## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

T .	41	TA / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	· C
ın	tne	Matter	OI:

ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION OF THE	)
PROPOSED POLE ATTACHMENT TARIFFS OF	) CASE NO. 2022-00106
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE	)
CORPORATIONS	)

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporation ("Jackson Energy" or the "Cooperative"), by counsel, files its Response to the Commission Staff's Second Requests for Information, issued in the above-captioned case on May 19, 2022.

**FILED: June 2, 2022** 

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 1:** Provide the service lives of distribution poles used to determine the

average service life, by type and vintage, to the degree they are broken down.

**RESPONSE:** The average service life of all poles on Jackson Energy's system is 37

years, as provided in response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information, Item 8. The

Cooperative does not assign different service lives to poles of different type and vintage. For

reference, the useful life of a pole according to RUS is 35 years.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 2:** Describe your recent efforts, if any, to reduce the number of above

ground transmission and distribution lines and identify the number of poles that have been

eliminated in your system in each of the last ten years because the electric lines previously attached

to those poles were placed underground.

**RESPONSE:** Jackson Energy has not made a concentrated effort to reduce the number

of above-ground transmission and distribution lines on its system in recent years. This practice is

cost-prohibitive when Jackson Energy has above ground distribution lines and poles that are in

good condition.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 3:** Other than identifying specific defective poles through inspections that

require replacement, state whether you have a policy or practice of replacing poles in a circuit on

a periodic basis or as they reach the end of their useful lives and, if so, describe that policy or

practice in detail, including how and when (e.g., how far in advance) such replacements are

identified or included in your projected capital spending budget.

**RESPONSE:** Jackson Energy does not have a policy or practice of replacing poles in a

circuit on a periodic basis or as they reach the end of their useful lives. A pole has not reached the

end of its useful life until it has been determined to be defective based on its physical condition.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 4:** Describe in detail the process you use to budget for future capital

expenditures, including when you first develop a preliminary capital spending budget for a

particular year (e.g. three years in advance, five years in advance, etc.), how you determine the

amounts to include in the preliminary capital budget, the level of specificity included in any

preliminary budget, and each step that is taken in the process to get from any preliminary budget

to a final capital spending budget for a particular year.

**RESPONSE:** Budgeting for future capital expenditures is determined largely by Jackson

Energy's Construction Work Plan. This is a four-year plan that is developed in collaboration with

RUS. Factors considered include load forecasting, historical activity, projected system peaks, and

projected debt. Jackson Energy also will have several internal meetings to determine future

projects and will prioritize the work to be done. Once these processes have been completed all of

the information is combined together to create the Construction Work Plan. The completed

Construction Work Plan is then forwarded to RUS for approval. Once RUS has approved the

Construction Work Plan it is forwarded onto the PSC for review and issuance of a Certificate of

Public Convenience and Necessity, as necessary.

Witness:

Ryan Henderson, Vice President of Engineering & Operations

Jackson Energy's Response to PSC No. 4

Witness: Ryan Henderson Page 1 of 1

### JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 5:** Provide any current joint use agreements.

**RESPONSE:** Current joint use agreements are provided herewith in conjunction with a request for confidential treatment.

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 6:** 6. For all except EKPC:

Explain each basis for your contention, upon information and belief, that a market a.

exists for the performance bonds required by Article XXI and Appendix D of the proposed

tariff.

Explain each basis for your contention that remedy through an insurance claim is b.

not typically feasible if an attacher is no longer a going concern.

Provide the average cost per attachment for the cooperatives' crews to remove c.

stranded attachments left on the cooperatives used to determine the amount of the

performance bond and explain how that average cost per attachment was reached.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. Performance bonds are often required in connection with projects involving construction

and real property, and they are commonly used in pole attachment agreements across the country

to mitigate risk in the event of default or non-performance by an attacher. There are many available

sources for these types of bonds nationwide—for example, Surety One, Inc.<sup>1</sup>, Telcom Insurance

Group,<sup>2</sup> and Swiftbonds<sup>3</sup>—due to the ubiquity of bonding requirements in the industry. In

Kentucky, specifically, performance bonds have historically served a proper role in the pole

attachment framework, having been approved by the Commission as part of many tariffs filed by

pole-owning utilities.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> See https://suretyone.com/pole-attachment-bond, last accessed May 27, 2022.

<sup>2</sup> See <a href="https://www.telcominsgrp.com/products-and-services/bonds/">https://www.telcominsgrp.com/products-and-services/bonds/</a>, last accessed May 27, 2022.

<sup>3</sup> See https://swiftbonds.com/performance-bond/kentucky/, last accessed May 27, 2022.

<sup>4</sup> See, e.g., Louisville Gas and Electric (PSC Electric No. 13, Rig Sheet 40.23), Big Rivers Electric Corporation (PSC Ky No. 27, Sheet No. 38), Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. (PSC Ky No. 2, Sheet No. 116), and many others.

Jackson Energy's Response to PSC No. 6

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

b. The intention of the performance bond requirement is chiefly to ensure the Cooperative

has recourse in the event an attacher is unwilling or unable to remove its attachments upon

discontinuance of business and non-payment of rental fees. In such a case, recovery through

insurance is unlikely, both due to the nature of the possible claim and the low probability that the

defunct attacher continued to maintain its policy. Performance bonds and insurance are related

but distinct risk-mitigation tools often employed together in the context of commercial contracts,

and again, have worked alongside each other in Commission-approved pole attachment tariffs for

decades.

c. Jackson Energy has had experience with cable companies in the past that have dissolved

with attachments still on our poles. Several years ago, Fields Cablevision was shuttered and left

all attachments. The owners were unresponsive to our requests to detach from our poles. Jackson

Energy contracted with a company to remove all attachments and sued Fields Cablevision for the

cost. To date, Fields Cablevision has been totally unresponsive, and Jackson Energy has obtained

a default judgement in the owner's county of residence. No other action has happened at this time.

More recently, Ranson Cable shuttered its business, leaving all attachments. In summer

2021, Jackson Energy started receiving reports from Members of cables laying in yards or hanging

very low near the road. These cables belong to Ranson Cable, and all efforts to contact the owners

have received no response. To date, Jackson Energy has removed approximately 100 attachments

at a cost of approximately \$20,000.00. Jackson Energy has only removed attachments and cable

that posed a threat to public safety. We estimate that there are well over 1,000 more attachments

Jackson Energy's Response to PSC No. 6

## JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

attached to Jackson Energy poles that will have to be dealt with in the future. Jackson Energy has not taken legal action at this time.

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 34:** For Jackson Energy only: Refer to Jackson Energy's response to

Staff's First Request, Item 9. State whether Jackson Energy maintains record of when any poles

are placed in service (e.g., has it started to do as recently as poles have been replaced). If so,

provide any information Jackson Energy has regarding when poles have been placed in service.

**RESPONSE:** Jackson Energy does not have a specific tracking system for when poles

are placed in service.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 35:** For Jackson Energy only: Refer to Jackson Energy's response to

Staff's First Request, Item 11.

Provide the typical timeline for replacing a pole when it is "given priority for a.

replacement."

Provide the typical timeline for replacing a pole when it is flagged to be "replaced b.

in the due course of maintenance work."

Explain how you keep track of when poles are inspected and how you track the c.

condition of the pole at the time of inspection.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. When a pole has been given priority for replacement, it is typically replaced within 2-3

business days, weather permitting. Priority pole replacements take priority over other work.

b. When a pole is flagged to be replaced in the due course of maintenance work, it is

replaced in the due course of work, which could be several months.

c. Jackson Energy's line inspectors work based on substation and feeder. The entire

system is inspected every two years. Jackson Energy tracks when each line inspector starts and

completes each feeder and tracks overall progress on a monthly basis. Line inspectors will create

work orders when poles are needed for replacement. The Public Service Commission completes

an Operations and Maintenance Audit every two years and specifically reviews Jackson Energy's

line inspection records.

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 36:** For Jackson Energy only: Refer to Jackson Energy's response to

Staff's First Request, Item 15, regarding the administrative review fee. Provide detailed cost

support for the \$150 application review fee and provide support for all assumptions made in

calculating that amount.

**RESPONSE:** Upon further review, Jackson Energy has decided to remove this fee from

its tariff.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

**REQUEST NO. 37:** For Jackson Energy only: Refer to Jackson Energy's response to

Staff's First Request, Item 16, regarding the estimated per pole survey costs. Provide detailed cost

support for the estimated per pole survey cost of \$29.47 and provide support for all assumptions

made in calculating that amount

**RESPONSE:** Please see attached Exhibit 37.

Witness:

### JACKSON ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSES TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Ryan Henderson, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

	Ryan Henderson
	Vice President of Engineering & Operations Jackson Energy Cooperative Corporation
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	)
COUNTY OF Jackson	) ss: )
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO  My commission expires:/	before me on this the $24^{tt}$ day of May, 2022.
/	Sisa Bale
	Notary Public # 42192