Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 1 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136

#### PREPARED FOR

LG&E KU Services Company 820 West Broadway Louisville, Kentucky 40202

#### **PREPARED BY:**

S&ME, Inc. 1913 Unruh Court New Albany, IN 47150 April 21, 2023

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 2 of 108 Imber



April 21, 2023

Louisville Gas and Electric and Kentucky Utilities Company 820 West Broadway Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Attention: Mr. Jeff Heun, P.E.

Reference: Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

Dear Mr. Heun:

S&ME, Inc. (S&ME) has completed our geotechnical exploration for the planned 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Mill Creek Generating Station located in Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. This exploration was performed in general accordance with S&ME, Inc. Proposal No. 22360136 dated November 4, 2022, which was authorized by LG&E KU Services Company (LG&E-KU) on November 22, 2022, with Contract No. 1124902. The purpose of this exploration was to obtain preliminary geotechnical data at the site to support the construction of a new Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC) unit at the existing Mill Creek Generating Station (Mill Creek).

This report explains our understanding of the project, documents our findings, and presents our conclusions and geotechnical engineering recommendations.



Nicholas R. Jones, P.E. (K Project Engineer

Bethenie 7 neek

Bethanie L. Meek, P.E. (OH) Principal Engineer

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 3 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Introduct	tion	1
2.0	Project In	nformation	1
3.0	Regional	Geology	3
3.1		Review of Flood Hazards	3
3.2		Review of Earthquake and Seismic Activity	4
4.0	Explorati	on and Testing	5
4.1		Site Surface Conditions	5
4.2		Field Exploration	6
4.	2.1	Field Resistivity Testing	6
4.3		Groundwater	7
4.4		Laboratory Testing	8
4.	4.1	Classification Testing	8
4.	4.2	Resistivity, pH, and Chemical Testing	8
5.0	Subsurfa	ce Conditions	8
5.1		Surface Materials1	1
5.2		Fill Soils (CCR – Silty Sands (SM), Lean to Sandy Clays (CL))	1
5.3		Alluvial Soils (SM, SP-SM, SP, GM, CL)1	1
6.0	Site Seis	micity1	1
6.1		Downhole Seismic Geophysical Services1	2
6.2		Liquefaction Potential1	2
6.	2.1	Screening1	2
6.	2.2	Triggering Analyses	3
7.0	Discussio	on and Recommendations1	3
7.1		Construction1	3
7.	1.1	CCR Fills	3





# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 4 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

7.1.2	Site Grading				
7.1.3	Soft/Loose Alluvial Soil				
7.2	Site Preparation				
7.2.1	Stripping				
7.2.2	Removal of Existing Structures				
7.2.3	Proofrolling				
7.3	Excavation				
7.3.1	Groundwater Considerations				
7.3.2	Structural Fill Placement				
7.3.3	Use of Excavated Soils as Fill				
7.3.4	Use of Off-Site Borrow Materials as Fill				
7.4	Foundation Recommendations				
7.4.1	Dilled Shafts				
7.4.2	Micropile Foundations				
7.4.3	Auger-Cast Pile Foundations				
7.4.4	Shallow Foundation Support				
7.4.5	Slabs-on-Grade				
7.4.6	Retaining Walls and Sub-Level Walls				
8.0 Lin	8.0 Limitations of Report19				

# **List of Figures**

Figure 3.1 – FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer Map	.4
Figure 3.2 – Recent Seismic Activity	.5





# List of Tables

Report at a Glance	v
Table 2.1 – Boring Location Summary	2
Table 2.2 – Structure Summary	3
Table 4.2 – Groundwater Summary	7
Table 6.1 – Site Coefficients and Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters	. 12
Table 7.2 – Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients	. 19

# Appendices

Appendix I – Site Location Map / Boring Location Plan Appendix II – Test Boring Log Legend / Boring Logs / CPT Logs / Field Resistivity / Site Photos Appendix III – Laboratory Testing Summaries and Data Sheets Appendix IV – LPILE Tables



#### **Report at a Glance**

Key geotechnical findings based on our current understanding of the proposed project are presented below. These findings are presented as an overview and should not be used in place of the more detailed recommendations presented in the remainder of this report.

Category	Key Geotechnical Finding			
	The site is generally consistent with other sites in the area and amenable to the proposed development. Specific geotechnical issues identified on this site that should be considered include:			
Site Development Challenges	Coal combustion residuals (CCR) fills;			
	Large cuts/fills; and			
	Remediation of soft/loose alluvial soil.			
Subsurface Conditions	CCR fills up to 42.5 feet below existing grade, overlying alluvial deposits predominantly consisting of granular deposits. Groundwater ranged from about 50 feet to 70 feet below existing site grades.			
Foundation Type	Deep foundations penetrating CCR fills, pile supported mats.			
Slab Support	Slab-on-grade with remedial efforts.			
Use of Site Soil as Fill	Site soils consist of CCR materials not recommended for use as structural fill.			
Construction Dewatering	Construction dewatering may be required for local perched water and surface water infiltration.			
Previous Development	The site was previously developed and currently serves as long term storage for CCR material.			
Impacts				
Remedial Grading	Grading plans have not been provided; however, existing site grades indicate possibility of large scale cuts/fills.			







# 1.0 Introduction

S&ME, Inc. (S&ME) is in the process of completing our geotechnical exploration for the planned 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Mill Creek Generating Station located in Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. This exploration was performed in general accordance with S&ME, Inc. Proposal No. 22360136 dated November 4, 2022, which was authorized by LG&E KU Services Company (LG&E-KU) on November 22, 2022, with Contract No. 1124902. The purpose of this exploration was to obtain preliminary geotechnical data at the site to support the construction of a new Natural Gas Combined Cycle (NGCC) unit at the existing Mill Creek Generating Station (Mill Creek).

This report explains our understanding of the project, documents our findings, and presents our conclusions and geotechnical engineering recommendations.

# 2.0 Project Information

Initial information for this project was provided via an email RFP by Mr. Paul Meyer with LG&E-KU on October 29, 2022 and through Zycus. The provided information consisted of a bid package, submittal documentation, and example contract. A list of these documents is listed below.

- 2027 NGCC Geotech Exhibits.pdf including an Exhibit SOW and Technical Specification providing loading information, specifications for sampling and testing, and Site Plans with boring locations and grading for EW Brown - Unit 1-2, EW Brown – Webb Farm, and Mill Creek.
- 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Contract.docx including a draft Services Authorization dated June 14, 2021.

The following bid forms were also provided for upload to Zycus during the bid process.

- Attachment CE Bidder Commentary and Exceptions
- Attachment PA Proposal Authorization
- Attachment RFC Request for Clarification

In addition to the provided bid information S&ME took part in a pre-bid conference between LG&E-KU and potential bidders via phone on October 24, 2022. A project kick-off meeting to discuss the approved project scope, boring layouts, potential utility conflicts, and schedule was conducted via Zoom on November 18, 2022.

Based on our review of the provided information, we understand LG&E-KU has identified this site for a potential new NGCC unit. Two other sites are also under consideration for NGCC and supporting development at EW Brown Generating Station have been issued under separate cover. LG&E-KU identified eleven (11) borings at Mill Creek to support preliminary geotechnical recommendations for the structures and fills. Table 2.1, on the following page, summarizes the as-drilled locations at or near the locations provided by LG&E-KU. Latitude and longitude represent as-drilled locations, NAD83 Kentucky State Plane North.



Prior to mobilization of drilling equipment, and at the request of LG&E-KU, S&ME walked the site with LG&E-KU Project engineering personnel on November 21, 2022, to confirm access, identify site conditions that may delay drilling, identify potential utility conflicts, and marking boring locations for utility clearance and hydrovacuum excavations. Boring Locations are also presented in Appendix II.

Boring Location	Surface Elevation (ft)	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (ft)
B-01	439	38.050764	-85.907452	80.5
B-02	438	38.050699	-85.907291	80.5
B-03	448	38.050632	-85.906825	80.5
B-04	462	38.050553	-85.906477	80
B-05	462	38.050505	-85.906267	80
B-06	461	38.050957	-85.906763	80
B-07	457	38.049996	-85.905256	81
B-08	455	38.050435	-85.905100	81
B-09	462	38.050123	-85.905844	80
B-10	461	38.050435	-85.905734	80.5
B-11	446	38.049834	-85.906565	80.5

#### Table 2.1 – Boring Location Summary

Table 2.2 summarizes the expected major structures and loading based on information provided by LG&E-KU with associated boring locations.



&

m =

Structure	Anticipated Soil Pressure (psf)	Anticipated Footprint (LxW, ft)	Associated Boring Location(s)
Heat Recovery Steam Generator (HRSG) and Stack	6000	210 x 50	B-1
Single Shaft CT/ST/Generator	3500-5000	200 x 50-80	B-2
Turbine Building	4000	185 x 100-150	B-3, B-4, B-5
Gas Compressors	3000-4000	30 x 60	B-6
Cooling Tower Basin	2500	250 x 120	В-7, В-8
Demineralization Tank	3000	40' Diameter	B-9
Fire/Service Water Tank	3000	50" Diameter	B-10
GSU Transformer	3000	70 x 60	B-11
Administration Control Building (PEMB)	3000	80 x 100	-

## Table 2.2 – Structure Summary

Existing site elevations within the planned footprint range from El. 438 to El. 462.

# 3.0 Regional Geology

According to *Geologic Map of the Valley Station Quadrangle and part of the Kosmosdale Quadrangle, North-Central Kentucky*, dated 1972, and *Geologic Map of Kentucky*, 1981, the site is located in the Outer Bluegrass Region of Kentucky within Wisconsinan aged Glacial Outwash deposits consisting of clay, silt, sand, and gravel. Our borings generally encountered previously placed coal combustion residuals (CCR), or coal ash, at the surface and extending to elevations ranging from El. 415 to El. 439.5. Bedrock is estimated to range from about El. 350 to El. 320 and was not encountered in our exploration to elevations ranging from El. 357.7 to El. 382.0. Soil conditions underlying the fills were consistent with the reported geologic conditions for the site.

## 3.1 Review of Flood Hazards

A review of the FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer Map (<u>https://www.fema.gov/flood-maps/national-flood-hazard-layer</u>) indicates that each of the borings are located in FEMA Zone X, areas outside of the Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) and higher than the elevation of the 0.2-percent-annual-chance flood event generally determined using approximate methodologies. 100-year flood elevation data was not available for the site (borings B-1 through B-11). The FEMA map is shown in Figure 3.1 on the following page, with project location indicated in red.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 10 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



Figure 3.1 – FEMA National Flood Hazard Layer Map

# 3.2 Review of Earthquake and Seismic Activity

The site is located approximately 100 miles east-southeast of the Wabash Valley Fault Zone. Additionally, the site is 190 miles northeast of the New Madrid Fault Zone. The Louisville, Kentucky area has experienced some earthquake activity within recent record keeping history. Within approximately 100 miles of this site, seismic events (magnitude 2.5+) have been recorded to range in magnitude from 2.5 to 4.9 between 1834 and 2023 (earthquake.usgs.gov) as shown in Figure 3.2 on the following page.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 11 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902





Figure 3.2 – Recent Seismic Activity

# 4.0 Exploration and Testing

The procedures used by S&ME for field and laboratory sampling and testing are in general accordance with ASTM procedures and established engineering practice. Appendix II contains brief descriptions of the procedures used in this exploration.

## 4.1 Site Surface Conditions

Information provided by LG&E-KU indicates the area underlying borings B-3 through B-11 serves as long term storage for Coal Combustion Residual (CCR) materials. This area is currently grass covered and generally flat on top, sloping down to the surrounding surface. B-3 and B-11 were advanced near the toe of the slope. Available aerial imagery shows the site was used for storage or staging for past construction activity. Borings B-1 and B-2 are within the existing coal pile area, which is generally flat and covered with mounded coal.

Existing elevations within the planned footprint range from approximately El. 438 to El. 441 (within the coal pile area) and El. 443 to El. 462 for the remaining portions.





#### 4.2 Field Exploration

A total of eleven (11) soil test borings (labeled B-1 through B-11) were performed for this geotechnical exploration. The original planned CPT soundings encountered early refusal within CCR materials (B-3, B-5, B-6, and B-11) or dense alluvial deposits (B-1 and B-2). Borings were located in the field using handheld GPS equipment. Boring offsets due to utilities, obstructions, or difficulty drilling are shown as-drilled in the tables and figures of this report. Note Greg Wilson (LG&E-KU PE) permitted sampling at 5-foot intervals through the CCR materials in B-06 and Dan Sorg (LG&E-KU PE) permitted SPT sampling to begin at 40 feet (CPT depths) in borings B-1 and B-2.

The borings were advanced by a track-mounted Diedrich D-120 or CME-55 drill rig using 3 ¼ inch I.D. hollow stem augers. Soil samples were obtained using a split-barrel sampler driven by an automatic hammer system in general accordance with ASTM D1586. A general description of our field procedures, a test boring log legend, Boring Logs and CPT Logs are provided in Appendix II of this report. The stratification lines shown on the Boring Logs represent the approximate boundaries between soil types. The actual transitions may be more gradual than shown.

Boring coordinates and termination depths are summarized previously in Table 2.1. The approximate locations of the borings are shown on the Boring Location Plan (Figure 2) in Appendix I. Boring elevations were estimated from available topographic information.

We measured the groundwater level in each boring upon completion and prior to backfilling the borehole with bentonite-grout. Observed groundwater levels at the time of our exploration are shown in the following section.

#### 4.2.1 Field Resistivity Testing

On November 30, 2022, we performed field soil resistivity testing at the requested location in general accordance with ASTM G57 "Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Soil Resistivity using the Wenner Probe Four-Electrode Method" using an Advanced Geosciences Incorporated, Inc. (AGI) R8 SuperStingTM resistivity meter, which is calibrated annually by the manufacturer.

The soil resistivity testing consisted of a single pair of perpendicular linear arrays using a Wenner configuration at the ER-1 test location (as shown on Figure 2 in Appendix I). The ER-1 test array was generally oriented northwest-southeast and southwest-northeast (Lines A and B, respectively) and used an electrode ("a") spacing of 2.5, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 feet to determine the resistivity at increasing depths. The eighteen-inch stainless steel electrodes used for the surveys were inserted 4 to 12 inches into the ground, and soil conditions were noted at the survey location.

The results of the soil resistivity survey are provided in the attached tabulated spreadsheet "22360136\_Mill Creek\_Resistivity Data Sheet\_ER-1," which presents the "a" spacing (feet and cm), electrode depth (inches), and associated calculated resistance (ohms), apparent resistivity (ohm/cm and ohm/ft), injected current (mA), and standard deviation.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 13 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

Regardless of the thoroughness of a geophysical survey, there is always a possibility that actual conditions may not match the interpretations. The results should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the methods used and the method's limitations and data coverage. The geophysical method used for this survey also has inherent limitations. Buried site metallic features (e.g., utilities, etc.) and overhead transmission lines can produce excessive noise and/or false responses in resistivity data.

#### 4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered in each of the borings during the time of drilling and additional measurements were taken at the completion of each boring. Table 4.2, on the following page, summarizes our groundwater findings at the site.

Boring No.	Depth During Drilling (ft)	Depth at Completion (ft)	Top of Boring Elevation (ft)	Groundwater Elevation at Completion (ft)
B-1	8.0 <sup>1</sup>	12.0 <sup>2</sup>	439	427
B-2	>30 <sup>3</sup>	Not Measured <sup>3</sup>	438	<408
B-3	54.0	50.0	448	398
B-4	70.0	70.0	462	392
B-5	70.0	70.0 4	462	392
B-6	68.0	70.0	461	391
B-7	58.0	56.0	457	401
B-8	58.0	54.0	455	401
B-9	68.0	66.0	462	396
B-10	64.0	62.0	461	399
B-11	45.0	50.0	446	396

#### Table 4.2 – Groundwater Summary

Note:

1. Possibly perched water related to the adjacent ditch.

2. Reading taken after use of drilling fluid and may not reflect an accurate groundwater level.

3. Water level not measured due to use of drilling fluid beginning at 30 feet below existing grade.

4. Groundwater not encountered on subsequent reading due to soil cave in.

Groundwater readings in B-3 through B-11 are believed to be connected to the Ohio River levels. However, seasonal and periodic variations in precipitation as well as fluctuations in the adjacent Ohio River can affect the observed water level conditions. Long-term static groundwater readings can be obtained with the installation and periodic monitoring of piezometers.





#### 4.4 Laboratory Testing

#### 4.4.1 Classification Testing

Soil samples collected during the field exploration were transferred to our soil laboratory, where a geotechnical engineer or geologist visually examined each sample to estimate the distribution of grain-sizes, plasticity, organic content, moisture condition, color, presence of lenses and seams and apparent geological origin. The soil samples were classified according to the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM D2487). The results of the classifications, as well as the field test results, are presented on the individual Boring Logs in Appendix II. Similar soils were grouped into strata on the logs and summarized in Section 4.1.

Selected spilt-spoon samples were assigned laboratory testing including:

- Natural Moisture Content (ASTM D2216)
- Atterberg Limits (ASTM D4318)
- Hydrometer Analysis (ASTM D422)

Classification and index testing were performed on select samples in general accordance with ASTM Standard testing procedures. The laboratory testing results are summarized in Tables III-1, III-2, and the Summary of Laboratory Test Data in Appendix III. Testing on CCR materials is indicated in table notes. Individual data sheets are also available in Appendix III.

#### 4.4.2 Resistivity, pH, and Chemical Testing

Two (2) representative soil specimens were selected for chemical testing, including for pH, soluble sulfates, sulfides, chloride ion, and oxidation reduction (redox) potential. Chemical testing has been summarized in Table III-2 and Table III-3 in Appendix III along with individual chemical testing results. Data presented in this section is considered informational only. Interpretation and evaluation of these data are beyond our scope. S&ME recommends consulting with a qualified corrosion engineer.

The test results for resistivity, pH and chemical analysis are included in the Summary of Laboratory Test Data and on data sheets in Appendix III. Appendix III also contains an excerpt from the Ductile Iron Pipe Research Association (DIPRA), which is often used to help evaluate the corrosion potential for underground metal pipes, (Figure III-1), ACI 318-11 Requirements for Concrete Exposed to Sulfates (Figure III-2), and the American Concrete Institute (ACI) 318 Table 19.3.1.1 – Exposure Categories and Classes (Figure III-3). These figures are provided for reference only.

# 5.0 Subsurface Conditions

The following is a general description of subsurface conditions encountered in the borings. Detailed information is provided on the individual Boring Logs included in Appendix II. Fence diagrams are also included in Appendix II.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 15 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

The borings were advanced through surface materials consisting of coal or topsoil into the underlying fills, generally consisting of CCR materials, and terminating in granular alluvial deposits.

#### 5.1 Surface Materials

Borings B-1 and B-2 were conducted within the coal pile area, and advanced through coal. The remaining boring locations were advanced through grass covered surfaces which varied in thickness up to about 18 inches, as measured from the edge hydrovacuum excavation.

#### 5.2 Fill Soils (CCR – Silty Sands (SM), Lean to Sandy Clays (CL))

Beneath the surficial topsoil, borings B-4 through B-10 generally encountered CCR materials ranging in depth from approximately 32.0 to 42.5; B-3 and B-11 encountered CCR materials to depths of 18.5 and 14 feet, respectively. These depths correspond to an average elevation of approximately El. 424 (El. 414.5 to El 432). CCR materials were laboratory classified as silty sand (SM) and described as dark gray with consistencies ranging from loose to very dense, although generally ranging from medium dense to dense. Several samples were also reported as very loose; however, these samples were conducted at the surface or bottom of the hydrovacuum excavation and were exposed to surface water prior to sampling. Thin layers of lean to sandy clay (CL) with sand lenses were encountered both above and below the CCR and may have served as a clay liner.

## 5.3 Alluvial Soils (SM, SP-SM, SP, GM, CL)

Poorly graded Sands with Silt (SP-SM) with varying amounts of gravel were the most predominant soils encountered in the borings. These soils were encountered in all the borings below the fills, or below the no sampling zone in B-1 and B-2 and extending to termination ranging from depths of 80.0 feet to 81.0 feet. These materials were generally brown in color with Standard Penetration Test (SPT) N-values recorded from 7 blows per foot (bpf) to 50 blows for 4 inches, indicating loose to very dense relative densities and medium stiff to stiff consistencies. Very soft and very loose materials were also reported at the beginning of sampling in B-1 and B-2; however, these soils were likely disturbed by the process of adding drilling fluid.

# 6.0 Site Seismicity

Based on the subsurface stratigraphy encountered at the project site between ground surface and estimated to 100 feet below existing grade, it is the opinion of S&ME that this site is best characterized by International Building Code Site Class D based on average N-values ( $\overline{N}$ ) and shear wave velocities ( $\overline{V}_s$ ) determined by downhole seismic testing performed near boring B-5. Table 6.1, on the following page, summarizes site coefficients and spectral response parameters for this site referencing ASCE 7 design code and considering Risk Category II.



#### Table 6.1 – Site Coefficients and Spectral Response Acceleration Parameters

Boring	Site Class	Ss	$S_1$	Fa	Fv	РСАм	Sds	$\mathbf{S}_{D1}$
General Site	D	0.223g	0.112g	1.6	2.351	0.166	0.238g	0.176g

#### 6.1 Downhole Seismic Geophysical Services

On March 23, 2023, we performed a downhole seismic survey within boring *B-05* in general accordance with ASTM D7400 "Standard Test Methods for Downhole Seismic Testing" using a Geometrics seismograph and 14 Hz downhole triaxial geophone. Energy for the seismic survey was generated by a 16-pound sledgehammer striking opposing ends of a wooden plank for obtaining surface wave measurements and a metal plate for P-wave measurements. Seismic velocities were obtained to a depth of about 95 feet for *B-05* using recorded depth intervals of 3 feet. Data analysis was conducted using the OYO Corporation's SeisImager<sup>TM</sup>/SW software (*Pickwin<sup>TM</sup>*) and the resulting shear wave velocity profiles for *B-05* are presented in Appendix II.

Regardless of the thoroughness of a geophysical survey, there is always a possibility that actual conditions may not match the interpretations. The results should be considered accurate only to the degree implied by the method used and the method's limitations and data coverage. In addition, site activity (e.g., heavy traffic, etc.) and overhead powerlines can cause noise/interference in downhole seismic data sets.

#### 6.2 Liquefaction Potential

For a Site Class D, the site-modified peak ground acceleration (PGA<sub>M</sub>) for the Maximum Considered Earthquake (MCE<sub>G</sub>) is 0.166g. Per the USGS deaggregation tool (<u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/interactive/</u>), the modal magnitude for the MCE<sub>G</sub> is 7.77. Accordingly, our liquefaction triggering analyses used PGA<sub>M</sub> = 0.166g and M = 7.77.

#### 6.2.1 Screening

The simplified method for liquefaction triggering evaluation is only applicable for sand-like soils that are saturated. Additionally, the evaluation procedure is premised on field performance data where a "critical" layer is identified. The critical layer is at least several feet thick. Accordingly, the following soils were screened out of our triggering analyses:

- 1. Any unsaturated soils (i.e., soils above the water table).
- 2. Clay-like soils, defined as having a plasticity index (PI) of 7 or greater and/or a material index (I<sub>C</sub>) of 2.6 or greater.
- 3. Isolated thin layers defined as less than 2 ft thick.





#### 6.2.2 Triggering Analyses

Liquefaction is generally defined as the loss of a soil's shear strength due to the increase in porewater pressure resulting from seismic shaking (i.e., cyclic shearing). The potential consequences of liquefaction include settlement, bearing capacity failure, global instabilities, and lateral spreading.

Soils susceptible to liquefaction display sand-like behavior and generally consist of loose, saturated sands and low-plasticity silts. Boulanger and Idriss (2006)<sup>1</sup> demonstrates there is a narrow soil behavior transition zone between sand-like and clay-like behavior and suggest a plasticity index (PI) reference value of 7 be used for screening purposes. In other words, soils with PI values of less than 7 are considered to display sand-like behavior and susceptible to liquefaction. Accordingly, we initially screened the soils encountered at the site for liquefaction potential using these criteria.

Loose sandy or silty materials were encountered in our exploration; however, these materials are unsaturated (above the water table) and are not considered potentially liquefiable. Therefore, we do not consider liquefaction to be a design concern and Seismic Site Class D remains applicable.

# 7.0 Discussion and Recommendations

Based on the conditions encountered and the expected foundation types, S&ME has identified some areas that may require additional contractor design and constructions considerations.

#### 7.1 Construction

#### 7.1.1 CCR Fills

Coal combustion residual (CCR) materials (i.e., commonly known as POZ-O-TEC) were encountered in the upper 14 to 42.5 feet and were generally classified as silty sand (SM) based on laboratory gradations. Corrosion series testing was also performed with results presented in Appendix III. These fills varied in relative density from very loose to very dense, which may lead to differential settlements, reduced lateral support, or reduced bearing resistances. Additional soil preparation, modification, or amendment may be required to support structural loading and foundation design.

#### 7.1.2 *Site Grading*

Preliminary grading plans were not provided; however, based on the existing surface elevations significant cuts or fills may be needed to obtain planned grades.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Boulanger, R. W., and Idriss, I. M. (2006), "Liquefaction Susceptibility Criteria for Silts and Clays", *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*, ASCE, V. 133, Issue 6, pp. 641-652.





#### 7.1.3 Soft/Loose Alluvial Soil

Loose alluvial soils were encountered throughout our borings ranging in depth and thickness underlying the CCR materials. These loose zones may also lead to reduced bearing resistance, loss of lateral support, or difficulty during construction of deep foundations. Additional, structure specific, testing may be needed to identify or isolate these zones for final foundation recommendations.

#### 7.2 Site Preparation

NGCC construction footprint encompasses a portion of the existing coal pile, existing drainage features, and utilities in the area. Recommendations for the relocation of existing utilities is beyond the scope of this report.

#### 7.2.1 Stripping

Initial site preparation will include stripping of topsoil, root balls, and other vegetation as well as loose surface materials and encountered coal in the area of B-1 and B-2.

#### 7.2.2 Removal of Existing Structures

Existing structures and utilities are present near borings B-1 and B-2, and previous site storage is evident from past aerials for much of the remaining locations. Some near surface construction debris may be encountered. Any structures encountered during grading should be demolished and removed, including shallow foundations, and backfilled with engineered fill.

#### 7.2.3 Proofrolling

Following stripping, undercutting of any unstable surface soils, and/or necessary excavation to obtain the design subgrades, the exposed subgrade should be thoroughly proofrolled with a heavily loaded tandem-axle dump truck or similar rubber-tired equipment under the observation of the Geotechnical Engineer. The proofrolling will help reveal the presence of unstable or otherwise unsuitable surface materials through pumping and rutting. Areas that are unstable should be undercut as recommended by the Geotechnical Engineer. Further evaluation with hand auger borings and/or backhoe excavated test pits could also be required if unexpected conditions are encountered or for areas not accessible to proofrolling equipment.

#### 7.3 Excavation

Excavation of near surface on-site soils will primarily consist of medium dense to dense granular soils. Sloughing and caving should be anticipated. All excavations should be laid back or braced in accordance with the most recent OSHA excavation rules and regulations (OSHA 29 CFR Part 1926). The contractor is solely responsible for site safety. This information is provided only as a service and under no circumstances should S&ME be assumed to be responsible for construction site safety.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 19 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

#### 7.3.1 Groundwater Considerations

Groundwater was encountered in borings B-3 through B-11 at the time of drilling and should be anticipated during excavations or soil augering. We anticipate groundwater, if encountered, will most likely be present at or about Ohio River elevations. Therefore, drilled shafts will likely require "wet method" installation using slurry or polymers, at the discretion of the contractor/LG&E-KU. Groundwater encountered in Borings B-1 and B-2 was likely perched above clayey materials encountered to depths of up to 37 feet and may also be attributed to the drainage ditch to the north and east.

For any shallow excavations, if pumping from a system of sumps and pumps cannot sufficiently maintain the water level below the plan bearing elevations, then more extensive dewatering techniques, such as wells or well-point system may be necessary for dewatering. S&ME recommends that construction dewatering and the release of pumped groundwater be performed in accordance with all applicable Federal, State, and Local requirements.

## 7.3.2 Structural Fill Placement

Undercut areas and/or areas requiring structural fill, should be raised to the design subgrade elevation with materials with the following minimum requirements:

- Fill should be free of deleterious materials and rock fragments greater than 4 inches in diameter;
- Uniformly spread in 6- to 8-inch thick loose lifts;
- Clay soil used as fill should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the soil's maximum dry density, as determined by a laboratory Modified Proctor compaction test (ASTM D-1557). See Appendix III for our laboratory results;
- If a clean granular soil (sand) is used as fill, it may not be possible to determine a maximum unit weight using ASTM D-698/1557. In this case, soils should be tested in accordance with ASTM D-4254, and a minimum relative density of 85% should be achieved or compaction should be controlled by test strips in the field at the time of placement;
- The moisture content should be controlled to within +/- 2 to 3 percent of optimum moisture content, depending on the moisture-density curve of the specific soil being placed.
- Fill placement should be monitored by a qualified Materials Technician working under the direction of the Geotechnical Engineer. In addition to this visual evaluation, the Technician should perform a sufficient amount of in-place field density tests to confirm that the required degree of compaction is being attained.
- Settlement plates may also be needed based on planned fill heights. The final determination of whether settlement plates and monitoring are needed should be determined after final grades are established. At that time, the final geotechnical exploration and design should include the number of settlement plates and locations.

Slope stability analyses should be performed on planned fill or cut slopes.





#### 7.3.3 Use of Excavated Soils as Fill

The existing CCR materials near the surface are not currently planned for use as fill. Therefore, compaction testing was not performed on bulk samples obtained during drilling.

#### 7.3.4 Use of Off-Site Borrow Materials as Fill

Imported fill used for site grading should consist of a clean (free of organics and debris), low plasticity soil (Liquid Limit less than 50, Plasticity Index less than 15) with moist (total) unit weight of at least 100 pcf and be evaluated by a Geotechnical Engineer prior to use. Depending on subgrade stability and the amount of borrow material needed, crushed stone, such as DGA, could be required.

#### 7.4 Foundation Recommendations

The following recommendations are considered **preliminary** based on the information available at this time.

#### 7.4.1 Dilled Shafts

The tables attached in Appendix IV of this report present design parameters for axial capacity analyses and lateral (LPILE) analyses. These parameters are also applicable to micropiles or auger cast-in-place (ACIP) piles and should be used by the structural engineer to determine the final depth of embedment coupled with the recommendations given in this report.

Drilled shafts will develop axial capacity from a combination of skin friction along the length of the pile and end bearing at the tip. The soil coefficients used in our axial capacity analyses were developed using published correlations relating soil skin friction and end bearing unit capacities to SPT N-values, and our experience with similar projects/foundations in similar geologic settings. The uplift capacity for shafts can be determined using the dead load of the deep foundation unit and the skin friction values along the sides of the foundation. The buoyant unit weight of concrete should be used below the groundwater levels as noted on each provided table.

Skin friction calculations are cumulative, generally neglecting the upper three (3) to four (4) feet. The provided net allowable bearing pressure and allowable skin friction values are based on a factor of safety of at least 3.0 and 2.0, respectively, with the understanding that load testing will not be performed. Structural capacity of drilled shafts should be evaluated by a structural engineer.

Drilled shaft design parameters were provided with the following considerations:

- Tip elevations for the drilled shaft foundations are expected to extend through the CCR materials into the underlying alluvial sands and gravels. See the Axial Capacity/LPILE Tables presented in Appendix IV of this report with recommended soil parameters.
- Lateral analyses will be performed by others using the geotechnical parameters provided in the attached Axial Capacity/LPILE Tables located in Appendix IV. These parameters may be used to perform analyses using the LPILE computer program. Some of the parameters may not be required,





depending on the version of the program being used. Lateral capacity analysis for foundation elements was beyond the scope of our services and have not been conducted.

#### 7.4.2 *Micropile Foundations*

Based on our experience in the area and understanding of the project, we anticipate micropiles extending into medium dense to dense alluvial sands and gravels can be utilized for deep foundation support at the site. Micropiles are small (typically less than 12-inch) diameter, reinforced, low displacement grout-in-place piles. Typical installation of micropiles requires the installation and advancement of temporary, or permanent, casing and drilling tools through weaker soil strata or fill soils to bearing depth. The casing is then tremie grouted and reinforced with a rebar cage or single large diameter steel bar to provide lateral support to the pile. The pile is then typically grouted under pressure and the casing is retracted forming a grout to ground bond zone, depending on the type and use of the micropile, the casing may be plunged into the grout zone for additional lateral reinforcement. Pile support is primarily achieved by the frictional grout to ground resistance along the uncased portion of the pile to the adjacent soil. Due to the installation method, tip resistance is typically a small percentage of the total load carrying capacity. Additionally, significantly more downward movement of the pile is required to mobilize the tip resistance in comparison to the side shear resistance. As such, it is recommended that only the side shear resistance of the pile be used to estimate the required depth of the pile.

Micropile design parameters were provided with the following considerations:

- Micropile foundations for the planned structures should extend through the site fills to bear within the medium dense to dense underlying alluvial materials. For the purpose of this report, side resistance between pile and existing CCR fills (up to 42.5 feet below existing grade) has been reduced.
- S&ME performed analyses for the use of micropiles to support the planned NGCC structures in general accordance with the procedures and guidance provided in FHWA NHI-05-039 "Micropile Design and Construction" as published by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) in December 2005; however, detailed loading information is not available. Our recommended axial resistances and lateral design parameters presented for these foundations are based on our laboratory evaluation of the on-site soils and our experience with similar projects/foundations in similar geologic settings. Appendix IV presents general design parameters for axial capacity analyses and lateral (LPILE) analyses, to be performed by others.
- Micropiles should also be spaced at least 3-diameters (3D) from the nearest adjacent micropile and at least 6D from the nearest ACIP.
- We recommend micropiles be installed as Type B pressure grouted piles. Casing may either be temporary or permanent based on the lateral design; however, pile design will be the responsibility of the pile contractor.

#### 7.4.3 Auger-Cast Pile Foundations

Auger-cast piles are installed by a continuous auger being bored into the existing ground. During augering, the rotational speed is attempted to be optimized to bring as few cuttings to the surface so that instead the cuttings are pushed against the sidewalls of the borehole to maintain sidewall stability as well as to strengthen the sidewall

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 22 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

for the eventual pile. Upon reaching the required depth, grout is pumped under pressure out of the bottom of the auger and the auger and cuttings are withdrawn while the borehole is filled with the grout mixture. In most cases, either a rebar cage or single large diameter rebar is placed in the grout to provide lateral support to the pile. Pile support is primarily achieved by the frictional resistance along the sidewall of the pile to the adjacent soil. Resistance at the pile tip is also provided; however, due to the installation method, tip resistance is typically a small percentage of the total load carrying capacity. Additionally, significantly more downward movement of the pile is required to mobilize the tip resistance in comparison to the side shear resistance. As such, it is recommended that only the side shear resistance of the pile be used to estimate the required depth of the pile.

The natural sandy and gravelly alluvial soils are well suited for construction of auger-cast pile foundations.

ACIP design parameters were provided with the following considerations:

- Tip elevations for the ACIP foundations are expected to extend through the CCR materials into the underlying alluvial sands and gravels. See the Axial Capacity/LPILE Tables presented in Appendix IV of this report with recommended soil parameters.
- Lateral analyses will be performed by others using the geotechnical parameters provided in the attached Axial Capacity/LPILE Tables located in Appendix IV. These parameters may be used to perform analyses using the LPILE computer program. Some of the parameters may not be required, depending on the version of the program being used. Lateral capacity analysis for foundation elements was beyond the scope of our services and have not been conducted.

#### 7.4.4 Shallow Foundation Support

Based on the CCR materials encountered throughout the site, we recommend undercutting shallow foundations to bear on engineered fill. Foundations should then be sized to limit total settlement to less than 1-inch and differential settlement to less than 1/2-inch.

#### 7.4.5 Slabs-on-Grade

Slabs on grade should be evaluated individually based on dimensions of the planned floor area, expected deformation, and final grade elevation. Based on the soils encountered onsite, we recommend slabs on grade bear on a compacted granular aggregate overlying newly placed and compacted, engineered fill.

#### 7.4.6 Retaining Walls and Sub-Level Walls

Available plans do not provide details concerning retaining walls or sub-levels; however, below-grade portions of proposed structures, or walls acting as retaining walls, should be designed to withstand lateral earth pressures, as well as hydrostatic pressures, which may develop behind the walls. If it is anticipated that the walls of the proposed structures will be fixed at both the top and bottom preventing significant lateral deflections or rotations from occurring, then an "at-rest" earth pressure condition exists. If the walls can deflect a distance of at least 0.1 percent of their height, then an "active" earth pressure condition may be assumed for design purposes. The

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 23 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

magnitude of lateral earth pressures varies based on soil type, permissible wall movement, and configuration of backfill.

Because cohesive soils and granular soils with significant clay content can cause high magnitudes of lateral loads due to creep and swelling pressures, it is recommended that these materials not be used to backfill against below-grade walls. It is recommended that a free-draining granular material such as dense-graded aggregate (DGA) or a coarse angular gravel such as No. 57 limestone, be used as backfill against below-grade walls. This granular zone should be drained so that hydrostatic pressures do not develop against the wall, otherwise, the wall should be designed for hydrostatic loading. Additionally, we recommend granular backfill be placed at a minimum in a wedge formed by the back of the wall and a line rising from the base of the wall at a maximum 60-degree angle from the horizontal. It is unknown at this time what material type will be used behind the below-grade walls. Design parameters for the various conditions are presented in Table 7.2 below.

Material	Unit Weight (γ, pcf)	Effective Friction Angle (Φ')	Active (Ka)	At-Rest (K₀)	Passive (K <sub>P</sub> )
In-situ Sands	120 to 125	32°	0.31	0.47	3.25
Compacted No. 57 Stone	125	40°	0.22	0.36	4.60

#### Table 7.2 – Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients

It is likely temporary shoring will be needed for below-grade excavations especially where excavations are adjacent to and potentially extend below existing structures. The design of temporary shoring is beyond the scope of our work.

# 8.0 Limitations of Report

This report has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practice for specific application to this project. The conclusions and recommendations contained in this report are based upon applicable standards of our practice in this geographic area at the time this report was prepared. No other representation or warranty either express or implied, is made.

We relied on project information given to us to develop our conclusions and recommendations. If project information described in this report is not accurate, or if it changes during project development, we should be notified of the changes so that we can modify our recommendations based on this additional information if necessary.

Our conclusions and recommendations are based on limited data from a field exploration program. Subsurface conditions can vary widely between explored areas. Some variations may not become evident until construction.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 24 of 108 Imber



Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

conditions are encountered which appear different than those described in our report, we should be notified. This report should not be construed to represent subsurface conditions for the entire site.

S&ME should be retained to perform a final geotechnical exploration and to review the final plans and specifications to confirm that earthwork and other recommendations are properly interpreted and implemented.

For more information on the use and limitations of this report, please read the Geoprofessional Business Association (GBA) document that follows this page.

# 

# Important Information About Your Geotechnical Engineering Report

Variations in subsurface conditions can be a principal cause of construction delays, cost overruns and claims. The following information is provided to assist you in understanding and managing the risk of these variations.

#### **Geotechnical Findings Are Professional Opinions**

Geotechnical engineers cannot specify material properties as other design engineers do. Geotechnical material properties have a far broader range on a given site than any manufactured construction material, and some geotechnical material properties may change over time because of exposure to air and water, or human activity.

Site exploration identifies subsurface conditions at the time of exploration and only at the points where subsurface tests are performed or samples obtained. Geotechnical engineers review field and laboratory data and then apply their judgment to render professional opinions about site subsurface conditions. Their recommendations rely upon these professional opinions. Variations in the vertical and lateral extent of subsurface materials may be encountered during construction that significantly impact construction schedules, methods and material volumes. While higher levels of subsurface exploration can mitigate the risk of encountering unanticipated subsurface conditions, no level of subsurface exploration can eliminate this risk.

#### **Geotechnical Findings Are Professional Opinions**

Professional geotechnical engineering judgment is required to develop a geotechnical exploration scope to obtain information necessary to support design and construction. A number of unique project factors are considered in developing the scope of geotechnical services, such as the exploration objective; the location, type, size and weight of the proposed structure; proposed site grades and improvements; the construction schedule and sequence; and the site geology.

Geotechnical engineers apply their experience with construction methods, subsurface conditions and exploration methods to develop the exploration scope. The scope of each exploration is unique based on available project and site information. Incomplete project information or constraints on the scope of exploration increases the risk of variations in subsurface conditions not being identified and addressed in the geotechnical report.

#### Services Are Performed for Specific Projects

Because the scope of each geotechnical exploration is unique, each geotechnical report is unique. Subsurface conditions are explored and recommendations are made for a specific project.

Subsurface information and recommendations may not be adequate for other uses. Changes in a proposed structure location, foundation loads, grades, schedule, etc. may require additional geotechnical exploration, analyses, and consultation. The geotechnical engineer should be consulted to determine if additional services are required in response to changes in proposed construction, location, loads, grades, schedule, etc.

#### **Geo-Environmental Issues**

The equipment, techniques, and personnel used to perform a geo-environmental study differ significantly from those used for a geotechnical exploration. Indications of environmental contamination may be encountered incidental to performance of a geotechnical exploration but go unrecognized. Determination of the presence, type or extent of environmental contamination is beyond the scope of a geotechnical exploration.

#### **Geotechnical Recommendations Are Not Final**

Recommendations are developed based on the geotechnical engineer's understanding of the proposed construction and professional opinion of site subsurface conditions. Observations and tests must be performed during construction to confirm subsurface conditions exposed by construction excavations are consistent with those assumed in development of recommendations. It is advisable to retain the geotechnical engineer that performed the exploration and developed the geotechnical recommendations to conduct tests and observations during construction. This may reduce the risk that variations in subsurface conditions will not be addressed as recommended in the geotechnical report.

Portion obtained with permission from "Important Information About Your Geotechnical Engineering Report", ASFE, 2004 © S&/ME, Inc. 2010

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 26 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



# Appendices

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 27 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



# Appendix I – Site Location Map / Boring Location Plan

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 28 of 108 Imber



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 29 of 108 Imber



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 30 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



# Appendix II – Test Boring Log Legend / Boring Logs / CPT Logs / Field Resistivity / Site Photos

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 31 of 108 Imber

# **TEST BORING LOG LEGEND**

COARSE (	GRAINED SOILS	FINE GRA	AINED SOILS	PA	PARTICLE SIZE		
(SANDS	AND GRAVELS)	(CLAYS AND SILTS)					
<u>N</u>	Relative Density	N	<u>Consistency</u>	Boulders	Greater than 300 mm (12"		
0-4	Very Loose	0-2	Very Soft	Cobbles	75 mm—300 mm (3-12")		
5-10	Loose	3-4	Soft	Gravel	4.75 mm—75 mm (3/16-3		
11-30	Medium Dense	5-8	Medium Stiff	Coarse Sand	2 mm—4.74 mm		
31-50	Dense	9-15	Stiff	Medium Sand	.425 mm—2 mm		
Over 50	Very Dense	16-30	Very Stiff	Fine Sand	0.075 mm—0.425 mm		
		Over 30	Hard	Silts and Clays	Less than 0.075 mm		

and testing and to obtain relative density and consistency information. A standard 1.4-inch I.D. / 2.0-inch O.D. split barrel sampler is driven three 6-inch increments with a 140 lb. hammer falling 30 inches. The hammer can either be of a trip, free-fall design, or actuated by a rope and cathead. The blow counts required to drive the sampler the final two 6-inch increments are added together and designated the N-value defined in the above tables.

#### **ROCK PROPERTIES**

	RQD	ROCK	( HARDNESS
Percent RQD	Quality	Very Hard	Rock can be broken by heavy hammer blows.
0-25	Very Poor	Hard	Rock cannot be broken by thumb pressure, but can be broken by moderate hammer blows.
25-50	Poor		
50-75	Fair	Moderately Hard	Small pieces can be broken off along sharp edges by considerable thumb pressure; can be broken with light hammer blows.
75-90	Good	Soft	Rock is coherent but breaks very easily with thumb pressure at sharp edges and crumbles with firm hand pressure.
90-100	00-100 Excellent and		and crumbles with firm hand pressure.
50 100		Very Soft	Rock disintegrates or easily compresses when touched; can be hard to very hard soil.

PLATE 3

			KEY		
Undisturbed Sample Standard Pene Test Sample Rock Core Sample	etration	RQD= (Rock Quality Designation)	Sum of 4" and Longer Rock Pieces Recovered Length of Core Run	- x100	N
<u>Core Diameter (I.D.)</u> BQ NQ HQ	Inches 1-7/16 1-7/8 2-1/2	REC = (Recovery)	Length of Rock Core Recovered Length of Core Run	- x100	P
HQ	2-1/2				

#### SOIL PROPERTY SYMBOLS

- N Standard Penetration, BPF
- IMC Natural Moisture Content, %
- LL Liquid Limit, %
- PL Plastic Limit, %
- PI Plasticity Index, %
- PPV Pocket Penetrometer Value, TSF
- Qu Unconfined Compressive Strength, TSF
- Yd Dry Unit Weight, PCF
- F Fines Content

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 32 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:								vestigation ng Station		В	ORI	NGL	.0G:	B-01								
			<b>S</b> 8					6 - Mill Creek		Sheet 1 of 3												
ATE DRILLED: 01	/11/	/2023				ELEV/	NOTES:															
RILL RIG: Diedr	rich	D-120	(ATC	2)		DATU	<b>M:</b> N/	AVD88		1												
RILLER: Horn a				,				<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft		1												
				4.40 1	``					-												
AMMER TYPE: A					)			Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0764	LON	GITUDI	-85.907						
RILLING METHO			HSA			LOGG	EDBI	A. Carr	TE SYST													
			r						ľ						I TEST D							
DEPTH (feet)	OTES		Origin/Identifie	Origin/Identifier	Origin/Identifie	Drigin/Identifie	rigin/Identifier	Drigin/Identifier	Origin/Identifie	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA ſ N-value)			4 % 0 n H f	Fines		ELEVATION
0							Blank c	rilling - no samples obtained.														
_																						
_																						
-																						
																43						
- -																						
_																						
-		$\nabla$																				
_																						
10 -																						
-																						
-		V																				
-																						
15																4:						
-																						
_																						
_																						
20 Hole Cave	at																					
20.0 feet																						
-																						
_																						
25 -																4						
														-								
-																						
-		29.0	_		М	.  -	SANDY	LEAN CLAY (CL), soft to medium		2-2-1												
30 -			Alluvium		∬ SS-1 (18 ii	1		d brown, wet to very moist		N = 3				-								
-			Allu		,	<i>`</i>																
						DE	PTH					1	1	1								
GROUNDWATER DATE				(F	-т)	REMARKS																
D D OF DRILLING	-	01/11/ 01/11/					2.0					-										
TER DRILLING	T																					
TER DRILLING	T											1		2								

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 33 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:							ical Investigation nerating Station		В	ORI	NG L	OG:	B-01			
			<b>S</b> 8				60136 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 2	? of 3			
ATE DRILLED	<b>):</b> 01/11,	/2023			-	ELEVA	<b>TION:</b> 439 ft		NOTES:							
RILL RIG: []	Diedrich	D-120	(ATC	2)		DATU	M: NAVD88									
RILLER: Ho						BORIN	IG DEPTH: 80.5 ft		1							
IAMMER TY				140			<b>IRE:</b> Cement-Bentonite Grout		-							
RILLING ME							ED BY: A. Carr		LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	38.050	0764	LON	GITUDE:	-85.9074	
AMPLING M			115/1			1000	PROJECT COORDINA	ATE SYST	EM - NAD	) 1983	StateP	lane Kei	ntucky i	North FIPS	1601 Feet	
			ier							STAN	IDARD	PENET	RATION	I TEST DAT	4	
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVE		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	_	W COUNT DATA ſ N-value)	2	0 4	O N H PI	Fines MC LLL 60 8	0 100	ELEVATION	
_		32.0				s	ANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), medium stiff, r	red								
-						b	prown, wet to very moist									
35 —					SS-2 (15 ir	2			4-4-3 N = 7	•					40	
					🛆 (15 ir	ר)									- 40	
1		27.0			_										_	
4		37.0					POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT ANI GRAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense to								_	
-					dense, brown, very moist					5-7-6						_
40					∬ SS-3 (9 in			n	N = 13	•					39	
-					(5 11	<i>'</i>										
-															_	
-																
45 —					🕅 ss-4	L I			6-9-8 N = 17	•					39	
43					🛆 (12 ir	ר)										
_			۶												_	
-			Alluvium													
-			All						3-4-7							
50					SS-5 (6 in			n	N = 11	•					- 38	
-					(0	<i>'</i>										
-									42.42							
55					SS-6 (9 in	;			-12-13 N = 25		•				38	
					∐ (9 in	)										
4															_	
4												-			_	
-									5-14-12						_	
60					SS-7 (15 ir	' n)		1	N = 26		•				37	
-					(131	·,									$\neg$	
-																
-																
GROUNDWATER DATE			DEP	REMAR	к <u>с</u>							_				
GROUNDWAIER         DAIE           TD         \sum 01/11/2023				(F1 8.1	I)				-							
D OF DRILLIN		-				12					1					
TER DRILLING		-									]					
TER DRILLING	G <b>T</b>															

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 34 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:								vestigation ng Station		В	ORIN	IG L	OG:	B-01		
			<b>S</b> 8					6 - Mill Creek		Sheet 3 of 3						
ATE DRILLED:	01/11/	/2023				ELEVA	ELEVATION: 439 ft									
RILL RIG: Die	edrich I	D-120	(ATC	C)		DATUM: NAVD88										
RILLER: Horr	n and A	Associa	ates			BORII	NG DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft		-						
HAMMER TYPE: Auto Hammer (140 lb)							URF: (	Cement-Bentonite Grout		-						
RILLING METH					~/			A. Carr		LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	8.050	)764	LON	GITUDE	-85.9074
AMPLING MET					-			PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAC	1983	StatePl	ane Kei	ntucky i	North FIP	S 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA N-value)	STAN 2		∆% On Hpi	Fines	1 <b>TEST DA</b>	ELEVATION
_					M	_	POORL	Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	15	5-15-16						
65 —					SS-8 (15 i	5	GRAVE	L (SP-SM), medium dense to		N = 31		•				374
-							aense,	brown, very moist		-						
_		67.0						Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND L (SP-SM), medium dense to								
_								brown, moist to wet	4	-8-14						
70 -					SS-9 (15 i				M	N = 22						36
_			E		- (131	,										
-			Alluvium													
-			A						10	)-19-26						
75					X 55-1					N = 45			•			36
-					└┘ (12 i	n)										
-																
_																
					SS-1	1				)-12-12 N = 24		•				
80		80.5			/ (12 i	n) L	Boreho	le terminated at 80.5 feet								35
-						ľ										
-																
-																
85 —										-						
-																
-																
4										r						
90 -										-						34
-										-						
_																
95 —										·						34
							DTU					1				
ROUNDWATE				DATE		(F	PTH FT)	REMARK	S			-				
D D OF DRILLING		01/11/ 01/11/				_	3.0 2.0					-				
TER DRILLING	T T	51/11/	2023													
TER DRILLING	T															

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 35 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:						otechnical Investigation eek Generating Station				BORING LOG: B-02							
		S				136 - Mill Creek		Sheet 1 of 3									
ATE DRILLED: 01/	11/2023	3			ELEVATION: 438 ft												
RILL RIG: Diedri	ch D-120	) (ATO	C)		DATUM:	NAVD88											
RILLER: Horn an			-		BORING DEPTH: 80.5 ft												
AMMER TYPE: Au			(140		CLOSURE: Cement-Bentonite Grout												
RILLING METHOD						BY: N. Jones		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0699	LONG	GITUDE:	-85.9072			
AMPLING METHO		_				PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAC	0 1983	StateF	Plane Ke	ntucky N	North FIPS	5 1601 Feet			
DE PTH (feet)	NOTES Origin/Identifier		GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVE		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA [ N-value)			4 % 0 n H p	Fines	<b>TEST DA</b>	ELEVATION			
0					Blan	k drilling - no samples obtained.								43			
_																	
-																	
-														_			
5-														- 43			
_																	
_														_			
_																	
10														- 42			
-																	
_																	
-														_			
15 —														- 42			
_																	
Hole Cave a	t													41			
20.0 feet																	
-																	
-																	
25														41			
-																	
-											1						
-								1 1 1									
Begin using 30 drilling fluid	29.0	Ę		SS-1	don	Y SAND (SM), very loose to medium se, red brown with gray, moist to	n	1-1-1 N = 2						40			
29 feet.	Alluvium		└┘ (18 in		moist												
ROUNDWATER			DATE		DEPTH (FT)	REMAR	<s< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></s<>										
D						Drilling fluid used. Groundwater				1							
ND OF DRILLING	<b>T</b>					Drilling fluid used. Groundwater	not meas	sured.		4							
TER DRILLING	Y Y									_							

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 36 of 108 Imber

ROJEC	T:						Investigation Iting Station	B	ORII	NG L	.0G:	B-02					
			58				.36 - Mill Creek		Sheet 2 of 3								
ATE DRI	LLED: 01/11	/2023			E	LEVATIO	<b>N:</b> 438 ft	NOTES	NOTES:								
RILL RIG	: Diedrich	D-120	(ATC	C)	0	DATUM:	NAVD88										
RILLER:	Horn and <i>J</i>	Associa	ates		E		<b>EPTH:</b> 80.5 ft										
AMMER	TYPE: Auto	Hamr	ner (	140	b) <b>(</b>	LOSURE:	Cement-Bentonite Grout										
	METHOD:						SY: N. Jones	LATITUD	LATITUDE: 38.050699 LONGITUDE: -85.9072								
	G METHOD:						PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYSTEM - NA	0 1983	StateP	lane Kei	ntucky N	Iorth FIPS	1601 Feet			
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE N (RECOVER		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	BLOW COUNT DATA (SPT N-value)			Δ% ΟN Η PI	Fines MC	<b>TEST DAT</b>	ELEVATION			
		32.0	0			CUTV	SAND (SM), medium dense, red			i				_			
_		52.0					n with gray, moist to very moist										
-		245			SS-2	-		4-5-6 N = 11	•								
35		34.5			SS-2 (18 in)		RLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND /EL (SP-SM), medium dense, brown,							40			
-						mois	t										
-														_			
_					Μ			3-5-6	_					_			
40 -					SS-3 (18 in)			N = 11	•					39			
-																	
=																	
_								8-12-13						_			
45 -					SS-4 (18 in)			N = 25		•				- 39			
_					- (18 11)									_			
-			in I														
_			Alluvium					3-7-10									
50 -					SS-5			N = 17	•					38			
_					🏳 (18 in)									_			
_														_			
_														_			
					SS-6			7-12-9 N = 21		•				38			
-19	Cobbles encountered				SS-6 (18 in)												
ļ	in split-spoon													_			
j	at 55 feet.													_			
_					SS-7			6-12-13 N = 25		•				—			
60					△ (18 in)			N = 25		-				37			
_																	
-														_			
_																	
ROUND	WATER			DATE		DEPTH (FT)	REMARKS										
ATD 🗵							Drilling fluid used. Groundwater no			]							
D OF DR TER DRIL		-					Drilling fluid used. Groundwater no	t measured.		-							
TER DRIL		-								1							
Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 37 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:						al Investigation erating Station		В	ORING	6 LOG	B-02	2	
		9				60136 - Mill Creek				Sheet	3 of 3	}	
ATE DRILLI	E <b>D:</b> 01/11/2	2023			ELEVAT	ION: 438 ft	r	NOTES:					
RILL RIG:	Diedrich D	-120 (A	FC)		DATUN	: NAVD88							
RILLER: +	lorn and As	ssociate	s		BORING	<b>G DEPTH:</b> 80.5 ft							
AMMER T	YPE: Auto H	lammer	(140	lb)	CLOSUI	RE: Cement-Bentonite Grout							
	ETHOD: 3-		A		LOGGE	<b>D BY:</b> N. Jones	L	LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 38.	050699	LON	GITUDE:	-85.9072
AMPLING	METHOD:	SS		1		PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYSTE	<b>M -</b> NAC	) 1983 Sta	tePlane K	entucky	North FIPS	1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	D	COUNT ATA N-value)	STANDA 20	∆° O H	6 Fines NMC PLLL	N TEST DATA	ELEVATION
				SS-8	, PC	OORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	8-1	.3-11	•				-
65 —	f	65.5		(18 ii	n) G	RAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense, brow oist	n, N÷	= 24	•				37
	· · · · · ·				SI	TY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), media inse, dark gray, moist to wet	J.M.						
-							6-9	9-12					_
70				SS-9 (14 ii			N :	= 21	•				36
-		Ę		(1.1.1	.,								_
-		Alluvium											
-							7-1	.0-15					
75				SS-1 (18 ii			N :	= 25	•				36
_				- (10									_
-								-					
_							7-8	8-10					
80 —				SS-1 (18 ii			N :	= 18	•				- 35
_	8	80.5		(10)	BC	orehole terminated at 80.5 feet							_
-													
-													
85 —													35
_													
-													
-													
90 —													34
_										_			_
-								-					_
95													34
ROUNDW	ATER		DATE		DEPT (FT)		s						
D					(.1)	Drilling fluid used. Groundwater							
D OF DRILL						Drilling fluid used. Groundwater	not measu	red.					

## Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 38 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:							vestigation ng Station		BORI	NG	LOG:	B-03		
		S&					6 - Mill Creek			Sł	heet 1	l of 3		
ATE DRILLED: 01	1/11/2023						: 448 ft	NOTE	<b>s</b> : <sub>Hv</sub>	drov	acuur	n exca	avation g	enerally
							AVD88						urficial cl	
RILL RIG: CME	-				DATU		4VD88		sai	nds a	nd te	rmina	tes at CC	CR
RILLER: Horn a	and Associ	ates			BORIN	NG DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft		ma	ateria	als.			
IAMMER TYPE: /	Auto Ham	mer (1	140 lk	c)	CLOSU	JRE: (	Cement-Bentonite Grout							
RILLING METHO	D: 3-1/4	' HSA			LOGGI	ED BY	: A. Carr	LATITU	JDE:	38.05	0632	LON	GITUDE:	-85.9068
AMPLING METH	IOD: SS	<del>, , ,</del>					PROJECT COORDINATE	SYSTEM - N	AD 1983	State	Plane Ke	ntucky N	North FIPS 1	601 Feet
		ē							STA	NDARI	D PENET	RATION	TEST DATA	
문 😥 📃 🔉	IOTES	Origin/Identifier	HC	SAMPLE	NO.			BLOW COUN	т		• •/	<b>-</b>		ELEVATION
DEPTH (feet)		in/Id	GRAPHIC	(RECOVE			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	DATA (SPT N-value	.)		() N	Fines MC		EVA:
		Orig	Ũ							20		LLL 50 8	D 100	
0		Surf ace				Tonsoi	l, 9 inches	1-3-12-13	_					44
_	0.8	a S		X SS-1	· [		CLAY (CL), stiff to medium stiff,	N = 15	-•					_
_				( ) (18 in			, moist, some CCR in sample SS-3,	5-2-3-5						_
_				SS-2		FILL		N = 5	•					_
_				( ) (20 in	ו)			2-2-3-4						_
5 —				X ss-з				N = 5 PPV= 2.8	•					- 44
-	6.0			/ ) (18 in		PO7-0	-TEC (Ash), dense to very dense,	FFV- 2.0						_
-	0.0			SS-4			ray, slightly moist	4-18-32-29	)		•			_
-				(22 in	ו)	-		N = 50	, —					_
-				SS-5				8-19-29-27 N = 48	·		•			_
10 -		Ē		(22 in	1)			5-30-50/2	·					43
-				SS-6				N = 50/2"					•	
_				(14 in	· _			7-11-13-20	, —					_
_	12.0			ss-7			-TEC (Ash), medium dense to dark gray, slightly moist	N = 24		•				
-				(24 in		Jense,	uark gray, siightiy moist	8-15-18-23						
				SS-8				N = 33						43
-				(24 in				4-3-6-6						
_				ss-9				N = 9						
-				(21 in				2-2-4-3						
_	18.5			M			LEAN CLAY (CL), medium stiff,	2-2-4-3 N = 6						
	10.5			SS-10 (24 in	· .		, moist, with CCR staining							
20					.,		_							- 42
-														
-	22.0						Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND							
-							L (SP-SM), loose to medium brown, wet							
-		Ę		🛛 ss-11		Jense,	blown, wet	4-4-4 N = 8	•					
25		Alluvium		∆ (18 in				N - 0						- 42
_	26.0	A				POORI	Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	1						-
1							L (SP-SM), medium dense, brown,			1				-
					V	wet				+				-
-				Μ				3-6-8		+				-
30 -				SS-12 (15 in				N = 14		+		$\left  \right $		- 41
_				12311						+	-	$\left  \right $		-
		1								<u> </u>				
GROUNDWATER		D	DATE		DEP (F		REMARKS							
ГD	☑ 01/11				54	.0								
ND OF DRILLING	▼ 01/11	/2023			50	0.0				_				
FTER DRILLING	Y     Y									-		5		
FTER DRILLING							cy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)					<b>X</b> _		

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 39 of 108 Imber

PROJECT	:							restigation ng Station		В	ORIN	NG L	.0G:	B-03		
			<b>S</b> 8					5 - Mill Creek				Sh	neet 2	2 of 3		
ATE DRILI	LED: 01/11	/2023			-	ELEV	ATION:	448 ft	I	NOTES:	,-					n genera
RILL RIG:	CME-55	(Track)				DATU	JM: NA	VD88								l clays ar
	Horn and					BORI	ING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft				ds a teria		rmina	tes at	CCR
	TYPE: Auto			1401	h)			ement-Bentonite Grout			IIIa	lena	115.			
	METHOD:							A. Carr	——-ī	ATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	38.05	0632	LON	GITUDE	: -85.906
	METHOD:		115/1					PROJECT COORDINATI	E SYSTE	<b>M -</b> NAC	0 1983	StateP	lane Ke	ntucky i	North FIF	PS 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	D	COUNT ATA N-value)	STAN 2		Δ% Ο Ν Η Ρ	Fines MC LLL	1 <b>TEST D</b>	EI EVATION
_			-				POORIN	GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND				-				
_							GRAVEL	(SP-SM), medium dense, brown,								
-					X 55-1	3	wet			8-11 = 19		,				
35					∐ (12 ii											4
-																
_										-						
_					M .c. 1					2-14		•				
40					SS-1 (18 ii				N	= 26		•				- 4
-										-						
_																
_									6-	8-7						
45 —					SS-1 (18 ii				N	= 15	•					
					- (181	n)										
-			ш													
-			Alluvium													
50 -		T			X 55-1	6				-6-6 = 12	•					3
- H	ole Cave at 0.0 feet				∐ (15 ii	n)										
	0.0 1001															
-																
-		$\nabla$			SS-1	_				9-11						
55 — —					∆ (18 ii	/ n)			N :	= 20						3
-																
-		57.0						RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), dense jum dense, dark brown, wet								
_							to meu	an acroc, aan brown, wet	6-1	.5-16			-			
60 _					SS-1 (18 ii				N	= 31		•				3
					- (10	")				ľ						
-													+			
-											_					
	NATER			DATE			EPTH	REMARKS								
TD		2 01/11/					(FT) 54.0	REIVIARIAS				-				
ND OF DRIL		-				_	i0.0									
FTER DRILL		-														
FTER DRILL								y: Handheld GPS (1 ft)						<u> </u>		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 40 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:								vestigation ng Station		В	ORII	NGL	OG: I	B-03		
			<b>S</b> 8					6 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 3	of 3		
DATE DRILLE	<b>D:</b> 01/11/	2023				ELEV	ATION:	448 ft		NOTES:	,					n generally
DRILL RIG:	CME-55 ( <sup>-</sup>	Track)				DAT	<b>UM:</b> N/	AVD88		1					urficial tes at	l clays and
DRILLER: H	orn and A	ssocia	ates			BOR	ING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft		1		teria		iiiiid	ies al	CCN
IAMMER TY	<b>PE:</b> Auto	Hamm	ner (	140	b)	CLO	SURE: (	Cement-Bentonite Grout								
	ETHOD: 3	-1/4"				LOG	GED BY	A. Carr		LATITUD		38.050				-85.9068
	IETHOD:	SS			1			PROJECT COORDINA	ATE SYS	<b>TEM -</b> NAI	0 1983	StateP	lane Ker	ntucky N	lorth FIP	S 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA T N-value)			∆ % I O NI H PL	Fines MC	TEST DA	ELEVATION
65 —					X 55-1	.9		RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), dense		-10-13		•				383
					∐ (18 i		to med	ium dense, dark brown, wet		N = 23						
-																
-																
					∬ ss-2	0				6-8-8 N = 16	•					378
/0					∐ (18 i											
-			Alluvium													
-			Allu													
					∬ ss-2	1				-15-15 N = 30		•				
75					∐ (18 i											373
-																
-																
-					∏ ss-2	2				4-5-12 N = 17	•					
80		80.5			∆ (18 i		Boreho	le terminated at 80.5 feet		<b>N</b> - 17						368
-							(									
_																
85 — -																
-																
-																
-																
90																35
-																
4																
-																
95 —																353
					I		ЕРТН						1			]
GROUNDW/		01/11/2		DATE			(FT) 54.0	REMAR	(5			-				
ND OF DRILLI		2023 2023			-	50.0										
FTER DRILLIN												]				
FTER DRILLIN								:y: Handheld GPS (1 ft)						8		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 41 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:						Investigation Iting Station	E	ORIN	g log	: B-04	Ļ	
		S				.36 - Mill Creek			Sheet	1 of 3	!	
DATE DRILLED: 12	2/15/20					<b>N:</b> 462 ft	NOTES	: Hydr	ovacuu	im exc	avation ge	enerally
RILL RIG: Died	rich D-	50 (trac	k)		DATUM:	NAVD88		exte	nds thr	ough s	urficial cla	ays and
ORILLER: T. Fros						<b>DEPTH:</b> 80.0 ft				ermina	ates at CC	R
								mate	erials.			
IAMMER TYPE: /			-			Cement-Bentonite Grout		<b>)E</b> , 20	.050553		GITUDE:	0E 0064
RILLING METHO			4		LOGGED E	BY: A. Carr PROJECT COORDINAT						
	<b>UD.</b> 33		1			PROJECT COORDINAL						
DEPTH (feet)	IOTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVE	-	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	BLOW COUNT DATA (SPT N-value)	-	۵ O	% Fines NMC PLLL	0 100	ELEVATION
0					Торы	pil, 18 inches						462
	1.5	5			Hydr obtai	ovacuum excavation - no samples ned.	_					
5	6.0			М		O-TEC (Ash), loose, dark gray and						- 45
-	7.5	_		SS-1 (9 in)		gray, slightly moist RLY GRADED SAND (SP), medium	_	•				
-	8.5			SS-2	dens	e, gray, slightly moist	5-10-18-51 N = 28					
10 -	0			(24 in	1 POZ-	O-TEC (Ash), dense to very dense, gray and light gray, slightly moist	8-18-19-17					45
-				ss-3		gray and light gray, slightly moist	N = 37		_			
_				(24 in			15-27-40-50					
_				SS-4			N = 67					
-	14	0		(24 in			7-15-15-15					_
15 —	14	.0		SS-5		O-TEC (Ash), medium dense, dark and light gray, slightly moist	N = 30		•			- 44
-	16	0		(24 in	)	O-TEC (Ash), very dense to dense,	13-23-34-50/	·				_
-	10	.0		X ss-6	dark	gray and light gray, slightly moist to	2"			•		_
-				실 (20 in	) mois		N = 57 8-22-26-34					_
-		E		SS-7			N = 48		•			_
20 -				/ (24 in	)							44
_										_		-
_										_		-
_	22						_			_		-
-	23	.0		∬ ss-8		O-TEC (Ash), medium dense, dark and light gray, slightly moist	5-9-13 N = 22					_
25 -				∆ (18 in			N - 22			_		- 43
_				, . <u>.</u>						_		1 ^
_												
_							9-12-18					
30 -				SS-9 (18 in			N = 30		•			43
-				- (10				$  - \overline{ }$				- 43
	1 1				DEPTH	1		<u>   </u>				
ROUNDWATER		//	DATE		(FT)	REMARKS						
	_	/15/2022			70.0							
ND OF DRILLING	_	/16/2022			70.0	Not measured due to cave-in at 70	feet					
LEN DIVIELING	112	1 201 2021	-		+	not measured due to cave-in at 70	icct.					

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 42 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:								vestigation ing Station		В	ORI	NG L	OG:	B-04			
			S					36 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 2	2 of 3			
ATE DRILLED	: 12/15/	/2022			-			l: 462 ft	ſ	NOTES:	,					on gen	
RILL RIG: D	iedrich (	D-50 (	tracl	<)		DATU	JM: N	AVD88						-		al clay	s and
RILLER: T. F	rost					BOR	ING D	EPTH: 80.0 ft				ids ai teria		rmina	ites a	t CCR	
AMMER TYP		Hamr	ner (	140	h)			Cement-Bentonite Grout			mu	teria	15.				
				-	~)			<i>r</i> : A. Carr	[L	ATITUD	E: 3	38.050	0553	LON	GITUD	<b>E:</b> -85	5.9064
AMPLING M								PROJECT COORDINATE	SYSTE	M - NAD	) 1983	StateP	lane Ke	ntucky i	North F	PS 1601	Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	D	COUNT ATA I-value)			а % О n Н р	Fines IMC LLL 50 8			ELEVATION
-		32.0						-TEC (Ash), very dense, dark gray									
_					Μ		and li	ght gray, slightly moist		4-50							
35 —			_		SS-1 (16 i				N÷	= 64				•			42
_			Eill														
-																	
-					Μ					4-3							
40		39.0			∬ SS-1 (18 i			LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), loose, orange brown to		= 7	•						42
-								rown, slightly moist, black staining									
-																	
-									3-	5-5							
45					SS-1 (15 i				N÷	= 10	•						41
-					(101	,											
-																	
-									3-	3-4							
50 -					SS-1 (15 i				N	= 7	•						41
			Ę		- (131	"											41
-		52.0	Alluvium				POOR	LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	_								
_		52.0					GRAV	EL (SP-SM), medium dense to	3-	5-9							
					SS-1		dense moist	, dark brown, slightly moist to		= 14	•						40
55 — —					🗠 (18 i	n)											40
-																	
_									6-1	3-14							
					SS-1					= 27		•					
60					└┘ (12 i	n)											40
-																	
									1.7	25-22							
	I				Μ		ЕРТН		_	LJ-22							
		10/45		DATE		(	(FT)	REMARKS				-		_			
TD ND OF DRILLIN		12/15/ 12/16/				-	70.0 70.0					-					
FTER DRILLING		12/20/						Not measured due to cave-in at 70	feet.			1					
FTER DRILLING	<b>•</b>													8			

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 43 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:									ivestigation		В	ORI	NGL	.0G:	B-04			
				58					ing Station 36 - Mill Creek				Sh	neet 3	of 3			
DATE DRILL	ED: 12/1	5/2	022						l: 462 ft		NOTES:	,					n gene	
ORILL RIG:	Diedrich	ם ח	50 (t	rack	()		DAT	<b>UM:</b> N	AVD88		-				-		l clays	and
RILLER:							BOF		EPTH: 80.0 ft				ids a iteria	nd tei	mina	ites at	CCR	
IAMMER T		<u>л н</u>	amm	hor (	1401	h)			Cement-Bentonite Grout		-	IIIa	lena	15.				
DRILLING N									<i>r</i> : A. Carr		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0553	LON	GITUDI	85.	9064
AMPLING									PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYST	EM - NAI	D 1983	StateP	lane Kei	ntucky i	North FI	PS 1601	eet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTE	s		Drigin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA <sup>•</sup> N-value)	-		O N H PI	Fines MC LL			ELEVATION
				ō		VI						2	20 4	1	08	0 10	0	
65 — —						∬ SS-1 (15 i		GRAV	LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), medium dense to , dark brown, slightly moist to	1	l = 47			•				397
_								moist										
-											12.22			-				
-						🕅 ss-1	7				)-13-32 N = 45			•				
	le Cave at		V			🏳 (18 i	n)											39
	.0 feet			Alluvium														
_		7	2.0	Alluv					GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), mediu , dark brown, moist									
-				X 55-1	8	ucrise			)-12-12 N = 24		•							
75						∐ (9 ir												38
-																		
-																		
-						M 1	0				-10-12							
80 -		01	0.0			SS-1 (15 i		Porch	ole terminated at 80.0 feet		l = 22							382
-		0	0.0					Plane		-1								
_																		
-																		
85 -																		377
-																		571
-																		
-																		
90 —																		37:
-																		
_																		
4																		
95																		367
		1					<b>.</b>						I					
GROUNDW					DATE			DEPTH (FT)	REMARK	S								
TD ND OF DRILI		_	$\frac{2}{15/2}$				_	70.0 70.0					-					
FTER DRILLI								,0.0	Not measured due to cave-in at 7	) feet.			-					
FTER DRILLI	NG 🗵	_													2			

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 44 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:						l Investigation rating Station		В	ORII	NG L	OG:	B-05		
		S				136 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 1	of 3		
DATE DRILLED: 12	2/13/202					<b>DN:</b> 462 ft		NOTES:	Нус	drova	acuun	n exca	avatior	generall
RILL RIG: Died	rich D-5(	0 (tracl	$\langle \rangle$		DATUM:	NAVD88		-				-		clays and
			<b>v</b> )					-				rmina	tes at	CCR
RILLER: T. Fros	t				BORING	<b>DEPTH:</b> 80.0 ft		-	ma	teria	ls.			
AMMER TYPE: A				b)	CLOSURI	Cement-Bentonite Grout			_					
RILLING METHO		4" HSA	L.		LOGGED	BY: A. Carr		LATITUD		38.050				-85.9062
AMPLING METH	OD: SS	_		1		PROJECT COORDIN	ATE SYST	EM - NAD	) 1983	StateP	lane Kei	ntucky ľ	North FIP	S 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	OTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVE		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA N-value)			∆ % O N H PI	Fines	1 <b>TEST DA</b>	ELEVATION
0		_			Hyd	rovacuum excavation - no sample	es							46
_						ained.								
-	2.0			⊠ SS-1	POZ	-O-TEC (Ash), dense to very dense	P	50/5" = 50/5"					•	
				(5 in)	dar	k gray and light gray, slightly mois	t N	- 30/3						
_ =														
5				Μ				26-22-24 N = 48			-			
-				SS-2 (24 in							•			
-	7.0			(2411	POZ	-O-TEC (Ash), loose, dark gray an	d							
-					ligh	t gray, slightly moist								
-														
10-				Μ				5-4-10 N = 9						
-				SS-3 (24 in					•					
-	12.0	D		$\overline{M}$	POZ	-O-TEC (Ash), very dense, dark gr	av	28-45-45 N = 73						
_				SS-4 (24 in	1 0.10	light gray, slightly moist	ľ	N = 75				•		
-	14.0	D		$\overline{M}$	POZ	-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense to		.2-13-18 N = 25						
15-				SS-5		se, dark gray and light gray, slight	ly <sup>r</sup>	N = 25		•				- 44
-				/ ) (24 in	) moi	st		15-12-29						
-		E		X SS-6	.		r	N = 27		•				_
_				(24 in	)			8-22-29						
_				SS-7	.		r	N = 40		-	•			
20 -				/ (24 in	)									
_	21.0	0			POZ	-O-TEC (Ash), very dense to dense	e.							
-						k gray and light gray, slightly mois								
_								1-28-36						
_				X SS-8			1	N = 59			-			
25 —				/ (24 in	)									
_														
-														_
-							_	15 10						
-				X 55-9				-15-19 N = 34		•				
30 -				☐ (18 in	)					-				
-														_
ROUNDWATER			DATE		DEPTH (FT)	REMAR	RKS							
D	☑ 12/	13/2022			70.0								111	
D OF DRILLING	<b>V</b> 12/	14/2022			70.0					]				
TER DRILLING		20/2022				Groundwater not encountered.								
TER DRILLING	≖											K.		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 45 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:								nvestigation ting Station		BORI	NG	LOG:	B-05		
			<b>S</b> 8					36 - Mill Creek			Sł	heet 2	2 of 3		
DATE DRILLED: 12	2/13/	2022						<b>I:</b> 462 ft	NOT	•••					n generall <sup>,</sup>
DRILL RIG: Died	rich (	D-50 (†	track	<)		DATU	JM: N	IAVD88							l clays and
DRILLER: T. Fros				•)				EPTH: 80.0 ft					rmina	ites at	CCR
			,							ma	ateria	ais.			
HAMMER TYPE: /					b)			Cement-Bentonite Grout		TUDE:	38.05	50505	ION	GITUDE	85.9062
ORILLING METHO			HSA			LOGO	JED B	Y: A. Carr PROJECT COORDINATE							
			5												
DEPTH (feet)	OTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLI (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	BLOW COU DATA (SPT N-val	JNT lue)		а % О n Н р	Fines IMC 'LLL	0 100	ELEVATION
		32.0					POZ-C	D-TEC (Ash), medium dense, dark							
_							gray a	nd light gray, slightly moist	8-10-1	0					
			Fill		SS-1				N = 20	)	•				
35					└┘ (18 i	n)									42
_															
_		37.0						LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), medium dense, orange							
-					∏ ss-1	1		n, slightly moist	4-6-7 N = 13						
40 -					∆ (18 i				N = 13						42
-															
-															
-															
-					🕅 ss-1	2			6-9-8 N = 17						
45					∐ (18 i	n)									
_															
_															
-									4-7-10	)					
			E		SS-1				N = 17						
50			Alluvium		└─ <sup> </sup> (12 i	n)									
-			All												
3															
-					М				5-7-6						
55 —					∬ SS-1 (12 i				N = 13						40
-					(	,									
-		57.0					DOOD		_			_			
-		57.0						LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), dense to medium							
-					🕅 ss-1	.5	dense	, brown, slightly moist to moist	6-14-19 N = 33		•	,			
60					∐ (15 i						+			$\vdash$	40
_											-				
_											-			$\vdash$	
-									14-16-1	.2	+				
					1./	DF	PTH						1		
GROUNDWATER				DATE		(	FT)	REMARKS							
TD ND OF DRILLING	_	12/13/ 12/14/					0.0				-				
FTER DRILLING		12/14/					0.0	Groundwater not encountered.			-				
FTER DRILLING	T	,/				-					-				

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 46 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:								nvestigation ting Station		В	ORI	NGL	.0G:	B-05		
			S					ang station 36 - Mill Creek				Sh	ieet 3	e of 3		
DATE DRILLE	<b>D:</b> 12/13	/2022						<b>I:</b> 462 ft		NOTES:	,					n generall
ORILL RIG:	Diedrich	D-50	(tracl	k)		DAT	<b>UM:</b> N	IAVD88		-				0		l clays and
DRILLER: T.			(	.,				EPTH: 80.0 ft		-		nds a Iteria		rmina	tes at	CCR
			marl	(140)						-	[]]d	iteria	IS.			
AMMER TY				-				Cement-Bentonite Grout Y: A. Carr		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0505	LONG	GITUDE	: -85.9062
AMPLING N			ПЗА	\		100		PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYST	EM - NAI	0 1983	StateP	lane Ker	ntucky N	North FIF	PS 1601 Feet
DE PTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVE			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA <sup>•</sup> N-value)			∆% Oni Hpi	Fines MC LLL	TEST D	ELEVATION
			ō								2	I	40 6	0 8	0 100	)
65 —					∬ SS-1€ (12 in			LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), dense to medium	N	l = 28		•				39
-					(12	.,		, brown, slightly moist to moist								
-															+	
-									7-	-11-14						
70		Z			SS-17 (18 in				N	l = 25		•				39
Hol	e Cave at D feet	-			(10 11	''										
			Alluvium													
-			AII						8.	-11-15						
-				SS-18					N = 26		•					
75					🟳 (18 in	ו)										
-																
_																
-					SS-19	9				9-17 N = 26		•				
80		80.0			/ (18 in		Boreh	ole terminated at 80.0 feet								
-									_							
-																
-																
85 —																37
_																
-																
-																
90 -																37
4																
-																
95 —																36
GROUNDW				DATE			EPTH	REMARKS			-	-				
		12/13					(FT) 70.0	REWARKS	,			-				
ND OF DRILLI		-	l/2022				70.0									
FTER DRILLIN		12/20	)/2022					Groundwater not encountered.								
FTER DRILLIN	IG 🗶															

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 47 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:				NGCC Ge E - Mill C			estigation station		В	ORI	NGI	LOG:	B-06	5		
		Sa					- Mill Creek				Sł	heet 1	l of 3	2		
DATE DRILLED: 1	2/20/202						461 ft	<b>I</b>	NOTES:	Hy	drov	acuur	n exc	avatio	on ger	nerally
RILL RIG: Died	drich D-50	) (trac	k)		DATU	<b>M</b> : NA	VD88					s thro				
RILLER: T. Fro		1	,				<b>TH:</b> 80.0 ft					ind te	rmina	ates a	t CCR	
			(140)							[]]d	iteria	115.				
IAMMER TYPE:			-	-			ement-Bentonite Grout A. Carr		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0957	LON	GITUD	<b>E:</b> -8	5.9067
AMPLING METH		+ HSP	\		LUGG		PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYST								
		r										D PENET				
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVI			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	1	V COUNT DATA N-value)			Δ% Οn Ηp	Fines MC LLL	in 10		ELEVATION
0					1	Hydrova	cuum excavation - no samples									46
-					0	obtained	1.									
-																
-																
5-	5.0				L.	007.0.7		3-3	3-6-10							45
-	5.0			SS-1	1		EC (Ash), loose, dark gray and y, slightly moist		N = 9	•		-	-			
-				/ (18 ir		2 0	· _ ·									
_												-				
-																
10 -																4
-	11 -				L.			_								
-	11.5	'					EC (Ash), dense, dark gray and y, slightly moist									
-																
15 —																44
- -																
_																
-		_														
-		Ē		🛛 ss-2	2				20-25 I = 45			•				
20				∐ (18 ir												44
_																
-																
-																
25																4:
-																
-												-	-			
-	29.0				L.		EC (Ash), loose, dark gray and	_								
30 -	29.0	'					y, slightly moist									43
	1 1					РТН					<u> </u>					
ROUNDWATER			DATE		(F	:т)	REMARKS									
		20/2022				3.0					-					
TER DRILLING	▼ 12/2 ▼	21/2022	1		- 10	0.0					-					
TER DRILLING	 ▼										-		2			

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 48 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:				7 NGCC G &E - Mill C			-		В	ORIN	G LC	)G: E	8-06		
		Sa				-	- Mill Creek				She	et 2	of 3		
	<b>):</b> 12/20/202					TION:			NOTES:						n genera
RILL RIG: D	iedrich D-50	) (trac	k)		DATU	<b>M:</b> NA\	′D88						-		l clays ar
RILLER: T. F		-			BORI	NG DEP	r <b>H:</b> 80.0 ft				ds and erials		rnina	tes at	CCK
IAMMER TYP	<b>PE:</b> Auto Har	nmer	(140	h)	CLOSI	URF: Ce	ment-Bentonite Grout			mare	critici				
	<b>THOD:</b> 3-1/4			~/			A. Carr	ī	LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	8.0509	957	LONG	GITUDE	-85.90
AMPLING M							PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYSTE	<b>M -</b> NAC	) 1983 S	tatePla	ne Ken	tucky N	lorth FIF	PS 1601 Fee
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	D	COUNT ATA N-value)	<b>STAN</b>		∆%F Onn Hpl	ines IC LL	<b>TEST D</b>	
-							C (Ash), loose, dark gray and								
-		E		ss-:		light gray	, slightly moist		1-6-9 = 10	•					
35 —	34.5			∐ (24 i	n) :		AN CLAY (CL), stiff, brown,	-		_					
-					:	slightly n	oist, with sand lenses								_
-															_
-									-7-6						
40 -	39.0			SS-4 (18 i			GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND SP-SM), medium dense, light	N	= 13	•					
-							ightly moist								
-															
-								5-	-7-8						
45 —				SS-9 (18 i				N :	= 15	•					
				(101	""										
-														_	
_		٤						5-8	8-10						
-		Alluvium		SS-0					= 18	•					
50		A		🖾 (18 i	n)										'
_	51.5					POORLY	GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	_							
_							SP-SM), dense to medium ark brown, slightly moist to wet	15	20.25						
-				ss-:		,			20-25 = 45		-	•			
55 — —				🖾 (18 i	n)										
_															
-															
-				SS-8	8				20-23 = 43		-				
60 -				☐ (15 i	n)										4
-															
-															
								11-:	15-15						
GROUNDWA	TER		DATE			PTH T)	REMARKS								
TD	☑ 12/2				68	3.0									
ND OF DRILLIN		21/2022	2		70	0.0									
FTER DRILLING					+								2		

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 49 of 108 Imber

ROJEC	: <b>T:</b>								nvestigation ting Station		В	ORII	NG L	OG: I	3-06			
				<b>S</b> 8					36 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 3	of 3			
ATE DRI	ILLED: 12	/20/	2022						I: 461 ft	·	NOTES:	,					n genei	
	G: Diedr	ich [	D-50 (1	track	<)		DAT	<b>UM:</b> N	IAVD88		1				-		l clays	and
	T. Frost								EPTH: 80.0 ft		1		ıds ar terial	nd ter اد	mina	tes at	CCR	
				(	1401	L- )					-	Шd	teria	15.				
	R TYPE: A				·	(0	_		Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	E: :	38.050	)957	LONG	SITUDE	85.9	9067
	IG METHO			пза			LUG	GED B	Y: A. Carr PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYS								
				r.										PENET				_
DEPTH (feet)	NC	DTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLI (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA T N-value)				-ines IC LL			ELEVATION
_						X ss-	9	POOF	LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT ANI	)	N = 30		•					
65 — —						🖓 (15 i	n)		EL (SP-SM), dense to medium , dark brown, slightly moist to w	ot								39
_									, שמות סוסשוו, אוצוונוץ וווטואר נט ש									
_			$\mathbf{\nabla}$															
-						∑ ss-1	0				2-9-11 N = 20							
70 -	Hole Cave	at	V			SS-1 (18 i					N - 20							3
	70.0 feet			Ę														
_				Alluvium														
-			73.0	A					GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), very	19-	38-50/4"							
						SS-1 (15 i		dense	, dark brown, wet	N	= 50/4"					•		~
75						(15	in)											3
_			76.0						LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT ANI EL (SP-SM), very dense, light	C								
_									n, wet									
_						SS-1	12				5-14-54 N = 68				•			
80 -			80.0			(18		Boret	ole terminated at 80.0 feet						-			3
_								(										
_																-		
-																		
																		3
																		5
-																		
-																		
-																		
90 —																	—	3
-																		
-																		
3																		
																		36
_																		
ROUNI	DWATER				DATE	2		DEPTH (FT)	REMAR	٢S								
)		$\nabla$	12/20/	2022				(FT) 68.0										
D OF DF			12/21/	2022				70.0					-					
TER DRI TER DRI		⊻ ▼					+						-		5			
			I		(D-			- 1 4	acy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)									

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 50 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:							al Investigation erating Station		В	ORING	6 LOG	: B-07	,	
			<b>S</b> 8				0136 - Mill Creek				Sheet	1 of 3	}	
DATE DRILLED: 01	/10/	2023			-		<b>ON:</b> 457 ft		NOTES:	Hydro	ovacuu	ım exc	avation g	enerally
DRILL RIG: CME	-55 (1	rack)			D	DATUM	NAVD88		-			-	urficial cl	
DRILLER: Horn a	-	-					<b>DEPTH:</b> 81.0 ft		-			ermina	ates at CC	CR .
				1401					-	mate	riais.			
HAMMER TYPE: A							E: Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	E: 38	049996	LON	GITUDE:	-85.90525
DRILLING METHO			HSA			OGGEL	PROJECT COORDINAT	TE SYST						
	-		F										N TEST DATA	
DEPTH (feet)	OTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE N (RECOVER		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA [ N-value)	20	۵ ۹ O	% Fines NMC PLLL	30 100	ELEVATION
0						Ну	drovacuum excavation - no samples							457
-						ob	tained.		0.1.1					
-		2.0			SS-1		AYEY SAND (SC), very loose, brown,		-0-1-1 N = 1					
-					(24 in)		t, FILL	0	-0-0-8					_
5-		4.0			SS-2		NDY LEAN CLAY (CL), very soft, browr t, FILL	1	= WOH			_		452
-		6.0			(12 in)		Z-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense to		9-16-15					-
-		0.0			SS-3	de	nse, gray, slightly moist	1	N = 25	•				-
_					(24 in)				7-11-10					-
-					SS-4 (24 in)				N = 18	•				1
10 -					M				·7-9-13 N = 16					447
-					SS-5 (24 in)									
_					SS-6				.0-15-20 N = 25					
-					(24 in)			5-1	.0-12-14					_
15 —					ss-7			1	N = 22	-				442
_					(24 in)				11-11-13					-
_			Fill		SS-8			1	N = 22			_		-
-					((24 in)				-4-9-16 N = 13					-
					SS-9 (24 in)					•				
20					SS-10				.6-26-24 N = 42					437
_					(24 in)									
_														_
_														-
25 -									-21-29					432
_					SS-11 (18 in)			1	N = 50		•			-
_					(10 11)		/							-
		27.5					Z-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense, gray, htly moist							-
									- 7 4 2					- 40-
30 -					SS-12				5-7-13 N = 20	•				427
_					└┘ (18 in)									
GROUNDWATER				DATE		DEPTI	REMARK	s						
TD		01/10/				(FT) 58.0		-						1
ND OF DRILLING		01/10/				56.0								
FTER DRILLING	<b>T</b>													
FTER DRILLING	▼											×.		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 51 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:	_		_					estigation g Station		BC	DRI	IG L	.0G:	B-07		
			S					- Mill Creek			_	Sh	eet 2	? of 3		
OATE DRILLEI	<b>D:</b> 01/10	)/2023				ELEV	ATION:	457 ft	N	OTES:						generally
ORILL RIG: (	CME-55	(Track	)			DATU	JM: NA	VD88								clays and
DRILLER: Ho	orn and	Associ	ates			BORI	NG DEF	<b>TH:</b> 81.0 ft				ds ai teria		rmina	tes at C	CK
IAMMER TY				1401	h)			ement-Bentonite Grout			ma	LCTTO	15.			
					5)			A. Carr	LA	TITUDE	: 3	88.049	9996	LONG	GITUDE:	-85.9052
AMPLING M								PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYSTEN	1 - NAD	1983	StateP	lane Ke	ntucky N	North FIPS	1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	5	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	BLOW C DAT (SPT N-v	A	STAN 2		д % О N Н P	Fines	<b>TEST DAT</b>	ELEVATION
_							POZ-O-	EC (Ash), medium dense, gray,								-
-							slightly	moist								
35 —									6-9-	15						422
_					SS-1 (18 i				N = 3	24		•				_
-			Ei		- (101	"				F						-
-										F						
40									5-6-	-8						41
-					SS-1				N =		•					_
-					└┘ (18 i	n)				-						_
-		42.5						AY (CL), stiff to hard, red brown v brown, moist, with sand lenses		-						_
							to yene		4-5-	,						41
45					X 55-1				4-5- N = 1		•					
-					🖾 (18 i	n)				-						_
_										ŀ						_
-									1-4-	-6						_
50					SS-1 (18 i				N = 1	10	•					40
-					- (181	"										
-			Alluvium							-						_
-			Allu		L				9-23-	21						_
55 —					X 55-1				N = 4				•			- 40
	Cave at	56.0 <sup>3</sup>			🖓 (17 i			GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND								
56.0	feet	Z	_				(GP), ve brown,	ry dense to medium dense, wet								
4		<u>~</u>					,									
60 -					SS-1				13-50 N = 50	· .						39
					(8 ir	ו)										-
-										F						-
_																
				DATE			PTH	REMARKS								
		z 01/10					FT) 8.0	NEIVIARNO				-				
ND OF DRILLIN		<b>0</b> 1/10				_	6.0					1				
FTER DRILLING		_										-				
FTER DRILLING								/: Handheld GPS (1 ft)								

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 52 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:							restigation ng Station		В	ORI	NG L	OG:	B-07		
		S					5 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 3	e of 3		
ATE DRILLEI	<b>D:</b> 01/10/2023						457 ft		NOTES:	, .					n general
ORILL RIG: (	CME-55 (Track	<)			DAT	UM: NA	VD88								l clays an
	orn and Assoc				BOR	ING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 81.0 ft				ds ar teria		mina	tes at	UCK
IAMMER TY	PE: Auto Ham	mer l	140	b)	CLO	SURE: C	ement-Bentonite Grout				conta				
	<b>THOD:</b> 3-1/4			~1			A. Carr		LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	38.049	9996	LONG	GITUDE	<b>·</b> -85.905
	IETHOD: SS						PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYSTI	E <b>M -</b> NAC	0 1983	StatePl	ane Ker	ntucky N	North FIP	S 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	0	V COUNT DATA N-value)	STAN 2		∆% Oni Hpi	Fines MC	<b>TEST D</b> 4	ELEVATION
_						POORLY	GRADED GRAVEL WITH SAND	11-	-10-10					İ	_
65 — -				SS-1 (11 i		(GP), ve brown,	ry dense to medium dense, wet	N	= 20	-					
-				- (11)	"'	,									
_															
-								1	-6-10						
70 —				SS-2					= 16	•					- 3
-		ε		🏳 (9 ir	ו)										
-	72.5	Alluvium				POORLY	GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	_							
-		A				GRAVE	(SP-SM), medium dense to								
75 -				∏ ss-2	1	dense,	brown, wet		11-13 = 24		•				3
-				∆ (12 i					- 24		-				
_															_
80									-17-16						3
- 00				SS-2 (15 i				N	= 33		•				3
-	81.0			(10)	,	Boreho	le terminated at 81.0 feet								
-															_
-															
85 —															3
-															
-															
_															
90 —															3
_															_
-															
-															
95															3
GROUNDWA	ATER		DATE			EPTH (FT)	REMARKS	;							
TD	☑ 01/10	0/2023				(FT) 58.0									
ND OF DRILLIN		0/2023				56.0					4				
FTER DRILLING					_						-		5		
		nomar	(Rours	لم ( 1 ft )	orizont	al Accurac	y: Handheld GPS (1 ft)				1				

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 53 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:						cal Investigation nerating Station		B	ORIN	IG LO	G: <b>B-0</b>	8	
		<b>S</b> 8				60136 - Mill Creek				Shee	et 1 of .	3	
DATE DRILLED: 01/	09/2023					rion: 455 ft		NOTES:	,.				generally
RILL RIG: Diedri	ch D-120	(ATC	2)	C	DATUN	I: NAVD88		1			-		clays and
RILLER: Horn an			-	E	BORIN	<b>G DEPTH:</b> 81.0 ft		1		ds and erials.		ates at	CCR
AMMER TYPE: Au			140 14			<b>RE:</b> Cement-Bentonite Grout		-	mat	criais.			
RILLING METHOD				,		<b>D BY:</b> A. Carr		LATITUD	<b>E:</b> 3	8.05043	5 LOP	IGITUDE:	-85.9051
AMPLING METHO		ПJА		P	.0001	PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAD	1983 9	itatePlan	e Kentucky	North FIP	6 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	TES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE N (RECOVEF		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA <sup>•</sup> N-value)		2 (	∆ % Fines ) NMC ⊣ PLLL	N TEST DA	ELEVATION
0		ō						ļ	20	) 40	60	80 100	45
- - - - - - 5 - -	4.0			SS-1	O P	ydrovacuum excavation - no samples btained. OZ-O-TEC (Ash), very loose, dark gray, ightly moist		0-1-18 N = 1					45
	6.0	Fill		(12 in) SS-2 (24 in) SS-3 (24 in) SS-4 (24 in) SS-5 (24 in) SS-6 (24 in) SS-7 (24 in) SS-7 (24 in) SS-7 (24 in) SS-8 (24 in)	d	OZ-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense to ense, dark gray, slightly moist	5-1 5- 11-: 11-: 11-: 12-: 5-1 PF	3-12-12 ↓ = 20 0-17-13 ↓ = 27 7-6-18 ↓ = 13 17-16-15 ↓ = 33 20-15-14 ↓ = 35 14-13-18 ↓ = 27 6-22-24 ↓ = 38 ∨V= 2.2	•				44 44 44
25			DATE	SS-9 (18 in) SS-10 (18 in)	) DEP <sup>*</sup> (FT		16 1	i-8-14 N = 22 i-20-13 N = 33					43
D	☑ 01/09/				58.								4
ID OF DRILLING TER DRILLING TER DRILLING	▼ 01/10/ ▼ ▼	2023			54.	0							

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 54 of 108 Imber

PROJECT	:						nical Investigation		В	ORIN	IG LO	OG: I	B-08		
			S				enerating Station 360136 - Mill Creek				She	eet 2	of 3		
DATE DRIL	LED: 01/09	9/2023					<b>TION:</b> 455 ft		NOTES:	, -					generally
ORILL RIG:	Diedrich	D-120	(ATC	C)		DATU	M: NAVD88						-		clays and
	Horn and			,		BORII	NG DEPTH: 81.0 ft		-		ds an terial:		mina	tes at (	CCR
	TYPE: Auto	o Hamr	ner (	1401	b)	CLOS	JRE: Cement-Bentonite Grout			ma					
	METHOD:						ED BY: A. Carr		LATITUD	) <b>E:</b> 3	88.050	435	LON	GITUDE:	-85.9051
AMPLING	METHOD	SS		r			PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAI	0 1983 :	StatePla	ane Ker	ntucky N	North FIPS	1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES	5	Drigin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVI		MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		N COUNT DATA N-value)	STAN 2		∆ %   O NM H PL	Fines VIC LL	<b>TEST DA1</b>	ELEVATION
-		32.0	0				SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), stiff to medium								_
-		52.0					stiff, dark brown, moist								
								:	3-4-5						
35					SS-1 (15 ir				N = 9	•					420
-					(	,									_
-															_
								:	3-5-5						<b>-</b>
40					SS-1 (18 ir			N	l = 10	•					41
-					(1011										_
															_
-									2-3-5						_
45					SS-1 (15 ir				N = 8	•					41
-			ء		- (15										_
-		47.5	Alluvium				POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND								_
-			AII				GRAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense to dense, orange brown to brown, moist		5-7-7						_
50 —					SS-1 (12 ir			м	1 = 14	•					40
-					- (12	(1)									
-															_
-		V						5.	-14-22						_
55					X SS-1				1 = 36		•				400
	ole Cave at 6.0 feet	56.0			🛆 (12 ir		SILTY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), mediu dense, brown, wet	m							
-	0.0 1221	$\nabla$					dense, brown, wet								_
-								3	-5-10						_
60 -					SS-1				l = 15	•					- 39
-					🖾 (12 ir	n)									
-															
GROUND	NATER			DATE			тн REMARK	s							
TD ND OE DRII		Z 01/09/				_	3.0				-				
ND OF DRII		Z 01/10/ Z	2023			54	1.0				1				
FTER DRILL	ING 🗨	Z									]		<u>k</u>		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 55 of 108 Imber

ROJECT:								nvestigation		В	ORI	NG L	OG:	B-08		
			C					ting Station 36 - Mill Creek				Sh	eet 3	of 3		
ATE DRILLE	<b>D:</b> 01/0	9/202			Jeet			I: 455 ft		NOTES:	Hvo			-	vation	generally
RILL RIG:				$\sim$				IAVD88		-						clays and
RILLER: H				C)		-				-				rmina	tes at C	CR
								EPTH: 81.0 ft		-	ma	teria	ls.			
IAMMER TY					b)	_		Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	F· :	38.050	7435	ION		-85.9051
RILLING MI			4" HSA	۹		LOG	iged b	Y: A. Carr PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	_						
		. 55	<u> </u>		1											
DE PT H (feet)	NOTE	S	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPI (RECO			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA Г N-value)	2		∆ % O N H PI	Fines MC	<b>TEST DAT</b>	ELEVATION
_			_				SILTY	GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), mediu	ım	3-8-9						
65 — —					X ss-	17	dense	, brown, wet		N = 17	•					- 39
-					∐ (9 i	n)										
-		67.	5				POOF	LY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	,							
_							GRAV	EL (SP-SM), dense, brown, wet								
70 —					🛛 ss-	18				4-16-26 N = 42			•			38
-					△ (15											_
_			Alluvium													_
-			Allu													
									20	)-23-25						
75					X ss- (18				1	N = 48			•			- 38
_					- (18	in)										
_																
_																
80 -					🛛 ss-	20				0-20-24 N = 44			•			37
		81.0	0		(15		Boreh	ole terminated at 81.0 feet								
_			-													_
-																
85																37
_																
_																
4																_
90																36
$\exists$																_
4																-
-																_
95																36
ROUNDW				DATE			DEPTH	REMARK	<u> </u>							_
D		Z 01/	09/2023			+	(FT) 58.0	REIVIARN				-				
ID OF DRILLI			10/2023			_	54.0					1				
TER DRILLIN												]				
TER DRILLIN	IG													Ύ.		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 56 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:						ical Investigation nerating Station		В	ORING	LOG:	B-09		
		S				60136 - Mill Creek			S	heet 2	1 of 3		
DATE DRILLED: 12	2/21/2022					<b>TION:</b> 462 ft		NOTES:	Hydro	vacuur	n exca	avation	generally
DRILL RIG: Died	rich D-50	(trac	k)		DATU	M: NAVD88							clays and
ORILLER: T. Fros		(0.00)	,			IG DEPTH: 80.0 ft		-			rmina	ntes at (	CCR
			(1.10)					-	mater	ials.			
IAMMER TYPE: /			-	-		IRE: Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	<b>F</b> ∙ 38.0	50123	ION		-85.90584
RILLING METHO		" HSA	\		LOGGI	ED BY: A. Carr PROJECT COORDIN	ATE SYST						
		-										TEST DAT	
DEPTH (feet)	OTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV	-	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA T N-value)	20	а % О n Н р	Fines		ELEVATION
0						lydrovacuum excavation - no sample	5						462
-					c	btained.		-					
-							0-	9-11-13					
-	3.0			∬ ss-1		POZ-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense, dar gray and light gray, slightly moist	e	N = 20		_			_
5 —				(18 ii	0	and indire bray, subirity moist	8-1	L1-18-41		_			- 45
-				SS-2				N = 29		_			_
	7.0			/ ) (12 ii		POZ-O-TEC (Ash), very dense to dense		23-30-37					_
_				SS-3	۲ I ۲	lark gray and light gray, slightly moist		N = 53		•			
-				$\overline{M}$				L7-17-21 N = 34		_			
10				SS-4 (24 ii				[					- 45
_	11.0			) ss-5	A	Ash, very dense, dark gray and light g	ay, 17-2	14-47-50/ 4"					
				(22 ii	1 3	lightly moist		N = 91					
-				ss-e	5			22-39-50 N = 61			•		_
15 —	15.0			(24 ii		POZ-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense, dar	8-1	L2-17-18					- 44
_	15.0			SS-7	7 g	ray and light gray, slightly moist	`  I	N = 29	•	_			
_	17.0	EII		(24 ii	. D	POZ-O-TEC (Ash), very dense, dark gra		27-50/5"					-
-				SS-8 (15 ii	1 2	and light gray, slightly moist		= 50/5"					
	19.0					POZ-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense to		9-14-30 N = 23					
20 -				SS-9		lense, dark gray and light gray, slightl noist	y .						44
_					, .								
-													_
-				X 55-1	0			7-9-16 N = 25	•				_
25				∆ (18 ii						_			- 43
-								-					-
-													-
-							9	-16-28					
30 -				SS-1 (18 ii				N = 44		•			43
~				(10)	,								43
GROUNDWATER			DATE		DEP (F1		ĸs						
D	☑ 12/2	1/2022	2		68								
ND OF DRILLING	▼ 12/2	2/2022			66	.0							
FTER DRILLING	Y     Y										5		Í
	1 1		(D- '	- 1 4 63 - 11		Accuracy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)					X.		- C

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 57 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:						nical Investigation enerating Station		В	ORII	NG LO	OG: I	B-09		
		S				360136 - Mill Creek				She	eet 2	e of 3		
DATE DRILLED: 12	2/21/2022			-	ELEVA	<b>TION:</b> 462 ft		NOTES:						generally
DRILL RIG: Died	rich D-50 (	tracl	<)		DATU	M: NAVD88		-				0		clays and
DRILLER: T. Fros	t	-	-		BORIN	<b>NG DEPTH:</b> 80.0 ft				ds an terial:		rmina	tes at (	LCR
AMMER TYPE: A		morl	140			JRE: Cement-Bentonite Grout		-	IIId	lenai	5.			
RILLING METHO			•	,		ED BY: A. Carr		LATITUD	E: :	38.050	123	LON	SITUDE:	-85.9058
AMPLING METH		ПJА			2000	PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAI	D 1983	StatePla	ane Ker	ntucky N	North FIPS	1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet) Z	OTES	Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOVI	-	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA <sup>•</sup> N-value)		<b>IDARD</b>	∆ % O NI H PL	Fines MC	<b>TEST DAT</b>	ELEVATION
		-				POZ-O-TEC (Ash), medium dense to								=
_					0	dense, dark gray and light gray, slightly	7.	-10-12						
				X 55-1	2	moist		N = 22		•				
35		Ē		🖓 (18 ir	n)									42
-		-												
-														
-				∬ ss-1	3		-	-13-17 N = 30		•				
40 -	39.5			∆ (18 ir	n) [	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND		v - 50		•				42
-						GRAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense, brown slightly moist, with coal fragments	ι,							
_	42.0					LEAN CLAY (CL), stiff, orange brown to								
-						ight brown, moist, with sand lenses		5-6-8						
				SS-1				<b>v</b> = 14	•					_
45				🖓 (18 ir	n)									41
_														
_														
-	48.0			X 55-1		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), stiff, gray browr and orange brown, moist, with sand		2-4-6 N = 10	•					
50 -				∆ (12 ir		enses		- 10	-					- 4 <sup>.</sup>
_		m												
_	52.0	Alluvium			-	POORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND								
_						GRAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense, light prown, moist	4	-8-14						
				SS-1	6	orown, moise	N	l = 22						
55				🖵 (12 ir										40
-														
-														
-				SS-1	7			4-6-9 N = 15	•					
60				∐ (9 in										
-														
-	62.0	1				SILTY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), mediu	m							
1				М		dense to dense, light brown and dark prown, wet	16	5-16-11						
ROUNDWATER			DATE		DEF		<u> </u>							
	☑ 12/21				(F 68	I)				-				
ND OF DRILLING	<u>⊥</u> 12/21				66					1				
FTER DRILLING	<b>T</b>									]				
FTER DRILLING	▼											<u>x</u> '		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 58 of 108 Imber

PROJECT	:							vestigation ng Station		В	ORII	NG L	.0G:	B-09		
			8					6 - Mill Creek				Sh	ieet 3	3 of 3		
OATE DRILI	LED: 12/21	/2022				ELEV	ATION	462 ft		NOTES:	,					n general
RILL RIG:	Diedrich	D-50 (	tracl	<)		DAT	<b>UM:</b> N	AVD88		1					urficia Ites at	l clays an
ORILLER:	T. Frost					BOR	ING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.0 ft		1		iteria		111111	iles al	CCN
	TYPE: Auto	Hamr	ner (	140	b)	CLO	SURE:	Cement-Bentonite Grout		-						
	NETHOD:				,			A. Carr		LATITUD	E:	38.05	0123	LON	GITUDE	: -85.905
AMPLING	METHOD:	SS			1			PROJECT COORDINA	TE SYST	EM - NAI	0 1983	StateP	lane Kei	ntucky i	North FIF	'S 1601 Feet
DE PTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		W COUNT DATA F N-value)			∆ % () N    P	Fines	1 TEST DA	ELEVATION
_					X SS-1	8	SILTY	RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), mediu	m	N = 27						
65					∬ SS-1 (9 ir		dense	o dense, light brown and dark		N - 27		-				39
-		V	1				brown	wet								
_		$\bigtriangledown$														
-		_			∬ ss-1	٥				2-13-19 N = 32						
70 - H	ole Cave at				SS-1 (12 i					<b>v</b> = 52						39
	0.0 feet		Ę													
-			Alluvium													
3					Μ					0-17-23						
 75 —					SS-2 (15 i				1	N = 40			•			38
_		76.0			,		POOPI	Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND								
_		70.0						L (SP-SM), dense, light brown, w								
_									11	1-18-29						
80 -					SS-2 (18 i				l I	N = 47			•			38
- 00		80.0				n)	Boreho	le terminated at 80.0 feet								
-																
_																
-																
85																37
-																
-																
-																
90																37
-																
-																
_																
95																36
	I						COTL					1				
GROUND				DATE			EPTH (FT)	REMARK	S							
TD ND OF DRIL		12/21/ 12/22/				-	58.0 56.0					-				
FTER DRILL		, ,					5.0									
FTER DRILL	ING 💌													8		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 59 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:								vestigation ng Station		B	ORII	NG L	.0G:	B-10		
			S					6 - Mill Creek				Sh	neet 1	of 3		
DATE DRILLED: 01	/09/	2023						461 ft		NOTES:						generally
ORILL RIG: CME-	-55 (*	Track)				DATU	JM: NA	AVD88							irficial c es at C	lays and
RILLER: Horn a	and A	ssocia	ates			BORI	ING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft				ids a Iteria		mina	es at C	CR
IAMMER TYPE: A				140	h)			Cement-Bentonite Grout			mu	teria				
RILLING METHO					,			A. Carr		LATITUD	E: :	38.05	0435	LONG	ITUDE:	-85.9057
AMPLING METH								PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYST	EM - NAD	1983	StateP	Plane Kei	ntucky N	orth FIPS 1	l601 Feet
DEPTH (feet) iA	OTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	1	V COUNT DATA N-value)			∆ % O N H PI	Fines	100	ELEVATION
0							Hydrov obtaine	acuum excavation - no samples ed.								461
-		2.5			ss-1			TEC (Ash), medium dense, dark ghtly moist		1-19-13 I = 30		•				_
5		4.5			(24 ii SS-2	2	POZ-O- slightly	TEC (Ash), loose, dark gray, moist	1	·5-3-4 N = 8	•					450
-		6.5			(24 ii SS-3 (24 ii	3		TEC (Ash), medium dense to dark gray, slightly moist	N	4-10-22   = 24		•				_
10					SS-4 (24 ii	1			N	1-22-22   = 43 0-22-12			•			45
-					SS-5 (24 i				N 8-14	l = 42 4-22-15			•			_
- - - 15 -					SS-6 (24 ii	n)			9-14	l = 36 4-20-14 l = 34						44
			EIII -		SS-7 (24 ii) SS-8	n)			6-9	)-15-15   = 24		•				_
					(24 ii (24 ii)	n)				)-11-11 I = 20		•				-
20					/_] (24 ii	n)				-						44 
- - - 25 -					X 55-1					-9-12 I = 21		•				
					스 (18 i	n)				-						_
30					SS-1 (18 ii					15-12 I = 27		•				43
				DATE			EPTH	REMARKS								
D		01/09/					(FT) 54.0	nLIVIANN,	•			-				
ID OF DRILLING TER DRILLING	⊻ ▼	01/10/				-	52.0					-				
TER DRILLING	T													K.		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 60 of 108 Imber

PROJECT:	_	_						vestigation ng Station		В	ORI	NG I	LOG:	B-10	)	
			<b>S</b> 8					6 - Mill Creek				Sł	neet 2	2 of 3		
DATE DRILLED:	01/09/2	023						461 ft	I	NOTES:	,					n generally
DRILL RIG: CM	E-55 (Tr	ack)				DATU	JM: N	AVD88						-		clays and
DRILLER: Horn			tes					<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft				ids a teria		rmina	ates at	CCR
				1401	h)	-	_				IIId	LEIIG	115.			
HAMMER TYPE: DRILLING METH					0)			Cement-Bentonite Grout		ATITUD	E: 3	38.05	0435	LON	GITUDE	-85.9057
AMPLING MET			IJA			1000		A. Carr	SYSTE	<b>M</b> - NA(	) 1983	State	Plane Ke	entucky I	North FIP	S 1601 Feet
DEPTH (feet)	NOTES		Origin/Identifier	GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	D	COUNT ATA I-value)			∆ % 0 n H p	Fines IMC PLLL	N TEST DA	ELEVATION
			0				<b>DO</b> 7 O				2	Ľ	40 (			
-								TEC (Ash), medium dense to dark gray, slightly moist								
_			_							5-22			_			
35 -			Fill		SS-1 (18 ii				N	= 37						420
-					(101	<i>`</i>										
-	n	7.5				ŀ		LAY (CL), stiff to medium stiff,	-							
-	3	7.5						brown to brown, moist		5-8						
40 -					SS-1	3				-5-8 = 13	٠					42
-					∐ (18 i	n)										
_																_
_																
-										3-3						
45	4	4.5			SS-1 (18 ii			Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND	N	= 6	•		-	-		41
_					(101	,		L (SP-SM), medium dense, brown brown, slightly moist								
-																
-									-							
50 -			ء		SS-1	5				8-11 = 19						41
50 -			Alluvium		∐ (12 i					-						41
-			Allı													
-	5	2.5					SILTY O	RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), medium	-							
_							dense	to dense, brown, moist	5-	7-8						
55 —					SS-1 (9 in	6			N :	= 15	•					
_					- (911	"										
-																
-																
					X 55-1	7				.6-23 = 39			•			
60					SS-1 (18 i											40
		T														
4	6	2.5				ŀ	SILTY O	RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), medium	-							
							dense,	brown, moist to wet								
GROUNDWATE	R			DATE			EPTH FT)	REMARKS								
TD	0	1/09/2	2023				4.0					1				
ND OF DRILLING		1/10/2	2023			6	2.0					4				
FTER DRILLING	 					_						-				
FTER DRILLING				-				cy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)						X.		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 61 of 108 Imber

PROJECT: 2027 NGCC C LG&E - Mill S&ME Project I									U	BORING LOG: B-10									
									-	Sheet 3 of 3									
DATE DRILLED: 01/09/2023												NOTES: Hydrovacuum excavation generally extends through surficial clays and							
RILL RIG:	: CME-	55 (1	Frack)				DAT	UM: N	AVD88					thround ter	-				
RILLER:	Horn a	nd A	ssocia	ates			BOR	RING DE	<b>PTH:</b> 80.5 ft				teria		mind	ics d			
IAMMER	TYPE: A	uto	Hamr	ner (	140 I	b)	CLO	SURE:	Cement-Bentonite Grou	ıt									
RILLING				HSA			LOG	GED B	: A. Carr		LATITUD		38.05					5.9057	
AMPLING	S METHO	DD:	SS			<u> </u>		1	PROJECT COORDI	INATE S	<b>(STEM -</b> NAE	) 1983	StateP	lane Ker	ntucky N	North F	IPS 160:	1 Feet	
DEPTH (feet)	NC	DTES	별 품 SAMPL			SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION		BLOW COUNT DATA (SPT N-value)	∆ % Fines						ELEVATION	
	lole Cave	at				X 55-1	8		RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), me	edium	4-8-12							396	
65 - 6	64.0 feet					∐ (12 i		dense,	brown, moist to wet		N = 20							390	
-																			
_																			
70						X 55-1	9				3-8-10 N = 18	•						39	
70						∐ (12 i												39	
-				Alluvium															
-				Allu															
						∬ ss-2	0				6-10-15 N = 25		•						
75						∆ (18 i					N = 25							38	
-																			
-			77.5						Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT A										
_						∬ ss-2	4	GRAVE wet	L (SP-SM), medium dense, bi	rown,	9-9-13 N = 22								
80 —			80.5		0.5		∆ (15 i		Boreh	ble terminated at 80.5 feet		IN - 22							38
-			00.5							/									
-																			
_																			
85 —																		37	
-																			
-																			
-																			
90 —																		37	
-																			
_																			
-																			
95 _													-					36	
	14/8753				D.477			рертн					·	1					
GROUND	WATER		01/09/		DATE			(FT) 64.0	REMA	ARKS			-						
ND OF DRI	LLING		01/09/				_	62.0											
FTER DRILL		T																	
FTER DRILL		T							cy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)										

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 62 of 108 Imber

PROJECT: 2027 NGCC C LG&E - Mill								-		BORING LOG: B-11									
							lo. 22360136 - Mill Creek					Sheet 1 of 3							
DATE DRILLED: 01/10/2023							ATION	446 ft		NOTES: Hydrovacuum excavation generally									
DRILL RIG: Diedrich D-120 (ATC)						DATU	<b>JM:</b> N/	AVD88		extends through surficial clays and sands and terminates at CCR									
DRILLER: Horn and Associates						BORI	NG DE	<b>PTH:</b> 83.0 ft				ds ai teria		rmina	tes a	t CCR			
IAMMER TYPE: A				140	h)			Cement-Bentonite Grout			ma	teria	15.						
RILLING METHO					,			A. Carr		LATITUD	E: 3	38.049	9834	LON	SITUDI	E: -85	.90656		
AMPLING METH								PROJECT COORDINATE	SYSTE	<b>M</b> - NAC	1983	StateP	lane Ker	ntucky N	North FI	PS 1601	Feet		
DEPTH (feet) (feet) origin/Identifier GRAPHIC			SAMPLE (RECOV					BLOW COUNT DATA (SPT N-value)			ANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA								
0								acuum excavation - no samples									446		
-							obtaine	ed.											
_		2.5			М	F	POZ-O-	TEC (Ash), medium dense to		-12-14 = 18									
_					SS-1 (20 ii		dense,	dark gray, slightly moist			•								
5 -					ss-2	·				-25-49 = 31		•					44		
_					(24 i				13-20	0-16-13		•							
-					ss-3	3				= 36		•							
_		8.5			(24 i	n)	POZ-O-	TEC (Ash), medium dense to very		3-14-10									
10 -		0.0	Ε		SS-4	1		dark gray, slightly moist	N	= 27		•					43		
-					(24 i					8-9-13 = 17									
_					SS-5 (24 ii					50	•								
_					ss-e					WOH	)								
-					(4 in				9-11	-10-10									
15					X ss-7					= 21 V= 2.8							43		
-		16.5			(24 i	n)	SANDY	LEAN CLAY (CL), stiff, red brown,	-										
-					SS-8		slightly	moist		8-7-8 = 15	•								
-					ss-9					√= 3.8	•								
20					(24 i				4-6	6-8-9	•						42		
-		20.5			X 55-1	0		Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND L (SP-SM), medium dense to		= 14 7-7-6	•								
-					/_) (18 i	n)		prown, slightly moist		= 14									
_			Alluvium																
25 —			Alluv														42		
-					L				3	-5-7									
-					X 55-1					-5-7 = 12	•								
-					🖾 (15 ii	n)						<u> </u>							
30 -																	41		
																	41		
									3	-6-5									
ROUNDWATER				DATE			EPTH FT)	REMARKS											
D	☑ 01/10/2023					4	5.0												
ID OF DRILLING	▼ ▼	01/11/	2023			5	0.0					-							
FTER DRILLING	⊥ ▼					+						1		5					

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 63 of 108 Imber

PROJECT: 2027 NGCC C LG&E - Mill S&ME Project								-		BORING LOG: B-11							
										Sheet 2 of 3							
DATE DRILLED: 01/10/2023								446 ft	NO	NOTES: Hydrovacuum excavation generally							
DRILL RIG: Died	rich	D-120	(ATC	C)		DATU	M: NA	AVD88							clays and		
DRILLER: Horn a						BORI	NG DE	<b>PTH:</b> 83.0 ft			ands nater		rmina	ites at	UUK		
IAMMER TYPE: /				140	h)			Cement-Bentonite Grout			luter	iuis.					
DRILLING METHO					5)			A. Carr	LATI	ITUDE:	38.0	49834	LON	GITUDE:	-85.9065		
AMPLING METH							-	PROJECT COORDINATE	SYSTEM	- NAD 19	33 State	ePlane Ke	ntucky i	North FIP:	5 1601 Feet		
DEPTH (feet) A	DEPTH (fe et)			GRAPHIC	SAMPLE (RECOV			MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	BLOW CO DATA (SPT N-va	UNT	ANDAI	4 % 0 n H p	Fines IMC 'LLL	I TEST DA	ELEVATION		
			Origin/Identifier								20	40 6	50 8 	0 100			
-					∬ SS-1 (15 i	n)	GRAVE	Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND L (SP-SM), medium dense to prown, slightly moist	N = 11		)				_		
35															411		
					SS-1 (15 i				4-4-6 N = 10						_		
40 -		39.5						Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND L (SP-SM), medium dense, brown,	-						406		
-					SS-1 (9 ir	4		moist to moist	7-7-12 N = 19		•						
  45		V			(5 1	.,									40		
			ш		X 55-1				4-7-6 N = 13		•				_		
50		48.5	Alluvium		└┘ (9 ir	· -		RAVEL WITH SAND (GM), medium brown, wet							390		
Hole Cave	e at	×			∑ ss-1	.6			7-8-10 N = 18		•						
					∐ (12 i	n)											
55 - - - -					∑ ss-1	.7			5-7-12 N = 19		•				39		
					∐ (9 ir												
60 - - - -		60.0			SS-1	.8		Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND L (SP-SM), medium dense, brown,	2-8-13 N = 21		•				38		
				DATE	(101	DE	PTH	REMARKS									
TD							FT) 5.0				$\neg$				4		
ND OF DRILLING	T	01/11/				_	0.0				$\exists$						
FTER DRILLING	▼ ▼					_								Ż	1 Contraction		

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 64 of 108 Imber

PROJECT: 2027 NGCC C									-	BORING LOG: B-11									
LG&E - Mill S&ME Proiect										Sheet 3 of 3									
DATE DRILLED: 01/10/2023							Io. 22360136 - Mill Creek ELEVATION: 446 ft					<b>NOTES:</b> Hydrovacuum excavation generally							
DRILL RIG: Diedrich D-120 (ATC)									AVD88			ext	ends	thro	ugh s	urficia	al clay	's and	
DRILLER:					1				<b>PTH:</b> 83.0 ft		-		nds a Iteria	nd tei	rmina	ates a	t CCR		
					140	h)					-	[]]d	lena	15.					
AMMER									Cement-Bentonite Grout		LATITUD	E:	38.04	9834	LON	GITUD	E: -8	5.90656	
AMPLING				ПЭА			LOGC		PROJECT COORDINAT	E SYST	EM - NAI	D 1983	StateP	lane Ke	ntucky I	North F	PS 1601	Feet	
DE PT H (feet)					SAMPLE (RECOV				V COUNT DATA N-value)	STANDARD PENETRATION TEST DATA NT Le) ○ NMC H PLLL						ELEVATION			
				ō								2	20 4	40 6	60 8 	0 10	0		
65 —									Y GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND EL (SP-SM), medium dense, brown,									381	
_								wet	(,		E 12								
						X 55-1					-5-13 I = 18		-						
-						🛆 (18 ii	n)												
																		370	
<i>"</i> –																		57	
-						∬ ss-2	۰				10-15 I = 25		•						
_				ium		∆ (18 ii					- 25		-						
_				Alluvium															
75 -																		37	
-										1	0-7-6								
_						SS-2 (18 ii				N	l = 13	•							
_						(101	"												
80 -																		36	
-											-8-13								
_						SS-2	2				-8-15 I = 21		•						
_			83.0			🖓 (18 ii	n)	Boreh	ole terminated at 83.0 feet	7									
																		26	
85																		36	
-																			
-																			
_																			
90 _																		35	
-																			
-													L						
Ξ																			
95 —																		35	
GROUNDWATER DATE								EPTH FT)	REMARKS										
ſD		☑ □1/10/2023						5.0											
ND OF DRI			01/11/	2023			5	0.0					-						
FTER DRIL		▼ ▼					_						-		5				
			omter	- m-r	(Round	od 1 ft \	prizon+-		cy: Handheld GPS (1 ft)				_						



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 65 of 108 Imber



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 66 of 108 Imber

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 67 of 108 Imber

	2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation LG&E - Mill Creek Generating Station S&ME Project No. 22360136 - Mill Creek	Cone Penetration Test	Header Sheet
CPT Material Graph			
Sensitive, Fine Grain	ed Soils	Sands-Clean Sand to Si	ty Sand
Organic Soils, Peats		Gravelly Sand to Sand	
■■Clays-Clay to Silty C	lay	Very Stiff Clay to Clayey	Sand
Silt Mixtures-Clayey	Silt to Silty Clay	Very Stiff Fine Grained S	Soils
Sand Mixtures-Silty S	Sand to Sandy Silt		



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 68 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 69 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 70 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 71 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 72 of 108


Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 73 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 74 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 75 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 76 of 108



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 77 of 108



		Soil Resistivity Data Sheet Wenner Four-Electrode Method	
Project: LG&E 202	7 NGCC Mill Creel	k <b>Project #</b> : 22	360136
Project Location:	Louisville, KY	Station #: ER	-1 Line-A (NW-SE)
Date: <u>11/30/20</u>	22	Time: 12	:10 PM
Weather & Temper	ature:	35°F, Sunny	
Soil Conditions:	Moist to Wet	Clay	
Performed By (Nam	e of Tester)	Adam Gostic	
Additional Notes:			
	ρ=2π·a·R	$ \begin{array}{c c} & I & & \\ & & V & \\ & & V & \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ A & M & N & B \\ \hline \\ a & a & a & \\ \hline \\ a & a & a & \\ \hline \\ a & a & a & \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \hline \\ \hline$	Ground Surface

				Station			
"a" Spacing (feet)	"a" Spacing (centimeters)	Electrode Depth (Inches)	Resistance (Ω)	ρ Apparent Resistivity (Ω·cm)	ρ Apparent Resistivity (Ω·ft)	Injected Current (mA)	Standard Deviation (%)
2.5	76.20	4	9.596	4594.37	150.73	66.45	0.00%
5	152.40	6	6.142	5881.33	192.96	69.85	0.00%
10	304.80	12	3.376	6465.44	212.12	1125.00	0.00%
15	457.20	12	2.150	6176.26	202.63	1141.00	0.00%
20	609.60	12	1.516	5806.64	190.51	1162.00	0.00%
30	914.40	12	0.984	5654.01	185.50	1120.00	0.00%

			Soil Resistivity Data Sheet Wenner Four-Electrode Method	
Project:	LG&E 2027	NGCC Mill Creek	Projec	ct #: 22360136
Project I	Location:	Louisville, KY	Statio	n #: ER-1 Line-B (SW-NE)
Date:	11/30/2022		т	me: 12:35 PM
Weathe	r & Temperati	ure:	35°F, Sunny	
Soil Con	ditions:	Moist to Wet C	lay	
Perform	ed By (Name	of Tester)	Adam Gostic	
Additior	nal Notes:	Half of Line-B d	escended a slope. Outer electrode relief at 30 ft a	a-spacing ≈ 12 ft.
		ρ=2π·a·R	$\begin{vmatrix} \bullet & \mathbf{I} & \bullet & \bullet \\ & & \mathbf{V} & - & \bullet \\ \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{M} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{B} \\ \bullet & \mathbf{a} & \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & \mathbf{a} & \bullet & \bullet \\ \bullet & $	

7	A IVI	1	A (18)	b	
_					
	a 🔺 🕨	a ∢►	a ∢>		
		22 2.0%			
~					~
					<b>Ground Surface</b>
			Z-0		
		i			

Station

"a" Spacing (feet)	"a" Spacing (centimeters)	Electrode Depth (Inches)	Resistance (Ω)	ρ Apparent Resistivity (Ω·cm)	ρ Apparent Resistivity (Ω·ft)	Injected Current (mA)	Standard Deviation (%)
2.5	76.20	4	9.553	4573.78	150.06	64.15	0.00%
5	152.40	6	6.525	6248.08	204.99	76.25	0.00%
10	304.80	12	3.287	6295.00	206.53	1021.00	0.00%
15	457.20	12	1.912	5492.56	180.20	1007.00	0.00%
20	609.60	12	1.309	5013.78	164.49	903.70	0.00%
30	914.40	12	0.790	4540.56	148.97	905.70	0.00%

## Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 80 of 108 Imber



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 81 of 108 Imber

Mill Creek 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Site Photos Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 82 of 108 Imber

Mill Creek 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Site Photos Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136





Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 83 of 108 Imber

Mill Creek 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation – Site Photos Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136







Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 84 of 108 Imber

# 

# **Summary of Field Procedures**

# Boring and Sampling

#### Soil Test Boring with Hollow-Stem Auger

Soil sampling and penetration testing were performed in general accordance with ASTM D1586, *Standard Test Method for Penetration Test and Split Barrel Sampling of Soils*. Borings were made by mechanically twisting a continuous steel hollow stem auger into the soil. At regular intervals, soil samples were obtained with a standard 1.4-inch I. D., 2-inch O. D., split barrel sampler. The sampler was first seated six inches to penetrate any loose cuttings, then driven an additional 12 inches with blows of a 140-pound hammer falling 30 inches. The number of hammer blows required to drive the sampler through the two final six inch increments was recorded as the penetration resistance (SPT N) value. The N-value, when properly interpreted by qualified professional staff, is an index of the soil strength and foundation support capability.

#### **Electronic Cone Penetrometer (CPT) Soundings**

CPT soundings consist of a conical pointed penetrometer which is hydraulically pushed into the soil at a slow, measured rate. Procedures for measurement of the tip resistance and side friction resistance to push generally follow those described by ASTM D5778, *Standard Test Method for Performing Electronic Friction Cone and Piezocone Penetration Testing of Soils*.

A penetrometer with a conical tip having a 60 degree apex angle and a cone base area of 10 cm2 was advanced into the soil at a constant rate of 20 mm/s. The force on the conical point required to penetrate the soil was measured electronically every 50 mm penetration to obtain the cone resistance  $q_c$ . A friction sleeve is present on the penetrometer immediately behind the cone tip. The force exerted on the sleeve was measured electronically at a minimum of every 50 mm penetration and divided by the surface area of the sleeve to obtain the friction sleeve resistance value  $f_s$ . A pore pressure element mounted immediately behind the cone tip was used to measure the pore pressure induced during advancement of the cone into the soil.

Using this procedure soil samples are not obtained. Soil classification was made on the basis of comparison of the tip resistance, sleeve resistance and pore pressure values to values measured at other locations in known soil types, using experience with similar soils and exercising engineering judgment.

#### **Bulk Samples**

At selected locations and depths, representative bulk samples of the soils were obtained by randomly taking shovel loads from the cuttings or spoil brought to the surface, until a sample of 30 to 50 pounds was obtained. The sample was placed in a cloth or plastic sack marked with appropriate descriptive information. Samples were protected from freezing at all times.

#### **Refusal to Drilling**

Refusal to the soil drilling methods used at this site may result from encountering hard cemented soil, soft weathered rock, coarse gravel, cobbles or boulders, thin rock seams, or the upper surface of sound continuous

Page 2

rock. Core drilling would be required to determine the character and continuity of materials below refusal of the soil auger in natural soils. Where fills are present, refusal to drilling may also result from encountering buried debris, building materials, or objects. Backhoe test pits would be required to expose and identify buried materials below refusal levels in filled areas.

#### **Refusal to CPT Push**

Refusal to the cone penetrometer equipment occurred when the reaction weight of the CPT rig was exceeded by the thrust required to push the conical tip further into the ground. At that point the rig tended to lift off the ground. Refusal may have resulted from encountering hard cemented or indurated soils, soft weathered rock, coarse gravel, cobbles or boulders, thin rock seams, or the upper surface of sound continuous rock. Where fills are present, refusal to the CPT rig may also have resulted from encountering buried debris, building materials, or objects.

#### Installation of Temporary PVC Casing (Observation Well)

Water level readings taken during boring operations do not provide information on long term fluctuations of the water table. In several of the borings, a temporary observation well will be constructed by inserting PVC casing to the indicated depth. A slotted PVC well screen will be attached to the bottom of the PVC pipe to allow subsurface water to enter the well. Soil will be mounded around the observation wells at the ground surface to prevent surface runoff from entering the borehole.

#### **Borehole Closure**

Following collection of relevant geotechnical data, boreholes were backfilled with a mixture of cementbentonite grout and soil cuttings.

#### Preservation and Transporting of Soil Samples with Control of Field Moisture

Procedures for preserving soil samples obtained in the field and transportation of samples to the laboratory generally followed those given in ASTM D4220, *Standard Practice for Preserving and Transporting Soil Samples* for Group B samples as defined in Section 4. Group B samples are those samples not suspected of being contaminated and for which only water content and classification, proctor, relative density, or profile logging will be performed. Group B samples also include bulk samples that are intended to be remolded in the laboratory for compaction, swell pressure, percent swell, consolidation, permeability, CBR, or shear testing. Representative samples of the cuttings or split spoon samples, or representative bulk samples, were placed in suitably identified, sealed glass jars or plastic containers and transported to the laboratory. Sample identification numbers on the containers corresponded to sample numbers recorded on field boring records or test pit records. Thin-walled tube samples were sealed at the ends with paraffin and capped with plastic end caps.

# • Field Tests of Earth Materials

The subsurface conditions encountered during drilling were reported on a field test boring record by the chief driller. The record contains information about the drilling method, samples attempted and sample recovery, indications of materials in the borings such as coarse gravel, cobbles, etc., and indications of materials encountered between sample intervals. Representative soil samples were placed in glass jars and transported

Page 3

to the laboratory along with the field boring records. Recovered samples not expended in laboratory tests are commonly retained in our laboratory for 60 days following completion of drilling. Field boring records are retained at our office.

#### **Measurement of Static Water Levels**

Water level readings were made in the open boreholes immediately after completing drilling and withdrawal of the tools. Where feasible, measurements were repeated after an elapsed period of 24 hours to gauge the stabilized water level. Procedures for measurement of liquid levels in open boreholes are described in ASTM D4750, *Standard Test Method for Determining Subsurface Liquid Levels in a Borehole or Monitoring Well (Observation Well)*. A calibrated cable with electrical wire encased, equipped with a weighted sensing tip at one end and an electric meter at the other, was slowly lowered into each borehole until the liquid surface was penetrated by the weighted end. Contact with the water closed an electric circuit and was recorded by the meter. The depth reading on the cable was then recorded relative to a reference point on the surface. Measurements made by this method were then repeated until approximately consistent values were obtained.

## Downhole Shear Wave Velocity Test (Geophone)

Shear wave velocity measurements were performed using downhole methods in general accordance with ASTM D7400, *Standard Test Methods for Downhole Seismic Testing*. For downhole surveys, a triaxial geophone is lowered in the hole and coupled to the borehole sidewall. At various depths, generally every 3 to 5 feet, the horizontal geophone records a pair of opposite polarity horizontally polarized vertical shear wave generated at the surface of the borehole. The shear wave is typically generated at the surface by hitting opposite ends of a plank coupled to the ground surface and a vertical geophone used to record compression. Corrections for the source offset are typically made, however corrections for verticality are not required.

# **Field Resistivity Testing**

Apparent resistivity of the soil was measured at selected locations in the field by measuring the voltage potential between four equally spaced, in-line direct current electrodes in the Wenner Electrode Arrangement as described in ASTM D6431, *Standard Guide for Using the Direct Current Resistivity Method for Subsurface Investigation*. Using the measured voltages, resistivity was estimated using the approach described in *A Method of Measuring Earth Resistivity*, <u>U. S. Bureau of Standards Bulletin No. 258</u>, by Dr. F. Wenner, in which the average resistivity of the soil to a depth of "A" is given by:

r = Average resistivity of soil, ohm-cm

A = Distance between electrodes, cm

E = Measured Voltage, Volts

I = Current, Amperes

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 87 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



**Appendix III – Laboratory Testing Summaries and Data Sheets** 

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 88 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



Boring	Depth (ft)	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	USCS Classification	Undrained Shear Strength (psf)
B-1	39.0 to 40.5	NP	NP	NP	Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM)	-
B-5 <sup>1</sup>	12.0 to 14.0	NP	NP	NP	Silty Sand (SM)	-
B-5	43.5 to 45.0	NP	NP	NP	Poorly Graded Sand with Silt (SP-SM)	-
B-8	39.5 to 41.0	37	22	15	Sandy Lean Clay (CL)	-
B-10	64.0-65.5	NP	NP	NP	Silty Gravel with Sand (GM)	-

#### Table III-1 – Laboratory Data Summary (Soil)

Note:

1. Sample obtained within CCR material.

## Table III-2 – Corrosion Series Laboratory Test Results Summary (Soil)

Boring	Sample ID	Sample Depth (ft)	рН	Electrical Resistivity (ohm- cm)	Redox Potential (mV)	Sulfate (mg/kg)	Sulfide (mg/kg)	Chloride (mg/kg)
B-5 <sup>1</sup>	SS-4	12.0 to 14.0	9.2	1,200	93 to 120	7,000	BRL <sup>2</sup>	BRL <sup>3</sup>
B-5	SS-12	43.5 to 45.0	7.6	2,700	81 to 89	870	BRL <sup>4</sup>	15

Note:

1. Sample obtained within CCR material.

2. BRL = below reporting limit. Reporting limit is 66.1 mg/kg

3. Reporting Limit is 170 mg/kg.

4. Reporting Limit is 45.3 mg/kg.

### Table III-3 – Concrete Exposure Classes

Boring	Sample	Depth (ft)	Freezing and Thawing (F)	Sulfate (S)	In Contact with Water (W)	Corrosion Protection of Reinforcement (C)
B-5 <sup>1</sup>	SS-4	12.0 to 14.0	FO	S2	W1	C1
B-5	SS-12	43.5 to 45.0	FO	S1	W1	C1

Note:

1. Sample obtained within CCR material.

# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 89 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

Soil Test Evaluation for Ductile Iron Pipe						
	(10-Point S	system)*				
Soil Characteristics	Points					
Resistivity (ohm-cm)**		Moisture				
<1,500	10	Poor drainage,				
$\geq$ 1,500-1,800	8	continuously wet	<b>2</b>			
>1,800-2,100	5	Fair drainage,				
>2,100-2,500	2	generally moist	1			
>2,500-3,000	1	Good drainage,				
>3,000	0	generally dry	0			
pH						
0-2	5					
2-4	3					
4-6.5	0	*Ten points-corrosive to Ductile	Iron pipe.			
6.5-7.5	0 ***	Protection is indicated.				
7.5-8.5	0	**Based on water-saturated soil	box. This			
>8.5	3	method is designed to obtain the lo	west-and			
Redox potential		most accurate-resistivity readin	ıg.			
>+100  my	0	*** If sulfides are present and low (	<100 mv)			
+50  to  +100  mv	3.5	or negative redox-potential resu				
0  to  +50  mv	4	obtained, 3 points should be give	en for			
Negative	5	this range.				
Sulfides		Note: DIPRA recommends that the s				
Positive	3.5	used in the 10-point evaluation be tak depth rather than at the surface. Soil				
Trace	2	readings can vary substantially				
Negative	õ	surface to pipe depth.				

**Figure III-1 – Soil Test Evaluation for Ductile Iron Pipe Table** 



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 90 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

Exposure Class (Concrete in contact with soluble sulfates in soil/sea water)	Max. w/cm	Minimum ƒ° <sub>c</sub> , psi	ASTM C150 Cementitious Type	ASTM C1012 expansion
S0 – Low sulfates*	N/A	2500	NA	
S1 - Moderate sulfates*	0.50	4000	Type II	0.10% at 6 m
S2 - Severe sulfates*	0.45	4500	Type V	0.05% at 6 m or 0.10% at 12 m
S3 – Very severe sulfates*	0.45	4500	Type V+pozzolan or slag	0.10% at 18 m

#### ACI 318-11 Requirements for Concrete Exposed to Sulfates

\*Sulfate concentration is provided in ACI 318-11

# Figure III-2 – ACI 318 Requirements for Concrete Exposed to Sulfates



# Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 91 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902

&

Category	Class	Condition			
	F0	Concrete not exposed to freezing-and- thawing cycles			
	F1		o freezing-and-thawing ed exposure to water		
Freezing and thawing (F)	F2		o freezing-and-thawing ent exposure to water		
	F3	Concrete exposed to cycles with frequent	freezing-and-thawing exposure to water and eicing chemicals		
		Water-soluble sul- fate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) in soil, percent by mass <sup>[1]</sup>	Dissolved sulfate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) in water, ppm <sup>[2]</sup>		
	<b>S0</b>	SO4 <sup>2-</sup> < 0.10	$SO_4^{2-} < 150$		
Sulfate (S)	<b>S</b> 1	$0.10 \le {\rm SO_4^{2-}} < 0.20$	$150 \le {\rm SO_4^{2-}} < 1500$ or seawater		
	S2	$0.20 \le SO_4{}^{2-} \le 2.00$	$1500 \le {\rm SO_4}^{2-} \le 10,000$		
	S3	$SO_4^{2-} > 2.00$	SO4 <sup>2-</sup> >10,000		
In contact with water	W0	Concrete in contac	Iry in service et with water and low is not required		
(W)	W1		t with water and low ty is required		
	C0	Concrete dry or pro	otected from moisture		
Corrosion protection of	C1		moisture but not to an ree of chlorides		
reinforcement (C)	C2	Concrete exposed to moisture and an external source of chlorides from deicing chemicals, salt, brackish water, seawater, or spray from these sources			

<sup>[1]</sup>Percent sulfate by mass in soil shall be determined by ASTM C1580.

<sup>[2]</sup>Concentration of dissolved sulfates in water, in ppm, shall be determined by ASTM D516 or ASTM D4130.

## Figure III-3 – ACI 318 Table 19.3.1.1 Exposure Categories and Classes

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a)

Page 92 of 108

Imber

Revision	o. TR-2310L No. : 0a Date. : 11/								Lab Sun	-					
р · ,	N 1	2226012	c	S&ME, In	c - Le	xing	ton	2020	Liberty Road, S	uite 105,	Lexingto	n, KY 40505	<b>D</b> . D .	02	(2.4./22
Project		22360136	-										Report Date:	02,	/24/23
Project		2027 NG		Сгеек											
Client N		LG&E-KL			101						-				
Client A	ddress:	820 West	t Broadw	ay, Louisville	e, KY			1		1			1	l.	
BORING NO.	SAMPLE DEPTH, FT.	SAMPLE		NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT,%	-	T. LIMI P.L.	-	APPROX % RET.ON #40	MAX DRY DENSITY, PCF @ OPT MC % (STD. PROCTOR)	WET UNIT WEIGHT, PCF	DRY UNIT WEIGHT, PCF	РН	LEAST ELECTRICAL RESISITVITY, Ω CM	% FINER THAN NO. 200	INTER- POLATED AT 95% CBR, %
B-1	39-40.5	S-3, SS	SP-SM	13.0	NP			48						5.6	
B-5	12-14.0	S-4, SS	SM	52.6	NP							9.2	1,200	52.0	
B-5	43.5-45	S-12, SS	SP-SM	6.2	NP							7.6	2,700	14.6	
B-8	39.5-41	S-12, SS	CL	25.7	37	22	15	2							
B-10	64-65.5	S-18, SS	GM	10.7	NP			77					1		
				-											
							<u> </u>								
							i i							}	
Notos		<u> </u>			I		!	L					<u> </u>	I	l
Notes:															
	la	cob Folsc	m				Jacob	b.Falsa			Labo	ratory Service	es Manager	02/	/27/23

Technical Responsibility

Jacob Folsom

Position

Date

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of S&ME, Inc.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 93 of 108 Imber

		Iml
Form No. TR-D422-2		
Revision No. 2LEXd	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS OF SO	
Revision Date: 06/21/22		
	ASTM D422	
	IE, Inc New Albany: 400 Industrial Boulevard, New	
	60136	Report Date:         2/20/23           To be (c)         2/16 (22)
	7 NGCC- Mill Creek	Test Date(s): 2/16/23
	ጷE-KU י West Broadway, Louisville, KY	
Type: SS	West bloadway, Louisville, Kt	Sample Date: 11/21/22
Location: B-1		Depth (ft.): 39.0-40.5
	ORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT AND GRAVEL (SP-SM	
1 1/2" 1" 3/4		
100%	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
90%		
	→	
80%		
70%		
A0%		
La cont		
Eut 50%		
2 40%	<u> </u>	
30%		
20%	NNNN	
10%		
0%		
100	10 1 0.1 Particle Size (mm)	0.01 0.001
Cobbles	< 300 mm (12") and > 75 mm (3") Fine Sand	< 0.425 mm and > 0.075 mm (#200)
Gravel	< 75 mm and > 4.75 mm (#4) Silt Size	< 0.075 and > 0.005 mm
Coarse Sand Medium Sand	< 4.75 mm and >2.00 mm (#10) Clay Size < 2.00 mm and > 0.425 mm (#40) Colloids	< 0.005 mm < 0.001 mm
Nom. Maximum Particle		
Silt & Clay (% Passing #		
Assumed Relative De	-	•
Liquid I	-	
Coarse S		
Description of Sand and Gravel	Rounded 🗵 Angular 🗖 Hard & Durable 🛛	⊠ Soft □ Weathered & Friable □
Mechanical Stirring Apparatus A	Dispersion Period: 1 min. Dispersing Agent:	Sodium Hexametaphosphate: 40 g./ Liter
References / Comments / Devi		
D10: 0.18 mm, D30: 0.32 mm,	D60: 0.77 mm Specimen did not meet sample size requiren	nent. All available material used.
Jacob Folsom		vices Manager 2/23/2023
Technical Responsibility	Signature	Position Date
	s report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written a	
S&ME, Inc Corporate	3201 Spring Forest Road Raleigh, NC. 27616	22360136 B-1 Hydro.xlsx Page 1 of 1
	Kuleign, IVC. 27010	i uge i oj i

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 94 of 108 Imber Form No. TR-D422-2 PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS OF SOIL Revision No. 2LEXd Revision Date: 06/21/22 ASTM D422 S&ME, Inc. - Lexington: 2020 Liberty Road, Suite 105, Lexington, KY 40505 Project #: 22360136 Report Date: 1/17/23 Test Date(s): Project Name: 2027 NGCC - Mill Creek 1/11/23 **Client Name:** LG&E-KU **Client Address:** 820 West Broadway, Louisville, KY SS Sample Date: 11/21-23/2022 Type: Location: MCB-5 Depth (ft.): 12.0 - 14.0 SILTY SAND (SM), gray Sample Description: 1 1/2" 1" 3/4" 1/2'3/8" #140#200 #⊿ #10 #20 #40 #60 100% 90% 80% 70% Percent Passing 60% 50% 40% 30% 20% 10% 0% 100 10 1 0.1 0.01 0.001 Particle Size (mm) < 300 mm (12") and > 75 mm (3") Fine Sand < 0.425 mm and > 0.075 mm (#200) Cobbles Gravel < 75 mm and > 4.75 mm (#4) Silt Size < 0.075 and > 0.005 mm Coarse Sand < 4.75 mm and >2.00 mm (#10) Clay Size < 0.005 mm < 2.00 mm and > 0.425 mm (#40) Medium Sand < 0.001 mm Colloids Nom. Maximum Particle Size: #10 Gravel: 0.4% Silt Size: 26.9% Silt & Clay (% Passing #200): 36.0% Total Sand: 63.6% Clay Size: 9.1% Assumed Relative Density: 2.650 Moisture Content: 52.6% Liquid Limit: NP Plastic Limit: NP Plastic Index: NP Coarse Sand: 0.4% Medium Sand: 47.5% Fine Sand: 15.7% Description of Sand and Gravel Rounded 🗆 Hard & Durable Weathered & Friable Angular X  $\mathbf{X}$ Soft Mechanical Stirring Apparatus A **Dispersion Period:** 1 min. Dispersing Agent: Sodium Hexametaphosphate: 40 g./ Liter References / Comments / Deviations: Jacob Folisom Jacob Folsom Lab Services Manager 1/17/2023 Technical Responsibility Signature Position Date This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written approval of S&ME, Inc. 22360136 Hydro MCB-5 12.xlsx S&ME, Inc. - Corporate 3201 Spring Forest Road Raleigh, NC. 27616 Page 1 of 1

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 95 of 108 Imber

				Im
Form No. TR-D422-2				
Revision No. 2LEXd	PARTICLE SIZE ANA	LYSIS OF SOIL		
Revision Date: 06/21/22				$\parallel \equiv \parallel$
	ASTM D42	2		
S&N	1E, Inc Lexington: 2020 Liberty Roa	id, Suite 105, Lexingtor	ı, KY 40505	
Project #: 223	60136	Repo	rt Date: 1/1	7/23
Project Name: 202	7 NGCC - Mill Creek	Test I	Date(s): 1/1	2/23
Client Name: LG8	λε-κυ			
Client Address: 820	) West Broadway, Louisville, KY			
Type: SS			Sample Date: 11/2	1-23/2022
Location: MC	B-5		Depth (ft.): 43	.5 - 45.0
Sample Description: PO	ORLY GRADED SAND WITH SILT (SP-S	M), brown		
1 1/2" 1" 3/4	" 1/2'3/8" #4 #10 #20 #40 #	60 #140#200		
100%				
90%	<b>\</b>			
80%				
70%				
.g 60%				
A0%				
6 <sup>0</sup>				
2 40%				
		<u> </u>		
30%				
20%				
10%				
0%				
100	10 1	0.1	0.01	0.001
	Particle Size (	mm)		
Cobbles	< 300 mm (12") and > 75 mm (3")	Fine Sand	< 0.425 mm and > 0.07	75 mm (#200)
Gravel	< 75 mm and > 4.75 mm (#4)	Silt Size	< 0.075 and > 0.07	
Coarse Sand	< 4.75 mm and >2.00 mm (#10)	Clay Size	< 0.005 mn	
Medium Sand	< 2.00 mm and > 0.425 mm (#40)	Colloids	< 0.001 mn	
Nom. Maximum Particle		avel: 0.0%	Silt Size:	2.6%
Silt & Clay (% Passing #	•		Clay Size:	2.4%
Assumed Relative De	•			ND
Liquid			Plastic Index:	NP
Coarse S			Fine Sand:	86.8%
Description of Sand and Gravel	5		Soft   Weathered 8	
Mechanical Stirring Apparatus A References / Comments / Devi		persing Agent: Sodium	Hexametaphosphate:	40 g./ Liter
D10: 0.11 mm, D30: 0.17 mm,				
	~~~~~			
Jacob Folsom	Jacob Folisom	Lab Services M	anager <u>1/</u>	23/2023
Technical Responsibility	Signature	Position		Date
This	s report shall not be reproduced, except in full, w	thout the written approval of	S&ME, Inc.	
S&ME, Inc Corporate	3201 Spring Forest Raleigh, NC, 276		22360136 Hydro MCB-5 4 Pay	43.5.xlsx ge 1 of 1

3201 Spring Forest Road Raleigh, NC. 27616

22360136 Hydro MCB-5 43.5.xlsx Page 1 of 1

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 96 of 108 Imber

Form No. TR-D422	-2											$\equiv$
Revision No. 2LEXc	ł	•	PAR	FICLE 9	SIZE	ANAL	YSIS (	OF SO	JIL			
Revision Date: 06/2	21/22											JU 3
						M D422						
	S&N	1E, Inc N	lew All	bany: 4	00 Ind	ustrial B	oulevarc	l, New	Albany,	IN 47150		
Project #:		60136							-	t Date:		20/23
Project Name:		7 NGCC-	Mill Cr	eek					Test D	Date(s):	2/1	6/23
Client Name:		kE-KU										
Client Address:		West Bro	adway	r, Louisvil	le, KY			_				
Гуре:	SS									Sample Dat		1/21/22
Location:	B-8									Depth (ft	.): 3	9.5-41.0
Sample Descripti		NDY LEAN										CL
100%	1 1/2" 1" 3/4	* 1/2'3/8*	#4	#10	#20	#40 #6	) #14	0#200			<del></del>	
++++												
90%												
80%												
++++												
70%												
bercent Passing												
1 50%												
3) 40%												
30%												
20%												
											$\mathbb{N}^{+}$	
10%												
0% □												
100		10		P	1 Particl	e Size (n	0. 1m)	1		0.01		0.001
Cobbles	5	< 300 m	וm (12")	and > 75 r	nm (3")		Fine	Sand		< 0.425 mm	and > 0.0	75 mm (#2(
Gravel		< 75 ı	mm and	> 4.75 mm	n (#4)			Size		< 0.075	5 and > 0.	005 mm
Coarse Sai Medium Sa				d >2.00 mm > 0.425 mr			· · · · ·	Size oids			< 0.005 m	
Nom. Maximur			#20	> 0.425 m	11 (#40)	Gra		0.09	%		< 0.001 m It Size:	34.0%
Silt & Clay (%			52.0%			Total Sa		48.0			y Size:	18.0%
Assumed R	-		2.650	1	Moistu	ire Conte		25.7		0.0	<i>y</i> e. <u>_</u> e.	101070
	Liquid I		37			Plastic Li		22		Plastic	Index:	15
	Coarse S		0.0%			dium Sa		2.09			Sand:	46.0%
Description of Sand a			nded [	⊐ Angu	ular 🗆		d & Dural					& Friable
Aechanical Stirring A			persion		1 min		ersing Ag	ent:	Sodium	Hexametaphos	ohate:	40 g./ Lite
References / Comm	nents / Devi	ations:										
	o Folsom Responsibility			Jacob 9 Signat			<u>L</u>	ab Ser	vices Ma Position	anager	<u>2</u> ,	/ <u>23/2023</u> <sub>Date</sub>
S&ME, Inc Corp		report shall	not be r	320.	1 Sprin	in full, with g Forest R NC. 2761	oad	ritten ap	oproval of		36 B-8 H Pa	ydro.xlsx 1ge 1 of 1

Raleigh, NC. 27616

50136 B-8 Hydro.xlsx Page 1 of 1

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 97 of 108 Imber

		Iı
Form No. TR-D422-2		8
Revision No. 2LEXd	PARTICLE SIZE ANALYSIS	OF SOIL
Revision Date: 06/21/22		
	ASTM D422	
	•	d, New Albany, IN 47150
- ,	360136	Report Date: 2/20/23
	27 NGCC- Mill Creek	Test Date(s): 2/16/23
	&E-KU	
	0 West Broadway, Louisville, KY	Sample Date: 11/21/22
Type: SS Location: B-		Sample Date: 11/21/22 Depth (ft.): 64.0-65.5
	TY GRAVEL WITH SAND (GM), brown	GM
1 1/2" 1" 3/		40#200
100%		40#200    •  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -  -
90%		
80%		
70%		
ass		
Gent Passing Percent Passing Percent Passing		
<b>3</b> 40%		
ă		
30%		
20%		
	<b></b>	
10%		
0%		
100		0.1 0.01 0.001
	Particle Size (mm)	
Cobbles	< 300 mm (12") and > 75 mm (3") Fine	e Sand < 0.425 mm and > 0.075 mm (#200)
Gravel		t Size < 0.075 and > 0.005 mm
Coarse Sand		y Size < 0.005 mm
Medium Sand Nom. Maximum Particle		Iloids         < 0.001 mm           56%         Silt Size:         13%
Silt & Clay (% Passing		29% Clay Size: 2%
		11%
Assumed Relative D	5	NP Plastic Index: NP
Liquid Coarse		21% Fine Sand: 8%
Description of Sand and Gravel	Rounded 🖾 Angular 🗆 Hard & Dura	
Mechanical Stirring Apparatus A	5	
References / Comments / Dev		
	ple size requirement. All available material used.	
	а 27 <del>3</del>	
Jacob Folsom		Lab Services Manager   2/23/2023
Technical Responsibilit	-	Position Date
	is report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the w	
S&ME, Inc Corporate	3201 Spring Forest Road	22360136 B-10 Hydro.xlsx
	Raleigh, NC. 27616	Page 1 of 1

Case No. 2022-00402
Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a
Page 98 of 108
Imber

Form No: TR-2310LEX-G57-T289-R Revision No. 0 Revision Date: 04/16/21

Quality Assurance

# LEAST ELECTRICAL RESISTIVTY AND pH FOR CORROSION



#### Electrical resistivity by Wenner 4-Pin

ASTM G57, AASHTO T 289

	S&ME, Inc Lexington:	2020 Liberty Road, Suite 105, Lexington, KY 40505	
Project #:	22360136	Report Date:	01/17/23
Project Name:	2027 NGCC - Mill Creek	Test Date(s):	01/13/23
Client Name:	LG&E-KU		
Client Address:	820 West Broadway, Louisville	е, КҮ	
		Sample Da	ate: 11/21 - 11/23/22

Boring/Location	Depth (ft.)	рН	Least Electrical Resistivity, Ω-cm	Water content for ER measurement
MCB-5	12-14	9.2	1,200	66.1%
MCB-5	43.5-45	7.6	2,700	23.8%

	Electrical Resistivity Method	
	A voltage is impressed between the outer electrodes,	and the voltage drop between the
SCIL BOX	inner electrodes is measured using a voltmeter.	RESISTIVITY, $\rho$ , $\Omega^*$ cm = (R) * A / a
	a, inner electrode spacing, cm :	7.2
	A, cross sectional area perpendicualr to flow, cm <sup>2</sup> :	7.2

Notes / Deviations / References:

Jacob Folsom	Jacob Folsom	Lab Services Manager	<u>1/23/2023</u>
Technical Responsibility	Signature	Position	Date
This report shall	not be reproduced, except in full,	without the written approval of S&ME, Inc.	



#### **ANALYTICAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES, INC.**

January 23, 2023 Jacob Folsom S&ME, Inc. 2020 Liberty Rd. Lexington KΥ 40505 RE: CEC/NGCC Dear Jacob Folsom: Order No: 2301F21 Analytical Environmental Services, Inc. received 7 January 13, 2023 3:11 pm samples on

for the analyses presented in following report. "No problems were encountered during the analyses except as noted in the Case Narrative or by qualifiers in

"No problems were encountered during the analyses except as noted in the Case Narrative or by qualifiers in the report or QC Summary. Additionally, all results for the associated Quality Control samples were within EPA and/or AES established limits.

AES's accreditations are as follows:

-NELAP/State of Florida Laboratory ID E87582 for analysis of Non-Potable Water, Solid & Chemical Materials, Air & Emissions Volatile Organics, and Drinking Water Microbiology & Metals, effective 07/01/22-06/30/23.

State of Georgia, Department of Natural Resources ID #800 for analysis of Drinking Water Metals, effective through 06/30/23 and Total Coliforms/ E. coli, effective 04/20/20-04/24/23.

-AIHA-LAP, LLC Laboratory ID: 100671 for Industrial Hygiene samples (Metals and PCM Asbestos), Environmental Lead (Paint, Soil, Dust Wipes, Air), and Environmental Microbiology (Fungal) Direct Examination, effective until 11/01/23.

These results relate only to the items tested as received. This report may only be reproduced in full.

If you have any questions regarding these test results, please feel free to call.

Sincerely,

Eken D. Buchanan, Jr.

Eben Buchanan Project Manager

3080 Presidential Drive • Atlanta, Georgia 30340 • Tel: 770.457.8177 • Fax: 770.457.8188 • Toll Free: 800.972.4889 www.aesatlanta.com

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 100 of 108

A			770) 457-818	38													Dat	e: 01/	11/2023	Page 1 of	1
	any: ME		erty Roa n, KY 40			4						UESTED					Visit our website www.aesatlanta.com for downloadable COCs and to				
HON	<sup>11</sup> 859 293-5518 ED BY:		I ITOISOM(0)SMEINC COM					de lo	Oxygen Reduction	es									log in t	o your AESAccess account.	Number of Containers
AIVIP	ED BT:		SIGNATURE:				Sulfates	Chloride	xygei	Sulfides											ber of 0
#	SAMPLE ID	SAM	PLED:	GRAB	COMPOSITE	MATRIX (see codes)	S	υ	Ô	S	PRES	ERVAT	FION (se	ee coo	des)						- Num
"	SAMIFLE ID	DATE	TIME	89	COMP	MA' (see o	NA	NA	NA	NA									1	REMARKS	
1	CEC B-1 3.5-5	10/25/22					~	~	~	~											1
2	CEC B-2 3-5	10/25/22					~	~	~	~											1
3	CEC B-3 6-10	10/25/22			~		1	~	~	~											1
4	CEC B-4 composite	10/25/22			~		~	~	~	~											1
5																		$\perp$			
6	NGCC B-4 10-12	11/23/22					1	~	~	~								$\perp$			1
7	NGCC MCB-5 12-14	11/23/22					1	~	~	~						$ \rightarrow $		$\perp$			1
8	NGCC MCB-5 43.5-45	11/23/22					1	~	~	~								$\perp$			1
9																					+
10																					
11																					+
12																					
13																					+
14																					
LIN	QUISHED BY: DATE/TIME:	RECEIVED BY:	11,		DATE/T	IME:	PRO	JECT N	AME:				INFOR							RECEIPT	-
		1. M	Julish	3	1511					CE	EC/	N(N)	GC	C					To	tal # of Containers	
-		1, 1	7				PROJECT #:										Tur	naround Time (TAT) Re	quest		
		V					SITE	ADDR	ESS:										_	standard	
		з.					SEN	D REPO	ORT TO	): •			_							2 Business Day Rush Next Business Day Rush	
PECI	AL INSTRUCTIONS/COMMENTS:		SHIPMEN	T METHO	D					Ja	aco	b	Fo	IS	om					Same-Day Rush (auth r	
		OUT: /	/	VIA:			INV	DICE TO	D (IF D	IFFEREN	NT FROM	M ABO	OVE):							Other	
		IN: /	0	VIA:																RAM (if any):	
		client	FedEy UPS	US ma	il cou	rier	OL	IOTE #						P	0#:				E-mail?	Fax?    (AGE:   O    O     O	0
<b>C</b> 1-	mission of samples to the laboratory constitutes acceptance of	AFS's Terms & Condi		mes sole r	responsibi	lity for dama	_	_	-	shefore	e we arr	cent th	nem, Sa	-	-	ed afte	er 3PM o	r on Sat			-
30	business day. If no TA	T is marked on COC,	AES will proceed	with stan	dard TAT.	Samples are	dispose	d of 30	) days	after co	ompleti	ion of	report u	Inless	other a	arrang	ements a	re made	2.		

Preservative Codes: H+I = Hydrochloric acid + ice I = Ice only N = Nitric acid S+I = Sulfuric acid + ice S/M+I = Sodium Bisulfate/Methanol + ice O = Other (specify) NA = None

Page 2 of 19

Analytical Environmental Service	s, Inc					Date:	23-Jan-23	
Client: S&ME, Inc. Project Name: CEC/NGCC		(	Client Samp Collection D Matrix:		11/23/202	ICB-5 12-14 22		
Lab ID: 2301F21-006				Solid				
Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual	Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed	Analys
Sulfide by SW9030B/9034				(SW9	030B)			
Sulfide	BRL	66.1	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349766	1	01/19/2023 16:15	AA
Oxidation/Reduction Potential by AS	TM G200-9							
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	120	1.0	Н	mV	R506536	1	01/18/2023 14:22	AH
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	110	1.0	Н	mV	R506536	1	01/18/2023 14:22	AH
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	93	1.0	Н	mV	R506536	1	01/18/2023 14:22	AH
ION SCAN SW9056A				(SW9	056A)			
Chloride	BRL	170	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349744	10	01/19/2023 17:58	BI
Sulfate	7000	170	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349744	10	01/19/2023 17:58	BI
PERCENT MOISTURE D2216								
Percent Moisture	40.1	0		wt%	R506205	1	01/15/2023 00:00	JW

Qualifiers: \* Value exceeds maximum contaminant level

- BRL Below reporting limit
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- N Analyte not NELAC certified
- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank
- > Greater than Result value

- E Estimated (value above quantitation range)
- S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
- Narr See case narrative
- Analyzed in the lab which is a deviation from the method F
- < Less than Result value
- J Estimated value detected below Reporting Limit

Page 9 of 19

Analytical Environmental Services	Inc					Date:	23-Jan-23	
Client:         S&ME, Inc.           Project Name:         CEC/NGCC           Lab ID:         2301F21-007			(	Client Samp Collection D Matrix:		NGCC M 11/23/202 Solid		
Analyses	Result	Reporting Limit	Qual	Units	BatchID	Dilution Factor	Date Analyzed	Analys
Sulfide by SW9030B/9034				(SW9	030B)			
Sulfide	BRL	45.3	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349766	1	01/19/2023 16:15	AA
Oxidation/Reduction Potential by AST	M G200-9							
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	81	1.0	Н	mV R506530		1	01/18/2023 14:22	AH
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	82	1.0	Н	mV	R506615	1	01/19/2023 09:00	AH
Oxidation-Reduction Potential	89	1.0	Н	mV	R506536	1	01/18/2023 14:22	AH
ION SCAN SW9056A				(SW9	056A)			
Chloride	15	11	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349744	1	01/19/2023 18:14	BI
Sulfate	870	11	Н	mg/Kg-dry	349744	1	01/19/2023 18:14	BI
PERCENT MOISTURE D2216								
Percent Moisture	13.4	0		wt%	R506205	1	01/15/2023 00:00	JW

Qualifiers: \* Value exceeds maximum contaminant level

- BRL Below reporting limit
- H Holding times for preparation or analysis exceeded
- N Analyte not NELAC certified
- B Analyte detected in the associated method blank
- > Greater than Result value

- E Estimated (value above quantitation range)
- S Spike Recovery outside limits due to matrix
- Narr See case narrative
- F Analyzed in the lab which is a deviation from the method
- < Less than Result value
- J Estimated value detected below Reporting Limit

Page 10 of 19

	&

# **Summary of Laboratory Procedures**

Recovered disturbed and undisturbed samples and the drillers' field logs were transported to the laboratory where they were examined by the geotechnical engineer. Selected samples representative of certain groups of soils were subjected to simple classification tests by hand or other simple means.

Recovered disturbed and undisturbed samples and the drillers' field logs were transported to the laboratory where they were examined by the geotechnical engineer. Selected samples representative of certain groups of soils were subjected to simple classification tests by hand or other simple means. Other samples were tested in the laboratory to determine their strength or consolidation properties.

# Laboratory Tests of Soil

#### **Examination of Split Spoon Soil Samples**

Soil and rock samples and field boring records were reviewed in the laboratory by the geotechnical engineer. Soils were classified in general accordance with the visual-manual method described in ASTM D 2488, *Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Method)*. The geotechnical engineer also prepared the final boring records enclosed with this report.

### Moisture Content Testing of Soil Samples by Oven Drying

Moisture content was determined in general conformance with the methods outlined in ASTM D2216, "Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil or Rock by Mass." This method is limited in scope to Group B, C, or D samples of earth materials which do not contain appreciable amounts of organic material, soluble solids such as salt or reactive solids such as cement. This method is also limited to samples which do not contain contamination.

A representative portion of the soil was divided from the sample using one of the methods described in Section 9 of ASTM D2216. The split portion was then placed in a drying oven and heated to approximately 110 degrees C overnight or until a constant mass was achieved after repetitive weighing. The moisture content of the soil was then computed as the mass of water removed from the sample by drying, divided by the mass of the sample dry, times 100 percent. No attempt was made to exclude any particular particle size from the portion split from the sample.

### Liquid and Plastic Limits Testing

Atterberg limits of the soils was determined generally following the methods described by ASTM D4318, *Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils*. Albert Atterberg originally defined "limits of consistency" of fine grained soils in terms of their relative ease of deformation at various moisture contents. In current engineering usage, the liquid limit of a soil is defined as the moisture content, in percent, marking the upper limit of viscous flow and the boundary with a semi-liquid state. The plastic limit defines the lower limit of plastic behavior, above which a soil behaves plastically below which it retains its shape upon drying. The plasticity index (PI) is the range of water content over which a soil behaves plastically. Numerically, the PI is the difference between liquid limit and plastic limit values.

Summary of Laboratory Procedures (continued)

Page 2

Representative portions of fine grained Group A, B, C, or D samples were prepared using the wet method described in Section 10.1 of ASTM D4318. The liquid limit of each sample was determined using the multipoint method (Method A) described in Section 11. The liquid limit is by definition the moisture content where 25 drops of a hand operated liquid limit device are required to close a standard width groove cut in a soil sample placed in the device. After each test, the moisture content of the sample was adjusted and the sample replaced in the device. The test was repeated to provide a minimum of three widely spaced combinations of N versus moisture content. When plotted on semilog paper, the liquid limit moisture content was determined by straight line interpolation between the data points at N equals 25 blows.

The plastic limit was determined using the procedure described in Section 17 of ASTM D4318. A selected portion of the soil used in the liquid limit test was kneaded and rolled by hand until it could no longer be rolled to a 3.2 mm thread on a glass plate. This procedure was repeated until at least 6 grams of material was accumulated, at which point the moisture content was determined using the methods described in ASTM D2216.

## **Grain Size Analysis of Samples**

The distribution of particle sizes greater than 75 µm was determined in general accordance with the procedures described by ASTM D421, *Standard Practice for Dry Preparation of Soil Samples for Particle-Size Analysis and Determination of Soil Constants*, and D422, *Standard Test Method for Particle Size Analysis of Soils*. During preparation samples were divided into two portions. The material coarser than the No. 30 U.S. sieve size fraction was dry sieved through a nest of standard sieves as described in Article 6. Material passing the No. 30 sieve was independently passed through a nest of sieves down to the No. 200 size.

### Grain Size Analysis of Samples with Hydrometer

The distribution of particle sizes was determined in general accordance with the procedures described by ASTM D421, *Standard Practice for Dry Preparation of Soil Samples for Particle-Size Analysis and Determination of Soil Constants*, and D422, *Standard Test Method for Particle Size Analysis of Soils*. During preparation samples were divided into two portions. The material coarser than the No. 10 U.S. sieve size fraction was dry sieved through a nest of standard sieves as described in Article 6. Material passing the No. 10 sieve was soaked in demineralized water and a dispersing agent, then the soil-water slurry placed in a glass sedimentation chamber and the specific gravity of the slurry recorded at various time intervals. The grain size distribution was calculated from the time rate of sedimentation of the various size particles. After the final hydrometer reading was obtained, the suspension was washed through the No. 200 sieve. The remaining material retained on the No. 200 sieve was oven dried, and then passed through a standard nest of sieves.

### **Percent Fines Determination of Samples**

A selected specimen of soils was washed over a No. 200 sieve after being thoroughly mixed and dried. This test was conducted in general accordance with ASTM D1140, *Standard Test Method for Amount of Material Finer Than the No. 200 Sieve*. Method A, using water to wash the sample through the sieve without soaking the sample for a prescribed period of time, was used and the percentage by weight of material washing through the sieve was deemed the "percent fines" or percent clay and silt fraction.

#### **Soil Resistivity of Samples**

This method is used to evaluate soil resistivity for the control of corrosion of buried structures, both for the estimation of expected corrosion rates and for the design of cathodic protection systems. Laboratory soil resistivity tests were run in general accordance with the procedure laid out in ASTM G57, *Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Soil Resistivity using the Wenner Four-Electrode Method*. Laboratory tests were performed using Section 7.2.

A soil sample representative of the area of interest was mixed thoroughly and brought to saturation by adding only a sufficient amount of distilled water to produce a slight amount of surface water. The sample was condition overnight allowing excess surface water to evaporate. The saturated stiff slurry sample was placed in the soil box in layers, eliminating air spaces as far as practicable. A voltage was impressed across the outer electrodes. The voltage drop across the inner electrodes was measured with both the current and voltage drop recorded, if a separate ammeter and voltmeter were used. Where a resistivity meter was used, the resistance was read directly. The saturated measurement will provide an approaching minimum resistivity.

#### Laboratory Sulfate Ion Content Test

External sulfate can occur when concrete is in contact with sulfate containing water e.g. seawater, swamp water, ground water or sewage water. The often massive formation of gypsum and ettringite formed during the external sulfate attack may cause concrete to crack and scale.

Water soluble sulfate ion content is determined using either Method A or B as described by AASHTO T-290-95(2003), *Determining Water-Soluble Sulfate Ion Content in Soil*. Soil specimens were first prepared by splitting and quartering representative portions from recovered samples as described in Section 7.2.

Method A, the Gravimetric Method, determines sulfate content by precipitation of barium sulfate from a heated solution of the soil and chemical reagents. Method B, the Turbidimetric Method, relies on a photoelectric colorimeter to determine the turbidity of a barium sulfate suspension after chemical reagents are added. Laboratory test data sheets will indicate the method used.

#### Laboratory Chloride Ion Content Test

Water soluble chloride ion content is determined using either Method A or B as described by AASHTO T-291-94(2004), *Determining Water-Soluble chloride Ion Content in Soil*. Soil specimens were first prepared by splitting and quartering representative portions from recovered samples as described in Section 7.2.

Method A, the Mohr Titration Method, determines chloride ion content using silver nitrate in a suspended solution of the soil and distilled water. A reaction between a potassium chromate indicator solution and the silver nitrate produces a red-silver chromate precipitate.

Method B utilizes a pH/mV meter with chloride ion selective electrodes. When inserted into the suspension the meter records the activity of the chloride ions. These readings are compared to a set of calibration curves to determine the ion content in mg/kg.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 106 of 108 Imber

> Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation Mill Creek Generating Station Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky S&ME Project No. 22360136 Contract No. 1124902



# **Appendix IV– LPILE Tables**

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 107 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation LG&E - Mill Creek Generating Station Project No. 22360136 4/21/2023

	Boring: Ceneral Site Croundwater Encountered: Croundwater encountered between 50 and 70 test below existing grade															
Stratum	ratum		LPILE Soil Type (p-	Description	Total Unit	ф	Undrained Shear	Unconfined Compressive	LPILE Design Parameters		Allowable End	Allowable Skin Resistance (Soil to Concrete)		Allowable Skin Resistance (Soil to Steel) <sup>5</sup>		
Layer No.	Top of Layer	Bottom of Layer	y Curve)		weight	Weight	Strength, s <sub>u</sub>	Strength, q <sub>u</sub>	k	ε <sub>50</sub>	RQD	Bearing Pressure <sup>2</sup>	Compression <sup>3</sup>	Uplift <sup>4</sup>	Compression <sup>3</sup>	Uplift <sup>4</sup>
	(ft)	(ft)			(pcf)	(deg)	(psf)	(psi)	(pci)		(%)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)
1	0	3		Topsoil/Frost Zone	125	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	3	42.5	Sand (Reese)	CCR, FILL, loose to dense	110	26	-	-	25	-	-	-	0 - 340	0 - 255	0 - 255	0 - 190
3 <sup>1</sup>	18.5	70	Sand (Reese)	Sands, loose to dense	110-130	28-32	-	-	25-225	-	-	2800 - 12000	335 - 740	250 - 550	250 - 550	190 - 415
4 <sup>1</sup>	70	80	Sand (Reese)	Sands, medium dense to dense	125-130	32-34	-	-	60-125	-	-	6400 - 12000	380 - 550	285 - 410	285 - 410	210 - 310

Notes:

 $^{1}$  When below the groundwater table, as in the case of flooding, use the effective unit weight,  $\gamma' = \gamma - 62.4$  pcf and add hydrostatic water pressure

<sup>2</sup> FS = 3.0; Typically industry practice references a FS of 2.0 when load testing is performed and a FS between 2.5 and 3.0 without load testing.

<sup>3</sup> FS = 2.0; Typically industry practice references a FS on the order of 1.25 when load testing is performed and a FS of 2.0 or greater without load testing.

<sup>4</sup> Uplift taken as 75% of compression skin resistance.

<sup>5</sup> Applies to permanent steel casing.

<sup>6</sup> Allowable Skin Resistance is limited by the strength of concrete taken as  $f'_c = 4,000$  psi for this project.

<sup>7</sup> CCR fills may contain zones of loose materials and may not provide lateral/axial support.

### Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment to Response to JI-2 Question No. 118(a) Page 108 of 108 Imber

Report of Geotechnical Exploration 2027 NGCC Geotechnical Investigation LG&E - Mill Creek Generating Station

	Boring:	B-2	G	roundwater Encountered:	Groundwat	ter not		reek Generating St luid used.	ation							
Stratum	Depth Below Existing Grade		Grade LPILE Soil Type (p-		Total Unit	ф	Undrained Shear	Unconfined Compressive	LPILE Design Parameters			Allowable End	Allowable Skin Resistance (Soil to Concrete)		Allowable Skin Resistance (Soil Steel) <sup>5</sup>	
Layer No.	Top of Layer	Bottom of Layer	y Curve)		Weight	-	Strength, s <sub>u</sub>	Strength, q <sub>u</sub>	k	ε <sub>50</sub>	RQD	Bearing Pressure <sup>2</sup>	Compression <sup>3</sup>	Uplift <sup>4</sup>	Compression <sup>3</sup>	Uplift <sup>4</sup>
	(ft)	(ft)			(pcf)	(deg)	(psf)	(psi)	(pci)		(%)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)	(psf)
1	0	3		Topsoil/Frost Zone	110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	3	7.5	Sand (Reese)	CPT - Sands/Silty Sands, medium dense to dense		36	-	-	90	-	-	-	370	280	280	210
3	7.5	15	Sand (Reese)	CPT - Silt mixtures,		34	-	-	90	-	-	-	310	230	230	175
4	15	28	Stiff Clay w/o Water (Reese)	CPT - Silt mixtures,		-	1,000	-	-	0.007	-	-	275	205	135	100
5	28	34.5	Sand (Reese)	SILTY SAND (SM), loose		28	-	-	25	-	-	-	170	130	130	95
6	34.5	65.5	Sand (Reese)	POORLY GRADED SAND with SILT and GRAVEL (SP-SM), medium dense		32	-	-	90	-	-	7,600	670	500	500	375
7 <sup>1</sup>	65.5	80.5	Sand (Reese)	SILTY GRAVEL with SAND (GM), medium dense		34	-	-	60	-	-	8,400	540	405	405	305

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> When below the groundwater table, as in the case of flooding, use the effective unit weight, y' =  $\gamma$  - 62.4 pcf and add hydrostatic water pressure

<sup>2</sup> FS = 3.0; Typically industry practice references a FS of 2.0 when load testing is performed and a FS between 2.5 and 3.0 without load testing.

<sup>3</sup> FS = 2.0 ; Typically industry practice references a FS on the order of 1.25 when load testing is performed and a FS of 2.0 or greater without load testing.

<sup>4</sup> Uplift taken as 75% of compression skin resistance.

<sup>5</sup> Applies to permanent steel casing.

<sup>6</sup> Allowable Skin Resistance is limited by the strength of concrete taken as  $f'_c = 4,000$  psi for this project.

Project No. 22360136 4/21/2023