COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:		
AN ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION OF THE)	
APPLICATION OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS & ELECTRIC)	CASE NO. 2022-00266

COMPANY FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2021

THROUGH APRIL 30, 2022

RESPONSE OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 13, 2022

FILED: SEPTEMBER 30, 2022

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
	1
)
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Delbert Billiter**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director – Coal Supply and By-Products Marketing for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Delbert Billiter

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 27th day of September 2022.

Notary Public

Notary Public ID No. KYNP5338/

My Commission Expires:

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
)
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	ì

The undersigned, **Michael P. Drake**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director – Generation Services for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Michael P. Drake

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this Xyday of Automatical 23, 202 2-2022.

Notary Public

Notary Public ID. No. KYNP55/19

My Commission Expires:

August 20th, 2026

FRANK A, SALVAGNE
Notary Public
Commonwealth of Kentucky
Commission Number KYNP55119
My Commission Expires Aug 20, 2026

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
	1
	,
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Andrea M. Fackler**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Manager - Revenue Requirement/Cost of Service for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief.

Andrea M. Fackler

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and

State, this HSt day of September

2022.

Notary Public

Notary Public ID No. KKNA5338/

My Commission Expires:

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, Charles R. Schram, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director - Power Supply for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State this Am day of September 2022.

Notary Public ID No. <u>KYNP 5 338/</u>

My Commission Expires:

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
	1
	,
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	1
	- 2

The undersigned, **Stuart A. Wilson**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director, Energy Planning, Analysis & Forecasting for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Stuart A. Wilson

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and

State, this 23rd day of September

2022

Notary Public

Notary Public ID No. KYNP 5338/

My Commission Expires:

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 1

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

- Q-1. For the period under review, provide the amount of coal purchased in tons and the percentage of purchases that were spot versus contract.
- A-1. During the period under review, the Company purchased 3,041,168 tons of coal.

Spot: 12.9% (391,737 tons)

Contract: 87.1% (2,649,431 tons)

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 2

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

- Q-2. For the period under review, list each coal purchase made under a long-term contract (one year or greater). For each purchase, list:
 - a. Contract or purchase order number;
 - b. The supplier's name;
 - c. The location(s) of production facilities from which the coal is sourced;
 - d. The method of delivery, (i.e., barge, truck, rail, other);
 - e. The actual quantity received during the review period;
 - f. The tonnage requirement for the review period; and
 - g. Current price paid per ton.
- A-2. See attached. Page 1 of the attachment shows only the actual quantity received by the Company under each contract, and Page 2 shows the actual combined quantity received by KU and LG&E under each contact. Page 2 better reflects contract performance since most contracts are joint contracts between the two companies.

November 1	, 2021 through April 30, 2022					
(a)	(b)	(c) Location of	(d) Delivery	(e) Actual Quantity	(f) Tonnage	(g) Current Price**
Contract/PO	Supplier	Production Facility	Method	Received	Requirement*	(\$/ton)
J17004B	ACNR Coal Sales, Inc	IL, WV	Barge	186,825	727,589	\$45.15-IL, \$44.15-WV
J21002	ACNR Coal Sales, Inc	W-KY	Rail, Barge	529,352	333,333	\$41.85
J18009	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	28,810	110,485	\$38.426-KY, \$41.15-WV
J21003	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Rail	527,825	500,000	\$41.35
J21004	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	851,074	1,666,667	\$39.396-KY, \$41.946-WV
J21010	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Barge	258,574	366,667	\$38.10
J21027	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Barge	174,382	250,000	\$36.00
J21028	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	138,089	225,000	\$53.80-KY, \$57.75-WV
J20001B	Alpha Thermal Coal Sales	PA	Barge	-	70,176	\$40.70
J20002	Arch Coal Sales Company Inc.	WY	Barge	-	257,333	\$13.06
J20024	Coal Network LLC	multi-state	Barge	6,408	15,000	\$25.00
J22014	Coal Network LLC	ОН	Barge	-	13,000	\$56.67
J21011	Foresight Coal Sales LLC	IL	Barge	27,376	188,577	\$31.60
J20001C	Iron Coal Sales LLC	PA	Barge	-	187,976	\$46.34
J21001	Knight Hawk Coal, LLC	IL	Barge	160,636	250,000	\$38.61
J21022	Knight Hawk Coal, LLC	IL	Barge	70,958	138,889	\$36.00
J21009	Peabody COALSALES LLC	IN	Rail, Barge, Truck	-	330,000	\$34.47
J22003	Peabody COALSALES LLC	IL	Barge	79,266	133,333	\$43.10
J20006	Western Kentucky Minerals, Inc	W-KY	Barge	1,594	55,000	\$46.33
				3,041,168		
·	rement is a ratable volume for combined LC		ipments		A 1	
Prices vary by	source/transportation type. Prices as of 4	130/22			Attachment	to Response to Question No. 2
						BILLITER
						Page 1 of 2

November 1	, 2021 through April 30, 2022					
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)
		Location of	Delivery	Actual Quantity	Tonnage	Current Price**
Contract/PO	Supplier	Production Facility	Method	Received	Requirement*	(\$/ton)
J17004B	ACNR Coal Sales, Inc	IL, WV	Barge	574,067	727,589	\$45.15-IL, \$44.15-WV
J21002	ACNR Coal Sales, Inc	W-KY	Rail, Barge	529,352	333,333	\$41.85
J18009	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	75,111	110,485	\$38.426-KY, \$41.15-WV
J21003	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Rail	527,825	500,000	\$41.35
J21004	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	1,553,687	1,666,667	\$39.396-KY, \$41.946-WV
J21010	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Barge	376,658	366,667	\$38.10
J21027	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY	Barge	315,709	250,000	\$36.00
J21028	Alliance Coal LLC	W-KY, WV	Barge	282,524	225,000	\$53.80-KY, \$57.75-WV
J20001B	Alpha Thermal Coal Sales	PA	Barge	78,093	70,176	\$40.70
J20002	Arch Coal Sales Company Inc.	WY	Barge	395,927	257,333	\$13.06
J20024	Coal Network LLC	multi-state	Barge	18,989	15,000	\$25.00
J22014	Coal Network LLC	OH	Barge	9,768	13,000	\$56.67
J21011	Foresight Coal Sales LLC	IL	Barge	98,325	188,577	\$31.60
J20001C	Iron Coal Sales LLC	PA	Barge	103,374	187,976	\$46.34
J21001	Knight Hawk Coal, LLC	IL	Barge	221,262	250,000	\$38.61
J21022	Knight Hawk Coal, LLC	IL	Barge	90,420	138,889	\$36.00
J21009	Peabody COALSALES LLC	IN	Rail, Barge, Truck	245,440	330,000	\$34.47
J22003	Peabody COALSALES LLC	IL	Barge	79,266	133,333	\$43.10
J20006	Western Kentucky Minerals, Inc	W-KY	Barge	1,594	55,000	\$46.33
				5,577,390	5,819,025	
	rement is a ratable volume for combined LG		ipments			
**Prices vary by	source/transportation type. Prices as of 4/	30/22			Attachment	to Response to Question No. 2
						BILLITER
						Page 2 of 2

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 3

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

- Q-3. As of the last day of the review period:
 - a. State the coal inventory level in tons and in number of days' supply. Provide this information by generating station and in the aggregate.
 - b. Describe the criteria used to determine number of days' supply.
 - c. State the target coal inventory level for each generating station and for the total system.
 - d. If actual coal inventory exceeds the target inventory by ten days' supply, state the reasons for the excess inventory.
 - e. State whether any significant changes in the current coal inventory target are expected within the next 12 months. If so, state the expected change and the reasons for this change.

A-3.

a. As of April 30, 2022:

Mill Creek	401,612 Tons; 27 Days	Target 20-39 Days
Trimble County ¹	148,402 Tons; 33 Days	Target 21-43 Days
Total	550,014 Tons; 27 Days	Target 19-38 Days

b. The method of calculating days in inventory is based on each plant's coal burn capability (coal tons in inventory divided by 90% of each generating unit's heat input description from its air permit to operate).

Upper and lower days of inventory targets were established for each plant taking into consideration the plant's operating parameters. Each plant's "least cost" inventory range is established annually during the planning process

¹Trimble County coal inventory tons is LG&E's allocated ownership of both high sulfur coal, which is used in Unit 1 and Unit 2, and PRB coal, which is used only in Unit 2. The days in inventory and target range are calculated on a combined basis regardless of ownership.

taking into account the risk of coal delivery disruptions, potential coal burn volatility, procurement reaction time for short term coal supply, cost of unserved energy, and current coal and electricity prices.

- c. See (a) above.
- d. Not applicable.
- e. As mentioned in previous filings, inventory targets are evaluated during the Companies' annual planning cycle and adjustments to the inventory targets are made if warranted. The Company anticipates that inventory targets may increase above those currently listed a result of additional risk to the coal supply chain identified over the past year.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 4

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

- Q-4. List each written coal supply solicitation issued during the period under review.
 - a. For each solicitation, provide the date of the solicitation, the type of solicitation (contract or spot), the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.
 - b. For each solicitation, state the number of vendors to whom the solicitation was sent, the number of vendors who responded, and the selected vendor. Provide the bid tabulation sheet or corresponding document that ranked the proposals. (This document should identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.

A-4. a. SOLICITATION 1 - LGE KU 22-01

Date: January 10, 2022

Contract/Spot: Spot

Quantities: No minimum or maximum specified

Quality: Suitable for KU's Ghent Station, LG&E's Mill Creek

Station, and KU's and LG&E's Trimble County Station Period: Q1/2022 through Q4/2022 – Spot

Generating Units: All units at Ghent, Mill Creek, and Trimble County

Stations

b. Number of vendors sent a solicitation: 73

Number of vendors responded: 4 companies / 5 offers

Selected vendor(s): The vendors selected were based upon the lowest evaluated delivered cost, operational and environmental requirements of the coal units, and other factors impacting coal supply reliability as noted on the attached bid evaluation sheets.

High Sulfur Coal - Spot

Alliance Coal, LLC – J22013 / 2nd lowest Q2 through Q4 2022 offer Coal Network, LLC – J22014 / lowest Q1 through Q4 2022 offer

The bid analysis information is confidential and proprietary and is being provided under seal pursuant to a petition for confidential protection.

a. SOLICITATION 2 - LGE KU 22-04

Date: March 29, 2022 Contract/Spot: Contract and Spot

Quantities: No minimum or maximum specified

Quality: Suitable for KU's Ghent Station, LG&E's Mill Creek

Station, and KU's and LG&E's Trimble County Station

Period: Q3/2022 through 2033

Generating Units: All units at Ghent, Mill Creek, and Trimble County Stations

b. Number of vendors sent a solicitation: 72

Number of vendors responded: 10 companies / 15 offers

Selected vendor(s): The vendors selected were based upon the lowest evaluated delivered cost, operational and environmental requirements of the coal units, and other factors impacting coal supply reliability as noted on the attached bid evaluation sheets.

High Sulfur Coal

No Purchases

Powder River Basin Coal – Contract

Arch Coal Sales Company, Inc. – J23005 (lowest 2023 and 2025 offer and the second lowest offer in 2024

The bid analysis information is confidential and proprietary and is being provided under seal pursuant to a petition for confidential protection.

The entire attachment is Confidential and provided separately under seal.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 5

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

- Q-5. List each oral coal supply solicitation issued during the period under review.
 - a. For each solicitation, state why the solicitation was not written, the date(s) of the solicitation, the quantities solicited, a general description of the quality of coal solicited, the period over which deliveries were requested, and the generating unit(s) for which the coal was intended.
 - b. For each solicitation, identify all vendors solicited and the vendor selected. Provide the tabulation sheet or other document that ranks the proposals. (This document should identify all vendors who made offers.) State the reasons for each selection. For each lowest-cost bid not selected, explain why the bid was not selected.
- A-5. There were no oral coal supply solicitations during the period under review.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 6

Responding Witness: Charles R. Schram

- Q-6. For the period under review, list each vendor from whom natural gas was purchased for generation and the quantities and the nature of each purchase (i.e., spot or contract).
- A-6. See attached list of vendors, associated quantities, and the nature of each natural gas purchase.

Attachment to Response to Question No. 6 Page 1 of 1 Schram

<u>Vendor</u>	Type ¹	MMBTU
BP Energy Company	Spot	378,000
Castleton Commodities Merchant Trading L.P.	Spot	40,200
CIMA Energy, LP	Spot	110,412
Constellation Energy Generation, LLC	Spot	20,200
Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC	Spot	24,280
DTE Energy Trading, Inc.	Spot	100,475
Eco-Energy Natural Gas, LLC	Spot	183,117
EDF Trading North America, LLC	Spot	89,700
Engie Energy Marketing NA, Inc.	Spot	1,199,997
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	Spot	5,200
Hartree Partners, LP	Spot	70,000
J Aron & Company, LLC	Spot	444,965
Koch Energy Services, LLC	Spot	304,907
Macquarie Energy, LLC	Spot	79,800
Meico, LLC	Spot	11,500
Mitsui & Co. Energy Marketing and Services (USA	Spot	9,985
NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC	Spot	267,000
NJR Energy Services Company	Spot	25,000
Sequent Energy Management, L.P.	Spot	191,548
Shell Energy North America (US), L.P.	Spot	314,191
Southwest Energy, L.P.	Spot	4,576,062
Spire Marketing Inc.	Spot	49,000
Spotlight Energy, LLC	Spot	12,398
Symmetry Energy Solutions, LLC	Spot	50,000
Tenaska Marketing Ventures	Spot	9,972,500
Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, L.L.C.	Spot	-7,409
Tennessee Valley Authority	Spot	15,000
Trailstone Energy Marketing, LLC	Spot	10,000
Twin Eagle Resource Management, LLC	Spot	2,323,000
Uniper Global Commodities North America LLC	Spot	45,000
United Energy Trading, LLC	Spot	1,083,870
Vitol Inc.	Spot	1,182,996
Wells Fargo Commodities, LLC	Spot	1,066,493
XTO Energy Inc.	Spot	224,780
Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc.	GSO Customer ²	663
LG&E Gas Supply	Special Contract ³	115,981
EDF Trading North America, LLC	Forward	1,799,989
J Aron & Company, LLC	Forward	1,209,946
NextEra Energy Marketing, LLC	Forward	1,520,000
Grand Total		29,120,746
Granu rotal		29,120,746

¹ Spot refers to gas purchases delivered during the next gas day following the transaction. Friday transactions include Sat, Sun, & Mon (holiday weekends can cover four days). Forward refers to gas purchased for delivery periods typically starting after the current month.

² KU is a General Sales Other (GSO) customer of Columbia Gas of Kentucky for the Haefling Plant; no volume purchase commitments.

³ LG&E is the local gas distribution company; no volume purchase commitments.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 7

Responding Witness: Charles R. Schram

- Q-7. For the period under review, state whether there were any instances in which a natural gas generating unit could not be operated when it otherwise would have run due to pipeline constraints or natural gas being unavailable.
- A-7. There were no instances of generating unit restrictions due to pipeline constraints or natural gas unavailability.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 8

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter / Charles R. Schram

- Q-8. State whether there have been any changes to hedging activities for coal or natural gas purchases used for generation since the previous FAC review proceeding. If so, describe the changes in detail.
- A-8. The Company does not engage in financial hedging activities for its coal or natural gas purchases.

There have been no changes to the physical hedging practices or guidelines since the previous review proceeding.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 9

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter / Charles R. Schram

- Q-9. State whether LG&E has audited any of its fuel or transportation contracts during the period under review. If so, for each audited contract:
 - a. Identify the contract;
 - b. Identify the auditor; and
 - c. State the results of the audit, and describe the actions that LG&E took as a result of the audit.
- A-9. No. The Company has not conducted any financial audits of fuel or transportation contracts.

The Company's Manager Coal Services or Mining Engineer conducts scheduled on-site reviews and inspections of the mining operations, scales and sampling systems of each vendor up to twice a year, and likewise may conduct unscheduled visits. Additionally, Company employees may visit a vendor as needed to address problems and issues at any time.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 10

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter / Charles R. Schram

Q-10.

- a. State whether LG&E is currently involved in any litigation with its current or former fuel suppliers or transportation vendors. If so, for each litigation:
 - (1) Identify the supplier or vendor;
 - (2) Identify the contract involved;
 - (3) State the potential liability or recovery to LG&E;
 - (4) List the issues presented; and
 - (5) Provide a copy of the complaint or other legal pleading that initiated the litigation and any answers or counterclaims. If a copy has previously been filed with the Commission, provide the date on which it was filed and the case in which it was filed.
- b. State the current status of all litigation with suppliers or vendors.
- A-10. The Company is not currently involved in litigation with any fuel suppliers or transportation vendors.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 11

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter / Charles R. Schram

Q-11.

- a. For the period under review, state whether there have been any changes to LG&E's written policies and procedures regarding its fuel procurement. If yes:
 - (1) Describe the changes;
 - (2) Provide the written policies and procedures as changed;
 - (3) State the date(s) the changes were made; and
 - (4) Explain why the changes were made.
- b. If no, provide the date LG&E's current fuel procurement policies and procedures were last changed, provide the date when they were last provided to the Commission, and identify the proceeding in which they were provided.

A-11.

- a. No.
- b. The Power Supply Commodity Policy Natural Gas Fuel for Generation was last updated January 1, 2020 and was provided to the Commission in Case No. 2020-00248 in response to Question No. 1-11.

The Coal Supply and By-Products Marketing Procedures were last updated January 17, 2022 and were provided to the Commission in Case No. 2022-00039 in response to Question No. 1-11.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 12

Responding Witness: Stuart A. Wilson

Q-12. For the period under review, list all firm power commitments for (a) purchases and (b) sales. This list shall identify the electric utility, the amount of commitment in megawatts, and the purpose of the commitment (i.e., peaking, emergency).

A-12.

a. Firm Purchases

The firm purchases from Ohio Valley Electric Corporation (OVEC) for the review period are shown in the table below. The Company purchased its participation ratio (5.63%) of the OVEC released capacity for each month of the period under review.

			LG&E	
		Companies'	Portion	
Utility	Period	Amt (MW)	(MW)	Purpose
OVEC	Nov 2021	~ 154	~ 107	Baseload
OVEC	Dec 2021	~ 158	~ 109	Baseload
OVEC	Jan 2022	~ 158	~ 109	Baseload
OVEC	Feb 2022	~ 158	~ 109	Baseload
OVEC	Mar 2022	~ 158	~ 109	Baseload
OVEC	Apr 2022	~ 117	~ 81	Baseload

b. Firm Sales

None.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 13

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-13. Provide a monthly billing summary of sales to all electric utilities for the period under review.
- A-13. See attached.



Nional Ended: November 50 Mont					P	Billing Components		•
		Type of	_			Fuel	Other	Total
Company		Transaction	KWH	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)
Sales								,
ETC ENDURE	ETC	Economy	89,000		\$	3,614.17	\$ 5,789.47	\$ 9,403.64
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	5,092,000		\$	181,933.65	\$ 291,436.28	\$ 473,369.93
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	963,000		\$	15,571.55	\$ 24,890.12	\$ 40,461.67
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	4,096,000		\$	131,579.01	\$ 210,774.05	\$ 342,353.06
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	1,920,000		\$	54,644.37	\$ 87,533.84	\$ 142,178.21
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	1,353,000		\$	50,212.30	\$ 80,434.30	\$ 130,646.60
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	1,630,000		\$	54,093.46	\$ 86,651.36	\$ 140,744.82
THE ENERGY AUTHORITY	TEA	Economy	5,000		\$	88.76	\$ 142.19	\$ 230.95
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	TVA	Economy	411,000		\$	17,124.05	\$ 27,430.73	\$ 44,554.78
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	144,452,000		\$	3,435,904.12	\$ 6.76	\$ 3,435,910.88
Total Sales		•	160,011,000 \$	\$ -	\$	3,944,765.44	\$ 815,089.10	\$ 4,759,854.54



Month Ended. December 51 2021												
			_	Billing Components								
		Type of	_			Fuel		Other		Total		
Company		Transaction	KWH	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)			Charges(\$)		
Sales										,		
ETC ENDURE	ETC	Economy	413,000		\$	12,000.26	\$	12,882.75	\$	24,883.01		
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	600,000		\$	23,261.83	\$	24,972.47	\$	48,234.30		
INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY	IMPA	Economy	10,000		\$	185.88	\$	199.56	\$	385.44		
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	487,000		\$	8,869.44	\$	9,461.96	\$	18,331.40		
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	2,212,000		\$	63,520.08	\$	68,191.27	\$	131,711.35		
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	2,212,000		\$	49,207.74	\$	51,090.59	\$	100,298.33		
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	1,801,000		\$	38,642.83	\$	41,484.58	\$	80,127.41		
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	638,000		\$	14,717.21	\$	15,799.49	\$	30,516.70		
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	110,260,000		\$	2,424,730.96	\$	781.48	\$	2,425,512.44		
Total Sales			118,633,000	\$ -	\$	2,635,136.23	\$	224,864.15	\$	2,860,000.38		



Withth Ended. Sandary-51-2022									
					P	Billing Components			ļ
		Type of	_			Fuel		Other	Total
Company		Transaction	KWH	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)		Charges(\$)
Sales									,
DYNASTY POWER, INC.	DYNASTYPWR	Economy	376,000		\$	12,285.63	\$	17,619.07	\$ 29,904.70
ETC ENDURE	ETC	Economy	319,000		\$	8,670.11	\$	12,433.98	\$ 21,104.09
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	5,426,000		\$	175,405.81	\$	251,553.16	\$ 426,958.97
INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY	IMPA	Economy	13,000		\$	263.09	\$	377.30	\$ 640.39
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	859,000		\$	16,290.06	\$	23,325.07	\$ 39,615.13
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	13,065,000		\$	396,547.61	\$	568,697.26	\$ 965,244.87
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	6,364,000		\$	171,396.51	\$	245,803.33	\$ 417,199.84
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	11,900,000		\$	408,763.23	\$	586,215.92	\$ 994,979.15
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	1,935,000		\$	57,144.29	\$	81,951.83	\$ 139,096.12
THE ENERGY AUTHORITY	TEA	Economy	141,000		\$	2,851.17	\$	4,088.92	\$ 6,940.09
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	93,218,000		\$	2,308,493.93	\$	166.18	\$ 2,308,660.11
Total Sales			133,616,000	\$ -	\$	3,558,111.44	\$	1,792,232.02	\$ 5,350,343.46



With Ended. I coldary 20 2022					Б	illing Components				
		Type of			Other	Total				
Commons		Transaction	KWH	D		Fuel				
<u>Company</u>		1 ransaction	КМП	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)			Charges(\$)
Sales										
DYNASTY POWER, INC.	DYNASTYPWR	Economy	4,852,000		\$	224,339.46	\$	233,389.39	\$	457,728.85
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	741,000		\$	22,974.59	\$	23,901.40	\$	46,875.99
INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY	IMPA	Economy	131,000		\$	2,382.62	\$	2,478.73	\$	4,861.35
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	534,000		\$	11,140.52	\$	11,465.07	\$	22,605.59
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	697,000		\$	19,603.90	\$	20,394.68	\$	39,998.58
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	2,329,000		\$	65,305.57	\$	67,940.03	\$	133,245.60
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	4,502,000		\$	136,370.49	\$	141,871.73	\$	278,242.22
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	497,000		\$	17,689.46	\$	18,403.06	\$	36,092.52
THE ENERGY AUTHORITY	TEA	Economy	44,000		\$	677.14	\$	704.46	\$	1,381.60
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	TVA	Economy	420,000		\$	14,745.02	\$	15,339.84	\$	30,084.86
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	117,719,000		\$	2,840,388.31	\$	-	\$	2,840,388.31
Total Sales			132,466,000	\$ -	\$	3,355,617.08	\$	535,888.39	\$	3,891,505.47



Nomen Direct. Name of None					P	Billing Components			ļ
		Type of	_			Fuel		Other	 Total
Company		Transaction	KWH	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)	Charges(\$)		Charges(\$)
Sales									ŗ
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	200,000		\$	6,076.01	\$	7,923.99	\$ 14,000.00
INDIANA MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY	IMPA	Economy	104,000		\$	1,336.61	\$	1,743.12	\$ 3,079.73
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	773,000		\$	10,784.99	\$	13,983.29	\$ 24,768.28
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	1,350,000		\$	36,130.51	\$	47,119.49	\$ 83,250.00
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	15,109,000		\$	481,913.16	\$	629,907.48	\$ 1,111,820.64
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	3,850,000		\$	112,864.23	\$	147,643.04	\$ 260,507.27
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	2,900,000		\$	77,078.45	\$	100,521.55	\$ 177,600.00
THE ENERGY AUTHORITY	TEA	Economy	73,000		\$	1,002.46	\$	1,307.35	\$ 2,309.81
TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO.	TPS	Economy	30,000		\$	755.16	\$	984.84	\$ 1,740.00
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	TVA	Economy	200,000		\$	7,378.01	\$	9,621.99	\$ 17,000.00
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	326,629,000		\$	7,169,720.90	\$	-	\$ 7,169,720.90
Total Sales		•	351,218,000	\$ -	\$	7,905,040.49	\$	960,756.14	\$ 8,865,796.63



Month Ended. April 00 2022					D.	illing Common outs				
			_		В	illing Components				
		Type of			Fuel		Other		Total	
Company		Transaction	KWH	Demand(\$)		Charges(\$)		Charges(\$)		Charges(\$)
						-				
Sales										
EVERGY KANSAS CENTRAL, INC.	EVGY	Economy	967,000		\$	32,343.00	\$	51,543.98	\$	83,886.98
CONSTELLATION ENERGY GENERATION, LLC	CONSTGEN	Economy	2,408,000		\$	78,094.57	\$	124,456.74	\$	202,551.31
ENERGY IMBALANCE	IMBL	Economy	1,472,000		\$	21,613.48	\$	34,383.43	\$	55,996.91
MACQUARIECOOK	MACQUARIE	Economy	1,975,000		\$	66,355.74	\$	105,748.91	\$	172,104.65
MIDCONTINENT INDEPENDENT SYSTEM OPERATOR, INC.	MISO	Economy	27,641,000		\$	780,458.78	\$	1,243,791.22	\$	2,024,250.00
PJM INTERCONNECTION ASSOCIATION	PJM	Economy	22,263,000		\$	633,136.30	\$	1,009,008.29	\$	1,642,144.59
RAINBOW ENERGY MARKETING CORP	REMC	Economy	1,240,000		\$	31,756.37	\$	50,609.07	\$	82,365.44
THE ENERGY AUTHORITY	TEA	Economy	51,000		\$	727.32	\$	1,159.10	\$	1,886.42
TENASKA POWER SERVICES CO.	TPS	Economy	198,000		\$	4,751.90	\$	7,572.94	\$	12,324.84
TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY	TVA	Economy	1,190,000		\$	33,492.23	\$	53,375.45	\$	86,867.68
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY	KU	Economy	341,111,000		\$	7,556,460.52	\$	0.02	\$	7,556,460.54
Total Sales			400,516,000	\$ -	\$	9,239,190.21	\$	2,681,649.15	\$	11,920,839.36

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 14

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-14. Describe the effect on the FAC calculation of line losses related to:
 - a. Intersystem sales when using a third-party transmission system; and
 - b. Intersystem sales when not using a third-party transmission system.
- A-14. Line losses related to inter-system sales are calculated using a loss factor of 0.5% whether the inter-system sale requires a third-party transmission system or not. This practice is consistent with the Commission's June 7, 2013 Amended Order in Case No. 2012-00553².

_

² <u>Electronic Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Louisville Gas and Electric Company From November 1, 2010 Through October 31, 2012</u>, Kentucky Public Service Commission, Case No. 2012-00553, filed February 13, 2013, Amended Order June 7, 2013.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 15

Responding Witness: Michael P. Drake

- Q-15. Provide a list, in chronological order, showing by unit any scheduled, actual, and forced outages for the period under review.
- A-15. See attached.

Louisville Gas & Electric Company November 2021 through April 2022

Unit and Outage Type (F=Forced; S=Scheduled)		Schedu	ıled	Ac	tual*	HOURS OF	DURATION	REASON FOR DEVIATION FROM SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE
		FROM TO		FROM	то	Scheduled	Actual*	OR REASON FOR FORCED OUTAGE AS APPROPRIATE
Mill Creek Unit 1 - Coal - 300 MW	F			11/17/2021 21:20	11/20/2021 2:24		53:04	Boiler economizer leak repair
In-service August 1972	5	12/23/2021 22:13	12/24/2021 14:21	12/23/2021 22:13	12/24/2021 14:21	16:08		Condenser inspection and cleaning
-	S	2/22/2022 10:22	2/23/2022 3:23	2/22/2022 10:22	2/23/2022 3:23	17:01		Condenser tube leak
	S	3/18/2022 19:45	3/19/2022 18:26	3/18/2022 19:45	3/19/2022 18:26	22:41		Baghouse systems
	S	4/30/2022 0:00	5/22/2022 0:00	4/29/2022 12:17	5/17/2022 19:13	528:00		FGD Absorber Module Floor Repair
Mill Creek Unit 2 - Coal - 295 MW	F	1,50,2022 0.00	3/22/2022 0.00	2/19/2022 13:52	2/26/2022 14:35	320.00		Unit Controls Hardware
In-service July 1974	F			2/26/2022 14:35	2/27/2022 21:39			Blowdown piping leak repair
•	5	4/30/2022 0:00	5/29/2022 0:00	4/28/2022 23:02	5/27/2022 5:49	696:00		FGD Absorber Module Floor Repair
Mill Creek Unit 3 - Coal - 394 MW	S	10/9/2021 0:00	11/21/2021 0:00	10/9/2021 23:29	11/1/2021 0:00	1032:00		Boiler Maintenance
In-service August 1978	S	10/9/2021 0:00	11/21/2021 0:00	11/1/2021 0:00	11/22/2021 0:00	1032:00		Boiler Maintenance
	c	11/22/2021 0:00	11/29/2021 14:32	11/22/2021 0:00	11/29/2021 14:32	182:32		Boiler Maintenance
ı	5 E	11/22/2021 0.00	11/23/2021 14.32	11/29/2021 14:32	11/30/2021 11:45	102.32		Air Heater Gearbox Repair
	c	1/18/2022 7:00	1/19/2022 17:53	1/18/2022 7:00	1/19/2022 17:53	34:53		Turbine Valve Screens
	5	1/10/2022 7:00	1/13/2022 17.53	2/20/2022 21:00	2/21/2022 13:01	34.33		LP Turbine Rupture Disk
	-							•
	-	4/24/2022 46 05	4 /22 /2022 2 25	4/20/2022 8:40	4/21/2022 16:05	2420		Boiler economizer leak repair
	2	4/21/2022 16:05	4/23/2022 2:25	4/21/2022 16:05	4/23/2022 2:25	34:20		Air heater wash
Mill Creek Unit 4 - Coal - 486 MW In-service September 1982	S	12/3/2021 21:24	12/4/2021 16:42	12/3/2021 21:24	12/4/2021 16:42	19:18		Forced Draft Fan Repair
•	S	4/23/2022 23:53	4/27/2022 19:49	4/23/2022 23:53	4/27/2022 19:49	91:56		Air heater wash
Trimble County Unit 1 - Coal - 370 MW In-service December 1990	F			10/31/2021 16:35	11/2/2021 19:46			Generator Step Up (GSU) transformer oil leak repair
In-service December 1990 75% ownership share of 511 MW	S	11/25/2021 1:45	11/28/2021 5:22	11/25/2021 1:45	11/28/2021 5:22	75:37	75:37	Turbine Valve Screens
Trimble County Unit 2 - Coal - 570 MW	F			2/14/2022 16:26	2/17/2022 10:01		65:35	Boiler waterwall tube leak repair
In-service January 2011	S	2/26/2022 0:00	4/3/2022 0:00	2/25/2022 23:33	4/3/2022 3:33	864:00	868:00	Boiler tube replacement
75% ownership share of 732 MW jointly owned with KU	S	4/3/2022 19:45	4/7/2022 19:23	4/3/2022 19:45	4/7/2022 19:23	95:38	95:38	Turbine Valve Screens
Cane Run Unit 7 - Gas - 683 MW	S	10/30/2021 0:00	11/21/2021 0:00	10/29/2021 23:22	11/21/2021 16:24	528:00	545:02	HRSG Kettle Boiler Replacement
In-service June 2015	S	1/14/2022 23:28	1/17/2022 10:56	1/14/2022 23:28	1/17/2022 10:56	59:28	59:28	HRSG Economizer Piping Repair
Jointly owned with KU	S	4/9/2022 0:00	5/1/2022 0:00	4/8/2022 22:32	5/1/2022 13:47	528:00	543:15	Generator Scheduled Inspections
E. W. Brown Unit 5 - Gas CT - 130 MW	S	12/10/2021 7:43	12/11/2021 1:45	12/10/2021 7:43	12/11/2021 1:45	18:02	18:02	Borescope inspection
In-service June 2001	S	4/14/2022 6:33	4/14/2022 13:12	4/14/2022 6:33	4/14/2022 13:12	6:39	6:39	Gas Flow Meter Orifice Inspection
Jointly owned with KU								·
E. W. Brown Unit 6 - Gas CT - 171 MW	S	12/17/2021 7:09	12/18/2021 3:21	12/17/2021 7:09	12/18/2021 3:21	20:12	20:12	Control System Upgrade
In-service August 1999	F			1/17/2022 20:33	1/18/2022 14:20			Substation Fault
Jointly owned with KU	F			3/5/2022 18:59	3/6/2022 1:32		6:33	Fire Protection System Maintenance
E. W. Brown Unit 7 - Gas CT - 171 MW	S	10/23/2021 0:00	12/13/2021 0:00	10/23/2021 0:00	12/13/2021 12:24	1224:00		Hot gas path inspection
In-service August 1999	S	12/13/2021 19:00	12/15/2021 10:12	12/13/2021 19:00	12/15/2021 10:12	39:12		Hot Gas Path Inspection
Jointly owned with KU	S	12/16/2021 21:34	12/18/2021 2:40	12/16/2021 21:34	12/18/2021 2:40	29:06		Hot Gas Path Inspection
	F			1/21/2022 8:26	1/21/2022 15:24			Combustion Turbine Vibration
Cane Run Unit 11 - Gas CT - 14 MW	No o	utages > or = 6 hours		, ,	, ,			
In-service June 1968	H ***							

Paddys Run Unit 11 - Gas CT - 13 MW	No ou	itages > or = 6 hours						
In-service June 1968								
Paddys Run Unit 12 - Gas CT - 28 MW	S	3/16/2022 6:30	3/16/2022 14:42	3/16/2022 6:30	3/16/2022 14:42	8:12	8:12	Starting System Scheduled Service
In-service July 1968								
Paddys Run Unit 13 - Gas CT - 175 MW	S	11/9/2021 6:00	11/13/2021 10:29	11/9/2021 6:00	11/13/2021 10:29	100:29	100:29	Switchyard Maintenance - Transmission
In-service June 2001	S	11/27/2021 0:00	12/5/2021 0:00	11/27/2021 6:12	12/5/2021 13:23	192:00	199:11	Borescope Inspection
Jointly owned with KU	F			12/5/2021 13:23	12/12/2021 13:30		168:07	Generator Protection System
	F			1/17/2022 6:57	1/17/2022 14:08		7:11	Turbine Rotor Cooling System
	F			4/13/2022 22:45	4/16/2022 19:49		69:04	Switchgear/Breaker Failure
Trimble County Unit 5 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	12/13/2021 6:30	12/15/2021 19:53	12/13/2021 6:30	12/15/2021 19:53	61:23	61:23	CEMS Maintenance
In-service May 2002	S	3/19/2022 0:00	4/10/2022 0:00	3/18/2022 7:00	4/8/2022 7:23	528:00	504:23	Gas turbine control system upgrades
Jointly owned with KU	S	4/8/2022 10:01	4/10/2022 12:18	4/8/2022 10:01	4/10/2022 12:18	50:17	50:17	Combustion Controls
Trimble County Unit 6 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	12/13/2021 6:30	12/15/2021 19:53	12/13/2021 6:30	12/15/2021 19:53	61:23	61:23	CEMS Maintenance
In-service May 2002	S	3/19/2022 0:00	4/10/2022 0:00	3/18/2022 7:00	4/11/2022 0:00	528:00	569:00	Gas turbine control system upgrades
Jointly owned with KU	S	4/11/2022 0:00	4/18/2022 7:19	4/11/2022 0:00	4/18/2022 7:19	175:19	175:19	Combustion System Hardware
Trimble County Unit 7 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	10/16/2021 0:00	11/7/2021 0:00	10/15/2021 21:40	11/6/2021 7:59	528:00	514:19	Gas turbine control system upgrades
In-service June 2004	S	3/15/2022 5:30	3/15/2022 13:10	3/15/2022 5:30	3/15/2022 13:10	7:40	7:40	Fuel System - Transmitter
Jointly owned with KU								
Trimble County Unit 8 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	10/9/2021 0:00	11/21/2021 0:00	10/8/2021 23:15	11/21/2021 11:52	1032:00	1044:37	Hot Gas Path Inspection
In-service June 2004	S	11/30/2021 0:00	12/1/2021 15:52	11/30/2021 0:00	12/1/2021 15:52	39:52	39:52	Fuel piping and valves
Jointly owned with KU								
Trimble County Unit 9 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	11/20/2021 0:00	12/12/2021 0:00	11/23/2021 23:00	12/12/2021 8:25	528:00	441:25	Gas turbine control system upgrades
In-service July 2004	F			2/20/2022 23:29	2/21/2022 11:16		11:47	Generator Relay Protection
Jointly owned with KU	S	4/30/2022 0:00	5/8/2022 0:00	4/30/2022 0:01	5/8/2022 8:06	192:00	200:05	Borescope inspection
Trimble County Unit 10 - Gas CT - 179 MW	S	11/20/2021 0:00	12/12/2021 0:00	11/23/2021 23:00	12/11/2021 13:45	528:00	422:45	Gas turbine control system upgrades
In-service July 2004	S	4/30/2022 0:00	5/8/2022 0:00	4/29/2022 13:30	5/8/2022 6:41	192:00	209:11	Borescope inspection
Jointly owned with KU								

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 16

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-16. For the period under review, provide the monthly supporting peaking unit equivalent calculations in electronic Excel spreadsheet format with all formulas, columns, and rows unprotected, fully accessible, and visible supporting the forced outage calculations. In addition, provide the corresponding amount, if any, of forced outage purchased power collected through the purchase power adjustment tariff.
- A-16. The Company does not utilize a peaking unit equivalent calculation for its fuel adjustment clause. The Company also does not have a purchase power adjustment tariff.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 17

Responding Witness: Stuart A. Wilson

- Q-17. For the period under review, provide the monthly capacity factor at which each generating unit operated.
- A-17. See the table below for the monthly capacity factor at which each generating unit operated during November 2021 to April 2022.

	Capacity Factor (%)					
	(Net MWh)/(period hours x MW rating)					
	Nov 2021	Dec 2021	Jan 2022	Feb 2022	Mar 2022	Apr 2022
Brown 5	4.1	0.0	2.1	0.3	0.2	0.0
Brown 6	22.7	6.3	12.7	0.0	2.7	0.9
Brown 7	0.0	3.1	18.4	1.4	0.6	1.8
Brown Solar	16.0	10.3	8.6	16.2	19.2	21.3
Cane Run 7	24.8	95.7	84.8	93.5	96.8	25.3
Mill Creek 1	71.9	40.9	58.4	73.0	78.8	82.8
Mill Creek 2	81.8	62.6	64.6	50.0	79.8	76.7
Mill Creek 3	0.0	66.5	16.8	20.0	65.7	72.5
Mill Creek 4	75.6	12.8	62.4	72.7	75.9	68.8
Ohio Falls 1	0.0	29.1	21.8	6.9	13.2	24.0
Ohio Falls 2	55.1	35.3	23.6	7.2	12.9	28.0
Ohio Falls 3	50.3	37.3	23.5	6.2	13.7	31.0
Ohio Falls 4	43.2	33.4	23.0	5.7	11.9	31.6
Ohio Falls 5	65.7	34.6	21.7	4.0	12.2	31.6
Ohio Falls 6	66.8	33.2	21.3	1.2	8.2	35.1
Ohio Falls 7	69.4	30.6	27.5	4.0	10.8	38.4
Ohio Falls 8	0.0	0.0	1.6	7.9	12.8	34.1
Paddys Run 12	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Paddys Run 13	8.7	1.9	17.1	0.5	0.7	0.0
Trimble County 1	70.5	86.4	86.5	86.1	85.0	90.2
Trimble County 2	95.5	88.0	92.2	72.9	0.0	73.4
Trimble County 5	31.0	33.0	65.5	9.3	0.2	5.4
Trimble County 6	54.5	17.0	24.3	28.8	2.2	4.3
Trimble County 7	54.3	60.5	50.9	48.8	6.1	27.9
Trimble County 8	8.0	2.6	12.0	47.7	0.0	0.5
Trimble County 9	28.3	17.2	40.3	29.8	0.8	14.7
Trimble County 10	3.0	24.2	62.9	25.4	14.4	36.3
Zorn 1	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Notes:

- 1- Trimble County values reflect 100% of the unit. Trimble County 1 is owned by LG&E (75%), IMPA (12.88%), and IMEA (12.12%). Trimble County 2 is owned by KU (60.75%), LG&E (14.25%), IMPA (12.88%), and IMEA (12.12%).
- 2 The North American Electric Reliability Council Generation Availability Data System defines capacity factor as the value equal to the net MWh produced divided by the product of the hours in the period and the unit rating.
- 3 Jointly owned units are shown for both LG&E and KU and include Brown 5-7, Brown Solar, Cane Run 7, Paddy's Run 13, Trimble County 2, and Trimble County 5-10.
- 4 Paddys Run 11 was retired 3/31/21.
- 5 Zorn 1 placed in mothball status on 10/6/2020 and retired 11/30/21.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 18

Responding Witness: Michael P. Drake

- Q-18. For the period under review, explain whether LG&E made any changes to its maintenance and operation practices or completed any specific generation efficiency improvements that affect fuel usage at LG&E's generation facilities. If so, describe the impact of these changes on LG&E's fuel usage.
- A-18. There have been no maintenance or operation practice changes that affect fuel usage during the period under review. LG&E strives to maintain unit efficiency through routine cyclic planned outage maintenance and performance monitoring. This maintenance work is focused on reestablishing expected turbine and equipment efficiency. This is verified through monitoring of all plant systems during operation and periodic equipment testing. LG&E utilizes multiple software and analytical tools in this process. In evaluating potential major component projects or replacements that may impact efficiency, LG&E must balance any potential efficiency benefit associated with the project against both costs and potential implications under the Clean Air Act. LG&E has not realized any efficiency improvements through major component projects during this period.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 19

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter / Charles R. Schram

- Q-19. State whether LG&E is aware of any violations of its policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred prior to or during the period under review.
- A-19. The Company is not aware of any violations of its policies and procedures regarding fuel procurement that occurred prior to or during the period under review.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 20

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-20. State whether LG&E is aware of any violations of 807 KAR 5:056 that occurred prior to or during the period under review.
- A-20. The Company is not aware of any violations of 807 KAR 5:056 that occurred prior to or during the period under review.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 21

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-21. State whether all fuel contracts related to commodity and transportation have been filed with the Commission. If any contracts have not been filed, explain why they have not been filed, and provide a copy.
- A-21. For the period under review, all fuel contracts related to commodity and/or transportation have been filed with the Commission.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 22

Responding Witness: Delbert Billiter

Q-22. Refer to KRS 278.277(1) which states that:

In any review by the commission of any fuel adjustment clause, for any contracts entered into on or after July 1, 2021, the commission shall, in determining the reasonableness of fuel costs in procurement contracts and fuel procurement practices, evaluate the reasonableness of fuel costs in contracts and competing bids based on the cost of the fuel less any coal severance tax imposed by any jurisdiction.

- a. Provide a listing of any new coal contracts entered into on or since November 1, 2021.
- b. For each bid solicitation or potential spot purchase that resulted in a new purchase contract on or after November 1, 2021, provide the bid evaluation sheets that include:
 - (1) The coal severance tax rate being levied, if any;
 - (2) The coal severance tax rate per ton or MMBTU, as appropriate, being levied;
 - (3) The cost per ton or MMBTU, as appropriate, including any severance tax; and
 - (4) The cost per ton or MMBTU, as appropriate, excluding any severance tax.

A-22.

a.

Solicitation Date	Contract #	Signature Date	Company
1/10/2022	J22013	2/14/2022	Alliance Coal, LLC
1/10/2022	J22014	2/15/2022	Coal Network, LLC
3/29/2022	J23005	6/8/2022	Arch Coal Sales Company, Inc.

b. See attached.

The bid analysis information is confidential and proprietary and is being provided under seal pursuant to a petition for confidential protection.

The entire attachment is Confidential and provided separately under seal.

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 23

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler / Charles R. Schram

- Q-23. Explain how purchase power costs are accounted for in the calculation of the FAC when LG&E experiences a planned generation outage and purchases power to meet load (i.e., whether the entire amount of the purchase power recorded in the calculation, or there is a limit as to the amount recorded). If there is a limit, explain the basis for the limitation and how it is calculated. If there is no limit, explain the basis for including 100 percent of the purchase power costs.
- A-23. When the Company experiences a planned generation outage and purchases power to meet load, economic purchased power costs are accounted for in the calculation of the FAC. Non-economic purchased power costs (i.e., power that costs more than the Company's highest cost unit that was available to generate power), if any, are excluded from the FAC.

The inclusion of purchased power costs in the FAC is consistent with 807 KAR 5:056, which states "[f]uel costs (F) shall be the most recent actual monthly cost...of...the charges for economy energy purchases, the charges as a result of scheduled outage, and other charges for energy being purchased by the buyer to substitute for the buyer's own higher cost energy, may be included".

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 24

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler / Charles R. Schram

- Q-24. Explain how purchase power costs are accounted for in the calculation of the FAC when LG&E is not experiencing a generation outage but must purchase power in order to meet demand (i.e., whether the entire amount of the purchase power recorded in the calculation, or there is a limit as to the amount recorded). If there is a limit, explain the basis for the limitation and how it is calculated. If there is no limit, explain the basis for including 100 percent of the purchase power costs.
- A-24. When the Company is not experiencing a generation outage and purchases power to meet load, economic purchased power costs are accounted for in the calculation of the FAC. Non-economic purchased power costs (i.e., power that costs more than what could be generated by the Company's highest cost unit that was available to generate power), if any, are excluded from the FAC.

The inclusion of purchased power costs in the FAC is consistent with 807 KAR 5:056, which states "[f]uel costs (F) shall be the most recent actual monthly cost...of...the charges for economy energy purchases, the charges as a result of scheduled outage, and other charges for energy being purchased by the buyer to substitute for the buyer's own higher cost energy, may be included".

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated September 13, 2022

Case No. 2022-00266

Question No. 25

Responding Witness: Andrea M. Fackler

- Q-25. Proved the amount, by month, of costs excluded from recovery from the FAC related to non-economy purchases.
 - a. State whether LG&E otherwise recovers the excluded costs.
 - b. If LG&E does recover the excluded costs, explain in detail how those costs are recovered.

A-25.

Nov-21 Total Dec-21 Jan-22 Feb-22 Mar-22 Apr-22 Non-Economy Purchases Disallowed from Recovery in Fuel Adjustment Clause (FAC) - \$ 5.688 \$ - \$ - \$ 5,688

The Company does not recover actual costs excluded from recovery in the FAC in another manner. However, the Company recovers through base rates an amount of non-economy purchases based on a three-year average of historical actual exclusion amounts disallowed from recovery in the Fuel Adjustment Clause pursuant to 807 KAR 5:056. For the period under review, the annual amount of non-economy purchase exclusion costs embedded in base rates was \$4,347³.

³ Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric and Gas Rates, a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Deploy Advanced Metering Infrastructure, Approval of Certain Regulatory and Accounting Treatments, and Establishment of a One-Year Surcredit, Case No. 2020-00350, Order issued June 30, 2021 establishing base rates effective July 1, 2021.