COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

•	41	Matter	e
In	thΔ	Mattar	Ot .
111	uic	Mailli	vı.

ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION OF THE)
PROPOSED POLE ATTACHMENT TARIFFS OF) CASE NO. 2022-00106
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)
CORPORATIONS)

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Licking Valley Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Licking Valley," "LVRECC" or the "Cooperative"), by counsel, files its Response to the Commission Staff's Second Request for Information, issued in the above-captioned case on May 19, 2022.

FILED: June 2, 2022

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 2: Describe your recent efforts, if any, to reduce the number of above

ground transmission and distribution lines, and identify the number of poles that have been

eliminated in your system in each of the last ten years because the electric lines previously attached

to those poles were placed underground.

RESPONSE: Licking Valley has not made special efforts to reduce overhead service.

LVRECC has, however, priced underground conductor for certain jobs, but due to the cost

associated with underground service these jobs moved forward with overhead or didn't move

forward at all. LVRECC's terrain and location is not as conducive as other parts of Kentucky to

underground service.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 3: Other than identifying specific defective poles through inspections that

require replacement, state whether you have a policy or practice of replacing poles in a circuit on

a periodic basis or as they reach the end of their useful lives and, if so, describe that policy or

practice in detail, including how and when (e.g. how far in advance) such replacements are

identified or included in your projected capital spending budget.

RESPONSE: The Cooperative does not have a policy or practice of replacing poles in a

circuit on a periodic basis or as they reach the end of their useful lives. LVRECC changes defective

poles as needed.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 4: Describe in detail the process you use to budget for future capital

expenditures, including when you first develop a preliminary capital spending budget for a

particular year (e.g. three years in advance, five years in advance, etc.), how you determine the

amounts to include in the preliminary capital budget, the level of specificity included in any

preliminary budget, and each step that is taken in the process to get from any preliminary budget

to a final capital spending budget for a particular year.

RESPONSE: LVRECC has a 4-year work plan that identifies specific and general jobs.

The work plans are derived based upon initial planning input provided by the Cooperative's

department heads. Once this information is compiled, the proposed capital expenditures are

reviewed by the Superintendent, who will subsequently review the work plan with the General

Manager/CEO to formulate a proposed final work plan. That work plan is then presented to the

Cooperative's board of directors, and following any input or revision from the board, it is then

subsequently filed with RUS and the Commission. LVRECC generally bases the next year's

budget off of the prior year's actual expenditures.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 5: Provide any current joint use agreements.

RESPONSE: Current joint use agreements are provided herewith in conjunction with a request for confidential treatment.

Witness: Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 6: For all except EKPC:

a. Explain each basis for your contention, upon information and belief, that a market

exists for the performance bonds required by Article XXI and Appendix D of the proposed

tariff.

b. Explain each basis for your contention that remedy through an insurance claim is

not typically feasible if an attacher is no longer a going concern.

c. Provide the average cost per attachment for the cooperatives' crews to remove

stranded attachments left on the cooperatives used to determine the amount of the

performance bond, and explain how that average cost per attachment was reached.

RESPONSE:

a. Performance bonds are often required in connection with projects involving

construction and real property, and they are commonly used in pole attachment agreements across

the country to mitigate risk in the event of default or non-performance by an attacher. There are

many available sources for these types of bonds nationwide—for example, Surety One, Inc.¹,

Telcom Insurance Group,² and Swiftbonds³—due to the ubiquity of bonding requirements in the

industry. In Kentucky, specifically, performance bonds have historically served a proper role in

the pole attachment framework, having been approved by the Commission as part of many tariffs

filed by pole-owning utilities.⁴

¹ See https://suretyone.com/pole-attachment-bond, last accessed May 27, 2022.

² See https://www.telcominsgrp.com/products-and-services/bonds/, last accessed May 27, 2022.

³ See https://swiftbonds.com/performance-bond/kentucky/, last accessed May 27, 2022.

⁴ See, e.g., Louisville Gas and Electric (PSC Electric No. 13, Rig Sheet 40.23), Big Rivers Electric Corporation (PSC Ky No. 27, Sheet No. 38), Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. (PSC Ky No. 2, Sheet No. 116), and many others.

Licking Valley's Response to PSC No. 6

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

b. The intention of the performance bond requirement is chiefly to ensure the

Cooperative has recourse in the event an attacher is unwilling or unable to remove its attachments

upon discontinuance of business and non-payment of rental fees. In such a case, recovery through

insurance is unlikely, both due to the nature of the possible claim and the low probability that the

defunct attacher continued to maintain its policy. Performance bonds and insurance are related

but distinct risk-mitigation tools often employed together in the context of commercial contracts,

and again, have worked alongside each other in Commission-approved pole attachment tariffs for

decades.

c. Generally, the Cooperative prefers to leave attachment removal to the attacher, and

if the Cooperative has removed its own facilities from a pole but the attacher has not yet done so,

the Cooperative will generally transfer the pole to the attacher. However, in the event an attacher

has abandoned facilities on poles the Cooperative is still using, the Cooperative has generally

estimated that the cost of the removal would easily meet or exceed the proposed performance bond

amount of \$10,000 or \$50/pole, whichever is greater. At the \$10,000 amount, that would equate

to 200 attachments (10,000 / 50 = 200), which is a reasonable assumption of a minimum number

of attachments that any broadband or telecommunications attacher is likely to have on the

Cooperative's system.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

Chris Murphy, Superintendent

Licking Valley's Response to PSC No. 6 Witness: Kerry Howard, Chris Murphy

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 42: For Licking Valley RECC only: Refer to Licking Valley RECC's

response to Staff's First Request, Item 9. State whether Licking Valley RECC maintains record of

when any poles are placed in service (e.g. has it started to do as recently as poles have been

replaced). If so, provide any information Cumberland Valley [sic] has regarding when poles have

been placed in service.

RESPONSE: LVRECC maintains records of when poles are put into service. LVRECC

currently has 43,962 poles. Determining the "in-service" year for all of those 43,962 poles would

be unnecessarily cumbersome and impractical, as the data is not maintained in a way that is

sortable simply by birth year. However, in the event an attacher has questions about the birth year

of a particular pole or set of poles included within an application, LVRECC can generally look-up

either the "born on" or "in service" date of the specific pole(s), assuming that the pole number

stamp on the pole is still legible.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 43: For Licking Valley RECC only: Refer to Licking Valley RECC's

response to Staff's First Request, Item 11.

a. Explain in detail what you do when you identify a defect with a pole as part of an

inspection, including specifically when and under what circumstances you would replace

a pole you own due to a defect.

b. Describe in detail the findings of an inspection that would result in the pole being

replaced.

c. Provide the typical timeline for replacing a pole when a defect requiring

replacement is identified.

d. Provide the typical timeline for replacing a pole once a work order is issued.

e. Explain how you keep track of when poles are inspected and how you track the

condition of the pole at the time of inspection.

RESPONSE:

a. When a defect with an LVRECC pole is identified, it is red tagged. LVRECC will

work the red tagged poles from worst pole condition to most favorable condition as feasible,

subject to work conditions, location, safety and similar factors.

b. Rotten poles, split poles, broken poles, fire damaged poles, animal damaged poles,

a pole that does not pass the sound test, and poles subject to erosion concerns would be scheduled

for replacement, as described above.

c. Pole replacement is completed based on severity, safety concerns, reliability

concerns and practicality. If a pole is identified as a "danger pole," it would be replaced as soon as

Licking Valley's Response to PSC No. 43

Witness: Kerry Howard, Chris Murphy

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

possible, often within a day or two. For poles that are less severely impaired, replacement is prioritized based on severity, with all such replacements generally occurring within 12 months of identification. Please also see the response to Request No. 43(a), above.

d. Please see the responses to Requests No. 43(a) and (c), above.

e. LVRECC either has "good" or "bad" listed on a pole inspection report. If it is "bad"

LVRECC will change out the pole.

Witness: Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

REQUEST NO. 44: For Licking Valley RECC only: Refer to Licking Valley's response

to Staff's First Request, Item 16, regarding the estimated per pole survey costs.

a. Provide detailed support for the man hour cost of \$33.25.

b. Provide detailed support for the overhead rate of 40 percent.

c. Provide support for the assertion that for the application review, only one pole is

reviewed per hour.

d. Provide support for the assertion that for the pre-construction and post-construction

surveys, only one pole is reviewed per hour.

e. Provide support for the assertion that travel time takes one hour per pole.

f. Provide support for the assertion that the number of poles in a typical application

is one.

RESPONSE:

a. Please see provided Exhibit 44(a).

b. Please see provided Exhibit 44(b), which reflects an overhead of 73.17%. This

calculation was made in March of 2022. However, the Cooperative's tariff was required to be

filed at the end of February 2022, before this recalculation was made. Because the estimated

survey costs are subject to true-up under the regulation, the Cooperative has not sought to modify

the estimated survey cost rate based upon the prior 40% overhead calculation.

c. Based on the low number of third-party attachment permit requests received by the

Cooperative, there is not enough data to determine the likely number of permits to be received in

the future or the likely number of poles to be included in the typical permit request, nor has the

Licking Valley's Response to PSC No. 44

Witness: Kerry Howard, Chris Murphy

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Cooperative received any information from third-party attachers about the size, scope or location of upcoming permit requests. Based on this lack of information, the Cooperative must estimate

conservatively in the financial interest of its member-owners. Depending on the complexity of the

request, it is possible that an application could be reviewed at a rate of one pole per hour. The

Cooperative would be able to estimate this information more precisely with the benefit of

communication of estimates from its potential attachers.

d. Based on the low number of third-party attachment permit requests received by the

Cooperative, there is not enough data to determine the likely number of permits to be received in

the future or the likely number of poles to be included in the typical permit request, nor has the

Cooperative received any information from third-party attachers about the size, scope or location

of upcoming permit requests. Based on this lack of information, the Cooperative must estimate

conservatively in the financial interest of its member-owners. Depending on the complexity of the

existing pole and the attachment request, it is possible that a pole could be reviewed at a rate of

one pole per hour. The Cooperative would be able to estimate this information more precisely

with the benefit of communication of estimates from its potential attachers.

e. Based on the low number of third-party attachment permit requests received by the

Cooperative, there is not enough data to determine the likely number of permits to be received in

the future or the likely number of poles to be included in the typical permit request, nor has the

Cooperative received any information from third-party attachers about the size, scope or location

of upcoming permit requests. Based on this lack of information, the Cooperative must estimate

conservatively in the financial interest of its member-owners. Depending on the location of the

CASE NO. 2022-00106

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE

TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

attachment request, it is possible that the travel time to visit a pole could be greater than 1 hour (as

the Cooperative has poles that are as far as an hour and a half hours from the office), particularly

if there is only one pole included in the request. The Cooperative would be able to estimate this

information more precisely with the benefit of communication of estimates from its potential

attachers.

f. Based on the low number of third-party attachment permit requests received by the

Cooperative, there is not enough data to determine the likely number of permits to be received in

the future or the likely number of poles to be included in the typical permit request, nor has the

Cooperative received any information from third-party attachers about the size, scope or location

of upcoming permit requests. Based on this lack of information, the Cooperative must estimate

conservatively in the financial interest of its member-owners. Based on the historical lack of

requests submitted by attachers, it is possible that the number of poles per application could very

well be one. The Cooperative would be able to estimate this information more precisely with the

benefit of communication of estimates from its potential attachers.

Witness:

Kerry Howard, General Manager/CEO

Chris Murphy, Superintendent

Licking Valley's Response to PSC No. 44 Witness: Kerry Howard, Chris Murphy

Page 3 of 3

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

VERIFICATION

I, Kerry Howard, verify, state, and affirm verification for which I am listed as a witn information, and belief formed after a reas	that the information request responses filed with this ness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, onable inquiry.
	Kerry Howard General Manager/CEO Licking Valley Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF Morgan) ss:)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Kerry Howard on this the _2^d day of June, 2022.

My commission expires: 06-21-2025

Notary Public Poul KYNP32016

LICKING VALLEY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

VERIFICATION

I, Chris Murphy, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.
Chur Musky

	Chris Murphy Superintendent Licking Valley Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) ,
COUNTY OF Morgan) ss:)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Chris Murphy on this the 2nd day of June, 2022.

Notary Publico Done KYNP32016