BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF SEBREE)
SOLAR II, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE TO)
CONSTRUCT AN APPROXIMATELY 150)
MEGAWATT MERCHANT SOLAR ELECTRIC) CASE NO. 2022-00131
GENERATING FACILITY IN)
HENDERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND)
WEBSTER COUNTY, KENTUCKY PURSUANT)
TO KRS 278.700 AND 807 KAR 5:110)

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC RESPONSES TO SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Filed: July 21, 2023

RESPONSES TO SITING BOARD STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION TO SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC DATED JULY 7, 2023 SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC PSC CASE NO. 2022-00131

Sebree Solar II, LLC (Sebree Solar II) hereby submits responses to the Second Request for Information of the State Board on Electric Generation and Transmission Siting (Siting Board) in this case dated July 7, 2023. Each response with its associated supportive reference materials is individually bookmarked.

BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF SEBREE)
SOLAR II, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE TO)
CONSTRUCT AN APPROXIMATELY 150)
MEGAWATT MERCHANT SOLAR ELECTRIC) CASE NO. 2022-00131
GENERATING FACILITY IN)
HENDERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND)
WEBSTER COUNTY, KENTUCKY PURSUANT)
TO KRS 278.700 AND 807 KAR 5:110)

VERIFICATION OF JASON ANDREWS

STATE OF FLORIDA)

COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

Comes now Jason Andrews, Project Director of NextEra Energy Resources, Inc., being first duly sworn, and states that he has supervised the preparation of certain responses of Sebree Solar II, LLC to the Siting Board Staff's Second Request for Information in the above-referenced case dated July 7, 2023, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Jason Andrews

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this $\frac{14}{14}$ day of July 2023.

)



ID: HHOGINDEN Expires

BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF SEBREE)
SOLAR II, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE TO)
CONSTRUCT AN APPROXIMATELY 150)
MEGAWATT MERCHANT SOLAR ELECTRIC) CASE NO. 2022-00131
GENERATING FACILITY IN)
HENDERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND)
WEBSTER COUNTY, KENTUCKY PURSUANT)
TO KRS 278.700 AND 807 KAR 5:110)

VERIFICATION OF ERIN BOWEN

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA)

Comes now Erin Bowen of CohnReznick, Consultant for Sebree Solar II, LLC, being first duly sworn, and states that he has supervised the preparation of certain responses of Sebree Solar II, LLC to the Siting Board Second Request for Information in the above-referenced case dated July 7, 2023, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Erin Bowen

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this *H* day of July 2023.

)



William Seare Ju Notary ID: 645 54

Expires: 03/13

BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF SEBREE)
SOLAR II, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE TO)
CONSTRUCT AN APPROXIMATELY 150)
MEGAWATT MERCHANT SOLAR ELECTRIC) CASE NO. 2022-00131
GENERATING FACILITY IN)
HENDERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND)
WEBSTER COUNTY, KENTUCKY PURSUANT)
TO KRS 278.700 AND 807 KAR 5:110)

VERIFICATION OF JOSHUA CRAWFORD

STATE OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

Comes now Joshua Crawford of Pegasus Institute, Consultant for Sebree Solar II, LLC, being first duly sworn, and states that he has supervised the preparation of certain responses of Sebree Solar II, LLC to the Siting Board Staff's Second Request for Information in the above-referenced case dated July 7, 2023, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

)

)

Joshyła Crawford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this $\underline{7}$ day of July 2023.



Notary ID: KYNP53Q35 Expires: 06/07/2026

BEFORE THE KENTUCKY STATE BOARD ON ELECTRIC GENERATION AND TRANSMISSION SITING

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF SEBREE)
SOLAR II, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE TO)
CONSTRUCT AN APPROXIMATELY 150)
MEGAWATT MERCHANT SOLAR ELECTRIC) CASE NO. 2022-00131
GENERATING FACILITY IN	j
HENDERSON COUNTY, KENTUCKY AND	j
WEBSTER COUNTY, KENTUCKY PURSUANT	5
TO KRS 278.700 AND 807 KAR 5:110)

VERIFICATION OF ELIZABETH WILBURN

STATE OF Ohio COUNTY OF Hamilton,

Comes now Elizabeth Wilburn of ECT, Consultant for Sebree Solar II, LLC, being first duly sworn, and states that she has supervised the preparation of certain responses of Sebree Solar II, LLC to the Siting Board Staff's Second Request for Information in the above-Referenced case dated July 7, 2023, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Elizabeth Wilburn

Subscribed and sworn to before me on this 11 day of July 2023. TENNILLE NEWSOME Notary Public, State of Michigan County of Washtenaw Tennille Neusome Notary ID: My Commission Expires Feb. 15, 2028 Expires: Acting in the County of

Siting Board Request 1 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 1.</u> Provide any written communication, or a summary of conversations, with the Henderson County Road Department regarding road use agreements and road safety during construction and operation of the project.

Response 1. Sebree Solar II has not had any written communication with the Henderson County Road Department. The Henderson County Road Department serves as a primary member of the Henderson County Land Development Committee. On May 23, 2023 Sebree Solar II presented a development site plan for review and the Henderson County Road Department was in attendance. The Henderson County Road Department representative reviewed the site plan and recommended approval for the Henderson County Planning Commission. The Sebree Solar II site plan was approved by the Henderson County Planning Commission on June 6, 2023.

Siting Board Request 2 Page 1 of 158

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 2.</u> Provide any geotechnical reports or surveys that have been conducted for the project. If no geotechnical survey has been completed to date, provide an estimate of when it will be completed.

<u>Response 2.</u> Please see the attached preliminary geotechnical report for Sebree Solar II. The attached Sebree Solar II geotechnical survey covers both Sebree Solar and a majority of the Sebree Solar II parcels. The final report has not been finalized. Sebree Solar II intends to complete the report by the end of the calendar year. Sebree Solar II's intent is to complete the survey of the remaining parcels once crops have been harvested in the area to minimize disruption to the landowners and farmers.



Sebree Solar Project

Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky

April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063

Prepared for:

NextEra Energy Resources LLC Juno Beach, Florida 33408

Prepared by:

Terracon Consultants, Inc. Louisville, Kentucky







April 3, 2022

NextEra Energy Resources LLC 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408



- Attn: Ms. Amanda Klaristenfeld / E&C Solar Early Stage P: (561) 694-4818 E: Amanda.Klaristenfeld@nexteraenergy.com
- Re: Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky Terracon Project No. 57215063

Dear Ms. Klaristenfeld:

We have completed the Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering services for the above referenced project. This study was performed in general accordance with Terracon Proposal No. P57215063 dated November 9, 2021. This report presents the findings of the subsurface exploration and provides Preliminary-Level geotechnical engineering recommendations for the design and construction of foundations for the proposed solar power facility and the associated site work for the proposed project.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service to you on this project. If you have any questions concerning this report or if we may be of further service, please contact us.

Sincerely, Terracon Consultants, Inc.

Sadra Javadi, Ph.D. Senior Staff Engineer Benjamin W. Taylor, P.E., P.G. Principal, Regional Manager

SME Review by: James M. Jackson, P.E. (FL)

Terracon Consultants, Inc. 13050 Eastgate Park Way Louisville, Kentucky, 40223 P (502) 456 1256 F (502) 456 1278 terracon.com

REPORT TOPICS

INTRODUCTION		
SITE CONDITIONS)
PROJECT DESCRIPTION		3
GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION		ŀ
INFILTRATION TESTING		5
CORROSIVITY		5
SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS		,
PILE LOAD TESTING		,
GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW		2
CONTRIBUTORY RISK COMPONENTS		
FROST CONSIDERATIONS		
DRIVEN STEEL PILE FOUNDATIONS		ŀ
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ISO	LATED SLAB FOUNDATIONS 17	1
PRELIMINARY DRILLED SHAFT RECOMMEND		•
PRELIMINARY EARTHWORK RECOMMENDAT	⁻ IONS)
GENERAL COMMENTS		

Note: This report was originally delivered in a web-based format. **Orange Bold** text in the report indicates a referenced section heading. The PDF version also includes hyperlinks which direct the reader to that section and clicking on the *GeoReport* logo will bring you back to this page. For more interactive features, please view your project online at client.terracon.com.

ATTACHMENTS

EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES SITE LOCATION, AND EXPLORATION PLANS FIGURES

EXPLORATION RESULTS (General Notes, Unified Classification System, Boring Logs, Atterberg Limits Results, Moisture Density Relationship Test Results, Laboratory Thermal Resistivity Test Results, Results of Corrosion Analysis, Field Electrical Resistivity Test Results)

PILE DRIVING AND LOAD TESTING RESULTS (PLT Location Plan, Pile Zone Plans, Pile Drive Time Graphs, Axial Tension Pile Load Test Results, Axial Compression Load Test Results, and Lateral Pile Load Test Results)

Note: Refer to each individual Attachment for a listing of contents.

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky Terracon Project No. 57215063 April 3, 2022

INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of our subsurface exploration and preliminary geotechnical engineering services performed for the proposed Sebree Solar Facility project located in Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky. The purpose of these services is to provide information and preliminary geotechnical engineering recommendations relative to:

- Subsurface soil conditions
- Pile load test results and analysis
- Site preparation and earthwork
- Preliminary foundation design and construction
- Groundwater conditions
- Seismic considerations
- Access roadways

Maps showing the site and exploration locations are shown in the SITE LOCATION PLAN AND EXPLORATION PLAN attachments. The results of the pile load tests are included in PILE LOAD TEST RESULTS attachment. The results of the field exploration and laboratory testing performed on soil samples obtained from the site during both the current and previous field explorations are included in the EXPLORATION RESULTS section. The field electrical resistivity, corrosion testing and laboratory thermal resistivity test results are also included in this section.

SITE CONDITIONS

The following description of site conditions is derived from our site visit in association with the field exploration and our review of publicly available geologic and topographic maps.

Item	Description
Parcel Information	The project is located on north and south side of the state road 416 in Robards Henderson County, Kentucky. Based on the preliminary site layout Sebrea Geotech.kmz received from NEER on October 27, 2021, the total project boundary is about 3,076-acres. Approximate Latitude/Longitude: 37.679953° - 87.559202°. See SITE LOCATION PLAN .
Existing Improvements	Mostly undeveloped parcels used for agriculture, farming, some residential structures, and driveways. Smaller portions of the site consist of thickly vegetated areas.
Current Ground Cover	Mostly cultivated fields, some drainage features and pocketed areas of vegetation with isolated stands of trees, residential houses, barns, ponds roads and driveways.
Existing Topography	Site-specific topographic survey was not available at the time of this report Based on review of Google Earth Pro ™, the ground surface ranges from about elevation 400 feet on the north to 470 feet on the south-west. The agricultural lands appear to be relatively level.
	Loess, Alluvium, and Glacial Outwash Deposits
	Primary lithology: Gravel, Sand, Silt, and Clay
Geology	The Quaternary aged sediments consist of unconsolidated gravel, sand, sill and clay and locally contain sparse to abundant organic matter and ma include redeposited coal. The loess in the AOI is mostly comprised of windblown silts, probably, derived from glasial subwash in the Obia and
Robards Quadrangle GQ-1084	windblown silts probably derived from glacial outwash in the Ohio and Mississippi Valleys to the north and west. The Kentucky Geological Surve (KGS) Borehole database lists several exploratory coal boreholes within the
Henderson and Webster Counties,	AOI that show deeper units of sandstone, shale, coal, and limestone from a least 20 to 40 feet below ground surface (bgs).
Kentucky by the Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS)	The site is reported by the KGS to have a low karst potential. At the request of NEER, a preliminary karst desktop review was performed for the site. Whil karst features were not identified, we did identify topographical features that may be indicative of subsidence from mining that warrant further investigation as the project plans develop. Refer to our Karst Survey Desktop Review report dated January 6, 2022



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Our initial understanding of the project was provided in our proposal and was discussed during project planning. A period of collaboration has transpired since the project was initiated, and our final understanding of the project conditions is as follows:

Item	Description			
Project Description	We understand that the project site is being considered for development of a photovoltaic (PV) solar power facility. The total buildable project area covers about 2,150 acres of the site. The power facility is also anticipated to include inverters, transformers, switchgear and buried or overhead power lines. The size of the project in MWac is unknown at the time of this report.			
Proposed Structures	The steel pile foundations for the solar array are anticipated to consist of wide flange steel piles (W6x9 or similar). In addition, we understand the inverters may also be supported on wide flange steel piles, while transformers, and other appurtenant equipment is anticipated to be supported on shallow spread or mat foundations. Other various aspects of the project include overhead o underground electrical circuits and pads for electrical equipment such as switchgear, transformers, inverters and substation.			
Maximum Loads (Estimated by Terracon)	 Structural loads were not provided but have been estimated based on our experience with fixed rack systems. Downward: 3 kips Uplift: 2 kips Lateral: 1.5 kips Ancillary structures in the array: 50 kips Substation Structures: 250 kips O&M Building: 5 kips per linear foot (klf) 			
Building Construction	We understand the solar structures will be supported on driven steel piles although other foundation options will be considered, and equipmen structures will be supported on mat foundations.			
Grading	Site grading plans have not been provided at the time of this report; however we anticipate finished grade will be within a couple of feet of existing grade Mostly, up to 2 feet of cut and 2 feet of fill may be required to develop fina grades in areas. Based on the existing site grade, fill/cut greater than 2 feet may be required at some local area to achieve the final grades. Final slope angles no steeper than 3H:1V (Horizontal: Vertical).			
Access Roads	We understand that access road cross sections used for construction of the project will be the responsibility of the EPC, and that only post construction traffic with an allowable rut depth of 2 inches is what we are to design for in this report. We anticipate low-volume, aggregate-surfaced and native so access roads will have a maximum vehicle load of 30,000 lbs. and will trave over the access roads only once per week.			

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERIZATION

We have developed a general characterization of the subsurface conditions based upon our review of the subsurface exploration, laboratory data, geologic setting and our understanding of the project. This characterization, termed GeoModel, forms the basis of our geotechnical calculations and evaluation of site preparation and foundation options. Conditions encountered at each exploration point are indicated on the individual logs. The individual logs can be found in the **Exploration Results** section and the GeoModel can be found in the **Figures** section of this report.

The surface layer at the site generally consisted of a tilled zone (cultivated soil) approximately 6 to 8 inches thick. Beneath this surficial layer, the subsurface profile consisted of predominately native cohesive soil underlain by weathered rock which was found at depths ranging from about $7\frac{1}{2}$ to $40\frac{1}{2}$ feet below the ground surface. The native cohesive soil encountered generally exhibited medium stiff to very stiff consistency. As requested by NEER, geotechnical exploration was not performed at borings B-1, B-2, B-8, and B-12 because the access to the exploration location was not granted at the time of our exploration.

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description	
1	MEDIUM STIFF CLAY	Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, soft to medium stiff	
2	STIFF CLAY	Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, stiff to very stiff	
3	MEDIUM DENSE SAND	Poorly Graded Sand (SP), reddish brown, medium dense	
4	WEATHERED BEDROCK	Weathered Shale and Sandstone, black and gray, highly to completely weathered	
5	SHALE	Shale, brownish gray, very close to moderate fracture spacing, laminated bedding, slightly to moderately weathered, very weak to weak rock	
6	SANDSTONE	Sandstone, gray, moderate to wide spacing, thin bedding, unweathered, medium strong rock	

The subsurface conditions at the boring locations can be generalized as follows:

Groundwater

The boreholes were observed while drilling and after completion for the presence and level of groundwater. Groundwater was only observed in borings SB-1 at the depth of about 7 feet below the ground surface after completion of drilling. However, this does not necessarily mean the borings terminated above groundwater. Due to the relatively low permeability of the soils encountered in the boring, a relatively long period of time may be necessary for a groundwater level to develop and stabilize in a borehole in these materials. Long-term observations in



piezometers or observation wells sealed from the influence of surface water are often required to define groundwater levels in materials of this type.

Groundwater level fluctuations occur due to seasonal variations in the amount of rainfall, runoff and other factors not evident at the time the borings were performed. Therefore, groundwater levels during construction or at other times in the life of the structure may be higher or lower than the levels indicated on the boring logs. The possibility of groundwater level fluctuations should be considered when developing the design and construction plans for the project.

INFILTRATION TESTING

The double ring infiltrometer test (ASTM D 3385) was used to measure the rate of infiltration at 4 locations approximately 1-foot below the existing ground surface. The test setup consisted of a 12-inch inner ring and a 24-inch outer ring. With a constant head method, water was consistently added to both the outer and inner ring to maintain a constant level of 150-mm. The volume of water needed to maintain the fixed level was measured, and the infiltration rate was calculated using the following equation:

$$V_{IR} = \Delta V_{IR} / (A_{IR}. \Delta t)$$

where:

V _{IR}	= inner ring infiltration rate, in/h
ΔV_{IR}	= volume of liquid used during time interval to maintain constant head in the inner ring,
	in ³
A _{IR}	= internal area of inner ring, in ²
Δt	= time interval, hr

Upon testing, the preliminary infiltration rate at four locations were calculated as follow:

Location	tion Soil Type Latitude		Longitude	Infiltration Rate (in/hr)
I-1	Lean Clay	37.690010	-87.546846	0.10
I-2	Silty Lean Clay	37.676889	-87.562827	0.40
I-3	Lean Clay	37.684910	-87.580331	0.18
1-4	Lean Clay	37.702465	-87.581368	0.34

The test result generally can be affected by the soil structure, soil layering, condition of the soil surface, degree of saturation of the soil, chemical and physical nature of the soil, head of the applied liquid, temperature of the liquid, and diameter and depth of embedment of rings. It should be noticed that the performance of the full-scale working facility might have a different infiltration rate due to soil disturbance caused by site grading, and due to the accumulation of sediment during construction activities. Presence of groundwater and bedrock at shallower depths, as



observed in the boring located near infiltration test location I-1, could potentially impact the calculated rate of infiltration at this location.

CORROSIVITY

Corrosivity testing was performed on eight samples collected from bulk samples from borings B-4, B-7, B-7, B-10, B-13, B-17, B-19, and SB-2. These values may be used to estimate potential corrosive characteristics of the on-site soils with respect to contact with the various underground materials which will be used for project construction.

Corrosivity Test Results Summary							
Boring	Sample Depth (feet)	Soluble Sulfate (ppm)	Soluble Chloride (ppm)	рН	Sulfides (µg/kg)	ORP (mV)	Electrical Resistivit y (Ω-cm)
SB-2	0 to 2	21	31	6.8	0	+490	8,260
B-4	0 to 2	28	25	6.7	0	+530	7,021
B-7	0 to 2	57	19	6.7	0	+515	3,820
B-10	0 to 2	55	25	6.7	0	+509	5,472
B-13	0 to 2	23	25	6.7	0	+492	7,434
B-17	0 to 2	25	31	6.4	0	+591	6,918
B-19	0 to 2	5	19	5.7	0	+621	23,748
B-24	0 to 2	44	25	5.7	0	+584	7,228

These test results are provided to assist in determining the type and degree of corrosion protection that may be required. We recommend that a certified corrosion engineer be retained to analyze the need for corrosion protection and to design appropriate protective measures, if required.

As discussed in Section 10.7.5 of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Manual, 8th Edition, 2017, the following soil or site conditions should be considered as indicative of potential deterioration or corrosion situation for steel piles:

- Soil electrical resistivity less than 2,000 ohm-cm
- pH less than 5.5
- PH between 5.5 and 8.5 with high organic content
- Sulfate concentration greater than 1,000 ppm (mg/kg)

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



SEISMIC CONSIDERATIONS

The seismic design requirements for structures are based on Seismic Design Category. Site Classification is required to determine the Seismic Design Category for a structure. The Site Classification is based on the upper 100 feet of the site profile defined by a weighted average value of either shear wave velocity, standard penetration resistance, or undrained shear strength in accordance with Section 20.4 of ASCE 7 and the International Building Code (IBC). Based on the soil properties encountered at the site and as described on the exploration logs and results, it is our professional opinion that the **Seismic Site Classification is D**. Subsurface explorations at this site were extended to a maximum depth of 50½ feet. The site properties below the boring depth to 100 feet were estimated based on our experience and knowledge of geologic conditions of the general area. Additional deeper borings or geophysical testing may be performed to confirm the conditions below the current boring depth.

PILE LOAD TESTING

Pile load tests were performed at a total of eleven locations across the site. The test piles consisted of wide flange W6x9 steel piles. These test piles were installed to embedment depths of 5 to 9 feet below ground surface. The piles are identified in this report as text "PLT" followed by test number followed by letter "A" (piles embedded to depths of 8 and 9 feet below existing ground surface) and "B" (piles embedded to depths of 5 and 6 feet below existing ground surface) and "C" (piles embedded to depths of 5 and 6 feet below existing ground surface). The piles were tested for axial tension first and lateral load next.

	PILE (A)	(W 6X9)	PILE (B)	(W 6x9)	PILE (C)	(W 6x9)			
Test		Tests: Axial Tension, Lateral, and Compression							
Location	Embedment Depth ¹	Total Pile Length	Embedment Depth 1	Total Pile Length	Embedment Depth 1	Total Pile Length			
	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet	feet			
PLT-1	9	13	6	10	6	10			
PLT-2	9	13	6	10	6	10			
PLT-3	8	13	5	10	5	10			
PLT-4	9	13	6	10	6	10			
PLT-5	8	13	5	10	5	10			
PLT-6	9	13	6	10	6	10			
PLT-7	8	13	5	10	5	10			
PLT-8	9	13	6	10	6	10			

The following table summarizes the pile test location, penetration depth, total pile length and type of test performed on the piles.

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063

	PILE (A)		PILE (B)		(W 6x9)	
Test Location	Tests: AxiEmbedmentTotal PileDepth 1Length		ial Tension, La Embedment Depth ¹			Total Pile Length
	feet	feet	feet	feet	Depth feet	feet
PLT-9	8	13	5	10	5	10
PLT-10	9	13	6	10	6	10
PLT-11	9	13	6	10	6	10
1. Embe	dment depth me	asured from gr	round surface.			

PILE DRIVING

The pile driving operation was performed with a track-mounted, Vermeer 10 pile driver. The piles were installed to the depths as shown in the previous table. A summary of the time required to advance each pile to its specified embedment depth is summarized in the following table.

	PILE (A)		P	ILE (B)	F	PILE (C)		
Test Location	Embedmen t Depth 1	Total Drive Time	Avg. Drive Time	Embedmen t Depth 1	Total Drive Time	AVA Drive	Embedme nt Depth ¹	Total Drive Time	Avg. Drive Time
	ft	sec	sec/ft	ft	sec	sec/ft	ft	sec	sec/ft
PLT-1	9	74.1	8.2	6	33.5	5.6	6	20.5	3.4
PLT-2	9	55.8	6.2	6	30.5	5.1	6	28.8	4.8
PLT-3	8	26.4	3.3	5	11.6	2.3	5	9.3	1.9
PLT-4	9	53.6	6.0	6	28.8	4.8	6	27.1	4.5
PLT-5	8	20.3	2.5	5	9.9	2.0	5	8.4	1.7
PLT-6	9	33.4	3.7	6	16	2.7	6	16.4	2.7
PLT-7	8	23.9	3.0	5	9.7	1.9	5	9.3	1.9
PLT-8	9	38.8	4.3	6	17.1	2.9	6	18.4	3.1
PLT-9	8	45.2	5.7	5	14.1	2.8	5	13.3	2.7
PLT-10	9	50.3	5.6	6	19.9	3.3	6	24	4.0
PLT-11	9	38.9	4.3	6	20.6	3.4	6	17.3	2.9
				around surfa		0.4	5	17.0	2.0

1. Embedment depth measured from ground surface.

PILE LOAD TEST PROCEDURES AND EQUIPMENT

Pile load tests were performed on January 18th to 22nd, 2022. The pile load tests were performed three or more days after the piles were installed. An Enerpac 10-ton hydraulic pull jack and an Enerpac hydraulic pump were used to apply the test loads using chains and other accessories all



rated for at least a 10-ton safe working capacity. Deflections were measured with digital dial gauges with magnetic bases. Loads were measured with a Dillon ED Junior Dynamometer 25-kip electronic load cell for tension, compression, and lateral loads. The following types of load tests were performed:

- Axial Tension Load Tests for skin friction evaluation;
- Lateral Load Tests;
- Axial Compression test for tip resistance evaluation.

The sequence of testing is as follows: Axial tension load tests were performed on piles designated as A and B at each pile load test location. For axial tension testing, Terracon's proprietary steel tripod system was used to develop the vertical tension reaction. A locking "E"- plate clamp was used to grip the top of the web. Terracon set up a 10-foot long, steel reference beam to rest the gauges and record movements relative to the test pile. The ends of the reference beam were supported such that they were 6-inches above ground and seated firmly on the ground surface. Magnetic bases were attached to the web of the test pile approximately 6 inches above the ground surface to provide a suitable surface for the deflection gauges to rest against. The test loads were applied following a predetermined load sequence. Deflections and loads were measured using a pair of calibrated Starrett dial gauges.

For lateral load testing, Terracon connected two (2) test piles together to test both piles simultaneously with each pile being the reaction pile for the other. The piles were spaced at an approximate horizontal distance of 10 feet. A flange clamp was set on each of the W-section piles to apply horizontal loading approximately 36 inches above the ground surface. Two reference beams were positioned near the outside edge of each test pile flange. Two calibrated two-inch stroke dial gauges were positioned on each pile along the strong axis horizontally with the magnetic base approximately 6 inches above ground surface to bear on the reference beam. The test loads were applied using a pre-determined cyclic-type load sequence. The load was measured using the electronic readout device from the load cell. The bottom and top deflections were recorded using the electronic readout device. The lateral load was applied in increments and decrements (i.e., loading and unloading cycles). The sequence of loading and unloading cycle includes 500-, 1000-, 1500-, 0-, 1500-, 2000-, 2500-, 0-, 2500-, 3000-, 4000- and 0- lb, and so on. The loads were applied until the maximum lateral load of 7,000 lbs. was reached or the pile reached 2-inch of lateral displacement measured at 6 inches above the ground surface.

The axial compression tests were performed using a ½ inch plate being placed on the top of the pile followed by the Rice Lake DC-390 compression load cell, which was used to record the loads. The compression tests were performed in the shallower embedment piles only. These piles were designated as C piles. The deflection as measured using two calibrated Starrett dial gages. An Enerpac 5-ton cylinder jack was then placed on top of the load cell. The bucket of an excavator was used to provide the reaction load. The axial compression load was applied in load increments of 500 lbs. to a maximum of 13,000 lbs was reached or until the pile reached ³/₄ of an inch of vertical displacement.



SUMMARY OF PILE LOAD TEST RESULTS

Pile Load Test Location (A)	Embedment Depth ¹	Tension Load at ¼" Disp.	Pile Load Test Location	Embedment Depth ¹	Tension Load at ¼" Disp.
Location (A)	feet	lbs.	(B)	feet	lbs.
PLT-1A	9.0	>10,000	PLT-1B	6.0	6,930
PLT-2A	9.0	10,000	PLT-2B	6.0	8,390
PLT-3A	8.0	5,820	PLT-3B	5.0	3,050
PLT-4A	9.0	10,000	PLT-4B	6.0	8,690
PLT-5A	8.0	6,000	PLT-5B	5.0	4,210
PLT-6A	9.0	6,150	PLT-6B	6.0	4,750
PLT-7A	8.0	6,140	PLT-7B	5.0	4,370
PLT-8A	9.0	8,060	PLT-8B	6.0	5,480
PLT-9A	8.0	10,000	PLT-9B	5.0	7,550
PLT-10A	8.0	10,000	PLT-10B	6.0	6,500
PLT-11A	9.0	9,740	PLT-11B	6.0	6,380

Summary of the axial tension loads for pile movements of about 1/4 inch.

1. Embedment depth measured from ground surface.

The ">" sign indicates the load was achieved prior to reaching the noted deflection.

Pile Load Test Location (C)	Embedment Depth ¹	Compression Load at 1/4" Disp.
Phe Load Test Location (C)	feet	lbs.
PLT-1C	6.0	10,880
PLT-2C	6.0	>13,000
PLT-3C	5.0	9,220
PLT-4C	6.0	13,000
PLT-5C	5.0	3,080
PLT-6C	5.0	4,560
PLT-7C	6.0	13,000
PLT-8C	5.0	10,010
PLT-9C	6.0	13,000
PLT-10C	6.0	9,040
PLT-11C	6.0	10,880

Summary of the axial compression loads for pile movements of about 1/4 inch.

1. Embedment depth measured from ground surface.

The ">" sign indicates the load was achieved prior to reaching the noted deflection.

Summary of the pile embedment depth and lateral load at 2-inch lateral displacement at 6-inches above ground surface.

Pile Load Test Location (A)	Embedment Depth ¹	Lateral Load at ½" Disp.	Pile Load Test Location (B)	Embedment Depth ¹	Lateral Load at ½" Disp.
Looution (rt)	feet	lbs.		feet	lbs.
PLT-1A	9.0	2,130	PLT-1B	6.0	1,850
PLT-2A	9.0	2,540	PLT-2B	6.0	3,000
PLT-3A	8.0	1,320	PLT-3B	5.0	800
PLT-4A	9.0	4,340	PLT-4B	6.0	4,150
PLT-5A	8.0	2,960	PLT-5B	5.0	1,690
PLT-6A	9.0	3,190	PLT-6B	6.0	3,060
PLT-7A	8.0	3,420	PLT-7B	5.0	2,580
PLT-8A	9.0	2,950	PLT-8B	6.0	2,820
PLT-9A	8.0	2,420	PLT-9B	5.0	1,610
PLT-10A	8.0	4,290	PLT-10B	6.0	2,790
PLT-11A	9.0	3,320	PLT-11B	6.0	2,580

1. Embedment depth measured from ground surface.

Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063



GEOTECHNICAL OVERVIEW

The site appears suitable for the proposed construction of a solar PV facility based upon geotechnical conditions encountered at the site, provided that the findings and preliminary geotechnical engineering recommendations presented in this report are incorporated into project design and construction. It should be noted that the exploration locations and pile load tests were performed at large distances from each other, therefore actual conditions may vary from those encountered. The **General Comments** section provides an understanding of the report limitations.

CONTRIBUTORY RISK COMPONENTS

ITEM	DESCRIPTION
Supplemental Exploration and Testing	Additional soil test borings should be performed to adequately explore the site as part of a design-level study. Additionally, a full-scale pile load testing (PLT program should be considered as the project design progresses. The results of a full scale PLT program in conjunction with soil test boring/test pit results are often successful in reducing the design embedment depth when compared to designs solely based on explorative results and analytical methods.
Soil Conditions	Subsurface profile consisted of predominately native cohesive soil with some occasional layers of native granular soils underlain by weathered rock and bedrock to the depths explored. The surface layer at the site generally contained topsoil (cultivated soil) approximately 6 to 8 inches thick. These soils are no considered suitable for subgrade support or reuse as fill material.
Access	Wet and soft surface conditions due to disturbance and rainwater will create access issues. The site will generally be more accessible in the summer and early fall due to the improved drying conditions. The existing dirt/gravel roads across the project site have a limited number of crossings, likely designed to facilitate access with agricultural equipment.
Grading	A final grading plan was not available as of this report's preparation. However we anticipate the development will follow the existing site grades and may require minimal grading. On-site materials that are used as fill or backfill wil likely require drying prior to re-compaction as engineered fill. Alternatively these materials could be replaced with imported soils containing an appropriate moisture content. We expect localized areas of unsuitable conditions will be encountered prior to placing fill and within the subgrade for roadways and shallow foundations that are planned. Stabilization measures, such as over excavation and replacement, should be expected.
Groundwater	Groundwater was encountered only at boring SB-1 at a depth of about 7 fee bgs. Groundwater was not observed in any of the remaining borings whil drilling, or for the short duration the borings could remain open. However, the does not necessarily mean the borings terminated above groundwater. Due to the relatively low permeability of the soils encountered in the boring, a relatively



Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063

ITEM	DESCRIPTION
	long period of time may be necessary for a groundwater level to develop and stabilize in a borehole in these materials. Based on our experience in the project area, groundwater level fluctuation should be anticipated at times during the design period for the project. Excavations, such as trenches for electrical cable and conduit, could encounter groundwater and require dewatering. Excavations for shallow foundations could also encounter groundwater, especially if construction is performed during periods of seasonally high groundwater.
Site Drainage	Final site grading may impact the drainage within the site. A drainage study should be performed once a grading plan has been finalized to review potential drainage or flooding issues.
Corrosion Hazard ¹	The field resistivity data and laboratory testing for electrical resistivity and chemical properties are performed as a part of the scope of this report. The results of our laboratory testing of soil chemical properties (provided in the attachment) are expected to assist a qualified engineer to design corrosion protection for the production piles and other project elements.
Excavation Hazards	Based on the exploration results and pile load testing, and our experience with the geology of the project site, stiff to very stiff soil was encountered below depths ranging from 0-2 feet at 3 locations, below 5-7.5 feet at 9 locations, and below 9-15 feet at 4 locations. At 6 locations medium stiff was encountered to auger refusal at depths of 15 to 20 feet. Difficult excavation conditions or obstructions to pile driving operations may be encountered across this site. Additionally, we expect general instability in the form of caving, sloughing, and raveling to be encountered in excavations. Excavations will likely require bracing, sloping, and/or other means to create safe and stable working conditions.
Anticipated Pile Drivability	Our exploration encountered weathered bedrock below depths of about 7.5 to 20 feet at each exploration location. Auger refusal was encountered at 13 locations at depths ranging between about 15 to 20 feet bgs. Although weathered bedrock and auger refusal were encountered, all 33 of the test piles were installed to the intended embedment depths of 9 feet. However, the potential need for pre-drilling should be considered at some areas.
General Construction Considerations	The near-surface soils are moderately moisture sensitive and subject to degradation with exposure to moisture. To the extent practical, earthwork should be performed during warmer and drier periods of weather to reduce the amount of necessary subgrade remedial measures for soft and unsuitable conditions beneath access roadways, equipment pads, etc.
structures includ chlorides, resistiv	tes that can significantly affect the aggressiveness of corrosion to buried metal de: pH, oxidation-reduction potential, sulfates, sulfides, total dissolved salts, vity, and moisture content. These properties were measured, and the results are attachment. These test results are provided to assist the designers of corrosion

protection for the project.

Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063



FROST CONSIDERATIONS

Grade Supported Structures

Exterior slabs should be anticipated to heave during winter months. If frost action needs to be eliminated in critical areas, we recommend the use of non-frost susceptible (NFS) fill or structural slabs (for instance, structural stoops in front of building doors). Placement of NFS material in large areas may not be feasible; however, the following recommendations are provided to help reduce potential frost heave:

- Provide surface drainage away from the building and slabs, and toward the site storm drainage system.
- Install drains around the perimeter of the building, stoops, below exterior slabs and access roadways, and connect them to the storm drainage system.
- Grade clayey subgrades, so groundwater potentially perched in overlying more permeable subgrades, such as sand or aggregate base, slope toward a site drainage system.
- Place NFS fill as backfill beneath slabs and access roadways critical to the project.
- Place a 3 horizontal to 1 vertical (3H:1V) transition zone between NFS fill and other soils.

As an alternative to extending NFS fill to the full frost depth of 2 feet, consideration can be made to placing extruded polystyrene or cellular concrete under a buffer of at least 2 feet of NFS material.

Driven Steel Piles

Based on the provided information, the solar arrays for this project are anticipated to be supported by driven piles. The driven piles should be designed to resist design loads including compression, uplift, frost heave action and lateral forces. The majority of the soils on this site are frost susceptible. The typical frost depth in the area for foundation design frost considerations is about 12 inches. The shallowest groundwater encountered in the test borings was about 7 feet below ground surface and therefore the frost heave action of piles is considered to be negligible for this site.

DRIVEN STEEL PILE FOUNDATIONS

Axial Capacity

The axial uplift capacity of driven piles may be estimated based on skin friction developed along the perimeter of the pile, while the compression capacity may be estimated using the skin friction and end bearing. When determining embedment depths, the perimeter of a wide flange beam should be taken as twice the sum of the flange width and section depth. The upper 12 inches of



soil for each pile should be neglected in the axial capacity analyses and compression load conditions due to the disturbance.

Based on the results of the pile load testing program, the majority of the site appears to be relatively uniform. Below is a table of values recommended for the areas in proximity to the pile load tests:

Zone 1 (PLT-1, 3, 5, 6, 7, and 8), Zone 2 (PLT-10 and 11) and Zone 3 (PLT-2, 4, and 9)							
Description	Depth (feet bgs)	Minimum Drive Time (sec/ft.)	Ultimate Side Friction (psf)	Ultimate End Bearing (Ibs)			
Zone 1	1.0 – 9.0	3.5	450	2,000			
Zana Q	1.0 – 6.0	2.2	650	2 000			
Zone 2 6.0 – 9.0		3.3	800	3,000			
Zone 3	1.0 – 9.0	2.8	850	3,000			

The above values are to be used in the following equations to obtain the ultimate uplift or compression load capacity of a pile:

$$Q_{ult (compressive)} = Q_{ult (end)} + H \times P \times q_s$$
$$Q_{ult (uplift)} = H \times P \times q_s$$

$$\begin{split} Q_{ult} &= Ultimate \ uplift \ or \ compression \ capacity \ of \ post \ (lbs) \ Q_{ult-(end)} &= Ultimate \ end \ bearing \ capacity \ per \ the \ table \ above \ (lbs) \ H &= \ Depth \ of \ pile \ embedment \ (ft) \ P &= \ Perimeter \ area \ of \ pile \ (i. e. W6 \times 9 = 1.64 \ sqft/ft) \end{split}$$

 $q_s = Skin friction per depth per the table above$

The provided preliminary skin friction values are applicable for piles that are driven using a Vermeer PD-10 pile driver with a hydraulically operated hammer. If a smaller or larger drive hammer is used, we recommend that Terracon be consulted to determine the minimum drive time based on the actual equipment to be used.

For Allowable Stress Design (ASD), we recommend the allowable skin friction and end bearing values be determined by applying a factor of safety (FOS) of at least 1.5 to the ultimate values.

Piles should have a minimum center-to-center spacing of at least 3 times their largest crosssectional dimension to prevent reduction in the axial capacities due to group effects.

The results of the analyses described above should be supplemented with additional pile load testing to confirm/modify the results prior to use in design. The results provided in this report are

Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



intended to assist you in roughly evaluating construction costs and development viability for the proposed project

Final pile design to be completed by an engineering licensed in the State of Kentucky based upon information contained in this geotechnical report, final design phase study and independent pile load testing.

Lateral Capacity

Lateral load response of pile foundations was calculated using the computer program L-Pile 2019, by Ensoft, Inc. The stiffness of the pile and the stress-strain properties of the surrounding soils determine the lateral resistance of the foundation. We modeled the lateral response of the tested piles to evaluate L-Pile input parameters that can be used for design of the production piles. Recommended L-Pile input parameters for preliminary lateral load analysis for driven pile foundations are shown in the following table:

			All Zones			
GeoModel Layer	Depth (feet bgs)	LPILE Soil Model	Effective Unit Weight γ, (pcf) ¹	Estimated Cohesion, c (psf)	Estimated Friction Angle, φ (°)	Strain Factor, (ε ₅₀) and Static Lateral Subgrade Modulus (k) ¹
1	0 – 7	Stiff clay w/o free water	120	1,000		default
2	7 – 15	Stiff clay w/o free water	62.6	2,500		default
3	15 - 20	Stiff clay w/o free water	67.6	3,000		default
1. Groun	dwater estimated	d to be at 7 feet b	elow the ground	surface.		

L-PILE analyses were performed by applying the field test load that resulted in approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ inch deflection at a point about 6 inches above the ground surface. The shear load was applied at approximately 3 feet above the ground surface. The effective unit weight, friction angle was based on the results of the SPT borings. The p-multiplier was then adjusted (by trial and error method) such that the applied load resulted in a deflection value that matched the load test results. Please note that this procedure was based on only one discrete set of data determined at about six inches from the around surface during the field load testing. These results should be used for L-PILE analysis only using the 2019 version of L-Pile. These parameters are only applicable to



piles embedded between five to nine feet below grade. In our evaluation, the piles were modeled as a Steel AISC Section Strong Axis.

P-Multiplier Table ¹				
Zone	Embedment Depth (feet)	P-Multiplier		
	5	1.1 ³		
	6	1.6		
Zone A (PLT- 1 and 3)	8	1.1		
	9	1.6		
	5	1.9 ³		
$Z_{\text{opp}} = B (D T_{2}) + E (C_{1} + C_{2}) + C (D T_{2}) + C (D T_{2})$	6	2.7		
Zone B (PLT- 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11)	8	2.6		
	9	2.6		

1. P-Multipliers in this table only apply to embedded to depths of 5 to 9 feet.

- 2. Linearly interpolate between values for piles embedded to depths between the above depths.
- 3. The p-multiplier should be reduced by 30% in the upper 1 foot to account for seasonal freeze/thaw effects.

The structural engineer should evaluate the moment capacity of the pile as part of their structural evaluation. Piles should have a minimum center-to-center spacing of at least five times their largest cross-sectional dimension in the direction of the lateral loads, or the lateral capacities should be reduced due to group effects. If piles will be spaced closer than five times their largest cross-sectional dimension, we should be notified to provide supplemental recommendations regarding resistance to lateral loads.

PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ISOLATED SLAB FOUNDATIONS

We understand that some equipment may be supported on mat/slab foundations while other structures and O&M building may be supported on shallow foundations. Soft to medium stiff clays were encountered near the surface. If unsuitable bearing soils are encountered in footing excavations, the excavations should be extended deeper to suitable soils (at least stiff consistency or medium dense relative density) and the footings could bear directly on these soils at the lower level or on lean concrete backfill placed in the excavations. The footings could also bear on properly compacted backfill extending down to the suitable soils. Over-excavation for compacted backfill placement below footings should extend laterally beyond all edges of the footings at least 8 inches per foot of over excavation depth below footing base elevation. The over excavation should then be backfilled up to the footing base elevation with engineered fill placed in lifts of 8 inches or less in loose thickness and compacted to at least 98 percent of the material's maximum dry density (ASTM D 698). We would expect an allowable bearing capacity of 2,000



psf with total settlement of about 1 inch, depending on minimum foundation width and embedment.

PRELIMINARY DRILLED SHAFT RECOMMENDATIONS

Our recommendations provided below are based on the exploration results for SB-1 and SB-2. If the location of the new substation and equipment pad areas change, we should be consulted prior to the design and construction of foundations.

It is anticipated that some of the substation structures/appurtenances will be supported on deep foundation systems such as drilled shaft foundation elements. It is recommended that each drilled shaft element be at least 1.5 feet in diameter with shaft lengths of at least 15 feet, and it should be terminated within GeoModel layer 2 consistency or socketed minimum 3 feet into the competent bedrock. Competent bedrock can be defined as rock stratum with RQD greater than %75 and uniaxial compressive strength of 5,000 psi or greater. Geotechnical engineer should inspect the bearing stratum to confirm the competency of the bedrock layer.

It is recommended that the drilled shaft design should incorporate a factor of safety of 3 for end bearing, 2.5 for side resistance in axial compression, and 3 is recommended for side resistance against uplift. Soil parameters for axial design of drilled shaft are provided in the following section.

Design Parameters

Recommended geotechnical parameters of drilled shaft foundations have been developed for use in the L-PILE computer program. Based on our exploration results, generalized engineering properties have been provided in the following table:

GeoModel Layer	Depth (feet bgs)	Ultimate Unit Skin Friction, f (psf)	Ultimate End Bearing Pressure, Qp (psf)
1/2	0 – 2 1		
1/2	2 – 7	840	
2/4	7 – 20	1,100	22,500 ²
4 / 5	20 - 30	15,700	30,000
5	30 - 35½	11,200	9,000
6	35½ - 50	15,700	16,500

. The side resistance of the uppermost 2 feet of the soil should be ignored due to the potential for disturbance caused during the drilled shaft construction and frost affect.

Drilled shafts should be founded at a depth of at least 15 feet below the ground surface.

Depth (feet bgs)	LPILE Soil Type	Unit Weight (pcf)	Undrained Cohesion, c (psf)	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (psi)	Strain Factor ε ₅₀	RQD (%)	Rock Mass (PSI)
0 – 2		120	1,000		default		

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



Depth (feet bgs)	LPILE Soil Type	Unit Weight (pcf)	Undrained Cohesion, c (psf)	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (psi)	Strain Factor ε ₅₀	RQD (%)	Rock Mass (PSI)
2-7	Stiff Clay		1,500				
7 – 20	without Free Water (Reese)	125	4,000				
20 - 30	Strong Rock	150		4,000		50	
30 – 35½	Weak Rock (Reese) ¹	135		2,000	0.00001	30	50,000
35½ - 50	Strong Rock	150		4,000		60	

1. For the shale stratum with lower RQD, we assumed preliminary rock mass parameter based on our experience with similar projects.

Drilled shaft length may need to be adjusted (increased) to resist the lateral loads and moments acting at or near the ground surface elevation (structural loads). Soil Parameters and Models for Lateral Load Analyses of Drilled Shafts section provided above for the detailed lateral load analyses of drilled shaft foundation. The following additional construction considerations, during the drilled shaft installations, should be followed:

- It is anticipated that drilled shafts can be constructed using the dry or temporary casing method.
- The actual bearing elevation at each drilled shaft location should be determined in the field during construction through inspection by an authorized representative of the Geotechnical Engineer.
- If effective dewatering is not practical, concrete should be placed at the bottom of the excavation by pumping or by using a tremie pipe.
- To facilitate pier construction, concrete should be on-site and ready for placement as pier excavations are completed.
- It is recommended that no completed drilled shaft holes be left open overnight without being filled with concrete.
- If casing is used it should be removed after concrete is placed. Casing should not be left in place permanently as voids/gaps could be created between the casing and the surrounding soils. If the casing cannot be removed, jet grouting could be performed to completely fill the gaps/voids between the casing and the surrounding soils. In that case there may still be a reduction in the skin friction capacity of the shaft, which will have to be evaluated by the project geotechnical and structural engineers.

Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



PRELIMINARY EARTHWORK RECOMMENDATIONS

The site work conditions will be largely dependent on the weather conditions and the contractor's means and methods in controlling surface drainage and protecting the subgrade. The near-surface clayey soils encountered in the borings should provide acceptable subgrade soils for construction. Site preparation where inverter mat foundations will be installed should include clearing and grubbing, installation of a site drainage system (where necessary), subgrade preparation, proof rolling and vibratory densification as necessary. Site preparation is not necessary in the PV Array field or where inverters will be supported on driven piles except to improve site drainage where necessary.

We would expect typical earthmoving equipment (bulldozers, excavators, sheepsfoot, steel drum vibratory rollers) to be suitable for completion of earthwork activities on the site. The most challenging obstacle for earthwork construction will be the control of surface and groundwater, especially during the typical Kentucky wet season. The site should be graded to prevent ponding of surface water. Additionally, dewatering (rim ditches, sump pumps, well points, etc.) may be needed to lower the groundwater and allow for adequate compaction in trenches.

Typical unpaved access roads in the lightly loaded array areas consisting of about 4 to 6 inches of aggregate base on compacted native soil should be suitable. The substation access road will likely require 6 to 8 inches of aggregate base over 12 inches of stabilized subgrade or native soils reinforced with a geogrid.

Preliminary Geotechnical Engineering Report Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063



GENERAL COMMENTS

Our analysis and opinions are based upon our understanding of the project, the geotechnical conditions in the area, and the data obtained from our site exploration. Natural variations will occur between exploration point locations or due to the modifying effects of construction or weather. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until during or after construction. Prior to construction of the project, Terracon should be retained as the Geotechnical Engineer to provide design level geotechnical engineering services.

Our Scope of Services does not include either specifically or by implication any environmental or biological (e.g., mold, fungi, bacteria) assessment of the site or identification or prevention of pollutants, hazardous materials or conditions. If the owner is concerned about the potential for such contamination or pollution, other studies should be undertaken.

Our services and any correspondence or collaboration through this system are intended for the sole benefit and exclusive use of our client for specific application to the project discussed and are accomplished in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices with no third-party beneficiaries intended. Any third-party access to services or correspondence is solely for information purposes to support the services provided by Terracon to our client. Reliance upon the services and any work product is limited to our client and is not intended for third parties. Any use or reliance of the provided information by third parties is done solely at their own risk. No warranties, either express or implied, are intended or made.

Site characteristics as provided are for design purposes and not to estimate excavation cost. Any use of our report in that regard is done at the sole risk of the excavating cost estimator as there may be variations on the site that are not apparent in the data that could significantly impact excavation cost. Any parties charged with estimating excavation costs should seek their own site characterization for specific purposes to obtain the specific level of detail necessary for costing. Site safety, and cost estimating including, excavation support, and dewatering requirements/design are the responsibility of others. If changes in the nature, design, or location of the project are planned, our conclusions and recommendations shall not be considered valid unless we review the changes and either verify or modify our conclusions in writing.

ATTACHMENTS



EXPLORATION AND TESTING PROCEDURES

Field Exploration

Number of Explorations	Type of Exploration	Depth or Description	Planned Location
20 ¹		15 to 40½ feet bgs	Array Area
2	SPT Borings	21 to 50½ feet bgs	Substation Area
10	Field Electrical	1, 2, 4, 8, 15, 25 and 50 feet	Array Area
1	Resistivity	0.5, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 25, 50, 100, 150, 200, 300 and 450 feet	Substation Area

1. 26 borings were initially planned, 4 boring locations B-1, B-2, B-8, and B-12 were on-hold by NEER for geotechnical drilling due to the access issue.

Boring Layout and Elevations: Unless otherwise noted, Terracon personnel provided the boringlayout. Coordinates were obtained with a handheld GPS unit (estimated horizontal accuracy of about ± 20 feet) and approximate elevations were obtained by interpolation from terrain data in Google Earth PRO TM. If more precise boring elevations and layout are desired, we recommend borings be surveyed following completion of fieldwork.

Subsurface Exploration Procedures: We advanced soil borings with a track and ATV-mounted drill rigs using rotary wash techniques. Four samples were obtained in the upper 10 feet of each boring and at intervals of 5 feet thereafter. Soil sampling was performed using a standard 2-inch outer diameter split barrel sampling spoon that was driven into the ground by a 140-pound automatic hammer falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of blows required to advance the sampling spoon the middle 12 inches of a 24-inch sampling interval or the last 12 inches of an 18-inch sampling interval was recorded as the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) resistance value. The SPT resistance values, also referred to as N-values, are indicated on the boring logs at the test depths. The samples were placed in appropriate containers, taken to our soil laboratory for testing, and classified by a Geotechnical Engineer. In addition, we observed and recorded groundwater levels during sampling.

Upon encountering bedrock or refusal-to-drilling conditions, rock coring (using NQ/NX rock core barrel) was performed at boring SB-1 at the footprint of the proposed substation structure. Water was used as a drilling fluid for rock coring and the spent water was discharged on site.

Our exploration team prepared field boring logs as part of standard drilling operations including sampling depths, penetration distances, and other relevant sampling information. Field logs included visual classifications of materials encountered during drilling, and our interpretation of



subsurface conditions between samples. Final boring logs, prepared from field logs, represent the Geotechnical Engineer's interpretation, and include modifications based on observations and laboratory tests.

Infiltration Testing: Double Ring Infiltrometer (DRI) tests was performed at depths of 1 to 1½ feet bgs in general accordance with ASTM D3385 at four location with relatively lower elevations across the site.

Field Electrical Resistivity Testing: Field measurements of soil electrical resistivity were performed using the "Wenner Four Electrode Method". For this EER survey, the electrodes consisted of ½-inch diameter, copper-coated steel grounding rods. The electrodes were inserted into the ground to a depth of 6 inches at electrode spacings of less than 10 feet and 12 inches for electrode spacings of 10 feet and greater.

The resistivity values measured in the field may vary by material type, moisture content, surface temperature, groundwater depth, and other climatic conditions. During the site visit, our field representative indicated that the ground surface cover consisted of moist clay at each test location. The weather conditions during the site visit are indicated on the field data sheets.

The soil resistivity testing was performed at the locations identified in the Attachments (section of this report). Results of the soil resistivity measurements and test line location plan are presented in **Field Electrical Resistivity Test Results** section of this report

Laboratory Testing

The project engineer reviewed the field data and assigned various laboratory tests to better understand the engineering properties of the various soil strata as necessary for this project. Procedural standards noted below are for reference to methodology in general. In some cases, variations to methods are applied because of local practice or professional judgment. Standards noted below include reference to other, related standards. Such references are not necessarily applicable to describe the specific test performed.

- ASTM D2216 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass
- ASTM D4318 Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils
- ASTM D422 Standard Test Method for Particle-Size Analysis of Soils
- ASTM D698 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort
- ASTM D7263 Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Density (Unit Weight) of Soil
- ASTM D1883 Standard Test Methods for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted Soils



Our laboratory testing program also included examination of soil samples by an engineer. Based on observation and test data, the engineer classified the soil samples in accordance with the **Unified Soil Classification System** (ASTM D2487).

Thermal Resistivity Testing: At the time of this draft report, laboratory thermal resistivity testing is in process at Terracon's laboratory. Thermal resistivity testing will be conducted on six soil bulk samples obtained during our current field exploration from a depth of approximately 0 to 4 feet below the existing ground surface and six Shelby tube samples collected from a depth of about 4.0 to 4.5 feet below existing ground surface. The thermal resistivity testing was performed in general accordance with the IEEE standard. The dry-out curves were developed from soil specimens compacted to 90% of the standard Proctor criteria (ASTM D698) at the optimum moisture content and dried to 0% moisture and on the undisturbed Shelby tube samples. The results of these thermal resistivity tests as well as tests performed during the original preliminary study are presented in the **Exploration Results** section.

Corrosion Testing: Bulk soil samples were collected from 0 to 2 feet bgs at multiple locations at the project site and sent to Terracon's office for corrosivity testing. The testing included watersoluble sulfate ion content in soil in accordance with ASTM C1580 presented in percent by weight, water-soluble chloride ion content in accordance with ASTM D512 presented in percent by weight, pH in accordance with ASTM G51, Sulfides in accordance with ASTM D4658, Oxidation Reduction Potential in accordance with ASTM G200, and electrical resistivity using the "soil box" method in accordance with ASTM G187. The results of the corrosion testing are presented in the **Exploration Results** section.


GEOMODEL

DEPTH BELOW GRADE (Feet)





This is not a cross section. This is intended to display the Geotechnical Model only. See individual logs for more detailed conditions.

Model Layer	Layer Name	General Description
1	MEDIUM STIFF CLAY	Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, soft to medium stiff
2	STIFF CLAY	Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, stiff to very stiff
3	MEDIUM DENSE SAND	Clayey Sand and Poorly Graded Sand (SP), reddish brown, medium dense
4	WEATHERED BEDROCK	Weathered Shale and Sandstone, black and gray, highly to completely weathered
5	SHALE	Shale, brownish gray, very close to moderate fracture spacing, laminated bedding, slightly to moderately weathered, very weak to weak rock
6	SANDSTONE	Sandstone, gray, moderate to wide spacing, thin bedding, unweathered, medium strong rock

LEGEND

Lean Clay

Topsoil

Silty Clay

Weathered Rock

Siting Board DR-2-2 07/19/23 31 of 158

llerracon

Poorly-graded Sand

NOTES:

Layering shown on this figure has been developed by the geotechnical engineer for purposes of modeling the subsurface conditions as required for the subsequent geotechnical engineering for this project.

☑ First Water Observation

Groundwater levels are temporal. The levels shown are representative of the date and time of our exploration. Significant changes are possible over time. Water levels shown are as measured during and/or after drilling. In some cases, boring advancement methods mask the presence/absence of groundwater. See individual logs for details.

GEOMODEL

DEPTH BELOW GRADE (Feet)





Model Layer Layer Name **General Description** Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, soft to MEDIUM STIFF CLAY 1 medium stiff Lean and Silty Lean Clay (CL/CL-ML), brown and gray, stiff to STIFF CLAY 2 very stiff Clayey Sand and Poorly Graded Sand (SP), reddish brown, medium dense 3 MEDIUM DENSE SAND WEATHERED BEDROCK Weathered Shale and Sandstone, black and gray, highly to 4 completely weathered Shale, brownish gray, very close to moderate fracture spacing, laminated bedding, slightly to moderately weathered, SHALE 5 very weak to weak rock Sandstone, gray, moderate to wide spacing, thin bedding, unweathered, medium strong rock SANDSTONE 6

LEGEND

Lean Clay

Shale

Sandy Lean Clay

Siting Board DR-2-2 07/19/23 32 of 158

llerracon

Topsoil Weathered Rock

Sandstone

NOTES:

Layering shown on this figure has been developed by the geotechnical engineer for purposes of modeling the subsurface conditions as required for the subsequent geotechnical engineering for this project.

✓ First Water Observation

Groundwater levels are temporal. The levels shown are representative of the date and time of our exploration. Significant changes are possible over time. Water levels shown are as measured during and/or after drilling. In some cases, boring advancement methods mask the presence/absence of groundwater. See individual logs for details.



PHOTOGRAPHY LOG



SITE LOCATION AND EXPLORATION PLANS

Contents:

Site Location Plan Exploration Plans

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

SITE LOCATION PLAN

Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063





DIAGRAM IS FOR GENERAL LOCATION ONLY, AND IS NOT INTENDED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

MAP PROVIDED BY MICROSOFT BING MAPS

EXPLORATION PLAN

Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063





EXPLORATION RESULTS

Contents:

General Notes Unified Soil Classification System Boring Logs (B-3 to -7, B-9 to -11, B-13 to -24, SB-1 and -2) (26 pages) Atterberg Limits Results Moisture Density Relationship Test Results (7 pages) Laboratory Thermal Resistivity Test Results (2 pages) Chemical Laboratory Test Report Field Electrical Resistivity Test Results (11 pages)

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

GENERAL NOTES DESCRIPTION OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS Sebree Solar Project Robards, KY Terracon Project No. 57215063



SAMPLING	WATER LEVEL		FIELD TESTS
	Water Initially Encountered	N	Standard Penetration Test Resistance (Blows/Ft.)
Rock Core Grab	Water Level After a Specified Period of Time	(HP)	Hand Penetrometer
	Water Level After a Specified Period of Time	(T)	Torvane
Shelby Tube Split Spoon	Cave In Encountered	(DCP)	Dynamic Cone Penetrometer
	Water levels indicated on the soil boring logs are the levels measured in the borehole at the times indicated. Groundwater level variations will occur	UC	Unconfined Compressive Strength
	over time. In low permeability soils, accurate determination of groundwater levels is not possible with short term water level observations.	(PID)	Photo-Ionization Detector
		(OVA)	Organic Vapor Analyzer

DESCRIPTIVE SOIL CLASSIFICATION

Soil classification as noted on the soil boring logs is based Unified Soil Classification System. Where sufficient laboratory data exist to classify the soils consistent with ASTM D2487 "Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes" this procedure is used. ASTM D2488 "Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)" is also used to classify the soils, particularly where insufficient laboratory data exist to classify the soils in accordance with ASTM D2487. In addition to USCS classification, coarse grained soils are classified on the basis of their in-place relative density, and fine-grained soils are classified on the basis of their consistency. See "Strength Terms" table below for details. The ASTM standards noted above are for reference to methodology in general. In some cases, variations to methods are applied as a result of local practice or professional judgment.

LOCATION AND ELEVATION NOTES

Exploration point locations as shown on the Exploration Plan and as noted on the soil boring logs in the form of Latitude and Longitude are approximate. See Exploration and Testing Procedures in the report for the methods used to locate the exploration points for this project. Surface elevation data annotated with +/- indicates that no actual topographical survey was conducted to confirm the surface elevation. Instead, the surface elevation was approximately determined from topographic maps of the area.

	STRENGTH TERMS												
RELATIVE DENSITY OF ((More than 50% re sie Density determin Penetration	etained on No. 200 ve.) ned by Standard	(50%) Consistency de	SISTENCY OF FINE-GRAINED or more passing the No. 200 etermined by laboratory shear manual procedures or standar resistance	BEDROCK									
Descriptive Term (Density)	Standard Penetration or N-Value Blows/Ft.	Descriptive Term (Consistency)	Unconfined Compressive Strength Qu, (tsf)	Standard Penetration or N-Value Blows/Ft.	Standard Penetration or N-Value Blows/Ft.	Descriptive Term (Consistency)							
Very Loose	0 - 3	Very Soft	less than 0.25	0 - 1	< 20	Weathered							
Loose	4 - 9	Soft	0.25 to 0.50	2 - 4	20 - 29 Firm								
Medium Dense	10 - 29	Medium Stiff	0.50 to 1.00	4 - 8	30 - 49	Medium Hard							
Dense	30 - 50	Stiff	1.00 to 2.00	8 - 15	50 - 79	Hard							
Very Dense	> 50	Very Stiff	2.00 to 4.00	15 - 30	>79	Very Hard							
		Hard	> 4.00	> 30									

RELEVANCE OF SOIL BORING LOG

The soil boring logs contained within this document are intended for application to the project as described in this document. Use of these soil boring logs for any other purpose may not be appropriate.

UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM



Critoria for Accian	ing Group Symbol	and Group Name	elleing Laboratory Toeto		soli Classification
Criteria for Assign	ing Group Symbols	and Group Names	s Using Laboratory Tests A	Symbol	Group Name ^B
		Clean Gravels:	Cu \geq 4 and 1 \leq Cc \leq 3 E	GW	Well-graded gravel F
	Gravels: More than 50% of	Less than 5% fines $^{\circ}$	Cu < 4 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] ^E	GP	Poorly graded gravel
	coarse fraction	Gravels with Fines:	Fines classify as ML or MH	GM	Silty gravel ^{F, G, H}
	retained on No. 4 Sieve	More than 12% fines ^C	Fines classify as CL or CH	GC	Clayey gravel ^{F, G, H}
oarse-Grained Soils: lore than 50% retained in No. 200 sieve ine-Grained Soils: 0% or more passes the o. 200 sieve ighly organic soils: 3ased on the material part field sample contained or boulders, or both" to get a sound with 5 to 12% fing gravel with silt, GW-GC is and with 5 to 12% fine sand with 5 to 12% fine sand with silt, SP-SC point and with silt and sitt and		Clean Sands:	Cu ≥ 6 and 1 ≤ Cc ≤ 3 E	SW	Well-graded sand
	Sands:	Less than 5% fines D	Cu < 6 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] ^E	SP	Poorly graded sand
	fraction passes No. 4	Sanda with Eineau	Fines classify as ML or MH	SM	Silty sand ^{G, H, I}
	sieve	More than 12% fines D	Fines classify as CL or CH	SC	Clayey sand ^{G, H, I}
		Symbol Croup Name Clean Gravels: Less than 5% fines C Cu ≥ 4 and 1 ≤ Cc ≤ 3 i GW Well-graded gravel Gravels with Fines: More than 12% fines C Fines classify as ML or MH GM Silty gravel F. G. H Gravels with Fines: More than 12% fines C Fines classify as CL or CH GC Clayey gravel F. G. H Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines D Cu ≥ 6 and 1 ≤ Cc ≤ 3 i SW Well-graded sand I Cu < 6 and/or [Cc<1 or Cc>3.0] f SP Poorly graded sand I Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines D Fines classify as ML or MH SM Silty sand G. H. I More than 12% fines D Fines classify as CL or CH SC Clayey sand G. H. I More than 12% fines D Fines classify as CL or CH SC Clayey sand G. H. I More than 12% fines D PI > 7 and plots on or above "A" CL Lean clay K. L. M Organic: PI plots on or above "A" CL Lean clay K. L. M Inorganic: PI plots on or above "A" line CH Fat clay K. L. M Organic: Uiquid limit - oven dried Liquid limit - oven dried A <0.75			
Gravels: More than 50% of coarse fraction retained on No. 4 sieveor coarse fraction on no: 200 sievecoarse fraction passes No. 4 sieveGravels with Fines: More than 12% fines 0Fine-Grained Soils: 50% or more passes the No. 200 sievesievefraction passes No. 4Sands with Fines: More than 12% fines 0Fine-Grained Soils: 50% or more passes the No. 200 sievefraction passes the No. 200 sieveSilts and Clays: Liquid limit 50 or moreGravels(Gravels with Fines)fraction passes the No. 200 sieveSilts and Clays: Liquid limit 50 or morefraction passes the No. 200 sievefraction passes the Silts and Clays: Liquid limit 50 or morefraction passes (Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay, CP-GM poorly graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay.• Based on the material passing the 3-inch (75-mm) sieve. • If field sample contained cobles or boulders, or both, add "with cobbles or boulders, or both" to group name. • Gravels with 5 to 12% fines require dual symbols: GW-GM well-graded gravel with silt, GP-GC poorly graded gravel with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay, SP-SM poorly graded sand with silt, SP-SC poorly graded sand with clay.• Cu = Dav/DhoCc = 					
		Ormanic	Liquid limit - oven dried		· · · · · ·
		Organic:	- 0.75	OL	Organic silt K, L, M, O
		Inexageist		СН	
		morganic:	PI plots below "A" line	MH	
	Liquid limit 50 or more	Organic	Liquid limit - oven dried	ОЦ	Organic clay K, L, M, I
			Liquid limit - not dried	Un	Organic silt ^{K, L, M, Q}
lighly organic soils:	Primarily	organic matter, dark in c	olor, and organic odor	PT	Peat
$Cu = D_{60}/D_{10} Cc = \frac{1}{D}$	$(D_{30})^2$ $\frac{(D_{30})^2}{(D_{10} \times D_{60})^2}$		N PI ≥ 4 and plots on or above "A" PI < 4 or plots below "A" line. P PI plots on or above "A" line.	line.	
		•	^Q PI plots below "A" line.		
		of fine-grained			
40 30 10 10	soils and fine-gra of coarse-grained Equation of "A" - line Horizontal at PI=4 to then PI=0.73 (LL-2 Equation of "U" - line Vertical at LL=16 to P then PI=0.9 (LL-8)	ined fraction soils LL=25.5. 0) PI=7,	CHO ^Y OH		

10 16 20

LIQUID LIMIT (LL)

DESCRIPTION OF ROCK PROPERTIES



	WEATHERING									
Term	Description									
Unweathered	No visible sign of rock material weathering, perhaps slight discoloration on major discontinuity surfaces.									
Slightly weathered	Discoloration indicates weathering of rock material and discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discolored by weathering and may be somewhat weaker externally than in its fresh condition.									
Moderately weathered	Less than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to a soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as a continuous framework or as corestones.									
Highly weathered	More than half of the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to a soil. Fresh or discolored rock is present either as a discontinuous framework or as corestones.									
Completely weathered	All rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated to soil. The original mass structure is still largely intact.									
Residual soil	All rock material is converted to soil. The mass structure and material fabric are destroyed. There is a large change in volume, but the soil has not been significantly transported.									

	STRENGTH OR HARDNESS				
Description	Field Identification	Uniaxial Compressive Strength, psi (MPa)			
Extremely weak	Indented by thumbnail	40-150 (0.3-1)			
Very weak	Crumbles under firm blows with point of geological hammer, can be peeled by a pocket knife	150-700 (1-5)			
Weak rock	Can be peeled by a pocket knife with difficulty, shallow indentations made by firm blow with point of geological hammer	700-4,000 (5-30)			
Medium strong	Cannot be scraped or peeled with a pocket knife, specimen can be fractured with single firm blow of geological hammer	4,000-7,000 (30-50)			
Strong rock	Specimen requires more than one blow of geological hammer to fracture it	7,000-15,000 (50-100)			
Very strong	Specimen requires many blows of geological hammer to fracture it	15,000-36,000 (100-250)			
Extremely strong	Specimen can only be chipped with geological hammer	>36,000 (>250)			

Fracture Spacing (Joints	s, Faults, Other Fractures)	Bedding Spacing (May Include Foliation or Banding)									
Description	Spacing	Description	Spacing								
Extremely close	< ¾ in (<19 mm)	Laminated	< ½ in (<12 mm)								
Very close	³ / ₄ in – 2-1/2 in (19 - 60 mm)	Very thin	½ in − 2 in (12 − 50 mm)								
Close	2-1/2 in - 8 in (60 - 200 mm)	Thin	2 in – 1 ft. (50 – 300 mm)								
Moderate	8 in – 2 ft. (200 – 600 mm)	Medium	1 ft. – 3 ft. (300 – 900 mm)								
Wide	2 ft. – 6 ft. (600 mm – 2.0 m)	Thick	3 ft. – 10 ft. (900 mm – 3 m								
Very Wide	6 ft. – 20 ft. (2.0 – 6 m)	Massive	> 10 ft. (3 m)								

Discontinuity Orientation (Angle): Measure the angle of discontinuity relative to a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the core. (For most cases, the core axis is vertical; therefore, the plane perpendicular to the core axis is horizontal.) For example, a horizontal bedding plane would have a 0-degree angle.

ROCK QUALITY DESIGNATION (RQD) 1									
Description	RQD Value (%)								
Very Poor	0 - 25								
Poor	25 – 50								
Fair	50 – 75								
Good	75 – 90								
Excellent	90 - 100								

1. The combined length of all sound and intact core segments equal to or greater than 4 inches in length, expressed as a percentage of the total core run length.

Reference: U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Publication No FHWA-NHI-10-034, December 2009 Technical Manual for Design and Construction of Road Tunnels – Civil Elements

										iting B			_	
	07/19/23 41 of 158 BORING LOG NO. B-1 Page 1 of 1											1 of 1		
Ρ	PROJECT: Sebree Solar Project CLIENT: NextEra Energy Resources LLC Juno Beach, FL													
S	ITE:	State Road 416	-	Juno Beach, FL										
щ	U	Robards, KY		-	<u>ې</u> د	ш	-		1	>				
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6645° Longitude: -87.5757° Approximate Surfac	e Elev.: 429 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-PI	
2	0	DEPTH Boring Terminated at 0 Foot	ELEVATION (Ft.)	_	≤ Ö	S/	82			-	0		_	
	anceme	ent Method:	radual. ee Exploration and Tes escription of field and la nd additional data (If ar ee Supporting Informal mbols and abbreviatio levations were interpol	aborato ny). tion for ns.	explana	edure: ation c	s used of	Notes:						
		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	7.					Boring Started:		Borin	ng Comp	leted:		
	No	o free water observed	llerr					Drill Rig:		Drille	er:			
			13050 Eastgate		Vay Ste		_	Project No.: 57215063						

										iting B				
		BO	RING L	00	S N	0.	B -2	2		07/19/:				
Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		CLIENT: NextEra Energy Resource Juno Beach, FL						Page 1 of 1 ces LLC				
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY												
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6708° Longitude: -87.5803° Approximate Surface Elev	1.: 413 (Ft.) +/- EVATION (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERB LIMIT LL-PL-	
Adve		descrip	ploration and Tese tion of field and Is tiftional data (If ar	aborato				Notes:						
Abar		nt Method: See Su Elevation	pporting Informat s and abbreviation	ion for ns.										
-		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS ofree water observed	l err					Boring Started:		Borin	ng Comp	oleted:		
								Drill Rig:		Drille	er:			
			13050 Eastgate Park Way Ste 101					Project No.: 57215063						

									iting Bo			
		BORINO	G LO	G N	0.	B-	3		07/19/2		of 158 Page 1	1 of 1
PF	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project			T: 1	Next	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		aye	
Sľ	TE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY				Juno	Douon, r E					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHICLOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6714° Longitude: -87.5748° Approximate Surface Elev.: 434 (Ft. DEPTH ELEVATION LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		8 RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS 3-5-3	RQD (%)	C LABORATORY O HP (tsf)	52 WATER 54 CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBE LIMITS
2		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff to very stiff	^{32+/-} 5 10			10 18 18 18	N=5 6-7-10 N=17 7-5-5 N=10 5-5-5 N=10 8-10-12 N=22		(HP), 2.0 (HP) 2.0 (HP) 3.5 (HP) 4.0 (HP)	21.4 21.2 23.6		
4		WEATHERED SHALE, gray to black, highly weathered	19+/- 15		X	18	5-5-7 N=12 15-22-49 N=71			21.1		
		rt Method:					Hammer Type: Au Notes:	tomatic				
ban	donme	and additional dai see Supporting Ir symbols and abbi ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	a (If any). <mark>formation</mark> fo eviations.	or explan	ation o	of						
_	_	Elevations were in	nterpolated f	trom Goo	gle Ea	arth Pro		2001		- 6	-4-1-1-	07.000
-	Nc	offree water observed					Boring Started: 12-07	7-2021	-			2-07-2021
		13050 Ea	stgate Park	Way Ste			Drill Rig: #585	2	Drille	r: L. Slat	e	
			Louisville,	KY			Project No.: 57215063					

Siting Board DR-2-2											
07/19/23 44 of 158 BORING LOG NO. B-4 Page 1 of 1											
PROJECT: Sebree Solar Project		T		T: N	lext	Era Energy R	esource	es LL		age	
SITE: State Road 416				J	Juno	Beach, FL					
Robards, KY				_			_				ATTERBERG
LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6678° Longitude: -87.5627°		(Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	Y (In.)	EST	(%	ORY	R T (%)	lTT (pcf)	
LOCATION See Exploration Plan C Location View Complexity of the c		DEPTH (Ft.)	TERL	APLE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-PI
DEPTH	urface Elev.: 402 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	ā	WA	SAN	REC	ΕĽ		LAE	8	^L ^M	
LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff	/401.5+/	-	-	X	18	3-2-3		1.5	23.1		
1 5.0	397+/-	_				N=5		(<u>HP)</u>			
2 LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff		5-	1	\boxtimes	18	5-5-7 N=12		2.0 (HP)	17.0		30-17-13
3 POORLY GRADED SAND (SP), brown, m	<u>394.5+/-</u> nedium	-		X	18	8-8-18		<u></u>	17.5		
10.5 WEATHERED SANDSTONE, gray, highly	391.5+/-	10_		\succ	14	N=26 10-45-50/3"			13.8		
4 weathered		-		K							
Auger Refusal at 15 Feet	387+/-	15-			1	50/1"		-	7.2		
Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may	be gradual.					Hammer Type: Au	itomatic		-		
Advancement Method:	See Exploration and T-	eting Dr-	oedur	o for	2	Notes:			_		_
3.25 in. HSA	See Exploration and Te description of field and and additional data (If a	laborator	ry proce	edure	a s used						
Abandonment Method:	See Supporting Information Symbols and abbreviation		explana	ation o	of						
Boring backfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	Elevations were interpo		m Goo	gle Ea	arth Pro						
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS No free water observed	75					Boring Started: 12-08	3-2021	Borin	g Comp	leted: 12	2-08-2021
						Drill Rig: #585		Drille	er: L. Sla	te	
	13050 Eastgat Loui	e Park W isville, KN	ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3				

											bard D		_
			BORING L	00	: NI		R.	5	0	//19/2	23 45 c		
-				1								Page 1	l of 1
F	PROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project			IEN	Γ: Ν J	lexti uno	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	s LL	С		
5	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY											
R	OG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		0	BIS	ΡE	In.)	F		37	(%		
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6739° Longitude: -87.5721°		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	
AODE	GRAP	Approximate Surf	ace Elev.: 422 (Ft.) +/-	DEP1	VATER	AMPL	ECOV	FIELD	RQI	ABOF	AN CONTI	DRY	LL-PL-PI
-	1	DEPTH Ω互 √TOPSOIL , brown	ELEVATION (Ft.)		> 5	s		2-3-3		1.0		-	-
1		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff		_		\wedge	18	N=6		(HP)	22.7		
		5.0	417+/-										
2		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff		5-		Х	18	4-5-6 N=11		2.0 (HP) /	21.5		
		7.5 <u>SILTY CLAY (CL-ML)</u> , brown, medium stiff	<u>414.5+/-</u>	-		X	18	3-3-4		2.0	18.0		
				10_		Х	18	N=7 3-3-4		(HP) 1.0	18.8		
1				_				N=7		(HP)			
	XX	15.0	407+/-	_ 15—									
	XX	WEATHERED SANDSTONE, orange, highl weathered	У				3	50/5"			8.1		
4	XX		100.1	-									
		20.0 Auger Refusal at 20 Feet	402+/-	20-	-		1	50/1"			11.4	-	
	/anceme	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	See Exploration and Tes					Hammer Type: Au Notes:	tomatic				
3	3.25 in. ⊦	ISA	description of field and la and additional data (If ar See Supporting Informat	aborator 1y). ion for e	y proce	dures	used						
Aba		ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	symbols and abbreviatio		n Goog	le Ea	rth Pro						
-		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	7.	-		-		Boring Started: 12-03	3-2021	Boring	g Compl	eted: 12	-03-2021
	No	o free water observed	llerr	3				Drill Rig: #585		_	r: L. Slat		
			13050 Eastgate		ay Ste			Project No.: 5721506	3				
										1			

						_				iting B			
			BORING L	00	i N	0	B-	6		07/19/2			1
F	PROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		-		T: N	Vext	Era Energy R	esourc	es LL		Dage 1	i of 1
				-		J	Juno	Beach, FĹ					
3	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY											-
YER	00	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		t.)	/EL	ΥΡΕ	(In.)	t o		RY	(%)	r (ĵ	ATTERBE LIMITS
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6758° Longitude: -87.5771°		DEPTH (Ft.)	R LEV	LE T	VERY	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	RATO (tsf)	ATER TENT (DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	
MODE	GRAF	Approximate S	Surface Elev.: 406 (Ft.) +/-	DEP	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	REL	RC	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	WEIG	LL-PL-P
	\$ 42. 1	DEPTH 0.9 TOPSOIL , brown	ELEVATION (Ft.) 405+/-				ш.						-
1		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff		-		${ imes}$	18	3-2-3 N=5		1.5 (HP)	22.4		
		5.0 LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff	401+/-	- 5 -			40	7-5-7		2.5			
		7.5	398.5+/-	-			18	N=12		(HP)	23.5		
2		SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), brown and gray, s	stiff	- - 10-		Ř	18 18	3-5-6 N=11		2.0 (HP) 4.0	20.1 26.0		
2				- 10				5-6-6 N=12		4.0 (HP)	20.0		
				_									
		15.0 WEATHERED SHALE, gray and black, h	ighly 391+/-	15_		\boxtimes	18	5-33-39			28.5		
	X	weathered		_				N=72					
	\mathbb{X}			20-		X	8	39-50/2"			8.3		
	XX												
	XX			25–				E0/01			7.0		
	XX						3	50/3"			7.3		
4	\boxtimes			-									
	\boxtimes			30-		\times	5	50/5"			5.9		
	\mathbb{X}			2									
	XX			35_ -		X	9	37-50/3"			5.6		
	XX												
	XX	40.5	365.5+/-	40_			3	50/3"			5.6		
		Auger Refusal at 40.5 Feet						00/0					
			·										
	St	ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may	ue gradual.					Hammer Type: Au	iomatic				
	vanceme 3.25 in. H	nt Method: ISA	See Exploration and Tes description of field and la					Notes:					
			and additional data (If ar See Supporting Information	ny).									
		ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	symbols and abbreviation	ons.									
	-	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	Elevations were interpol	ated fro	m Goo	gle Ea	arth Pro	Dening Objects 1 40 55	0001	b :	- 0	1	00.0001
	No	o free water observed	1 Terr					Boring Started: 12-02 Drill Rig: #585	2-2021	_	er: L. Sla		2-02-2021
			13050 Eastgate		ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3		a. L. Sia		
			LOUIS	wine, N					-				

				_	-		_			iting B			
		BO		00		~	р.	7		07/19/2	23 47 0	01 158	
-		BO	RING L	-		_						Page ?	1 of 1
F	PROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		CL	IEN [.]	T: N	lextl	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL	C		
-	SITE:	State Road 416	_	-		J	uno	Deach, FL					
	JITE.	Robards, KY											
£	g	LOCATION See Exploration Plan			RS II	ЪЕ	n.)			X	()	f)	ATTERBERG LIMITS
LAY	IIC LO	Latitude: 37.6744° Longitude: -87.5627°		⊣ (Ft.)	LEVE ATIO	Σ	ERY (I	TES1 JLTS	RQD (%)	ATOF (tsf)	NT (9	UNIT T (pc	
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Approximate Surface Ele	×(: 424 (Et) ±/	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-PI
ž	Ū		EVATION (Ft.)		N N N	SA	REC	ш		P	ö	->	
		<u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , brown, medium stiff	/ 4 23.5+/	_			18	3-2-4		2.5	20.9		
		<u>LEAN CLAT (CL)</u> , brown, medium sun		-				N=6		(<u>HP</u>)	20.0		
				5 —			18	3-3-4		3.0	22.7		37-21-16
1				-		\cap		N=7		(HP)			37-21-10
Ľ.				-		\bigotimes	18 18	3-4-3 N=7		3.0 (<u>HP)</u> 2.0	19.5 19.6		
				10_		\cap	10	3-4-4 N=8		2.0 (HP)	19.6		
				_		K							
		15.0 SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), reddish brown and gray, s	409+/-				10	3-4-5		0.5	01.0		
2		SILTECLAT (CL-WIL), reduist brown and gray, s	SUIT	_		ightarrow	18	N=9		(HP)	21.6		
-		20.0	404+/-										
4	XX	21.5 WEATHERED SHALE, gray, highly weathered	402.5+/-	20_		X	18	10-12-16 N=28	_	_	13.3		
		Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet				1		IN-20					
								Hommer Trees **	tomotio				
	Sti	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradua	al.					Hammer Type: Au	nomatic				1
	vanceme 3.25 in. H	nt Method: See E	xploration and Te	sting Pro	cedure	es for a	a	Notes:					
		descri	otion of field and Iditional data (If a		y proce	Suures	s used						
		ent Method: symbo	upporting Informa		explana	ation o	f						
	Boring ba	ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion. Elevat	ons were interpo	lated from	n Goo	gle Ea	rth Pro						
		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	-		-	-	-	Boring Started: 12-02	2-2021	Borin	g Comp	leted: 12	2-02-2021
	No	o free water observed	len	3				Drill Rig: #585		Drille	r: L. Slat	te	
			13050 Eastgate	e Park W sville, KY	ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3				
			Louis										

									iting B			
		BORIN	IG LOO	G N	0.	B-8	3		07/19/			1 of 1
Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project	C	LIEN	T: 1	NextE Juno	Era Energy F Beach, FL	Resource	es LL		90	
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY				Juno	Bouoli, i E					
ÈR	g	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		NS EL	ЪЕ	(In.)	F.		٨	(%	. କ	ATTERBER LIMITS
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6745° Longitude: -87.5549°	Ft.) +/-	RLEV	LE TY	VERY	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	RATO (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pd)	
MODE	GRAF	Approximate Surface Elev.: 443 (I	,	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	REL	RC	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	CONT	VEIG	LL-PL-PI
-		DEPTH ELEVATIO Boring Terminated at 0 Foot Drilling Pending due to Access Restriction	DN (Ft.)		0,					-	-	
		ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.										
Adv	anceme	nt Method: See Exploration description of fi and additional of		rocedur ory proc	es for edure	a s used	Notes:					
Aba	ndonme	See Supporting symbols and at Elevations were										
		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					Boring Started:		Borir	ng Comp	leted:	
	No	o free water observed	611				Drill Rig:		Drille			
			Eastgate Park	_	_		Project No : 572150	163		-		

_	_			_		_				iting Bo			_
			BORING L	00	: NI4	\mathbf{O}	P.	0		07/19/2			
-				1								Page 1	1 of 1
P	ĸŎJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		CL	IEN	ו: N J	vexti uno	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL	C		
S	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY		_									
ÈR	OG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		(;	EL DNS	ΡE	(In.)	F.,		RY	(%	- cf)	ATTERBE LIMITS
L LAY	HICL	Latitude: 37.6788° Longitude: -87.5574°		DEPTH (Ft.)	R LEV	Ш	ERY	0 TES	RQD (%)	RATO	ENT (TUNIT HT (p	
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Approximate Surfa	ace Elev.: 415 (Ft.) +/-	DEP'	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQ	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-F
		DEPTH ₽₽.Z.~ <mark>TOPSOIL</mark> , brown	ELEVATION (Ft.)	_	>ō	S	₩ 18	3-2-3		1.5	23.4	-	-
		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff		-			18	N=5 3-3-3		(HP) 2.0	23.4 19.3		43-20-
1								N=6		(HP) 1.5			
			407 5.4	5-		1				(HP)			
2	XX	9.0 SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), light brown, stiff	407.5+/- 406+/-	-		\times	18	3-5-5		1.0	18.4		
1		SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), light brown, medium	n stiff	10		\boxtimes	18	N=10 3-3-4		(HP) 2.0	21.4		
1				_				N=7		(<u>HP</u>)			
	X	15.0	400+/-	_ 15—									
	$X \times$	WEATHERED SHALE, gray, highly weather	red			Х	18	19-23-26 N=49			11.1		
4	\mathbb{X}			_									
	\mathbb{N}	21.5	393.5+/-	20-		X	18	16-26-31		_	11.3		
		Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet						N=57					
	St	ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be	gradual.					Hammer Type: Au	tomatic				
3 Aba	.25 in. H	ent Method: ISA ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Exploration and Test description of field and la and additional data (If any See Supporting Informatii symbols and abbreviation Elevations were interpola	borator y). on for e is.	y proce explana	edures ition o	s used f	Notes:					
	-	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		iteu iror	11 000	yie ⊏a	uu 1 11 0		2024	Deri	a Correct	loted: 10	02 2021
1	No	o free water observed	Terr		-	ור		Boring Started: 12-03 Drill Rig: #585	9-2021		g Compl r: L. Slat		2-03-2021
		C	13050 Eastgate		ay Ste			Project No.: 5721506	3		c. oidi		
			LOUISV	me, rr				10/00/110. 0721000					

						_				iting B			
		BOF	RING LO	OG	NC	Э.	B-1	0		07/19/:		of 158 Page 1	
P	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		T		T: N	lext	Era Energy R	esourc	es LL		aye	
S	ITE:	State Road 416	-			J	luno	Beach, FĹ					
_	-	Robards, KY				_			_	-	_		ATTERBERG
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6790° Longitude: -87.5672° Approximate Surface Elev	v.: 436 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LIMITS
_	14: 1	DEPTH EL	EVATION (Ft.)	-	> 0	S.	ц			<u> </u>	-	-	
1		LEAN CLAY (CL), grayish brown to light brown, medium stiff		5- - - - - 10-			18 18 18 18 18	3-2-3 N=5 2-2-2 N=4 2-2-3 N=5 2-3-3		1.0 (HP) 1.0 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 3.0	20.4 23.8 21.6 21.8		
2		15.0 <u>SILTY CLAY (CL-ML)</u> , brown and gray, stiff	421+/-	10			18	3-5-6 N=11		2.5 (HP)	21.4		
4		21.0 WEATHERED SHALE, gray, highly weathered Auger Refusal at 21 Feet	416+/- 415+/-	20_		X	9	27-50/3"			9.1		
		atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradua						Hammer Type: Au	tomatic				
3 Aba	.25 in. F ndonme	ISA descrip and ad see Su wit Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	ploration and Tes tion of field and la ditional data (If an upporting Informat s and abbreviation	aborator ıy). ion for e ns.	y proce explana	edure: ation c	s used ıf	Notes:					
	Ν/-	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						Boring Started: 12-02	2-2021	Borin	g Compl	leted: 12	2-02-2021
	N	o free water observed	lerr	2				Drill Rig: #585		Drille	er: L. Slat	te	
			13050 Eastgate	Park W ville, KY	'ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3				

			- 14						iting B			
		BORING			0.	B-1	1		07/19/2			
Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project			T: 1	Vext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esourc	es LL		age ?	<u>1 of 1</u>
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY					, · -					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	,)	MATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	B RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST 9-4 2-4 2-4	RQD (%)	HP (15f)	52 WATER 66 CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBER(LIMITS
1		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff 9.0 422 LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff	5- 5- - 			18 1.5 18 18	2-3-3 N=6 3-3-3 N=6 3-4-6		(HP) 4.0 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 2.5	21.0 18.6 19.2		
2		15.0 416 <u>WEATHERED SHALE</u> , brown and gray, highly weathered				18	16-25-39 N=64		2.3 ((HP)	18.0		
		20.5 410.5 Auger Refusal at 20.5 Feet	t/= 20-		X	6	50-50/0"			9.6		
	anceme	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.	Testing Pr	ocedur	es for	a	Hammer Type: Au	tomatic				_
Aba		description of field a and additional data See Supporting Info symbols and abbrev Elevations were inte	nd laborato If any). mation for iations.	explan	edure	s used of						
-	No	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS ofree water observed		-			Boring Started: 12-02	2-2021	Borin	g Compl	eted: 12	-02-2021
		13050 East	Gate Park V puisville, K	Vay Ste			Drill Rig: #585 Project No.: 5721506	3	Drille	r: L. Slat	te	

											ting Bo			_
Ī			B	ORING LO	C	NC).	B-1	2	U	07/19/2		Di 156	1 of 1
ſ	PF	roji	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		CL	IEN	Г: N	lext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	s LL		ugo	
ł	Sľ	TE:	State Road 416	-			J	uno	Deach, FL					
			Robards, KY			_								
	KEK	LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		ft)	VEL	YPE	(In.)	s	~	DRY	(%)	T ocf)	
	MOUEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6860° Longitude: -87.5666°		DEPTH (Ft.)	ER LE	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	
	MOI		Approximate Surface	e Elev.: 472 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	DEF	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMF	RECC	RE	Ř	LABO	CON	WEI	LL-PL-PI
-			Boring Terminated at 0 Foot Drilling Pending due to Access Restriction	ELEVATION (FL)	-	1								-
I														
I														
- 5 F														
20.														
5														
200														
4														
ł														
				,										
		Stra	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gr	adual.		-				I				
Ā	dvan	ncemer	it Method:	e Exploration and Test	ting Pro	ocedure	s for a	a	Notes:					
			de	scription of field and la d additional data (If an	aborato	ry proce	edures	s used						
	band	donmer		ee Supporting Informati mbols and abbreviatior		explana	tion o	f						
2				evations were interpola		m Goog	gle Ea	irth Pro						
	-		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						Boring Started:		Borin	g Comp	leted:	
		NO	free water observed	lierr	3				Drill Rig:		Drille	r:		
				13050 Eastgate	Park W ville, K	ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	63				

_										iting B			
		BOI	RING L	OG	NC	Э.	B-1	3		07/19/2		pf 158 Page 1	
Р	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		CL	IEN [.]	T: N	luno	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL			
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY				J	uno	Deach, T L					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6877° Longitude: -87.5753° Approximate Surface Ele DEPTH El 0.7 TOPSOIL, brown LEAN CLAY (CL), dark grayish brown, medium s	LEVATION (Ft.) -445.5+/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	X SAMPLE TYPE	8 RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS 3-5-5	RQD (%)	0 HP (tsf)	6 WATER 6 CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS
1		5.0 SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), gray and light brown, mea stiff	441+/-	5- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			18 18 18	N=4 3-2-4 N=6 2-2-2 N=4 2-2-3 N=5		(HP) 1.5 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 2.25 (HP)	23.2 23.9 21.6		
4		20.0 21.5 WEATHERED SHALE, gray and brown, highly weathered	426+/- 424.5+/-	15- - 20-		XX	18	3-4-4 N=8 11-12-18 N=30		2.5 (HP)	21.1		
		Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet											
3 Aba	anceme .25 in. F ndonme oring ba	ISA descriand ar and ar see S symbol schilded with auger cuttings upon completion. Elevat WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	xploration and Te dition of field and dditional data (If a upporting Informa ols and abbreviati tions were interpo	laborato any). ation for e ions. blated fro	ry proce explana m Goo	edures ation o gle Ea	s used f arth Pro	Hammer Type: Au Notes: Boring Started: 12-02		Borin	g Compl	leted: 12	2-02-2021
	No	o free water observed	13050 Eastgat Loui	e Park W isville, K	/ay Ste	101	Π	Drill Rig: #585 Project No.: 5721506		-	er: L. Slat		

_									ting Bo			
		BORIN	NG LOG	N) .	B-1	4	C)7/19/2		f 158 age 1	of 1
Р	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project	CL	IEN	T: N	luno	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL(0	
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY										_
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG		FION (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS LL-PL-PI
1		0.3.∧ <u>TOPSOIL</u> , brown <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , light grayish brown, medium stiff 7.5 <u>SILTY CLAY (CL-ML)</u> , light brown and gray, medium stiff	/*133.5±// - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			18 18 18 18 18	2-2-2 N=4 4-4-4 N=8 3-3-3 N=6 2-2-3 N=5		(HP) 2.0 (HP) 2.0 (HP)	22.1 21.1 25.7 27.4		
4		20.0 21.5 WEATHERED SANDSTONE, brown, highly weathered Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet	<u>394+/-</u> <u>392.5+/-</u> <u>392.5+/-</u>		X X	18	3-3-3 N=6 19-34-50 N=84		<u>(HP)</u>	20.6		
3 Aba	anceme .25 in. H	15A description of and addition see Support symbols and ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	tion and Testing Pro of field and laborator al data (If any). ting Information for d abbreviations.	ry proc	edures ation o	s used ıf		tomatic				
	No	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	erra				Boring Started: 12-01	-2021	-			-01-2021
		130	50 Eastgate Park V Louisville, K	Vay Ste	101		Drill Rig: #585 Project No.: 5721506	3	Driller	: L. Slate	9	

					_					iting B			-
		BOR	ING L	.OG	NC	Э.	B- 1	5		07/19/			1 of 1
Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		-		T: N	lext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		ayu	
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY				J		20001, 1 E					1 of 1
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6818° Longitude: -87.5498° Approximate Surface Elev DEPTH ELE	.: 420 (Ft.) +/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	
		<u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , brown LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff	/419.5+/4	-		X	18	3-2-4 N=6		2.0 (HP)	18.5		
1		9.0 SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), brown, medium stiff	411+/-	10-			18 18 18	4-4-4 N=8 3-3-4 N=7 3-3-4 N=7		1.5 (HP) 0.5 (HP) 2.5 (HP)	23.6 20.4 20.5		
		20.0	1001/	15- - -		×	18	5-4-4 N=8		1.0 <u>(HP)</u>	24.0	-	
4		20.0 21.5 WEATHERED SHALE, brown, highly weathered Auger Refusal at 21.5 Feet	400+/- 398.5+/-	1 20-		X	12	30-35-50/2"	_	-	11.1		
	St	ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be gradual.						Hammer Type: Au	tomatic				
	anceme .25 in. H	HSA descript	oloration and Te ion of field and itional data (If a	laborato				Notes:					1
		ent Method: symbols ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	and abbreviat	ions.									
	N/	Diffee water observed			_			Boring Started: 12-01	-2021	Borin	ng Comp	leted: 12	2-01-2021
	/ //							Drill Rig: #585		Drille	er: L. Sla	te	
			13050 Eastgat Lou	te Park V isville, K	Vay Ste Y	101		Project No.: 5721506	3				

	_					_	_			iting B			_
		В		OG	NC	Э.	B-1	6		07/19/2		of 158 Page 1	1 of 1
Р	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		T		T: N	lextl	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		age	
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY						,					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH	ce Elev.: 414 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBERG
1		 <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u>, brown and gray, medium <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u>, brown and gray, medium <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u>, brown and gray, stiff to vertice 	409+/-	5- 			18 18 18 18 18	4-3-3 N=6		0.5 (HP) 2.0 (HP) 4.0 (HP) 3.75	22.3 23.7 22.9 23.1		
4		15.0 WEATHERED SHALE, gray, highly weather 20.5 Auger Refusal at 20.5 Feet	399+/- ed 393.5 + /+	15- 			14	29-35-50 N=85		(<u>(HP</u>)	9.4		
Adva 3.	anceme 25 in. H ndonme	ISA c	pradual.	aborator ny). tion for e	y proce	edures	s used	Hammer Type: Au Notes:	itomatic				
-	Nic	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	Elevations were interpol		_		-	Boring Started: 12-01	-2021	Borin	g Compl	eted: 12	-01-2021
	/\C	o free water observed	13050 Eastgate Louis	Park W sville, KY	ay Ste	101	Π	Drill Rig: #585 Project No.: 5721506	3	Drille	er: L. Slat	e	

-11	_									ting Bo			
			BORING L	OG	NC).	B-1	7	()7/19/2			1 of 1
P	PROJ	IECT: Sebree Solar Project		-	-	T: N	lext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		Page 1	
S	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY											
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH	e Surface Elev.: 418 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBE LIMITS LL-PL-P
1		 <u>TOPSOIL</u>, brown <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u>, brown to reddish brostiff <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u>, brown to reddish brostiff 	413+/-	5- 			18 18 18 18 18	2-3-3 N=6 4-5-5 N=10 4-4-4 N=8 4-5-6 N=11		1.5 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 2.5 (HP)	24.4 22.3 20.5 26.1		
4		16.1 <u>WEATHERED SANDSTONE</u> , brown, c weathered 20.5 Auger Refusal at 20.5 Feet	 ompletely 397.5+/-	- 15- - 20-		I N	12	6-24-50/1" 50/2"		1.5 ((HP))	<u>16.8</u>		
3 Aba	anceme 3.25 in. H	ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition ment Method: TSA	ay be gradual.	aborator ny). tion for e	y proce	edures	s used	Hammer Type: Au Notes:	tomatic				
		WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	Elevations were interpol	-		_	-	Boring Started: 11-30	-2021	Boring	g Compl	eted: 11	-30-2021
	N	o free water observed						Drill Rig: #585		Drille	r: L. Slat	ie	
			13050 Eastgate Louis	Park W ville, KY	ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3	1			

									ting Bo			
		BORING L	OG	NC	Э.	B-1	8	C	17/19/2		of 158 Page 1	1 of 1
PROJECT:	Sebree Solar Project		-		T: N	lext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	s LL(aye	
	State Road 416 Robards, KY											
U DEPTH		rface Elev.: 448 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBE
1 5.0 2 7.5	OPSOIL, brown EAN CLAY (CL), brown, medium stiff EAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff ANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, mediur	447.5±/- 443:-/- n stiff				18 18 18 18 18	2-2-3 N=5 4-4-5 N=9 3-3-4 N=7 2-2-3 N=5		(HP) 2.0 (HP) 1.25 (HP)	25.3 25.1 17.0 16.6		
4 20.5	VEATHERED SANDSTONE, brown, com /eathered	432.5+/- pletely 427.5+/-	15- 			13	<u>16-50-50/1"</u> <u>50/1</u> "			12.6		
dvancement Methoo 3.25 in. HSA bandonment Metho	·	See Exploration and Te description of field and and additional data (If a See Supporting Informa symbols and abbreviatio Elevations were interpo	laborator ny). ation for e ons.	ry proce explana	edure: ation c	s used f	Hammer Type: Au Notes:	itomatic				
WATE	R LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		_	-	_	-	Boring Started: 12-09	9-2021	Boring	Comple	eted: 12	-09-2021
No free w	vater observed	1 lerr					Drill Rig: #585		-	: L. Slate		
		13050 Eastgate	e Park W	/ay Ste			Project No.: 5721506	3		5100		
		Louis	sville, K				1 10/201 100. 5721500	0				

									iting B			-
		BORING L	OG	NC). E	3-1	9		07/19/2			l of 1
PRO	JECT: Sebree Solar Project		1		Г: N	extE	Era Energy R	esourc	es LL		age 1	
SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY				Jı	uno	Beach, FĽ					
L MODEL LAYER	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.7031° Longitude: -87.5449°	urface Elev.: 458 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.) 457.5+/-	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		8 RECOVERY (In.)	LEED TESULTEST T-4-4 N=8 3-5-3 N=2	RQD (%)	HP (st)	CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBEF LIMITS
4	7.5 WEATHERED SANDSTONE, gray and br highly weathered	450.5+/- own,	5			18 18 9	12-21-38 N=59 22-20-20 N=40 44-50/5"		4.5 (HP), 2.0 (HP) 0.5 (HP)	10.8		
	21.0 Auger Refusal at 21 Feet	437+/-	20		X	6	20-50/3"	-		,16.9 ,	_	_
5	tratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may	be gradual.					Hammer Type: Au	tomatic				
3.25 in. I Abandonm	ent Method: HSA nent Method: packfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Exploration and Te description of field and and additional data (If a See Supporting Informa symbols and abbreviatio Elevations were interpo	laborator iny). ation for e ons.	y proce explana	edures	used	Notes:					
-	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					-	Boring Started: 11-30	-2021	Borin	g Compl	eted: 11	-30-2021
N	lo free water observed	ller					Drill Rig: #585			r: L. Slat		
		13050 Eastgate	e Park W	ay Ste	101	-	-	3		orat	-	
		Loui	sville, K				Project No.: 5721506	3				

										iting B			
		BO	RING L	OG	NC	Э.	B- 2	20		07/19/2			9
Р	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		-				Era Energy R	esource	es LL		Page	
_				_	•	J	Juno	Beach, FL					
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY			_	_							
VER	POG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		-t.)	VEL	YPE	(In.)	S T S		ORY	(%)	⊢T Pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.7102° Longitude: -87.5394°		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-PI
MOE	GR/	Approximate Surface E	Elev.: 444 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.)	B	WAT OBSE	SAM	RECO	E B	۳	LAB	200	ND	
	14: N	0.8 TOPSOIL, brown	443+/-	_			18	2-3-6					22-14-8
		SANDY LEAN CLAY (CL), reddish brown, stiff very stiff	10	1		\sim		N=9					22-14-0
				5-		X	18	6-6-10			12.0		
2				-		X	18	N=16 6-6-7		1.5	14.2		
				10_		X	18	N=13 4-4-6		(<u>HP</u>) 1.0	16.6		
		13.5	430.5+/-	=				N=10		(<u>HP</u>)			
	\times	WEATHERED SANDSTONE, reddish brown to brown, highly weathered	light	- 15-		\boxtimes	18	14-26-50 N=76		0.5 (HP)	16.2		
4	XX			-									
	<u>X X</u>	19.5 Auger Refusal at 19.5 Feet	424.5+/-	-	-	\times	8	19-50/4"	-	-	12.9	-	
													1
	St	atification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be grad	ual.	-				Hammer Type: Au	itomatic				
Adva	anceme	nt Method:	Exploration and Tes	sting Pro	cedure	s for	a	Notes:			_		
	25 in. H	ISA desc	cription of field and la additional data (If ar	aborator	ry proce	edure	s used						
		ent Method: syml	Supporting Information		explana	ation o	of						
Bo	oring ba	ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	ations were interpol	ated fro	m Goo	gle Ea	arth Pro						
-	No	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						Boring Started: 11-30)-2021	Borin	ng Comp	leted: 11	1-30-2021
	, , ,		13050 Eastgate	Park W	av Ste	101		Drill Rig: #585		Drille	er: L. Sla	te	
			Louis	sville, K	((101		Project No.: 5721506	3				

									ting Bo			
		BORING	LOG	S NO	Э.	B-2	21	(07/19/2		of 158 Page 1	1 of 1
F	PROJ	IECT: Sebree Solar Project	1		T: 1	Vext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		aye	
5	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY										_
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6927° Longitude: -87.5735° Approximate Surface Elev.: 436 (Ft.) - DEPTH ELEVATION (F 0.5.A TOPSOIL brown /4355	.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBER(LIMITS
1		0.5 <u>TOPSOIL</u> , brown /435.f LEAN CLAY (CL), grayish brown, medium stiff 7.5 428.f SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), gray and brown, stiff	5-			18 18 18	2-4-2 N=6 4-4-4 N=8 3-4-6 N=10		1.0 (HP) 1.0 (HP) 2.0 (HP)	21.1 20.8 24.2		
2		15.0 42 ⁻ LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff	10- +/- 15-		XX	18	3-4-4 N=8 4-5-7 N=12		0.5 (HP) 2.0 (HP)	23.5 23.8		
4		20.0 416 21.5 WEATHERED SHALE, light brown, highly 414.5 Weathered Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet	7 20		X	18	15-22-37 N=59	-		12.3		
3 Aba	vanceme 3.25 in. H	ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion. Elevations were interesting to the set of the set o	Ind laborat (If any). rmation for riations.	ory proc ⁻ explana	edure ation c	s used of		_				
	No	o free water observed	61	C		n	Boring Started: 12-07 Drill Rig: #585	-2021	-	g Compl r: L. Slat		2-07-2021
		13050 East	gate Park ouisville, k	Way Ste	e 101		Project No.: 5721506	3			_	

						_				iting B			
		BO	RING L	OG	NC).	B-2	22		07/19/2			
F	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project		1	-	T: N	lext	Era Energy R	esource	es LL		age '	
	SITE:	State Road 416		-		J	luno	Beach, FĹ					
		Robards, KY											ATTERREDO
AYER	SOL	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		Ē	EVEL	ΓΥΡΕ	Y (In.)	IS	(%	ORY	R 7 (%)	lIT (pcf)	ATTERBERG LIMITS
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6970° Longitude: -87.5730°		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	LL-PL-PI
Q	КЭ	Approximate Surface E	ELEVATION (Ft.)	ā	WA	SAN	REC	ĒĽ		LAE	8	MB	_
1		0.3.∧ <u>TOPSOIL</u> , brown <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , light brown and gray, soft	/412.5+/	-		X	18	3-1-2 N=3		2.0 (HP)	24.2		
Ľ		5.0	408+/-	- - 5-									
		LEAN CLAY (CL), light brown and gray, stiff		- -		\ge	18	4-5-6 N=11		2.5 (HP)	22.4		
2				- - 10-		\bigotimes	18 18	3-3-4 N=7		2.5 (<u>HP)</u> 2.5	20.6 20.5		
-				-				4-4-5 N=9		2.5 (<u>(HP)</u>			
		15.0	398+/-	- - 15-									
	\bigotimes	WEATHERED SHALE, light brown, highly weathered		-		\bowtie	18	17-22-32 N=54			12.4		
4	XX			 20									-
-	\sim	21.5 Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet	391.5+/-	20_		\bowtie	18	18-22-28 N=50		-	10.1		-
	K												
	Str	ratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be grad	ual.					Hammer Type: Au	itomatic		_		
Adv	anceme	nt Method:		ofin - D		- fr		Notes:				_	_
	8.25 in. F	ISA desc	Exploration and Te cription of field and additional data (If a	laborator	y proce	edures	a s used						
		ent Method: symb	Supporting Information		explana	ation o	f						
E	Boring ba		ations were interpo	lated fro	m Goo	gle Ea	arth Pro						
	No	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS o free water observed	lloc		-			Boring Started:			g Compl		
			13050 Eastgate	e Park W	ay Ste	101		Drill Rig: #585		Drille	r: L. Slat	ie	
			Loui	sville, KY				Project No.: 5721506	3				

						_					bard D		
		C	BORING L		NIC		P 1)3	0	1/19/2	23 63 0		
-												age '	l of 1
P	RO.	JECT: Sebree Solar Project		CL	IEN	r: N J	vexti Juno	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	s LL	С		
S	SITE	State Road 416 Robards, KY											
Ë	g	LOCATION See Exploration Plan		(NS	PE	(In.)	F		X	(%		ATTERBERG
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	Latitude: 37.6989° Longitude: -87.5803°		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	
IODE	RAPI	Approximate Surf	ace Elev.: 429 (Ft.) +/-	DEPT	ATEF	AMPL	COV	FIELD	RQI	ABOF HP	WA	DRY VEIGI	LL-PL-PI
2		DEPTH	ELEVATION (Ft.)		20	S/	R			د 	0	>	
		0.5 <u>TOPSOIL</u> , brown <u>SILTY CLAY (CL-ML)</u> , brown, medium stiff	/ 4 28.5+/	_		X	18	2-2-4		2.0	25.2		
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		- 6				N=6		<u>(HP)</u>			
1				5-		X	18	3-3-3		0.5	26.7		
			400.1	_			18	N=6 3-2-5		<u>(HP)</u> 1.5	20.2		
		9.0 <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , reddish brown, stiff to ve	420+/- ery stiff	- 10-		\Diamond	18	N=7 3-5-5		(HP) 2.5	26.0		
				_				N=10		(HP)			
				-									
2				15-		X	18	6-8-10		4.5	18.8		
				-	1			<u>N=18</u>		<u>(HP)</u>			
		20.0	409+/-	-20				18-12-14					
4	$ \Sigma\rangle$	21.5 WEATHERED SHALE, gray to black, highly weathered	407.5+/-			X	18	N=26			19.1		
		Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet											
Adv		tratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may be ent Method: HSA	e gradual. See Exploration and Te description of field and and additional data (If a	laborato				Hammer Type: Au Notes:	itomatic				
Aba		ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Supporting Information Symbols and abbreviation	ation for o									
	_	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	Elevations were interpo	naled fro	in Goo(уњ ⊏а	aui Pro	-		Dent	a Carros I	otod	
	٨	lo free water observed		6				Boring Started:		+			
			13050 Eastgat	e Park W	ay Ste			Drill Rig: #585	20	Drille	r: L. Slat	e	
-				sville, K`				Project No.: 5721506	53				

_										ting Bo			_
		BO	RING L	OG	NC	Э.	B-2	24	()7/19/2			l of 1
F	ROJ	JECT: Sebree Solar Project		-		T: N	lext	Era Energy R Beach, FL	esource	es LL		age ´	
5	SITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY					uno	Death, FL					
MODEL LAYER	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.7040° Longitude: -87.5825° Approximate Surface Ele	. ,	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBER
1		DEPTH E 0.3 \ TOPSOIL, brown SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), brown, soft 5.0 LEAN CLAY (CL), brown and grayish brown, stif	_EVATION (Ft.) /422.5+// 418+/- f	5- - - 10-			18 18 18 18	2-1-2 N=3 4-5-4 N=9 3-3-4 N=7 4-4-5 N=9		0.5 (HP) 2.0 (HP) 1.5 (HP) 2.5 (HP)	28.7 23.0 24.1 19.5		
4		20.0 21.5 <u>WEATHERED SHALE</u> , gray, highly weathered Boring Terminated at 21.5 Feet	<u>403+/-</u> 401.5+/-	15 20		X	18	5-6-8 N=14 8-16-28 N=44		3.0 (HP)	21.8		_
Aba	anceme 3.25 in. H	HSA descri and av see S ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	al. xploration and Te ption of field and dditional data (If a upporting Informa ols and abbreviati ions were interpo	laborator any). ation for e ons.	y proce explana	edure: ation c	s used ıf	-	_				
-	N	o free water observed	lerr				n	Boring Started: 12-08 Drill Rig: #585	3-2021	-	g Compl r: L. Slat		-08-2021
			13050 Eastgate	e Park W sville, KY	ay Ste	101		Project No.: 5721506	3	Drille	i. L. Siat	e	
			LOUI	sville, KY				1 TOJEGE NO.: 3721300					

-	-			_						_	-			oard D 23 65		-
			E	30	RI	NG	LOG NO	. SB-	-1						Page 1	1 of 1
Ρ	ROJ	ECT: Sebree Solar Project					CLIENT	: NextE Juno	Era En Beach	ergy 1, FL	Res	source	es LL	_C		
S	ITE:	State Road 416 Robards, KY														
	GRAPHIC LOG	LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6896° Longitude: -87.5478° Approximate Surface Elev.: 424 (Ft.) +/- DEPTH ELEVATION (Ft.)	DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	Uniaxial Compressive Strength (psi)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	TEST TYPE S	COMPRESSIVE AU STRENGTH BU (tsf)		WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	ATTERBEI
		LEAN CLAY (CL), brown, stiff	-		X	18	2-5-7 N=12			2.5 (HP)				19.9		
And the second residences in the second seco		7.5 416.5+/- SILTY CLAY (CL-ML), brown, stiff	5- - - 10- -			18 18 18 18	4-4-4 N=8 4-4-5 N=9 3-5-5 N=10			1.0 (HP) 2.5 (HP) 2.5 (HP)				24.3 18.4 20.4		
		20.0 404+/-	15- 		X	18	3-5-7 N=12			3.5 (HP)				19.5		
		20.3 WEATHERED SHALE, gray, highly weathered SHALE, brownish gray, very close to close fracture 25.0 spacing, laminated bedding, moderately weathered, very weak to weak rock SHALE, gray, close to	25		_	3 60 60	50/3"	52	605 5061					9.0	135	
		STALL, gray, close to moderate fracture spacing, laminated bedding, slightly weathered, weak rock 35.3 388.5+/- SANDSTONE, gray,	30- 35-			60		33								
		moderate to wide spacing, thin bedding, unweathered, medium strong	40-			60		57								
			45			60		60								
		50.5 373.5+/-				60		59								
	St	Boring Terminated at 50.5 Feet	on may b	e grad	ual.				Hamme	er Type:	Auton	natic				
1	anceme 25 in. H Q2 size ndonme	ent Method: ID		See desc and a See symb	Explor ription additic Suppo pols a	n of field a onal data (orting Infor nd abbrevi	mation for explanation	lures used	Notes:							
,	Δt	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		-				-	Boring Sta	arted: 11	-30-20	021	Borir	ng Comp	leted: 12	2-01-2021
1			-	1	13	050 Fast	TOCC ate Park Way Ste 1		Drill Rig: #	¥585			Drille	er: L. Sla	te	
1	ndonme oring ba	ent Method: ackfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.		See symb	Suppo pols an ations	orting Information Informatio Information Information Information Information Information	mation for explanation iations.	e Earth Pro			-30-20	021	-			2-01-2021
									oard D							
--	--	--	-----------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------------	----------	------------------------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------					
	BORING L	OG	NC). S	SB	-2		07/19/2	23 66 c	Page 1	1 of 1					
PROJECT: Sebree Solar Project		1		T: N	lextl	Era Energy R	esource	es LL		aye						
SITE: State Road 416 Robards, KY				J	luno	Beach, FL										
LOCATION See Exploration Plan Latitude: 37.6880° Longitude: -87.5454° Approximate S		DEPTH (Ft.)	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	SAMPLE TYPE	RECOVERY (In.)	FIELD TEST RESULTS	RQD (%)	LABORATORY HP (tsf)	WATER CONTENT (%)	DRY UNIT WEIGHT (pcf)	Atterberg Limits					
Approximate S	5urface Elev.: 436 (Ft.) +/- ELEVATION (Ft.) /435.5±//	DEP1	WATER	SAMPL	NO LECON 18	3-3-3	RQ	1.0	24.2	DRY WEIG	LL-PL-PI					
1 1 7.5	428.5+/-	5-			18 2	N=6 3-3-4 N=7		(HP) 1.0 (HP) 2.5 (HP)	21.1							
2 <u>9.0</u> <u>LEAN CLAY (CL)</u> , brown, stiff <u>WEATHERED SHALE</u> , light brown, highly weathered	427+/- У	- 10- -		Ř	18 18	4-5-7 N=12 12-16-23 N=39		4.0 (<u>HP)</u>	21.9 9.4							
4		- 15- - -		X	8	39-50/2"			8.7							
Auger Refusal at 21 Feet	416+/- own, 415+/-	20_		X	3	49-50/2"			15.7							
Stratification lines are approximate. In-situ, the transition may Advancement Method:	be gradual.	esting Prr	pcedure	es for a	2	Hammer Type: Au	itomatic									
3.25 in. HSA Abandonment Method: Boring backfilled with auger cuttings upon completion.	See Exploration and the description of field and and additional data (If a See Supporting Informa symbols and abbreviati Elevations were interported and the symbols and abbreviations are interported and the symbols are interported and the symbols and abbreviations are interported and the symbols and the symbols are interported and the symbols are interported and the symbols and the symbols are interported and	laborato any). ation for e ons.	ry proc	edures ation o	s used f											
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS No free water observed	76			_	-	Boring Started: 12-01	-2021	Borin	ig Compl	eted: 12	2-01-2021					
			Lav Sta	101		Drill Rig: #585		Drille	er: L. Slat	ie						
	13050 Eastgat Loui	e Park W isville, K		101		Project No.: 5721506	63									

THIS BORING LOG IS NOT VALID IF SEPARATED FROM ORIGINAL REPORT. GEO SMART LOG-NO WELL 57215063 SEBREE SOLAR PROJ.GPJ TERRACON DATATEMPLATE.GDT 4/3/22



















Project	t Numb	ber: Sebre	ee Solar P	roject		Therma	I Resistivity T	est Results				Ther	Thermal Resistivity Dry-Out Curve					
Sample ID	Soil Type	Proctor Method	Dry Density (pcf)	Natural Moisture Content (%)	Sample Compaction (%)	Moisture Content (%)	Thermal Resistivity (°C- cm/watt)	Temperature (°C)	- *	- B-9	4-6'						Curve	
				-		0.0	156	21.9	100				1					1.0
						1.3	135	22.7		A.							1.1	
B-9 4	CL	Shelby	100.0	23.0	In-Situ	2.8	119	21.7	350			_	+					
6'	0L	Tube	100.0	20.0	in-Oitu	5.2	89	19.1	cm/watt									
			1.0 1.0		N	10.4	67	17.2	₹ 300									
						23.0	62	17.0	°. P								1.1	
	-					0.0	214	21.5										
						1.2	165	21.2	Resistivity 500	' _							1 1	
B-11	CL	Shelby	99.8	21.3	In-Situ	3.5	121	19.8	isti									
4-6'	01	Tube	00.0	21.0	in onu	7.9	87	17.8	2 00	1								
			1.123			15.1	64	17.9		1		The second						
						21.3	50	16.6	Thermal 120									
				C		0.0	110	21.9	L 150		-							_
	100		1.1.1.1.1.1			2.2	65	20.2	F									
B-19 4	CL	Shelby	108.5	14.8	In-Situ	6.6	56	18.4	100	×	~				-			
6'		Tube				9.3	44	17.9				You as a	-			W-		
			0.000			14.8	38	16.6			1	-	1.	x				- ×
-	-	_					276		50	' 		238	X		×		-	
	-		1			0.0	376	21.5										
SB-2		Shelby				2.9		20.9	C			-			-			
3-5'	CL	Tube	97.2	21.1	In-Situ	6.3	183 129	18.0		Ó	2 4	6	8 1	0 12	14 16	5 18	20 22	24
3-0		Tube				10.4	90	18.1 18.5					Moi	isture C	ontent	%		
						21.1	90 65	16.1							ontont,	/0		
						21.1	05	10.1										
_				-						-				_	_	_	:0	-
	Date	e: 3/8/202	2	Run	By: TKS		Reviewed	і ву: КВ		1								

CHEMICAL LABORATORY TEST REPORT

 Project Number:
 57215063

 Service Date:
 02/04/22

 Report Date:
 02/04/22



Client		Projec	t						
NextEra Energy Resources LLC		Sebree Solar Project							
PO Box 14000		State Road 416							
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420		Robards,	KY						
Sample Location	SB-2	B-4	В-7	B-10	B-13	B-17	B-19	B-24	
Sample Depth (ft.)	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	0 - 2	
pH Analysis, ASTM - G51-18	6.8	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.7	6.4	5.7	5.7	
Water Soluble Sulfate (SO4), ASTM C 1580 (mg/kg)	21	28	57	55	23	25	5	44	
Sulfides, ASTM - D4658-15, (mg/kg)	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	nil	
Chlorides, ASTM D 512, (mg/kg)	31	25	19	25	25	31	19	25	
RedOx, ASTM D-1498, (mV)	+490	+530	+515	+509	+492	+591	+621	+584	
Total Salts, ASTM D1125-14, (mg/kg)	109	102	201	130	109	106	30	97	
Resistivity, ASTM G187, (ohm-cm)	8,260	7,021	3,820	5,472	7,434	6,918	23,748	7,228	

Analyzed By:

Jach Robertson

Zach Robertson Engineering Technician III

The tests were performed in general accordance with applicable ASTM, AASHTO, or DOT test methods. This report is exclusively for the use of the client indicated above and shall not be reproduced except in full without the written consent of our company. Test results transmitted herein are only applicable to the actual samples tested at the location(s) referenced and are not necessarily indicative of the properties of other apparently similar or identical materials.



FER-1 test line with approximate center point: 37.70517°, -87.58202°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Electrode Spacing "a" Electrode Depth "b"		"A" T (Extende		"B" Test (Extended N-S)		
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R" [Ohms]	Apparent Resistivity "p" [Ohm-cm]	Measured Resistance "R" [Ohms]	Apparent Resistivity "p" [Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	43.60	10988.3	34.30	8644.4
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	25.10	10572.2	21.70	9140.1
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	12.70	9988.4	11.80	9280.6
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	4.47	6895.0	4.42	6817.9
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.400	4029.5	1.440	4144.7
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.650	3114.2	0.610	2922.6
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.380	3639.4	0.360	3447.8

East- West End Points:

(37.70515, -87.58225) and (37.70525, -87.58178)

North South End Points:

(37.70537, -87.58204) and (37.70498, -87.58195)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :



 $4\pi aR$







FER-2 test line with approximate center point: 37.69747°, -87.57565°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Spacing "a"	" Electrode Depth "b"		"A" T (Extende		"B" Test (Extended N-S)		
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "p"	
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	21.80	5494.1	23.20	5847.0	
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	12.20	5138.7	10.10	4254.1	
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	5.34	4199.9	5.59	4396.5	
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	2.72	4195.6	2.63	4056.8	
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.38	3972.0	1.35	3885.6	
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.81	3880.8	0.70	3353.8	
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.39	3735.1	0.42	4022.4	

East- West End Points:

(37.6975, -87.57539) and (37.69742, -87.57590)

North South End Points:

(37.69729, -87.57559) and (37.69768, -87.57570)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

 $\frac{4\pi aR}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$







FER-3 test line with approximate center point: 37.68793°, -87.57242°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Spacing "a"	'a" Electrode Depth "b"		"A" T (Extende		"B" Test (Extended N-S)		
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	38.20	9627.3	43.90	11063.9	
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	24.70	10403.7	26.40	11119.7	
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	10.90	8572.8	10.50	8258.2	
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	3.85	5938.6	3.25	5013.1	
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.63	4691.5	1.54	4432.5	
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.97	4647.4	0.97	4647.4	
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.55	5267.5	0.56	5363.3	

East- West End Points:

(37.68795, -87.57218) and (37.68791, -87.57272)

North South End Points:

(37.68814, -87.57251) and (37.68774, -87.57241)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

 $\frac{4\pi aR}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$







FER-4 test line with approximate center point: 37.68407°, -87.57587°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Spacing "a" Electrode Depth "b"		"A" T (Extende		"B" Test (Extended N-S)		
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	28.40	7157.5	29.10	7333.9
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	13.80	5812.6	14.10	5939.0
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	6.84	5379.6	9.19	7227.9
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	2.96	4565.8	3.16	4874.3
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.50	<u>4317.4</u>	1.46	4202.2
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.77	3689.2	0.79	3785.0
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.40	3830.9	0.41	3926.7

East- West End Points:

(37.68406, -87.57561) and (37.68409, -87.57613)

North South End Points:

(37.68387, -87.57587) and (37.68428, -87.57587)

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

$$\rho = \frac{-4\pi a \pi}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$$







FER-5 test line with approximate center point: 37.68051°, -87.56923°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode Spacing "a"		Electrode Depth "b"		"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"
	1			[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	35.10	8846.1	39.00	9828.9
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	19.70	8297.7	17.20	7244.7
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	8.45	6645.9	9.15	7196.4
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	3.72	5738.1	3.65	5630.1
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.50	4317.4	1.58	4547.6
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.73	3497.5	0.76	3641.3
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.38	3639.4	0.39	3735.1

East- West End Points:

(37.68056, -87.56898) and (37.68048, -87.56947)

North South End Points:

(37.68032, -87.56915) and (37.68069, -87.56934)

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

$$\rho = \frac{4\pi aR}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$$







FER-6 test line with approximate center point: 37.67593°, -87.57278°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode Spacing "a"		Electrode Depth "b"		"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "p"
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	38.90	9803.7	34.20	8619.2
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	17.60	7413.2	22.00	9266.5
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	10.10	7943.6	10.80	8494.1
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	4.70	7249.8	4.61	7110.9
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.86	5353.5	1.97	5670.1
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.98	4695.3	1.03	4934.9
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.44	4214.0	0.49	4692.9

East- West End Points:

(37.67587, -87.57304) and (37.67598, -87.57255)

North South End Points:

(37.67611, -87.57285) and (37.6757, -87.57275)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

 $\frac{4\pi aR}{1+\frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2+4b^2}}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}}$







FER-7 test line with approximate center point: 37.66761°, -87.56171°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode Spacing "a"		Electrode Depth "b"		"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "p"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	27.20	6855.1	31.90	8039.6
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	11.90	5012.3	13.20	5559.9
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	5.30	4168.4	5.15	4050.4
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	2.39	3686.6	2.41	3717.4
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.24	3569.0	1.20	3453.9
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.78	3737.1	0.73	3497.5
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.50	4788.6	0.48	4597.1

East- West End Points:

(37.66765, -87.56145) and (37.66756, -87.56196)

North South End Points:

(37.6678, -87.56178) and (37.66739, -87.56165)

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

$$\rho = \frac{4\pi aR}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$$







FER-8 test line with approximate center point: 37.67871°, -87.55937°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 9, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Electrode Spacing "a"		Depth "b"	"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"
				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	20.40	5141.3	11.50	2898.3
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	7.73	3255.9	8.21	3458.1
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	4.16	3271.8	4.34	3413.4
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	2.46	3794.6	2.41	3717.4
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.29	3712.9	1.27	3655.4
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.77	3689.2	0.80	3832.9
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.52	4980.2	0.53	5075.9

East- West End Points:

(37.6788, -87.55912) and (37.67866, -87.55961)

North South End Points:

(37.67847, -87.55954) and (37.67851, -87.55933)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

 $\frac{4\pi aR}{1+\frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2+4b^2}}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}}}$







FER-9 test line with approximate center point: 37.68792°, -88.55833°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 8, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode Spacing "a"		Electrode Depth "b"		"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet] [meters]	[feet] [m	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	
			[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	22.00	5544.5	17.10	4309.6
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	9.63	4056.2	8.88	3740.3
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	4.54	3570.7	4.49	3531.3
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	2.55	3933.4	2.45	3779.1
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	1.46	4202.2	1.45	4173.5
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	1.00	4791.1	1.00	4791.1
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	0.70	6704.1	0.70	6704.1

East- West End Points:

(37.68794, -87.5581) and (37.68788, -87.55861)

North South End Points:

(37.68772, -87.55831) and (37.68812, -87.55835)

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

$$\rho = \frac{4\pi a \pi}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$$







FER-10 test line with approximate center point: 37.70292°, -87.54964°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 8, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Electrode Spacing "a" Electrode		Depth "b"	"A" Test (Extended E-W)		"B" Test (Extended N-S)	
[feet]	[meters]	[feet]	[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "p"
-				[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]
1	0.30	0.5	0.15	40.50	10207.0	36.90	9299.7
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	25.20	10614.3	22.70	9561.3
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	16.90	13291.7	15.10	11876.0
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	8.38	12926.2	8.51	13126.7
15	4.57	0.5	0.15	4.27	12290.1	4.530	13038.5
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	3.07	14708.8	3.250	15571.2
50	15.24	0.5	0.15	2.09	20016.5	2.190	20974.2

East- West End Points:

(37.70281, -87.54988) and (37.70302, -87.5494)

North South End Points:

(37.70311, -87.54973) and (37.70273, -87.54956)

 $\rho =$

Apparent resistivity p is calculated as :

 $\frac{4\pi aR}{1 + \frac{2a}{\sqrt{a^2 + 4b^2}} - \frac{a}{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}}$







SB FER test line with approximate center point: 37.68755°, -87.54925°

Project Sebree Solar	Weather Cloudy
Location Robards, KY	Surface Soil Lean Clay
Project # 57215063	Instrument AEMC Model 6471
Test Date December 8, 2021	Tested By Mo Joshaghani & Colton Hall

Electrode	Spacing "a"	Electrode Depth "b"			"A" Test (Extended E-W)		' Test ded N-S)	
[feet]	[feet] [meters]		[meters]	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "p"	Measured Resistance "R"	Apparent Resistivity "ρ"	
	a logicitation of		and the second s	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	[Ohms]	[Ohm-cm]	
0.5	0.15	0.5	0.15	48.00	7742.3	60.30	9726.2	
2	0.61	0.5	0.15	15.90	6697.1	16.30	6865.6	
4	1.22	0.5	0.15	7.31	5749.3	7.59	5969.5	
6	1.83	0.5	0.15	4.38	5093.4	4.17	4849.2	
8	2.44	0.5	0.15	3.09	4766.3	3.15	4858.9	
10	3.05	0.5	0.15	2.40	4616.3	2.32	4462.4	
25	7.62	0.5	0.15	0.77	3689.2	0.81	3880.8	
50	15.24	1.5	0.46	0.47	4507.6	0.45	4315.8	
100	30.48	2.5	0.76	0.31	5943.3	0.30	5751.6	
150	45.72	3.5	1.07	0.25	7188.5	0.23	6613.4	
200	60.96	4.5	1.37	0.21	8050.6	0.18	6900.5	
300	91.44	5.5	1.68	0.15	8623.1	0.12	6898.5	
450	137.16	6.5	1.98	0.10	8621.2	0.09	7759.0	

East- West End Points:

```
(37.687566, -87.55146) and (37.687672, -87.546879)
```

North South End Points:

(37.689405, -87.549433) and (37.685748, -87.549088)





PILE DRIVING AND LOAD TESTING RESULTS

PILE LOAD TEST & ZONE PLANS

Contents:

Axial Pile Zone Plan Lateral Pile Zone Plan

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

AXIAL PILE ZONE PLAN

Sebree Solar Project
Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky
April 3, 2022
Terracon Project No. 57215063





LATERAL PILE ZONE PLAN

Sebree Solar Project Robards, Henderson County, Kentucky April 3, 2022 Terracon Project No. 57215063





PILE LOAD TESTING RESULTS

Contents:

Pile Driving Time Graphs(6 pages)Axial Tension Load Testing Results(22 pages)Axial Compression Load Testing Results(11 pages)Lateral Load Testing Results(22 pages)

Note: All attachments are one page unless noted above.

PILE DRIVING TIME GRAPHS



Depth (feet)	Cumulative Driving Time, seconds										
Deptil (leet)	PLT-1A	PLT-1B	PLT-1C	PLT-1D	PLT-2A	PLT-2B	PLT-2C	PLT-2D			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0				
1 -	3.4	1.5	2.4		0.6	1.6	1.1				
2	8.4	2.7	3.3		2.0	3.0	3.7	· · · ·			
3	15.5	17.2	5.8		6.3	5.9	8.5				
4	28.6	21.5	9.5	- All	12.4	15.6	14.6				
5	40.9	26.7	14.3	-	19.3	22.3	21.3	-			
6	51.1	33.5	20.5		26.8	30.5	28.8				
1	58.9		1		34.8	1	1	-			
8	68.5	10-11		1	43.6	1	Y - 34	1			
9	74.1		1		55.8	1.7.7.7					
Embedment Depth, ft	9.0	6.0	6.0		9.0	6.0	6.0	-			
Total Drive Time, sec	74.1	33.5	20.5	-	55.8	30.5	28.8				
Average, sec/tt	8.2	5.6	3.4		6.2	5.1	4.8	1			





Depth (feet)	Cumulative Driving Time, seconds									
Deptil (leet)	PLT-3A	PLT-3B	PLT-3C	PLT-3D	PLT-4A	PLT-4B	PLT-4C	PLT-4D		
0	0	0	0		0	0	0			
1	0.8	0.8	0.7		0.6	0.8	0.8			
2	1.6	2.9	1.7		1.6	2.4	2.3			
3	3.7	5.9	3.3		6.9	7.2	6.7	-		
4	6.9	9.4	6.0	in the second	13.2	14.3	13.5	1		
5	10.2	11.6	9.3	T	20.9	21.4	19.9			
6	14.7	0.00			28.8	28.8	27.1			
	20.1		1		37.1	1				
8	26.4	10000		1	45.3	PT	1	-		
9	ΥÂ		1		53.6					
Embedment Depth, ft	8.0	5.0	5.0		9.0	6.0	6.0			
Total Drive Time, sec	26.4	11.6	9.3		53.6	28.8	27.1			
Average, sec/ft	3.3	2.3	1.9		6.0	4.8	4.5	0		





Depth (feet)			Cumulative Driving Time, seconds									
Deptil (leet)	PLT-5A	PLT-5B	PLT-5C	PLT-5D	PLT-6A	PLT-6B	PLT-6C	PLT-6D				
0	0	0	0		0	0	0					
1	0.7	0.6	1.0		0.9	0.4	0.5					
2	1.9	1.6	2.5		1.9	2.4	2.2					
3	3.7	3.4	4.2		4.0	5.0	4.8	-				
4	5.8	5.8	6.7	1000	7.0	8.5	8.1	1000				
5	8.5	9.9	8.4	T	11.2	12.0	12.1	1				
6	11.5	(-		16.3	16.0	16.4					
	15.5		1		21.3		1	-				
8	20.3			1	21.2	1.	11-33	1				
9			12		33.4	1.7.7.4						
Embedment Depth, ft	8.0	5.0	5.0		9.0	6.0	6.0					
Total Drive Time, sec	20.3	9.9	8.4	-	33.4	16.0	16.4					
Average, sec/ft	2.5	2.0	1.7		3.7	2.1	2.1	0				





Depth (feet)			Cum	ulative Drivi	ng Time, sea	conds		
Deptil (icct)	PLT-7A	PLT-7B	PLT-7C	PLT-7D	PLT-8A	PLT-8B	PLT-8C	PLT-8D
0	0	0	0		0	0	0	
1	0.6	0.5	0.9		0.7	0.7	0.5	
2	1.5	1.5	2.1		2.1	2.1	1.8	
3	3.1	3.6	4.0		4.3	4.1	3.9	
4	6.0	6.1	5.9	1	8.3	7.3	7.1	1
5	9.9	9.7	9.3	T	12.9	11.7	13.0	1
6	14.5	0			18.5	17.1	18.4	
	18.9				24.4	1	1	
8	23.9	10000		1	31.3	#**	1	
9			15		38.8			
Embedment Depth, ft	8.0	5.0	5.0		9.0	6.0	6.0	
Total Drive Time, sec	23.9	9.7	9.3	-	38.8	17.1	18.4	
Average, sec/rt	3.0	1.9	1.9		4.3	2.9	3.1	0





Depth (feet)	Cumulative Driving Time, seconds										
Deptil (leet)	PLT-9A	PLT-9B	PLT-9C	PLT-9D	PLT-10A	PLT-10B	PLT-10C	PLT-10D			
0	0	0	0		0	0	0				
1	1.0	0.4	0.6		1.0	0.6	0.8				
2	2.3	1.5	1.6		3.0	2.2	2.4				
3	5.1	2.9	2.7		6.5	5.7	6.1	1000			
4	9.2	7.0	6.9	1000	11.5	10.0	11.8	1200			
5	15.0	14.1	13.3	1	17.2	15.1	17.6	1000			
6	24.0				23.0	19.9	24.0				
1	34.7				31.0		1.000				
8	45.2			1	39.7	1	1	1			
9			1. C		50.3	1.7.7.7.7.					
Embedment Depth, ft	8.0	5.0	5.0		9.0	6.0	6.0				
Total Drive Time, sec	45.2	14.1	13.3	-	50.3	19.9	24.0	-			
Average, sec/ft	5.7	2.8	2.1		5.6	3.3	4.0	() - ()			





Depth (feet)			Cum	ulative Drivi	ing Time, s	econds		
Deptil (leet)	PLT-11A	PLT-11B	PLT-11C	PLT-11D	1	1	11	
0	0	0	0					
1	1.4	1.3	0.8	1	1		01	
2	2.2	2.6	2.4				1	
3	5.5	5.6	5.6					
4	9.0	9.7	9.1	1 million		1	1	
5	13.7	14.2	12.8	Torres of S		-	1	1
6	19.4	20.6	17.3					
	25.4		1			1		
8	32.0	1000			1	1	11	1
9	38.9		1.	1		1		
		1						
Embedment Depth, ft	9.0	6.0	6.0	1				1
Total Drive Time, sec	38.9	20.6	17.3				1	-
Average, sec/tt	4.3	3.4	2.9			1		0



AXIAL TENSION LOAD TEST RESULT
Comme

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-1A

% of

Design



Project Information

Sebree Solar Project Project Name: Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.201	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	-0.004	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	-0.002	0.003	0.202	
	-	25%	2500	-0.001	0.003	0.203	
		30%	3000	0.002	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.004	0.005	0.204	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.006	0.006	0.205	
Date Tested:	1/18/2022	45%	4500	0.009	0.006	0.205	
	-	50%	5000	0.011	0.007	0.206	
		55%	5500	0.014	0.008	0.207	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.016	0.008	0.208	
Pile ID:	PLT-1A	65%	6500	0.019	0.009	0.208	
Latitude:	37.112349°	70%	7000	0.022	0.010	0.209	
Longitude:	-85.099162°	75%	7500	0.025	0.010	0.210	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.029	0.011	0.210	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	108	85%	8500	0.044	0.012	0.211	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.060	0.013	0.212	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.083	0.013	0.212	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.136	0.014	0.213	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.127	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Deflection ∆ (in

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 74.1



Com

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-1B

% of

Desiar



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

r toject Number.	07210000	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Commente
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	-0.003	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.004	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.007	0.001	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.010	0.002	0.201	t
		25%	2500	0.015	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.020	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.026	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.033	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested:	1/18/2022	45%	4500	0.046	0.004	0.203	
	•	50%	5000	0.069	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.100	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information	2	60%	6000	0.150	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID:	PLT-1B	65%	6500	0.204	0.006	0.205	
Latitude:	37.112349°	70%	7000	0.257	0.006	0.206	
Longitude:	-85.099162°	75%	7500	0.321	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.458	0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	72	85%	8500	0.610	0.008	0.207	1
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	1.065	0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500		0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.009	0.208	1
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	1.021	0.000	0.199	
F 1 (* 14 1.1 1.1 1.1	00.000						

Tension Test Results

Deflection

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 33.5



Comme

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-2A

% of

Desiar



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

r roject Number.	07210000	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Commente
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.005	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.010	0.001	0.201	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.013	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.017	0.003	0.202	
	•	25%	2500	0.021	0.003	0.203	
		30%	3000	0.025	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.029	0.005	0.204	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.034	0.006	0.205	
Date Tested:	1/18/2022	45%	4500	0.036	0.006	0.205	
	•	50%	5000	0.039	0.007	0.206	
		55%	5500	0.042	0.008	0.207	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.045	0.008	0.208	
Pile ID:	PLT-2A	65%	6500	0.048	0.009	0.208	
Latitude:	37.112891°	70%	7000	0.051	0.010	0.209	
Longitude:	-85.095568°	75%	7500	0.055	0.010	0.210	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.060	0.011	0.210	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	108	85%	8500	0.063	0.012	0.211	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.069	0.013	0.212	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.078	0.013	0.212	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.088	0.014	0.213	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.023	0.000	0.199	
The stick Mandaluser file (1)	00.000	-					-

Tension Test Results

Deflection Δ (in

Axial

Loac

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 55.8



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-2B

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number.	57215005	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.000	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	-0.001	0.001	0.201	1
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.002	0.002	0.201	4
	•	25%	2500	0.003	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.003	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.005	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.007	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested:	1/18/2022	45%	4500	0.010	0.004	0.203	
	•	50%	5000	0.019	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.036	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.059	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID:	PLT-2B	65%	6500	0.094	0.006	0.205	
Latitude:	37.112891°	70%	7000	0.128	0.006	0.206	
Longitude:	-85.095568°	75%	7500	0.170	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.201	0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	72	85%	8500	0.263	0.008	0.207	1.
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.319	0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.401	0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.483	0.009	0.208	1
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.448	0.000	0.199	1
The still Marsheller first	00.000						-

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 30.5



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-3A

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design Load	Load [lbs]	Deflection ∆ (in.) Gauges #1 & #2	Data (in) (PL/AE)	Limit (in) (0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	1
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.002	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.004	0.002	0.201	1
Load Cell:		20%	2000	0.011	0.002	0.202	4
		25%	2500	0.012	0.003	0.202	
		30%	3000	0.019	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representati	ve	35%	3500	0.034	0.004	0.203	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.056	0.005	0.204	
Date Tested:	1/19/2022	45%	4500	0.085	0.006	0.205	
		50%	5000	0.141	0.006	0.205	
		55%	5500	0.200	0.007	0.206	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.278	0.007	0.207	
Pile ID:	PLT-3A	65%	6500	0.371	0.008	0.207	
Latitude:	37.113137°	70%	7000	0.471	0.009	0.208	
Longitude:	-85.090334°	75%	7500	0.601	0.009	0.208	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.937	0.010	0.209	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	96	85%	8500	1.241	0.010	0.210	1
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000		0.011	0.210	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	60	95%	9500		0.012	0.211	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.012	0.212	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	1.176	0.000	0.199	
Electic Medulus [kei]:	20.000						·

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 26.4



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-3B

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Numbe 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection Δ (in.)	Data (in)	Limit (in)	Comments
	-	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.008	0.001	0.200	
	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.031	0.002	0.201	
	-	25%	2500	0.114	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.231	0.002	0.201	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.411	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.760	0.003	0.202	
Date Tested:	1/19/2022	45%	4500	1.069	0.003	0.203	
	-	50%	5000		0.004	0.203	
		55%	5500		0.004	0.203	
Pile Information		60%	6000		0.005	0.204	
Pile ID:	PLT-3B	65%	6500		0.005	0.204	
Latitude:	37.113137°	70%	7000		0.005	0.205	
Longitude:	-85.090334°	75%	7500		0.006	0.205	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000		0.006	0.205	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	60	85%	8500		0.007	0.206	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000		0.007	0.206	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	60	95%	9500		0.007	0.207	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.008	0.207	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.990	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 11.6



Comm

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-4A

% of

Desiar



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

r roject Number.	57210000	Design	Loau	Denection A (iii.)	Data (III)		oonnonto	
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))		
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199		
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.002	0.001	0.200		
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.201		
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.005	0.002	0.201		
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.006	0.003	0.202		
		25%	2500	0.008	0.003	0.203		
		30%	3000	0.011	0.004	0.203		
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.015	0.005	0.204		
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.020	0.006	0.205		
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.025	0.006	0.205		
		50%	5000	0.031	0.007	0.206		
		55%	5500	0.040	0.008	0.207		
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.051	0.008	0.208		
Pile ID:	PLT-4A	65%	6500	0.061	0.009	0.208		
Latitude:	37.110831°	70%	7000	0.078	0.010	0.209		
	-85.101540°	75%	7500	0.093	0.010	0.210		
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.109	0.011	0.210		
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	108	85%	8500	0.131	0.012	0.211		
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.164	0.013	0.212		
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.208	0.013	0.212		
Axial Design Load [lbs]:		100%	10000	0.242	0.014	0.213		
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.186	0.000	0.199		

Tension Test Results

Deflection Δ (ir

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 53.6



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-4B

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection ∆ (in.)	Data (in)	Limit (in)	Comments
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.002	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.005	0.001	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.007	0.002	0.201	4
-		25%	2500	0.009	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.012	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representati	ve	35%	3500	0.017	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.023	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.030	0.004	0.203	
		50%	5000	0.039	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.053	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.068	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID:	PLT-4B	65%	6500	0.083	0.006	0.205	
Latitude:	37.110831°	70%	7000	0.111	0.006	0.206	
Longitude:	-85.101540°	75%	7500	0.139	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.179	0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	72	85%	8500	0.227	0.008	0.207	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.287	0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.353	0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.471	0.009	0.208	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.390	0.000	0.199	
Electic Medulus Ilicit	00.000						

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 28.8



Com

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-5A

% of

Desiar



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

1 10,0001 10,000	01210000	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.003	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges	2	10%	1000	0.005	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]		15%	1500	0.008	0.002	0.201	
	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.011	0.002	0.202	1
	•	25%	2500	0.016	0.003	0.202	
		30%	3000	0.028	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.039	0.004	0.203	
Tested By Terracon Rep	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.053	0.005	0.204	
Date Tested	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.070	0.006	0.205	
	-	50%	5000	0.115	0.006	0.205	
		55%	5500	0.176	0.007	0.206	
Pile Information	(in)	60%	6000	0.250	0.007	0.207	
Pile ID	PLT-5A	65%	6500	0.390	0.008	0.207	
Latitude	37.109982°	70%	7000	0.509	0.009	0.208	
	-85.097446°	75%	7500	0.753	0.009	0.208	
Pile Type	W6X9	80%	8000	1.020	0.010	0.209	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]	96	85%	8500		0.010	0.210	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Pile Diameter [in]	5.9	90%	9000		0.011	0.210	
Pile Stick-Up [in]	60	95%	9500		0.012	0.211	
Axial Design Load [lbs]	10000	100%	10000		0.012	0.212	
Pile Area [sq. in]		0%	0	0.947	0.000	0.199	2.1
	0.0.000						

Tension Test Results

Deflection

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 20.3



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-5B

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection Δ (in.)	Data (in)	Limit (in)	Comments
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	1
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	1
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.004	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.009	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.016	0.001	0.200	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.029	0.002	0.201	C
		25%	2500	0.047	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.076	0.002	0.201	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.126	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.209	0.003	0.202	
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.304	0.003	0.203	
		50%	5000	0.413	0.004	0.203	
		55%	5500	0.677	0.004	0.203	
Pile Information		60%	6000	1.127	0.005	0.204	
Pile ID:	PLT-5B	65%	6500		0.005	0.204	
Latitude:	37.109982°	70%	7000		0.005	0.205	
Longitude:	-85.097446°	75%	7500		0.006	0.205	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000		0.006	0.205	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	60	85%	8500		0.007	0.206	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000		0.007	0.206	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	60	95%	9500		0.007	0.207	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.008	0.207	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	1.003	0.000	0.199	1
Election Mandulus fluctu	00.000						

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 9.9



Comm

Davisson Offset Limit Lines

Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-6A

% of

Desigr



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

, I	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
	0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up	5%	500	0.002	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges: 2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.201	
Height of Gauges [in]: 6	15%	1500	0.007	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell: 25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.009	0.003	0.202	4
	25%	2500	0.013	0.003	0.203	
	30%	3000	0.021	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representative	35%	3500	0.036	0.005	0.204	
Tested By Terracon Rep: M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.057	0.006	0.205	
Date Tested: 1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.081	0.006	0.205	
	50%	5000	0.121	0.007	0.206	
	55%	5500	0.167	0.008	0.207	
Pile Information	60%	6000	0.231	0.008	0.208	
Pile ID: PLT-6A	65%	6500	0.296	0.009	0.208	
Latitude: 37.110538°	70%	7000	0.361	0.010	0.209	
Longitude: -85.092048°	75%	7500	0.449	0.010	0.210	
Pile Type: W6X9	80%	8000	0.541	0.011	0.210	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 108	85%	8500	0.666	0.012	0.211	1
Pile Diameter [in]: 5.9	90%	9000	0.879	0.013	0.212	1
Pile Stick-Up [in]: 48	95%	9500	1.105	0.013	0.212	
Axial Design Load [lbs]: 10000	100%	10000		0.014	0.213	
Pile Area [sq. in]: 2.68	0%	0	1.017	0.000	0.199	1
Electic Medulus Ikaily 20.000	-					

Tension Test Results

Deflection A (in

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 33.4



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-6B

% of



Project Information

Sebree Solar Project Project Name: Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number: 57215063	Design Load	Load [lbs]	Deflection ∆ (in.) Gauges #1 & #2	Data (in) (PL/AE)	Limit (in) (0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
	0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up	5%	500	0.010	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges: 2	10%	1000	0.017	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]: 6	15%	1500	0.025	0.001	0.201	
Load Cell: 25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.039	0.002	0.201	4
	25%	2500	0.056	0.002	0.201	
	30%	3000	0.075	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representative	35%	3500	0.107	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep: M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.151	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested: 1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.207	0.004	0.203	
·	50%	5000	0.293	0.005	0.204	
	55%	5500	0.403	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information	60%	6000	0.607	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID: PLT-6B	65%	6500	0.793	0.006	0.205	
Latitude: 37.110538°	70%	7000	1.041	0.006	0.206	
Longitude: -85.092048°	75%	7500		0.007	0.206	
Pile Type: W6X9	80%	8000		0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 72	85%	8500		0.008	0.207	
Pile Diameter [in]: 5.9	90%	9000		0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]: 48	95%	9500		0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]: 10000	100%	10000		0.009	0.208	
Pile Area [sq. in]: 2.68	0%	0	0.898	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 16



Comme

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-7A

% of

Design



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
	0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up	5%	500	0.002	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges: 2	10%	1000	0.004	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]: 6	15%	1500	0.006	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell: 25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.010	0.002	0.202	
	25%	2500	0.015	0.003	0.202	
	30%	3000	0.022	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representative	35%	3500	0.030	0.004	0.203	
Tested By Terracon Rep: M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.049	0.005	0.204	
Date Tested: 1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.071	0.006	0.205	
-	50%	5000	0.108	0.006	0.205	
	55%	5500	0.165	0.007	0.206	
Pile Information	60%	6000	0.229	0.007	0.207	
Pile ID: PLT-7A	65%	6500	0.304	0.008	0.207	
Latitude: 37.110845°	70%	7000	0.391	0.009	0.208	
Longitude: -85.089316°	75%	7500	0.491	0.009	0.208	
Pile Type: W6X9	80%	8000	0.659	0.010	0.209	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 96	85%	8500	1.007	0.010	0.210	
Pile Diameter [in]: 5.9	90%	9000		0.011	0.210	2
Pile Stick-Up [in]: 60	95%	9500		0.012	0.211	
Axial Design Load [lbs]: 10000	100%	10000		0.012	0.212	
Pile Area [sq. in]: 2.68	0%	0	0.948	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Deflection A (in

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 23.9



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-7B

% of



Project Information

Sebree Solar Project Project Name: Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Load	Load [lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.000	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.002	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.007	0.001	0.200	1
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.014	0.002	0.201	1
		25%	2500	0.035	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.063	0.002	0.201	
Test Date and Representati	ve	35%	3500	0.106	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.203	0.003	0.202	
Date Tested:	1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.268	0.003	0.203	
-		50%	5000	0.683	0.004	0.203	
		55%	5500	0.913	0.004	0.203	
Pile Information		60%	6000	1.516	0.005	0.204	
Pile ID:	PLT-7B	65%	6500		0.005	0.204	
Latitude:	37.110845°	70%	7000		0.005	0.205	
Longitude:	-85.089316°	75%	7500		0.006	0.205	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000		0.006	0.205	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	60	85%	8500		0.007	0.206	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000		0.007	0.206	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	60	95%	9500		0.007	0.207	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.008	0.207	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	1.445	0.000	0.199	1
The still Mershall on Desile	00.000						

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 9.7



Com

Sitir 07/1

Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-8A

% of

Desiar



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

rigeeritamber	01210000	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	1
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.004	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.006	0.001	0.201	1
Height of Gauges [in]:		15%	1500	0.009	0.002	0.201	1
	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.011	0.003	0.202	1
	•	25%	2500	0.014	0.003	0.203	
		30%	3000	0.017	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.021	0.005	0.204	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.024	0.006	0.205	
Date Tested:	1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.033	0.006	0.205	
	•	50%	5000	0.046	0.007	0.206	
		55%	5500	0.056	0.008	0.207	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.077	0.008	0.208	
Pile ID:	PLT-8A	65%	6500	0.108	0.009	0.208	
Latitude:	37.115605°	70%	7000	0.145	0.010	0.209	
Longitude:	-85.076225°	75%	7500	0.185	0.010	0.210	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.241	0.011	0.210	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	108	85%	8500	0.313	0.012	0.211	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.373	0.013	0.212	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.437	0.013	0.212	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.530	0.014	0.213	1
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.467	0.000	0.199	
T (A A A A A	00.000	-					-

Tension Test Results

Deflection

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 38.8



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-8B

% of



Project Information

Sebree Solar Project Project Name: Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design Load	Load [lbs]	Deflection ∆ (in.) Gauges #1 & #2	Data (in) (PL/AE)	Limit (in) (0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	-0.002	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	-0.002	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	-0.003	0.001	0.201	1
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	-0.001	0.002	0.201	4
		25%	2500	0.003	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.014	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representati	ive	35%	3500	0.029	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.049	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested:	1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.095	0.004	0.203	
		50%	5000	0.162	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.253	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.379	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID:	PLT-8B	65%	6500	0.526	0.006	0.205	
Latitude:	37.115605°	70%	7000	0.781	0.006	0.206	
Longitude:	-85.076225°	75%	7500	1.226	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000		0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	72	85%	8500		0.008	0.207	1
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000		0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500		0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.009	0.208	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	1.193	0.000	0.199	
The still Mandalana David	00.000						-

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 17.1



Comme

Davisson Offset Limit Lines

Davisson Offest

Limit (in)

Elastic

Data (in)

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-9A

% of

Design



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

<i>,</i> 1							
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.005	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges: 2		10%	1000	0.008	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]: 6		15%	1500	0.011	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell: 25k	Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.015	0.002	0.202	
-		25%	2500	0.022	0.003	0.202	
		30%	3000	0.026	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representative		35%	3500	0.031	0.004	0.203	
Tested By Terracon Rep: M.J.	. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.036	0.005	0.204	
Date Tested: 1/20	/2022	45%	4500	0.040	0.006	0.205	
-		50%	5000	0.045	0.006	0.205	
		55%	5500	0.055	0.007	0.206	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.055	0.007	0.207	
Pile ID: PLT	-9A	65%	6500	0.062	0.008	0.207	
Latitude: 37.	113382°	70%	7000	0.069	0.009	0.208	
Longitude: -85.	077813°	75%	7500	0.076	0.009	0.208	
Pile Type: W6X	X9	80%	8000	0.085	0.010	0.209	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 96		85%	8500	0.097	0.010	0.210	
Pile Diameter [in]: 5.9		90%	9000	0.110	0.011	0.210	
Pile Stick-Up [in]: 60		95%	9500	0.127	0.012	0.211	
Axial Design Load [lbs]: 100	00	100%	10000	0.152	0.012	0.212	
Pile Area [sq. in]: 2.68		0%	0	0.066	0.000	0.199	
Electic Medulus [kei]) 20.0							

Tension Test Results

Deflection ∆ (in

Axial

Load

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 45.2



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-9B

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number.	57215065	Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.008	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.013	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.019	0.001	0.200	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.025	0.002	0.201	4
		25%	2500	0.029	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.037	0.002	0.201	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.042	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.050	0.003	0.202	
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.057	0.003	0.203	
		50%	5000	0.073	0.004	0.203	
		55%	5500	0.093	0.004	0.203	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.118	0.005	0.204	
Pile ID:	PLT-9B	65%	6500	0.155	0.005	0.204	
Latitude:	37.113382°	70%	7000	0.191	0.005	0.205	
Longitude:	-85.077813°	75%	7500	0.242	0.006	0.205	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.323	0.006	0.205	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	60	85%	8500	0.477	0.007	0.206	1.0000000000000000000000000000000000000
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	1.109	0.007	0.206	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	60	95%	9500		0.007	0.207	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000		0.008	0.207	1
Pile Area [sq. in]:		0%	0	1.028	0.000	0.199	1

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 14.1



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-10A

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection Δ (In.)	Data (In)	Limit (in)	Comments
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	and the second se
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.004	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.007	0.002	0.202	
	-	25%	2500	0.009	0.003	0.202	
		30%	3000	0.002	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.004	0.004	0.203	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.005	0.005	0.204	
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.007	0.006	0.205	
	-	50%	5000	0.008	0.006	0.205	
		55%	5500	0.009	0.007	0.206	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.010	0.007	0.207	
Pile ID:	PLT-10A	65%	6500	0.011	0.008	0.207	
Latitude:	37.111253°	70%	7000	0.012	0.009	0.208	
Longitude:	-85.080016°	75%	7500	0.013	0.009	0.208	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.013	0.010	0.209	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	96	85%	8500	0.014	0.010	0.210	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.015	0.011	0.210	
Pile Stick-Up [in]	60	95%	9500	0.017	0.012	0.211	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.019	0.012	0.212	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 50.3



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-10B



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection Δ (in.)	Data (in)	Limit (in)	Comments
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	(PL/AE)	(0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.002	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.003	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]	6	15%	1500	0.004	0.001	0.201	1
Load Cell	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.006	0.002	0.201	4
	•	25%	2500	0.008	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.013	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.023	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.041	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested:	1/20/2022	45%	4500	0.060	0.004	0.203	
	•	50%	5000	0.088	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.131	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.181	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID:	PLT-10B	65%	6500	0.250	0.006	0.205	
Latitude	37.111253°	70%	7000	0.318	0.006	0.206	
Longitude:	-85.080016°	75%	7500	0.398	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.526	0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	72	85%	8500	0.707	0.008	0.207	1
Pile Diameter [in]	5.9	90%	9000	1.019	0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]	48	95%	9500		0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]	10000	100%	10000		0.009	0.208	1
Pile Area [sq. in]	2.68	0%	0	0.972	0.000	0.199	1
Electic Modulus [kei]	20.000						

Tension Test Results

Axial

% of

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 19.9



Davisson Offset Limit Lines Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-11A

% of



Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design Load	Load [lbs]	Deflection ∆ (in.) Gauges #1 & #2	Data (in) (PL/AE)	Limit (in) (0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.001	0.200	
Number of Gauges:	2	10%	1000	0.001	0.001	0.201	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	15%	1500	0.002	0.002	0.201	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.003	0.003	0.202	
	-	25%	2500	0.006	0.003	0.203	
		30%	3000	0.004	0.004	0.203	
Test Date and Representat	ive	35%	3500	0.005	0.005	0.204	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.006	0.006	0.205	
Date Tested:	1/21/2022	45%	4500	0.006	0.006	0.205	
	-	50%	5000	0.011	0.007	0.206	
		55%	5500	0.013	0.008	0.207	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.019	0.008	0.208	
Pile ID:	PLT-11A	65%	6500	0.028	0.009	0.208	
Latitude:	37.110211°	70%	7000	0.043	0.010	0.209	
Longitude:	-85.086819°	75%	7500	0.066	0.010	0.210	
Pile Type:	W6X9	80%	8000	0.094	0.011	0.210	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	108	85%	8500	0.124	0.012	0.211	
Pile Diameter [in]:	5.9	90%	9000	0.160	0.013	0.212	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:	48	95%	9500	0.219	0.013	0.212	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:	10000	100%	10000	0.284	0.014	0.213	
Pile Area [sq. in]:		0%	0	0.260	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 38.9



Davisson Offest

Elastic

Tension Load Test Result for PLT-11B

% of



Project Information

Sebree Solar Project Project Name: Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number: 572	215063	Design Load	Load [lbs]	Deflection ∆ (in.) Gauges #1 & #2	Data (in) (PL/AE)	Limit (in) (0.15+D/120+(PL/AE))	Comments
		0%	0	0.000	0.000	0.199	
Axial Load Test Set Up		5%	500	0.001	0.000	0.200	
Number of Gauges: 2		10%	1000	0.002	0.001	0.200	
Height of Gauges [in]: 6		15%	1500	0.002	0.001	0.201	
Load Cell: 25	k Ed Jr.	20%	2000	0.003	0.002	0.201	4
-		25%	2500	0.006	0.002	0.201	
		30%	3000	0.009	0.003	0.202	
Test Date and Representative		35%	3500	0.019	0.003	0.202	
Tested By Terracon Rep: M.	J. & I.H.	40%	4000	0.027	0.004	0.203	
Date Tested: 1/2	21/2022	45%	4500	0.046	0.004	0.203	
·		50%	5000	0.082	0.005	0.204	
		55%	5500	0.117	0.005	0.204	
Pile Information		60%	6000	0.180	0.006	0.205	
Pile ID: PL	.T-11B	65%	6500	0.271	0.006	0.205	
Latitude: 37	7.110211°	70%	7000	0.398	0.006	0.206	
Longitude: -85	5.086819°	75%	7500	0.561	0.007	0.206	
Pile Type: W6	6X9	80%	8000	0.770	0.007	0.207	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 72		85%	8500	1.085	0.008	0.207	1
Pile Diameter [in]: 5.9	9	90%	9000		0.008	0.208	
Pile Stick-Up [in]: 48		95%	9500		0.009	0.208	
Axial Design Load [lbs]: 10	000	100%	10000		0.009	0.208	1
Pile Area [sq. in]: 2.6	58	0%	0	1.039	0.000	0.199	

Tension Test Results

Axial

Elastic Modulus [ksi]: 29,000 Drive Time [sec]: 20.6



AXIAL COMPRESSION LOAD TEST RESULT

Siting Board DR-2-2 07/19/23/25 25 25 20 26 0 0

Compression Load Test Result for PLT-11





Siti



Project Information

Axial Load Test Set Up

Pile Information



Siti

0

Board DR-2-2

2**3 1**27 of



Axial Deflection

% of

Axial



Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Project Number:	57215063	Design	Load	Deflection Δ (in.)	Comments
		Load	[lbs]	Gauges #1 & #2	
		0%	0	0.000	
kial Load Test Set Up		4%	500	0.003	
Number of Gauges:	2	8%	1000	0.007	
Height of Gauges [in]:	6	12%	1500	0.010	
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.	15%	2000	0.017	
		19%	2500	0.023	
		23%	3000	0.033	
st Date and Representati	ve	27%	3500	0.037	
Tested By Terracon Rep:	M.J.&I.H.	31%	4000	0.044	
Date Tested:	1/19/2022	35%	4500	0.050	
-		38%	5000	0.058	
		42%	5500	0.063	
le Information		46%	6000	0.068	
Pile ID:	PLT-3	50%	6500	0.073	
Latitude:	37.113137°	54%	7000	0.081	
Longitude:	-85.090334°	58%	7500	0.093	
Pile Type:	W6X9	62%	8000	0.112	
Pile Embedment Depth [in]:	60	65%	8500	0.137	
Pile Diameter [in]:		69%	9000	0.207	
Pile Stick-Up [in]:		73%	9500	0.307	
Axial Design Load [lbs]:		77%	10000	0.414	
Pile Area [sq. in]:	2.68	81%	10500	0.559	
Elastic Modulus [ksi]:	29,000	85%	11000	0.670	
Drive Time [sec]:	9.3	88%	11500	0.752	
		92%	12000	0.846	
		96%	12500	0.922	
		100%	13000	0.972	
		0%	0	0.960	

Compression Test Results



Siting Board DR-2-2 07/19/23 29 20 58 0

Compression Load Test Result for PLT-4





Axial Deflection



Axial Deflection

Siti

0

Board DR-2-2

23 131 of





Exhibit C-16

Sitir

g Board DR-2-2





Siti

0

g Board DR-2-2

23 134 of 158



LATERAL LOAD TEST RESULT

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-1A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2
Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-1B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-2A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 139 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-2B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-3A



% of

Design

Load

Lateral

Load

[lbs]

Deflection Δ (in.)

Gauges #1 & #2

Comments

Lateral Load (lbs)

Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY

Height of Bottom Gauges [in]: 6

Pile Information

1419

ting Board DR-2-2





___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

Project Information

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY

Lateral Load Test Set Up	
Number of Top Gauges:	
Number of Bottom Gauges:	2
Height of Top Gauges [in]:	6
Height of Bottom Gauges [in]:	6
Height of Applied Load [in]:	36
Load Cell:	25k Ed Jr.

Pile Information

Comments		Load	Design
	Gauges #1 & #2	[lbs]	Load
	0.000	0	0%
	0.103	500	5%
	0.274	1000	10%
	0.533	1500	15%
	0.215	0	0%
	0.650	1500	15%
	1.029	2000	20%
		2500	25%
		0	0%
		2500	25%
		3000	30%
		3500	35%
		0	0%
		3500	35%
		4000	40%
		4500	45%
		5000	50%
		0	0%
		4000	40%
		5000	50%

Deflection ∆ (in.)

Lateral

% of



Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-4A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 143 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-4B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-5A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 145 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-5B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-6A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 147 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-6B

Project Name: Sebree Solar Project

Project Information

Project Location: St. Rd 416, Robards, KY Project Number: 57215063

Lateral Load Test Set Up

Number of Top Gauges: 0 Number of Bottom Gauges: 2 Height of Top Gauges [in]: 6 Height of Bottom Gauges [in]: 6 Height of Applied Load [in]: 36 Load Cell: 25k Ed Jr.

Test Date and Representative

Tested By Terracon Rep: M.J.& I.H. Date Tested: 1/21/2022

Pile Information

Pile ID: PLT-6B Latitude: 37.110538° Longitude: -85.092048° Pile Type: W6X9 Pile Embedment Depth [in]: 72 Pile Stick-Up [in]: 48 Lateral Design Load [lbs]: 10000 Drive Time [sec]: 16

> 0.00 0.50 Deflection (inches) 1.00 1.50 2.00 000 2000 2500 3000 3500 3500 4500 5000 5500 6000 6500 500 500 7500 7500 8500 0006 8000 9500 0000 0 Lateral Load (lbs)

> > ____Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches





Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-7A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches



Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-7B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-8A





Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-8B



Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ting Board DR-2-2 152 of

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-9A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches



Exhibit C-36





___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-10A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 155 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-10B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 156 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-11A



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2 /19/23 157 of 158

Lateral Load Test Result for PLT-11B



___Lateral - Gauges at 6-inches

ing Board DR-2-2

Siting Board Request 3 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 3.</u> Provide the stormwater management plan for the project.

<u>Response 3.</u> The stormwater management plan for the project has not been fully designed. The stormwater management plan will be finalized prior to the start of construction and Sebree Solar II will comply with the Kentucky Pollution Discharge Elimination System (KPDES) Stormwater Construction General Permit (KYR10).

Siting Board Request 4 Page 1 of 5

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 4

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 4.</u> Provide a one-page map that shows both the Sebree Solar II project and the project in Case No. 2021-00072.¹ In the map, clearly distinguish between the projects. Include the solar arrays for each project, state/county roads, access roads, substation, collector substation, collector substation, collection lines, solar meteorological station, and control houses.

Response 4. Please see the attached three maps. These maps include an overview figure (Figure 4-1) at a 1:42,000 scale (1-foot equals 3,500 feet) with the Sebree Solar Project (Case No. 2021-00072) boundary, the Sebree Solar II Project (Case No. 2022-00131) boundary, collector substation, substation, and labeled roads, and two detailed figures (Figures 4-2A and 4-2B) at a 1:18,000 scale (1-foot equals 1,500 feet) showing the project boundaries, solar arrays, access roads, MV collection corridor, the collector substation, and the substation that the gen-tie

¹ Case No. 2021-00072, Electronic Application of Sebree Solar, LLC for a Certificate to Construct an Approximately 250 Megawatt Merchant Solar Electric Generating Facility and Approximately 4.5 Mile Nonregulated Electric Transmission Line in Henderson County, Kentucky and Webster County, Kentucky Pursuant to KRS 278.700 and 807 KAR 5:110 (Ky. PSC Feb. 9, 2022).

transmission line is tying into. The solar meteorological station and control houses are not shown on these maps as the location of these features is to be determined later during the detailed engineering design. The layout of the Sebree Solar Project (Case No. 2021-00072) is preliminary as detailed engineering design is ongoing for this project, and this layout does not represent the Final Site Plan submittal. The Final Site Plan submittal for Sebree Solar Project is expected to be submitted later this year to support a start of construction by year end 2023.



Siting Board DR-2-4



Date: 7/12/2023

Siting Board DR-2-4 7/19/2023 4 of 5



Siting Board DR-2-4 7/19/2023 5 of 5

Siting Board Request 5 Page 1 of 2

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 5

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 5.</u> Texas Gas Transmission LLC has two pipelines carrying natural gas through the project.

- a. Explain if any access roads or collection lines will cross over the pipelines.
- b. Provide any communication, or summary of oral communication, with Texas Gas Transmission LLC regarding the project and the natural gas pipelines.

Response 5.

- a. Sebree Solar II has three access roads that cross the Texas Gas Transmission LLC pipeline.
 Additionally, one of Sebree Solar II's Medium Voltage (MV) corridors crosses the Texas
 Gas Transmission LLC pipeline.
- b. The Sebree Solar Project and Sebree Solar II Project have been in communication with Texas Gas Transmission LLC since 2021 concerning coordination of design and construction activities. In 2022, Sebree Solar LLC and Texas Gas Transmission LLC

executed a Consent and Crossing Agreement to establish a method and practice for mutual cooperation with respect to the various easement crossings in the Robards, KY area. This agreement references the existing Sebree Solar and Sebree Solar II lease agreements, the existing Texas Gas Transmission pipeline easement agreements, and planned Texas Gas Transmission pipeline easement agreements which are in close proximity or adjacent to each other in the Robards, KY area. This agreement establishes priority between the existing and future solar leases and pipeline easements so that interfaces are clear and there is no overlap between Texas Gas Transmission easements and the location of solar generating equipment, except at future defined crossing locations. This agreement also contains templates defining requirements to be met to allow for underground crossing, overhead transmission line crossings, and access road crossings in Texas Gas Transmission easements. This coordination and communication is ongoing and will continue through the construction of the new Texas Gas Transmission LLC pipeline and construction of the Sebree Solar Project and Sebree Solar II Project.

Siting Board Request 6 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 6

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 6.</u> Provide any communication with Henderson County Water District regarding supplying water for construction or operations of the project.

Response 6. Sebree Solar II has not had any written communication with the Henderson County Water District. The Henderson County Water District serves as a primary member of the Henderson County Land Development Committee. On May 23, 2023 Sebree Solar II presented a development site plan for review and the Henderson County Water District was in attendance. The Henderson County Water District representative reviewed the site plan and recommended approval for the Henderson County Planning Commission. The Sebree Solar II site plan was approved by the Henderson County Planning Commission on June 6, 2023.

Siting Board Request 7 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 7

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 7.</u> Refer to the Application, Exhibit 10, Attachment A. Confirm whether Sebree II intends to pursue an Industrial Revenue Bond (IRB) and a Payment In Lieu Of Taxes (PILOT) Agreement with Henderson County, or any other form of tax abatement with Kentucky.

<u>Response 7.</u> Sebree Solar II does not intend to pursue an Industrial Revenue Bond and a Payment In Lieu Of Taxes (PILOT) agreement with Henderson County, or any other form of tax abatement with Kentucky.

Siting Board Request 8 Page 1 of 2

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 8

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Joshua Crawford

<u>Request 8.</u> Refer to Sebree Solar II's Response to Siting Board's First Request for Information (Response to Staff's First Request), Item 20.

a Confirm whether only county-level data for Henderson County was considered when calculating the secondary economic impacts for the construction phase.

b. Provide the secondary economic impacts of the construction phase of the project using state-level data.

<u>Response 8 item a.</u> Both Henderson County data and State level data were considered when calculating the secondary economic impacts for the construction phase.

Response 8 item b. The IMPLAN model used provides detailed information secondary impacts, using the regional economy to estimate the benefits provided by increased household spending. This includes increases in the retail and service sectors because of direct compensation and

Siting Board Request 8

Page 2 of 2

subsequent consumption increases. The model likewise provides for impacts on local businesses, including predictions on how local supply chains might be utilized to meet project needs as well as additional sales that might support the project. These factors, both local and state level data combine to predict the secondary impacts resulting from the initial investment. Using the metrics for the specific region, we project a secondary impact of an additional 58 jobs equaling \$1,487,724 in additional payroll. This will bring the total payroll impact of the construction phase to \$7,487,724.

Siting Board Request 9 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 9

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Joshua Crawford

Request 9. Refer to the Response to Staff's First Request, Item 21. Confirm that there are no occupational taxes for Henderson County.

Response 9. Henderson County levies an occupational tax on corporations and individuals. As of January 2023, Henderson County has a county payroll tax of 1.65% of the gross compensation paid for the work done within the county and a 1.65% net profits tax.

Siting Board Request 10 Page 1 of 2

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 10

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Joshua Crawford-a. and Jason Andrews-b.

Request 10. Refer to the Response to Staff's First Request, Item 21.

a Explain why sales taxes cannot be estimated for the project.

b. Based on prior solar facility development experience, provide

the estimated proportion of in-state versus out-of-state materials purchased for the project during the construction phase for this project.

Response 10 item a. There will be sales tax revenue effects in Kentucky because of the Project. The Project estimates that approximately \$966,000 in Kentucky sales tax revenues will be generated as a result of the Project, separate from other tax calculations presented in the economic impact assessment originally provided. The basis for this calculation is a 6% sales tax rate applied to 7% of the construction costs. There are many factors that support this estimate which have not been finalized, including project finance structure.

Response 10 item b. The materials and equipment for Sebree Solar II have not been contracted <u>as of the date of this response</u>. The materials will be sourced through the open market and while it is encouraged to purchase locally, due to the nature of these types of projects, that is not always possible. Estimated percentages cannot be determined at this time.

Siting Board Request 11 Page 1 of 2

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 11

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Elizabeth Wilburn

<u>Request 11.</u> Refer to the Response to Staff's First Request, Item 12. Since the construction dates of the other projects are not known, based upon the public information available, perform an analysis of the cumulative construction noise from Sebree Solar II, the project in Case No. 2020-00242,² and Case No. $2020-00391^3$ using the assumption all would be under construction at the same time.

<u>Response 11.</u> The Applicant considered cumulative noise impacts from operational activities when conducting the noise study for the Sebree Solar II Project. While the information request asks the applicant to assume that the Sebree Solar II Project and the Sebree Solar Project will operate simultaneously, the noise study for the Sebree Solar II Project did not factor in overlap in

² Case No. 2020-00242, Electronic Application of Unbridled Solar, LLC for a Certificate of Construction for an Approximately 160-Megawatt Merchant Electric Solar Generating Facility and Nonregulated Electric Transmission Line in Henderson and Webster Counties, Kentucky. (Ky. PSC June 4, 2021).

³ Case No. 2020-00391, Electronic Application of Henderson County Solar LLC for a Certificate of Construction for an Approximately 50-Megawatt Merchant Electric Solar Generating Facility in Henderson County, Kentucky Pursuant to KRS 278.700 and 807 KAR 5:100 (Ky. PSC Dec. 22, 2021).

construction schedules for the Sebree Solar II Project and the Sebree Solar Project because the projected construction schedules show no overlap.

While the Applicant inquired with its consultants to prepare a response using the assumption presented, to perform an analysis of the cumulative construction noise for all four projects: the Sebree Solar II Project, Sebree Solar Project, the Unbridled Solar Project, and the Henderson County Solar Project, the Applicant would need data files showing the inverter locations and PV panel locations along with detailed information on the inverters (IE. make, model, etc.). The Applicant does not have access to this specific project data for the Unbridled Solar project or the Henderson County Solar projects. Sebree Solar II has included an attachment that identifies the boundaries of all four projects. The Henderson County Solar project is approximately four miles away from the Sebree II project. The Unbridled project, while adjacent to Sebree II should have minimal to no overlap in construction schedule based on the verbal conversations with the Unbridled Solar developer.

Siting Board Request 12 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 12

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 12.</u> Refer to the Response to Staff's First Request, Items 12 and 13. Provide any communication Sebree Solar II has had with the developers of the other solar projects in Henderson County to determine if the construction schedules will overlap.

Response 12. Sebree Solar II has made communications with a developer for Unbridled Solar LLC. On June 5, 2023 Sebree Solar II verbally discussed the status of the Unbridled Solar's construction status with one of the project developers. Unbridled Solar stated they were projected to commence construction in July 2023. Sebree Solar II is projected to commence construction in early 2025 and does not anticipate a construction overlap. Sebree Solar II has made multiple attempts to contact Henderson County Solar. Sebree Solar II has left multiple voice messages and emails directly to the Henderson County Solar website without success. Sebree Solar II will continue to reach out and utilize local contacts in an attempt to gain point-of-contact information for the Henderson County Solar project.

Siting Board Request 13 Page 1 of 4

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 13

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Erin Bowen

<u>Request 13.</u> Refer to the Response to Staff's First Request, Item 14. The response did not address the cumulative effect on property value of four projects sited in close proximity. Provide an updated response that evaluates the effect on property values when there are multiple projects within one county.

<u>Response 13.</u> CohnReznick has evaluated and continues to evaluate solar projects and their potential impacts on surrounding property values nationwide, as the trend for new renewable energy resources continues to increase. While total output for all of the projects approved/slated for development around Sebree Solar II totals 676 MW (Unbridled Solar – 160 MW; Henderson Solar - 117 MW; Sebree & Sebree II – 400 MW), this is not necessarily inconsistent with solar development occurring in other parts of the Midwest region.

Siting Board Request 13

Page 2 of 4

There are many other large projects in the same county or in close proximity that have been

approved for development and/or are operating and/or under development:

- Illinois: Owens Creek Solar (500 MW) and Red Maple Solar (300MW) 800 MW within 10 miles approved in Dekalb County Illinois
- Indiana: Mammoth Solar 3 projects 1,000 MW in Beardstown County IN + Mayapple Solar 224 MW 4 projects totaling 1,224 MW within 10 miles.
- Ohio: Hecate Energy (300 MW) and New Market Solar (65 MW) and Hillcrest Solar (200 MW) and Willowbrook Solar (150 MW) all within 12 miles totaling 815 MW
- Ohio: Yellowbud Solar (274 MW) + Salt City Solar (50 MW) + Atlanta Farms Solar (200 MW) 524 MW within 15 miles
- Michigan: Calhoun Solar (200 MW) and Cereal City Solar (100 MW) reflect 300 MW within 2.5 miles of each other

These approvals would not have proceeded without those applicants also proving their respective developments would not cause any negative impact to surrounding property values. As indicated in the reports provided to the siting board, there is no evidence of measurable and consistent negative impacts to adjacent property values for existing solar farms of considerable size in similar areas to Henderson County. Further, academic studies reviewing thousands of sales in a multiple regression analysis show very little to no impact for rural areas next to operating solar farms. CohnReznick's interviews with local County assessors and real estate brokers with solar in their communities have not indicated any negative impacts due to the operation of proximate solar farms.

In addition, given that solar array structures are typically less than 16-20 feet at their highest point, it is impossible for any home located farther than 2-3 miles from a project to see that project. Given the amount of mitigation most solar developers employ to limit direct views (including fencing, native plant screening, and leaving perimeter wooded areas on site) as well as the fact that views from any single home site would likely be limited to less than 40-60 acres at a time in any

Siting Board Request 13

Page 3 of 4

direction, the cumulative effect on any single property owner would be negligible. Since Henderson Solar is located more than 4.4 miles north of Sebree II (See Maps related to Response #11 and #12), any impact caused by the simultaneous operation of both solar farms is considered moot.

Most importantly, CohnReznick analyzed transactions of homes that had direct view of solar on all four sides, specifically 300 to 1,200 linear feet from panel to house. In the case of the North Star Solar Farm in North Branch, Minnesota, CohnReznick measured that a single home (see page 47-50 in the CohnReznick Report (Application Exhibit 12-Att A Ex 1-P_A) being within ¹/₄ mile of 100 MW of solar operation sold at a price point that reflected an amount 30% greater than its cohort of control sales in the area, sold at a unit price the highest the county had ever seen for a home of its style, and reflected a monthly appreciation rate greater than that expressed by the average for all homes in the zip code (according to the FHFA price index). Given that property values are typically influenced by proximity to certain uses, this is a prime example of how solar does not negatively impact homes, even when they are surrounded by solar panels in four directions.

Finally, Henderson County's total land area is approximately 436 square miles, or approximately 300,000 acres. The total land area to be utilized by the solar farms would be a maximum of 4,341 acres (2,100 acres Sebree; 1,700 acres Unbridled; 541 acres Henderson) or less than 1.5% of all land area within the County. The cumulative usage is not considered large enough to change the character of the County as a whole, despite its ability to add substantial tax revenue to the local area and State, as well as provide the cooperating landowners with a safe and long-term income stream.

Siting Board Request 13 Page 4 of 4

Overall, CohnReznick does not believe that the cumulative impact will be deleterious to the existing property and uses proximate to Sebree Solar II.

Siting Board Request 14

Page 1 of 1SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 14

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 14.</u> Provide the anticipated number of years for project operations.

<u>Response 14.</u> The project is anticipated to be in operation for 30 years with land agreements that extend to 40 years from start of construction.

Siting Board Request 15 Page 1 of 1

SEBREE SOLAR II, LLC

CASE NO. 2022-00131

RESPONSE TO INFORMATION REQUEST

SITING BOARD STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION DATED 7/07/2023 REQUEST 15

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Jason Andrews

<u>Request 15.</u> Refer to the Response to Staff's Second Request, Item 37. Explain the method Sebree Solar II utilized to state 200 full time equivalent jobs will be created by the project if "[t]he Project has not secured an Engineering, Procurement, Construction (EPC) contract to be able to identify and specify the exact numbers to put against the type of job listed in Exhibit 10, Attachment A, page 10."

<u>Response 15</u>. Sebree Solar II has estimated the number of 200 full-time equivalent jobs based on nameplate capacity, total acreage of the project, and historical experience in constructing solar projects across the United States. The specific number and type of jobs cannot be identified until the Engineering, Procurement, Construction (EPC) contract is awarded. The EPC contractor will conduct a site survey of the project area and conduct their own internal analysis on the number and specific job types required. The EPC will maintain responsibility for hiring the number and type of specific workers to construct the project on the scheduled timeline.