

KPDES No.: KY0003221 Al No.: 2122 Mill Creek Generating Station 14660 Dixie Highway Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky

Date: October 8, 2021

Public Notice Information

Public Notice Start Date: August 30, 2021

Comment Due Date: September 29, 2021

General information concerning the public notice process may be obtained on the Division of Water's Public Notice Webpage at the following address:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Water/Pages/Water-Public-Notices-and-Hearings.aspx.

Public Notice Comments

Comments must be received by the Division of Water no later than 4:30 PM on the closing date of the comment period. Comments may be submitted by e-mail at: <u>DOWPublicNotice@ky.gov</u> or written comments may be submitted to the Division of Water at 300 Sower Blvd, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601.

Reference Documents

A copy of this proposed fact sheet, proposed permit, the application, other supporting material and the current status of the application may be obtained from the Department for Environmental Protection's Pending Approvals Search Webpage:

http://dep.gateway.ky.gov/eSearch/Search_Pending_Approvals.aspx?Program=Wastewater&NumDaysDoc= 30.

Open Records

Copies of publicly-available documents supporting this fact sheet and proposed permit may also be obtained from the Department for Environmental Protection Central Office. Information regarding these materials may be obtained from the Open Records Coordinator at (502) 782-6849 or by e-mail at <u>EEC.KORA@ky.gov</u>.

DEPARTMENT FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION Division of Water, 300 Sower Blvd, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601

Printed on Recycled Paper

THIS KPDES FACT SHEET CONSISTS OF THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS:

1.	FACILITY SYNOPSIS	6
1.1.	Name and Address of Applicant	6
1.2.	Facility Location	6
1.3.	Description of Applicant's Operation	6
1.4.	Wastewaters Collected and Treatment	6
1.5.	Permitting Action	7
2.	RECEIVING / INTAKE WATERS	
2.1.	Receiving Waters	10
2.2.	Intake Waters – Nearest Downstream Intake	10
3.	OUTFALL 001	
3.1.	Outfall Description	12
3.2.	Reported Values	12
3.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	13
3.4.	Pertinent Factors	15
3.5.	Justification of Requirements	19
4.	OUTFALL 002	23
4.1.	Outfall Description	23
4.2.	Reported Values	23
4.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	23
4.4.	Pertinent Factors	25
4.5.	Limitation Calculations	29
4.6.	Justification of Requirements	
5.	OUTFALL 002A	
5.1.	Outfall Description	33
5.2.	Reported Values	33
5.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	33
5.4.	Pertinent Factors	35
5.5.	Limitation Calculations	40
5.6.	Justification of Requirements	42
6.	OUTFALL 003	45
6.1.	Outfall Description	45
6.2.	Reported Values	45
6.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	46
6.4.	Pertinent Factors	47

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 3 of 135 Imber

		Imber
6.5.	Justification of Requirements	48
7.	OUTFALL 004	51
7.1.	Outfall Description	51
7.2.	Reported Values	51
7.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	52
7.4.	Pertinent Factors	53
7.5.	Justification of Requirements	54
8.	OUTFALL 005	57
8.1.	Outfall Description	57
8.2.	Reported Values	57
8.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	58
8.4.	Pertinent Factors	59
8.5.	Justification of Requirements	60
9.	OUTFALL 006	63
9.1.	Outfall Description	63
9.2.	Reported Values	63
9.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	63
9.4.	Pertinent Factors	64
9.5.	Justification of Requirements	65
10.	OUTFALLS 007, 010, 011, 014, 016, 017, 018, 019, 020, 021, 022	67
10.1	. Outfall Descriptions	67
10.2	2. Reported Values	67
10.3	3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	68
10.4	I. Pertinent Factors	69
10.5	5. Justification of Requirements	69
11.	OUTFALL 009	71
11.1	L. Outfall Description	71
11.2	2. Reported Values	71
11.3	3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	72
11.4	I. Pertinent Factors	73
11.5	5. Justification of Requirements	73
12.	OUTFALL 012	75
12.1	. Outfall Description	75
12.2	2. Reported Values	75
12.3	3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	76

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 4 of 135 Imber

		Imper
12.4.	Pertinent Factors	77
12.5.	Limitation Calculations	79
12.6.	Justification of Requirements	81
13. O	UTFALL 013	83
13.1.	Outfall Description	83
13.2.	Reported Values	83
13.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	83
13.4.	Pertinent Factors	84
13.5.	Limitation Calculations	84
13.6.	Justification of Requirements	85
14. O	UTFALL 015	88
14.1.	Outfall Description	88
14.2.	Reported Values	88
14.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	89
14.4.	Pertinent Factors	90
14.5.	Justification of Requirements	90
15. O	UTFALL 023	93
15.1.	Outfall Description	93
15.2.	Reported Values	93
15.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	94
15.4.	Pertinent Factors	95
15.5.	Limitation Calculations	99
15.6.	Justification of Requirements	101
16. O	UTFALL 024	104
16.1.	Outfall Description	104
16.2.	Reported Values	104
16.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	104
16.4.	Pertinent Factors	105
16.5.	Justification of Requirements	107
17. 0	UTFALL 025	109
17.1.	Outfall Description	109
17.2.	Reported Values	109
17.3.	Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements	109
17.4.	Pertinent Factors	111
17.5.	Limitation Calculations	112

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 5 of 135 Imber 17.6. 18.1. 18.2. 18.3. 18.4. 18.5. 18.6. 18.7. 18.8. 316(b) Cooling Water Intake Structure116 18.9. 18.10. Selective Catalytic Reduction Devices or Systems (SCRs) and Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction Devices or 18.11. 18.12. 18.13.

SECTION 1 FACILITY SYNOPSIS

1. FACILITY SYNOPSIS

1.1. Name and Address of Applicant

Louisville Gas & Electric Co PO Box 32010 Louisville, KY 40232

1.2. Facility Location

Mill Creek Generating Station 14660 Dixie Highway Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky

1.3. Description of Applicant's Operation

The facility is a coal fired steam electric power generation and transmission facility. The facility has four units; each unit is listed below with the corresponding nameplate generating capacity.

Unit 1 – 355 MW

Unit 2 – 355 MW

Unit 3 – 463 MW

Unit 4 – 544 MW

1.4. Wastewaters Collected and Treatment

The following table lists the flow, wastewater types collected, and treatment type for each outfall.

	TABLE 1.									
Outfall No.	Average Flow (MGD)	Wastewater Types Collected	Treatment Type							
001	218.272	Non-contact cooling water, process wastewaters, and stormwater	Discharge to Surface Water							
002	19.547	Process wastewaters, and stormwater	Settling and Neutralization of all flows. Chemical Precipitation of Outfall 006 effluent and Dead Storage Pond waters.							
003	4.3427	Non-process wastewaters	None							
004	3.5282	Non-process wastewaters	Discharge to Surface Water							
005	3.232	Non-process wastewaters	Discharge to Surface Water							
006	None	Process wastewaters	Chemical precipitation, neutralization							
007	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water							
009	245.443	Plant intake water	Screening							
010	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water							
011	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water							

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 8 of 135 Imber

TABLE 1.								
Outfall No.	Average Flow (MGD)	Wastewater Types Collected	Treatment Type					
012	0.0415	Process wastewaters, non-process wastewaters, and stormwater runoff	Settling, Chemical Precipitation, Neutralization. Stormwater is treated by settling only.					
013	None	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
014	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
015	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
016	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
017	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
018	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
019	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
020	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
021	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
022	Intermittent	Stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
023	6.7602	Process wastewaters, non-process wastewaters, and stormwater runoff	Discharge to Surface Water					
024	Estimated 1.6027	Process wastewaters	Chemical Precipitation, Mixing, Neutralization					
025	9.0449	Process wastewaters, non-process wastewaters, and stormwater runoff	Settling, Chemical precipitation, Neutralization, Discharge to Surface Water. Stormwater is treated by settling only.					

The design flow of the facility, for flows other than stormwater, is 241.0743 MGD. The average annual flow, including stormwater, is 244.6207 MGD.

1.5. Permitting Action

This is a major modification of a major KPDES permit for an existing fossil-fueled fired steam electric power generation and transmission facility [SIC Code 4911, NAICS Code 221112].

This permit modification is in response to the 2020 EPA's revisions to Steam Electric Effluent Limitation Guidelines. The modification modifies the technology-based requirements for FGD wastewater at Outfall 024. The bottom ash handling system was converted to a dry management system previously; so, the current system is compliant with the Final ELG Rule and has no discharge of Bottom Ash Transport Water.

This modification is also for a proposed new Outfall 025 that will discharge through a high-rate multiport diffuser to the Ohio River. Outfall 002 and Outfall 003 will be redirected from Outfall 001 to this new outfall. Outfall 001 has been updated to reflect the redirection of these flows from this Outfall.

The facility has completed their ash pond dewatering. Therefore past and dewatering conditions have been removed from outfalls 001, 002, and 002A. Additionally, the facility has completed construction of their diffuser at outfall 023 and the redirection Outfall 012 to Outfall 023. The monitoring conditions at outfall 012 and 023 have been updated to reflect this change. Note the limits at these Outfalls have not changed, but just the conditional monitoring requirements that no longer apply have been removed.

SECTION 2 RECEIVING/INTAKE WATERS

2. RECEIVING / INTAKE WATERS

2.1. Receiving Waters

All surface waters of the Commonwealth have been assigned stream use designations consisting of one or more of the following designations: Warmwater Aquatic Habitat (WAH), Primary Contact Recreation (PCR), Secondary Contact Recreation (SCR), Domestic Water Supply (DWS), Coldwater Aquatic Habitat (CAH) or Outstanding State Resource Water (OSRW)[401 KAR 10:026].

All surface waters of the Commonwealth are assigned one of the following antidegradation categories: Outstanding National Resource Water (ONRW), Exceptional Water (EW), Impaired Water (IW) or High Quality Water (HQ)[401 KAR 10:030].

Surface waters categorized as an IW are listed in Kentucky's most recently approved Integrated Report to Congress on the Condition of Water Resources in Kentucky - Volume II. 303(d) List of Surface Waters.

TABLE 2.								
Receiving Water Name	Receiving Water Name Use Designation		7Q10 Low Flow (cfs)	Harmonic Mean Flow (cfs)				
Ohio River	WAH PCR SCR DWS	IW	11,000	49,000				
Mill Creek	WAH PCR SCR DWS	IW	0	0				
Unnamed Tributary of Pond Creek	WAH PCR SCR DWS	HQ	0	0				

The following table lists the stream use classifications associated with this permit.

This segment of Ohio River (mile point 612.4 to 674.8) is listed as impaired in the 2014 303(d) List of Waters for Kentucky. Impaired uses are Fish Consumption (Partial Support), Primary Contact (Not Supported), and Warm Water (Partial Support). The pollutants of concern are Dioxin, E. coli, Iron and Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The suspected sources are unknown.

This segment of Mill Creek (mile point 0 to 9.9) is listed as impaired in the 2014 303(d) List of Waters for Kentucky. Impaired uses are Primary Contact (Not Supported), and Warm Water (Not Supported). The pollutants of concern are Fecal Coliform, nutrients, organic enrichment, and sedimentation. The suspected sources are illegal dumps, municipal and industrial point source discharges, and urban runoff.

2.2. Intake Waters – Nearest Downstream Intake

TABLE 3.									
Intake Water Name	Public Water Supply Name	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Miles Downstream	7Q10 Low Flow (cfs)	Harmonic Mean Flow (cfs)			
Ohio River	Evansville, IN	37°57′27.5″	87°34′27.8″	164	12900	60,900			

SECTION 3 OUTFALL 001

3. OUTFALL 001

3.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 4.									
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall					
External	38.05139°	85.91278°	Ohio River	Combination of Unit 1 once-through/condenser cooling water, Process Water Pond Discharge (Outfall 002), Unit 2 cooling tower blowdown (Outfall 003), and stormwater runoff from Area 1.					

3.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 001:

TABLE 5.										
		EFFLUENT								
Reported Parameters	Units	Loading	s (lbs/day)		Con	centrations				
Reported Parameters	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum			
Flow	MGD	218.272	240.048	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Temperature	°C	N/A	N/A	N/A	28.6	34.9	N/A			
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A			
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A			
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.08	0.09	N/A			
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	57	N/A			
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	7.7	N/A	N/A	8.0			

* Discharge Monitoring Results (DMR) indicate there were no periods of chlorination, therefor testing was not required.

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/2012 to 02/28/2017.

3.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 001 which will took effect once Ash Pond dewatering operations ceased. These requirements will remain until Outfalls 002 and 003 discharge has been redirected to the new Outfall 025:

		EFFLUE		NS				MONITORING R	EQUIREMENTS
Loadings (lbs./day) Concentrations									
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Day	Calculated
Temperature	°F	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	110	N/A	1/Day	Log
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.011	0.019	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	120	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Log
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Week	Grab
Chronic WET ³	TUc	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.84	1/Quarter	(4)
Total Recoverable Iron ⁵	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Mercury ⁵	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000051	0.0014	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium ⁵	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.056	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium ⁵ (Fish Tissue)	mg/kg dry weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.6	(⁶)	(⁶)
¹ Per Occurrence means during	g periods of chlorinat	ion or oxidan	t addition, but	: no more freq	uent than once	per week.	I	1	
² Multiple grab means grab sau discharge.	mples collected at th	e approximat	e beginning of	oxidant disch	arge and once e	every fifteen (15) minutes ther	eafter until the end	of oxidant
³ WET – Whole Effluent Toxicit	Ξγ								
⁴ Three (3) 24-hour composite	samples with one ea	ch collected	every other da	y for a period	of five (5) days,	i.e. days 1, 3, &	5.		
⁵ Limitations and Monitoring r	equirements for thes	e pollutants o	only apply whe	en Unit 1 once	-through coolin	g waters are no	t discharged th	rough Outfall 001.	
⁶ Should the monthly average	concentration of Tot	al Recoverabl	e Selenium ex	ceed 56 µg/l, s	see Section 5.4.	5 for additional	requirements.		
Not more than one unit may o	discharge free availat	ole or total re	sidual chlorine	at any one tir	ne.				
The term Total Residual Oxida			•						
event the permittee needs to	use an oxidant other	than chlorin	e, the permitte	ee shall reques	st approval prio	r to the initial u	se of the oxida	nt from the Division	of Water.

KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 001 which will take effect once Outfalls 002 and 003 discharge has been redirected to the new Outfall 025

TABLE 7.											
	EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS										
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Concei	ntrations					
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type		
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Day	Calculated		
Temperature	° F	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	110	N/A	1/Day	Log		
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²		
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.011	0.019	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²		
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²		
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	120	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Log		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Week	Grab		
¹ Per Occurrence means durin	ng periods of chlorinat	tion or oxidar	it addition, but	no more freq	uent than once	e per week.					
Multiple grap means grap samples collected at the approximate beginning of ovidant discharge and once every fifteen (15) minutes thereafter until the end of ovidant											

²Multiple grab means grab samples collected at the approximate beginning of oxidant discharge and once every fifteen (15) minutes thereafter until the end of oxidant discharge.

Not more than one unit may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time.

The term Total Residual Oxidants means the value obtained using the amperometric titration or DPD methods for Total Residual Chlorine described in 40 CFR Part 136. In the event the permittee needs to use an oxidant other than chlorine, the permittee shall request approval prior to the initial use of the oxidant from the Division of Water.

3.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

3.4.1. Facility Changes

This facility will continue to operate as a coal fired steam electric power generation and transmission facility. The facility will undergo major changes in response to the recently updated federal regulations concerning Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) and Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELG). New treatment equipment, redirection of flows, impoundment construction and other activities continue to significantly change this site.

The ash pond, was dewatered, regraded and closed through internal Outfall 002 and ultimately discharged through Outfall 001. During ash pond closure work, flows which were previously sent to the Ash Pond were redirected to a temporary pond. Lastly, a permanent process settling pond was created to provide settling, neutralization, and treatment for plant sumps, coal and limestone pile runoff, and FGD liquids.

Segregation of FGD wastewaters and construction of a treatment system for those wastewaters will require major changes at the facility. The permittee plans to have FGD wastewaters segregated and the treatment plant ready by January 1, 2025.

3.4.2. Ash Pond Waters

The facilities ash pond has been dewatered and no longer has any ash sluice waters discharged.

3.4.3. Mercury

The permittee requested a variance from ORSANCO's mercury standard of 0.000012 mg/l for effluent from this site which discharges to the Ohio River. Mercury is a pollutant believed to be present in FGD wastewaters. The permittee is installing a new treatment system for FGD wastewaters in order to achieve compliance with new federal effluent limitation guidelines. The treatment system utilizes new treatments never before used by the power industry. Effluent from Outfall 002 will be partially comprised of treated FGD wastewaters, and the permittee believes the effluent will be able to meet Kentucky's water quality criteria for mercury once the new treatment system is operational. The permittee is doubtful the effluent will consistently meet ORSANCO's mercury standard. Given these circumstances, the DOW granted the variance for Outfall 001 effluent.

3.4.4. Unit 1 Offline

There will be times when Unit 1 is offline. Sometimes the cooling water pumps will continue to run even though Unit 1 is offline, and Outfall 001 will receive the large flow associated with those pumps. Most of the time Unit 1 is offline the cooling water pumps will not run, and Outfall 001 will have a smaller flow dominated by Outfall 002 effluent. Total recoverable iron, total recoverable mercury, and total recoverable selenium as well as selenium fish tissue sampling are added to Outfall 001 for these reasons. These specific pollutants are present in Outfall 002 effluent at quantities which have the reasonable potential to exceed Kentucky's water quality criteria. The limitations and monitoring requirements for these specific pollutants are to be monitored when Unit 1 is offline and Outfall 001 is not receiving the cooling water flow.

3.4.5. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. In accordance with Section 423.12(b)(12) the permitting authority may allow the quantity of pollutant discharged to be expressed as a concentration limitation instead of a mass based limitation. The DOW has determined to apply the requirements of 40 CFR 423 in this manner. This facility is subject to the following subparts in 40 CFR 423:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(6) The quantity of pollutants discharged in once through cooling water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of once through cooling water sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)				
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level or chlorination.

40 CFR 423.12(b)(12) At the permitting authority's discretion, the quantity of pollutant allowed to be discharged may be expressed as a concentration limitation instead of the mass-based limitations specified in paragraphs (b)(3) through (b)(7), and (b)11), of this section. Concentration limitations shall be those concentrations specified in this section.

40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) For any plant with a total rated electric generating capacity of 25 or more megawatts, the quantity of pollutants discharged in once through cooling water from each discharge point shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of once through cooling water from each discharge point times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BAT Effluent Limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)
Total residual chlorine	0.20

40 CFR 423.13(b)(2) Total residual chlorine may not be discharged from any single generating unit for more than two hours per day unless the discharger demonstrates to the permitting authority that discharge for more than two hours is required for macroinvertebrate control. Simultaneous multi-unit chlorination is permitted.

3.4.6. Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those water-quality-based pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000.

TABLE 8.					
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Basis				
Temperature	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 90% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant. A mixing zone is granted, and monitoring and a limitation will be required for this pollutant.				
Whole Effluent Toxicity	Facility is rated as a major, and this outfall discharges a complex waste stream. A mixing zone is granted for this pollutant and a limitation will be required.				
Total Residual Chlorine	The BPJ establishes a limit for Total Residual Oxidants in cooling tower blowdown are less stringent than Kentucky Water Quality Standard for total residual chlorine. Therefore, the total residual chlorine WQ limits shall apply during periods of chlorination addition to the cooling water.				

3.4.7. Mixing Zone (MZ)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) allow the assignment of a MZ for chronic aquatic life (Chronic) and human health fish consumption (Fish) WQBELs and thermal discharges [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4]. The pollutants and/or the pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a MZ are listed as follows: Whole Effluent Toxicity and temperature.

3.4.8. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

These calculations were preformed using a Microsoft EXCEL based workbook developed by DOW. The workbook is designed to compare effluent data to the applicable water quality standards while also incorporating the characteristics of the receiving water and any regulatory ZID and/or MZ. The following table summarizes the results of these calculations for this outfall:

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 19 of 135 Imber

		Effluent	Stream	Mixing Zone	Mixing Zone Mixed	ZID Granted	ZID Dilutions	ZID Mixed
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Hardness	Hardness	Granted	Hardness	ZID Granted	ZID Dilutions	Hardness
Hardness	mg/l	200	146	NO	N/A	NO	N/A	N/A
		Reported	Reported	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Data
Effluent Characteristic 🗾	Units 💌	Avg 🎩	Max 💌	Limitaion	Limitation 💌	Discharge %	Discharge %	Source
Antimony	μg/L	0	0	219.4022284	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Arsenic	μg/L	0	0	150	340	0.00	0.00	APP
Barium	μg/L	55	55	39178.96936	N/A	0.14	N/A	APP
Beryllium	μg/L	0	0	156.7158774	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Cadmium	μg/L	0	0	0.452269669	4.31574272	0.00	0.00	APP
Chloride	μg/L	47000	47000	600000	1156000	7.83	4.07	APP
Chromium	μg/L	0	0	3917.896936	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Copper	μg/L	6.2	6.2	16.86789633	26.89861028	36.76	23.05	APP
Cyanide, Free	μg/L	0	0	5.2	22	0.00	0.00	APP
Fluoride	μg/L	0	0	156715.8774	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Iron	μg/L	430	430	1000	3600	43.00	11.94	APP
Lead	μg/L	0	0	7.688748355	197.306431	0.00	0.00	APP
Mercury	μg/L	0.00811	0.00811	0.051	1.4	15.90	0.58	APP
Nickel	μg/L	0	0	93.76357854	843.3453079	0.00	0.00	APP
Phenol	μg/L	0	0	822758.3565	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Selenium	μg/L	0	0	5	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Silver	μg/L	0	0	N/A	12.46725828	N/A	0.00	APP
Sulfate	μg/L	100000	100000	9794742.34	N/A	1.02	N/A	APP
Thallium	μg/L	0	0	0.47	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Zinc	μg/L	25.7	0	215.5653916	215.5653916	0.00	0.00	APP
Gross total alpha particle activity including radium-226 but exculding radon and uranium	pCi/L	0	0	2718.60376	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Combined radium-226 and radium-228	pCi/L	0.551	0.551	906.2012535	N/A	0.06	N/A	APP
Total gross beta particle activity	pCi/L	4.68	4.68	9062.012535	N/A	0.05	N/A	APP
Strontium-90	pCi/L	0	0	1449.922006	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Uranium	µg/L	0.95	0.95	5437.207521	N/A	0.02	N/A	APP
Total Residual Chlorine	μg/L	0	0	11	19	0.00	0.00	APP
Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	0.166	0.54	30.11243293	N/A	0.55	N/A	APP
Temperature	°F	83.498	94.838	0	89	93.82	106.56	DMR

The reported values shown above reflect values entered into Form C. In accordance with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis procedures, the reported values from Form C were compared to the laboratory's certificate of analysis and any values with J or U qualifiers were made equal to zero. A J meant the value was estimated, while a U mean the analyte was analyzed for but not detected above the critical level.

3.4.9. WET Criteria Calculation

The DOW imposes whole effluent toxicity (WET) testing on the following types of dischargers: (1) industrial dischargers rated as a major using EPA's major rating system; (2) industrial dischargers with complex wastestreams; (3) POTWs with a design capacity equal to or greater than 1.0 MGD; and (4) POTWs having an approved Pretreatment Program. 401 KAR 10:031 contains WET criteria. The WET criteria is divided into two categories – acute and chronic. WET criteria are not measured in pollutant concentrations, but rather in toxicity units (TUs). The units TU represent the percentage of effluent that represents a toxic effect.

Pursuant to 401 KAR 10:029, Section 4(2) and 401 KAR 10:031 Section 4(j), the allowable instream concentration of toxic substances or whole effluent containing toxic substances shall not exceed a TU_c of 1.00, utilizing the IC25, at the edge of the assigned regulatory Mixing Zone and shall not exceed a TU_A Of 1.00, utilizing the LC50, within the assigned mixing unless a Zone of Initial Dilution has been assigned. To

determine the maximum TU_c that can be discharged to ensure a 1.00 TU_c is meet at the edge of the assigned mixing zone, the following equation is used:

$$C_T = \frac{\left[C_D \left(Q_T + (MZF)(Q_U)\right) - C_U (MZF)(Q_U)\right]}{Q_T}$$
$$= \frac{\left[1.00 \left(337.88 + (0.333)(11000)\right) - 0(0.333)(11000)\right]}{337.88} = 11.84 \, TUc$$

Where:

- C_T = the end of pipe effluent limit
- C_D = the pollutant water quality standard met at edge of mixing zone (1.00 TU_C)
- C_U = the pollutant background concentration, assumed to be 0 if no data available
- Q_T = the discharge flow (in cfs)
- Q_U = the receiving stream critical flow (7Q10 in cfs)
- MZF = mixing zone factor, not to exceed 0.333 for streams and rivers or not to exceed 0.1 for lakes

In order to translate between TU_A and TU_C , a relationship between TU_A and TU_C must be defined. This relationship is known as the acute to chronic ratio and is defined as the ratio of acute toxicity, expressed as an LC50, of an effluent to its chronic toxicity. It is used as a factor to estimate chronic toxicity from acute toxicity data. The DOW has defined two factors, both are given below.

When effluent contains bioaccumulative or persistent toxic substances, 1.00 TU_c = 0.01 TU_A (401 KAR 10:031 Section 4(1)(j)(2)).

When effluent does not contain bioaccumulative or persistent toxic substances, $1.00 \text{ TU}_{c} = 0.1 \text{TU}_{A}$ (401 KAR 10:031 Section 4(1)(j)(1)).

Mercury, a bioaccumulative chemical of concern in accordance with 401 KAR 10:029, Section 4(1)(h)(2)(b), is detected in the discharge from this outfall therefore the appropriate acute to chronic ratio is 0.01. Using the above calculated TU_c limit of 11.84 and the acute to chronic ratio of 0.01, results in a TU_A limit of 0.1184. This result represents that 845% of the effluent cannot produce an acutely toxic effect. Any number above 100% does not make sense therefore TU_A cannot be used in place of TU_c , and 11.84 TU_c limit is placed in the permit.

3.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

3.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

3.5.2. Temperature

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031 Section 6 and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

3.5.3. Free Available Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6)]. The limit is representative of the Best Practicable Control Technology Currently Available (BPT) requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in once-through cooling water [40 CFR 423.12(b)(6)].

3.5.4. Total Residual Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6)]. The limit is representative of the Best Available Technology Economically Achievable (BAT) requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in once-through cooling water [40 CFR 423.13(b)(1)]. The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(k)].

3.5.5. Total Residual Oxidants

The limitation for this pollutant is representative of the BAT requirements for the addition of chlorine in once-through cooling water [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1)]. It is the best professional judgement (BPJ) of the Division of Water (DOW) that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of other oxidants [401 KAR 5:080 Section 2(3)].

3.5.6. Time of Oxidant Addition

The limitation for this parameter is representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for chlorine in oncethrough cooling water [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) and 423.13(b)(2)]. It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of other oxidants [401 KAR 5:080 Section 2(3)].

3.5.7. pH

The limitations for this parameter are consistent Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 4(1)(b) and Section 7] and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) – 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT requirements for this parameter in all discharges except once through cooling water [40 CFR 423.12(b)(1)].

3.5.8. Total Recoverable: Iron, Mercury, and Selenium

The monitoring requirements for these pollutants are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

3.5.9. Hardness

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

3.5.10. Whole Effluent Toxicity

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(j) and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

SECTION 4 OUTFALL 002

4. OUTFALL 002

4.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

	TABLE 9.							
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall				
Internal	38.05778°	85.91083°	Outfall 001	Process Waters Pond discharge. Process Waters Pond will contain process wastewaters (low volume waste sources, metal cleaning wastes, coal pile runoff, and FGD process waters) and stormwater. Also, the FGD process waters will receive treatment prior to mixing with the other flows in the pond.				

4.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 002:

TABLE 10.								
		EFFLUENT						
Reported Parameters	Units	Loading	s (lbs./day)		Conc	entrations		
Reported Parameters	Onits	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	
Flow	MGD	19.547	20.634	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	23	N/A	
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	BDL	BDL	N/A	
Hardness (as mg/l CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	990	1080	N/A	
Total Recoverable Metals	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.18	0.18	N/A	
Acute Toxicity	TUA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<1	N/A	
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	7.8	N/A	N/A	8.0	

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

4.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 002 which took effect once Ash Pond dewatering activities ceased:

KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 25 of 135 Imber

TABLE 11.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS MONITORING REQUIREMENTS								G REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce	ntrations			
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Day	Instantaneous
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	30.0	97.9	N/A	2/Month	Grab
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.5	19.3	N/A	2/Month	Grab
There shall be no discharge of pollutants in fly ash transport water generated on and after October 31, 2019.									
There shall be no discharge of	pollutants in	bottom ash tra	ansport water g	enerated on a	nd after Novemb	oer 1, 2020.			

4.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

4.4.1. Facility Changes

This facility will continue to operate as a coal fired steam electric power generation and transmission facility. The facility will undergo major changes in response to the recently updated federal regulations concerning Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) and Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELG). New treatment equipment, redirection of flows, cessation of ash sluicing flows, impoundment construction and other activities will significantly change this site.

The facility is in the process of removing, modifying, or constructing seven ponds. One of these ponds is the ash pond, which was dewatered, regraded and closed. The ash pond was dewatered through internal Outfall 002 and ultimately discharged through Outfall 001. During ash pond closure work, flows which were previously sent to the Ash Pond was redirected to a temporary pond. Lastly, a permanent process settling pond was created to provide settling, neutralization, and treatment for plant sumps, coal and limestone pile runoff, and FGD liquids.

Segregation of FGD wastewaters and construction of a treatment system for those wastewaters will require major changes at the facility. The permittee plans to have FGD wastewaters segregated and the treatment plant ready by January 1, 2025.

4.4.2. Ash Pond Waters

The facilities ash pond has been dewatered and no longer has any ash sluice waters discharged.

4.4.3. Jordan Memorandum

According to 40 CFR 423.11(c) the term chemical metal cleaning waste means any wastewater resulting from the cleaning of any metal process equipment with chemical compounds, including, but not limited to, boiler tube cleaning. According to 40 CFR 423.11(d) the term metal cleaning waste means any wastewater resulting from cleaning [with or without chemical compounds] any metal process equipment including, but not limited to, boiler tube cleaning, boiler fireside cleaning, and air preheater cleaning.

There are air heater wash waters and boiler fireside wash waters discharged to the Ash Pond. These waters are not a result of cleaning with chemical compounds and they do not flow through Outfall 006. In the past these wastewaters were permitted to discharge directly to the ash pond without limitations or monitoring requirements. That permitting action was done pursuant to the Jordan Memorandum. The memorandum is from J. William Jordan, US EPA Permit Assistance and Evaluation Division, to Bruce P. Smith, US EPA Enforcement Division Region III, concerning interpretation of the metal cleaning wastes guidelines in the federal effluent limitation guidelines for steam electric power generating point sources. In the memorandum, Mr. Jordan explains that "All water washing operations are 'low volume' while any discharge from an operation involving chemical cleaning should be included in the metal cleaning category." With that in mind, it makes sense that the limitations for chemical metal cleaning wastes do not apply to the air heater wash waters, boiler fireside wash waters, and any other non-chemical metal cleaning wastewaters at this facility.

It is the BPJ of the DOW to place low volume waste requirements on these wastewaters. The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations at Outfall 002 to insure compliance with the federal effluent

limitation guidelines for low volume wastes, chemical metal cleaning wastes, and other process wastewaters.

4.4.4. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable. Certain technology-based effluent limitations and compliance deadlines included in this permit are based upon effluent limitation Guidelines ("ELGs") that are under reconsideration by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). 82 Fed. Reg. 43494 (September 18, 2017).

4.4.4.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. In accordance with Section 423.12(b)(12) the permitting authority may allow the quantity of pollutant discharged to be expressed as a concentration limitation instead of a mass based limitation. The DOW has determined to apply the requirements of 40 CFR 423 in this manner. This facility is subject to the following subparts in 40 CFR 423:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) The quantity of pollutants discharged from low volume waste sources shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of low volume waste sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(4) The quantity of pollutants discharged in fly ash and bottom ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of fly ash and bottom ash transport water times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(5) The quantity of pollutants discharged in metal cleaning wastes shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of metal cleaning wastes times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				
Copper, total	1.0	1.0				
Iron, total	1.0	1.0				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(9) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b)(10) of this section, the following effluent limitations shall apply to the point source discharges of coal pile runoff:

	BPT effluent limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration for any time (mg/l)
TSS	50

40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) The quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater, flue gas mercury control wastewater, combustion residual leachate, or gasification wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of the applicable wastewater times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT Effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)		
TSS	100.0	30.0		
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0		

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 29 of 135 Imber

40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(i) FGD wastewater. Except for those discharges to which paragraph (g)(2) or (g)(3) of this section applies, the quantity of pollutants in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed in the table following this paragraph (g)(1)(i). Dischargers must meet the effluent limitations for FGD wastewater in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. These effluent limitations apply to the discharge of FGD wastewater generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the effluent limitations, as specified in this paragraph.

	BAT Effluent limitations	
	Maximum for	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed
Arsenic, total (ug/L)	18	8
Mercury, total (ng/L)	103	34
Selenium, total (ug/L)	70	29
Nitrate/nitrite as N (mg/L)	4	3

(ii) For FGD wastewater generated before the date determined by the permitting authority, as specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i), the quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed for TSS in §423.12(b)(11).

40 CFR 423.13(h)(1)(i) *Fly ash transport water.* Except for those discharges to which paragraph (h)(2) of this section applies, or when the fly ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, there shall be no discharge of pollutants in fly ash transport water. Dischargers must meet the discharge limitation in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning November 1, 2018, but no later than December 31, 2023. This limitation applies to the discharge of fly ash transport water generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the discharge limitation, as specified in this paragraph. Whenever fly ash transport water is used in any other plant process or is sent to a treatment system at the plant (except when it is used in the FGD scrubber), the resulting effluent must comply with the discharge limitation in this paragraph. When the fly ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, the quantity of pollutants in fly ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of fly ash transport water times the concentration listed in the table in paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section.

40 CFR 423.13(k)(1)(i) *Bottom ash transport water*. Except for those discharges to which paragraph (k)(2) of this section applies, or when the bottom ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, there shall be no discharge of pollutants in bottom ash transport water. Dischargers must meet the discharge limitation in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. This limitation applies to the discharge

of bottom ash transport water generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the discharge limitation, as specified in this paragraph. Whenever bottom ash transport water is used in any other plant process or is sent to a treatment system at the plant (except when it is used in the FGD scrubber), the resulting effluent must comply with the discharge limitation in this paragraph. When the bottom ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, the quantity of pollutants in bottom ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of bottom ash transport water times the concentration listed in the table in paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section.

4.4.4.2. BPJ

It is the BPJ of the DOW to apply the following Total Suspended Solids (TSS) limitations to the uncontaminated rainfall contributing to this effluent: 30 mg/l and 50 mg/l as monthly average and daily maximum limitations respectively. It is the BPJ of the DOW to not allow the uncontaminated rainfall to contribute oil and grease to this effluent. It is the BPJ of the DOW to place a 5 mg/l limitation on oil and grease in the contaminated rainfall runoff contributing to this effluent. The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations for TSS, and oil and grease at Outfall 002 to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for low volume wastes, chemical metal cleaning wastes, and other process wastewaters.

4.5. Limitation Calculations

4.5.1. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations for Outfall 002 effluent to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for the various types of waters comingled in the Process Waters Pond.

The Ash Pond was replaced with the Process Waters Pond which has a surface area of 10 acres. Therefore, 10 acres is used in the flow calculations for runoff resulting from direct rainfall.

Average annual rainfall data for 2016 was found on the Kentucky Mesonet website. Rainfall data from Oldham County was used as Jefferson County information was not available.

http://www.kymesonet.org/summaries.html

The conversion factor is a result of the factors needed to convert acres to square feet, inches per year to feet per day, and cubic feet per day to million gallons per day.

The following calculations produce the TSS, and oil and grease limitations.

Flow Calculations								
Source	Coefficient of Runoff	Surface Area (acres)	Average Annual Rainfall (inches/year)	Average Conversion Factor	Average Flow (MGD)			
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)		(00.00)	((
Basin Direct Surface Discharge	1	10	46.1	0.0000744	0.034298			

Limi	tations Calcula	ations			
		Total Suspended Solids			
	Flow (MGD)	Concent	ration (mg/l)	Contribution	
Source	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)	0.0342984	30	50	1.028952	1.71492
Coal Pile Settling Basin (Dust Control,					
Equipment washdowns, stormwater from areas					
2.d, 2.e)	0.1089	30	50	3.267	5.445
Landfill stormwater and leachate	0.0746	30	100	2.238	7.46
FGD Process Waters (FGD PWS Treatment					
System Waters)	1.6027	30	100	48.081	160.27
stormwater from areas 2.a and 2.c	0.0621016	30	50	1.863048	3.10508
Boiler Chemical Metal Cleaning Wastewaters					
(006)	0.0326	30	100	0.978	3.26
Units 1-4:					
Bottom & Fly Ash Sluice Waters	0	30	100	0	0
Pyrites Sluice Waters	0.4369	30	100	13.107	43.69
Air Heater Wash Waters	0.1046	30	100	3.138	10.46
Sumps	2.3554	30	100	70.662	235.54
Total	4.8121			144.363	470.945
			Limits	30.0	97.9

Limitations Calculations								
		Oil & Grease						
	Flow (MGD)	Concent	ration (mg/l)	Contribution				
Source	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum			
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)	0.0342984	0	0	0	0			
Coal Pile Settling Basin (Dust Control,								
Equipment washdowns, stormwater from areas								
2.d, 2.e, and 2.f)	0.1089	5	5	0.5445	0.5445			
Landfill stormwater and leachate	0.0746	15	20	1.119	1.492			
FGD Process Waters (FGD PWS Treatment								
System Waters)	1.6027	15	20	24.0405	32.054			
stormwater from areas 2.a and 2.c	0.0621016	5	5	0.310508	0.310508			
Boiler Chemical Metal Cleaning Wastewaters								
(006)	0.0326	15	20	0.489	0.652			
Units 1-4:								
Bottom & Fly Ash Sluice Waters	0	15	20	0	0			
Pyrites Sluice Waters	0.4369	15	20	6.5535	8.738			
Air Heater Wash Waters	0.1046	15	20	1.569	2.092			
Sumps	2.3554	15	20	35.331	47.108			
Total	4.8121			69.957008	92.99101			
			Limits	14.5	19.3			

4.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

4.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

4.6.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil and Grease

The limitations for these pollutants are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) – 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for discharges of these pollutants in low volume waste sources waters [40 CFR 423.12(b)(3)], fly ash and bottom ash transport waters [40 CFR 423.12(b)(4) and 40 CFR 423.13(h)(1) and k(1)], metal cleaning wastes [40 CFR 423.12(b)(5)], coal pile runoff [40 CFR 423.12(b)(9)], combustion residual leachate [40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.13(l)] and FGD wastewaters [40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(ii)].

SECTION 5 OUTFALL 002A

5. OUTFALL 002A

5.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

	TABLE 12.								
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Description of Outfall						
External	38.05778°	85.91083°	Ohio River	Process Waters Pond discharge. Process Waters Pond will contain process wastewaters (low volume waste sources, metal cleaning wastes, coal pile runoff, and FGD process waters) and stormwater. Also, the FGD process waters will receive treatment prior to mixing with the other flows in the pond.					

5.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 002:

TABLE 13.									
Reported Parameters		EFFLUENT							
	Units	Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
	Offics	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
Flow	MGD	19.547	20.634	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	20	23	N/A		
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	BDL	BDL	N/A		
Hardness (as mg/l CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	990	1080	N/A		
Total Recoverable Metals	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.18	0.18	N/A		
Acute Toxicity	TU _A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<1	N/A		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	7.8	N/A	N/A	8.0		

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

5.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 002A which took effect once Ash Pond dewatering activities ceased:

				TABL	E 14.				
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
Effluent Characteristic		Loadings (lbs./day)			Conce				
	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Day	Instantaneous
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	30.0	97.9	N/A	2/Month	Grab
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	14.5	19.3	N/A	2/Month	Grab
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	2/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Iron	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Mercury	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000051	0.0014	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.056	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium (Fish Tissue)	mg/kg dry weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.6	(1)	(1)
Acute WET ²	TU _A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.00	1/Quarter	(3)
¹ Should the monthly average of	concentration	of Total Recov	verable Seleniu	m exceed 56 μ	g/I, see Section S	5.4.5 for addition	al requirements.		
² WET – Whole Effluent Toxicit	У								
³ Two (2) discrete grab samples									
There shall be no discharge of	pollutants in t	fly ash transpo	ort water genera	ated on and aft	ter October 31, 2	2019.			
There shall be no discharge of	pollutants in	oottom ash tra	ansport water g	enerated on a	nd after Novem	oer 1, 2020.			

5.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-

Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

5.4.1. Consent Decree

The permittee and Sierra Club entered into a consent decree, Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-391-H, December 14, 2016. One of the conditions in the decree is that Outfall 002 can only make direct discharges to the Ohio River under certain circumstances. Those circumstances are:

- 1. Emergency situations which include, but are not limited to, circumstances in which LG&E deem it necessary in operating the station to make direct discharges from Outfall 002 in order to prevent overtopping of the impoundments for the Main Ash Pond, to ensure the structural integrity of the impoundments for the pond, or to prevent or mitigate the loss of or damage to life, health, property, or essential public services.
- 2. Planned direct discharges in order to conduct maintenance, repairs, or inspection of either or both discharge pipes from Outfall 002 to Outfall 001.

5.4.2. Facility Changes

This facility will continue to operate as a coal fired steam electric power generation and transmission facility. The facility will undergo major changes in response to the recently updated federal regulations concerning Coal Combustion Residuals (CCR) and Effluent Limitation Guidelines (ELG). New treatment equipment, redirection of flows, cessation of ash sluicing flows, impoundment construction and other activities will significantly change this site.

The facility is in the process of removing, modifying, or constructing seven ponds. One of these ponds is the ash pond, which was dewatered, regraded and closed. The ash pond was dewatered through internal Outfall 002 and ultimately discharged through Outfall 001. During ash pond closure work, flows which were previously sent to the Ash Pond was redirected to a temporary pond. Lastly, a permanent process settling pond was created to provide settling, neutralization, and treatment for plant sumps, coal and limestone pile runoff, and FGD liquids.

Segregation of FGD wastewaters and construction of a treatment system for those wastewaters will require major changes at the facility. The permittee plans to have FGD wastewaters segregated and the treatment plant ready by January 1, 2025.

5.4.3. Ash Pond Waters

The facilities ash pond has been dewatered and no longer has any ash sluice waters discharged.

5.4.4. Mercury

The permittee requested a variance from ORSANCO's mercury standard of 0.000012 mg/l for effluent from this site which discharges to the Ohio River. Mercury is a pollutant believed to be present in FGD wastewaters. The permittee is installing a new treatment system for FGD wastewaters in order to achieve compliance with new federal effluent limitation guidelines. The treatment system utilizes new treatments never before used by the power industry. Effluent from Outfall 002 will be partially comprised of treated FGD wastewaters, and the permittee believes the effluent will be able to meet Kentucky's water quality criteria for mercury once the new treatment system is operational. The permittee is doubtful the effluent
will consistently meet ORSANCO's mercury standard. Given these circumstances, the DOW granted the variance for Outfall 002A effluent.

5.4.5. Selenium

A mixing zone has been granted for this pollutant that allows the chronic aquatic life criterion to be met at the edge of the mixing zone. The monthly average effluent limitation for this parameter is consistent with the requirements of 401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) [40 CFR 122.44(d)] and 401 KAR 10:031, Section 4. The monthly average concentration of 56 μ g/l serves both as a trigger for the collection of adequate number of fish to conduct selenium residue in fish tissue testing and as a limitation in the event the permittee is unable to collect the required number of fish. These limitations are consistent with Kentucky's water quality standards for total recoverable selenium. The incorporation of Appendix A on the collection and handling requirements established in "Methods for Collection of Selenium Residue in Fish Tissue Used to Determine KPDES Permit Compliance" is consistent with the requirements of 401 KAR 5:070, Section 3[40 CFR 122.48(a)].

5.4.6. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable. Certain technology-based effluent limitations and compliance deadlines included in this permit are based upon effluent limitation Guidelines ("ELGs") that are under reconsideration by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). 82 Fed. Reg. 43494 (September 18, 2017).

5.4.6.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. In accordance with Section 423.12(b)(12) the permitting authority may allow the quantity of pollutant discharged to be expressed as a concentration limitation instead of a mass based limitation. The DOW has determined to apply the requirements of 40 CFR 423 in this manner. This facility is subject to the following subparts in 40 CFR 423:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) The quantity of pollutants discharged from low volume waste sources shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of low volume waste sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(4) The quantity of pollutants discharged in fly ash and bottom ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of fly ash and bottom ash transport water times the concentration listed in the following table:

		BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)					
TSS	100.0	30.0					
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0					

40 CFR 423.12(b)(5) The quantity of pollutants discharged in metal cleaning wastes shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of metal cleaning wastes times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				
Copper, total	1.0	1.0				
Iron, total	1.0	1.0				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(9) Subject to the provisions of paragraph (b)(10) of this section, the following effluent limitations shall apply to the point source discharges of coal pile runoff:

	BPT effluent limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration for any time (mg/l)
TSS	50

40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) The quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater, flue gas mercury control wastewater, combustion residual leachate, or gasification wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of the applicable wastewater times the concentration listed in the following table:

Pollutant or pollutant property	BPT Effluent limitations
---------------------------------	--------------------------

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 39 of 135 Imber

	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)
TSS	100.0	30.0
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0

40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(i) FGD wastewater. Except for those discharges to which paragraph (g)(2) or (g)(3) of this section applies, the quantity of pollutants in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed in the table following this paragraph (g)(1)(i). Dischargers must meet the effluent limitations for FGD wastewater in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. These effluent limitations apply to the discharge of FGD wastewater generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the effluent limitations, as specified in this paragraph.

	BAT Effluent limitations			
	Maximum for	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed		
Arsenic, total (ug/L)	18	8		
Mercury, total (ng/L)	103	34		
Selenium, total (ug/L)	70	29		
Nitrate/nitrite as N (mg/L)	4	3		

(ii) For FGD wastewater generated before the date determined by the permitting authority, as specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i), the quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed for TSS in §423.12(b)(11).

40 CFR 423.13(h)(1)(i) *Fly ash transport water.* Except for those discharges to which paragraph (h)(2) of this section applies, or when the fly ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, there shall be no discharge of pollutants in fly ash transport water. Dischargers must meet the discharge limitation in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning November 1, 2018, but no later than December 31, 2023. This limitation applies to the discharge of fly ash transport water generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the

discharge limitation, as specified in this paragraph. Whenever fly ash transport water is used in any other plant process or is sent to a treatment system at the plant (except when it is used in the FGD scrubber), the resulting effluent must comply with the discharge limitation in this paragraph. When the fly ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, the quantity of pollutants in fly ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of fly ash transport water times the concentration listed in the table in paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section.

40 CFR 423.13(k)(1)(i) *Bottom ash transport water*. Except for those discharges to which paragraph (k)(2) of this section applies, or when the bottom ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, there shall be no discharge of pollutants in bottom ash transport water. Dischargers must meet the discharge limitation in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. This limitation applies to the discharge of bottom ash transport water generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the discharge limitation, as specified in this paragraph. Whenever bottom ash transport water is used in any other plant process or is sent to a treatment system at the plant (except when it is used in the FGD scrubber), the resulting effluent must comply with the discharge limitation in this paragraph. When the bottom ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber), the resulting effluent must comply with the discharge limitation in this paragraph. When the bottom ash transport water is used in the FGD scrubber, the quantity of pollutants in bottom ash transport water shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of bottom ash transport water times the concentration listed in the table in paragraph (g)(1)(i) of this section.

5.4.6.2. BPJ

It is the BPJ of the DOW to apply the following Total Suspended Solids (TSS) limitations to the uncontaminated rainfall contributing to this effluent: 30 mg/l and 50 mg/l as monthly average and daily maximum limitations respectively. It is the BPJ of the DOW to not allow the uncontaminated rainfall to contribute oil and grease to this effluent. It is the BPJ of the DOW to place a 5 mg/l limitation on oil and grease in the contaminated rainfall runoff contributing to this effluent. The DOW has developed flowweighted limitations for TSS, and oil and grease at Outfall 002 to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for low volume wastes, chemical metal cleaning wastes, and other process wastewaters.

5.4.7. Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those water-quality-based pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000.

TABLE 15.					
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Basis				
Whole Effluent Toxicity	Facility is rated as a major, and this outfall discharges a complex waste stream. A mixing zone is granted for this pollutant.				
Total Recoverable Iron	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 70% of the calculated water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) for this pollutant.				
Total Recoverable Mercury	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 90% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant.				
Total Recoverable Selenium	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 90% of the calculated WQBEL for this pollutant. A mixing zone is granted for this pollutant and a trigger will be applied.				

5.4.8. Mixing Zone (MZ)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) allow the assignment of a MZ for chronic aquatic life (Chronic) and human health fish consumption (Fish) WQBELs and thermal discharges [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4]. The pollutants and/or the pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a MZ are listed as follows: Total Recoverable Selenium and Whole Effluent Toxicity.

5.5. Limitation Calculations

5.5.1. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations for Outfall 002 effluent to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for the various types of waters comingled in the Process Waters Pond.

The Ash Pond was replaced with the Process Waters Pond which has a surface area of 10 acres. Therefore, 10 acres is used in the flow calculations for runoff resulting from direct rainfall.

Average annual rainfall data for 2016 was found on the Kentucky Mesonet website. Rainfall data from Oldham County was used as Jefferson County information was not available.

http://www.kymesonet.org/summaries.html

The conversion factor is a result of the factors needed to convert acres to square feet, inches per year to feet per day, and cubic feet per day to million gallons per day.

The following calculations produce the TSS, and oil and grease limitations.

Flow Calculations						
Source	Coefficient of Runoff	Surface Area (acres)	Average Annual Rainfall (inches/year)	Average Conversion Factor	Average Flow (MGD)	
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)						
Basin Direct Surface Discharge	1	10	46.1	0.0000744	0.034298	

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 42 of 135 Imber

Lim	itations Calcula	ations			
		Total Suspended Solids			
	Flow (MGD)	Concentration (mg/l)		Contribution	
Source	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)	0.0342984	30	50	1.028952	1.71492
Coal Pile Settling Basin (Dust Control,					
Equipment washdowns, stormwater from areas					
2.d, 2.e)	0.1089	30	50	3.267	5.445
Landfill stormwater and leachate	0.0746	30	100	2.238	7.46
FGD Process Waters (FGD PWS Treatment					
System Waters)	1.6027	30	100	48.081	160.27
stormwater from areas 2.a and 2.c	0.0621016	30	50	1.863048	3.10508
Boiler Chemical Metal Cleaning Wastewaters					
(006)	0.0326	30	100	0.978	3.26
Units 1-4:					
Bottom & Fly Ash Sluice Waters	0	30	100	0	0
Pyrites Sluice Waters	0.4369	30	100	13.107	43.69
Air Heater Wash Waters	0.1046	30	100	3.138	10.46
Sumps	2.3554	30	100	70.662	235.54
Total	4.8121			144.363	470.945
			Limits	30.0	97.9

Limitations Calculations						
		Oil & Grease				
	Flow (MGD)	Concent	ration (mg/l)	ation (mg/l) Contrib		
Source	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	
Process Pond (Uncontaminated Runoff)	0.0342984	0	0	0	0	
Coal Pile Settling Basin (Dust Control,						
Equipment washdowns, stormwater from areas						
2.d, 2.e, and 2.f)	0.1089	5	5	0.5445	0.5445	
Landfill stormwater and leachate	0.0746	15	20	1.119	1.492	
FGD Process Waters (FGD PWS Treatment						
System Waters)	1.6027	15	20	24.0405	32.054	
stormwater from areas 2.a and 2.c	0.0621016	5	5	0.310508	0.310508	
Boiler Chemical Metal Cleaning Wastewaters						
(006)	0.0326	15	20	0.489	0.652	
Units 1-4:						
Bottom & Fly Ash Sluice Waters	0	15	20	0	0	
Pyrites Sluice Waters	0.4369	15	20	6.5535	8.738	
Air Heater Wash Waters	0.1046	15	20	1.569	2.092	
Sumps	2.3554	15	20	35.331	47.108	
Total	4.8121			69.957008	92.99101	
			Limits	14.5	19.3	

5.5.2. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

These calculations were preformed using a Microsoft EXCEL based workbook developed by DOW. The workbook is designed to compare effluent data to the applicable water quality standards while also incorporating the characteristics of the receiving water and any regulatory ZID and/or MZ. The following table summarizes the results of these calculations for this outfall:

		Effluent	Stream	Mixing Zone	Mixing Zone Mixed	ZID Granted	ZID Dilutions	ZID Mixed
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Hardness	Hardness	Granted	Hardness			Hardness
Hardness	mg/l	400	146	YES	148.5430196	NO	N/A	N/A
			Reported	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Data
Effluent Characteristic 🗾	Units 🗾	Avg 🖵		Limitaion 🗾	Limitation 🗾	Discharge %	Discharge % 🚬	Source 🗾
Antimony	μg/L	0	0	2393.027227	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Arsenic	µg/L	8.9	8.9	340	340	2.62	2.62	APP
Barium	μg/L	86	86	427326.2905	N/A	0.02	N/A	APP
Beryllium	μg/L	0	0	1709.305162	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Cadmium	µg/L	0	0	8.731374985	8.731374985	0.00	0.00	APP
Chloride	μg/L	110000	110000	1200000	1200000	9.17	9.17	APP
Chromium	μg/L	0	0	42732.62905	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Copper	μg/L	9.6	9.6	51.68449826	51.68449826	18.57	18.57	APP
Cyanide, Free	μg/L	0	0	22	22	0.00	0.00	APP
Fluoride	μg/L	1800	1800	1709305.162	N/A	0.11	N/A	APP
Iron	μg/L	800	800	4000	4000	20.00	20.00	APP
Lead	μg/L	0	0	476.8177624	476.8177624	0.00	0.00	APP
Mercury	μg/L	0.0787	0.0787	0.051	1.4	154.31	5.62	APP
Nickel	μg/L	31	31	1515.921838	1515.921838	2.04	2.04	APP
Phenol	μg/L	0	0	8973852.1	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Selenium	μg/L	17	17	499.4063028	N/A	3.40	N/A	APP
Silver	μg/L	0	0	N/A	41.07168773	N/A	0.00	APP
Sulfate	μg/L	460000	460000	106831572.6	N/A	0.43	N/A	APP
Hydrogen Sulfide,								
Undissociated	μg/L	0	0	199.7625211	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Thallium	μg/L	0	0	102.5583097	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Zinc	μg/L	24	24	387.8303147	387.8303147	6.19	6.19	APP
Gross total alpha particle								
activity including radium-226								
but exculding radon and								APP
uranium	pCi/L	8.85	8.85	30204.8501	N/A	0.03	N/A	
Combined radium-226 and								455
radium-228	pCi/L	0	0	10068.28337	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Total gross beta particle								4.0.0
activity	pCi/L	11	11	100682.8337	N/A	0.01	N/A	APP
Strontium-90	pCi/L	0	0	16109.25339	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Uranium	μg/L	12.3	12.3	60409.70021	N/A	0.02	N/A	APP
Total Residual Chlorine	μg/L	20	20	19	19	105.26	105.26	APP
Temperature	°F	61.7	61.7	0	110	56.09	56.09	APP

5.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

5.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

5.6.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil and Grease

The limitations for these pollutants are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) – 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for discharges of these pollutants in low volume waste sources [40 CFR 423.12(b)(3)], fly ash and bottom ash transport waters [40 CFR 423.12(b)(4) and 40 CFR 423.13(h)(1) and k(1)], metal cleaning wastes [40 CFR 423.12(b)(5)], coal pile runoff [40 CFR 423.12(b)(9)], combustion residual leachate [40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.13(l)] and FGD wastewaters [40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 40 CFR 423.13(l)].

5.6.3. pH

The limitations for this parameter are consistent Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 4(1)(b) and Section 7] and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) – 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT requirements for this parameter in all discharges except once through cooling water [40 CFR 423.12(b)(1)].

5.6.4. Total Recoverable Iron

The monitoring requirements for this pollutant are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

5.6.5. Total Recoverable Mercury

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 6].

5.6.6. Total Recoverable Selenium

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 6 and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

5.6.7. Whole Effluent Toxicity

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(j) and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

SECTION 6 OUTFALL 003

6. OUTFALL 003

6.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 16.							
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall			
Internal	38.05556°	85.91139°	Outfall 001 / Outfall 025	Unit 2 cooling tower blowdown			

6.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 003:

TABLE 17.									
		EFFLUENT							
Poported Parameters	Units	Loading	s (lbs./day)		Con	centrations			
Reported Parameters	Onits	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
Flow	MGD	4.3427	4.5958	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A		
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.002	N/A		
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.012	0.017	N/A		
Priority Pollutants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	**	N/A		

* DMRs indicate there were no periods of chlorination, therefor testing was not required.

** DMRs indicate there were no chemicals added that would trigger the conditional testing.

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

6.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements f	or Outfall 003:

				TABLE 18	8.				
		EFFLUE		NS				MONITORING	REQUIREMENTS
		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concer	ntrations			
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximu m	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Month	Calculated
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.2	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²
Total Residual Oxidants ³	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.2	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Multiple Grab ²
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	120	N/A	Per Occurrence ¹	Log
Fotal Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.2	N/A	1/Year	Grab
Total Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0	N/A	1/Year	Grab
Priority Pollutants ⁴	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NDA ⁵	N/A	1/Year	Calculated
¹ Per Occurrence means dui	ring periods of chlorin	ation or oxida	nt addition, b	ut no more free	quent than on	ce per week.			
² Multiple grab means grab discharge.	samples collected at t	he approxima:	te beginning	of oxidant disch	narge and once	e every fifteen (15) minutes th	ereafter until the en	d of oxidant
³ The term Total Residual O event the permittee needs									
Priority pollutants shall be								-	
or each pollutant and be a					utants (40 CFR	423 Appendix /	A) which are co	ontained in chemicals	added for coolir
tower maintenance, except			otal Recover	able Zinc.					
The abbreviation NDA mea									

Not more than one unit may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time.

6.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-

Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

6.4.1. Facility Changes

Outfall 003 effluent was directed to the Ash Pond in the past. Outfall 003 effluent will now be directed to Outfall 001.

6.4.2. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

6.4.2.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. Applicable subparts are shown below:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(7) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)		
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2		

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level or chlorination.

40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) For any plant with a total rated electric generating capacity of 25 or more megawatts, the quantity of pollutants discharged in once through cooling water from each discharge point shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of once through cooling water from each discharge point times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BAT Effluent Limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)
Total residual chlorine	0.20

40 CFR 423.13(d)(1) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown times the concentration listed below:

/	BAI	effluent limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day –(mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed = (mg/l)
The 126 priority pollutants (Appendix A) contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance, except:	(1)	(1)
Chromium, total	0.2	0.2
Zinc, total	1.0	1.0

¹No detectable amount.

40 CFR 423.13(d)(2) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level of chlorination.

6.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

6.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with monitoring requirements for internal waste streams [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iii)].

6.5.2. Free Available Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6)]. The limit is representative of the BPT requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in cooling tower blowdown [40 CFR 423.12(b)(7)].

6.5.3. Total Residual Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1).

6.5.4. Total Residual Oxidants

The limitation for this pollutant is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1). It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown.

6.5.5. Time of Oxidant Addition

The limitation for this parameter is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. The limit is representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for chlorine in cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) and 423.13(d)(2)]. It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of other oxidants.

6.5.6. Total Recoverable Chromium, Total Recoverable Zinc, and Priority Pollutants

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for cooling water blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)].

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 51 of 135 Imber

SECTION 7 OUTFALL 004

7. OUTFALL 004

7.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 19.						
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall		
Internal	38.04944°	85.91306°	Outfall 023	Unit 3 cooling tower blowdown		

7.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 004:

TABLE 20.									
		EFFLUENT							
Poported Parameters	Units	Loading	gs (lbs./day)		Con	centrations			
Reported Parameters	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
Flow	MGD	3.5285	8.2362	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Temperature	°C	N/A	N/A	N/A	28	31.2	N/A		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	8.4	N/A	N/A	8.7		
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A		
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.001	0.001	N/A		
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.026	0.027	N/A		
Priority Pollutants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	**	N/A		

* DMRs indicate there were no periods of chlorination, therefor testing was not required.

** DMRs indicate there were no chemicals added that would trigger the conditional testing.

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

7.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 004:

TABLE 21.										
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS									MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Concen	trations				
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximu m	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Week	Calculated	
Priority Pollutants ¹	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NDA ²	N/A	1/Year	Calculated	
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	120	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Log	
¹ Priority pollutants shall be me for each pollutant and be atta tower maintenance, except To	ched to the DMR. The	e term priori	ty pollutant r	neans the poll				_		

²The abbreviation NDA means No Detectable Amount.

³Per Occurrence means during periods of chlorination or oxidant addition, but no more frequent than once per week.

⁴Multiple grab means grab samples collected at the approximate beginning of oxidant discharge and once every fifteen (15) minutes thereafter until the end of oxidant discharge.

The term Total Residual Oxidants means the value obtained using the amperometric titration or DPD methods for Total Residual Chlorine described in 40 CFR Part 136. In the event the permittee needs to use an oxidant other than chlorine, the permittee shall request approval prior to the initial use of the oxidant from the Division of Water.

Not more than one unit may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time.

7.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-

Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

7.4.1. Facility Changes

г

Outfall 004 and Outfall 005 are now internal monitoring points. Monitoring to show compliance with the technology based effluent limitations for the priority pollutants, free available chlorine, total residual chlorine, total residual oxidants, and time of oxidant addition will occur at internal points Outfall 004 and Outfall 005. Water quality based effluent limitations are not applied at internal monitoring points, they are applied at external Outfall 023.

7.4.2. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

7.4.2.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. Applicable subparts are shown below:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(1) (1) The pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, shall be within the range of 6.0-9.0.

40 CFR 423.12(b)(7) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)		
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2		

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level or chlorination.

40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) For any plant with a total rated electric generating capacity of 25 or more megawatts, the quantity of pollutants discharged in once through cooling water from each discharge point shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of once through cooling water from each discharge point times the concentration listed in the following table:

Pollutant or pollutant property	BAT Effluent Limitations
---------------------------------	--------------------------

	Maximum concentration (mg/l)
Total residual chlorine	0.20

40 CFR 423.13(d)(1) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown times the concentration listed below:

	BAT effluent limitations				
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)			
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day –(mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed = (mg/l)			
The 126 priority pollutants (Appendix A) contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance, except:	(1)	(1)			
Chromium, total	0.2	0.2			
Zinc, total	1.0	1.0			

¹No detectable amount.`

40 CFR 423.13(d)(2) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level of chlorination.

7.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

7.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii) and (iii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

7.5.2. Priority Pollutants

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)].

7.5.3. Free Available Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6)]. The limit is representative of the BPT requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in cooling tower blowdown [40 CFR 423.12(b)(7)].

7.5.4. Total Residual Chlorine

The limitation for this pollutant is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1). It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to cooling tower blowdown.

7.5.5. Total Residual Oxidants

The limitation for this pollutant is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1). It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown.

7.5.6. Time of Oxidant Addition

The limitation for this parameter is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. The limit is representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for chlorine in cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) and 423.13(d)(2)]. It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of other oxidants.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 57 of 135 Imber

SECTION 8 OUTFALL 005

8. OUTFALL 005

8.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

	TABLE 22.							
Outfall	l Туре	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall			
Inter	rnal	38.04944°	85.91306°	Outfall 023	Unit 4 cooling tower blowdown			

8.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 005:

			TABLE 23.						
		EFFLUENT							
Poported Parameters	Units	Loading	s (lbs./day)		Con	centrations			
Reported Parameters	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
Flow	MGD	3.232	5.0165	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Temperature	°C	N/A	N/A	N/A	28.8	32.0	N/A		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	8.4	N/A	N/A	8.7		
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	*	*	N/A		
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0	N/A		
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A		
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	.001	.005	N/A		
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.018	0.019	N/A		
Priority Pollutants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	**	**	N/A		

* DMRs indicate there were no periods of chlorination, therefor testing was not required.

** DMRs indicate there were no chemicals added that would trigger the conditional testing.

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

8.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 005:

				TABLE 2	4.			1		
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS									MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Concen	trations				
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximu m	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Week	Calculated	
Priority Pollutants ¹	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	NDA ²	N/A	1/Year	Calculated	
Free Available Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.5	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Total Residual Oxidants	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	0.20	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Multiple Grab ⁴	
Time of Oxidant Addition	minutes/unit/day	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	120	N/A	Per Occurrence ³	Log	
¹ Priority pollutants shall be m for each pollutant and be atta tower maintenance, except T ² The abbreviation NDA mean	ached to the DMR. The otal Recoverable Chro	e term priori mium and T	ty pollutant r	means the poll				-		

³Per Occurrence means during periods of chlorination or oxidant addition, but no more frequent than once per week.

⁴Multiple grab means grab samples collected at the approximate beginning of oxidant discharge and once every fifteen (15) minutes thereafter until the end of oxidant discharge.

The term Total Residual Oxidants means the value obtained using the amperometric titration or DPD methods for Total Residual Chlorine described in 40 CFR Part 136. In the event the permittee needs to use an oxidant other than chlorine, the permittee shall request approval prior to the initial use of the oxidant from the Division of Water.

Not more than one unit may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time.

8.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

8.4.1. Facility Changes

Outfall 004 and Outfall 005 are now internal monitoring points. Monitoring to show compliance with the technology based effluent limitations for the priority pollutants, free available chlorine, total residual chlorine, total residual oxidants, and time of oxidant addition will occur at internal points Outfall 004 and Outfall 005. Water quality based effluent limitations are not applied at internal monitoring points, they are applied at external Outfall 023.

8.4.2. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

8.4.2.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. Applicable subparts are shown below:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(1) (1) The pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, shall be within the range of 6.0-9.0.

40 CFR 423.12(b)(7) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)				
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2				

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level or chlorination.

40 CFR 423.13(b)(1) For any plant with a total rated electric generating capacity of 25 or more megawatts, the quantity of pollutants discharged in once through cooling water from each discharge point shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of once through cooling water from each discharge point times the concentration listed in the following table:

Pollutant or pollutant property	BAT Effluent Limitations
---------------------------------	--------------------------

	Maximum concentration (mg/l)
Total residual chlorine	0.20

40 CFR 423.13(d)(1) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown times the concentration listed below:

	ВАТ	effluent limitations
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day –(mg/I)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed = (mg/l)
The 126 priority pollutants (Appendix A) contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance, except:	(1)	(1)
Chromium, total	0.2	0.2
Zinc, total	1.0	1.0

¹No detectable amount.

40 CFR 423.13(d)(2) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level of chlorination.

8.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

8.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii) and (iii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

8.5.2. Priority Pollutants

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)].

8.5.3. Free Available Chlorine

The limitations for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6)]. The limit is representative of the BPTand BAT requirements for the discharge of this pollutant in cooling tower blowdown [40 CFR 423.12(b)(7) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)].

8.5.4. Total Residual Chlorine

The limitation for this pollutant is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1). It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to cooling tower blowdown.

8.5.5. Total Residual Oxidants

The limitation for this pollutant is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for once-through cooling water discharges specified in 40 CFR 423.13(b)(1). It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of these pollutants in cooling tower blowdown.

8.5.6. Time of Oxidant Addition

The limitation for this parameter is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. The limit is representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for chlorine in cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) and 423.13(d)(2)]. It is the BPJ of the DOW that this requirement is also applicable to the addition of other oxidants.

SECTION 9 OUTFALL 006

9. OUTFALL 006

9.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 25.							
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall			
Internal	38.05639°	85.90972°	Outfall 002	Boiler chemical metal cleaning wastes			

9.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 006:

TABLE 26.								
	Units		EFFLUENT					
Reported Parameters		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations				
		Monthly Average Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly		Maximum		
				Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
DMRs indicate no discharges from this outfall.								

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

9.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 006:

	TABLE 27.										
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORING	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		
Loadings (lbs./day) Concentrations											
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type		
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Batch ¹	Calculated		
Total Copper	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0	N/A	1/Batch ¹	Grab		
Total Iron	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0	N/A	1/Batch ¹	Grab		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Batch ¹	Grab		
¹ Monitoring shall be conducte	d once per m	etal cleaning o	peration.								

9.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

9.4.1. Jordan Memorandum

There are air heater wash waters and boiler fireside wash waters discharged to the Ash Pond. These waters are not a result of cleaning with chemical compounds and they do not flow through Outfall 006. The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations at Outfall 002 to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for low volume wastes, chemical metal cleaning wastes, and other process wastewaters. See section 0 for more details.

9.4.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil and Grease

The Ash Pond treats many waste streams. Since Outfall 006 effluent is directed to the Ash Pond, and will be directed to the future Process Waters Pond, the limitations for these pollutants has been applied at Outfall 002 after commingling with other waters. The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines.

9.4.3. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

9.4.3.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. The applicable subparts are below:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(1) The pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, shall be within the range of 6.0-9.0.

40 CFR 423.12(b)(5) The quantity of pollutants discharged in metal cleaning wastes shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of metal cleaning wastes times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)				
TSS	100.0	30.0				
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0				
Copper, total	1.0	1.0				

Iron, total	1.0	1.0

40 CFR 423.13(e) The quantity of pollutants discharged in chemical metal cleaning wastes shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of chemical metal cleaning wastes times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BAT effluent limitations					
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed –(mg/I)				
Copper, total	1.0	1.0				
Iron, total	1.0	1.0				

9.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

9.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with monitoring requirements for internal waste streams [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iii)].

9.5.2. Total Copper, and Total Iron

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BPT and BAT requirements for these pollutants in metal cleaning waste waters [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.12(b)(5) and 423.13(e)].

9.5.3. pH

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) - 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT requirements for this parameter in all discharges except once through cooling water [40 CFR 423.12(b)(1)].

SECTION 10

OUTFALLS 007, 010, 011, 014, 016, 017, 018, 019, 020, 021, 022

10. OUTFALLS 007, 010, 011, 014, 016, 017, 018, 019, 020, 021, 022

10.1. Outfall Descriptions

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 28.								
Outfall #	Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall			
007	External	38.05306°	85.90500°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 7L (below powerhouse west side)			
010	External	38.06278°	85.90500°	Mill Creek	Stormwater runoff from Area 10B (North entrance and maintenance areas)			
011	External	38.04583°	85.90028°	Unnamed Tributary to Pond Creek	Stormwater runoff from Areas 11C, R (East entrance, parking, and future landfill). No leachate will discharge through this outfall.			
014	External	38.05389°	85.91222°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 14 (Switchyard- Powerhouse northwest side)			
016	External	38.04972°	85.90444°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 16 (Closed Landfill B)			
017	External	38.06194°	85.91083°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 17 (Ash Pond west external slopes)			
018	External	38.05944°	85.91111°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 18 (Ash Pond southwest external slopes)			
019	External	38.05583°	85081167°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 19 (Riverbank slopes west of Unit 2 cooling tower)			
020	External	38.04944°	85.91250°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 20 (Riverbank slopes west of Unit 4 cooling tower)			
021	External	38.04778°	85.91278°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 21 (Riverbank slopes west of Unit 3 cooling tower)			
022	External	38.04639°	85.91278°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 22 (Yard area southwest of Unit 3 cooling tower)			

10.2. Reported Values

The previous permit did not require reporting of monitoring results for these outfalls. Best Management Practices (BMP) were used to control or abate any discharge of pollutants from these outfalls.

10.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfalls 007, 010, 011, 014, 016, 017, 018, 019, 020, 021, 022:

TABLE 29.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS							MONITORING REQUIREMENTS		
		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
Effluent Characteristic		Monthly	Daily	Minimum	Monthly	Daily	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
		Average	Maximum		Average	Maximum			
Due to the absence of any industrial processes, equipment or storage areas being located within the areas served by theses outfalls, the DOW has determined that									
implementation of BMPs would be the most effective approach for controlling pollutants from these areas. The BMP Plan shall specifically mention controls and practices									
used to control or abate the dis	scharge of po	llutants in sto	rmwater discł	narges from tl	nese outfalls.				

10.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

10.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

10.5.1. Best Management Practices

The requirement to address the stormwater discharges from these outfalls within the BMP Plan is consistent with the KPDES program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(k)].

SECTION 11 OUTFALL 009

11. OUTFALL 009

11.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 30.							
Outfall Type	Outfall Type Latitude (N) Longitude (W) Receiving Water Description of Outfall						
Internal	38.05306°	85.90500°	N/A	Plant Intake Water			

11.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 009:

TABLE 31.									
		EFFLUENT							
Bonortad Daramators	Units	Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
Reported Parameters	Onits	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
Flow	MGD	245.443	260.242	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Temperature	° C	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.9	20.1	N/A		
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	85	161	N/A		
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	171	190	N/A		
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	7.5	N/A	N/A	7.9		
Total Recoverable Metals	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.086	0.086	N/A		

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/2012 to 02/28/2017.
11.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 009:

TABLE 32.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORIN	G REQUIREMENTS
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce	entrations			
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Week	Instantaneous
Temperature	°F	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Week	Grab
Total Recoverable Metals	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab
The effluent characteristic Tot Selenium, Silver, Thallium, and		le Metals meai	ns Total Recove	rable: Antimon	y, Arsenic, Bery	llium, Cadmium,	Chromium, Copp	ber, Iron, Lead, M	ercury, Nickel,

11.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

11.4.1. Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those water-quality-based pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000.

TABLE 33.							
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Basis						
Flow and Temperature	Intake water is used for many applications at this plant, including cooling water. Mixing zone calculations for temperature require an upstream value, and this value can be easily found and tracked by recording the temperature of the intake water. 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iii) allows NPDES permits to include requirements for measurements of pollutants in internal waste streams and intake water.						

11.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

11.5.1. Flow, and Temperature

The monitoring requirements for these parameters are consistent with monitoring requirements for internal waste streams [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iii)].

SECTION 12 OUTFALL 012

12. OUTFALL 012

12.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 34.									
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall					
Internal	38.04778°	85.91250°	Outfall 023	Discharges combined flows of stormwater from Areas 12 a through f, FGD/Gypsum Dewatering Filtrate and U- Flow Flush process waters, and non- process wastewaters: Clearwell Surplus Cooling/Service waters, Equipment- truck loadout miscellaneous washdown, Unit 3 and/or Unit 4 cooling tower blowdown. Outfall 012 is an internal monitoring point and effluent is conveyed to Outfall 023.					

12.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 012:

	TABLE 35.									
		EFFLUENT								
Reported Parameters	Units	Loading	s (lbs./day)		Con	centrations				
Reported Parameters	Onits	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum			
Flow	MGD	0.0415	0.0651	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	18	23	N/A			
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	ND	ND	N/A			
Hardness (as CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	2113	2113	N/A			
Sulfate	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1109	1111	N/A			
Chlorides	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	694	694	N/A			
рН	mg/l	N/A	N/A	8.0	N/A	N/A	8.1			

The above values are based off of 5-year DMR averages from 02/28/12 to 02/28/17.

12.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 012:

TABLE 36.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORIN	G REQUIREMENTS
		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly	Daily	Minimum	Monthly	Daily	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
		Average	Maximum	Ivininani	Average	Maximum	WidAinfun		
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Month	Instantaneous
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	30.0	67.3	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	9.1	12.3	N/A	1/Month	Grab

12.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

12.4.1. Future Operations

FGD/Gypsum Dewatering Filtrate and U- Flow Flush process waters will be sent to the FGD Process Water Treatment System. Outfall 012 discharges combined flows of stormwater from Areas 12 a through f, and non-process wastewaters: Clearwell Surplus Cooling/Service waters, Equipment- truck loadout miscellaneous washdown.

Outfall 012 is an internal monitoring point and will not discharge directly to the Ohio River. Effluent from Outfall 012 will combine with effluent from Outfalls 004 and 005 to become Outfall 023 effluent.

12.4.2. Cooling Tower Blowdown

Under existing operations, cooling tower blowdown from Units 3 and 4 can be used in either the ash sluicing process or the pyrites sluicing process. Blowdown that is not used in those processes is piped to the Clearwell Settling Pond where it is mixed with treated river water prior to use in the FGD process and gypsum solidification process. The Clearwell Settling Pond overflow pipe discharges to the GPP Runoff Settling Pond where the overflow mixes with other waste streams prior to discharging through Outfall 012. The permittee shall include in the BMP Plan for this station a description of controls and practices used to minimize the release of oxidants into the Clearwell Settling Pond during bromination of the cooling tower waters. The minimization of oxidants released into the Clearwell Settling Pond should then ensure negligible or no oxidants present in Outfall 012 effluent. Cooling tower blowdown from Units 3 and 4 which is sent to the Clearwell Settling Pond should be similar in nature to the Units 3 and 4 blowdown discharged through Outfalls 004 and 005. Technology based limitations and monitoring are applied at Outfall 004 and 005. For all these reasons, internal monitoring was not applied to the blowdown which discharges to the Clearwell Settling Pond.

12.4.3. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

12.4.3.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. The following is a list of those requirements:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) The quantity of pollutants discharged from low volume waste sources shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of low volume waste sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations						
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)					

TSS	100.0	30.0
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0

40 CFR 423.12(b)(11)The quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater, flue gas mercury control wastewater, combustion residual leachate, or gasification wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of the applicable wastewater times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT Effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)		
TSS	100.0	30.0		
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0		

40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(ii) For FGD wastewater generated before the date determined by the permitting authority, as specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i), the quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed for TSS in 423.12(b)(11).

12.4.3.2. BPJ

It is the BPJ of the DOW to apply 30 mg/l and 60 mg/l, as monthly average and daily maximum limitations respectively, TSS limitations to the Clearwell Pond effluent. The facility treats the Clearwell Pond effluent for TSS before discharge to the GPP Runoff Pond. Sedimentation is a commonly used treatment technology for the removal of TSS that is both efficient and cost effective. Although several factors may influence the final concentration of TSS in the Clearwell Pond discharge, it has been the experience of the Division that facilities which treat for TSS can achieve a concentration of 30 mg/l as a monthly average and 60 mg/l as a daily maximum.

It is the BPJ of the DOW to place a 5 mg/l limitation on oil and grease in the Clearwell Pond effluent. Clearwell Pond effluent is made up of treated river intake water and cooling tower blowdown, and neither of these flows should contain a significant amount of oil and grease.

Stormwater flows receive settling in the GPP Runoff Pond. Sedimentation is a commonly used treatment technology for the removal of TSS that is both efficient and cost effective. Although several factors may influence the concentration of TSS in stormwater, it has been the experience of the Division that facilities which treat for TSS can achieve a concentration of 30 mg/l as a monthly average and 60 mg/l as a daily maximum.

The facility does not treat the stormwater flowing into the GPP Runoff Pond for oil and grease. If treatment were to be necessary, an adequately sized oil /water separator with ample retention time would provide

appropriate treatment. Flotation or gravity separation of lighter petroleum based products from water is a common and cost effective method for the removal of oil & grease. It has been the experience of the Division that these treatment methods can achieve oil & grease concentrations of 10 mg/l as a monthly average and 15 mg/l as a daily maximum.

The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations for TSS, and oil and grease at Outfall 012 to insure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines for low volume wastes, chemical metal cleaning wastes, and other process wastewaters.

12.5. Limitation Calculations

12.5.1. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Past average and maximum limitations for TSS were 30 mg/l and 50 mg/l respectively. Past average and maximum limitations for Oil and Grease were 10 mg/l and 15 mg/l respectively. The limitations for TSS and Oil and Grease are revised based on a reassessment of the types of flows which combine to form Outfall 012 effluent and the applicable federal effluent guidelines. The DOW has developed flow-weighted limitations to insure compliance with the federal effluent guidelines. The average flows used in the calculations below are from the water balance diagram for existing operations, which was provided in KPDES application. The average flow for each source is multiplied by the applicable limitation (in concentrations) to obtain the contribution of that pollutant by each source. The total contribution is divided by the total flow to obtain the final effluent limitation.

Limitations Calculations					
Source	Flow (MGD)		Total Susp	ended Solid	ds
		Concentra	tion (mg/l)	Cont	ribution
	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum
clearwell settling pond	0.0462	30	60	1.386	2.772
stormwater from areas 12.a					
through f	0.0599	30	60	1.797	3.594
FGD/ gypsum dewatering filtrate					
and hydroclones underflow flush					
process waters	0.015	30	100	0.45	1.5
low volume wastes (equipment-					
truck loadout misc. washdown)	0.0085	30	100	0.255	0.85
Total	0.1296			3.888	8.716
			Limits	30.0	67.3

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 81 of 135 Imber

Limitations Calculations					
Source	Flow (MGD)	Oil & Grease			
		Concentra	ation (mg/l)	Cont	ribution
	Average	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum
clearwell settling pond	0.0462	5	5	0.231	0.231
stormwater from areas 12.a					
through f	0.0599	10	15	0.599	0.8985
FGD/ gypsum dewatering filtrate					
and hydroclones underflow flush					
process waters	0.015	15	20	0.225	0.3
low volume wastes (equipment-					
truck loadout misc. washdown)	0.0085	15	20	0.1275	0.17
Total	0.1296			1.1825	1.5995
			Limits	9.1	12.3

12.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

12.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

12.6.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil & Grease

The limitations for these pollutants are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3)]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BPT and BAT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in this combined flow of stormwater, process, and non-process wastewaters. The DOW based this determination on the requirement for low volume wastes specified in 40 CFR 423.12(b)(3) and FGD wastewater in 40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) and 423.13(g)(1)(ii).

SECTION 13 OUTFALL 013

13. OUTFALL 013

13.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

	TABLE 37.									
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall						
External	38.04194°	85.91250°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 13 (Landfill A- stabilized FGD sludge/off-spec gypsum)						

13.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 013:

TABLE 38.									
	Units	EFFLUENT							
Reported Parameters		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
		Monthly Deily Maximum		y Maximum Minimum	Monthly	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
		Average			Average	Daily Maximum	IVIdXIIIIUIII		
The Landfill Runoff Settling Pond discharges through Outfall 013. The pond has not discharged in the last 5 years.									

13.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 013:

	TABLE 39.								
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORIN	G REQUIREMENTS
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce				
Effluent Characteristic Units	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Quarter	Instantaneous
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	30	60	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab
Oil & Grease	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	15	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Quarter	Grab

13.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

13.4.1. Landfill Leachate

The Landfill A Runoff Pond #1 discharges through Outfall 013 to the Ohio River. Landfill A does not have a leachate collection system pipe to pond #1. In the future, Landfill A will expand northward and that portion of the landfill will have a leachate collection system. That system will drain to Pond #2 which will drain to the future process waters pond.

13.4.2. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

13.4.2.1. Total Suspended Solids

The facility treats Outfall 013 effluent for this parameter before discharge. Sedimentation is a commonly used treatment technology for the removal of TSS that is both efficient and cost effective. Although several factors may influence the final concentration of TSS in the discharge, it has been the experience of the Division that facilities which treat for TSS can achieve a concentration of 30 mg/l as a monthly average and 60 mg/l as a daily maximum.

13.4.2.2. Oil & Grease

The facility does not treat Outfall 013 effluent for this parameter before discharge. If treatment were to be necessary, an adequately sized oil /water separator with ample retention time would provide appropriate treatment. Flotation or gravity separation of lighter petroleum based products from water is a common and cost effective method for the removal of oil & grease. It has been the experience of the Division that these treatment methods can achieve oil & grease concentrations of 10 mg/l as a monthly average and 15 mg/l as a daily maximum.

13.5. Limitation Calculations

13.5.1. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

These calculations were preformed using a Microsoft EXCEL based workbook developed by DOW. The workbook is designed to compare effluent data to the applicable water quality standards while also incorporating the characteristics of the receiving water and any regulatory ZID and/or MZ. The following table summarizes the results of these calculations for this outfall:

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 86 of 135 Imber

		Effluent	Stream	Mixing Zone	Mixing Zone Mixed	7ID Created		ZID Mixed
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Hardness	Hardness	Granted	Hardness	ZID Granted	ZID Dilutions	Hardness
Hardness	mg/l	400	146	NO	N/A	NO	N/A	N/A
		Reported	Reported	Average	Maximum	Average	Maximum	Data
Effluent Characteristic 🗾	Units 💌	Avg 🎩	Max 🗾	Limitaion 📑	Limitation 🝸	Discharge %	Discharge %	Source 💌
Antimony	μg/L	1.3	1.3	640	N/A	0.20	N/A	APP
Arsenic	μg/L	31	31	150	340	20.67	9.12	APP
Barium	µg/L	77	77	124566022.4	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Beryllium	μg/L	0	0	498264.0897	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Cadmium	μg/L	0	0	0.755841246	8.731374985	0.00	0.00	APP
Chloride	μg/L	60000	60000	600000	1200000	10.00	5.00	APP
Chromium	μg/L	1.9	1.9	12456602.24	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Copper	μg/L	0.61	0.61	30.49938305	51.68449826	2.00	1.18	APP
Cyanide, Free	μg/L	0	0	5.2	22	0.00	0.00	APP
Fluoride	μg/L	0	0	498264089.7	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Iron	μg/L	1500	1500	1000	4000	150.00	37.50	APP
Lead	μg/L	0.31	0.31	18.58090366	476.8177624	1.67	0.07	APP
Mercury	μg/L	0.0258	0.0258	0.051	1.4	50.59	1.84	APP
Nickel	μg/L	3.9	3.9	168.5409938	1515.921838	2.31	0.26	APP
Phenol	μg/L	21	21	860000	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Selenium	μg/L	4.2	4.2	5	N/A	84.00	N/A	APP
Silver	μg/L	0	0	N/A	41.07168773	N/A	0.00	APP
Sulfate	μg/L	680000	680000	31141505605	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Thallium	μg/L	0	0	0.47	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Zinc	μg/L	9.7	9.7	387.8303147	387.8303147	2.50	2.50	APP
Gross total alpha particle activity including radium-226 but exculding radon and uranium	pCi/L	0	0	8820956.704	N/A	0.00	N/A	ΑΡΡ
Combined radium-226 and radium-228	pCi/L	0.527	0.527	2940318.901	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Total gross beta particle activity	pCi/L	76.3	76.3	29403189.01	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Strontium-90	pCi/L	0	0	4704510.242	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Uranium	μg/L	0.146	0.146	17641913.41	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Total Residual Chlorine	μg/L	0.140	0.140	11	19	0.00	0.00	APP
Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	0.36	0.36	144785.4946	N/A	0.00	N/A	APP
Temperature	°F	59.54	59.54	0	89	66.90	66.90	APP

Water was dipped out of the pond and analyzed for the pollutants shown in the table above. The dipped water contained a concentration which exceeds 90% of the calculated chronic WQBEL for iron and 70% of the calculated chronic WQBEL for selenium. The U.S. E.P.A. Industrial Stormwater Monitoring and Sampling Guide (EPA 832-B-09-003) warns that samples from stagnant or slowly moving water inside a pond will not yield a representative sample. The pollutants in the sample may not be adequately mixed. Also, the pond has not discharged in the past 5 years, and it would take a large rain event for this pond to discharge. The DOW believes that chronic limits for iron and selenium should not be applied to this precipitation dependent discharge. Precipitation dependent discharges occur intermittently so chronic exposure of biota to pollutants in the effluent is unlikely.

13.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

13.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

13.6.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil and Grease

The limitations for these pollutants are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:080, Section 2(3) - 40 CFR 125.3]. These limits are representative of the DOW's BPJ determination of BPT and BCT requirements for the discharge of these pollutants in stormwater.

13.6.3. pH

The limitations for this parameter are consistent Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 4(1)(b) and Section 7].

SECTION 14 OUTFALL 015

14. OUTFALL 015

14.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 40.							
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall			
External	38.06472°	85.91028°	Ohio River	Stormwater runoff from Area 15 and stormwater runoff from northwestern 0.7 acres of closed-capped Ash Pond areas.			

14.2. Reported Values

The following table summarizes the reported values for Outfall 015:

TABLE 41.									
			EFFLUENT						
Poported Perameters	Units	Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
Reported Parameters	Units	Monthly	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly	Daily Maximum	Maximum		
		Average	Dally Maximum	Winning	Average				
The previous permit did not require reporting of monitoring results for this outfall. Stormwater from Area 15 was addressed by best management practices.									

14.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 015:

	TABLE 42.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORIN	MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce	ntrations				
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Quarter	Instantaneous	
Total Suspended Solids	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Hardness (as mg/l CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	Report	N/A	N/A	Report	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Arsenic	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Cadmium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Copper	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Lead	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Mercury	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Nickel	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Silver	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	

14.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-

Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

14.4.1. Facility Changes

The permittee is in the process of removing the ash pond, which will be dewatered, regraded and closed. The ash pond will be dewatered through internal Outfall 002 and ultimately discharged through Outfall 001. Once the ash pond is closed and capped, stormwater runoff from the northern 33.5 acres will flow to Outfall 015. The requirements set forth in Table 50 apply once Outfall 015 receives flow from the closed and capped ash pond, until that happens the flows from Area 15 shall continue to be addressed solely by best management practices.

14.4.2. Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those water-quality-based pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000.

TABLE 43.					
Pollutant or Pollutant	Basis				
Characteristic					
Flow, Total Suspended Solids,	Upcoming construction activities and redirection of stormwater flows to this				
Hardness, pH, and Total	outfall may increase the potential for these pollutants to be present in the				
Recoverable: Arsenic,	discharge. For these reasons monitoring will be required for these parameters				
Cadmium, Chromium, Copper,	and pollutants. Monitoring will allow us to know the concentrations within the				
Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Silver,	effluent. In the future DOW will analyze the results for the potential to exceed				
Zinc	water quality criteria.				

14.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) – 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

14.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

14.5.2. Total Suspended Solids, Hardness, pH, Total Recoverable: Arsenic, Cadmium, Chromium, Copper, Lead, Mercury, Nickel, Silver, Zinc

The monitoring requirements for these pollutants are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

SECTION 15 OUTFALL 023

15. OUTFALL 023

15.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

			TABLE 44.	
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall
External	38.04750°	85.91361°	Ohio River	Outfall 012 effluent combines with the effluent from Outfalls 004 and Outfall 005. Outfall 012 discharges the combined flows of stormwater from Areas 12 a through f, FGD/Gypsum Dewatering Filtrate and U- Flow Flush process waters, and non-process wastewaters: Clearwell Surplus Cooling/Service waters, Equipment- truck loadout miscellaneous washdown, Unit 3 and/or Unit 4 cooling tower blowdown.

15.2. Reported Values

There are no reported values for this outfall. This is a new outfall created so that the combined flow of Unit 3 and Unit 4 cooling tower blowdowns and Outfall 012 effluent can be monitored prior to discharge to the Ohio River.

15.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 023:

TABLE 45.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Concer	ntrations			
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Week	Calculated
Temperature	°F	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	115	N/A	1/Week	Grab
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	1/Week	Grab
Total Recoverable Iron	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Copper	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Hardness (as mg/l CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Chromium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.2	0.2	N/A	1/Year	Grab
Total Recoverable Zinc	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0	1.0	N/A	1/Year	Grab
Total Residual Chlorine	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Year	Grab
Total Recoverable Mercury	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000051	0.0014	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	24.0	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium (Fish Tissue)	mg/kg dry weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.6	(1)	(1)
Acute Toxicity ²	TUA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.00	N/A	1/Quarter	(3)
¹ Should the monthly average of	oncentration of Tota	l Recoverab	le Selenium ex	ceed 24.0 mg	g/l, see Section	Error! Reference	ce source not fo	und. for additiona	l requirements.
² WET – Whole Effluent Toxicity	/								
³ Two (2) discrete grab samples	shall be collected 12	hours apart.							

15.4. Pertinent Factors

As the designated water pollution agency (KRS 244.16-060) for the Clean Water Act, the cabinet is required to obtain EPA approval for any changes to its state water quality standards (33 U.S.C. Section 303(c)). House Bill 386 (21 RS HB 386 enacted March 24, 2021) purports to require changes to state water quality standards (401 KAR Chapter 10). EEC believes that some of those changes would not be approvable. Unless and until 401 KAR Chapter 10 changes are promulgated and approved, the cabinet cannot issue a KPDES permit that includes limits based on HB 386. Additionally, calculating effluent limits as required by HB386 would violate DOW's MOA with EPA, since the MOA requires the cabinet to comply with the Clean Water Act (including 33 U.S.C. Section 303(c)) when calculating permit limits.

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

15.4.1. Facility Changes

Outfall 004, Outfall 005, and Outfall 012 are now internal monitoring points. Monitoring to show compliance with the technology based effluent limitations for the priority pollutants, free available chlorine, total residual chlorine, total residual oxidants, and time of oxidant addition occur at internal points Outfall 004 and Outfall 005. Water quality based effluent limitations are not applied at internal monitoring points, they are applied at external Outfall 023.

15.4.2. Diffuser

The permittee submitted to the DOW a report which contained the proposed design for a multi-port diffuser and the results of the mixing zone analysis completed with the Cornell Mixing Zone Model (CORMIX). Submission of the report fulfilled the first milestone in the schedule of compliance for meeting the total recoverable copper limitations at Outfall 023. Submission of the report also fulfilled the requirement for the first quarterly progress report.

The diffuser will be utilized at the new Outfall 023 located near the existing Outfalls 004, 005 and 012. The effluent of Outfall 023 will be the combined flows of Outfalls 004, 005 and 012. Barge traffic is common in the vicinity of the new Outfall 023. The diffuser will be located on the river bottom with ports 2 feet above the bottom and it should not interfere with barge traffic or be damaged by barges. The diffuser will have five ports, each with a 12 inch diameter, directed 45 degrees toward the west bank of the Ohio River.

The intent of the diffuser design is to improve mixing of the discharge with the Ohio River and provide a minimum ten-fold (10:1) dilution of effluent concentrations at the edge of the Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID). The CORMIX model results indicate that a dilution of 27.3:1 can be achieved at the edge of the ZID at maximum discharge flows and low river conditions. The river velocity for the schematized river cross-section and the $Q_{7,10}$ flow of 11,000 cfs was 0.39 ft/s. The diffuser port exit velocity was 7.9 ft/s at maximum discharge flow, 4.1 ft/s at average discharge flow, and 2.1 ft/s at minimum discharge flow. The discharge velocity from the diffuser ports will be greater than the river velocity even at minimum discharge conditions, under the conditions modeled. The model also predicts that the centerline temperature of the thermal plume decreases to below the 89 °F criteria within the mixing zone under bounding, worst-case conditions. The DOW reviewed the report, modeled the diffuser using CORMIX, and concluded the diffuser design is acceptable thus a ZID will be granted. Mixing Zone and ZID calculations can be found in Section 19 of the Fact Sheet.

15.4.3. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

Technology-based effluent limitations and standards, based on federally promulgated standards, a caseby-case basis, or a combination of the two, shall be included in all KPDES permits, where applicable.

15.4.3.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. Applicable subparts are shown below:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(1) (1) The pH of all discharges, except once through cooling water, shall be within the range of 6.0-9.0.

40 CFR 423.12(b)(7) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown sources times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)		
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2		

40 CFR 423.12(b)(8) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level or chlorination.

40 CFR 423.13(d)(1) The quantity of pollutants discharged in cooling tower blowdown shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of cooling tower blowdown times the concentration listed below:

	BAT effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum concentration (mg/l)	Average concentration (mg/l)		
Free available chlorine	0.5	0.2		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day –(mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed = (mg/l)		

The 126 priority pollutants (Appendix A) contained in chemicals added for cooling tower maintenance, except:	(1)	(1)
Chromium, total	0.2	0.2
Zinc, total	1.0	1.0

¹No detectable amount.

40 CFR 423.13(d)(2) Neither free available chlorine nor total residual chlorine may be discharged from any unit for more than two hours in any one day and not more than one unit in any plant may discharge free available or total residual chlorine at any one time unless the utility can demonstrate to the Regional Administrator or State, if the State has NPDES permit issuing authority, that the units in a particular location cannot operate at or below this level of chlorination.

15.4.4. Total Recoverable Zinc, and Total Zinc

In regard to metals, US EPA uses the terms "total metal" and "total recoverable metals" synonymously to refer to metals solubilized by digestion with strong solutions of mineral acids; therefore, total zinc and total recoverable zinc can be directly compared.

15.4.5. Water-Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those water-quality-based pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000.

	TABLE 46.
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Basis
Temperature	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 90% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant. A mixing zone and ZID are granted, and monitoring and a limitation will be required for this pollutant.
Total Recoverable Iron	The discharge concentration of this pollutant exceeds 70% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant. Monitoring is required for this pollutant.
Total Recoverable Copper	The discharge concentration of total recoverable copper will no longer exceed 90% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant once the diffuser is used. A mixing zone and ZID are granted, and monitoring is required for this pollutant in place of limitations.
Total Chromium	The discharge concentration did not exceed 70% of the calculated WQBELs for this pollutant. However, chromium is subject to the technology-based effluent standards for this industrial category. In accordance with Kentucky's EPA-approved RPA procedures, if a promulgated technology-based effluent standard exists, then the discharge has reasonable potential, and therefore effluent limitations and monitoring requirements shall be applied for those effluent characteristics addressed by the most stringent standard. DOW has determined that reasonable potential for this pollutant does exist and requirements for this pollutant are addressed by technology-based standards and water quality based standards, of which the former is more stringent.

Total Zinc	In accordance with Kentucky's EPA-approved RPA procedures, if a promulgated technology-based effluent standard exists, then the discharge has reasonable potential, and therefore effluent limitations and monitoring requirements shall be applied for those effluent characteristics addressed by the most stringent standard. DOW has determined that reasonable potential for this pollutant does exist and requirements for this pollutant are addressed by technology-based standards and water quality based standards, of which the former is more stringent. A mixing zone and ZID are granted for this pollutant.
Total Residual Chlorine	The discharge concentration of total residual chlorine will no longer exceed 90% of the WQBELs for this pollutant once the diffuser is used. A mixing zone and ZID are granted, and monitoring is required for this pollutant in place of limitations.
Whole Effluent Toxicity	The facility is a major, and the wastewater is a complex waste stream. A mixing zone and ZID are granted for this parameter.

Note that Outfall 004 and Outfall 005 effluent combine and discharge through Outfall 023. Outfall 005 effluent was not sampled for the application. Outfall 004 data was submitted as representative data for Outfall 005 effluent. Both Unit 3 and Unit 4 cooling towers are operated similarly and Unit 3 cooling tower blowdown should have similar composition to Unit 4 cooling tower blowdown. Outfall 004 data was used to determine which water-quality based effluent limitations should be applied at Outfall 023.

15.4.6. Mixing Zone (MZ)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards allow the assignment of a MZ for chronic aquatic life (Chronic) and human health fish consumption (Fish) WQBELs and thermal discharges [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4]. The pollutants and/or the pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a MZ and ZID are listed as follows:

TABLE 47.						
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Mixing Zone Factor (MZF)	Linear Distance (ft)	Surface Area (sq. ft)	Volume (cfs)		
Whole Effluent Toxicity	0.222	364	208095	2444		
Total Recoverable Copper	0.119	195	59682	1309		
Temperature	0.0188	31	1484	206		
Total Recoverable Zinc	0.0076	12	241	83		
Total Residual Chlorine	0.1299	213	71116	1429		

15.4.7. Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) allow the assignment of a ZID for acute aquatic life (Acute) WQBELs, for outfalls equipped with a submerged, high-rate multi-port diffuser structure [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4(3)]. The pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a ZID are listed as follows:

TABLE 48.						
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Dilutions	Linear Distance to ZID Edge (ft)				
Whole Effluent Toxicity	26.65	34.7				
Total Recoverable Copper	27.3	44.3				
Temperature	7.7	2				
Total Recoverable Zinc	5.5	0.9				
Total Residual Chlorine	27.3	44.3				

15.5. Limitation Calculations

15.5.1. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

These calculations were preformed using a Microsoft EXCEL based workbook developed by DOW. The workbook is designed to compare effluent data to the applicable water quality standards while also incorporating the characteristics of the receiving water and any regulatory ZID and/or MZ. The following table summarizes the results of these calculations for this outfall:

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221 Page 101 of 135 Imber

Hardness Dependent Metals Calculations	Units	Effluent Hardness	Stream Hardness	Mixing Zone Granted	MZF	Mixing Zone Mixed Hardness	ZID Granted	ZID Dilutions	Acute Mixed Hardness
Copper	mg/l	270	146	YES	0.119128	147	YES	27.3	150.54212
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Reported Avg	Reported Max	Average Limitation	Maximum Limitation	Average Discharge %	Maximum Discharge %	MZF	Data Source
Antimony	μg/L	0	0	640	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Arsenic	μg/L	0	0	150	340	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Barium	μg/L	82	82	417670	N/A	0.02	N/A	0	APP
Beryllium	μg/L	0	0	1670.68	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Cadmium	μg/L	0	0	0.564887	5.85535	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Chloride	μg/L	68000	68000	600000	1200000	11.33	5.67	0	APP
Chromium	μg/L	1	1	41767	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	DMR
Copper	μg/L	120	120	561.8902	561.8902	21.36	21.36	0.119128	APP
Cyanide, Free	μg/L	0	0	5.2	22	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Fluoride	μg/L	0	0	1670680	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Iron	μg/L	800	800	1000	4000	80.00	20.00	0	APP
Lead	μg/L	0	0	11.26602	289.1053	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Mercury	μg/L	0	0	0.051	1.4	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Nickel	μg/L	12	12	120.8639	1087.096	9.93	1.10	0	APP
Phenol	μg/L	0	0	300	300	0.00	0.00	0	APP
Selenium	<u>μ</u> g/L	0	0	596.5745	N/A	0.00	N/A	0.333	APP
Silver	<u>μ</u> g/L	0	0	N/A	20.89032	N/A	0.00	0	APP
Sulfate	μg/L	130000	130000	1.04E+08	N/A	0.12	N/A	0	APP
Thallium	μg/L	0	0	0.47	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Zinc	μg/L	26	27	1025.596	1025.596	2.54	2.63	0	DMR
Gross total alpha particle activity including radium- 226 but exculding radon and uranium	pCi/L	0	0	29521.05	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	АРР
Combined radium- 226 and radium-228	pCi/L	0.698	0.698	9840.35	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	APP
Total gross beta particle activity	pCi/L	6.97	6.97	98403.5	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	APP
Tritium	pCi/L			39361400	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	
Strontium-90	pCi/L	0	0	15744.56	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Uranium	μg/L	1.02	1.02	59042.1	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Toxicity	TUa			AcuteWET	7.995	%Effluent	12.51	0.222207	
Total Residual Chlorine	μg/L	40	40	518.7	518.7	7.71	7.71	0.129903	APP
Ammonia (as N)	mg/l	0	0	485.666	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	APP
Nitrite-nitrogen Ohio River	mg/l			1	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	
Temperature	۴F	82.4	88.16	0	115	71.65217	76.66087	0.018763	DMR

15.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

15.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 – 40 CFR 122.48].

15.6.2. Temperature

The limitation for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031 Section 6 and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

15.6.3. pH

The limitations for this parameter are consistent Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 4(1)(b) and Section 7] and Federal Effluent Limitation Guidelines [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) – 40 CFR 122 Appendix A]. The limits are representative of the BPT requirements for this parameter in all discharges except once through cooling water [40 CFR 423.12(b)(1)].

15.6.4. Total Recoverable Iron

The monitoring requirements for this pollutant are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

15.6.5. Total Recoverable Copper

The monitoring requirements for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)], 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

15.6.6. Hardness

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:070, Section 3 - 40 CFR 122.48].

15.6.7. Total Recoverable Chromium

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)] and are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031 Section 6].

15.6.8. Total Recoverable Zinc

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for cooling tower blowdown [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(d)(1)] and are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:029 Section 4, and 401 KAR 10:031 Section 6].

15.6.9. Total Residual Chlorine

The monitoring requirements for this pollutant are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)], 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

15.6.10.Whole Effluent Toxicity

The limitation for this parameter is consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(j) and 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4].

SECTION 16

OUTFALL 024

16. OUTFALL 024

16.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

TABLE 49.						
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall		
Internal	38.04778°	85.90917°	Process Waters Pond (Outfall 002)	New internal outfall for discharges from the new FGD Process		
interna	56.04776	63.90917	Process waters Pond (Outlan 002)	Water Treatment System		

16.2. Reported Values

This is a new outfall with no past reported values.

16.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 024 beginning January 1, 2025:

TABLE 50.									
	EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS							MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce	ntrations			
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1/Month	Calculated
Total Recoverable Arsenic	μg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	8	18	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Mercury	ng/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	34	103	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Total Recoverable Selenium	μg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	29	70	N/A	1/Month	Grab
Nitrate/nitrite as N	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	4	N/A	1/Month	Grab

16.4. Pertinent Factors

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at:

https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-

Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf

16.4.1. FGD ELG Compliance Date

The Mill Creek Station existing FGDWW treatment system will be modified by constructing a new selenium / biological treatment system (ELG System) to post-treat the existing physical-chemical equipment flows. Included in the ELG System are outdoor bioreactors, sump and new building housing additional process equipment, electrical switchgear, control panels, laboratory and chemical storage tanks. The solids from the ELG System will be integrated into the existing FGDWW solids management flows. For the FGDWW project, discreet steps of the engineering-procurement-installation contract include multiple overlapping phases which are not specifically sequential but highly interdependent so that delays of ant step likely lead to delays of completing the entire project. The FGDWW system treated effluent will be discharged through monitored Outfall 024 and be directed to the process pond. Treated effluent flow will continue to be directed to the plant process ponds to Outfall 002. These treated FGDWW flows and most plant process flows are currently combined with once-thru cooling return flows through Outfall 001. In conjunction with this project, LGE-Mill Creek plans to construct a high-rate multiport diffuser (proposed new Outfall 025) for the combined discharges of FGDWW and most process flow to the Ohio River; the diffuser construction completion and in-service date is projected to occur be the end of 2021.

40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(i) require that the quantity of pollutants in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by 40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(i). The permittee must meet this requirement by a date determined by the permitting authority. For FGD wastewater, the date has to be as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. The definition for the phrase "as soon as possible" can be found in 40 CFR 423.11(t). The permittee provided the Division of Water information to determine as soon as possible ELG compliance applicability dates.

LG&E awarded the Engineering, Procurement, and Construction agreement on March 15, 2021. Because the FGDWW treatment system activities are complex and highly integrated with existing plant systems. Following transfer of care, custody, and control of the system to LG&E, as well as plant troubleshootingoptimization efforts, LG&E requests an applicability date for the FGDWW system of January 1, 2025. For the FGDWW specific-activities, these phases and general expected durations include:

- Detailed engineering: beginning May 2021
- Procurement: beginning Q3 2021
- Construction multi discipline and multi trades: beginning Q4 2021
- \circ $\,$ Mechanical startup, troubleshooting and testing; beginning Q1 2024 $\,$
- Commercial Completion and performance test: beginning Q2 2023
- Plant testing and optimization: beginning Q3-Q4 2023

The DOW grants LG&E's requested compliance date. The discharge requirements for BAT FGD wastewater shall become effective on January 1, 2025.

16.4.2. Total Suspended Solids, and Oil & Grease

The Ash Pond, and the future Process Waters Pond, treats many waste streams. Since Outfall 024 effluent will be directed to the future Process Waters Pond, the limitations for these pollutants will be applied at

Outfall 002 after commingling with other waters. The Division of Water will develop flow-weighted limitations to ensure compliance with the federal effluent limitation guidelines.

16.4.3. Technology-Based Effluent Limitations

16.4.3.1. Federal Effluent Limitations Guidelines

EPA has established a minimum level of technology that must be applied to certain industries. Due to the operations at this facility, all applicable sections of 40 CFR 423 shall be applied to this outfall. The following is a list of those requirements:

40 CFR 423.12(b)(11) The quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater, flue gas mercury control wastewater, combustion residual leachate, or gasification wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of the applicable wastewater times the concentration listed in the following table:

	BPT Effluent limitations		
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day (mg/l)	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed (mg/l)	
TSS	100.0	30.0	
Oil and grease	20.0	15.0	

Except for those discharges to which paragraph (g)(2) or (g)(3) of this section applies, the quantity of pollutants in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed in the table 1 following this paragraph (g)(1)(i). Dischargers must meet the effluent limitations for FGD wastewater in this paragraph by a date determined by the permitting authority that is as soon as possible beginning October 13, 2021, but no later than December 31, 2025. These effluent limitations apply to the discharge of FGD wastewater generated on and after the date determined by the permitting authority for meeting the effluent limitations, as specified in this paragraph.

	BAT Effluent limitations			
Pollutant or pollutant property	Maximum for any 1 day	Average of daily values for 30 consecutive days shall not exceed		
Arsenic, total (ug/L)	18	8		
Mercury, total (ng/L)	103	34		

Selenium, total (ug/L)	70	29
Nitrate/nitrite as N (mg/L)	4	3

(ii) For FGD wastewater generated before the date determined by the permitting authority, as specified in paragraph (g)(1)(i), the quantity of pollutants discharged in FGD wastewater shall not exceed the quantity determined by multiplying the flow of FGD wastewater times the concentration listed for TSS in §423.12(b)(11).

16.5. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain TBELs [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain WQBELs [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the KYWQS [401 KAR 10:031].

16.5.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with monitoring requirements for internal waste streams [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(iii)].

16.5.2. Total Arsenic, Total Mercury, Total Selenium, Nitrate/Nitrite (as N)

The limitations for these pollutants are representative of the BAT requirements for these pollutants in FGD wastewater [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) and 40 CFR 423.13(g)(1)(i)].
SECTION 17 OUTFALL 025

17. OUTFALL 025

17.1. Outfall Description

The following table lists the outfall type, location, and description:

	TABLE 51.							
Outfall Type	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Receiving Water	Description of Outfall				
				Process Waters Pond Discharge (Outfall 002)				
External	38.05515°	85.91321°	Ohio River	Unit 2 Cooling Tower Blowdown (Outfall 003)				
				Stormwater Runoff (Non -Contaminated)				

17.2. Reported Values

This is a new outfall with no past reported values.

17.3. Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements

The following table summarizes the effluent limitations and monitoring requirements for Outfall 025:

				TABL	E 52.					
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS									MONITORING REQUIREMENTS	
		Loadings	(lbs./day)		Conce	ntrations				
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Minimum	Monthly Average	Daily Maximum	Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type	
Flow	MGD	Report	Report	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	2/Month	Calculated	
Temperature	٩F	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	110	N/A	2/Month	Grab	
рН	SU	N/A	N/A	6.0	N/A	N/A	9.0	2/Month	Grab	
Hardness (as mg/l CaCO₃)	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Copper	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.315	0.315	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Iron	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	Report	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Mercury	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.000051	0.0014	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Selenium	mg/l	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.628	Report	N/A	1/Quarter	Grab	
Total Recoverable Selenium (Fish Tissue)	mg/kg dry weight	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.6	(1)	(1)	
Acute Toxicity ²	TUA	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.61	N/A	1/Quarter ⁴	(3)	
¹ Should the monthly average of	concentration	of Total Recov	verable Seleniu	m exceed 0.628	3 mg/l, see Secti	on 5.13 of the pe	ermit for additior	al requirements.		

KPDES Fact Sheet KY0003221

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 111 of 135 Imber

TABLE 52.									
EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS								MONITORIN	G REQUIREMENTS
		Loadings (lbs./day)		Concentrations					
Effluent Characteristic	Units	Monthly	Daily	Minimum	Monthly	Daily	m Maximum	Frequency	Sample Type
		Average	Maximum	winimum	Average	Maximum			
² WET – Whole Effluent Toxicity	1								
³ Two (2) discrete grab samples	Two (2) discrete grab samples shall be collected 12 hours apart.								

17.4. Pertinent Factors

As the designated water pollution agency (KRS 244.16-060) for the Clean Water Act, the cabinet is required to obtain EPA approval for any changes to its state water quality standards (33 U.S.C. Section 303(c)). House Bill 386 (21 RS HB 386 enacted March 24, 2021) purports to require changes to state water quality standards (401 KAR Chapter 10). EEC believes that some of those changes would not be approvable. Unless and until 401 KAR Chapter 10 changes are promulgated and approved, the cabinet cannot issue a KPDES permit that includes limits based on HB 386. Additionally, calculating effluent limits as required by HB386 would violate DOW's MOA with EPA, since the MOA requires the cabinet to comply with the Clean Water Act (including 33 U.S.C. Section 303(c)) when calculating permit limits.

The effluent limitations for this outfall were developed in accordance with DOW's General Procedures for Limitations Development located on DOW's webpage at: <u>https://eec.ky.gov/Environmental-Protection/Forms%20Library/General%20Procedures%20for%20Limitations%20Development.pdf</u>

17.4.1. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

The following table lists those pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics of concern that DOW has determined exhibit reasonable potential to cause or contribute to an excursion of a water quality-based criterion, and the basis of DOW's determination. These determinations are consistent with the DOW's reasonable potential analysis (RPA) procedures outlined in *Permitting Procedures For Determining "Reasonable Potential"* Kentucky Division of Water May 1, 2000. This table may also include pollutants for which DOW has found the existence of reasonable potential to be indeterminate or for which DOW needs additional study.

	TABLE 53.
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Basis
Whole Effluent Toxicity	The facility is rated as a "major discharger". The facility's discharge is a complex wastewater.
Temperature	Thermal pollution or heat loads are typically associated with industrial facilities where large volumes of cooling water are utilized. Therefore, DOW has determined that reasonable potential for this pollutant does exist.
Total Recoverable Iron Total Recoverable Mercury Total Recoverable Selenium	These pollutant were limited at outfall 001 when Unit 1 once-through cooling waters were not discharged through Outfall 001. Since the discharge concertation from the new Outfall 025 are unknown, it is the Divisions best professional judgement to continue to limit these pollutants at Outfall 025 once flows are redirected from Outfall 001. These requirements will be revaluated with the next permit renewal.
Total Recoverable Copper	The copper concentration from Outfall 003 is expected to be above the WQS without the added dilution from the once through cooling water. The concentration of copper in the combined flow to Outfall 005 is expected to be lower than that in Outfall 003, due to Outfall contributions. However, since the actual concentration of copper to Outfall 025 is unknown it is the Divisions best professional judgement to apply limits this pollutant. These requirements will be revaluated with the next permit renewal.

17.4.2. Mixing Zone (MZ)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) allow the assignment of a MZ for chronic aquatic life (Chronic) and human health fish consumption (Fish) WQBELs and thermal discharges [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4]. The pollutants and/or the pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a MZ are listed as follows:

TABLE 54.								
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Mixing Zone Factor (MZF)	Linear Distance (ft)	Surface Area (sq. ft)	Volume (cfs)				
Whole Effluent Toxicity	0.23	393.3	121489	2530				
Temperature	0.011	18.81	278	121				
Total Recoverable Copper	0.12	205	33071	1320				
Total Recoverable Selenium	0.333	569	254666	3663				

17.4.3. Zone of Initial Dilution (ZID)

The Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) allow the assignment of a ZID for acute aquatic life (Acute) WQBELs, for outfalls equipped with a submerged, high-rate multi-port diffuser structure [401 KAR 10:029, Section 4(3)]. The pollutants and/or pollutant characteristics for which DOW has granted a ZID are listed as follows:

TABLE 55.						
Pollutant or Pollutant Characteristic	Dilutions	Linear Distance to ZID Edge (ft)				
Whole Effluent Toxicity	28.7	36.9				
Total Recoverable Copper	28.7	36.9				

17.5. Limitation Calculations

17.5.1. Calculations for Water Quality-Based Effluent Limitations

These calculations were performed using a Microsoft EXCEL based workbook developed by DOW. The workbook is designed to compare effluent data to the applicable water quality standards while also incorporating the characteristics of the receiving water and any regulatory ZID and/or MZ. The following table summarizes the results of these calculations for this outfall:

Hardness Dependent Metals Calculations	Units 🔻	Effluent Hardness 🚽	Stream Hardness 🖵	Mixing Zone Granted 🚽	MZF	Mixing Zone Mixed Hardne	ZID Granted	ZID Dilution 🚽	Acute Mixed Hardnes
Copper	mg/l	272	100	YES	0.14508259	101	YES	28.7	105.99303
Effluent Characteristic	Units 🗸	Reported Av 🤿	Reported M 🚽	Average Limitation	Maximum Limitation	Average Discharge %	Maximum Discharge 5	MZF	Data Sour
Antimony	μg/L	0	0	640	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	DMR
Arsenic	μg/L	1.5	1.5	150	340	1.00	0.44	0	DMR
Beryllium	μg/L	0	0	1758.4	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	DMR
Cadmium	μg/L	0	0	1.755490506	5.060775046	0.00	0.00	0	DMR
Chromium	μg/L	3.2	3.2	43960	N/A	0.01	N/A	0	DMR
Copper	μg/L	12.7	12.7	315.3616816	315.3616816	4.03	4.03	0.1450826	DMR
Iron	μg/L	1636	1636	3500	4000	46.74	40.90	0	DMR
Lead	μg/L	1.3	1.3	11.37236205	291.8342577	11.43	0.45	0	DMR
Mercury	μg/L	0.0003	0.0003	0.051	1.4	0.59	0.02	0	DMR
Nickel	μg/L	5.3	5.3	121.6208657	1093.90435	4.36	0.48	0	DMR
Selenium	μg/L	0.8	0.8	627.71	N/A	0.13	N/A	0.333	DMR
Silver	μg/L	0	0	N/A	21.15718479	N/A	0.00	0	DMR
Thallium	μg/L	0	0	0.47	N/A	0.00	N/A	0	DMR
Zinc	μg/L	20.1	20.1	279.7219547	279.7219547	7.19	7.19	0	DMR
Temperature	۴	82.3	91.6	0	110	74.82	83.27	0.0112299	DMR
Effluent Characteristic	Reported Units	Reported Avg	Reported Max	Toxicity Type	Toxicity Units	Maximum Limitation	%Effluent	MZF	Data Source
Toxicity	None			AcuteWET	TUa	8.61	11.61	0.2275401	

Reported values are based on outfall 001 DMR data.

17.6. Justification of Requirements

Chapters 5 and 10 of Title 401 of the Kentucky Administrative Regulations (KARs), cited in the following, have been duly promulgated pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 224 of the Kentucky Revised Statutes.

At a minimum, all permits shall contain technology-based effluent limitations (TBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)]. When necessary to achieve water quality standards, all permits shall contain water quality-based effluent limitations (WQBELs) [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(d)]. Any WQBELs included in this permit are based upon the Kentucky Water Quality Standards (KYWQS) [401 KAR 10:031].

17.6.1. Flow

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(ii)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:050, Section 4 - 40 CFR 122.48].

17.6.2. Temperature

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 4(1)(d)]. A mixing zone has been granted, in accordance with 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4, for this parameter.

17.6.3. pH

The limit for this parameter is consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(a)(1) and 122.44(i)(1)], the criteria and standards for imposing TBELs [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(6) - 40 CFR 122 Appendix A], representative of the BPT requirements for pH [40 CFR 423.12 (b)(1)], and state water quality standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(b) and 7].

17.6.4. Total Recoverable Mercury

The limitations for these parameters are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 6].

17.6.5. Total Recoverable Selenium

A mixing zone has been granted for this pollutant that allows the chronic aquatic life criterion to be met at the edge of the mixing zone. The monthly average effluent limitation for this parameter is consistent with the requirements of 401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) [40 CFR 122.44(d)] and 401 KAR 10:031, Section 4. The monthly average concentration of 0.386 mg/l serves both as a trigger for the collection of adequate number of fish to conduct selenium residue in fish tissue testing and as a limitation in the event the permittee is unable to collect the required number of fish. These limitations are consistent with Kentucky's water quality standards for total recoverable selenium. The incorporation of Appendix A on the collection and handling requirements established in "Methods for Collection of Selenium Residue in Fish Tissue Used to Determine KPDES Permit Compliance" is consistent with the requirements of 401 KAR 5:050, Section 4 [40 CFR 122.48(a)].

17.6.6. Total Recoverable Copper

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Section 6]. A mixing zone and zone of initial dilution has been granted, in accordance with 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4, for this parameter.

17.6.7. Total Recoverable Iron

The monitoring requirements for this parameter are consistent with the KPDES permit program requirements for establishing effluent limitations, standards, and permit conditions [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)(1)(i)] and requirements for recording and reporting of monitoring results [401 KAR 5:050, Section 4 - 40 CFR 122.48].

17.6.8. Whole Effluent Toxicity

The limitations for this parameter are consistent with Kentucky's Water Quality Standards [401 KAR 10:031, Sections 4(1)(j)]. A mixing zone and zone of initial dilution has been granted, in accordance with 401 KAR 10:029 Section 4, for this parameter.

SECTION 18 OTHER CONDITIONS

18. OTHER CONDITIONS

18.1. Schedule of Compliance

The permittee is required to comply with all effluent limitations by the effective date of the permit unless a compliance schedule is included with the permit.

18.2. Antidegradation

The conditions of Kentucky's Antidegradation Policy have been satisfied [401 KAR 10:029, Section 1]. This permitting action is a reissuance of a KPDES permit that does not authorize an expanded discharge. Discharges to impaired waters do not require an SDAA.

18.3. Standard Conditions

The conditions listed in the Standard Conditions Section of the permit are consistent with the conditions applicable to all permits [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(1) - 40 CFR 122.41].

18.4. Sufficiently Sensitive Analytical Methods

Analytical methods utilized to demonstrate compliance with the effluent limitations established in this permit shall be sufficiently sensitive to detect pollutant levels at or below the required effluent limit [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(i)].

18.5. Certified Laboratory

All environmental analysis to be performed by a certified laboratory is consistent with the certified wastewater laboratory requirements [401 KAR 5:320, Section 3].

18.6. BMP Plan

Permits are to include BMPs to control or abate the discharge of pollutants when: 1) authorized under section 304(e) of the CWA for the control of toxic pollutants and hazardous substances from ancillary industrial activities; 2) authorized under Section 402(p) of the CWA for the control of storm water discharges; 3) numeric effluent limitations are infeasible; or 4) the practices are reasonably necessary to achieve effluent limitations and standards or to carry out the purposes and intent of the CWA [401 KAR 5:065, Section 2(4) - 40 CFR 122.44(k)]

18.7. Ohio River Outfall Signage

Kentucky is a member of the Ohio River Valley Water Sanitation Compact (ORSANCO) [KRS 224.18-760]. Article I of the Compact pledges faithful cooperation between the signatory states. Article IV authorizes the Commission to adopt, prescribe and promulgate rules, regulations and standards for administering and enforcing the Compact. The ORSANCO pollution control standards for discharges to the Ohio River require that holders of an individual NPDES permit post and maintain a permanent marker having specific dimensions at each Ohio River outfall. The permittee shall comply with the permanent marker requirements of ORSANCO's Pollution Control Standards.

18.8. 316(b) Cooling Water Intake Structure

The permittee shall use this permitting cycle to gather the application materials required within 40 CFR 122.21(r) necessary to establish impingement mortality and entrainment BTA requirements as applicable under 40 CFR 125.94(c) and (d). This information shall be included with the next KPDES permit renewal application for this facility, unless an alternate schedule for the submission of the required information is granted.

18.9. Polychlorinated Biphenyls

There shall be no discharge of polychlorinated biphenyl compounds such as those commonly used in transformer fluids from any point source. The permittee shall include in the BMP Plan for this station the controls and practices used to meet this requirement. [40 CFR 423.12(b)(2) and 40 CFR 423.13(a)]

18.10. Cooling Water Additives, FIFRA, and Mollusk Control

The discharge of any product registered under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) in cooling water which ultimately may be released to the waters of the Commonwealth is prohibited, except Herbicides, unless specifically identified and authorized by the KPDES permit. In the event the permittee needs to use a biocide or chemical not previously reported for mollusk control or other purpose, the permittee shall submit sufficient information, a minimum of thirty (30) days prior to the commencement of use of said biocides or chemicals to the DOW for review and establishment of appropriate control parameters.

18.11. Selective Catalytic Reduction Devices or Systems (SCRs) and Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction Devices or Systems (SNCR)

In response to Clean Air Act amendments and recent EPA rules, the installation of these devices for NOx reduction may become necessary. The reduction of emissions is accomplished by a chemical reaction which uses ammonia. SCR catalysts gradually deactivate during normal system operations, which can result in incomplete chemical reactions and emissions of unreacted ammonia. Therefore, should it become necessary to install these devices, the permittee shall develop and implement an Ammonia Monitoring Plan. The plan shall be submitted to the DOW within ninety (90) days of the determination that these devices will be installed, and shall include at a minimum influent and effluent monitoring of each unit on a monthly bases with submission of the data as a quarterly report. If such a plan already exists, then the plan should be appropriately modified during each installation of additional SCR or SNCR devices or systems.

18.12. Combustion Residual Leachate

Pursuant to 40 CFR 423.11(r), the term combustion residual leachate ("leachate") means "leachate from landfills or surface impoundments containing combustion residuals. Leachate is composed of liquid, including any suspended or dissolved constituents in the liquid, that has percolated through waste or other materials emplaced in a landfill, or that passes through the surface impoundment's containment structure (*e.g.*, bottom, dikes, berms). Combustion residual leachate includes seepage and/or leakage from a combustion residual landfill or impoundment unit. Combustion residual leachate includes wastewater from landfills and surface impoundments located on non-adjoining property when under the operational control of the permitted facility."

This permit authorizes the discharge of leachate from Outfalls 001 and 002A. For newly discovered leachate seeps from a CCR surface impoundment or a CCR landfill, as defined at 40 CFR 257.53, to the surface that discharge or have a potential to discharge to a water of the commonwealth other than through Outfalls 001 or 002A, the permittee shall develop and implement a plan to address such surface seeps. The plan shall be included as part of the on-site BMP Plan and shall address, at a minimum, (1) scheduled inspections for identifying surface leachate seeps, (2) maintenance of CCR landfills and/or impoundments to minimize the potential for surface leachate seeps, and (3) corrective measures that will be implemented upon the discovery of a surface leachate seep that is not being controlled by a permitted outfall authorized for discharge of leachate. The permittee shall notify the DOW Surface Water Permits Branch and the appropriate DOW Field Office of planned corrective measures for any identified surface seeps of leachate as soon as feasible after discovery of such a leachate seep, but no later than ten (10)

days after the discovery. Such corrective measures may include: (1) plans to reduce or eliminate the leachate seep to the surface; (2) actions to route the surface leachate seep (via a conveyance designed to contain the flow or eliminate the possibility of infiltration) to an outfall permitted to discharge leachate; and (3) combinations of actions to eliminate or, if elimination is not feasible, reduce and control a surface leachate seep and ensure any discharge to a receiving stream is authorized by the permit. Please note that this does not exempt the permittee from 24-hour reporting Section 2.12 of the permit.

18.13. Location Map

LG&E Mill Creek Generating Station KY0003221



This data is distributed by the Commonwealth of Kentucky, Division of Geographic Information (DGI), located in Frankfort KY

The data are available at http://kygeonet.ky.gov



Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 121 of 135 Imber

SECTION 19 CORMIX

19. CORMIX FILES

CORMIX SESSION REPORT:		
***************************************	XXXXXXXX	******
CORMIX MIXI	NG ZONE	EXPERT SYSTEM
CORMIX	Version	11.0GTD
HYDRO2:Ver	sion-11	.0.0.0 April,2018
SITE NAME/LABEL: M	ill Cree	ek Station
DESIGN CASE: M	iill Cre	eek Outfall 023 Diffuser
FILE NAME: C	:\Users	\Cassie Campbell\Desktop\mill creek diffuser report.prd
Using subsystem CORMIX2: Mu	ltiport	Diffuser Discharges
		1910:15:06
*******************************	******	*******
SUMMARY OF INPUT DATA:		
AMBIENT PARAMETERS:		
Cross-section		= bounded
Width	BS	= 457.20 m
Channel regularity	ICHREG	= 1
Ambient flowrate	QA	= 311.49 m^3/s
Average depth		= 5.70 m
Depth at discharge	HD	= 5.70 m
		= 0.1195 m/s
Darcy-Weisbach friction factor	F	= 0.0176
Calculated from Manning's n		= 0.02
Wind velocity		= 4.00 m/s
	STRCND	= U
Surface temperature		= 29.5 degC
Bottom temperature		= 29.5 degC
Calculated FRESH-WATER DENSITY		
		= 995.7971 kg/m^3
Bottom density		= 995.7971 kg/m^3
DISCHARGE PARAMETERS:	Submer	ged Multiport Diffuser Discharge
Diffuser type		= unidirectional perpendicular
Diffuser length		= 24.38 m
Nearest bank		= left
Diffuser endpoints	YB1	= 97.54 m; YB2 = 121.92 m
2000년 1월 2012년 1월 201 1월 2012년 1월 2	NOPEN	
Number of Risers	NRISER	= 5
Ports/Nozzles per Riser	NPPERR	= 1
Spacing between risers/openings		
Port/Nozzle diameter		= 0.3048 m
with contraction ratio		= 1
		= 0.011969 m
Total area of openings		= 0.3648 m^2
Discharge velocity		= 2.40 m/s
Total discharge flowrate	00	= 0.876253 m^3/s
Discharge port height	HO	= 0.61 m
Nozzle arrangement		= unidirectional without fanning
Diffuser alignment angle		= 90 deg
Vertical discharge angle		= 0 deg
Actual Vertical discharge angle		
Horizontal discharge angle		= 315 deg
Relative orientation angle	BETA	= 45 deg
Discharge temperature (freshwat		= 43.33 degC
Corresponding density	RHO0	= 43.33 degc = 990.8954 kg/m^3
Density difference	DRHO	= 4.9017 kg/m^3

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 123 of 135 Imber

Discharge concentration C0 = 100 % Surface heat exchange coeff. KS = 0 m/sCoefficient of decay KD = 0 /s FLUX VARIABLES PER UNIT DIFFUSER LENGTH: Discharge (volume flux) q0 = 0.035936 m^2/s Momentum flux (based on slot width B0) m0 = U0^2*B0 = 0.069048 m^3/s^2 (based on volume flux q0) m0 = U0*q0 = 0.086311 m^3/s^2 Buoyancy flux (based on slot width B0) j0 =U0*GP0*B0 = 0.001388 m^3/s^3 (based on volume flux q0) j0 =q0*GP0 = 0.001735 m^3/s^3 ____ DISCHARGE/ENVIRONMENT LENGTH SCALES: (These refer to the actual discharge/environment length scales.) NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS: Slot Froude number FR0 = 99.92 Port/nozzle Froude number FRD0 = 19.80 Velocity ratio R = 20.10 MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION ZONE / AREA OF INTEREST PARAMETERS: Toxic discharge = ves Toxic discharge= yesCMC concentrationCMC= 10 %CCC concentrationCCC= 5 % CMC = 10 % Water quality standard specified = given by CCC value Regulatory mixing zone = yes Regulatory mixing zone specification = distance Regulatory mixing zone value = 166.42 m (m² if area) Region of interest = 5000 mHYDRODYNAMIC CLASSIFICATION: *----* | FLOW CLASS = MU2 | *_____* This flow configuration applies to a layer corresponding to the full water depth at the discharge site. Applicable layer depth = water depth = 5.70 m Limiting Dilution S = (QA/Q0) + 1.0 = 356.5MIXING ZONE EVALUATION (hydrodynamic and regulatory summary): X-Y-Z Coordinate system: Origin is located at the BOTTOM below the port/diffuser center: 109.73 m from the left bank/shore. Number of display steps NSTEP = 300 per module.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 124 of 135 Imber

NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) CONDITIONS : Note: The NFR is the zone of strong initial mixing. It has no regulatory implication. However, this information may be useful for the discharge designer because the mixing in the NFR is usually sensitive to the discharge design conditions. Pollutant concentration at NFR edge c = 3.8131 % Dilution at edge of NFR s = 26.2 NFR Location: x = 8.62 my = -8.62 m(centerline coordinates) z = 5.70 mNFR plume dimensions: half-width (bh) = 9.55 m thickness (bv) = 5.70 m57.7686 sec. Cumulative travel time: _____ Buoyancy assessment: The effluent density is less than the surrounding ambient water density at the discharge level. Therefore, the effluent is POSITIVELY BUOYANT and will tend to rise towards the surface. Near-field instability behavior: The diffuser flow will experience instabilities with full vertical mixing in the near-field. There may be benthic impact of high pollutant concentrations. FAR-FIELD MIXING SUMMARY: Plume becomes vertically fully mixed WITHIN NEAR-FIELD at 0 m downstream, but RE-STRATIFIES LATER and is not mixed in the far-field. Plume becomes laterally fully mixed at 1607.96 m downstream. PLUME BANK CONTACT SUMMARY: Plume in bounded section contacts nearest bank at 340.85 m downstream. Plume contacts second bank at 1607.96 m downstream. Recall: The TDZ corresponds to the three (3) criteria issued in the USEPA Technical Support Document (TSD) for Water Quality-based Toxics Control, 1991 (EPA/505/2-90-001). Criterion maximum concentration (CMC) = 10 % Corresponding dilution = 10 The CMC was encountered at the following plume position: Plume location: x = 1.10 m(centerline coordinates) y = -1.10 mz = 0.73 mPlume dimension: half-width (bh) = 11.35 m thickness (bv) = 0.73 m Computed distance from port opening to CMC location = 1.56 m. CRITERION 1: This location is within 50 times the discharge length scale of Lq = 0.27 m.+++++ The discharge length scale TEST for the TDZ has been SATISFIED. ++++++ Computed horizontal distance from port opening to CMC location = 1.55 m. CRITERION 2: This location is within 5 times the ambient water depth of HD = 5.70 m.++++++++ The ambient depth TEST for the TDZ has been SATISFIED. +++++++++ Page 123

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 125 of 135 Imber

Computed distance from port opening to CMC location = 1.56 m. CRITERION 3: This location is within one tenth the distance of the extent of the Regulatory Mixing Zone of 166.72 m in any spatial direction from the port opening. +++++ The Regulatory Mixing Zone TEST for the TDZ has been SATISFIED. ++++++ The diffuser discharge velocity is equal to 2.40 m/s. This is below the value of 3.0 m/s recommended in the TSD. *** All three CMC criteria for the TDZ are SATISFIED for this discharge. *** The plume conditions at the boundary of the specified RMZ are as follows: Pollutant concentration c = 2.593544 % Corresponding dilution s = 38.6 Plume location: x = 166.42 m(centerline coordinates) y = -8.62 mz = 5.70 mhalf-width (bh) = 75.19 m Plume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 1.88 m Cumulative travel time: 1374.9446 sec. Note: Plume concentration c and dilution s values are reported based on prediction file values - assuming linear interpolation between predicted points just before and just after the RMZ boundary has been detected. Please ensure a small step size is used in the prediction file to account for this linear interpolation. Step size can be controlled by increasing (reduces the prediction step size) or decreasing (increases the prediction step size) the - Output Steps per Module - in CORMIX input. At this position, the plume is CONTACTING the LEFT bank. Furthermore, the CCC for the toxic pollutant has indeed been met within the RMZ. In particular: The CCC was encountered at the following plume position: The CCC for the toxic pollutant was encountered at the following plume position: = 5 % CCC Corresponding dilution = 20 Plume location: x = 4.89 m(centerline coordinates) y = -4.89 mz = 1.15 mComputed horizontal distance from port opening to CCC location = 6.94 half-width (bh) = 9.90 m Plume dimensions: thickness (bv) = 3.24 m

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 126 of 135 Imber

CORMIX2 uses the TWO-DIMENSIONAL SLOT DIFFUSER CONCEPT to represent the actual three-dimensional diffuser geometry. Thus, it approximates the details of the merging process of the individual jets from each port/nozzle. In the present design, the spacing between adjacent ports/nozzles (or riser assemblies) is of the order of, or less than, the local water depth so that the slot diffuser approximation holds well. Nevertheless, if this is a final design, the user is advised to use a final CORMIX1 (single port discharge) analysis, with discharge data for an individual diffuser jet/plume, in order to compare to the present near-field prediction. REMINDER: The user must take note that HYDRODYNAMIC MODELING by any known technique is NOT AN EXACT SCIENCE. Extensive comparison with field and laboratory data has shown that the CORMIX predictions on dilutions and concentrations (with associated plume geometries) are reliable for the majority of cases and are accurate to within about +-50% (standard deviation). As a further safeguard, CORMIX will not give predictions whenever it judges the design configuration as highly complex and uncertain for prediction. CORMIX2 PREDICTION FILE: CORMIX MIXING ZONE EXPERT SYSTEM Subsystem CORMIX2: Multiport Diffuser Discharges CORMIX Version 11.0GTD HYDRO2 Version 11.0.0.0 April 2018 ENVIRONMENT PARAMETERS (metric units) Bounded section BS = 457.20 AS = 2607.32 QA = 311.49 ICHREG= 1 = 5.70 HD = 5.70 = 0.119 F = 0.018 HA UA = 0.119 F = 0.010 UW = 4.001 UWSTAR=0.4610E-02 0.018 USTAR =0.5599E-02 Uniform density environment STRCND= U RHOAM = 995.7971 DIFFUSER DISCHARGE PARAMETERS (metric units) Diffuser type: DITYPE= unidirectional_perpendicular BANK = LEFT DISTB = 109.73 YB1 = 97.54 YB2 = 121.92 LD = 24.38 NOPEN = 5 NRISER= 5 SPAC = 6.10 NPPERR = 1 D0 = 0.305 A0 = 0.073 H0 = 0.61 SUB0 = 5.09 D0INP = 0.305 CR0 = 1.000 B0 =0.1197E-01 Nozzle/port arrangement: unidirectional_without_fanning GAMMA = 90.00 THETA = 0.00 SIGMA = 315.00 BETA = 45.00 U0 = 2.402 Q0 = 0.876 Q0A =0.8763E+00 RHO0 = 990.8954 DRHO0 =0.4902E+01 GP0 =0.4827E-01 CO =0.1000E+03 CUNITS= % IPOLL = 1 KS =0.0000E+00 KD =0.0000E+00 FLUX VARIABLES - PER UNIT DIFFUSER LENGTH (metric units) q0 =0.3594E-01 SIGNJO= 1.0 $\begin{array}{ccccccc} m0 &= U0^{2}*B0 &= 0.6905E-01 & j0 &= U0^{*}GP0^{*}B0 &= 0.1388E-02 & (based on slot width B0) \\ m0 &= U0^{*}q0 &= 0.8631E-01 & j0 &= q0^{*}GP0 &= 0.1735E-02 & (based on volume flux q0) \\ \end{array}$ Associated 2-d length scales (meters) lQ=B = 0.015 lM = 5.54 lm = 6.05 lmp = 99999.00 lbp = 99999.00 la = 99999.00

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 127 of 135 Imber

FLUX VARIABLES - ENTIRE DIFFUSER (metric units) Q0 =0.8763E+00 M0 =0.1684E+01 J0 =0.3384E-01 Associated 3-d length scales (meters) 0.27 LM = 8.04 Lm = 12.14 Lb = 24.81LQ = Lmp = 99999.00 Lbp = 99999.00 NON-DIMENSIONAL PARAMETERS FRO = 99.92 FRDO = 19.80 R = 20.10 PL = 20.82 (slot) (port/nozzle) RECOMPUTED SOURCE CONDITIONS FOR RISER GROUPS: Properties of riser group with 1 ports/nozzles each: U0 = 2.402 D0 = 0.305 A0 = 0.073 THETA = 0.00 FR0 = 99.92 FRD0 = 19.80 R = 20.10 (slot) (riser group) FLOW CLASSIFICATION 2 Flow class (CORMIX2) = MU2 2 2 Applicable layer depth HS = 5.70 2 2 Limiting Dilution S =QA/Q0= 356.47 2 MIXING ZONE / TOXIC DILUTION / REGION OF INTEREST PARAMETERS CO =0.1000E+03 CUNITS= % NTOX = 1 CMC = 0.1000E+02 CCC = CSTDNSTD = 1 CSTD =0.5000E+01 REGMZ = 1REGSPC= 1 XREG = 166.42 WREG = 0.00 AREG = 0.00 XINT = 5000.00 XMAX = 5000.00 X-Y-Z COORDINATE SYSTEM: ORIGIN is located at the bottom and the diffuser mid-point: 109.73 m from the LEFT bank/shore. X-axis points downstream, Y-axis points to left, Z-axis points upward. NSTEP = 300 display intervals per module BEGIN MOD201: DIFFUSER DISCHARGE MODULE Due to complex near-field motions: EQUIVALENT SLOT DIFFUSER (2-D) GEOMETRY

Profile definitions:

BV = Gaussian 1/e (37%) half-width, in vertical plane normal to trajectory

BH = top-hat half-width, in horizontal plane normal to trajectory

S = hydrodynamic centerline dilution

Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 128 of 135 Imber C = centerline concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) Uc = Local centerline excess velocity (above ambient) TT = Cumulative travel time BV X Y 7. S C BH UC TT 0.00 0.00 0.61 1.0 0.100E+03 0.01 12.19 2.317 .00000E+00 END OF MOD201: DIFFUSER DISCHARGE MODULE BEGIN MOD271: ACCELERATION ZONE OF UNIDIRECTIONAL CO-FLOWING DIFFUSER In this laterally contracting zone the diffuser plume becomes VERTICALLY FULLY MIXED over the entire layer depth (HS = 5.70m). Full mixing is achieved after a plume distance of about five layer depths from the diffuser. Profile definitions: BV = layer depth (vertically mixed) BH = top-hat half-width, in horizontal plane normal to trajectory S = hydrodynamic average (bulk) dilution C = average (bulk) concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Х Y Z S С BV BH TT 0.00 -0.00 0.61 1.0 0.100E+03 0.01 12.19 .00000E+00 0.03 -0.03 0.61 2.5 0.407E+02 0.02 12.17 .37038E-01 0.06 -0.06 0.62 3.1 0.327E+02 0.04 12.14 .89866E-01 -0.09 0.62 3.5 0.284E+02 0.06 0.09 12.11 .15215E+00 -0.11 0.62 3.9 0.256E+02 0.08 12.09 .22168E+00 0.11 -0.14 0.63 4.3 0.235E+02 0.10 12.06 .29720E+00 0.14 0.17 -0.17 0.63 4.6 0.219E+02 0.11 12.04 .37786E+00 0.20 -0.20 0.63 4.9 0.206E+02 0.13 12.01 .46306E+00 0.23 -0.23 0.64 5.1 0.195E+02 0.15 11.99 .55233E+00 -0.26 0.64 5.4 0.186E+02 0.17 11.96 .64531E+00 0.26 -0.29
 -0.29
 0.64
 5.6
 0.178E+02
 0.19

 -0.32
 0.64
 5.8
 0.172E+02
 0.21

 -0.34
 0.65
 6.0
 0.165E+02
 0.23
11.94 .74169E+00 0.29 0.32 11.92 .84122E+00 11.89 .94369E+00 0.34 -0.37 0.65 6.3 0.160E+02 0.25 11.87 .10489E+01 0.37 0.40 -0.40 0.65 6.4 0.155E+02 0.27 11.85 .11567E+01 0.43 -0.43 0.66 6.6 0.151E+02 0.29 11.82 .12670E+01 0.46 -0.46 0.66 6.8 0.147E+02 0.30 11.80 .13795E+01 -0.49 0.66 7.0 0.143E+02 0.32 11.78 .14943E+01 0.49 0.52 -0.52 0.67 7.2 0.139E+02 0.34 11.76 .16112E+01 -0.55 0.67 7.3 0.136E+02 0.36 11.73 .17301E+01 0.55 0.57 -0.57 0.67 7.5 0.133E+02 0.38 11.71 .18509E+01 7.7 0.130E+02 0.40 11.69 .19735E+01 -0.60 0.68 0.60 0.63 -0.63 0.68 7.8 0.128E+02 0.42 11.67 .20980E+01 0.66 -0.66 0.68 8.0 0.125E+02 0.44 11.65 .22241E+01 0.69 -0.69 0.69 8.1 0.123E+02 0.46 11.63 .23519E+01 0.72 8.3 0.121E+02 0.48 11.61 .24813E+01 -0.72 0.69 8.4 0.119E+02 0.49 11.59 .26122E+01 0.75 -0.75 0.69 0.78 -0.78 0.70 8.6 0.117E+02 0.51 11.57 .27446E+01 0.80 -0.80 0.70 8.7 0.115E+02 0.53 11.55 .28784E+01 0.70 8.8 0.113E+02 0.55 11.53 .30137E+01 0.83 -0.83 -0.86 0.86 0.71 9.0 0.111E+02 0.57 11.51 .31502E+01 0.89 -0.89 0.71 9.1 0.110E+02 0.59 11.49 .32882E+01 0.92 -0.92 0.71 9.2 0.108E+02 0.61 11.47 .34274E+01 0.95 -0.95 0.72 9.4 0.107E+02 0.63 11.45 .35678E+01 0.98 -0.98 0.72 9.5 0.105E+02 0.65 11.43 .37095E+01

Case No. 2022-00402

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 129 of 135 Imber

101-0212-0	20 B.2	8 23		S 11930 20			
				0.104E+02			
				0.103E+02			.39963E+01
				0.101E+02			
1.09			10.0	0.100E+02	0.72	11.35	.42876E+01
** CMC HAS B							
					below	CMC valu	ue of 0.100E+02
in the cu	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
				JUTION ZONE.	0.74	11 04	442400.01
	-1.12			0.991E+01 0.979E+01			.44349E+01 .45833E+01
1.15				0.979E+01 0.968E+01			.45833E+01 .47326E+01
1.18	-1.18	0.74	10.5	0.958E+01	0.70	11.30	.48830E+01
1.21	-1.21	0.75	10.4	0.948E+01			.50343E+01
1.24	-1.24	0.75		0.938E+01			.51866E+01
1.29	-1.29	0.75	10.7	0.929E+01	0.86	11 23	.53399E+01
1.32	-1.32	0.76		0.919E+01			.54941E+01
1.35	-1.35	0.76		0.910E+01			.56491E+01
1.38	-1.38	0.76		0.902E+01			.58051E+01
1.41	-1.41	0.77		0.893E+01			.59619E+01
1.44	-1.44	0.77		0.885E+01			.61196E+01
1.47	-1.47	0.77		0.877E+01			.62781E+01
1.49	-1.49	0.78		0.869E+01			.64375E+01
1.52	-1.52	0.78		0.862E+01			.65976E+01
1.55	-1.55	0.78			1.03	11.08	.67586E+01
1.58	-1.58	0.79	11.8	0.847E+01	1.05	11.07	.69203E+01
1.61	-1.61	0.79	11.9	0.840E+01	1.06	11.05	.70828E+01
1.64	-1.64	0.79	12.0	0.834E+01	1.08	11.04	.72460E+01
1.67	-1.67	0.79	12.1	0.827E+01	1.10	11.02	.74100E+01
1.70	-1.70	0.80	12.2	0.821E+01	1.12	11.01	.75747E+01
1.72	-1.72	0.80	12.3	0.814E+01	1.14	10.99	.77401E+01
1.75	-1.75	0.80	12.4	0.808E+01	1.16	10,98	.79063E+01
	-1.78				1.18		.80731E+01
	-1.81				1.20		.82406E+01
				0.790E+01			.84088E+01
	-1.87			0.785E+01			
	-1.90	0.82			1.25		.87471E+01
	-1.93	0.82			1.27		.89173E+01
	-1.95	0.82					.90881E+01
					1.29		
	-1.98				1.31		.92595E+01
	-2.01	0.83			1.33		.94315E+01
	-2.04	0.84			1.35		.96042E+01
	-2.07	0.84			1.37		.97774E+01
2.10	-2.10	0.84			1.39		.99512E+01
2.13	-2.13				1.41		.10126E+02
2.16	-2.16				1.43		.10301E+02
2.18	-2.18	0.85			1.44		.10476E+02
	-2.21	0.86			1.46		.10652E+02
	-2.24	0.86	13.9 (1.48	10.74	.10829E+02
2.27	-2.27	0.86	13.9 (0.717E+01	1.50	10.73	.11006E+02
2.30	-2.30	0.87	14.0 0	0.713E+01	1.52	10.72	.11184E+02
				1 9 <u>1</u> 92911 192921			202

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 130 of 135 Imber

2.33	-2.33	0.87	14.1 0.709E+01	1.54	10.70 .11362E+02
2.36	-2.36	0.87	14.2 0.705E+01	1.56	10.69 .11541E+02
2.39	-2.39	0.87	14.3 0.701E+01	1.58	10.68 .11721E+02
2.41	-2.41	0.88	14.3 0.697E+01	1.60	10.67 .11900E+02
2.44	-2.44	0.88	14.4 0.693E+01	1.62	10.66 .12081E+02
2.47	-2.47	0.88	14.5 0.689E+01	1.63	10.64 .12262E+02
2.50	-2.50	0.89	14.6 0.686E+01	1.65	10.63 .12443E+02
2.53	-2.53	0.89	14.7 0.682E+01	1.67	10.62 .12625E+02
2.56	-2.56	0.89	14.7 0.678E+01	1.69	10.61 .12807E+02
2.59	-2.59	0.90	14.8 0.675E+01	1.71	10.60 .12990E+02
2.62	-2.62	0.90	14.9 0.671E+01	1.73	10.58 .13173E+02
2.64	-2.64	0.90	15.0 0.668E+01	1.75	10.57 .13357E+02
2.67	-2.67	0.91	15.0 0.665E+01	1.77	10.56 .13541E+02
2.70	-2.70	0.91	15.1 0.661E+01	1.79	10.55 .13726E+02
2.73	-2.73	0.91	15.2 0.658E+01	1.81	10.54 .13911E+02
2.76	-2.76	0.92	15.3 0.655E+01	1.82	10.53 .14097E+02
2.79	-2.79	0.92	15.3 0.652E+01	1.84	10.52 .14283E+02
2.82	-2.82	0.92	15.4 0.649E+01	1.86	10.51 .14470E+02
2.84	-2.84	0.93	15.5 0.646E+01	1.88	10.50 .14656E+02
2.87	-2.87	0.93	15.6 0.643E+01	1.90	10.48 .14844E+02
2.90	-2.90	0.93	15.6 0.640E+01	1.92	10.47 .15032E+02
2.93	-2.93	0.94	15.7 0.637E+01	1.94	10.46 .15220E+02
2.96	-2.96	0.94	15.8 0.634E+01	1.96	10.45 .15408E+02
2.99	-2.99	0.94	15.9 0.631E+01	1.98	10.44 .15598 <mark>E+</mark> 02
3.02	-3.02	0.95	15.9 0.628E+01	2.00	10.43 .15787E+02
3.05	-3.05	0.95	16.0 0.625E+01	2.01	10.42 .15977 <mark>E</mark> +02
3.07	-3.07	0.95	16.1 0.622E+01	2.03	10.41 .16167E+02
3.10	-3.10	0.95	16.1 0.620E+01	2.05	10.40 .16358 <mark>E+</mark> 02
3.13	-3.13	0.96	16.2 0.617E+01	2.07	10.39 .16549E+02
3.16	-3.16	0.96		2.09	10.38 .16740E+02
3.19	-3.19	0.96	16.3 0.612E+01	2.11	10.37 .16932E+02
3.22		0.97		2.13	10.36 .17124E+02
3.25	-3.25	0.97	16.5 0.607E+01	2.15	10.35 .17317E+02
3.28	-3.28			2.17	10.34 .17510E+02
3.30			16.6 0.602E+01		10.33 .17703E+02
3.33			16.7 0.599E+01		10.32 .17897E+02
3.36		0.98	16.8 0.597E+01		10.31 .18091E+02
3.39		0.99		2.24	10.30 .18286E+02
3.42		0.99		2.26	10.29 .18480E+02
3.45	-3.45	0.99		2.28	10.28 .18676E+02
3.48	-3.48	1.00		2.30	10.28 .18871E+02
3.51	-3.51	1.00		2.30	10.27 .19067E+02
3.53				2.34	10.26 .19263E+02
3.56	-3.56	1.01		2.36	10.25 .19460E+02
3.59		1.01		2.38	10.24 .19657E+02
3.62	-3.62	1.01		2.40	10.23 .19854E+02
3.65	-3.65			2.41	10.22 .20052E+02
3.68	-3.68		17.5 0.572E+01		
3.71	-3.71	1.02	17.5 0.570E+01	2.45	10.20 .20448E+02

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 131 of 135 Imber

3.74	-3.74	1.02	17 6	0.568E+01	2.47	10 20	.20646E+02
3.76	-3.76	1.02		0.566E+01	2.49		.20845E+02
3.79	-3.79	1.03		0.564E+01	2.51		.21045E+02
3.82	-3.82	1.03		0.562E+01	2.53		.21244E+02
3.85	-3.85	1.04		0.560E+01	2.55		.21444E+02
3.88	-3.88	1.04		0.558E+01	2.57		.21644E+02
3.91	-3.91	1.04		0.556E+01	2.59		.21845E+02
3.94	-3.94	1.05	18.0	0.554E+01	2.60	10.14	.22045E+02
3.97	-3.97	1.05	18.1	0.552E+01	2.62	10.13	.22247E+02
3.99	-3.99	1.05	18.2	0.550E+01	2.64	10.12	.22448E+02
4.02	-4.02	1.06	18.2	0.548E+01	2.66	10.11	.22650E+02
4.05	-4.05	1.06	18.3	0.547E+01	2.68	10.10	.22852E+02
4.08	-4.08	1.06	18.4	0.545E+01	2.70	10.10	.23054E+02
4.11	-4.11	1.07	18.4	0.543E+01	2.72	10.09	.23257E+02
4.14	-4.14	1.07	18.5	0.541E+01	2.74	10.08	.23460E+02
4.17	-4.17	1.07	18.5	0.539E+01	2.76	10.07	.23663E+02
4.20	-4.20	1.08	18.6	0.538E+01	2.78	10.07	.23866E+02
4.22	-4.22	1.08		0.536E+01	2.79		.24070E+02
4.25	-4.25	1.08		0.534E+01	2.81		.24274E+02
4.28	-4.28	1.09	18.8	0.533E+01	2.83		.24479E+02
4.31	-4.31	1.09	18.8	0.531E+01	2.85	10.04	.24683E+02
4.34	-4.34	1.09	10 0	0.529E+01	2.87	10.02	.24888E+02
4.34	-4.34	1.10		0.529E+01 0.528E+01	2.87		.24888E+02
4.37	-4.40	1.10		0.526E+01	2.89		.25299E+02
4.43	-4.43	1.10		0.524E+01	2.93		.25505E+02
4.45	-4.45	1.10		0.523E+01	2.95		.25711E+02
4.45	-4.43	1.10		0.521E+01	2.95	9.99	
4.51	-4.51	1.11		0.520E+01	2.98	9.99	
4.54	-4.54	1.11		0.518E+01	3.00	9.98	
4.57	-4.57	1.12		0.516E+01	3.02	9.97	.26537E+02
4.60	-4.60	1.12		0.515E+01	3.04	9.97	
4.63	-4.63	1.12		0.513E+01	3.04	9.96	
4.66	-4.66	1.12		0.512E+01	3.08	9.95	.20952E+02
4.68	-4.68	1.13		0.510E+01	3.10	9.95	
4.68	-4.08	1.13		0.510E+01 0.509E+01		9.95	
4.71	-4.71	1.13		0.509E+01 0.507E+01	3.12 3.14	9.94	.27785E+02
							.27785E+02
4.77	-4.77	1.14		0.506E+01	3.16	9.93	
4.80 4.83	-4.80	1.14		0.505E+01	3.17	9.92	
	-4.83	1.15		0.503E+01 0.502E+01		9.91	.28413E+02 .28622E+02
4.86	-4.86 -4.89	1.15		0.502E+01 0.500E+01	3.21 3.23	9.91	
4.89	-4.89	1.15	20.0	0.3006+01	3.23	9.90	.28832E+02

** WATER QUALITY STANDARD OR CCC HAS BEEN FOUND **

The pollutant concentration in the plume falls below water quality standard or CCC value of 0.500E+01 in the current prediction interval.

This is the spatial extent of concentrations exceeding the water quality standard or CCC value.

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 132 of 135 Imber

4.91	-4.91	1.16	20.0	0.499E+01	3.25	9.90	.29042E+02	
4.94	-4.94	1.16	20.1	0.498E+01	3.27	9.89	.29253E+02	
4.97	-4.97	1.16	20.2	0.496E+01	3.29	9.88	.29463E+02	
5.00	-5.00	1.17	20.2	0.495E+01	3.31	9.88	.29674E+02	
5.03	-5.03	1.17		0.493E+01	3.33	9.87	.29885E+02	
5.06	-5.06	1.17		0.492E+01	3.35		.30096E+02	
5.09	-5.09	1.18		0.491E+01	3.36		.30308E+02	
5.12	-5.12	1.18		0.489E+01	3.38		.30520E+02	
5.14	-5.14	1.18		0.488E+01	3.40		.30732E+02	
5.17	-5.17	1.18		0.487E+01	3.42		.30944E+02	
5.20	-5.20	1.19		0.486E+01	3.44		.31156E+02	
5.23	-5.23	1.19		0.484E+01	3.46		.31369E+02	
5.26	-5.26	1.19		0.484E+01	3.48		.31582E+02	
5.29	-5.29	1.19		0.483E+01	3.50		.31795E+02	
5.32	-5.32				3.52		.32009E+02	
		1.20		0.481E+01				
5.35	-5.35	1.20		0.479E+01	3.54		.32222E+02	
5.37	-5.37	1.21		0.478E+01	3.55		.32436E+02	
5.40	-5.40	1.21		0.477E+01	3.57		.32650E+02	
5.43	-5.43	1.21		0.476E+01	3.59		.32864E+02	
5.46	-5.46	1.22		0.474E+01	3.61		.33079E+02	
5.49	-5.49	1.22		0.473E+01	3.63		.33293E+02	
5.52	-5.52	1.22		0.472E+01	3.65		.33508E+02	
5.55	-5.55	1.23		0.471E+01	3.67		.33723E+02	
5.57	-5.57	1.23		0.470E+01	3.69		.33939E+02	
5.60	-5.60	1.23	21.3	0.469E+01	3.71	9.77	.34154E+02	
5.63	-5.63	1.24	21.4	0.468E+01	3.73	9.76	.34370E+02	
5.66	-5.66	1.24	21.4	0.466E+01	3.74	9.76	.34586E+02	
5.69	-5.69	1.24	21.5	0.465E+01	3.76	9.75	.34802E+02	
5.72	-5.72	1.25	21.5	0.464E+01	3.78	9.75	.35019E+02	
5.75	-5.75	1.25	21.6	0.463E+01	3.80	9.74	.35235E+02	
5.78	-5.78	1,25	21.6	0.462E+01	3.82	9.74	.35452E+02	
5.80	-5.80	1.26	21.7	0.461E+01	3.84	9.74	.35669E+02	
5.83	-5.83	1.26	21.8	0.460E+01	3.86	9.73	.35886E+02	
5.86	-5.86	1.26	21.8	0.459E+01	3.88	9.73	.36103E+02	
5.89	-5.89	1.26	21.9	0.458E+01	3.90	9.72	.36321E+02	
5.92	-5.92	1.27	21.9	0.457E+01	3.92	9.72	.36539E+02	
5.95	-5.95	1.27	22.0	0.456E+01	3.93	9.72	.36757E+02	
5.98	-5.98	1.27	22.0	0.454E+01	3.95	9.71	.36975E+02	
6.01	-6.01	1.28	22.1	0.453E+01	3.97	9.71	.37193E+02	
6.03	-6.03	1.28	22.1	0.452E+01	3.99	9.70	.37412E+02	
6.06	-6.06	1.28		0.451E+01	4.01	9.70	.37631E+02	
6.09	-6.09	1.29		0.450E+01	4.03		.37850E+02	
6.12	-6.12	1.29		0.449E+01	4.05		.38069E+02	
6.15	-6.15	1.29		0.448E+01	4.07		.38288E+02	
6.18	-6.18	1.30		0.447E+01	4.09		.38508E+02	
6.21	-6.21	1.30		0.446E+01			.38727E+02	
6.24	-6.24	1.30		0.445E+01	4.13		.38947E+02	
0.24	0.29	1.00	22.0	0.4400101	2.10	2.00	.007475702	

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 133 of 135 Imber

6.26	-6.26	1.31	22.5	0.444E+01	4.14	9.68	.39167E+02
6.29	-6.29	1.31	22.6	0.443E+01	4.16	9.67	.39388E+02
6.32	-6.32	1.31	22.6	0.442E+01	4.18	9.67	.39608E+02
6.35	-6.35	1.32	22.7	0.441E+01	4.20	9.67	.39829E+02
6.38	-6.38	1.32	22.7	0.441E+01	4.22	9.66	.40049E+02
6.41	-6.41	1.32	22.7	0.440E+01	4.24	9.66	.40270E+02
6.44	-6.44	1.33	22.8	0.439E+01	4.26	9.66	.40492E+02
6.47	-6.47	1.33		0.438E+01	4.28		.40713E+02
6.49	-6.49	1.33	22.9	0.437E+01	4.30		.40935E+02
6.52	-6.52	1.33		0.436E+01	4.32		.41156E+02
	-6.55	1.34		0.435E+01	4.33		.41378E+02
6.58	-6.58	1.34		0.434E+01	4.35		.41600E+02
	-6.61	1.34		0.433E+01	4.37		.41822E+02
6.64	-6.64	1.35		0.432E+01	4.39		.42045E+02
6.67	-6.67	1.35		0.431E+01	4.41		.42267E+02
6.70	-6.70	1.35	23.2	0.430E+01	4.43		.42490E+02
6.72	-6.72	1.36		0.430E+01	4.45		.42713E+02
6.75	-6.75	1.36		0.429E+01	4.47		.42936E+02
6.78	-6.78	1.36	23.4	0.428E+01	4.49	9.63	.43159E+02
6.81	-6.81	1.37	23.4	0.427E+01	4.51		.43383E+02
6.84	-6.84	1.37	23.5	0.426E+01	4.52	9.62	.43606E+02
6.87	-6.87	1.37	23.5	0.425E+01	4.54	9.62	.43830E+02
6.90	-6.90	1.38	23.6	0.424E+01	4.56	9.62	.44054E+02
6.93	-6.93	1.38	23.6	0.424E+01	4.58	9.61	.44278E+02
6.95	-6.95	1.38	23.7	0.423E+01	4.60	9.61	.44503E+02
6.98	-6.98	1.39	23.7	0.422E+01	4.62	9.61	.44727E+02
7.01	-7.01	1.39	23.7	0.421E+01	4.64	9.61	.44952E+02
7.04	-7.04	1.39	23.8	0.420E+01	4.66	9.61	.45176E+02
7.07	-7.07	1.40	23.8	0.419E+01	4.68	9.60	.45401E+02
7.10	-7.10	1.40	23.9	0.419E+01	4.70	9.60	.45626E+02
7.13	-7.13	1.40	23.9	0.418E+01	4.71	9.60	.45852E+02
7.16	-7.16	1.41	24.0	0.417E+01	4.73	9.60	.46077E+02
7.18	-7.18	1.41	24.0	0.416E+01	4.75	9.60	.46303E+02
7.21	-7.21	1.41	24.1	0.415E+01	4.77	9.60	.46528E+02
7.24	-7.24	1.41	24.1	0.415E+01	4.79	9.59	.46754E+02
7.27	-7.27	1.42	24.2	0.414E+01	4.81	9.59	.46980E+02
7.30	-7.30	1.42	24.2	0.413E+01	4.83	9.59	.47206E+02
7.33	-7.33	1.42	24.3	0.412E+01	4.85	9.59	.47433E+02
7.36	-7.36	1.43	24.3	0.411E+01	4.87	9.59	.47659E+02
7.39	-7.39	1.43	24.3	0.411E+01	4.89	9.59	.47886E+02
7.41	-7.41	1.43	24.4	0.410E+01	4.90	9.59	.48113E+02
7.44	-7.44	1.44	24.4	0.409E+01	4.92	9.58	.48340E+02
7.47	-7.47			0.408E+01			.48567E+02
7.50	-7.50		24.5	0.408E+01	4.96	9.58	.48794E+02
7.53	-7.53			0.407E+01			.49021E+02
	-7.56			0.406E+01			. <mark>49249E+02</mark>
7.59	-7.59	1.45	24.7	0.405E+01	5.02	9.58	.49477E+02

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 134 of 135 Imber

7.62	-7.62	1.46	24.7	0.405E+01	5.04	9.58	.49705E+02
7.64				0.404E+01			.49933E+02
7.67	-7.67	1.46		0.403E+01	5.08		.50161E+02
7.70	-7.70	1.47	24.8	0.403E+01	5.09	9.57	.50389E+02
7.73	-7.73	1.47	24.9	0.402E+01	5.11	9.57	.50617E+02
7.76	-7.76	1.47	24.9	0.401E+01	5.13	9.57	.50846E+02
7.79	-7.79	1.48	25.0	0.400E+01	5.15	9.57	.51075E+02
7.82	-7.82	1.48	25.0	0.400E+01	5.17	9.57	.51304E+02
7.85	-7.85	1.48	25.1	0.399E+01	5.19	9.57	.51533E+02
7.87	-7.87	1.49	25.1	0.398E+01	5.21	9.57	.51762E+02
7.90	-7.90	1.49	25.2	0.398E+01	5.23	9.57	.51991E+02
7.93	-7.93	1.49	25.2	0.397E+01	5.25	9.57	.52221E+02
7.96	-7.96	1.49	25.2	0.396E+01	5.27	9.57	.52450E+02
7.99	-7.99	1.50	25.3	0.396E+01	5.28	9.56	.52680E+02
8.02	-8.02	1.50	25.3	0.395E+01	5.30	9.56	.52910E+02
8.05	-8.05	1.50	25.4	0.394E+01	5.32	9.56	.53140E+02
8.08	-8.08	1.51		0.393E+01	5.34	9.56	.53370E+02
8.10	-8.10	1.51	25.5	0.393E+01	5.36	9.56	.53600E+02
8.13	-8.13	1.51	25.5	0.392E+01	5.38	9.56	.53831E+02
8.16	-8.16	1.52	25.5	0.391E+01	5.40	9.56	.54061E+02
8.19	-8.19	1.52	25.6	0.391E+01	5.42	9.56	.54292E+02
8.22	-8.22	1.52	25.6	0.390E+01	5.44	9.56	.54523E+02
8.25	-8.25	1.53	25.7	0.390E+01	5.46	9.56	.54754E+02
8.28	-8.28	1.53	25.7	0.389E+01	5.47	9.56	.54985E+02
8.30	-8.30	1.53	25.8		5.49		.55216E+02
8.33	-8.33	1.54	25.8	0.388E+01	5.51		.55448E+02
8.36	-8.36	1.54		0.387E+01	5.53		.55679E+02
8.39	-8.39	1.54	25.9	0.386E+01	5.55	9.56	.55911E+02
8.42	-8.42	1.55	25.9	0.386E+01	5.57	9.56	.56142E+02
8.45	-8.45			0.385E+01	5.59	9.56	.56374E+02
8.48	-8.48	1.55	26.0	0.384E+01	5.61	9.56	.56606E+02
8.51	-8.51	1.56	26.1	0.384E+01	5.63	9.55	.56839E+02
8.53	-8.53	1.56	26.1	0.383E+01	5.65	9.55	.57071E+02
8.56	-8.56	1.56	26.1	0.383E+01	5.66	9.55	.57303E+02
8.59	-8.59	1.56	26.2	0.382E+01	5.68	9.55	.57536E+02
8.62	-8.62	1.57	26.2	0.381E+01	5.70	9.55	.57769E+02
Cumulative				57.7687 sec			
Plume ce	nterline m	ay exhib	it sli	ght discont	Inuities	in tran	nsition
to oub	acquent fo	r field	modulo	ovraniski i Netski i Andrea Selandarija			

to subsequent far-field module.

END OF MOD271: ACCELERATION ZONE OF UNIDIRECTIONAL CO-FLOWING DIFFUSER

Case No. 2022-00402 Attachment 2 to Response to JI-1 Question No. 1.101(f-h) Page 135 of 135 Imber BEGIN MOD251: DIFFUSER PLUME IN CO-FLOW Phase 1: Vertically mixed, Phase 2: Re-stratified Phase 2: The flow has RESTRATIFIED at the beginning of this zone. This flow region is INSIGNIFICANT in spatial extent and will be by-passed. END OF MOD251: DIFFUSER PLUME IN CO-FLOW _____ _____ ** End of NEAR-FIELD REGION (NFR) ** The initial plume WIDTH values in the next far-field module will be CORRECTED by a factor 1.76 to conserve the mass flux in the far-field! The correction factor is quite large because of the small ambient velocity relative to the strong mixing characteristics of the discharge! This indicates localized RECIRCULATION REGIONS and INTERNAL HYDRAULIC JUMPS. Width predictions show discontinuities. Dilution values should be acceptable. BEGIN MOD241: BUOYANT AMBIENT SPREADING Profile definitions: BV = top-hat thickness, measured vertically BH = top-hat half-width, measured horizontally in y-direction ZU = upper plume boundary (Z-coordinate) ZL = lower plume boundary (Z-coordinate) S = hydrodynamic average (bulk) dilution C = average (bulk) concentration (includes reaction effects, if any) TT = Cumulative travel time Plume Stage 1 (not bank attached): BH Y Z S C BV ZU ZL TT X -8.62 5.70 26.2 0.381E+01 5.70 16.82 5.70 0.00 .57769E+02 8.62 9.73 -8.62 5.70 26.5 0.378E+01 5.54 17.48 5.70 0.16 .67012E+02 -8.62 5.70 26.7 0.374E+01 5.39 18.13 5.70 0.31 .76256E+02 10.84 -8.625.7027.00.371E+015.2518.775.700.45.85500E+02-8.625.7027.20.368E+015.1219.405.700.58.94744E+02 11.94 13.05

This prediction file is cutoff at the Zone of Initial Dilution restriction, 44.3 feet or 13.505 meters. 13.505 meters is the distance equal to 50 times the square root of the cross-sectional area of a discharge port. The full prediction file can be seen in the diffuser design report submitted by the permittee as required by the compliance schedule for Outfall 023.

20.01 5.70 0.70

.10399E+03

-8.62 5.70 27.4 0.365E+01 5.01

14.16