COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

T .	41	TA / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	· C
ın	tne	Matter	OI:

ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION OF THE)
PROPOSED POLE ATTACHMENT TARIFFS OF) CASE NO. 2022-00106
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)
CORPORATIONS)

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KENTUCKY BROADBAND AND CABLE ASSOCIATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Meade County" or the "Cooperative"), by counsel, files its Response to the KBCA's Second Requests for Information, issued in the above-captioned case on May 19, 2022.

FILED: June 2, 2022

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-1: Explain how a new attacher would determine whether a pole was

"[d]esignated for replacement within two (2) years of the date of its actual replacement for any

reason unrelated to a new attacher's request for attachment," as stated in 807 KAR 5:015 Section

1(10)(b), if the pole was not visibly marked with a colored tag or other indication of replacement.

RESPONSE: A pole that has previously been inspected, reviewed by engineering, and

deemed as needing replacement will be, and has been, "red-flagged" with a red ribbon or similar

flagging method. If there are questions about any other poles, that will be evaluated through the

application process.

Witness:

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-2: State whether You will visibly mark "Red-tagged poles," as that term is defined in 807 KAR 5:015, with colored tags or in some other manner. If You will mark the poles with colored tags, state which colors You will use, and what those colors signify.

RESPONSE: Yes. Please see the response to KBCA Request No. 2-1.

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-3: Identify the average amount of time You spend per pole on a pre-

construction survey.

RESPONSE: 15 minutes is being allocated to conduct field survey per pole. This does

not include required travel or administrative review/recordkeeping time associated with other

aspects of the application process.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-4: Confirm You will not charge an annual rental rate for overlashed

facilities.

RESPONSE: As noted in the Meade County's responses to the prior round of data

requests, there is no intention at this time to charge for overlashing made consistent with the

regulation and the proposed tariff; however, the additional loading of facilities and reliability of

electrical service due to overlashing continues to be a concern.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-5: Provide any data related to Your contention that "demand for

overlashing/additional load on poles will be much greater over the next 5-10 years than ever

before," including any projections of any increase in overlashing for the area You serve. See

Response to KBCA RFI 1-2.

RESPONSE: It is common knowledge that the demand for high-speed communications

is high and is accelerating. There are more entities entering the business for potential profits, and

the readily available supply of government grants and low-interest loans to fund such projects is

making the business more attractive than ever. Overlashing is one of the methods many of these

entities are doing or considering to reduce construction and fixed costs. Given the Commission's

regulation allowing certain overlashing at no charge, it is reasonable to expect that entities will try

to avail themselves of that. Within Meade County RECC's service area, a high-speed

communications group has already contracted with an existing attacher for an installed overlashed

fiber cable.

Witness:

David R. Poe, P.E., VP Operations & Engineering

Meade County's Response to KBCA No. 2-5

Witness: David R. Poe

Page **1** of **1**

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-6: Identify the specific costs, in dollars, incurred by You in connection

with overlashing that You claim are not recovered from the overlashing attacher. See Response to

KBCA RFI 1-5.

RESPONSE: This Cooperative has not tracked such data in a manner to provide a

reasonable cost related to overlashing; each occurrence is unique. There have been costs related

to restoration and investigation of disturbance of electric power. There have also been costs related

to clean-up of fielding issues due to the overlasher's failure to exercise proper care on the

Cooperative member's property.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-7: Identify the amount of money, in dollars, that electric cooperatives

or their members have incurred each year for the past five years as a result of third party

communications attachments that are not covered by non-recurring charges, such as pre-

construction survey fees, make ready charges, or recurring annual rental payments from attachers.

RESPONSE: As explained in the response for 2-6, Meade County RECC does not track

such data. There are issues other than third-party caused disturbances and outages, such as moving

communication cables out of the way during storms and accidents and then waiting on the

communications group to respond and reattach, but MCRECC has not historically tracked such

data. This Cooperative also fields and investigates many calls from the public regarding low or

downed CATV and telephone cables because the public finds we are easier to contact and more

responsive than third-party attachers.

Witness:

David R. Poe, P.E., VP Operations & Engineering

Meade County's Response to KBCA No. 2-7

Witness: David R. Poe

Page **1** of **1**

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-8: To Meade County R.E.C.C. and Taylor County R.E.C.C. only: You

did not respond to KBCA's RFI 1-9, which states, "Explain the basis for Your proposed

requirement that an attacher pay the entire cost of replacing a pole that is not red-tagged, including

all economic basis for this requirement." If You have any basis, please provide it.

RESPONSE: Please see the response to KBCA Request No. 1-9, where Meade County

answered this request. For additional clarity, the determination to continue using a pole or to "red-

tag" a pole is a fact-specific determination that is not related to the age of the pole. If a Cooperative

pole needs to be replaced based on inspection determinations to ensure the safety and reliability of

the system, the Cooperative will incur that cost. Similarly, if a pole needs to be replaced due to

the clearance requirements of a new attachment, this is a safety and reliability cost rightfully

incurred by the attacher.

Witness:

David R. Poe, P.E., VP Operations & Engineering

Meade County's Response to KBCA No. 2-8

Witness: David R. Poe

Page **1** of **1**

CASE NO. 2022-00106

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO

THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-10: To Meade County R.E.C.C. only: You did not respond to KBCA's

RFI 1-10(a), which states, "Explain how You decide what size and strength of pole You put in

service." Please provide an answer now.

RESPONSE: Please see the response to KBCA Request No. 1-10, where this was

answered. For additional clarity, the Cooperative sizes its poles based upon considerations of

immediate need, as well as reasonably anticipated future needs. Meade County, as does most

utilities, standardizes certain sizes of pole for general use (such as 40-4 poles for single-phase

distribution). Sizing does vary, however, based upon anticipated loading needs and clearance code

requirements, as dictated by terrain and the other site-specific applications.

Witness:

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-11: To Meade County R.E.C.C. only: Explain how frequently Meade County R.E.C.C. inspects its poles, including the portion of its system it inspects each year.

RESPONSE: Meade County RECC adheres to and follows the Kentucky Public Service Commission's regulation regarding the 2-year inspection cycle of an electrical distribution system.

MEADE COUNTY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

VERIFICATION

	that the information request responses filed with this ess are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge onable inquiry.
	Dan Rroe
	David R. Poe, P.E., VP Operations & Engineering
	Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)) ss:)
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO	before me on this the <u>I</u> day of June, 2022.

My commission expires: 9-21-2025