

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

In the Matter of:

Electronic Application of Water Service)	
Corporation of Kentucky for a General)	
Adjustment in Existing Rates and a Certificate)	Case No. 2022-00147
of Public Convenience and Necessity to Deploy)	
Advanced Metering Infrastructure and Approval)	
of Certain Regulatory Accounting Treatment)	

MOTION TO CORRECT ORDER

Water Service Corporation of Kentucky (“WSCK”), by counsel, respectfully moves the Public Service Commission to issue an Order *nunc pro tunc* correcting its April 12, 2023 Order (“Order”) in this proceeding.¹ It appears the rates identified in the Order’s Appendix do not accurately reflect the authorized revenue requirement increase. In addition, WSCK requests expedited review of this motion because bills issued later this month will have consumption from after the date of the original order. There would likely be customer confusion if bills are issued with incorrect rates and require subsequent correction or back billing, as well as avoidable strain on customer service personnel.

In its Order, the Commission authorized an increase in present rate revenues of \$616,566.² It calculated the percentage increase to be 18.90%.³ The Commission also determined that it was appropriate to allocate this approved revenue increase “evenly across the board” to all rates.⁴ The

¹ This motion is seeking correction of an apparent mathematical error. WSCK reserves the right to seek rehearing or an action for review on any issues in this matter, pursuant to KRS 278.400 and KRS 278.410.

² Order at 49.

³ *Id.* This is based on the calculation of \$616,566 (authorized increase) ÷ \$3,262,188 (Present Rate Operating Revenue, Order at 37) = 18.90%.

⁴ *Id.* at 50.

rates identified in the Appendix, however, are not 18.90% above WSCK’s previous rates. In addition, the overall revenue increase based on the rates identified in the Appendix and WSCK’s billing determinants, undisputed in this case in Application Exhibit 29, Schedule A, would only be approximately \$520,598,⁵ which is significantly lower than the Commission-approved amount of \$616,566.

The table below identifies the prior rate, the new rate based on an 18.90% increase from the prior rate, and the rate stated in the Appendix to the Order.

Monthly Service Charges				
Meter Size	Prior Rate	18.90% Increase	New Rate	PSC Order Appendix
5/8-Inch	\$ 13.27	\$ 2.51	\$ 15.78	\$ 15.39
3/4-Inch	\$ 13.27	\$ 2.51	\$ 15.78	\$ 15.39
1-Inch	\$ 33.18	\$ 6.27	\$ 39.45	\$ 38.47
1 1/2-Inch	\$ 66.35	\$ 12.54	\$ 78.89	\$ 76.93
2-Inch	\$ 106.16	\$ 20.06	\$ 126.22	\$ 123.08
3-Inch	\$ 199.04	\$ 37.62	\$ 236.66	\$ 230.77
4-Inch	\$ 331.74	\$ 62.70	\$ 394.44	\$ 384.62
6-Inch	\$ 663.47	\$ 125.40	\$ 788.87	\$ 769.23
Volumetric Rates Per Gallon				
Consumption	Prior Rate	18.90% Increase	New Rate	PSC Order Appendix
First 100,000 Gallons	\$0.005765	\$ 0.001090	\$0.006855	\$ 0.006684
Over 100,000 Gallons	\$0.003978	\$ 0.000752	\$0.004730	\$ 0.004612
Monthly Fire Protection Charges				
Charges	Prior Rate	18.90% Increase	New Rate	PSC Order Appendix
Municipally Owned Hydrants	\$ 8.58	\$ 1.62	\$ 10.20	\$ 9.95
Private Hydrants/Sprinkler Systems	\$ 38.82	\$ 7.34	\$ 46.16	\$ 45.01
Ambleside Private Fire Surcharge	\$ 3.86	\$ 0.73	\$ 4.59	\$ 4.48

⁵ The 15.96% typical bill increase referenced in the Order at page 50 also utilizes the rates shown in the Appendix.

The Commission may appropriately correct a mathematical error through an Order *nunc pro tunc*.⁶ Accordingly, WSCK respectfully requests the Commission correct *nunc pro tunc* the rates approved for water service in the Appendix to the Order.

Respectfully submitted,



M. TODD OSTERLOH
JAMES W. GARDNER
REBECCA C. PRICE
STURGILL, TURNER, BARKER & MOLONEY, PLLC
333 W. Vine Street, Suite 1500
Lexington, Kentucky 40507
Telephone No.: (859) 255-8581
Fax No. (859) 231-0851
tosterloh@sturgillturner.com
jgardner@sturgillturner.com
rprice@sturgillturner.com

ATTORNEYS FOR WATER SERVICE CORPORATION
OF KENTUCKY

⁶ See *An Examination by the Public Service Commission of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Louisville Gas and Electric Company from November 1, 1996 to October 31, 1998*, Case No 98-565, 2000 WL 35950239 (Mar. 24, 2000); see also *Frankfort Kentucky Nat. Gas Co. v. City of Frankfort*, 123 S.W.2d 270, 272 (Ky. 1938) (“The general rule with reference to the entry of *nunc pro tunc* orders is that when it can be seen by reference to a record what was intended to be entered but by inadvertence or mistake on the part of the judge or clerk it had not been, the same may be put to record as of the date it should have been done by a *nunc pro tunc* order.”)