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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The Hummingbird Solar Project (Project) is a proposed 200-megawatt (MW) photovoltaic (PV) solar power energy generating facility located in Fleming County, Kentucky. The project site is located within approximately 3,900 acres 2.5 miles northeast of Flemingsburg (Figure 1). The solar project consists of solar panels, a panel tracking system, inverters and electrical equipment associated with a solar facility and substation. The power generated by the proposed solar facility will be connected to the existing power grid using the existing transmission line connecting to the proposed Substation located on Carpenter Road. The generating facility will sell power on the wholesale market as a merchant power plant or independent power producer. The solar facility will be enclosed by a six (6)-foot chain link fence with three strand barbed wire. At the end of the project's life the equipment and electrical infrastructure will be decommissioned, and land may return to farming or other development.

A desktop noise assessment was completed to evaluate potential noise impacts to noise sensitive receptors within 1,000 feet from the project boundary (Noise Assessment Area). Background noise as well as noise generated during construction and operation of the Project were considered in the analysis.

### 1.2 EXISTING LAND USE AND SITE CONDITIONS

The Project is located in a rural area with gently sloping topography. Existing land use within the project site is cultivated cropland with small areas of deciduous forest. (MLRC 2016 and USDA-FSA 2018). Land use adjacent to the Project is comprised of scattered homes and cultivated cropland. The community of Mt. Carmel is located in the north central portion of the Project while Flemingsburg is located to the southeast. KY-57 transects the project site northeast to southwest while forested land is present to the southeast (Figure 2). There are two $138-\mathrm{kV}$ transmission lines that intersects the Project.
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### 2.0 NOISE STUDY

### 2.1 EXISTING NOISE CONDITIONS

### 2.1.1 Noise Sensitive Receptors

A noise sensitive receptor is generally defined as locations where people reside or where the presence of unwanted sound may adversely affect the use of the land. Receptors may include but are not limited to schools, homes, churches, hospitals, and certain types of recreation or outdoor land uses such as outdoor restaurant seating.

Potential noise sensitive receptors were evaluated within a 1,000 foot buffer from the project boundary. High resolution aerial photography, topographic quadrangles and proposed site layouts were analyzed using ESRI ArcMap 10.8 and Google Earth Pro to determine the presence of potential noise sensitive receptors. These receptors include residential dwellings and are shown on Figure 2. Two churches are present within the study area: Mt. Carmel Christian Church and Mt. Carmel Bible Fellowship. Mt. Carmel Christian Church is located within the Mt. Carmel community near the north portion of the site while Mt. Carmel Bible Fellowship is located along Carpenter Road near the center of the site. The Fleming County Cemetery is located in the Mt. Carmel community and will not be analyzed further for this study. No schools, nursing homes, childcare centers, outdoor recreation, medical centers or other types of noise sensitive receptors were observed within the noise assessment area.

136 residences consisting of single family homes are located within the Noise Assessment Area. These dwellings are referred to as noise sensitive receptors within this report (R1-R139). Forty three (43) of these dwellings are located within areas that meet the definition of "residential neighborhood" according to KRS 278.700. These 43 dwellings are in one of five neighborhoods, which include populated areas of five or more acres containing at least one residential structure per acre. The five residential neighborhoods include an area along Beech Springs Drive, Maddox Road, Poplar Grove Road, Foxport Road and the community of Mt. Carmel. The nearest residence is approximately 260 feet from the nearest solar panel (Table 1). Proposed inverters are located even further away with the nearest being approximately 624 feet from a residence. Three adjacent residences along Botkins Lane are currently under a purchase option and will be removed prior to construction (R4, R5 and R6); therefore, they have not been considered as noise sensitive receptors in this study. These are labeled as Participating Structures on Figure 2.
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Table 1. Nearest Sensitive Receptor to the Site

| Type | Nearest to | Direction from Project Site | Distance from Fence | Distance from Nearest Solar Panel | Distance from Nearest Inverter or Transformer* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residences - <br> Beech Springs <br> Drive Neighborhood (R17-R32) |  | West | Within 305 ft | Within 351 ft | Within 1,252 ft |
| Residences - <br> Maddox Road <br> Neighborhood <br> (R40-R44) |  | West | Within 309 ft | Within 381 ft | Within 1,053 ft |
| Residence (R46) | Fence | West | Within 180 ft | Within 316 ft | Within 755 ft |
| Residences - <br> Poplar Grove Road <br> Neighborhood (R63-R73) |  | Northwest | Within 317 ft | Within 372 ft | Within 1,010 ft |
| Residences - <br> Mount Carmel <br> Neighborhood <br> (R80-R85) |  | North Central | Within 320 ft | Within 394 ft | Within 1,529 ft |
| Residence (R91) | Substation | Central | Within 324 ft | Within 575 ft | Within $792 \mathrm{ft}{ }^{*}$ |
| Residence (R105) | Solar Panel / <br> Tracking <br> Motors | East | Within 208 ft | Within 260 ft | Within 788 ft |
| Residence (R109) | Inverter | East | Within 355 ft | Within 469 ft | Within 624 ft |
| Residences - <br> Foxport Road <br> Neighborhood <br> (R126-R130) |  | Northeast | Within 243 ft | Within 305 ft | Within 1,287 ft |

*All values reflect distance to inverters except for R91 which is the distance to the substation/transformer area.

### 2.1.2 Noise Ordinances

The unincorporated portions of Fleming and Lewis Counties do not appear to have a specific noise ordinance.
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### 2.1.3 Existing Noise from Surrounding Areas

Noise is typically measured in decibels ( $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ - A-weighted sound levels) to describe the relative loudness of specific sounds. Unless otherwise noted, sound is presented as equivalent continuous sound level [Leq $\left.\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\right]$. This is defined as the steady sound pressure level which, over a given period of time, has the same total energy as the actual fluctuating noise. This can be generally thought of as average sound levels. $L_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ are the minimum and maximum sound levels at a given period in time. See Table 2 for example sound levels from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC 2020) and the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA 2010).

Table 2. Common Sources of Noise and Decibel Levels

| Noise Source | Average Noise Level (dB ${ }_{\text {A }} \boldsymbol{*}^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Loud Entertainment Venues (Nightclubs, Bars and <br> Rock Concerts) | $105-110$ |
| Car horn at 16 ft / Sporting Events | 100 |
| Motorcycle | 95 |
| Locomotives and Rail Cars at 100 feet** | $80-90$ |
| Gas powered lawnmowers and leaf blowers | $80-85$ |
| Heavy Traffic | $80-85$ |
| Washing Machine / Dishwasher | 70 |
| Normal Conversation / Air Conditioner | 60 |
| Soft Whisper | 30 |

*CDC 2020 **FRA 2010
The primary source of noise from the surrounding area is similar to the Project site with sparse automotive traffic on rural roads and adjacent farms producing agricultural sounds related to tractors, farm machinery, trucks, and ATVs. Additionally, wildlife also contributes to the local noise including insects, birds and frogs.

### 2.1.4 Existing On-Site Noise

Existing noise on the Project site consists of noises typically produced by agricultural activities. These noises include tractors, trucks, and all-terrain vehicles. Rural wildlife noises contribute to the existing noise conditions including birds, frogs and insects.

### 2.2 PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION NOISE CONDITIONS

### 2.2.1 Equipment and Machinery

The Project's construction will require earthmoving and tree removal activities as well as typical solar panel and electrical equipment installation. Typical construction equipment is expected to be used for site preparation and infrastructure installation and may include dump trucks, pile drivers, backhoes, dozers,
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and excavators. The Federal Transit Administration outlines typical construction equipment noise levels and is presented in Table 3 (FTA 2018). The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) Roadway Construction Noise Model (RCNM) was used to evaluate noise during construction (FHWA 2006). Pile drivers are expected to be the loudest machinery and will only be used during installation of the solar panel supports. Since pile drivers will only be used during pole installation, nearest receptor model results have been presented both with and without pile drivers in use.

Table 3. Construction Equipment Noise Emission Levels

| Equipment | Typical Noise Levels at 50 ft <br> from Source (dBBA)* |
| :--- | :---: |
| Air Compressor | 80 |
| Backhoe | 80 |
| Ballast Equalizer | 82 |
| Ballast Tamper | 83 |
| Compactor | 82 |
| Concrete Mixer | 85 |
| Concrete Pump | 82 |
| Concrete Vibrator | 76 |
| Crane, Derrick | 88 |
| Crane, Mobile | 83 |
| Dozer | 85 |
| Generator | 82 |
| Grader | 85 |
| Impact Wrench | 85 |
| Jack Hammer | 88 |
| Loader | 80 |
| Paver | 85 |
| Pile Driver (Impact) | 101 |
| Pile Driver (Sonic) | 95 |
| Pneumatic Tool | 85 |
| Pump | 77 |
| Rail Saw | 90 |
| Rock Drill | 95 |
| Roller | 85 |
| Saw | 76 |
| Scarifier | 83 |
| Scraper | 85 |
| Shovel | 82 |
| Spike Driver | 77 |
| Tie Cutter | 84 |
| Tie Handler | 80 |
| Tie Inserter | 85 |
| Truck | 84 |
| a |  |

*Taken from FTA 2018
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### 2.2.2 Roadway Noise During Construction

Traffic noise is expected to increase temporarily during construction due to the mobilization of labor and materials, equipment and staff moving between sections of the project and construction and equipment vehicles entering and leaving the site. Construction related activity is expected to occur mainly between 7 a.m. and 7 p.m. (sunrise and sunset) and will be of limited duration at any given location within the project.

### 2.2.3 Assembly of Solar Array and Construction of Facilities

The solar facility consists of solar panels, a panel tracking system, inverters and electrical equipment associated with the solar facility and substation. All solar module equipment is expected to be assembled using handheld equipment and power tools. Assembly will occur within the Project site several hundred to thousands of feet from the nearest receptors. Assembly will take place during daytime hours and will be of limited duration at any given location within the project.

### 2.3 PROPOSED OPERATIONAL NOISE CONDITIONS

### 2.3.1 Solar Array and Tracking System

The solar array associated with this project includes single-axis tracking panels distributed evenly across the site (Figure 2). Tracking systems involve the panels being driven by small, 24 -volt brushless DC motors to track the arc of the sun to maximize each panel's potential for solar absorption. Panels would turn no more than five (5) degrees every 15 minutes and would operate no more than one (1) minute out of every 15 -minute period during daylight hours. These tracking motors are a potential source of mechanical noise and are included in this assessment. Tracking motors will not be installed closer than 100 feet from the project boundary. The sound typically produced by panel tracking motors (NexTracker or equivalent) is approximately $78 \mathrm{dBA}_{\mathrm{A}}$. Comparing similar noise values and distances from the RCNM, at the nearest receptor (R105) the tracking system will be approximately $49.7 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ as a worst-case maximum noise $\left[\mathrm{L}_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\right]$ which is similar to a refrigerator hum. The equivalent continuous sound level [ $\left.\mathrm{L}_{\text {eq }}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\right]$ from the tracking motors is $37.5 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ which is around a soft whisper. Model results are presented in Table 5.

### 2.3.2 Inverters

Approximately 53 inverters are expected to be installed across the Project site. Inverters installed onsite are expected to be SMA Energy PCS or General Electric (GE) LV5 PCS or similar. Manufacturer's specifications for the equipment include a range of noise emission for SMA Energy PCS from $49 \mathrm{dBA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at 50 meters ( 164 feet) distance to $67 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at 10 meters ( 32.8 feet) from the source which roughly translates to $31.1 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at the nearest receptor (R109), comparable to a computer. The GE LV5 PCS ranges from 73.6 dBA at lowest cooling level to $91.3 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at highest cooling levels at 10 meters ( 32.8 feet) from the source which is approximately 48 dBA at the nearest receptor (R109), comparable to a refrigerator. Since the GE approximate sound levels are higher, those were used for this assessment and results are shown in Table 5.
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The noise produced by the inverters can be characterized as a hum and during average operation is similar in noise level to a household air conditioner at the unit. Proposed inverter locations are shown on Figure 2.

### 2.3.3 Transformers

The proposed substation and battery storage area covers approximately 14.0 acres and is located on the central portion of the Project. Transformers associated with the project will include a SBG-SMIT 3 phase 127 kVA transformer or similar. According to manufacturer specifications the loudest the transformer is expected to be is just over $60 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$, measured 1 meter ( 3.2 feet) from the source, or the level of a normal conversation. The nearest sensitive receptor (R91) is approximately 792 feet away which equates to a sound level of 12.2 dBA and is barely audible, comparable to normal breathing. Remaining model results can be found in Table 5.

### 2.3.4 Site Operation and Maintenance

### 2.3.4.1 Vehicular Traffic

During operation, the solar facility is expected to have a maximum of one technician visiting the site daily for inspection and two to three technicians up to 70 days per year. Operation and maintenance work may be expected at night for up to 30 days per year. Weekend work is not anticipated but may be required upon any component outages that may impact energy production from the site. Other than the scenarios mentioned, vehicular traffic onsite will be limited to typical weekday business hours. Technicians will drive mid- or full-sized trucks and will not contribute noticeably to the existing traffic noise levels.

### 2.3.4.2 Maintenance Activities

Typical maintenance activities may include inspection, minor repair and maintenance on the solar panels, the tracking system, wiring, and/or inverters. Grounds maintenance will include periodic inspection of the boundary fencing and vegetation control through mowing and herbicide applications.

### 2.4 NOISE SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Noise is expected to increase temporarily and intermittently during the construction phase of the project due to increases in vehicular traffic, construction equipment and assembly of the solar facility components. This increase in noise is expected to be within accepted ranges and of short duration at any given location within the project with the majority of the noise producing activities to occur many hundreds to thousands of feet from the nearest noise sensitive receptors. With the exception of the pile driving activities, the typical noise levels of construction equipment are not unlike the existing noise levels related to cultivation within and surrounding the Project.

The noisiest portion of the construction will be the use of pile drivers to install the solar panel supports. These will only be used very briefly for each pile. The pile driver's worst-case intermittent maximum noise
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$\left[L_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\right]$ level ( $86.5 \mathrm{~dB}_{A}$ ) is expected to occur at the nearest receptor ( R 105 ) and is similar to a motorcyle. The equivalent continuous sound level $\left[\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{eq}}\left(\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}\right)\right]$ from construction including the pile driver is $79.6 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ which is similar to the sound level of a leaf blower. The noise model was also evaluated without the inputs of the pile driver since that is more typical of ongoing construction sound levels. The sound levels for typical construction (without pile driving) onsite are approximately $64.2 \mathrm{~dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ which around the sound level of a dishwasher (Table 4). Construction activities at the Project site would move around the site and are not anticipated to be performed near a sensitive receptor for more than a few weeks.

Table 4. Calculated Noise Levels at Nearest Receptor Due to Construction (Sunrise to Sunset)

|  | Panel <br> Distance (ft) | Calculated $\mathbf{L}_{\text {max }}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{d B}_{\mathbf{A}}\right)$ | Calculated $\mathbf{L}_{\text {eq }}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{d B}_{\mathbf{A}}\right)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Noise Level at Nearest Residential Receptor <br> (R105) (including pile driver) | 260 | 86.5 | 79.6 |
| Noise Level at Nearest Residential Receptor <br> (R105) (minus pile driver) | 260 | 66.2 | 64.2 |

During site operation, intermittent noise related to the panel tracking system and the constant noise of the inverters is expected. The increase in noise is negligible due to the distance between the panels / inverters and the nearest noise sensitive receptors. The nearest receptor (R105) is approximately 260 feet from the closest solar panels (and approximately 788 feet from an inverter). Maximum sound levels from the tracking system can be expected to be the levels of a refrigerator hum at the nearest receptor ( $\mathrm{R} 105,49.7 \mathrm{dBA}$ ), while the sounds will be much quieter at most receptors.

It should be noted that the trackers and the inverters for the panels themselves will not operate at night when residential receptors are most sensitive. During average daytime operation, the inverters will be similar in noise level ( $\sim 48 \mathrm{~dB}_{\text {A max }}$ ) to a quiet library at the nearest receptor ( R 109 ). According to manufacturer specifications the loudest the substation transformer is expected to be is just over $60 \mathrm{dBA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ at 1 m from the source, or the level of a normal conversation. Since the nearest receptor (R91) is approximately 792 ft from the substation, transformers are not expected to add additional noise above background noise as the noise levels are barely audible ( 12.2 dBA ). Site visits and maintenance activities including single vehicular traffic and mowing will be negligible as they are similar to the background agricultural noise characteristics. All site visits, outside of emergency maintenance, will occur during daylight hours.

At the nearest receptors, besides intermittent and infrequent pile driver activity, no elevated and prolonged noise levels above background levels are expected either during construction or operation of the Project site. Construction (pile driving) is not expected to remain in any area beyond a week.
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Table 5. Approximate Noise Levels During Operation (Sunrise to Sunset)

| Receptor* | Nearest Panel / Panel Tracking System |  | Nearest Inverter |  | Nearest Transformer/Substation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| R1 | 542 | 43.3 | 1430 | 40.8 | 16205 | <10 |
| R2 | 913 | 38.8 | 1990 | 37.9 | 15231 | <10 |
| R3 | 477 | 44.4 | 989 | 44.0 | 13972 | <10 |
| R7 | 1027 | 37.7 | 1534 | 40.2 | 14700 | <10 |
| R8 | 903 | 38.9 | 1472 | 40.5 | 14960 | <10 |
| R9 | 668 | 41.5 | 1526 | 40.2 | 15850 | <10 |
| R10 | 386 | 46.2 | 1328 | 41.4 | 16538 | <10 |
| R11 | 823 | 39.7 | 1742 | 39.1 | 17479 | <10 |
| R12 | 441 | 45.1 | 1022 | 43.7 | 17351 | $<10$ |
| R13 | 733 | 40.7 | 1254 | 41.9 | 17802 | <10 |
| R14 | 1061 | 37.5 | 1522 | 40.2 | 18083 | <10 |
| R15 | 1003 | 38.0 | 1118 | 42.9 | 14049 | <10 |
| R16 | 988 | 38.1 | 1187 | 42.4 | 13587 | <10 |
| R17 | 880 | 39.1 | 1414 | 40.9 | 12999 | <10 |
| R18 | 1079 | 37.3 | 1706 | 39.2 | 12807 | <10 |
| R19 | 951 | 38.4 | 1605 | 39.8 | 12701 | <10 |
| R20 | 849 | 39.4 | 1550 | 40.1 | 12600 | <10 |
| R21 | 722 | 40.8 | 1460 | 40.6 | 12524 | <10 |
| R22 | 571 | 42.8 | 1410 | 40.9 | 12356 | $<10$ |
| R23 | 376 | 46.5 | 1349 | 41.3 | 12073 | $<10$ |
| R24 | 352 | 47.0 | 1333 | 41.4 | 11967 | $<10$ |
| R25 | 369 | 46.6 | 1412 | 40.9 | 11734 | $<10$ |
| R26 | 395 | 46.0 | 1252 | 41.9 | 11525 | $<10$ |
| R27 | 544 | 43.3 | 1475 | 40.5 | 11747 | $<10$ |
| R28 | 558 | 43.0 | 1538 | 40.1 | 11910 | <10 |
| R29 | 586 | 42.6 | 1552 | 40.1 | 12006 | <10 |
| R30 | 756 | 40.4 | 1627 | 39.6 | 12267 | <10 |
| R31 | 853 | 39.4 | 1668 | 39.4 | 12390 | $<10$ |
| R32 | 953 | 38.4 | 1725 | 39.1 | 12481 | <10 |
| R33 | 718 | 40.9 | 1657 | 39.5 | 10010 | $<10$ |
| R34 | 457 | 44.8 | 1402 | 40.9 | 9621 | $<10$ |
| R35 | 506 | 43.9 | 883 | 45.0 | 9441 | $<10$ |
| R36 | 1132 | 36.9 | 1400 | 40.9 | 8914 | <10 |
| R37 | 532 | 43.5 | 1291 | 41.7 | 11251 | <10 |
| R38 | 1077 | 37.3 | 1842 | 38.6 | 8626 | $<10$ |
| R39 | 350 | 47.1 | 1037 | 43.6 | 8220 | <10 |
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| Receptor* | Nearest Panel / Panel Tracking System |  | Nearest Inverter |  | Nearest <br> Transformer/Substation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\text {A }}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\text {A }}$ |
| R40 | 398 | 46.0 | 1080 | 43.2 | 8581 | <10 |
| R41 | 439 | 45.1 | 1065 | 43.3 | 8702 | <10 |
| R42 | 440 | 45.1 | 1054 | 43.4 | 8800 | <10 |
| R43 | 381 | 46.4 | 1086 | 43.2 | 9072 | <10 |
| R44 | 462 | 44.7 | 1188 | 42.4 | 9344 | <10 |
| R45 | 474 | 44.5 | 893 | 44.9 | 9752 | <10 |
| R46 | 317 | 48.0 | 755 | 46.3 | 9712 | <10 |
| R47 | 902 | 38.9 | 1515 | 40.3 | 10854 | <10 |
| R48 | 1182 | 36.5 | 1901 | 38.3 | 11408 | <10 |
| R49 | 960 | 38.3 | 1653 | 39.5 | 11248 | <10 |
| R50 | 1047 | 37.6 | 1895 | 38.3 | 11288 | <10 |
| R51 | 1042 | 37.6 | 1939 | 38.1 | 11233 | <10 |
| R52 | 405 | 45.8 | 1320 | 41.5 | 7917 | <10 |
| R53 | 361 | 36.7 | 1157 | 42.6 | 7699 | <10 |
| R54 | 488 | 44.2 | 655 | 47.5 | 8026 | <10 |
| R55 | 682 | 41.3 | 901 | 44.8 | 8195 | <10 |
| R56 | 275 | 49.2 | 821 | 45.6 | 6181 | <10 |
| R57 | 348 | 47.1 | 757 | 46.3 | 3530 | <10 |
| R58 | 351 | 47.1 | 1246 | 42.0 | 3725 | <10 |
| R59 | 284 | 48.9 | 1306 | 41.6 | 4532 | <10 |
| R60 | 1035 | 37.7 | 1054 | 43.4 | 8084 | <10 |
| R61 | 829 | 39.6 | 2466 | 36.0 | 11207 | <10 |
| R62 | 405 | 45.8 | 1966 | 38.0 | 11006 | <10 |
| R63 | 914 | 38.8 | 2172 | 37.1 | 12787 | <10 |
| R64 | 613 | 42.1 | 1864 | 38.5 | 12484 | <10 |
| R65 | 615 | 42.2 | 1883 | 38.4 | 12481 | <10 |
| R66 | 445 | 45.0 | 1697 | 39.3 | 12257 | <10 |
| R67 | 385 | 46.3 | 1609 | 39.7 | 12148 | <10 |
| R68 | 373 | 46.5 | 1545 | 40.1 | 12054 | <10 |
| R69 | 408 | 45.8 | 1447 | 40.7 | 11903 | <10 |
| R70 | 485 | 44.3 | 1361 | 41.2 | 11762 | <10 |
| R71 | 381 | 46.4 | 1133 | 42.8 | 11603 | <10 |
| R72 | 526 | 43.6 | 1011 | 43.8 | 11317 | <10 |
| R73 | 672 | 41.4 | 1153 | 42.6 | 11380 | <10 |
| R74 | 920 | 38.7 | 2068 | 37.6 | 12463 | <10 |
| R75 | 807 | 39.8 | 1834 | 38.6 | 12159 | <10 |
| R76 | 787 | 40.1 | 1749 | 39.0 | 12046 | <10 |
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| Receptor* | Nearest Panel / Panel <br> Tracking System |  | Nearest Inverter |  | Nearest <br> Transformer/Substation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (ft) | dB $_{\mathbf{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathbf{d B}_{\mathbf{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | dB $_{\mathbf{A}}$ |
| R77 | 1063 | 37.4 | 1526 | 40.2 | 11483 | $<10$ |
| R78 | 995 | 38.0 | 1272 | 41.8 | 9538 | $<10$ |
| R79 | 740 | 40.6 | 2193 | 37.0 | 6130 | $<10$ |
| R80 | 534 | 43.4 | 1529 | 40.2 | 3941 | $<10$ |
| R81 | 394 | 46.1 | 1740 | 39.1 | 3719 | $<10$ |
| R82 | 675 | 41.4 | 2006 | 37.8 | 3857 | $<10$ |
| R83 | 955 | 38.4 | 2309 | 36.6 | 3932 | $<10$ |
| R84 | 1046 | 37.6 | 2375 | 36.4 | 4011 | $<10$ |
| R85 | 463 | 44.7 | 2030 | 37.7 | 3162 | $<10$ |
| R86 | 1113 | 37.0 | 3056 | 34.2 | 3393 | $<10$ |
| R87 | 859 | 39.3 | 2916 | 34.6 | 2690 | $<10$ |
| R88 | 1708 | 33.3 | 1960 | 38.0 | 926 | 10.9 |
| R89 | 1554 | 34.2 | 2018 | 37.8 | 895 | 11.3 |
| R90 | 598 | 42.4 | 1571 | 39.9 | 1399 | $<10$ |
| R91 | 575 | 42.8 | 1624 | 39.7 | 792 | 12.2 |
| R92 | 428 | 45.4 | 1386 | 41.0 | 906 | 11.2 |
| R93 | 371 | 46.6 | 844 | 45.3 | 1514 | $<10$ |
| R94 | 727 | 40.7 | 1259 | 41.9 | 1220 | $<10$ |
| R95 | 631 | 42.0 | 1710 | 39.2 | 866 | 11.6 |
| R96 | 369 | 46.6 | 2225 | 36.9 | 1251 | $<10$ |
| R97 | 590 | 42.6 | 1679 | 39.4 | 1882 | $<10$ |
| R98 | 412 | 45.7 | 1118 | 42.9 | 1974 | $<10$ |
| R99 | 371 | 46.6 | 1904 | 38.3 | 3043 | $<10$ |
| R100 | 750 | 40.5 | 1938 | 38.1 | 3549 | $<10$ |
| R101 | 406 | 45.8 | 1580 | 39.9 | 3819 | $<10$ |
| R102 | 469 | 44.6 | 862 | 45.2 | 5483 | $<10$ |
| R103 | 268 | 49.4 | 3100 | 34.0 | 4147 | $<10$ |
| R104 | 314 | 48.0 | 1584 | 39.9 | 5635 | $<10$ |
| R105 | 260 | 49.7 | 788 | 45.9 | 6267 | $<10$ |
| R106 | 286 | 48.9 | 650 | 47.6 | 6406 | $<10$ |
| R107 | 328 | 47.7 | 916 | 44.6 | 6652 | $<10$ |
| R108 | 941 | 38.5 | 975 | 44.1 | 8346 | $<10$ |
| R109 | 469 | 44.6 | 624 | 48.0 | 7851 | $<10$ |
| R110 | 374 | 46.5 | 1469 | 40.5 | 8326 | $<10$ |
| R111 | 564 | 43.0 | 2207 | 37.0 | 8969 | $<10$ |
| R112 | 371 | 46.6 | 2393 | 36.3 | 8792 | $<10$ |
| R113 | 906 | 38.8 | 2885 | 34.7 | 9291 | $<10$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| Receptor* | Nearest Panel / Panel Tracking System |  | Nearest Inverter |  | Nearest Transformer/Substation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\text {A }}$ | Distance (ft) | $\mathrm{dB}_{\text {A }}$ |
| R114 | 983 | 38.1 | 3203 | 33.8 | 9253 | <10 |
| R115 | 1053 | 37.5 | 3527 | 32.9 | 9359 | <10 |
| R116 | 1094 | 37.2 | 3664 | 32.6 | 9399 | <10 |
| R117 | 370 | 46.6 | 745 | 46.4 | 7302 | <10 |
| R118 | 542 | 43.3 | 967 | 44.2 | 7418 | <10 |
| R119 | 733 | 40.7 | 1357 | 41.2 | 8090 | <10 |
| R120 | 443 | 45.1 | 837 | 45.4 | 8189 | <10 |
| R121 | 648 | 41.7 | 770 | 46.1 | 8462 | <10 |
| R122 | 867 | 39.2 | 1325 | 41.4 | 9076 | <10 |
| R123 | 956 | 38.4 | 1801 | 38.8 | 9802 | <10 |
| R124 | 774 | 40.2 | 1351 | 41.3 | 11791 | <10 |
| R125 | 420 | 45.5 | 1328 | 41.4 | 12165 | <10 |
| R126 | 452 | 44.9 | 1435 | 40.7 | 9498 | <10 |
| R127 | 533 | 43.4 | 1427 | 40.8 | 9675 | <10 |
| R128 | 454 | 44.8 | 1352 | 41.3 | 9665 | <10 |
| R129 | 306 | 48.3 | 1287 | 41.7 | 9606 | <10 |
| R130 | 475 | 44.4 | 1313 | 41.5 | 9555 | <10 |
| R131 | 395 | 46.0 | 1392 | 41.0 | 9125 | <10 |
| R132 | 504 | 43.9 | 1639 | 39.6 | 8888 | <10 |
| R133 | 385 | 46.3 | 1258 | 41.9 | 9978 | <10 |
| R134 | 890 | 39.0 | 1797 | 38.8 | 9062 | <10 |
| R135 | 921 | 38.7 | 1589 | 39.8 | 8743 | <10 |
| R136 | 915 | 38.8 | 1685 | 39.3 | 11542 | <10 |
| R137 | 586 | 42.6 | 1300 | 41.6 | 12410 | <10 |
| R138 | 293 | 48.6 | 894 | 44.8 | 13064 | <10 |
| R139 | 317 | 48.0 | 907 | 44.7 | 13152 | <10 |
| Note | Operates 1 minute every 15 minutes during daylight hours |  | Continuous low hum during daylight hours |  | Substation area |  |

Noise Levels are Lmax - maximum noise levels expected. R4, R5, and R6 will be demolished prior to construction.
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## Executive Summary

The Hummingbird Solar Project development is proposed northeast of Flemingsburg in Fleming County, Kentucky on a property located south of KY 1237 (Burtonville Road), north of KY 559 (Fox Spring Avenue/Wallingford Road), mostly east of KY 57 (Mt. Carmel Road) and west of KY 1902. The petitioner proposes to utilize the existing land to establish a solar facility on the site. The development will have access points along several routes around the facility. Analyses of the 2022 existing conditions (based on most recent counts provided by the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet, KYTC) and the 2023 construction year were performed. The traffic impact study (TIS) evaluated the operating conditions for the AM and PM peak hours at the roadway segments below:

- Station 035080: CR 1027 (Carpenter Road)
- Station 035150: CR 1030 (Colgan Road)
- Station 035049: CR 1036 (Wilson Run Road)
- Station 035083: CR 1037 (Maddox Pike)
- Station 068811: KY 57 from Fleming/Lewis County Line (MP 0.00) to KY 1237 (MP 2.093)
- Station 035002: KY 57 from KY 344 (MP 8.232) to KY 3301 (2.567)
- Station 035104: KY 57 from KY 3301 (2.567) to KY 57 X (MP 1.728)
- Station 035001: KY 344 from KY 57 (MP 0.00) to KY 989 (MP 1.600)
- Station 035091: KY 344 from KY 989 (MP 1.600) to Licking River (MP 2.404)
- Station 035054: KY 559 from Stewart Lane (MP 5.455) to Dudley Hollow Road (MP 11.850)
- Station 068516: KY 989 from Fleming/Lewis County Line (MP 0.00) to KY 1237 (MP 1.214)
- Station 068517: KY 1237 from KY 989 (MP 0.00) to Ribolt-Epworth Road (MP 1.579)
- Station 068761: KY 1237 from Ribolt-Epworth Road (MP 1.579) to KY 57 (MP 3.163)
- Station 035087: KY 3301 from KY 57 (MP 0.00) to Colgan Road (MP 3.425)
- Station 035081: KY 3301 from Colgan Road (MP 3.425) to KY 559 (MP 6.387)

Based on the results of the analysis, the following conclusions were developed:

- During construction, all highway segments are anticipated to continue to operate at acceptable level of service (LOS) standards during both the peak hours. Therefore, the construction for this project will not adversely affect traffic operations on any of the roadways in and around the project area.
- After construction is complete, the site will be managed with negligible added traffic demand. During the operational phase of the project, the surrounding roadway network will continue to operate at an acceptable LOS during the peak hours.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to estimate the traffic impacts of the proposed Hummingbird Solar Project located approximately three miles northeast of Flemingsburg in Fleming County, Kentucky. The project site can be generally described as south of KY 1237 (Burtonville Road), north of KY 559 (Fox Spring Avenue/Wallingford Road), mostly east of KY 57 (Mt. Carmel Road) and west of KY 1902. The proposed project site is shown in Figure 1.

The Project area encompasses approximately 4,300-acres in an agricultural area. The petitioner proposes to utilize the land to establish a 110-megawatt (MW), utility-scale, solar-powered electric generating facility. The Project will have access points around the site with major truck deliveries. A construction year of 2023 was evaluated as part of the study.

### 2.0 DATA COLLECTION

Traffic counts (including both 24 -hour and classification counts) were obtained from the Kentucky Transportation Cabinet (KYTC) to establish the existing traffic conditions. Figure 2 shows the locations of the primary / adjacent count stations used in this analysis. The summarized count data for each of these stations (plus additional stations outside the immediate area) is included in Appendix A for the following count stations:

- Station 035080: CR 1027 (Carpenter Road)
- Station 035150: CR 1030 (Colgan Road)
- Station 035049: CR 1036 (Wilson Run Road)
- Station 035083: CR 1037 (Maddox Pike)
- Station 068811: KY 57 from Fleming/Lewis County Line (MP 0.00) to KY 1237 (MP 2.093)
- Station 035002: KY 57 from KY 344 (MP 8.232) to KY 3301 (2.567)
- Station 035104: KY 57 from KY 3301 (2.567) to KY 57X (MP 1.728)
- Station 035001: KY 344 from KY 57 (MP 0.00) to KY 989 (MP 1.600)
- Station 035091: KY 344 from KY 989 (MP 1.600) to Licking River (MP 2.404)
- Station 035054: KY 559 from Stewart Lane (MP 5.455) to Dudley Hollow Road (MP 11.850)
- Station 068516: KY 989 from Fleming/Lewis County Line (MP 0.00) to KY 1237 (MP 1.214)
- Station 068517: KY 1237 from KY 989 (MP 0.00) to Ribolt-Epworth Road (MP 1.579)
- Station 068761: KY 1237 from Ribolt-Epworth Road (MP 1.579) to KY 57 (MP 3.163)
- Station 035087: KY 3301 from KY 57 (MP 0.00) to Colgan Road (MP 3.425)
- Station 035081: KY 3301 from Colgan Road (MP 3.425) to KY 559 (MP 6.387)


Figure 1: Project Location


Figure 2: KYTC Count Stations
KY 57 (Mt. Carmel Road), located directly west of most of the project site, is classified as a two-lane major collector with daily traffic volume of 2,300 vehicles per day (VPD). KY 57 has posted speed limits ranging from 35 miles per hour (mph) to 55 mph . To the north, KY 1237 in Lewis County is a two-lane urban minor collector with a posted speed limit of 55 mph and daily traffic of 700 VPD . To the east of the project site, KY 1902 is a two-lane urban local roadway with a posted speed limit of 55 mph . To the south, KY 559 (Fox Spring Avenue/Wallingford Road) is a two-lane urban minor collector from with a posted speed limit of 35 mph to 55 mph .

Two-lane analyses were used to evaluate the roadways based on methods described in the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) and implemented within the Highway Capacity Software (HCS 2022). The results can be found in Appendix B. The analyses were used to estimate capacity and Level of Service (LOS) for given traffic and geometric conditions. LOS provides a measure of the quality of traffic flow provided
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by a roadway facility, expressed in terms of letter grades with LOS A representing the highest quality traffic flow and minimal delay, and LOS F representing poor traffic operations and significant delay. For rural areas, LOS C or better is generally considered to be desirable. In urban areas, LOS D or better is generally considered desirable.

The two-lane highways method utilizes follower density (followers/mile) as the service measure for LOS, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1: Level of Service Criteria for Two-Lane Highways

| LOS | Density (followers $/ \mathrm{mi}$ ) <br> Speed Limit $\geq 50 \mathrm{mph}$ | Density (followers $/ \mathrm{mi}$ ) <br> Speed Limit $<50 \mathrm{mph}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 2$ | $\leq 2.5$ |
| B | $>2-4$ | $>2.5-5.5$ |
| C | $>4-8$ | $>5-10$ |
| D | $>8-12$ | $>10-15$ |
| E | $>12$ | $>15$ |
| F | Demand exceeds capacity | Demand exceeds capacity |

The results of the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic analyses for two-lane roads are summarized in Table 2. The results indicate that all existing project-adjacent two-lane roadways currently operate at acceptable LOS during both the AM and PM peak hours.
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Table 2: Existing AM/PM Two-Lane Highway Analysis

| Segment | Existing AM |  | Existing PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Density } \\ \text { (followers/mi//n) } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Density (followers/mi/n) | LOS |
| CR 1027 (Carpenter Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| CR 1030 (Colgan Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.0 | A |
| CR 1036 (Wilson Run Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| CR 1037 (Maddox Pike) | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| KY 57 (Mt. Caramel Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) to near north of Mandie Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.6 | A |
| North of Mandie Lane to south of Mandie Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.5 | A |
| South of Mandie Lane to Fleming/Lewis County Line | 0.1 | A | 0.4 | A |
| Fleming/Lewis County Line to near Perkins Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.6 | A |
| Near Perkins Lane to near KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.4 | A |
| Near KY 344 (Foxport Road) to J M Clary | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A |
| J M Clary to near Kilbreth Valley Road | 0.8 | A | 0.7 | A |
| Kilbreth Valley Road to near Penny Patch Road | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Penny Patch Road to near Murphy Lane | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Murphy Lane to north of Logan Run Road | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A |
| North of Logan Run Road to south of Logan Run Road | 0.3 | A | 0.3 | A |
| South of Logan Run Road to KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.3 | A | 0.2 | A |
| KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to north of KY 57X (Mt. Caramel Road) | 1.3 | A | 1.7 | A |
| KY 344 (Foxport Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 1902 to 2155 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.4 | A |
| 2155 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to 1680 Foxport Road | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| 1680 Foxport Road to KY 989 (Burtonville Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| KY 989 (Burtonville Road) to 1278 Foxport Road | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| 1278 Foxport Road to near Saunders Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Saunders Lane to 875 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.3 | A |
| 875 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to Andrew Graham property | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Andrew Graham Property to west of Breeze Road | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| West of Breeze Road to 234 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| 234 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to KY 57 (Mt. Caramel Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.3 | A |
| KY 559 (Foxspring Avenue/Wallingford Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| Gulley Drive to near east of Sutton Road | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A |
| East of Sutton Road to west of Botkins Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| West of Botkins Lane to 3954 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| 3954 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) to near Crump Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Near Crump Lane to near Adams Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Adams Lane to 3215 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| 3215 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) to near Brookstone Drive | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Brookstone Drive to near Stewart Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| Near Stewart Lane to School Street | 0.5 | A | 0.5 | A |
| KY 989 (Burtonville Road/Salt Lick Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 344 (Foxport Road) to Fleming/Lewis County Line | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Fleming/Lewis County Line to KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 989 (Salt Lick Road) to Thomas Lane/Ribolt Epworth Road | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Thomas Lane/Ribolt Epworth Road to KY 57 | 0.0 | A | 0.1 | A |
| KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike/Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 57 (Mt. Carmel Road) to near Rebecca Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Near Rebecca Lane to near Penny Lane | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Near Penny Lane to Licking River Bridge | 0.0 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Licking River Bridge to 1208 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| 1208 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to Beech Spring Estates | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Beech Spring Estates to Wilson Run Road | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Wilson Run Road to 2810 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| 2810 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to near Colgan Road | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Near Colgan Road to Rice Lane | 0.0 | A | 0.1 | A |
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### 3.0 PROJECT TRIP GENERATION

### 3.1 CONSTRUCTION

The trip generation analysis for the construction of the Project would generally be based on the number of workers and the associated construction and delivery truck trips expected during the construction of the project. Construction workers will consist of laborers, equipment operators, electricians, supervisory personnel, support personnel, and construction management personnel. It is envisioned that workers will arrive/depart from passenger vehicles and trucks daily during the AM (7:00 - 9:00 AM) and PM (3:00 6:00 PM) peak hours. Equipment deliveries will occur on trailers, flatbeds, or other large vehicles at various times during the day. Specific details concerning construction duration and intensity are not currently known. Therefore, this study has employed a sensitivity analysis to demonstrate that likely construction traffic levels will not have a significant, adverse effect on peak hour traffic operations. For this analysis, AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes on roadways were increased by 50 percent which is far greater than would be anticipated for the actual construction of the Project.

### 3.1.1 CONSTRUCTION ANALYSIS

The 2023 construction year analysis assumed no changes to the existing roadway network and increases in traffic demand discussed above. The results of the construction year AM and PM peak hour two-lane analysis are summarized in Table 3. Complete output reports are included in Appendix B. The results indicate that all analyzed roadway segments are anticipated to continue to operate at acceptable LOS during construction for both peak hours.
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Table 3: Construction Year (2023) AM/PM Two-Lane Highway Analysis

| Segment | Construction AM |  | Construction PM |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Density (followers/mi/ln) | LOS | Density (followers/mi/ln) | LOS |
| CR 1027 (Carpenter Road) | 0.4 | A | 0.5 | A |
| CR 1030 (Colgan Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| CR 1036 (Wilson Run Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.1 | A |
| CR 1037 (Maddox Pike) | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A |
| KY 57 (Mt. Caramel Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) to near north of Mandie Lane | 0.4 | A | 1.2 | A |
| North of Mandie Lane to south of Mandie Lane | 0.3 | A | 1.0 | A |
| South of Mandie Lane to Fleming/Lewis County Line | 0.2 | A | 0.8 | A |
| Fleming/Lewis County Line to near Perkins Lane | 0.3 | A | 1.1 | A |
| Near Perkins Lane to near KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.3 | A | 0.9 | A |
| Near KY 344 (Foxport Road) to J M Clary | 0.6 | A | 0.5 | A |
| J M Clary to near Kilbreth Valley Road | 1.5 | A | 1.3 | A |
| Kilbreth Valley Road to near Penny Patch Road | 0.5 | A | 0.4 | A |
| Near Penny Patch Road to near Murphy Lane | 0.5 | A | 0.5 | A |
| Near Murphy Lane to north of Logan Run Road | 0.6 | A | 0.5 | A |
| North of Logan Run Road to south of Logan Run Road | 0.6 | A | 0.5 | A |
| South of Logan Run Road to KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.6 | A | 0.5 | A |
| KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to north of KY 57X (Mt. Caramel Road) | 2.4 | B | 3.2 | B |
| KY 344 (Foxport Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 1902 to 2155 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.4 | A | 0.8 | A |
| 2155 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to 1680 Foxport Road | 0.1 | A | 0.3 | A |
| 1680 Foxport Road to KY 989 (Burtonville Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.4 | A |
| KY 989 (Burtonville Road) to 1278 Foxport Road | 0.2 | A | 0.5 | A |
| 1278 Foxport Road to near Saunders Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.4 | A |
| Near Saunders Lane to 875 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.5 | A |
| 875 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to Andrew Graham property | 0.1 | A | 0.3 | A |
| Andrew Graham Property to west of Breeze Road | 0.2 | A | 0.4 | A |
| West of Breeze Road to 234 KY 344 (Foxport Road) | 0.1 | A | 0.3 | A |
| 234 KY 344 (Foxport Road) to KY 57 (Mt. Caramel Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.5 | A |
| KY 559 (Foxspring Avenue/Wallingford Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| Gulley Drive to near east of Sutton Road | 0.3 | A | 0.3 | A |
| East of Sutton Road to west of Botkins Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A |
| West of Botkins Lane to 3954 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| 3954 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) to near Crump Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.2 | A |
| Near Crump Lane to near Adams Lane | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| Near Adams Lane to 3215 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) | 0.2 | A | 0.3 | A |
| 3215 KY 559 (Wallingford Road) to near Brookstone Drive | 0.4 | A | 0.3 | A |
| Near Brookstone Drive to near Stewart Lane | 0.4 | A | 0.5 | A |
| Near Stewart Lane to School Street | 0.7 | A | 0.8 | A |
| KY 989 (Burtonville Road/Salt Lick Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 344 (Foxport Road) to Fleming/Lewis County Line | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| Fleming/Lewis County Line to KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) | 0.0 | A | 0.0 | A |
| KY 1237 (Burtonville Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 989 (Salt Lick Road) to Thomas Lane/Ribolt Epworth Road | 0.0 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Thomas Lane/Ribolt Epworth Road to KY 57 | 0.1 | A | 0.2 | A |
| KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike/Road) at: |  |  |  |  |
| KY 57 (Mt. Carmel Road) to near Rebecca Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Near Rebecca Lane to near Penny Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Near Penny Lane to Licking River Bridge | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Licking River Bridge to 1208 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| 1208 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to Beech Spring Estates | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Beech Spring Estates to Wilson Run Road | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Wilson Run Road to 2810 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| 2810 KY 3301 (Beechtree Pike) to near Colgan Road | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |
| Near Colgan Road to Rice Lane | 0.1 | A | 0.1 | A |

## HUMMINGBIRD SOLAR PROJECT

CONCLUSION

### 3.2 OPERATION

Once operational, the facility will be managed and monitored by a small number of employees. The facility will have one employee on site every day and up to three additional employees for 70 days a year for site inspections and repair. Operations workers are expected to commute to and from the project site individually during the peak AM and PM hours. Work can also be conducted at night up to thirty days a year. This additional volume of daily traffic is considered negligible, and the operational phase of the project will have no measurable impact on the traffic and/or transportation infrastructure.

### 4.0 CONCLUSION

As demonstrated in the traffic analysis, the construction period will not produce significant operational changes to existing roadways. All roadways within the project area will continue to operate at LOS B or better during peak construction traffic. Although no significant adverse traffic impacts are expected during project construction or operation, using mitigation measures such as ridesharing between construction workers, using appropriate traffic controls, or allowing flexible working hours outside of peak hours could be implemented to minimize any potential for delays during the AM and PM peak hours.

## HUMMINGBIRD SOLAR PROJECT

Appendix A

## Appendix A

TRAFFIC COUNTS AND CLASSIFICATION DATA

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 05/03/2017 through 05/05/2017

035081 Fleming R Minor Collector R Minor Collector 035-KY-3301-000 @

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 08
Growth Factor Grp: 08

|  | Sun, Apr 30, 2017 |  |  | Mon, May 1, 2017 |  |  | Tue, May 2, 2017 |  |  | Wed, May 3, 2017 |  |  | Thu, May 4, 2017 |  |  | Fri, May 5, 2017 |  |  | Sat, May 6, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |  |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 408 |  |  | 525 |  |  | 103 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:00 |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 62 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18:00 |  |  | 17:00 |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 955 |  |  | . 955 |  |  | . 955 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 995 |  |  | . 953 |  |  | . 860 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 489 |  |  | . 489 |  |  | . 489 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 09/01/2020 through 09/03/2020



## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/24/2019 through 06/27/2019

035087
Fleming
R Minor Collector
035-KY-3301-000

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 08
035-KY-3301 2
08

|  | Sun, Jun 23, 2019 |  |  | Mon, Jun 24, 2019 |  |  | Tue, Jun 25, 2019 |  |  | Wed, Jun 26, 2019 |  |  | Thu, Jun 27, 2019 |  |  | Fri, Jun 28, 2019 |  |  | Sat, Jun 29, 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 13 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  | 38 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 29 |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 32 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 48 |  |  | 39 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 32 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 377 |  |  | 548 |  |  | 594 |  |  | 98 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 767 |  |  | . 714 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:00 |  |  | 8: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 53 |  |  | 54 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  | . 779 |  |  | . 9 |  |  | . 735 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  | 16:45 |  |  | 16: 15 |  |  | 12:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | 1.008 |  |  | . 985 |  |  | . 998 |  |  | . 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 05/14/2019 through 05/17/2019

068517
Lewis
R Minor Collector
068-KY-1237-000

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 2
08
068-KY-1237-000
Growth Factor Grp:
08

|  | Sun, May 12, 2019 |  |  | Mon, May 13, 2019 |  |  | Tue, May 14, 2019 |  |  | Wed, May 15, 2019 |  |  | Thu, May 16, 2019 |  |  | Fri, May 17, 2019 |  |  | Sat, May 18, 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 400 |  |  | 462 |  |  | 446 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 636 |  |  | . 529 |  |  | . 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10:00 |  |  | 10:45 |  |  | 8: 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  | 47 |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 7 |  |  | . 691 |  |  | . 788 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13:00 |  |  | 16: 45 |  |  | 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 931 |  |  | . 931 |  |  | . 931 |  |  | . 931 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 977 |  |  | . 982 |  |  | . 970 |  |  | . 863 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/08/2020 through 06/11/2020



## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/12/2017 through 06/14/2017

068761
Lewis
R Minor Collector
068-KY-1237-000

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 08
068-KY-1237-000 08
08

|  | Sun, Jun 11, 2017 |  |  | Mon, Jun 12, 2017 |  |  | Tue, Jun 13, 2017 |  |  | Wed, Jun 14, 2017 |  |  | Thu, Jun 15, 2017 |  |  | Fri, Jun 16, 2017 |  |  | Sat, Jun 17, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 39 |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  | 43 |  |  | 44 |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  | 41 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  | 41 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 47 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 49 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 58 |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 79 |  |  | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 43 |  |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 41 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 45 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 675 |  |  | 845 |  |  | 232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 55 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 79 |  |  | 74 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  | 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | 1.016 |  |  | 1.015 |  |  | . 984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 488 |  |  | . 488 |  |  | . 488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 05/14/2019 through 05/16/2019



## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/24/2019 through 06/27/2019

## 035042

 leming R Minor Collector 35-K 035-KY-0559 -000 @ 13.200 From: DUDLEYSeasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 08
Growth Factor Grp:

|  | Sun, Jun 23, 2019 |  |  | Mon, Jun 24, 2019 |  |  | Tue, Jun 25, 2019 |  |  | Wed, Jun 26, 2019 |  |  | Thu, Jun 27, 2019 |  |  | Fri, Jun 28, 2019 |  |  | Sat, Jun 29, 2019 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  | 23 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 28 |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 44 |  |  | 31 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 26 |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 18 |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  | 5 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 304 |  |  | 400 |  |  | 437 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 725 |  |  | . 625 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10: 15 |  |  | 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 34 |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  | . 676 |  |  | . 708 |  |  | . 469 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  | 16:15 |  |  | 15:15 |  |  | 15:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | 1.008 |  |  | . 985 |  |  | . 998 |  |  | . 962 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  | . 495 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 04/06/2021 through 04/08/2021

035054 leming R Minor Collector R Minor Collector

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 08
Growth Factor Grp: 08
08

|  | Sun, Apr 4, 2021 |  |  | Mon, Apr 5, 2021 |  |  | Tue, Apr 6, 2021 |  |  | Wed, Apr 7, 2021 |  |  | Thu, Apr 8, 2021 |  |  | Fri, Apr 9, 2021 |  |  | Sat, Apr 10, 2021 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 |  |  | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 76 |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 83 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 79 |  |  | 89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 90 |  |  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 75 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  | 38 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 957 |  |  | 1,138 |  |  | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 |  |  | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 813 |  |  | . 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15:45 |  |  | 16:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 930 |  |  | . 943 |  |  | . 948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/24/2019 through 06/27/2019



## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 04/27/2020 through 04/29/2020

035091 leming R Minor Collector 035-KY-0344-000@ 1.800 From: KY 989

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp:
Growth Factor Grp:

|  | Sun, Apr 26, 2020 |  |  | Mon, Apr 27, 2020 |  |  | Tue, Apr 28, 2020 |  |  | Wed, Apr 29, 2020 |  |  | Thu, Apr 30, 2020 |  |  | Fri, May 1, 2020 |  |  | Sat, May 2, 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30 |  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 48 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 48 |  |  | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 64 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 75 |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 71 |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 38 |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 19 |  |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 417 |  |  | 802 |  |  | 356 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 688 |  |  | . 663 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10:00 |  |  | 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | . 942 |  |  | . 930 |  |  | . 943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  | . 494 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 08/16/2017 through 08/18/2017

035091 Fleming R Minor Collector
035-KY-0344-000 @ 1.800 From: KY 989

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp:
Growth Factor Grp:

|  | Sun, Aug 13, 2017 |  |  | Mon, Aug 14, 2017 |  |  | Tue, Aug 15, 2017 |  |  | Wed, Aug 16, 2017 |  |  | Thu, Aug 17, 2017 |  |  | Fri, Aug 18, 2017 |  |  | Sat, Aug 19, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 61 |  |  | 56 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 53 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 49 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 70 |  |  | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 52 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 |  |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 73 |  |  | 80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |  |  | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 |  |  | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37 |  |  | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 38 |  |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 726 |  |  | 984 |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11:00 |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 95 |  |  | 93 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  | 17:00 |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 957 |  |  | . 957 |  |  | . 957 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 990 |  |  | . 939 |  |  | . 867 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 489 |  |  | . 489 |  |  | . 489 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |

Count Class Distribution for
04/27/2020
through 04/29/2020

Site names:
County:
Funct Class:
Location:
035002
Fleming
R Major Collector
035-KY-0057-000 @ 5.407 From: KY 3301 To: KY 344

|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Pos Lane1 | Neg Lane1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ .18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .16 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .20 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .16 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .20 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| CAR | $\begin{gathered} 1,997 \\ 51.39 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 966 \\ 50.63 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,031 \\ 52.12 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 966 \\ 50.63 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,031 \\ 52.12 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| PU | $\begin{gathered} 1,343 \\ 34.56 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 643 \\ 33.70 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700 \\ 35.39 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 643 \\ 33.70 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 700 \\ 35.39 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| BUS | $\begin{gathered} 42 \\ 1.08 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1.36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \\ & .81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \\ 1.36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ .81 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2D | $\begin{gathered} 352 \\ 9.06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 9.59 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 8.54 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ 9.59 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 8.54 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 3 | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ .18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .15 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .15 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 4+ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ .10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ .10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ .10 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \\ .10 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 4- | $\begin{gathered} 130 \\ 3.35 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 4.04 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.68 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 77 \\ 4.04 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.68 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 5 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .08 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .16 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .16 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 6+ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 5- | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .03 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 7+ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| NA | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| UNCLS | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 539 \\ 13.87 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296 \\ 15.51 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 243 \\ 12.29 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296 \\ 15.51 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 243 \\ 12.29 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Combo Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 3.45 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 4.25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.68 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 81 \\ 4.25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.68 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Classified | $\begin{gathered} 3,886 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,908 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,978 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,908 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,978 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Unclassified | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 3,886 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,908 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,978 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,908 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,978 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 07
Growth Factor Grp: 07

Count Class Distribution for
06/03/2014
through 06/05/2014

Site names:
County:
Funct Class:
Location:
035002
Fleming
R Major Collector
035-KY-0057-000 @ 5.407 From: KY 3301 To: KY 344

|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Pos Lane1 | Neg Lane1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ .49 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ .65 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .33 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ .65 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .33 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| CAR | $\begin{gathered} 2,259 \\ 64.64 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,104 \\ 65.33 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,1555 \\ 63.99 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,104 \\ 65.33 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,155 \\ 63.99 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| PU | $\begin{gathered} 888 \\ 25.41 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 413 \\ 24.44 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 475 \\ 26.32 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 413 \\ 24.44 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 475 \\ 26.32 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| BUS | $\begin{gathered} 19 \\ .54 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ .53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ .55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \\ .53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ .55 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2D | $\begin{gathered} 133 \\ 3.81 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 3.85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 3.77 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ 3.85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 \\ 3.77 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 3 | $\begin{gathered} 27 \\ .77 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 1.01 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ .55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ 1.01 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ .55 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 4+ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \\ .31 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ .28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \\ .28 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 4- | $\begin{gathered} 54 \\ 1.55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 1.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1.77 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ 1.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1.77 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 5 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 2.23 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 2.25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 2.22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ 2.25 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ 2.22 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 6+ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \\ .20 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .18 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .22 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 5- | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 6 | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 7+ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .03 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| NA | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| UNCLS | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .03 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 330 \\ 9.44 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 9.53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 9.36 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 161 \\ 9.53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 169 \\ 9.36 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Combo Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 140 \\ 4.01 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 3.79 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 4.21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ 3.79 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 76 \\ 4.21 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Classified | $\begin{gathered} 3,494 \\ 99.97 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,689 \\ 99.94 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,805 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,689 \\ 99.94 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,805 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Unclassified | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .03 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .06 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 3,495 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,690 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,805 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,690 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,805 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp:
2
07
07

Count Class Distribution for 04/26/2022

| Site names: | 035103 |
| :--- | :--- |
| County: | Fleming |
| Funct Class: | R Major Collector |
| Location: | 035-KY-0057-000 @ 1.202 From: KY 597 To: KY 57X |


|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Pos Lane1 | Neg Lane1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | 10 <br> $15 \%$ | 3 <br> $09 \%$ | 7 <br> $20 \%$ | 3 <br> $09 \%$ | 7 <br> $20 \%$ |

Count Class Distribution for 05/16/2018

Site names:
County:
Funct Class:
Location:
035103
Fleming
R Major Collector
035-KY-0057-000 @ 1.202 From: KY 597 To: KY 57X

|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Pos Lane1 | Neg Lane1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MC | $\begin{gathered} 10 \\ .22 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .17 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \\ .28 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ .17 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| CAR | $\begin{gathered} 2,452 \\ 54.97 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,136 \\ 53.53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,336 \\ 56.26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,1366 \\ 53.53 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,316 \\ 56.26 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| PU | $\begin{gathered} 1,332 \\ 29.86 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627 \\ 29.55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 705 \\ 30.14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 627 \\ 29.55 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 705 \\ 30.14 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| BUS | $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ .85 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ .75 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ .94 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \\ .75 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \\ .94 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2D | $\begin{gathered} 288 \\ 6.46 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 7.26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 5.73 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 154 \\ 7.26 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 134 \\ 5.73 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 3 | $\begin{gathered} 78 \\ 1.75 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ 2.87 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ .73 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 61 \\ 2.87 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17 \\ .73 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| SU 4+ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ .78 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1.37 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ .14 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ 1.37 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 4- | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ 2.24 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ 2.21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.27 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 47 \\ 2.21 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 53 \\ 2.27 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 5 | $\begin{gathered} 125 \\ 2.80 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 3.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 2.35 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ 3.30 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \\ 2.35 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| ST 6+ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .02 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 5- | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .02 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .05 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 6 | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .02 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .04 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ .04 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| MT 7+ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| NA | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| UNCLS | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 667 \\ 14.95 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 353 \\ 16.64 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 314 \\ 13.42 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 353 \\ 16.64 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 314 \\ 13.42 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Combo Trucks | $\begin{gathered} 228 \\ 5.11 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 5.61 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ 4.66 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 5.61 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 109 \\ 4.66 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Classified | $\begin{gathered} 4,461 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,122 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,339 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,122 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,339 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Unclassified | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ .00 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 4,461 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,122 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,339 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,122 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,339 \\ 100.00 \% \end{gathered}$ |

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 07
Growth Factor Grp: 07

# Kentucky Transportation Cabinet 

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 04/27/2020 through 04/29/2020

035002
Fleming
R Major Collector
035-KY-0057-000

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 07
035-KY-0057

|  | Sun, Apr 26, 2020 |  |  | Mon, Apr 27, 2020 |  |  | Tue, Apr 28, 2020 |  |  | Wed, Apr 29, 2020 |  |  | Thu, Apr 30, 2020 |  |  | Fri, May 1, 2020 |  |  | Sat, May 2, 2020 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 9 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 5 | 10 | 13 | 5 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 46 | 15 | 31 | 39 | 9 | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 57 | 17 | 40 | 64 | 20 | 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 130 | 28 | 102 | 119 | 28 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 101 | 41 | 60 | 102 | 37 | 65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 44 | 45 | 103 | 45 | 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 120 | 59 | 61 | 129 | 58 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 118 | 54 | 64 | 152 | 77 | 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 | 62 | 76 | 130 | 63 | 67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 136 | 69 | 67 | 142 | 72 | 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 152 | 71 | 81 | 147 | 83 | 64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 155 | 84 | 71 | 158 | 73 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 156 | 100 | 56 | 151 | 91 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 190 | 112 | 78 | 190 | 124 | 66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 118 | 69 | 49 | 92 | 65 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 67 | 40 | 27 | 76 | 37 | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 56 | 30 | 26 | 62 | 33 | 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 39 | 23 | 16 | 34 | 16 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 13 | 7 | 6 | 19 | 11 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 952 | 536 | 416 | 1,919 | 947 | 972 | 1,015 | 425 | 590 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 133 | 60 | 102 | 153 | 78 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 693 | . 789 | . 607 | . 797 | . 65 | . 802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:30 | 10: 15 | 7:00 | 10:45 | 10: 45 | 7:15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 199 | 139 | 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 905 | . 772 | . 759 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16:45 | 16: 45 | 15:00 |  |  | : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 | 1.244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | . 942 | . 942 | . 942 | . 930 | . 930 | . 930 | . 943 | . 943 | . 943 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Kentucky Transportation Cabinet 

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 05/03/2017 through 05/05/2017

035002
Fleming
R Major Collector
035-KY-0057-000

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp: 07
035-KY-0057

|  | Sun, Apr 30, 2017 |  |  | Mon, May 1, 2017 |  |  | Tue, May 2, 2017 |  |  | Wed, May 3, 2017 |  |  | Thu, May 4, 2017 |  |  | Fri, May 5, 2017 |  |  | Sat, May 6, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 | 4 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 | 6 | 20 | 33 | 12 | 21 |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 9 | 13 | 16 | 6 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45 | 11 | 34 | 35 | 5 | 30 |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 89 | 28 | 61 | 73 | 20 | 53 |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 | 36 | 128 | 157 | 39 | 118 |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 116 | 37 | 79 | 113 | 37 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 109 | 47 | 62 | 126 | 62 | 64 |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 107 | 53 | 54 | 103 | 47 | 56 |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 111 | 53 | 58 | 145 | 66 | 79 |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 104 | 56 | 48 | 151 | 83 | 68 |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 138 | 80 | 58 | 132 | 63 | 69 |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 154 | 76 | 78 | 142 | 73 | 69 |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 143 | 78 | 65 | 159 | 90 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 163 | 102 | 61 | 175 | 113 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 168 | 118 | 50 | 157 | 97 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 123 | 75 | 48 | 84 | 50 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 | 57 | 29 | 58 | 40 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 | 56 | 32 | 62 | 46 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 51 | 28 | 23 | 30 | 13 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 7 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 13 | 9 | 18 | 12 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 869 | 543 | 326 | 1,964 | 973 | 991 | 1,254 | 528 | 726 |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 164 | 53 | 128 | 157 | 66 | 118 |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 7:00 | 10:00 | 7:00 | 7:00 | 11:00 | 7:00 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 113 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | : | 16:00 | 16:00 | 14:00 | : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 | . 955 |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 995 | . 995 | . 995 | . 953 | . 953 | . 953 | . 860 | . 860 | . 860 |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 | . 500 |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 | 2.000 |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 04/06/2021 through 04/08/2021

035104 Fleming R Major Collector 035-KY-0057-000 @ 2.147 From: KY 57X

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp:
Growth Factor Grp:

|  | Sun, Apr 4, 2021 |  |  | Mon, Apr 5, 2021 |  |  | Tue, Apr 6, 2021 |  |  | Wed, Apr 7, 2021 |  |  | Thu, Apr 8, 2021 |  |  | Fri, Apr 9, 2021 |  |  | Sat, Apr 10, 2021 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |  |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |  |  | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42 |  |  | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 50 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |  | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 263 |  |  | 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 194 |  |  | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 208 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 216 |  |  | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 201 |  |  | 194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 228 |  |  | 233 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 214 |  |  | 245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 241 |  |  | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 309 |  |  | 236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 324 |  |  | 367 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 336 |  |  | 329 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 179 |  |  | 214 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 152 |  |  | 172 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |  |  | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88 |  |  | 83 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 58 |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,841 |  |  | 3,704 |  |  | 1,929 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 281 |  |  | 287 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 798 |  |  | . 854 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7:30 |  |  | 7:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 879 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16: 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  | 1.244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 930 |  |  | . 943 |  |  | . 948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 492 |  |  | . 492 |  |  | . 492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/08/2020 through 06/11/2020

| Site names: County: Funct Class: Location: | 068811 <br> Lewis <br> R Major Collector |  |  |  |  | Seasonal Factor Grp: <br> Daily Factor Grp: <br> Axle Factor Grp: <br> Growth Factor Grp: |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 07 \\ & 07 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sun, Jun 7, 2020 |  |  | Mon, Jun 8, 2020 |  |  | Tue, Jun 9, 2020 |  |  | Wed, Jun 10, 2020 |  |  | Thu, Jun 11, 2020 |  |  | Fri, Jun 12, 2020 |  |  | Sat, Jun 13, 2020 |  |  |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 |  |  | 32 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 74 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 78 |  |  | 80 |  |  | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 144 |  |  | 138 |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 141 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 129 |  |  | 134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 151 |  |  | 154 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  | 147 |  |  | 161 |  |  | 183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  | 146 |  |  | 156 |  |  | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  | 178 |  |  | 165 |  |  | 166 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 160 |  |  | 173 |  |  | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 162 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 184 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 178 |  |  | 178 |  |  | 205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 214 |  |  | 200 |  |  | 198 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 121 |  |  | 133 |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 89 |  |  | 98 |  |  | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 73 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 61 |  |  | 36 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 32 |  |  | 37 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,578 |  |  | 2,387 |  |  | 2,535 |  |  | 536 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  |  |  |  | 175 |  |  | 183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 875 |  |  | . 775 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10:30 |  |  | 11:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 214 |  |  | 206 |  |  | 212 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  | . 836 |  |  | . 817 |  |  | . 914 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  | 17:00 |  |  | 16:30 |  |  | 15:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | . 921 |  |  | . 921 |  |  | . 921 |  |  | . 921 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | . 998 |  |  | 1.000 |  |  | . 976 |  |  | . 960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 492 |  |  | . 492 |  |  | . 492 |  |  | . 492 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Kentucky Transportation Cabinet

## Short-term Hourly Traffic Volume for 06/12/2017 through 06/14/2017

Site names: County: Funct Clas
Location: Lewis R Major Collector @ . 308 From: ??? To: ???

Seasonal Factor Grp: 2
Daily Factor Grp: 2
Axle Factor Grp:
Growth Factor Grp:

2
07
07

|  | Sun, Jun 11, 2017 |  |  | Mon, Jun 12, 2017 |  |  | Tue, Jun 13, 2017 |  |  | Wed, Jun 14, 2017 |  |  | Thu, Jun 15, 2017 |  |  | Fri, Jun 16, 2017 |  |  | Sat, Jun 17, 2017 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg | Road | Pos | Neg |
| 00:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 02:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 03:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |  | 77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 96 |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 08:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 148 |  |  | 133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 09:00 |  |  |  | 99 |  |  | 115 |  |  | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10:00 |  |  |  | 147 |  |  | 118 |  |  | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11:00 |  |  |  | 119 |  |  | 128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 |  |  |  | 121 |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00 |  |  |  | 137 |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14:00 |  |  |  | 119 |  |  | 149 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15:00 |  |  |  | 116 |  |  | 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00 |  |  |  | 155 |  |  | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00 |  |  |  | 162 |  |  | 199 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18:00 |  |  |  | 186 |  |  | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19:00 |  |  |  | 136 |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20:00 |  |  |  | 79 |  |  | 87 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21:00 |  |  |  | 64 |  |  | 79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22:00 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23:00 |  |  |  | 29 |  |  | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 1,709 |  |  | 2,211 |  |  | 645 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 148 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Fct |  |  |  | 0 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak Hr |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Vol |  |  |  | 186 |  |  | 199 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Fct |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PM Peak Hr |  |  |  | 18:00 |  |  | 17:00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Seasonal Fct |  |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  | . 950 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Daily Fct |  |  |  | 1.016 |  |  | 1.015 |  |  | . 984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axle Fct |  |  |  | . 484 |  |  | . 484 |  |  | . 484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pulse Fct |  |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  | 2.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Peek Traffic
5401 N Sam Houston Pkwy W
Houston, Tx 77086
1-800-848-7025

## Cesinnal $\Rightarrow$ ¢roup

## Volume by Lane



Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Monday, October 19, 2009
Interval
All Lanes

14:00
14
15:00 33
16:00
13
17:00
18
18:00
16
19:00 13
20:00 2
21:00 3
22:00 0
23:00 0
00:00 0
01:00 0
02:00
0
03:00
0
04:00
0
05:00
1
06:00
8
07:00
12
08:00
24
09:00 18
10:00 10
11:00
9
12:00 12
13:00 19
24 Hour Total AM Peak

24 (starting at 08:00:00)
PM Peak
33 (starting at 15:00:00)

Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Tuesday, October 20, 2009
Interval All Lanes11
15:00 ..... 16
16:00 ..... 6
17:00 ..... 15
18:00 ..... 15
19:00 ..... 13
20:00 ..... 4
21:00 ..... 2
22:00 ..... 7
23:00 ..... 0
00:00 ..... 0
01:00 ..... 1
02:00 ..... 0
03:00 ..... 0
04:00 ..... 0
05:00 ..... 0
06:00 ..... 6
07:00 ..... 14
08:00 ..... 10
09:00 ..... 10
10:00 ..... 7
11:00 ..... 11
12:00 ..... 3
13:00
165
AM Peak 14 (starting at 07:00:00)
PM Peak 16 (starting at 15:00:00)
Average Interval ..... 8
Maximum in one Interval ..... 33
Grand Total ..... 390

## PEEK <br> Peek Traffic <br> 5401 N Sam Houston Pkwy W <br> Houston, Tx 77086 <br> 1-800-848-7025 <br> Cosinnal $\Rightarrow$ ¢roup

## Volume by Lane



Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Monday, October 19, 2009

| Interval | All Lanes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14:00 | 8 |
| 15:00 | 17 |
| 16:00 | 10 |
| 17:00 | 12 |
| 18:00 | 10 |
| 19:00 | 1 |
| 20:00 | 5 |
| 21:00 | 5 |
| 22:00 | 2 |
| 23:00 | 0 |
| 00:00 | 0 |
| 01:00 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 1 |
| 04:00 | 1 |
| 05:00 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 5 |
| 07:00 | 19 |
| 08:00 | 8 |
| 09:00 | 6 |
| 10:00 | 7 |
| 11:00 | 11 |
| 12:00 | 6 |
| 13:00 | 4 |
| 24 Hour Total | 138 |



19 (starting at 07:00:00)
PM Peak
17 (starting at 15:00:00)

Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Tuesday, October 20, 2009

| Interval | All Lanes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 14:00 | 4 |
| 15:00 | 3 |
| 16:00 | 14 |
| 17:00 | 14 |
| 18:00 | 8 |
| 19:00 | 6 |
| 20:00 | 5 |
| 21:00 | 3 |
| 22:00 | 1 |
| 23:00 | 1 |
| 00:00 | 1 |
| 01:00 | 0 |
| 02:00 | 0 |
| 03:00 | 2 |
| 04:00 | 2 |
| 05:00 | 0 |
| 06:00 | 7 |
| 07:00 | 12 |
| 08:00 | 5 |
| 09:00 | 7 |
| 10:00 | 7 |
| 11:00 | 9 |
| 12:00 | 3 |
| 13:00 | 7 |
| 24 Hour Total | 121 |
| AM Peak | 12 (starting at 07:00:00) |
| PM Peak | 14 (starting at 16:00:00) |
| Average Interval | 5 |
| Maximum in one Interval | 19 |
| Grand Total | 259 |

Peek Traffic
5401 N Sam Houston Pkwy W
Houston，Tx 77086
1－800－848－7025

## Volume by Lane



Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Monday, October 19, 2009
Interval
All Lanes

12:00
4
13:00 13
14:00
7
15:00 8
16:00 9
17:00 2
18:00 9
19:005

20:00 6
21:00 5
22:00
0

23:00 0

00:00 0
01:00
0

02:00
0

03:00 0
04:00 0
05:00
0
06:00
4

07:00 2
08:00 4
09:00 4
10:00 12
11:00 10
24 Hour Total
AM Peak
104

PM Peak
12 (starting at 10:00:00)
PM Peak 13 (starting at 13:00:00)

Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Tuesday, October 20, 2009
Interval All Lanes

12:00
5
13:00 7
14:00 15
15:00 15
16:00 13
17:00 10
18:00 8
19:00 2
20:00 0
21:00 0
22:00 1

23:00 0
00:00 0
01:00 0
02:00 0
03:00 0
04:00 3
05:00 2
06:00 3
07:00 5
08:00 13
09:00 4
10:00 12
11:00 8
24 Hour Total 126
AM Peak
13 (starting at 08:00:00)
PM Peak 15 (starting at 14:00:00)

## Average Interval

Maximum in one Interval
Grand Total 230

## PEEK <br> $<$ sinnal $\Rightarrow$ 甲「ロир

## Volume by Lane



Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Monday, October 19, 2009
Interval
All Lanes

12:00
15
13:00 25
14:00
31
15:0031

16:00 33
17:00 37
18:00 32
19:00 25
20:00 13
21:00 10

22:00 9

23:00 1
00:001

01:00 0
02:00 1
03:00 1
04:00 2
05:00 9
06:00 8
07:00 27

08:00 14
09:00 11
10:00 13
11:00 17
24 Hour Total AM Peak

366

## PM Peak

Hasta 24 horas comenzando en Tuesday, October 20, 2009

| Interval | All Lanes |
| :--- | ---: |
| 12:00 | 21 |
| 13:00 | 17 |
| 14:00 | 26 |
| 15:00 | 28 |
| 16:00 | 36 |
| 17:00 | 31 |
| 18:00 | 26 |
| 19:00 | 14 |
| $20: 00$ | 4 |
| $21: 00$ | 11 |
| $22: 00$ | 5 |
| $23: 00$ | 1 |
| $00: 00$ | 0 |
| $01: 00$ | 0 |
| $02: 00$ | 2 |
| $03: 00$ | 0 |
| $04: 00$ | 0 |
| $05: 00$ | 6 |
| $06: 00$ | 11 |
| $07: 00$ | 25 |
| $08: 00$ | 17 |
| $09: 00$ | 25 |
| 10:00 | 20 |
| $11: 00$ | 25 |
| 24 Hour Total | 351 |

## AM Peak

25 (starting at 07:00:00)
PM Peak 36 (starting at 16:00:00)

## Average Interval

Maximum in one Interval
Grand Total37

717

## HUMMINGBIRD SOLAR PROJECT

Appendix B

## Appendix B

HIGHWAY CAPACITY SOFTWARE (HCS 2022) FILES
EXISTING
CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

EXISTING

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | CR 1027 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2575 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 6.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66210 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.30998 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59048 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2575 | - | - | 20.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.40 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> $\mathbf{v e h}-\mathbf{h / p}$ | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | CR 1027 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2575 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 6.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66210 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.30998 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59048 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2575 | - | - | 20.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.40 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.3 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS <br> Existing PM CR |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 3301 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 33.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 48.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.13556 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46639 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.71076 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 48.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 48.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.37 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1732 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.2 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.90478 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.22654 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78245 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 1732 | - | - | 50.6 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 6.9 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.39 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.41926 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.44983 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.72120 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 53.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.22 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1796 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 2.9 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.19448 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.21184 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.80011 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1796 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2565 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.2 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.43628 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.39290 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73652 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2565 | - | - | 53.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 9.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2067 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.4 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03029 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20996 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.79496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2067 | - | - | 52.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 52.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.5 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4526 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.5 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.48395 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.35008 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74489 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4526 | - | - | 54.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.7 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 3016 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.8 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03813 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.18454 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.80498 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3016 | - | - | 52.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 52.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3185 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.40708 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.38055 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73849 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tangent | 3185 | - | - | 53.0 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 53.0 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 8.8 |
| Segm | ment Travel Time, minutes | 0.68 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.0 |
| Vehicl | le LOS | A | $\square$ |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 25 | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 3301 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 33.3 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 48.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.13556 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46639 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.71076 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 48.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 48.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.37 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1732 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.2 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.90478 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.22654 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78245 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 1732 | - | - | 50.6 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 7.1 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.39 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.41926 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.44983 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.72120 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 53.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.22 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1796 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 2.9 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.19448 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.21184 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.80011 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1796 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2565 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.2 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.43628 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.39290 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73652 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2565 | - | - | 53.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 9.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2067 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.4 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03029 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62836 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20996 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.79496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2067 | - | - | 52.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 52.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.7 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4526 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.5 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.48395 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.35008 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74489 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4526 | - | - | 54.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.9 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 3016 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.8 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 52.8 |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62836 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03813 | -1.18454 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.80498 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | \%olmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |  |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3016 | - | - | 52.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3185 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 30 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.93 .0 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.40708 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73849 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.1 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tangent | 3185 | - | - | 53.0 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 53.0 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 9.8 |
| Segm | ment Travel Time, minutes | 0.68 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicl | le LOS | A | $\square$ |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 27 | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 1237 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 18.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 14 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.44540 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.34677 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72875 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8337 | - | - | 52.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 5.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.80 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8264 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 21.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dem | and/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.40003 |  | Spee | d Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.35159 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.72676 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | provement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 8264 |  | - | - | 51.9 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 51.9 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 9.2 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.81 |  | Follo | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p |  | VHD veh-h/p |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 15 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 1237 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 18.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 23 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.44540 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.34677 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72875 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8337 | - | - | 52.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.80 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8264 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 21.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 43 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dem | and/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.40003 |  | Spee | d Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.35159 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.72676 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | provement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 8264 |  | - | - | 51.9 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 51.9 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 12.7 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.81 |  | Follo | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p |  | VHD veh-h/p |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 24 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.1 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 989 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 5412 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 6 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.00 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52422 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.33772 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74619 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 5412 | - | - | 54.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 3.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.13 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 6410 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 6 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dem | and/Capacity (D/C) | 0.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.51015 |  | Spee | d Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.33606 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.74237 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | rovement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 6410 |  | - | - | 54.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 54.2 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 3.1 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.34 |  | Follo | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p |  | VHD veh-h/p |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 3 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |


| Speed Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $55-$ |  |  |  |
| Speed (mi/h) <br> -50 |  |  | - Speed > 60 <br> O $50<$ Speed $\leq 60$ <br> O $40<$ Speed $\leq 50$ <br> O $30<$ Speed $\leq 40$ <br> O $20<$ Speed $\leq 30$ <br> Speed $\leq 20$ |
|  | AP1 |  |  |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 989 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 5412 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 10.7 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 13 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52422 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.33772 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74619 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 5412 | - | - | 54.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 5.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.13 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 6410 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 13 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dema | nd/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.51015 |  | Speed | Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.33606 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.74237 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | rovement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 6410 |  | - | - | 54.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 54.2 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 5.1 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.34 |  | Follow | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 7 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |


| Speed Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $55-$ |  |  |  |
| Speed (mi/h) <br> -50 |  |  | - Speed > 60 <br> O $50<$ Speed $\leq 60$ <br> O $40<$ Speed $\leq 50$ <br> O $30<$ Speed $\leq 40$ <br> O $20<$ Speed $\leq 30$ <br> Speed $\leq 20$ |
|  | AP1 |  |  |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 559 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2862 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 31.5 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20852 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.40969 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72659 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2862 | - | - | 49.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.66 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2899 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 52.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.02857 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.60883 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20604 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.79798 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2899 | - | - | 52.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.2 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 11.0 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.63 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4715 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.44342 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.35294 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74299 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4715 | - | - | 53.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.01 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 4.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.22057 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.60883 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.25169 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78709 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 317 | - | - | 56.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.06 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3168 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52656 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.36536 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74471 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3168 | - | - | 55.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.91831 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60883 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.25193 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77544 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.1 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 12302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 8.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.59986 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.36038 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.70449 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 12302 | - | - | 55.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.8 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 45 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 40.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.72189 | -1.50480 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.68051 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1003 | - | - | 40.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 40.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.28 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1869 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 57.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 24.4 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.84278 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.60433 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1869 | - | - | 24.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 24.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 73 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 559 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2862 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 31.5 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20852 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.40969 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72659 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2862 | - | - | 49.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.66 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2899 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 44 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 52.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03197 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.60561 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20906 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.79721 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2899 | - | - | 52.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.2 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 11.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.63 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4715 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.44342 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.35294 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74299 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4715 | - | - | 53.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.01 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 4.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 44 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.22398 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60561 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.25480 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.78639 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 317 | - | - | 56.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.06 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3168 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 8.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52656 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.36536 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74471 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3168 | - | - | 55.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 44 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.92171 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60561 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.25510 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77474 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 12302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 8.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.59986 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.36038 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.70449 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 12302 | - | - | 55.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.8 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 45 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 40.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.72189 | -1.50480 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.68051 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1003 | - | - | 40.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 40.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.28 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1869 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 57.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 59 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 24.4 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.84278 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.60433 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1869 | - | - | 24.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 24.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 80 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 344 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 35 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 29.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.10943 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.46561 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62573 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 512 | - | - | 29.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 29.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.20 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2518 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 27.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 35 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 27 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 2 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.5 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.62179 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20383 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77717 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2518 | - | - | 50.5 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.5 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 8.5 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.57 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 327 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 35 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.29099 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46577 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71525 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 327 | - | - | 51.3 |

Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 51.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.07 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1592 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.35025 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.44258 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72248 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 52.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.35 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.91171 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.61936 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.24427 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.77686 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 9.2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.34 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 30.0 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20969 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47482 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71104 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 49.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 49.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.2 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 10.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.70657 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.16319 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78625 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.4 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 0.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.61619 | -1.42067 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73029 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 57.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.10 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 5.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.65161 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.20105 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.79250 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2112 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.43 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 28.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.23679 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47191 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71247 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 50.3 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 50.3 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 13.2 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ mi/ln | LOS |
| 1 | 20 | 0.00 |  | 0.1 | A |
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## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 344 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 29.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.10943 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46561 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62573 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 512 | - | - | 29.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 29.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 21.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.20 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2518 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 27.1 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 43 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.5 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.60526 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21726 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77397 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2518 | - | - | 50.5 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.5 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 12.5 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.57 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 327 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.29099 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46577 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71525 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 327 | - | - | 51.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 51.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.07 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1592 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.35025 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.44258 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72248 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 52.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.35 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.92891 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60294 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26041 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77331 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.34 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 30.0 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20969 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47482 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71104 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 49.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.67969 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.18377 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78189 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.3 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 0.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.61619 | -1.42067 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73029 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 57.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.10 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 5.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.63164 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.21718 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78859 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2112 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.43 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 28.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.23679 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47191 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71247 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 50.3 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 50.3 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 19.3 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VMT } \\ & \text { veh-mi/p } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{n}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 35 | 0.00 |  | 0.2 | A |



[^1]
## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | KY 57 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3833 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 24.7 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.31561 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.37832 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73598 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3833 | - | - | 51.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 51.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.85 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 32 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 54.3 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.10797 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.61544 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.25102 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78296 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 528 | - | - | 54.3 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.3 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 13.0 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.11 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2580 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 4.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 59.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.07289 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.78235 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.11374 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.81930 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2580 | - | - | 59.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 59.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.49 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1400 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 60.1 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.53696 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.77922 | -1.47099 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73766 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.2 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1400 | - | - | 60.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 60.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1399 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 60.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.68039 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.22401 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.79499 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1399 | - | - | 60.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 60.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4187 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.9 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.7 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56613 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.34280 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74945 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4187 | - | - | 55.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.85 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4905 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 35 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 39.8 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 25.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.91896 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.32538 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.8 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4905 | - | - | 25.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 25.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.4 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.8 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1162 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.0 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 45 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.63900 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26501 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77411 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1162 | - | - | 55.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8686 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.3 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.69306 | -1.31619 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73942 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.3 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8686 | - | - | 57.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.72 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1177 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 28.0 |  |

Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 45 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.93442 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60522 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26835 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77025 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1177 | - | - | 50.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 11

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 11.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56256 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.42277 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72994 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1420 | - | - | 56.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.29 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 12

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 10212 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 83 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.60216 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.33893 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72336 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 10212 | - | - | 55.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.8 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 13

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 608 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 189 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.11 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 |  | 57 |  |
| Intermediate Results | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.42136 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71538 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.52652 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.3 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 608 | - | - | 56.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 37.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 1.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results





## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | KY 57 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3833 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 24.7 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.31561 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.37832 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73598 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.6 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3833 | - | - | 50.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 25.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.86 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.6 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 68 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.07 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 54.3 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.13495 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.59025 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27577 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77756 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 528 | - | - | 53.9 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.9 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 22.3 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.11 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2580 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 59.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.16555 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74144 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.14385 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.81165 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2580 | - | - | 59.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 59.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.50 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1400 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 60.1 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.53696 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.77922 | -1.47099 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73766 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.6 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1400 | - | - | 59.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 59.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 27.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.27 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.6 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1399 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 68 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 60.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.64975 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.24948 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78919 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1399 | - | - | 60.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 60.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 21.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4187 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.9 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.7 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56613 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.34280 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74945 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4187 | - | - | 55.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.85 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4905 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 35 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 39.8 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 25.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.91896 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.32538 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.7 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4905 | - | - | 25.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 25.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 23.0 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.7 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.22 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1162 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 55.8 |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.64288 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.77479 |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | \% | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.26168 |  | 0.0 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1162 | - | - | 55.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8686 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.3 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.69306 | -1.31619 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73942 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.2 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8686 | - | - | 57.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.72 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1177 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 28.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.93104 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60842 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26517 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77093 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1177 | - | - | 50.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 11

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 11.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56256 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.42277 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72994 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1420 | - | - | 56.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.29 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 12

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 10212 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 74 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.60216 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.33893 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72336 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 10212 | - | - | 55.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.5 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.10 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 13

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 608 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 230 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.14 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.97 .3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.42136 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.52652 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.7 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 608 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 41.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 1.7 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | CR 1037 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2558 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 14.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 15 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 18.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.55508 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27783 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.57790 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2558 | - | - | 18.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 18.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT veh-mi/p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | CR 1037 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2558 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 14.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 18 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 18.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.55508 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27783 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.57790 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2558 | - | - | 18.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 18.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS <br> Existing PM CR |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | CR 1036 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 20 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.58682 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27434 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.58479 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3025 | - | - | 19.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 19.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.77 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | CR 1036 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 15 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.58682 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27434 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.58479 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3025 | - | - | 19.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 19.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.77 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> $\mathbf{v e h}-\mathbf{h / p}$ | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing AM |
| Project Description | CR 1030 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4172 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 13 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66165 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27316 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.59682 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4172 | - | - | 20.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 9.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.31 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Existing PM |
| Project Description | CR 1030 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4172 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 12 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66165 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27316 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.59682 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4172 | - | - | 20.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.31 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2 | 0.00 | 0.0 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS <br> Existing PM CR |  |  |  |

## CONSTRUCTION

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | CR 1027 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2575 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 6.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 41 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66210 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.30998 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59048 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2575 | - | - | 20.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.40 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.00 | 0.4 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | CR 1027 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2575 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 6.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 54 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66210 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.30998 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59048 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2575 | - | - | 20.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.40 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 6 | 0.00 | 0.5 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 3301 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 33.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 48.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.13556 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46639 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.71076 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 48.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 48.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.37 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1732 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.2 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.91212 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.23351 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78085 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 1732 | - | - | 50.6 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 8.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.39 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.41926 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.44983 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.72120 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 53.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.22 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1796 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 2.9 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.20182 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.21865 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.79846 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1796 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2565 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.2 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.43628 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.39290 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73652 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2565 | - | - | 53.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2067 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.4 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03763 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21680 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.79330 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2067 | - | - | 52.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 52.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.3 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4526 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.5 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.48395 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.35008 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74489 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4526 | - | - | 54.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.7 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 3016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.8 |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 52.8 |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62113 |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.04547 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.80322 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.19121 | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3016 | - | - | 52.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 7.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3185 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 34 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.93 .0 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.40708 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73849 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.1 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3185 | - | - | 53.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 53.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.68 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 34 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 3301 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 33.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 48.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.13556 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46639 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.71076 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 48.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 48.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.37 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1732 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.2 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.91212 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.23351 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78085 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 1732 | - | - | 50.6 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 9.0 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.39 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.41926 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.44983 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.72120 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 53.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.22 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1796 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 2.9 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.20182 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.21865 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.79846 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1796 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2565 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.2 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.43628 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.39290 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73652 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2565 | - | - | 53.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2067 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.4 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03763 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62113 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21680 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.79330 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2067 | - | - | 52.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 52.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.6 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4526 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.5 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.48395 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.35008 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74489 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4526 | - | - | 54.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.0 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.95 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 3016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.8 |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 26 |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 37 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 52.8 |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62113 |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.04547 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.80322 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.19121 | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3016 | - | - | 52.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 52.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 8.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3185 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 0.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.40708 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.38055 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.73849 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3185 | - | - | 53.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 53.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.68 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 36 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 1237 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 18.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 19 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.44540 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.34677 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72875 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8337 | - | - | 52.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 7.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.80 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8264 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 21.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 36 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dem | and/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.40003 |  | Spee | d Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.35159 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.72676 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | provement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 8264 |  | - | - | 51.9 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 51.9 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 11.3 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.81 |  | Follo | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p |  | VHD veh-h/p |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 20 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.1 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 1237 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 18.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 32 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.44540 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.34677 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72875 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8337 | - | - | 52.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.80 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8264 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 21.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 57 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dem | and/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.9 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.40003 |  | Spee | d Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.35159 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.72676 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | rovement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 8264 |  | - | - | 51.9 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 51.9 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 15.6 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.81 |  | Follo | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p |  | VHD veh-h/p |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 33 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.1 | A |




## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 989 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 5412 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 9 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52422 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.33772 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74619 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 5412 | - | - | 54.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 3.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.13 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 6410 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 9 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dema | nd/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.51015 |  | Speed | Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.33606 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.74237 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | rovement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 6410 |  | - | - | 54.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 54.2 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 3.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.34 |  | Follow | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 4 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |


| Speed Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $55-$ |  |  |  |
| Speed (mi/h) <br> -50 |  |  | - Speed > 60 <br> O $50<$ Speed $\leq 60$ <br> O $40<$ Speed $\leq 50$ <br> O $30<$ Speed $\leq 40$ <br> O $20<$ Speed $\leq 30$ <br> Speed $\leq 20$ |
|  | AP1 |  |  |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 989 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 5412 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 10.7 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 17 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52422 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.33772 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74619 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 5412 | - | - | 54.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 6.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.13 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 6410 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 17 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h |  | 1700 |  | Dema | nd/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class |  | 1 |  | Free- | Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) |  | 3.51015 |  | Speed | Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) |  | -1.33606 |  | PF Po | wer Coefficient (p) | 0.74237 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? |  | No |  | Total | Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers |  | 0.0 |  | \%Imp | rovement to Speed | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft |  | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 | Tangent | 6410 |  | - | - | 54.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 54.2 |  | Perce | nt Followers, \% | 6.3 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 1.34 |  | Follow | wer Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.0 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 9 |  | 0.00 |  | 0.0 | A |


| Speed Distribution |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $55-$ |  |  |  |
| Speed (mi/h) <br> -50 |  |  | - Speed > 60 <br> O $50<$ Speed $\leq 60$ <br> O $40<$ Speed $\leq 50$ <br> O $30<$ Speed $\leq 40$ <br> O $20<$ Speed $\leq 30$ <br> Speed $\leq 20$ |
|  | AP1 |  |  |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 559 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2862 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 31.5 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20852 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.40969 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72659 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2862 | - | - | 49.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.66 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2899 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 55 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.04 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 52.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.03967 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.59843 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21582 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.79548 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2899 | - | - | 52.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.2 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 13.9 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.63 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4715 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.44342 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.35294 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74299 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4715 | - | - | 53.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.01 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 4.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 55 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.23168 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59843 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26178 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.78483 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 317 | - | - | 56.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.06 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3168 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52656 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.36536 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74471 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3168 | - | - | 55.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 55 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.92941 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59843 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26220 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77317 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.2 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | A |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 12302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 8.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.59986 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.36038 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.70449 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 12302 | - | - | 55.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.2 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 45 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 40.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.72189 | -1.50480 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.68051 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1003 | - | - | 40.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 40.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.28 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1869 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 57.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.04 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 24.4 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.84278 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.60433 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1869 | - | - | 24.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 24.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.7 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 98 | 0.00 | 0.3 | A |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2022 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 559 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2862 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 31.5 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.20852 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.40969 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72659 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2862 | - | - | 49.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.66 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2899 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 60 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 52.2 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.04360 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.59482 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21923 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.79461 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.2 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2899 | - | - | 52.2 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.2 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 15.0 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.63 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4715 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 15.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 53.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.44342 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.35294 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74299 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4715 | - | - | 53.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.01 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 4.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 60 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.23561 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.59482 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.26530 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78405 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 317 | - | - | 56.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.06 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3168 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.3 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.52656 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.36536 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.74471 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3168 | - | - | 55.2 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.2 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.65 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 60 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.6 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.93334 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59482 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26579 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77239 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.36 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 12302 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 8.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.59986 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.36038 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.70449 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 12302 | - | - | 55.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 55.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.3 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.54 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1003 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 45 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 40.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.72189 | -1.50480 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.68051 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1003 | - | - | 40.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 40.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 23.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.28 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1869 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 57.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 79 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 24.4 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.84278 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.60433 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1869 | - | - | 24.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 24.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 25.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.8 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| $\mathbf{T}$ | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> $\mathbf{v e h}-\mathbf{h} / \mathbf{p}$ | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 108 | 0.00 | 0.3 | A |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 344 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 29.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.10943 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.46561 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62573 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 512 | - | - | 29.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 29.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.20 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2518 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 27.1 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 40 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.03 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.5 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.60723 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21564 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77436 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2518 | - | - | 50.5 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.5 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 11.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.57 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 327 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 53 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.29099 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46577 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71525 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 327 | - | - | 51.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 51.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.07 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1592 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.35025 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.44258 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72248 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 52.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.35 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.92396 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.60760 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.25581 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.77432 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.34 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 30.0 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20969 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47482 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71104 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 49.8 |  |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  | 49.8 |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Percent Followers, \% | 17.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 10.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.68727 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.17787 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78312 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.7 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.33 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 0.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.61619 | -1.42067 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73029 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 57.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 16.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.10 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 5.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 41 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.63731 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.21256 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.78971 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2112 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.43 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 28.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 56 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.03 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.23679 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47191 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71247 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| \# | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Tangent | 1056 | - | - | 50.3 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h |  | 50.3 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 17.3 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes |  | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS |  | A |  |  |  |
| Facility Results |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | VMT veh-mi/p | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VHD } \\ & \text { veh-h/p } \end{aligned}$ |  | Follower Density, followers/ $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | LOS |
| 1 | 30 | 0.00 |  | 0.2 | A |



[^2]
## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 344 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 512 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 35 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 86 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 29.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.10943 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.46561 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62573 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.8 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 512 | - | - | 29.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 29.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 27.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.20 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.8 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2518 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 27.1 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 64 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 86 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 50.5 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.58813 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.23157 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77061 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 2518 | - | - | 50.5 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.5 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 17.0 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.57 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 327 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 86 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.29099 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.46577 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71525 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 327 | - | - | 51.3 |

Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 51.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.07 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1592 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 20.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 52.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.35025 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.44258 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72248 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 52.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 52.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.35 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1525 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 26.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.94536 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.58788 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27544 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.77005 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 50.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 19.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.34 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 30.0 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 49.8 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.20969 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47482 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71104 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 49.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 25.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1584 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 10.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 54.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.65542 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.20321 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.77793 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1584 | - | - | 54.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.3 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.33 | A |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS |  |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 0.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.61619 | -1.42067 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73029 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 528 | - | - | 57.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 57.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 23.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.11 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2112 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 5.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 72 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.61333 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.23244 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78494 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2112 | - | - | 56.0 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.0 | Percent Followers, \% | 18.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.43 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1056 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 28.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 101 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.06 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.23679 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.47191 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71247 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |



## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | KY 57 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3833 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 24.7 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 91 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.31561 | Speed Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.37832 | PF Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.73598 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.4 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3833 | - | - | 51.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 51.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 21.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.85 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 528 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |
| Demand and Capacity | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 91 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.05 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 54.3 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.12113 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.60294 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.26325 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.78028 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 528 | - | - | 54.3 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.3 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 17.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.11 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2580 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 91 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 59.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.11812 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.76192 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.12853 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.81549 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2580 | - | - | 59.3 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 59.3 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.49 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1400 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 91 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 60.1 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.53696 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.77922 | -1.47099 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73766 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.3 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, $\%$ | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1400 | - | - | 60.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 60.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1399 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 91 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 48 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.05 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 60.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.66519 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.23651 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.79213 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1399 | - | - | 60.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 60.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 17.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.3 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4187 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.9 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.7 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56613 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.34280 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74945 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/In | 0.6 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4187 | - | - | 54.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 54.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.6 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4905 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 35 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 39.8 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 25.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.91896 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.32538 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4905 | - | - | 24.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 24.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 30.3 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 1.5 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.25 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1162 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 55.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62152 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.28024 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.77103 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1162 | - | - | 55.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8686 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.3 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.69306 | -1.31619 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73942 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | \%olmprovement to Speed |  |  |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8686 | - | - | 56.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.75 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1177 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 28.0 |  |

Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 67 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.95000 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59085 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.28278 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.76722 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.6 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1177 | - | - | 50.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.6 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 11

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 11.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56256 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.42277 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72994 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.6 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1420 | - | - | 55.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 26.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.29 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.6 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 12

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 10212 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 124 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.60216 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.33893 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72336 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.6 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 10212 | - | - | 54.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 25.7 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.6 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 13

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 608 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 284 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.17 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.97 .3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.42136 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.52652 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 2.4 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 608 | - | - | 55.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 46.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 2.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | B |  |  |

## Facility Results




|  | veh-mi/p | veh-h/p | $\mathbf{m i} / \mathbf{l n}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 223 | 0.06 | 0.6 | A |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | KY 57 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3833 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 24.7 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 187 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.11 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 51.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.31561 | Speed Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.37832 | PF Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.73598 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.2 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3833 | - | - | 49.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 49.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 33.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.87 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 1.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 2

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 528 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.0 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 187 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 102 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |


| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) |  | 0.11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  | 54.3 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.15417 |  | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.57329 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.29266 | PF Power Coefficient (p) |  | 0.77391 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln |  | 1.0 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed |  | 0.0 |
| Subsegment Data |  |  |  |  |
| \# Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| 1 Tangent | 528 | - | - | 53.5 |
| Vehicle Results |  |  |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | 53.5 | Percent Followers, \% |  | 29.8 |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.11 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln |  | 1.0 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |

## Segment 3

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 2580 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 187 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 102 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.11 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 3 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 59.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.23153 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.71457 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.16478 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.80653 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.8 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2580 | - | - | 58.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 58.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 26.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.50 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.8 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1400 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.7 |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 187 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.11 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 |  | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 60.1 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.53696 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 4.77922 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.1 |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.73766 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1400 | - | - | 58.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 58.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 34.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.27 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 1.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 5

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1399 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 6 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 187 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 102 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.11 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 2 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 60.6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.11550 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62914 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.26730 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.78517 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.9 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1399 | - | - | 59.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 59.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 28.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.27 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.9 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |
| Segment 6 |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Inputs |  |  |  |
| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4187 |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.9 |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |
| Intermediate Results |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.7 |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56613 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.34280 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.74945 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%Improvement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4187 | - | - | 55.1 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.1 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.86 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 7

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4905 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | 35 | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 39.8 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 25.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.91896 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.32538 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.62496 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 1.3 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4905 | - | - | 24.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 24.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 28.6 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 1.3 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.24 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 8

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1162 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 8.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 55.8 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.62611 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.27620 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.77185 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed |  |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1162 | - | - | 55.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 20.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.24 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.4 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 8686 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.3 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 57.3 |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.69306 | -1.31619 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.73942 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | \%olmprovement to Speed |  |  |  |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 8686 | - | - | 56.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 56.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 22.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.74 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 10

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Zone | Length, ft | 1177 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 10 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 28.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 61 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 50.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 2.94585 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.59462 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27898 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.76801 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |


| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1177 | - | - | 50.7 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 50.7 | Percent Followers, \% | 21.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.26 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 11

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 1420 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 12 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 11.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 56.3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.56256 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.42277 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72994 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 1420 | - | - | 55.8 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 25.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.29 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Segment 12

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 10212 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 55 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.4 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 112 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.07 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 55.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.60216 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.33893 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.72336 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.5 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 10212 | - | - | 54.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Results | 54.8 | Percent Followers, \% | 24.0 |  |  |
| Average Speed, mi/h | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.5 |  |  |  |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.12 |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |  |  |

## Segment 13

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 608 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 11 | Shoulder Width, ft | 1 |  |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 4.0 |  |  |
| Demand and Capacity | 55 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |  |
| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 345 | Total Trucks, \% | 3.50 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.20 |  |  |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 |  |  |  |
| Intermediate Results | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h |  |  |  |
| Segment Vertical Class | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.97 .3 |  |  |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.42136 |  |  |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | 3.11550 | -1.52652 | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 3.2 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |  |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 |  |  |  |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 608 | - | - | 55.6 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 55.6 | Percent Followers, \% | 51.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 0.12 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 3.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | B |  |  |

## Facility Results
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## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | CR 1037 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2558 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 14.0 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 22 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 18.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.55508 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27783 | PF Power Coefficient (p) | 0.57790 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2558 | - | - | 18.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 18.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 13.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS TNW |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | CR 1037 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 2558 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 14.0 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 18.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.55508 | Speed Power Coefficient (p) | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27783 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.57790 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 2558 | - | - | 18.9 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 18.9 | Percent Followers, \% | 14.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.54 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | CR 1036 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, $\mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{h}$ | Access Point Density, pts $/ \mathrm{mi}$ | 12.1 |  |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 30 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.02 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.58682 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27434 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.58479 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3025 | - | - | 19.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 19.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 15.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.77 | Follower Density (FD), followers $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.2 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.2 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | CR 1036 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 3025 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 12.1 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 22 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 19.4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.58682 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27434 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.58479 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 3025 | - | - | 19.4 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 19.4 | Percent Followers, \% | 12.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 1.77 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCSTM Highways Version 2022 <br> Construction PM CR $1036 . x u f$ | Generated: $05 / 12 / 2022$ 17:17:43 |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction AM |
| Project Description | CR 1030 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4172 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 21 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66165 | Speed Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient $(\mathrm{m})$ | -1.27316 | PF Power Coefficient $(\mathrm{p})$ | 0.59682 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh $/ \mathrm{mi} / \mathrm{ln}$ | 0.1 |
| \%Improvement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4172 | - | - | 20.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 11.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.31 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |

## HCS Two-Lane Highway Report

## Project Information

| Analyst | ATW | Date | $5 / 4 / 2022$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency | Stantec | Analysis Year | 2023 |
| Jurisdiction |  | Time Analyzed | Construction PM |
| Project Description | CR 1030 | Units | U.S. Customary |

## Segment 1

## Vehicle Inputs

| Segment Type | Passing Constrained | Length, ft | 4172 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lane Width, ft | 9 | Shoulder Width, ft | 0 |
| Speed Limit, mi/h | 25 | Access Point Density, pts/mi | 7.6 |

## Demand and Capacity

| Directional Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 18 | Opposing Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | - |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | Total Trucks, \% | 2.00 |
| Segment Capacity, veh/h | 1700 | Demand/Capacity (D/C) | 0.01 |

## Intermediate Results

| Segment Vertical Class | 1 | Free-Flow Speed, mi/h | 20.5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Speed Slope Coefficient (m) | 1.66165 | Speed Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.41674 |
| PF Slope Coefficient (m) | -1.27316 | PF Power Coefficient $(p)$ | 0.59682 |
| In Passing Lane Effective Length? | No | Total Segment Density, veh/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| \%lmprovement to Percent Followers | 0.0 | \%lmprovement to Speed | 0.0 |

## Subsegment Data

| $\#$ | Segment Type | Length, ft | Radius, ft | Superelevation, \% | Average Speed, mi/h |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Tangent | 4172 | - | - | 20.5 |

## Vehicle Results

| Average Speed, mi/h | 20.5 | Percent Followers, \% | 10.8 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Segment Travel Time, minutes | 2.31 | Follower Density (FD), followers/mi/ln | 0.1 |
| Vehicle LOS | A |  |  |

## Facility Results

| T | VMT <br> veh-mi/p | VHD <br> veh-h/p | Follower Density, followers/ <br> $\mathbf{m i / l n}$ | LOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 | 0.00 | 0.1 | A |
| Copyright © 2022 University of Florida. All Rights Reserved. | HCS |  |  |  |
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