COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	tho	Matter	Λf·
	une	VIALLEL	

ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION OF THE)
PROPOSED POLE ATTACHMENT TARIFFS OF) CASE NO. 2022-00106
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)
CORPORATIONS)

BLUE GRASS ENERGY ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KENTUCKY BROADBAND AND CABLE ASSOCIATION'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Blue Grass Energy Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Blue Grass" or the "Cooperative"), by counsel, files its Response to the KBCA's Supplemental Requests for Information, issued in the above-captioned case on May 19, 2022.

FILED: June 2, 2022

CASE NO. 2022-00106

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-1: Explain how a new attacher would determine whether a pole was

"[d]esignated for replacement within two (2) years of the date of its actual replacement for any

reason unrelated to a new attacher's request for attachment," as stated in 807 KAR 5:015 Section

1(10)(b), if the pole was not visibly marked with a colored tag or other indication of replacement.

RESPONSE: Attachers should be submitting requests to attach with a pole loading

analysis (PLA) for each of the requested poles. In reviewing the PLA, the Cooperative looks at

both the existing load on the pole and the effect of the requested attachments. For any pole that

passes pole loading with the existing equipment and fails after adding the new attachment, the

party requesting the attachment is responsible for the make-ready costs (pole replacement or other

make ready).

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-2: State whether You will visibly mark "Red-tagged poles," as that

term is defined in 807 KAR 5:015, with colored tags or in some other manner. If You will mark

the poles with colored tags, state which colors You will use, and what those colors signify.

RESPONSE: Poles that have failed inspection will be marked with a red tag.

Witness:

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-3: Identify the average amount of time You spend per pole on a preconstruction survey.

RESPONSE: Office work -2 hours per request

Travel Time – .75 per request

Field Time – .10 hours per pole

This time is solely for the preconstruction survey

Witness: Kyle Lancaster, Manager, Engineering

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-4: Confirm You will not charge an annual rental rate for overlashed facilities.

RESPONSE: Blue Grass Energy will not charge an annual rental rate for overlashed facilities.

Witness: Kyle Lancaster, Manager, Engineering

CASE NO. 2022-00106

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-5: Provide any data related to Your contention that "demand for

overlashing/additional load on poles will be much greater over the next 5-10 years than ever

before," including any projections of any increase in overlashing for the area You serve. See

Response to KBCA RFI 1-2.

RESPONSE: The Federal government has already announced \$28 million dollars to

subsidize broadband deployment in the counties served by Blue Grass Energy. We project this to

account for an increased demand of 15,169 attachments on the Cooperative over the next 6 years.

The Cooperative has received requests for new attachments to over 1,500 poles on its system in

the past 6 months. It is impossible to project what the exact mix of overlashing to new contact

attachments will be when third-party overlashing is allowed. We are predicting a significant

additional load from both overlashing and new attachments over the next 5-10 years.

Witness:

Kyle Lancaster, Manager, Engineering

Blue Grass's Response to KBCA Request No. 2-5 Witness: Kyle Lancaster

Page **1** of **1**

CASE NO. 2022-00106

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-6: Identify the specific costs, in dollars, incurred by You in connection

with overlashing that You claim are not recovered from the overlashing attacher. See Response to

KBCA RFI 1-5.

RESPONSE: Any pole that previously passed Pole Loading Analysis and then fails the

same test with the overlashed facilities, requiring a pole change, has the potential to become an

unrecovered cost. Particularly if multiple parties are allowed to overlash without notice, this pole

change-out would not take place at the time of overlashing but at a later time, at which point the

cost would fall solely on the Cooperative.

As long as the attacher follows the requirements in the proposed tariff to supply or cover

the cost of initial engineering analysis to ensure the overlashing does not compromise the safety

and reliability of the pole, the Cooperative is not making any claims that it has unrecovered costs

from the overlashing attacher.

Witness:

CASE NO. 2022-00106

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S

SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2-7: Identify the amount of money, in dollars, that electric cooperatives

or their members have incurred each year for the past five years as a result of third party

communications attachments that are not covered by non-recurring charges, such as pre-

construction survey fees, make ready charges, or recurring annual rental payments from attachers.

RESPONSE: If the attacher follows the requirements in the proposed tariff to supply or

cover the cost of initial engineering analysis and pays the required fees in a timely manner, the

Cooperative is not making any claims that it will have unrecovered costs from attachers.

The Cooperative cannot accurately provide the attacher's avoided costs for the past 5 years

because the Cooperative was not set up to track these costs separately, and the relatively small

number of permit requests did not justify setting up a separate system. The only non-recurring

costs passed along to the attacher were for necessary make-ready to allow for their proposed

attachments. Any review or engineering costs would have been incurred at the expense of the

Cooperative and its members.

KBCA members should have excellent data to answer this question, as they know how

many attachments they made over the past five years and how many of those attachments were

submitted through the required permitting processes.

Witness:

BLUE GRASS ENERGY COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE KBCA'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

VERIFICATION

I, Kyle Lancaster, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Kyle Lancaster
Manager, Engineering
Blue Grass Energy Cooperative Corporation

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
) ss:
COUNTY OF Tessanine)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me on this the 15th day of June, 2022.

My commission expires: Thue 21, 2025

IShly Con R KNP28263

25188429.1