

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:


JOINT ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF)	
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY, NOLIN)	
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE)	
CORPORATION, AND EAST KENTUCKY)	
POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. FOR)	
APPROVAL OF AN AGREEMENT)	CASE NO. 2021-00462
MODIFYING EXISTING TERRITORIAL)	
BOUNDARY QUADRANT MAPS AND)	
ESTABLISHING THE RETAIL ELECTRIC)	
SUPPLIER FOR GLENDALE MEGASITE IN)	
HARDIN COUNTY, KENTUCKY)	

**NOLIN RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION’S
AND EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.’S
NOTICE OF FILING**

Come now Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation (“Nolin”) and East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (“EKPC”), by counsel, and hereby give notice of the filing of an errata to the Responses to the Kentucky Public Service Commission’s First Information Requests that were filed on January 12, 2022. Response No. 6 inadvertently omitted the name of the sponsoring witness. The witness’s name is provided on the attached document.

This 13th day of January 2022.

Respectfully submitted,



David S. Samford
L. Allyson Honaker
GOSS SAMFORD, PLLC
2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B325
Lexington, KY 40504
(859) 368-7740
david@gosssamfordlaw.com
allyson@gosssamfordlaw.com

*Counsel for Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative
Corporation and East Kentucky Power
Cooperative, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing electronic filing was transmitted to the Commission on January 13, 2022; that there are currently no parties that the Commission has excused from participation by electronic means in this proceeding. Pursuant to the Commission's July 22, 2021 Order in Case No. 2020-00085 no paper copies of this filing will be filed.



*Counsel for Nolin Rural Electric Cooperative
Corporation and East Kentucky Power
Cooperative, Inc.*

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.
PSC CASE NO. 2021-00462
FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION RESPONSE

COMMISSION STAFF'S FIRST INFORMATION REQUEST DATED 1/5/2021

REQUEST 6

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Greg Lee

Request 6. Refer to the Application, Exhibit 1, Joint Settlement Agreement, page 1. Describe the nature of the issue or disagreement regarding territorial boundaries that precipitated the creation of the Joint Settlement Agreement.

Response 6. Nolin RECC and KU do not agree as to whether the proposed Ford project constitutes a single “electric-consuming facility” (“ECF”) or multiple ECFs under Kentucky law. Nolin RECC and KU also both believe they have a right to serve Ford’s project under Kentucky law and the present location of the territorial boundaries. However, rather than enter into what would likely be prolonged and costly litigation, Nolin RECC and KU determined that a compromise would be in the best interests of both companies, the Hardin County community and Kentucky as a whole. As part of the agreement, EKPC agreed to remove its transmission facilities within the Glendale MegaSite. Moreover, Nolin RECC and EKPC understand that Ford had expressed its preference to be served by KU with whom it has an existing commercial relationship by virtue of its operations in Jefferson County and that state leaders believed a compromise should be achieved in order to facilitate this investment in Kentucky. Thus, Nolin RECC entered into discussions with KU to develop a contract pursuant to KRS 278.018(6) for the purpose of