

**Before the Public Service Commission  
of Kentucky**

**Rebuttal Testimony**

**of**

**Michael Mosindy**

**on behalf of**

**Liberty Utilities Co.**



**Liberty**<sup>TM</sup>

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**FOR THE REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL MOSINDY**  
**LIBERTY UTILITIES CO.**  
**BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

**CASE NO. 2021-00481**

<b>SUBJECT</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
CASE NO. 2021-00481.....	3
I.    INTRODUCTION.....	3
II.   THE TERMINATION OF THE SALE OF RECEIVABLES WILL NOT RESULT IN INCREASED COSTS FOR KENTUCKY POWER’S CUSTOMERS.....	4
III.  INCREASED COSTS DUE TO A POSSIBLE DOWNGRADE IN KENTUCKY POWER’S CREDIT RATE IS SPECULATION.....	6

**REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL MOSINDY  
ON BEHALF OF LIBERTY UTILITIES CO.  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY**

**CASE NO. 2021-00481**

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

3 A. My name is Michael Mosindy. My business address is 354 Davis Road, Oakville, Ontario.

4 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

5 A. I am employed by Liberty Utilities (Canada) Corp. (“Liberty Canada”) as Director,  
6 Treasury.

7 **Q. ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU TESTIFYING?**

8 A. I am testifying on behalf of Liberty Utilities Co.

9 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND.**

10 A. Before joining Liberty Canada in 2014, I spent seven years working in finance in several  
11 industries in both public and private practice. I am an accountant, having received the  
12 Chartered Accountant designation in Canada, which is now referred to as a CPA, CA. That  
13 designation is similar to a Certified Public Accountant designation in the United States. I  
14 have also obtained a Bachelor of Commerce degree from Dalhousie University in Halifax,  
15 Nova Scotia. In addition, I have completed Level 1 of the Chartered Financial Analyst  
16 (CFA) program.

17 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE  
18 COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY?**

19 A. I have not.

20 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?**

1 A. The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to issues raised by witness Lane Kollen  
2 who submitted testimony on behalf of the Office of the Attorney General of the  
3 Commonwealth of Kentucky (the “AG”) and the Kentucky Industrial Utility Customers,  
4 Inc. (“KIUC”). Specifically, I am responding to the incorrect assumptions relied upon, and  
5 the flawed conclusions reached, regarding financing costs related to ceasing the sale of  
6 receivables, as well as the effect of the transaction on the cost of long-term debt.

7 **II. THE TERMINATION OF THE SALE OF RECEIVABLES WILL NOT RESULT**  
8 **IN INCREASED COSTS FOR KENTUCKY POWER’S CUSTOMERS.**  
9

10 **Q. MR. KOLLEN STATES THAT FINANCING COSTS WILL INCREASE DUE TO**  
11 **LIBERTY NOT SELLING RECEIVABLES AS KENTUCKY POWER DOES. IS**  
12 **HE CORRECT?**

13 A. No. The selling of receivables actually increases the company’s costs because Kentucky  
14 Power is currently charged interest for the sale of receivables. The amount of interest  
15 charged on the accounts receivable financing is approximately 2.8%. Liberty does not sell  
16 its receivables, and as a result, there is no fee in what Liberty is paid related to the practice  
17 of selling receivables. Unfortunately, Mr. Kollen has relied upon the incorrect assumption  
18 that Liberty is solely comparing the cost of short-term debt rates between Liberty and  
19 Kentucky Power. Rather, Liberty has compared the costs associated with selling  
20 receivables to its short-term debt rate.

21 **Q. HOW DOES LIBERTY HANDLE RECEIVABLES?**

22 A. Liberty collects receivables in the normal course of business and does not sell them. If  
23 necessary, Liberty handles any cash working capital needs through a money pool.  
24 However, Liberty is not borrowing the full amount of its receivables from the money pool.  
25 Rather, Liberty is only utilizing the money pool for the amount of cash required for working

1 capital needs. This uses a short-term debt rate, which for the 12 months ended December  
2 31, 2021, was approximately 0.27%.

3 **Q. HOW WILL TERMINATING THE SALE OF KENTUCKY POWER'S**  
4 **RECEIVABLES TO AEP CREDIT INC. DECREASE COSTS TO CUSTOMERS?**

5 A. Simply put, it will decrease costs to customers because Kentucky Power will no longer be  
6 charged for the sale of receivables. Any cash working capital needs will be handled  
7 through the money pool, which incurs a much lower interest rate than the costs associated  
8 with the sale of receivables. Further, the amount financed will be lower because Liberty  
9 only utilizes the money pool if necessary, rather than selling a portion of its receivables.

10 **Q. IS MR. KOLLEN CORRECT IN CONCLUDING THAT THE TERMINATION OF**  
11 **THE SALE OF RECEIVABLES WILL RESULT IN ANNUAL INCREASED**  
12 **COSTS OF \$2.1 MILLION?**

13 A. Mr. Kollen's numbers appear to be based entirely on speculation about how Liberty will  
14 handle receivables, without any factual support. It is incorrect for Mr. Kollen to conclude  
15 that costs will increase considering the fee charged for the sale of receivables will no longer  
16 be incurred. Further, Mr. Kollen's purported increase is based on incorrect assumptions  
17 regarding the amount that might be financed by Kentucky Power through the Liberty  
18 money pool. Under Liberty's ownership, Kentucky Power will not be financing the full  
19 cost of its receivables through the Liberty money pool; but even if Kentucky Power did,  
20 the financing costs would be lower than the fee associated with Kentucky Power's current  
21 sale of receivables.

22 **Q. IF FOUND TO BE MORE BENEFICIAL FOR CUSTOMERS, WOULD LIBERTY**  
23 **CONSIDER FACTORING ITS RECEIVABLES?**

1 A. Yes. Liberty would commit to factoring its receivables if found to be more beneficial to  
2 customers.

3 **III. INCREASED COSTS DUE TO A POSSIBLE DOWNGRADE IN KENTUCKY**  
4 **POWER'S CREDIT RATE IS SPECULATION.**

5  
6 **Q. IF A DOWNGRADE IN KENTUCKY POWER'S CREDIT RATING DID OCCUR,**  
7 **WOULD IT RESULT IN INCREASED COSTS OF KENTUCKY POWER'S**  
8 **LONG-TERM DEBT?**

9 A. Not necessarily. One cannot definitively say, based on credit rating alone. Rating is only  
10 one investor consideration. Investors look at many factors including the size of the issuer,  
11 whether the issuer is an established borrower, the form of issuance, liquidity, and current  
12 market conditions. Because Liberty provides financing to its affiliates in lieu of each utility  
13 seeking third party financing, Kentucky Power will benefit from a larger company issuing  
14 the debt, which is expected to result in more attractive pricing. Further, Liberty plans to  
15 issue in the U.S. 144a market, which has more liquidity and potentially better pricing than  
16 the traditional private placement market (which is how Kentucky Power has obtained  
17 financing in the past). The U.S. 144a market consists of only qualified institutional buyers  
18 ("QIB"s) who are sophisticated investors with at least \$100 million in assets. The U.S.  
19 144a market is a very competitive market amongst QIBs, and typically results in lower  
20 pricing relative to the traditional private placement market. For example, Liberty's last  
21 offering of \$600 million in September 2020, had approximately 85 investors, and was  
22 almost three times oversubscribed. This level of participation and the profile of investors  
23 that participated resulted in a low coupon of 2.05% on a 10-year offering.

1 **Q. IS MR. KOLLEN CORRECT IN CONCLUDING THAT A POSSIBLE**  
2 **DOWNGRADE OF KENTUCKY POWER WILL RESULT IN ANNUAL**  
3 **INCREASED FINANCING COSTS OF \$0.2 MILLION?**

4 A. Again, it appears that Mr. Kollen's conclusion is based on speculation, and it is not clear  
5 from where his numbers are derived. It is simply not possible to monetarily quantify an  
6 effect because there are too many unknown variables. For example, Mr. Kollen's conclusion  
7 is based on the false premise that Liberty will issue \$100 million in new long-term debt  
8 each year for 10 years. There is no basis for such an assumption, and Liberty has not  
9 announced any such plans. Further, Mr. Kollen bases his conclusion on an increase in 20  
10 basis points. As I previously stated, one cannot assume that the cost of long-term debt will  
11 increase beyond what Kentucky Power has previously incurred, and even if it did, there is  
12 no basis for concluding it would be 20 basis points. Mr. Kollen indicated in his response  
13 to the Joint Applicants' Data Request No. 17(a) that recent Moody's credit trends shows  
14 that the differential in the yield on utility long-term debt between A and Baa is 29 basis  
15 points. However, a full rating category is not a direct comparison of an expected one  
16 notch differential in rating. Based on my experience in issuing long-term debt, the cost  
17 depends on a number of factors, which include both market conditions and the term of the  
18 debt.

19 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

20 A. It does.