

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT FILING OF)	CASE NO.
GREEN-TAYLOR WATER DISTRICT)	2022-00246

RESPONSE OF GREEN-TAYLOR WATER DISTRICT
TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S THIRD REQUEST FOR
INFORMATION DATED NOVEMBER 8, 2022

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT FILING OF) CASE NO.
GREEN-TAYLOR WATER DISTRICT) 2022-00246

VERIFICATION OF MARY ANN LARIMORE


COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF Green)

Mary Ann Larimore, Office Manager of Green-Taylor Water District, states that she has supervised the preparation of certain responses to the Request for Information in the above-referenced case and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.



Mary Ann Larimore

The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged, and sworn to before me this 14th day of November 2022, by Mary Ann Larimore.



Commission expiration: May 8, 2024

Green-Taylor Water District
Case No. 2022-00246
Commission Staff's Third Request for Information

Witness: Mary Ann Larimore

1. Refer to Green-Taylor District's response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information (Staff's Second Request), Item 2. Provide the Cann-Tech, LLC invoices to support the final payment of \$29,249.80 that was paid by Green-Taylor District to Cann-Tech, LLC for construction projects that were completed in calendar years 2019 and 2020.

Response: See file GT3 1-Cann Tech Payment

2. Refer to Green-Taylor District's response to Staff's Second Request, Item 3.b., Excel Workbook: GT2_3.b-Current_Employees.xlsx. In its Excel Workbook, Green-Taylor District explained that Dylan Patterson's operator position will be filled by the end of November.

a. If Green-Taylor District has hired the replacement operator, provide the date on which the replacement operator was hired, and the actual hourly wage rate. In Green-Taylor District's response, provide a description of all employee benefits, other than salaries and wages, that the new operator will receive.

Response: A replacement for Dylan Patterson has not been hired at this time. At a minimum the person hired as his replacement will be an Operator in Training at \$15.00 per hour. Benefits will include: Retirement at 26.79% of wages, \$140.00 boot allowance per year, Single insurance (If employee decides to take the insurance) and uniforms.

b. If Green-Taylor District has not yet hired its new operator, provide documentation to support Green-Taylor District's expected employee hire date.

Response: We are starting interviews the week of November 14th. If the employee gives their current employer a two week notice, the hire date should be around the end of November or first of December.

3. Refer to Green-Taylor District's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information (Staff's First Request), Item 1.g., Excel Workbook: GT1_1.g-Empl_Health_Ins.xlsx. Refer also to Green-Taylor District's response to Staff's Second Request, Item 4.

a. Confirm that Green-Taylor District's employees that elected to receive health insurance benefits in calendar year 2022 receive either Family, Employee/Spouse, or Parent Plus. If this cannot be confirmed, identify the employee that elected to receive single health insurance coverage.

Response: No employees have elected to receive single coverage thus far in 2022. See file GT3 3.a-Employee Ins Coverage.

b. Provide a copy of Green-Taylor District's employee health insurance invoice for the month of October 2022.

Response: See file GT3 3.b-Health Ins Invoice

4. Refer to Green-Taylor District's response to Staff's Second Request, Item 6. Provide a detailed explanation as to why the credit card company charges recorded by Green-Taylor District exceed the amount of revenue collected from its customers for these services.

Response: Green-Taylor Water District elected to go with a flat \$1.50 fee for debit/credit card transactions instead of charging a percentage. We felt that this would be easier on everyone, instead of charging a percentage of the bill for a fee. For example, if someone's bill was \$150.00 and we charged 3.5% that would cost them \$5.25 instead of \$1.50. And, if someone else's bill was the minimum (\$23.47) and we charge them 3.5% they would only pay \$0.83.

The amount that the credit card company charges monthly, divided by the number of transactions was roughly \$1.60 per transaction. We went with a flat \$1.50 charge.

We switched debit/credit card companies during the year last year. They charged an initial set up fee and another fee for the first processing month. These fees totaled \$160.00, which was also part of the difference in the charges and revenue collected for the debit/credit card.

5. Refer to Green-Taylor District's response to Staff's First Request, Item 8 and to Green-Taylor District's response to Staff's Second Request, Item 1.

a. Reconcile the service charges stated in response to Item 8 of \$6,050, and in response to Item 1 of \$56,166.

Response: The amount stated in Item 8 for Service Charges is \$52,650 vs. \$56,166 in Item 1 for a difference of \$3,516. We have found that a mistake was made in running the reports to answer Item 8. Only a part of the charges were included; the ones that had been billed at the end of the month. Those that were paid as an immediate cash receipt were not included. The figure listed with Item 1 (\$56,166) is the most accurate. It was also reported in the Trial Balance.

b. Reconcile the reconnect fees stated in response to Item 8 of \$52,650, and in response to Item 1 of \$19,490.

Response: The amount stated in Item 8 for Reconnect Fees is \$6,050 vs. \$19,490 in Item 1 for a difference of \$13,440. Reference the mistake described in 5.a above. The figure listed with Item 1 (\$19,490) is the most accurate. It was also reported in the Trial Balance.

c. Reconcile the returned check charge stated in response to Item 8 of \$540, and in response to Item 1 of \$345.

Response: The amount of \$345.00 for returned checks is correct. There was a report for returned checks and a report for rejected bank drafts. Some of the customers appeared on both lists and were counted twice.

d. Reconcile the total nonrecurring charges stated in response to Item 8 of \$126,823, and in response to Item 1 of \$98,316.

Response: The sum of the charges listed for Item 8 is actually \$102,924. However, the totals for these two items are not comparable in that they answer entirely different questions. The corrected list of all nonrecurring charges is presented below:

<u>Nonrecurring Charges</u>	
Penalties (Late Fees)	\$ 41,876.07
Service Charges	56,166.41
Reconnect Fees	19,490.19
Meter Test Charges	-
Returned Checks	345.00
Debit/Credit Card Charges	<u>15,033.00</u>
	\$ 132,910.67