00		244.00	10.10	5.45	.40005400
4382.85	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00) 7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.43824E+06
4426.93	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.44265E+06
4471 02	7 00	7 1 1	95 3 0 105 - 00) 7 3 0	244 00	10 76	3 45	447065+06
11/1.02	7.00	/ • ± ± – – – –		, ,	217.00	10.70	J.+J	AE1478.00
4313.10	/.00	/•⊥⊥	93.3 U.IU5E+0(1.30	∠44.00	TO./0	3.45	.4314/E+U6
4559.18	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.45588E+06
4603.26	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45	.46028E+06
4647 35	7 00	7 1 1	95 3 0 105E±00) 7 30	244 00	10 76	3 15	464600+06
1011.00	7.00	/•±±	JJ.J U.IUJE+U(, ,	277.00	10.70	5.45	0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 -
4091.43	/.00	/.⊥⊥	95.3 U.LU5E+0(J 1.30	244.00	IU./6	3.45	.469IUE+U6

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a) Page 282 of 286 Imber

4735.51	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .47351E+06
4779.59	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .47792E+06
4823.68	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .48233E+06
4867.76	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .48673E+06
4911.84	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .49114E+06
4955.92	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .49555E+06
5000.01	7.00	7.11	95.3 0.105E+00	7.30	244.00	10.76	3.45 .49996E+06
Cumulative	travel ti	me =	499957.8750 sec	(138	8.88 hrs)		

Note:

CORMIX is a steady state model and assumes discharge and ambient conditions do not vary over time. The predicted plume cumulative travel time exceeds 48 hours at this trajectory distance. Keep in mind that ambient and discharge conditions are likely to vary over large space and time scales. Predictions at such large space and time scales may be inconsistent with CORMIX modeling assumptions.

Please carefully evaluate your simulation results and limit model interpretation to space and time scales consistent with steady state assumptions and ambient schematization.

Simulation limit based on maximum specified distance = 5000.00 m. This is the REGION OF INTEREST limitation.

END OF MOD162: PASSIVE AMBIENT MIXING IN STRATIFIED AMBIENT

CORMIX1: Single Port Discharges End of Prediction File

CONMINI. SINGLE FOIL	Discharges	LIIG OI FIEGICCION FILE	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	1111111111111



BR0-C-01640							
	.∢	m	O	D	Ш	<u>le</u>	



	4	m	υ	۵	μ.	Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 3 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(a)
					VERTICAL VERTICAL 0 10' 20' 40' SCALE: 1"=20' HORIZONTAL	SCALE: 1"=50' SCALE: 1"=50' C T T O N D C T O N D SCHARGE PIPE JSER SYSTEM OND PIPE BID C T O N DISCHARGE PIPE D N DISCHARGE PIPE D N DISCHARGE PIPE D N D N D N D N D N D N D N D N D N D N
6						PROCESS POND Mutual PROCESS POND PROCESS P
ຶ						C C C C C C C C C C C C C C
						ISSUDED DUTION, ISSUDED



N

00

9

ŝ

4

M

N

	850 +++ + 830 +++ +	820 810 810 800 790 770 770 750 140 140 140 140 140 140 140 14	730+	830 +++ 810 +++ 790 +++ 790 +++	780 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 750 75		
BR		ELEVATION			ELEVATION		
0-C-010							
648							
	4	m	U	Δ	ш	L.	

