COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:		
ELECTRONIC TARIFF FILING OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. TO)	CASE NO.
ESTABLISH ITS EARNINGS MECHANISM)	2021-00429
TARIFF	ĺ	

RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST TO EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.

DATED JANUARY 6, 2022

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:		
ELECTRONIC TARIFF FILING OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. TO ESTABLISH ITS EARNINGS MECHANISM TARIFF))	CASE NO. 2021-00429
CERTIFICATE		

STATE OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Ann Bridges, being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to the Public Service Comission Staffs Second Request for Information in the above-referenced case dated January 6, 2022, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 18th day of January 2022.

Gwyn M. Willoughby

an Bridges

KYNP38003

Commission Expires: 11-30-2025

Luy M. Willough Notary Public

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

T.,	41	B /	atter	of.
m	ıne	IVI	aller	OI:

ELECTRONIC TARIFF FILING OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. TO)	CASE NO.
ESTABLISH ITS EARNINGS MECHANISM		2021-00429
TARIFF)	

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF KENTUCKY)
)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Isaac Scott, being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to the Public Service Commission Staff's Second Request for Information contained in the above-referenced case dated January 6, 2022, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 18th day of January 2022.

Gwyn M. Willoughby

KYNP38003

Commission Expires: 11-30-2025

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_		3 6 44	•
In	tha	Matter	At 9
111	шс	MIALLEI	w.

ELECTRONIC TARIFF FILING OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. TO)	CASE NO.
ESTABLISH ITS EARNINGS MECHANISM)	2021-00429
TARIFF)	

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF KENTUCKY)
)
COUNTY OF CLARK)

Michelle Carpenter, being duly sworn, states that she has supervised the preparation of the responses of East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. to the Public Service Commission Staff's Second Request for Information in the above-referenced case dated January 6, 2022, and that the matters and things set forth therein are true and accurate to the best of her knowledge, information and belief, formed after reasonable inquiry.

Michelle K. Carpenter

Subscribed and sworn before me on this 18th day of January 2022.

Gwyn M. Willoughby

KYNP38003

Commission Expires: 11-30-2025

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2021-00429 RESPONSE TO SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST

COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST DATED 1/6/2022

REQUEST 1

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Ann Bridges

Refer to EKPC's response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information (Staff's First Request), Item 2a.

Request 1a. Provide the approximate level of credit would be necessary to adversely affect EKPC's cash flows.

Response 1a. After further consideration, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. ("EKPC") believes that an extreme, unforeseen event would have to occur in order for the earnings sharing mechanism to adversely affect EKPC's cash flows. In the unlikely chance that such an event would arise, EKPC would file a modification to its tariff at that time, requesting that the payments be made over more than one month.

Request 1b. Explain whether the possibility to extend the bill credits over a longer period should be memorialized in EKPC's tariff.

Response 1b. As indicated in the response to Request 1a, EKPC believes such a circumstance would be rare and does not believe that a tariff modification is necessary.

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2021-00429 RESPONSE TO SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUEST DATED 1/6/2022 REQUEST 2

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Isaac Scott

Refer to EKPC's response to Staff's First Request, Item 3. Provide this illustrative example formats in Excel spreadsheet format, with all formulas, columns, and rows unprotected and fully accessible.

Response 2. Please see the Excel spreadsheet PSC DR2 Response 2 Filing Illustration.xlsx.

0	PSC DR2 Response 2 Filing Illustration.xlsx		Format 1.0				
2							
3	·						
4	For the Calendar Year 2020						
5	For Illustration Purposes Only						
6							
7	Determination of Achieved Margin						
8	T. 10						
9	Total Operating Revenues Adjusted for Illustration	\$797,672,472					
10	(FERC Form 1, page 114, line 2; see Note 1 below)	# 000 400 450					
11	Total Operating Expenses Adjusted for Illustration	\$660,122,153					
12	(FERC Form 1, page 114, line 25)	¢427 EE0 240					
13 14	Net Utility Operating Income [Row 9 minus Row 11]	\$137,550,319					
15	Net Other Income & Deductions	\$12,746,133					
16	(FERC Form 1, page 117, line 56)	φ12,740,133					
17	Net Interest Charges	\$101,604,544					
18	(FERC Form 1, page 117, line 66)	φ101,004,044					
19	Extraordinary Items	\$0					
20	(FERC Form 1, page 117, line 73)		•				
21	Net Margins	\$48,691,908					
22	[Row 13 plus Row 15 minus Row 17 plus Row 19]		I				
23							
24	Determination of Achieved Times Interest Earned Ratio (TIER)						
25							
26	Net Margins	\$48,691,908					
27	Interest on Long Term Debt	\$100,921,595					
28	(FERC Form 1, page 117, line 58)						
29							
30	Achieved TIER	1.48	1				
31	[(Row 26 plus Row 27) / Row 27]						
32	Marsina Nacasany to Draduce 4 40 TIED						
33 34							
35	Interest on Long Term Debt	\$100,921,595					
36	interest on Long Term Debt	Ψ100,921,090					
37	Interest Multiplied by 1.40 TIER	\$141,290,233					
38	interest maniphed by 1.10 HER	Ψ111,200,200					
39	Less Interest on Long Term Debt	\$100,921,595					
40	ŭ		•				
41	Margins Necessary to Produce 1.40 TIER	\$40,368,638					
42							
43	<u>Determination of Excess Margins</u>						
44							
45	Net Margin	\$48,691,908					
46	[Row 21]						
47	Margins Necessary to Produce 1.40 TIER	\$40,368,638	•				
48	[Row 41]	¢0 000 0 7 0					
49	Excess Margins for Year	\$8,323,270	ı				
50 51	Note 1. Operating Powerupe are shown avaluative of the security	notrio and atazza zace	nuo.				
51 52	Note 1: Operating Revenues are shown exclusive of the contra ele		enue				
53	account entries associated with the Regulatory Liability re 254004 for any margins in excess of 1.40 TIER for the re						
54	207007 for any margins in excess of 1.40 TIER for the re	porting year.					
55	EKPC will file Format 1.0 each year with the Commission. In years who	ere there are Excess					
56	Margins, EKPC will also file Formats 2.0 and 2.1 to provide the allocate		argins				
57	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		5				

57

58

to the Owner-Members.

Format 2.0

Page 1 of 2

0 PSC DR2 Response 2 Filing Illustration.xlsx

2

23

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. RATE EM - ANNUAL FILING - ALLOCATION OF EXCESS MARGINS

For the Calendar Year 2020 For Illustration Purposes Only

\$8,323,270 6 Margins in Excess of 1.40 TIER

[From Format 1.0, Row 49]

8 Step 1: Allocation of Excess Margin to Rate Schedules

10	Rate	Percentage of	Allocation of	
11	Schedule	Total Revenues, All	Excess Margin	
12				
13	Rate E, Option 2	80.813%	\$6,726,284	
14	Rate B	6.931%	\$576,886	
15	Rate C	1.591%	\$132,423	
16	Rate G	3.084%	\$256,690	
17	Contract	5.093%	\$423,904	
18	TGP	1.109%	\$92,305	
19	Steam	1.380%	\$114,861	
20				
21	Totals	100.001%	\$8,323,353	
22				

26		Rate E, Option 2			Rate B			Rate C	
27 28	Member	Percentage of Rate Schedule	Allocation of \$6,726,284	Member	Percentage of Rate Schedule	Allocation of \$576,886	Member	Percentage of Rate Schedule	Allocation of \$132,423
29 30 B	Big Sandy	2.292%	\$154,166	Big Sandy	0.640%	\$3,692	Farmers	17.021%	\$22,540
	Blue Grass	11.335%	\$762,424	Blue Grass	17.236%	\$99,432	Fleming-Mason	33.317%	\$44,119
32 C	Clark	4.716%	\$317,212	Fleming-Mason	0.00858	\$4,950	Jackson	8.066%	\$10,68
33 C	Cumberland Valley	4.501%	\$302,750	Grayson	3.100%	\$17,883	South Kentucky	41.597%	\$55,084
34 F	armers	4.683%	\$314,992	Inter-County	5.873%	\$33,881	•		
35 F	leming-Mason	4.661%	\$313,512	Jackson	5.805%	\$33,488			
36 G	Grayson	2.402%	\$161,565	Nolin	1.429%	\$8,244			
37 Ir	nter-County	4.525%	\$304,364	Owen	24.179%	\$139,485			
38 J	lackson	8.950%	\$602,002	Salt River	14.504%	\$83,672			
39 L	icking Valley	2.644%	\$177,843	Shelby	16.348%	\$94,309			
40 N	Volin	6.481%	\$435,930	South Kentucky	7.065%	\$40,757			
41 C	Owen	11.242%	\$756,169	Taylor County	2.961%	\$17,082			
42 S	Salt River	11.217%	\$754,487						
43 S	Shelby	3.448%	\$231,922						
44 S	South Kentucky	12.154%	\$817,513						
45 T	aylor County	4.748%	\$319,364						
46	_		· <u> </u>						
47 To	otal Rate E, Opt 2	99.999%	\$6,726,215	Total Rate B	99.998%	\$576,875	Total Rate C	100.001%	\$132,42

49						
50		Rate G			TGP	
51 52	Member	Percentage of Rate Schedule	Allocation of \$256,690	Member	Percentage of Rate Schedule	Allocation of \$92,305
53 54	Blue Grass	19.492%	\$50,034	Fleming-Mason	66.021%	\$60,941
55 56	Fleming-Mason Inter-County	58.166% 0.058%	\$149,306 \$149	Taylor County	33.979%	\$31,364
57 58	Nolin	22.284%	\$57,201			
59 60	Total Rate G	100.000%	\$256,690	Total TGP	100.000%	\$92,305
61						

62 All Revenue Percentages come from calculations shown on Format 2.1. 63

0	PSC DR2 Response 2 Filing Illustration.xlsx					
1 2 3 4 5	Format EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. Page 2 RATE EM - ANNUAL FILING - ALLOCATION OF EXCESS MARGINS For the Calendar Year 2020 For Illustration Purposes Only					
6 7 8 9			argins by Owner-Mem	<u>ber</u>		
	Big Sandy	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Total	\$154,166 \$3,692 \$157,858	Jackson	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate C Total	\$602,002 \$33,488 \$10,681 \$646,171
14 15 16	Blue Grass	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate G	\$762,424 \$99,432 \$50,034	Licking Valley	Rate E, Option 2	\$177,843
17 18	Clark	Total Rate E, Option 2	\$911,890 \$317,212	Nolin	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate G	\$435,930 \$8,244 \$57,201
20 21	Cumberland Valley	Rate E, Option 2	\$302,750	Ower	Total	\$501,375
22 23 24 25 26	Farmers	Rate E, Option 2 Rate C Total	\$314,992 \$22,540 \$337,532	Owen	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Contract Total	\$756,169 \$139,485 \$423,904 \$1,319,558
27 28 29 30	Fleming-Mason	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate C Rate G	\$313,512 \$4,950 \$44,119 \$149,306	Salt River	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Total	\$754,487 \$83,672 \$838,159
31 32 33 34		TGP Steam Total	\$60,941 \$114,861 \$687,689	Shelby	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Total	\$231,922 \$94,309 \$326,231
35 36 37 38	Grayson	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Total	\$161,565 \$17,883 \$179,448	South Kentucky	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate C Total	\$817,513 \$40,757 \$55,084 \$913,354
39 40 41 42 43 44 45	Inter-County	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B Rate G Total	\$304,364 \$33,881 \$149 \$338,394	Taylor County	Rate E, Option 2 Rate B TGP Total	\$319,364 \$17,082 \$31,364 \$367,810
46 47 48 49 50			Total All Members Rounding Differences: Format 2.0, page 1 of 2, Row 6 Format 2.0, page 1 of 2, Row 21	\$8,323,274 (\$6 \$75	 4)	

0 PSC DR2 Response 2 Filing Illustration.xlsx

1 2 3

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. RATE EM - ANNUAL FILING - REVENUE DETAIL By Rate Schedule and Owner-Members For the Calendar Year 2020

Format 2.1 Page 1 of 2

For Illustration Purposes Only

6									
7		2020 EKPC Revenues							
8			Panel Production	Green Power	Direct Load	Generator	Total	Percentage of	Percentage of Total
9	Rate Schedule	Invoice Revenues	Credit	Billing	Control	Credit	Revenues	Rate Schedule	Revenues, All
10									
11	Rate E, Option 2								
12	Big Sandy	\$13,972,122	\$0	\$696	(\$17,438)	\$0	\$13,955,380	2.292%	1.852%
13	Blue Grass	\$69,240,238	(\$2,426)	\$5,414	(\$229,577)	\$0	\$69,013,649	11.335%	9.160%
14	Clark	\$28,732,254	(\$335)	\$849	(\$17,952)	\$0	\$28,714,816	4.716%	3.811%
15	Cumberland Valley	\$27,437,418	(\$81)	\$213	(\$30,186)	\$0	\$27,407,364	4.501%	3.638%
16	Farmers	\$28,568,674	(\$395)	\$348	(\$57,257)	\$0	\$28,511,370	4.683%	3.784%
17	Fleming-Mason	\$28,414,602	(\$1,199)	\$420	(\$31,142)	\$0	\$28,382,681	4.661%	3.767%
18	Grayson	\$14,643,871	(\$1,600)	\$1,241	(\$16,072)	\$0	\$14,627,440	2.402%	1.941%
19	Inter-County	\$27,603,582	(\$139)	\$11,178	(\$62,044)	\$0	\$27,552,577	4.525%	3.657%
20	Jackson	\$54,519,753	(\$575)	\$2,030	(\$24,854)	\$0	\$54,496,354	8.950%	7.233%
21	Licking Valley	\$16,107,860	(\$80)	\$600	(\$11,096)	\$0	\$16,097,284	2.644%	2.137%
22	Nolin	\$39,458,227	(\$313)	\$5,003	(\$3,336)	\$0	\$39,459,581	6.481%	5.237%
23	Owen	\$68,569,034	(\$3,098)	\$10,150	(\$128,122)	\$0	\$68,447,964	11.242%	9.085%
24	Salt River	\$68,912,075	(\$1,688)	\$1,784	(\$77,621)	(\$533,900)	\$68,300,650	11.217%	9.065%
25	Shelby	\$21,034,686	(\$754)	\$2,491	(\$39,448)	\$0	\$20,996,975	3.448%	2.787%
26	South Kentucky	\$74,122,183	(\$2,288)	\$4,158	(\$122,496)	\$0	\$74,001,557	12.154%	9.822%
27	Taylor County	\$28,926,797	\$0	\$0	(\$14,795)	\$0	\$28,912,002	4.748%	3.837%
28	, ,		•	•	. , , ,		<u> </u>		
29	Total Rate E, Option 2	\$610,263,376	(\$14,971)	\$46,575	(\$883,436)	(\$533,900)	\$608,877,644	99.999%	80.813%
30	•								
31	Rate B								
32	Big Sandy	\$334,295	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$334,295	0.640%	0.044%
33	Blue Grass	\$9,000,059	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,000,059	17.236%	1.195%
34	Fleming-Mason	\$448,077	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$448,077	0.858%	0.059%
35	Grayson	\$1,619,004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,619,004	3.100%	0.215%
36	Inter-County	\$3,066,881	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,066,881	5.873%	0.407%
37	Jackson	\$3,031,343	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,031,343	5.805%	0.402%
38	Nolin	\$746,254	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$746,254	1.429%	0.099%
39	Owen	\$12,626,004	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$12,626,004	24.179%	1.676%
40	Salt River	\$7,573,758	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,573,758	14.504%	1.005%
41	Shelby	\$8,536,733	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,536,733	16.348%	1.133%
42	South Kentucky	\$3,689,443	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,689,443	7.065%	0.490%
43	Taylor County	\$1,546,287	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,546,287	2.961%	0.205%
44	rayior county	Ψ1,010,201	Ψ	Ψ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ψ1,010,201	2.00170	0.20070
45	Total Rate B	\$52,218,138	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$52,218,138	99.998%	6.931%
46	. 5141. 1 141.5 2	Ψ02,210,100	Ψ*	-	Ψ.		402,210,100	00.00070	0.001.70
47	Rate C								
48	Farmers	\$2,039,683	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,039,683	17.021%	0.271%
49	Fleming-Mason	\$3,992,546	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$3,992,546	33.317%	0.530%
50	Jackson	\$966,547	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$966,547	8.066%	0.330%
51	South Kentucky	\$4,984,849	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$4,984,849	41.597%	0.126%
52	Journ Remucky	φ4,904,049	Φ0	Φ0	φυ	φυ	φ4,904,049	41.597%	0.002%
53	Total Rate C	\$11,983,625	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,983,625	100.001%	1.591%
	I Olai Nale U	φ11,800,025	φυ	φυ	φυ	φυ	φ11,503,023	100.001%	1.08170
54									

Format 2.1 Page 2 of 2

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. RATE EM - ANNUAL FILING - REVENUE DETAIL By Rate Schedule and Owner-Members For the Calendar Year 2020

55 56

57

58 59

60

104 105

For Illustration Purposes Only

61		2020 EKPC Revenues							
62			Panel Production	Green Power	Direct Load	Generator	Total	Percentage of	Percentage of Total
63	Rate Schedule	Invoice Revenues	Credit	Billing	Control	Credit	Revenues	Rate Schedule	Revenues, All
64									
	Rate G				••	•			
66	Blue Grass	\$4,528,675	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,528,675	19.492%	0.601%
67	Fleming-Mason	\$13,514,333	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,514,333	58.166%	1.794%
68	Inter-County	\$13,557	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,557	0.058%	0.002%
69	Nolin	\$5,177,527	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,177,527	22.284%	0.687%
70	T.4.1 D.4. O	#00.004.000	••	••	0.0	••	000 004 000	400.000%	0.0040/
	Total Rate G	\$23,234,092	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$23,234,092	100.000%	3.084%
72									
	Contract	400 000 470	**	40	**	••	400 000 470	100,000/	5 0000/
74	Owen	\$38,369,470	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$38,369,470	100.000%	5.093%
75									
	<u>TGP</u>								
77	Fleming-Mason	\$5,517,382	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,517,382	66.021%	0.732%
78	Taylor County	\$2,839,652	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,839,652	33.979%	0.377%
79									
	Total TGP	\$8,357,034	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,357,034	100.000%	1.109%
81									
	Steam								
83	Fleming-Mason	\$10,399,384	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,399,384	100.000%	1.380%
84									
	<u>Totals</u>								
86	Big Sandy	\$14,306,417	\$0	\$696	(\$17,438)	\$0	\$14,289,675	1.897%	1.897%
87	Blue Grass	\$82,768,972	(\$2,426)	\$5,414	(\$229,577)	\$0	\$82,542,383	10.955%	10.955%
88	Clark	\$28,732,254	(\$335)	\$849	(\$17,952)	\$0	\$28,714,816	3.811%	3.811%
89	Cumberland Valley	\$27,437,418	(\$81)	\$213	(\$30,186)	\$0	\$27,407,364	3.638%	3.638%
90	Farmers	\$30,608,357	(\$395)	\$348	(\$57,257)	\$0	\$30,551,053	4.055%	4.055%
91	Fleming-Mason	\$62,286,324	(\$1,199)	\$420	(\$31,142)	\$0	\$62,254,403	8.263%	8.263%
92	Grayson	\$16,262,875	(\$1,600)	\$1,241	(\$16,072)	\$0	\$16,246,444	2.156%	2.156%
93	Inter-County	\$30,684,020	(\$139)	\$11,178	(\$62,044)	\$0	\$30,633,015	4.066%	4.066%
94	Jackson	\$58,517,643	(\$575)	\$2,030	(\$24,854)	\$0	\$58,494,244	7.764%	7.764%
95	Licking Valley	\$16,107,860	(\$80)	\$600	(\$11,096)	\$0	\$16,097,284	2.137%	2.137%
96	Nolin	\$45,382,008	(\$313)	\$5,003	(\$3,336)	\$0	\$45,383,362	6.023%	6.023%
97	Owen	\$119,564,508	(\$3,098)	\$10,150	(\$128,122)	\$0	\$119,443,438	15.853%	15.853%
98	Salt River	\$76,485,833	(\$1,688)	\$1,784	(\$77,621)	(\$533,900)	\$75,874,408	10.070%	10.070%
99	Shelby	\$29,571,419	(\$754)	\$2,491	(\$39,448)	\$0	\$29,533,708	3.920%	3.920%
100	South Kentucky	\$82,796,475	(\$2,288)	\$4,158	(\$122,496)	\$0	\$82,675,849	10.973%	10.973%
101	Taylor County	\$33,312,736	\$0	\$0	(\$14,795)	\$0	\$33,297,941	4.419%	4.419%
102	Totala All Mambara	¢754 005 440	(644.074)	¢46 575	(0000 400)	(#E33.000)	¢752 420 207	100.000%	100.001%
103	Totals, All Members	\$754,825,119	(\$14,971)	\$46,575	(\$883,436)	(\$533,900)	\$753,439,387	100.000%	100.001%

EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC. PSC CASE NO. 2021-00429 RESPONSE TO SECOND INFORMATION REQUEST

COMMISSION STAFF'S INFORMATION REQUEST DATED 1/6/2022 REQUEST 3

RESPONSIBLE PARTY: Michelle Carpenter

Request 3. Refer to EKPC's response to Staff's First Request, Item 4.

Request 3a. Provide an estimated timeline of the events explained in this response, assuming that no additional review is necessary.

Response 3a. An estimated timeline of the events explained in the response to Staff's First Request, Item 4 is provided below:

On or Before	Event
February 15	Preliminary closing process completed
February 15	Calculation performed to determine if TIER is in excess of 1.4
February 15	Adjustment made for TIER in excess of 1.4 and books are closed, subject
	to audit
March 31	Determine margin and TIER impact of required audit adjustments (if any)
March 31	Record audit adjustments and change to excess margin adjustment (if any)
March 31	Independent Auditor's Report issued
April 12	Board approves independent auditor's report
April 30	EKPC completes and files excess margin allocation with the Commission
June 6	Credit applied to Owner-Members' May billing

Request 3b. Provide an estimated timeline of the events explained in this response, assuming that additional review is necessary and is completed within 60 days.

Response 3b. The estimated timeline of events if the Commission's review is completed within 60 days of the date of filing would mirror the timeline noted in Response 3a except for the application of the billing credit. If the review results in no modification to the allocation as filed, the bill credit would be applied to the Owner-Members' June billing, which is typically completed on or before July 7. If modifications to the allocation are required, based on the Commission's review, the bill credit would be applied to the Owner-Members' July billing, which is typically completed on or before August 6.

Request 3c. Provide the date by which EKPC closes its books.

Response 3c. As indicated in the response to Request 3a, EKPC anticipates closing its books on or before February 15.