#### Request No. 1:

Refer to Blue Moon Energy's response to Siting Board Staff's First Request for Information (Response to Staff's First Request), Item 16a.

a. Confirm that the nonparticipating residences shown in the plot are the only residences within 500 feet that will experience construction activity sound levels in excess of the Environmental Protection Agency's recommended 55 dBA daytime sound level.

b. If not, provide a listing of those residences that will experience construction activity sound levels in excess of 55 dBA and indicate those locations on the site plan map.

c. For any indicated residences in part b., please explain what sound dampening techniques Blue Moon Energy will employ during the pile driving activity as a mitigation measure.

#### Response No. 1:

a. Please refer to the attached map, which shows estimated residences within 1,000 ft and 55dBA contour based upon pile driving noise analysis.

b. See attached map.

c. Sound levels at 55dBA will be temporary and dependent upon pile driving operations near the specific residences. These noise levels will be during daylight hours and are expected to last no more than 1 week at specific receptors. The map labeled Exhibit C is a worst-case scenario and does not take into account natural factors that may mitigate noise such as vegetation/tree lines, wind, humidity, etc. which all affect noise.

#### Responding Witness: Chad Martin



GIS Analyst: chad.martin

### Request No. 2:

Refer to Response to Staff's First Request, Item 40, and provide an estimated portion of the anticipated \$0.9 million in excise taxes, if any, that is expected to be paid to the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

Response No. 2:

Excise taxes paid to the Commonwealth of Kentucky are estimated to be approximately \$0.78 million (based on IMPLAN calculations).

Responding Witness: Jayce Walker

#### Request No. 3:

Refer to the overall site plan and parcel map submitted as Exhibit A to the amended application, specifically parcel # 128-0000-013-00-000. The plan shows solar panels; however, this section of solar panels is separated from other sections by a delineated wetland of FEMA flood zone. Identify and explain any risk or danger of interconnection and all mitigating procedures for such risk or danger.

#### Response No. 3:

There is minimal risk because this part of the project will be connected through either an overhead or underground MV collection line which will be designed outside the boundaries of the FEMA flood zone.

#### Request No. 4:

Refer to the amended application, Exhibit F, Hessler Associates, Inc.'s Sound Emissions Assessment dated October 11, 2021, and Plots 1 and 2 maps attached with its report. At the end of Hedges Lane, there is a nonparticipating residence; however, it does not appear on either map. In addition, refer to the amended application, Exhibit F, Cardno Inc.'s Construction Noise Assessment dated October 2021, 2-1: noise receptors map. The same nonparticipating residence does not appear on that illustrative map either. Provide information on this residence regarding the expected noise effect, noise contours during construction and operation, the application of any noise ordinance, and mitigating procedure planned by Blue Moon Energy regarding the project's noise impact during and after the construction phase.

#### Response No. 4:

This residence is a rental property, owned by a participating landowner. We understand from the participating landowner that the house will not be rented during the construction of this project.

Request No. 5:

Refer to the amended application, Exhibit A, Schools and Local Parks within two miles of PV Panels (2-Mile Radius Map). Indicate the distances (in feet) from the project boundary to each residential neighborhood, park, hospital, church, and airport as provided in KRS 278.706(2)(b).

Response No. 5:

Please see updated map.

Responding Witness: Chad Martin



Date Created: 5/9/2022 Date Revised: 5/9/2022 File Path: S:\PROJECTS\RecurrentEnergy\E320201803 – Blue Moon CUP\_KSB Applications\GIS\Exhibit A Parks\_Schools.mxd GIS Analyst: samuel.waltman

Data Source: Basemap: Bing Maps Aerial (2020)

### Request No. 6:

Disclose whether any environmental assessment study has been completed. If so, provide a copy.

Response No. 6:

A Natural Resources report and Phase I ESA have been completed. See attached.

Responding Witness: Chad Martin, Kathryn Garcia

# Natural Resource Report

Blue Moon Solar Project Blue Moon Energy LLC

Harrison County, Kentucky





### **Document Information**

Prepared for	Blue Moon Energy LLC & Recurrent Energy
Project Name	Blue Moon Solar Project
Project Number	E320201803
Project Manager	Chad Martin
Date	July 9, 2021

Prepared for:



Recurrent Energy 3000 E Cesar Chavez ST, STE. 400 Austin, TX 78702

Prepared by:



Cardno 76 San Marcos Street Austin, Texas 78702 Tel 512 605 2640 Toll-free 800 368 7511 www.cardno.com

# Table of Contents

1	Executive Summary1-1						
2	Introduction2-3						
3	Site L	3-1					
	3.1	Land Use					
	3.2	Soil Series	3-1				
4	Asse	ssment Methodology	4-1				
	4.1	WOUS Delineation					
		Hydrophytic Vegetation					
		Wetland Hydrology					
		Hydric Soils					
	4.2	Mapping					
	4.3	Photographs	4-2				
5	Resu	Its of Findings	5-1				
	5.1	Threatened and Endangered Species Review	5-1				
	5.2	Wetlands	5-3				
		Vegetation Community Types	5-4				
		Hydrology	5-4				
		Soils	5-4				
	5.3	Waterbodies	5-4				
	5.4	Jurisdictional Summary	5-5				
	5.5	Sinkholes	5-6				
6	Conc	lusion and Recommendations	6-1				
7	Refer	ences					

# Figures

Figure 2-1	Project Area Overview	2-4
Figure 3-1a	Soils within the Project Area	3-4
Figure 3-1b	Soils within the Project Area	3-5

# Tables

Table 3-1	Characteristics of Soil Mapping Units within the Project Area	3-2
Table 4-1	Plant Indicator Status Categories	4-2
Table 5-1	Threatened and Endangered Species	5-1
Table 5-2	Delineated Wetlands	5-3
Table 5-3	Delineated Streams	5-4

# Appendices

- Appendix A Wetland Determination and Stream Characterization Datasheets
- Appendix B Photographic Log
- Appendix C Project Mapping
- Appendix D USWFS IPaC

# Acronyms

FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
GIS	Geographic information systems
IPaC	Information for Planning and Consultation
KDFWR	Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources
KGS	Kentucky Geological Survey
KHC	Kentucky Heritage Council
KSNCP	Kentucky State Natural Preserve Commission
NHD	National Hydrographic Dataset
NOI	Notice of National Hydrography Intent
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NTCHS	National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils
NWP	Nation Wide Permit
NWI	National Wetland Inventory
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Office
SWPPP	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan
T&E	Threatened and Endangered
TNW	Traditionally Navigable Water
U.S.	United States
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture
USGS	U.S. Geologic Survey
USEPA	Environmental Protection Agency
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
WOUS	Waters of the U.S.

### 1 Executive Summary

Cardno was contracted by Blue Moon Energy LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Recurrent Energy, LLC, to conduct a natural resources assessment on multiple properties consisting of approximately 1,982 acres, referenced as the Blue Moon Solar Project (Project). The Project consists of multiple parcels in Harrison County, Kentucky that were surveyed for wetland and waterbodies as well as other environmental concerns by Cardno from June 21-25, 2021. The tasks performed as part of this assessment included a review of threatened and endangered (T&E) species and a delineation of potential waters of the United States (WOUS). The methodology, results, and recommendations of the review as it pertains to the Project are contained within and summarized below.

Cardno conducted a threatened and endangered species review during desktop environmental assessments of the Project area. There are three mammal species, eight freshwater mussel species, and two flowering plant species listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) and Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR) as having the potential to occur within or be affected by the Project. No designated critical habitat for listed species exists within the Project area. Cardno inspected all habitats within the Project area for the presence of suitable habitat for listed species. Cardno scientists investigated the area for bat habitat as defined in USFWS 2018 Range-wide Indiana Bat Summer Survey Guidelines (also applicable to Northern Long Eared Bat) during field site assessments. One potential roosting tree (trees with loose bark or hollows) was identified. Although the NLEB is listed to occur within Harrison County, there are no USFWS documented hibernaculum in the Project site. Due to the undisturbed small patches of forested riparian areas and the distance to current summer and winter grounds, it is unlikely that NLEB would be impacted by this Project. Though Cardno scientists did not conduct 'in water' surveys, no mussel relics were identified along their stream banks. Two perennial streams flow through portions of the Project area and may contain suitable habitat for listed freshwater mussel species; however, impacts to the creeks are not anticipated as a result of the Project.

The Project area could contain habitat for the federally listed endangered running buffalo clover and Short's goldenrod. Wetland surveys were completed outside of optimum species survey window (August) and therefore presence/absence surveys for potential species were not completed.

Cardno scientists identified **14** ephemeral drainages, **six** intermittent streams, **two** perennial streams, and **27** wetlands, including **17** ponds within the Project area. From the field investigation, it was determined that **seven** of the identified streams, as well as **one** of the identified wetlands may possess a hydrological connection to South Fork Licking River, a traditionally navigable water (TNW). It is Cardno's opinion that these delineated streams and associated wetlands may likely be classified as jurisdictional under USACE guidance. The ephemeral streams did exhibit flow during field investigations due to recent rain events. **Twenty-six** of the identified wetlands, including the excavated ponds appeared to be isolated in nature. It is Cardno's opinion that these drainages/streams and wetlands lack adequate connectivity to a TNW, and would most likely be classified as non-jurisdictional under USACE guidance. Coordination with the USACE Louisville District Office to obtain an approved jurisdictional determination for the streams and wetlands identified onsite is recommended if Project infrastructure will impact these features. There are no regulations or permits that regulate isolated wetlands or non-jurisdictional streams for the state of Kentucky.

According to the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL), approximately 21.31 acres of the Project area occur within the 100-Year Floodplain of South Indian Creek. Additional permitting from the Harrison Floodplain Administrator may be required if construction will take place in these areas.

If any streams and/or wetlands are deemed 'jurisdictional' by the USACE, the proposed Project could be completed under a Nationwide Permit (NWP) 51, 14, and/or 57. Additionally, the Project would need to develop a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) and provide Notice of Intent (NOI) prior to Project construction. As stated in the text of the NWPs, the discharge of dredged or fill material into wetlands and non-tidal WOUS must not cause the loss of greater than ½-acre of wetlands and non-tidal WOUS. If impacts from the construction of the energy generation facility and associated infrastructure including roads, parking lots, stormwater management facilities, and pipelines permanently impact less than ½-acre then the Project may proceed under a NWP. Permanent impacts which exceed the ½-acre threshold for NWPs will require an Individual Permit.

Cardno performed a search for potential sinkhole areas utilizing Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data from the Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS). **One** sinkhole area comprising 0.3 acres was identified within the Project area using this dataset, an additional potential sinkhole was found by Cardno staff during the onsite field investigation.

# 2 Introduction

Cardno was contracted by Blue Moon Energy LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Recurrent Energy, LLC, to perform a natural resource assessment of potential habitat for federally listed T&E species and WOUS that may exist within the Project area in Harrison County, Kentucky (**Figure 2-1**). The Project area consists of approximately 1,982 acres of land that was assessed by Cardno June 21-25, 2021. This report contains a delineation of all resources that potentially fall under the jurisdiction of the USACE.

Cardno conducted desktop investigations to:

> Identify potential environmental permits that may be required to construct the Project; and

This report contains a delineation of all resources that potentially fall under the jurisdiction of the USACE.

Cardno scientists conducted field delineations within the entire Project area on June 21-25, 2021 to:

- > Delineate the approximate boundaries of potential jurisdictional wetlands and waterbody ordinary high water marks (OHWM) within the Project; and
- > Document general site conditions; and
- > Evaluate the potential for federally listed species habitat.

The results of the desktop and onsite investigations are provided in this report.



Date Created: 7/8/2021 Date Revised: 7/8/2021 File Path: S:\PROJECTS\RecurrentEnergy\E320201803 – Blue Moon CUP\_KSB Applications\GIS\MXD\2-1 Project ( GIS Analyst: corbin.hoffmann

### 3 Site Location

The Project is located east of the city of Cynthiana in Harrison County, Kentucky. According to the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Level III and IV Ecoregions of Kentucky map accessed July 2021, within the Outer Bluegrass (71d) and Hills of the Bluegrass (71k) ecoregions.

The Outer Bluegrass ecoregion consist of sinkholes, springs, entrenched rivers, and intermittent and perennial streams. At the time of settlement, open savanna woodlands were found on most uplands. On less fertile, more acidic soils derived from Silurian dolomite, white oak stands occurred and had barren openings. Cane grew along streams and was especially common in the east. Today, pastureland and cropland are widespread and dissected areas are wooded (Kentucky 2013, Omernik 1987, 2004).

The Hills of the Bluegrass ecoregion consists of forested hills on steep terrain underlain by Upper Ordovician calcareous shale, siltstone, and limestone. Upland soils are fairly high in phosphorus, potassium, and lime but are not as naturally fertile as the Outer Bluegrass ecoregion. It supports young, mixed forests rich in white oak, hickory, and cedar. It has higher drainage density, and is prone to erosion. As a result, less than ten percent of the ecoregion is suited to row crop agriculture and the rest is wooded, pastureland, or hayland (Kentucky 2013, Omernik 1987, 2004).

The Project is located within the Lexington Limestone formation. This formation is largely composed of limestone, however shale is also usually present in varying amounts, and is dominant in some sub-units of the formation.

#### 3.1 Land Use

The land located within and in proximity to the Project is rural, mostly of agricultural use, with scattered residential development. The Project is located on private land, with no public parks, wildlife areas, or critical habitat within or adjacent to the Project area.

#### 3.2 Soil Series

Soils within the Project area can be generally described as well drained soils that occur on broad, nearly level land to gently sloping floodplains, uplands, interfluves, and ridges. According to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) website accessed July 2021 (Soil Survey Staff, 2021), the Project is located within twenty-four soil map units, which are listed and described below (**Table 3-1**). None of the map units within the Project area meets the hydric soils criteria as described by the National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils (NTCHS) (**Figure 3-1**).

It should be noted that caution must be used when comparing the list of hydric components to soil survey maps. Many of the soils on the list have ranges in water table depths that allow the soil component to range from hydric to non-hydric depending on the location of the soil within the landscape as described in the map unit. Lists of hydric soils along with soil survey maps are good off-site ancillary tools to assist in wetland determinations, but they are not a substitute for observations made during onsite investigations.

Table 3-1 Characteristics of Soil Mapping Units within the Project Area								
Soil Name	Soil Symbol	Drainage Class	Permeability	Surface Runoff	Meets Hydric Criteria	% of Project Area		
Ashton silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	AsB	Well drained	High	Low	No	3.3		
Brashear silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	BrB	Well drained	High	Medium	No	2.2		
Cynthiana very stony silty clay loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	ChD2	Well drained	High	High	No	0.9		
Cynthiana very stony silty clay loam, 20 to 30 percent slopes	ChE2	Well drained	Moderately High	High	No	0.3		
Cynthiana very stony clay, 12 to 20 percent slopes, severely eroded	CnD3	Well drained	High	High	No	0.5		
Egam silt loam	Eg	Well drained	Moderately High	Low	No	0.1		
Etowah silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	EtB	Well drained	Moderately High	Low	No	0.1		
Fairmount and Cynthiana extremely rocky soils, 20 to 30 percent slopes	FcE	Well drained	Moderately High	Very High	No	0.1		
Faywood silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	FwB	Well drained	High	High	No	6.6		
Faywood silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	FwC	Well drained	Very High	Medium	No	8.3		
Faywood silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	FyB2	Well drained	High	High	No	1.1		
Faywood silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	FyC2	Well drained	Very High	Very High	No	18.4		
Faywood silty clay loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	FyD2	Well drained	Very High	Very High	No	8.4		

Table 3-1 Characteristics of Soil Mapping Units within the Project Area								
Soil Name	Soil Symbol	Drainage Class	Permeability	Surface Runoff	Meets Hydric Criteria	% of Project Area		
Heitt silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes	HeB	Well drained	Moderately High	Medium	No	0.2		
Heitt silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	HsB2	Well drained	Moderately High	Medium	No	0.1		
Heitt silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	HsC2	Well drained	High	High	No	1.6		
Huntington silt loam, 0 to 4 percent slopes	HuA	Well drained	High	Negligibl e	No	4.1		
Lindside silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded	Ld	Moderately well drained	Moderately High	Low	No	0.6		
McAfee silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	MsC2	Well drained	Very High	High	No	5.3		
McAfee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes, eroded	MsD2	Well drained	Very High	High	No	4.0		
Bluegrass-Maury silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes	uBlmB	Well drained	High	Low	No	2.8		
Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes	uLfC	Well drained	Very High	Medium	No	10.2		
Lowell-Sandview silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes	uLsoB	Well drained	Very High	Low	No	18.4		
Maury-Bluegrass silt loams, 6 to 12 percent slopes	uMImC	Well drained	High	Medium	No	1.3		
Water	W	-	-	-	-	1.0		
Source: Soil Survey Staff, 2021								



Date Created: 7/8/2021 Date Revised: 7/8/2021 File Path: S:\PROJECTS\RecurrentEnergy\E320201803 - Blue Moon CUP\_KSB Applications\GIS\MXD\3-1 Soils.mxd

GIS Analyst: corbin.hoffmann



Date Created: 7/8/2021 Date Revised: 7/8/2021 File Path: S:\PROJECTS\RecurrentEnergy\E320201803 – Blue Moon CUP\_KSB Applications\GIS\MXD\3-1 Soils.mxd GIS Analyst: corbin.hoffmann

Data Source: Basemap: Bing Maps Aerial (2020)

### 4 Assessment Methodology

Cardno conducted desktop reviews of the Project area utilizing local and federal GIS data to identify potential habitat for listed species, wetlands, hydric soils, and floodplains that could affect the Project development process.

Cardno also performed a review of potential T&E species individuals and their habitat that may be found at or near the Project area. Information from a current USFW IPaC report was reviewed, as well as the KDFWR which maintains a database of rare species and natural communities. All species listings were reviewed for compatible habitat within or near the Project boundaries. Results of the threatened and endangered species review are provided in **Section 5.1**.

### 4.1 WOUS Delineation

The delineation of WOUS, including wetlands was conducted during a site visit to the Project from June 21-25, 2021. Cardno scientists performed all wetland delineation surveys in accordance with the USACE Wetland Delineation Manual (USACE Manual; Environmental Laboratory 1987) in conjunction with the Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Regional Supplement to the USACE Delineation Manual (USACE 2010). The results of the delineation are provided in **Sections 5.2 and 5.3**.

Wetlands are collectively defined by the USACE (Environmental Laboratory 1987) and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA; Federal Register 1980) as those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or groundwater at a frequency or duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions. An area is a wetland if it meets the wetland hydrology, hydrophytic vegetation, and hydric soil criteria established in the USACE Manual.

Cardno scientists collected all pertinent field data information on USACE Eastern Mountains and Piedmont wetland forms (**Appendix A**).

#### Hydrophytic Vegetation

Hydrophytic vegetation is defined as "the sum total of macrophytic plant life that occurs in areas where the frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation produce permanently or periodically saturated soils of sufficient duration to exert a controlling influence on the plant species present" (Environmental Laboratory 1987). Dominant vegetation was identified and categorized in accordance with the regional indicator status in the national list of plant species that occur in wetlands (Lichvar et. al. 2016). The indicator status of a plant species is expressed in terms of the estimated probability of that species to occur in wetland conditions within a given region. **Table 4-1** lists the plant indicator status categories. A vegetative community would be determined to be hydrophytic if more than 50 percent of the dominant species present were FAC, FACW, or OBL.

#### Wetland Hydrology

Wetland hydrology includes all hydrologic characteristics of areas that are periodically inundated or have soils saturated to the surface at some time during the growing season. Areas with evident characteristics of wetland hydrology are those where the presence of water has an overriding influence on characteristics of vegetation and soils due to anaerobic and reducing conditions, respectively (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

Table 4-1 Plant Indicator Status Categories							
Category	Indicator	Frequency of Occurrence in Wetlands (percent)					
Obligate Wetland Plants	OBL	Plants that occur almost always (estimated probability >99%) in wetlands under natural conditions, but which may also occur rarely (estimated probability <1%) in non-wetlands. Examples: <i>Carya aquatica, Persicarian punctata</i> .					
Facultative Wetland Plants	FACW	Plants that occur usually (estimated probability 67-99%) in wetlands, but also occurring in both wetlands and non-wetlands. Examples: <i>Spartina patens; Panicum dichotomiflrum</i> .					
Facultative Plants	FAC	Plants with a similar likelihood (estimated probability of 33-67%) of occurring in both wetlands and non-wetlands. Examples: <i>Stenotaphrum secundatum; Rumex cripsus</i> .					
Facultative Upland Plants	FACU	Plants that occur sometimes (estimated probability 1-33%) in wetlands, but occur more often (estimated probability 67-99%) in non-wetlands. Examples: <i>Cirsium vulgare; Rubus trivialis</i> .					
Obligate Upland Plants	UPL	Plants that occur rarely (estimated probability <1%) in wetlands, but almost always (>99% estimated probability) in non-wetlands. Examples: <i>Geranium carolinianum.</i>					

#### Hydric Soils

Hydric soils are defined as soils that are saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper stratum. In general, hydric soils are flooded, ponded, or saturated for a week or more during the growing season when soil temperatures are above 32 degrees Fahrenheit. The anaerobic conditions created by repeated or prolonged saturation or flooding result in permanent changes in soil color and chemistry, and are used to differentiate hydric from non-hydric soils (Environmental Laboratory 1987).

At each recorded data point, a pit up to 20-inches deep was excavated for evaluation. Soils were surveyed for horizon profile, matrix, value, chroma, texture, and concretions.

Hydric soils were determined to be present if one primary hydric soil indicator was present. Background soils information of the Project area was obtained from the USDA NRCS Web Soil Survey.

#### 4.2 Mapping

All wetlands and other water features were recorded using a sub-meter Global Positioning System (GPS) device. The GPS was programmed to record points with a minimum of four satellites and a Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP) value no greater than 6.0. Water features were delineated by collecting GPS points along the perimeter of the wetland or ordinary high water mark with suitable frequency to represent the feature within the Project area.

#### 4.3 Photographs

Photographs are the visual documentation of site conditions as they existed during the field survey. Representative photos were taken at wetland and upland areas. For all other features, a minimum of one photo was taken, unless the area was large and required additional representation. The photographic log is provided in **Appendix B**.

### 5 Results of Findings

#### 5.1 Threatened and Endangered Species Review

Cardno conducted a desktop analysis utilizing information from the USFWS IPaC and the KDFWR to obtain information on state and federally-listed species that have the potential to occur within or be affected by the Project.

In total, there are eleven federally listed endangered species and two federally listed threatened species with the potential to occur within or be affected by the Project (USFWS 2020, KDFWR 2013). No critical habitat was identified within the Project area. Each species and its habitat requirements are described in **Table 5-1**.

Table 5-1 Threatened and Endangered Species								
Group	Common Name	Scientific Name	Likelihood of Occurrence	Habitat	Federal Status	State Status		
Flowering Plants	Running Buffalo Clover	Trifolium stoloniferum	Moderate	This species occurs in disturbed soils, partially shaded woodlots, mowed areas (lawns, parks, cemeteries), and along streams and trails.	Endangered	Threatened		
	Short's Goldenrod	Solidago shortii	Moderate	This species occurs in moist, gravelly, well-drained soils in full sun to part shade. Best performance is in full sun.	Endangered	Endangered		
	Clubshell	Pleurobema clava	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered		
	Fanshell	Cyprogenia stegaria	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered		
Freshwater	Northern Riffleshell	Epioblasma torulosa rangiana	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered		
Mussels	Pink Mucket (pearlymussel)	Lampsilis abrupta	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered		
	Purple Cat's Paw	Epioblasma obliquata	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered		
	Rabbitsfoot	Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Threatened	Threatened		

	Rough Pigtoe	Pleurobema plenum	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered
	Sheepnose Mussel	Plethobasus cyphyus	None	This species is known to occur within the South Fork Licking River	Endangered	Endangered
Mammals	Indiana Bat	Myotis sodalis	Low	Summer habitat includes small to medium river and stream corridors with well- developed riparian woods; woodlots within 1 to 3 miles of small to medium rivers and streams; and upland forests	Endangered	Endangered
	Northern Long- eared bat	Myotis septentrionalis	Low	Northern long-eared bats roost singly or in colonies underneath bark, in cavities or in crevices of both live trees and snags (dead trees). Males and non-reproductive females may also roost in cooler places, like caves and mines. Northern long-eared bats seem to be flexible in selecting roosts, choosing roost trees based on suitability to retain bark or provide cavities or crevices. This bat has also been found rarely roosting in structures, like barns and sheds.	Threatened	Endangered
	Gray Bat	Myotis grisescens	None	With rare exceptions, gray bats live in caves year-round. During the winter gray bats hibernate in deep, vertical caves. In the summer, they roost in caves which are scattered along rivers. These caves are in limestone karst areas of the southeastern United States. They do not use houses or barns.	Endangered	Threatened

Cardno inspected all habitats within the Project area for the presence of suitable habitat for listed species. Cardno investigated the area for bat habitat as defined in USFWS 2018 Range-wide Indiana Bat Summer Survey Guidelines (also applicable to Northern Long-eared Bat) during field site assessments. One potential roosting tree (trees with loose bark or hollows) was identified (Appendix C); additionally, some scattered large diameter trees with crevices do exist sporadically in the small patches of forest within the facility footprint. Although the NLEB is listed to occur within Harrison County, there are no USFWS documented hibernaculum or roosting trees in the Project site USGS quadrangle (USFWS, 2017). Due to the small patches of forested riparian areas (less than 10-acres) and potential tree clearing that would only occur in the non-roosting season (fall), the Project is not likely to adversely affect the NLEB or Indiana bat.

Cardno scientists did not conduct 'in water' survey; however, no mussel relics were identified along the stream banks. Two perennial streams flow through the Project area and may contain suitable habitat for listed freshwater mussel species; however, impacts to the creeks are not anticipated as a result of the Project.

The Project area could contain habitat for the federally listed endangered running buffalo clover and Short's goldenrod. Presence/absence surveys during the listed species flowering seasons: running buffalo clover (April-August) and Short's goldenrod (August-October) may be required to determine potential impacts to these species.

#### 5.2 Wetlands

Cardno scientists investigated the entire Project for wetlands that exhibited the three USACE criteria (hydrophytic vegetation, wetland hydrology, and hydric soils). Cardno's onsite investigations identified **27** wetlands (**Table 5-2**) totaling **11.11** acres. Unconsolidated bottom, herbaceous, and forested wetlands were observed within the Project.

Table 5-2 Delineated Wetlands			
Wetland ID	Туре	Acreage	Potentially Jurisdictional
WET-1	PUB(x)	0.12	No
WET-2	PUB(x)	0.91	No
WET-3	PFO	0.07	No
WET-4	PUB(x)	0.47	No
WET-5	PFO	0.16	No
WET-6	PUB(x)	1.27	No
WET-7	PUB(x)	0.77	No
WET-8	PUB(x)	0.17	No
WET-9	PEM	0.38	No
WET-10	PUB(x)	1.14	No
WET-11	PEM	0.13	No
WET-12	PFO	0.03	No
WET-13	PUB(x)	0.99	No
WET-14	PUB(x)	0.40	No
WET-15	PFO	0.30	No
WET-16	PUB(x)	0.21	No
WET-17	PUB(x)	1.77	No
WET-18	PUB(x)	0.42	No
WET-19	PUB(x)	0.55	No
WET-20	PUB(x)	0.11	No
WET-21	PFO	0.15	Yes
WET-22	PUB(x)	0.16	No

Table 5-2 Delineated Wetlands			
Wetland ID	Туре	Acreage	Potentially Jurisdictional
WET-23	PFO	0.10	No
WET-24	PUB(x)	0.13	No
WET-25	PUB(x)	0.05	No
WET-26	PFO	0.05	No
WET-27	PFO	0.10	No
Total		11.11	
Total Non-jurisdictional		10.96	
Total Jurisdictional		0.15	

#### Vegetation Community Types

Cardno scientists identified two types of wetland vegetative communities within the Project area: herbaceous wetland and forested wetland. Community identification was based on soils, hydrology, and an emphasis on dominant vegetation. **Appendix A** provides datasheets which include survey point-specific vegetative community species data.

#### <u>Hydrology</u>

The entire Project area is relatively well drained by overland flow, drainages, and streams which lead to runs and creeks that flow offsite. Many ag-field drainages were identified by a review of aerial imagery. Cardno scientists inspected these drainages at the time of the onsite investigation, and determined them to be ephemeral in nature.

#### <u>Soils</u>

Soils were delineated with the X-Rite Munsell M50215B Soil Book of Color, and exhibited a hue, lightness, and chroma ranging from 5 YR (3/3) to 7.5 YR (4/6) throughout the Project area. The datasheets presented in **Appendix A** provide soils color data for each soil pit.

#### 5.3 Waterbodies

**Fourteen** ephemeral drainages, **six** intermittent streams, **two** perennial streams, and **17** ponded areas (recorded as PUB(x) wetlands above) were identified to be located within the Project boundaries (**Table 5-3**) (**Appendix C**).

Table 5-3 Delineated Streams						
Stream ID	Flow Type	Stream Length (ft)	Water Depth (In.)	Width at Bankfull (ft)	Substrate	Potentially Jurisdictional (USACE)
S-1	Ephemeral	842.83	2	2	Silt/Loam	No
S-2	Intermittent	2,251.53	4	6	Silt/Loam	Yes
S-3	Ephemeral	309.42	2	3	Silt/Loam	No

S-4	Ephemeral	580.02	4	3	Silt/Loam	No
S-5	Ephemeral	981.57	2	2	Silt/Loam	No
S-6 A	Ephemeral	1,515.41	3	3	Silt/Loam	No
S-6 B	Intermittent	596.45	6	8	Silt/Loam	Yes
S-7	Ephemeral	1,503.59	3	3	Silt/Loam	No
S-8	Ephemeral	1,123.66	2	3	Silt/Loam	No
S-9 (Sellers Run)	Intermittent	1,476.22	6	12	Rock/Sand	No
S-10 A	Ephemeral	1,113.66	3	3	Silt/Loam	No
S-10 B	Intermittent	414.40	4	6	Loam	Yes
S-11	Ephemeral	428.39	2	2	Silt/Loam	No
S-12 (Flat Run)	Ephemeral	533.54	2	2	Silt/Loam	No
S-13	Ephemeral	1,677.15	6	4	Rock/Loam	No
S-14 A	Ephemeral	560.04	2	2	Rock/Loam	No
S-14 B	Intermittent	642.74	4	7	Silt/Loam	Yes
S-15	Ephemeral	1,417.33	0	2	Rock	No
S-16	Intermittent	2,983.18	12	6	Silt/Rock	Yes
S-17	Perennial	982.84	18	10-15	Silt/Rock	Yes
S-18	Ephemeral	521.37	1	2	Silt/Loam	No
S-19 (Indian Creek)	Perennial	452.91	24	15-20	Silt/Rock	Yes
Total		22,908.34				
Total Non- jurisdictional		14,584.29				
Total Jurisdictional		8,324.05				

### 5.4 Jurisdictional Summary

Cardno scientists identified **14** ephemeral drainages, **six** intermittent streams, **two** perennial streams, and **27** wetlands, including **17** ponds within the Project area. From the field investigation, it was determined that **seven** of the identified streams, as well as **one** of the identified wetlands (Wet-21) may possess a hydrological connection to South Fork Licking River, a TNW. It is Cardno's opinion that these delineated streams and associated wetland may likely be classified as jurisdictional under USACE guidance. The ephemeral streams did exhibit flow during field investigations due to recent rain events. **Twenty-six** of the

identified wetlands, including the excavated ponds, appeared to be isolated in nature. It is Cardno's opinion that these drainages/streams and wetlands lack adequate connectivity to a TNW, and would most likely be classified as non-jurisdictional under USACE guidance. Cardno completed this wetland and stream assessment under the rules and guidelines defined in the Navigable Waters Protection Rule published on April 21, 2020 and in effect on June 22, 2020. Our classification of streams and adjacent wetlands are classified accordingly, to the best of our understanding of normal hydraulic conditions at the property under review.

### 5.5 Sinkholes

Cardno performed a search for potential sinkhole areas utilizing Geographic Information Systems (GIS) data from the Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS). **One** sinkhole area comprising 0.3 acres was identified within the Project area; an additional potential sinkhole was found by Cardno staff during the onsite field investigation. The sinkhole area locations are illustrated in **Appendix C**.

### 6 Conclusion and Recommendations

Cardno reviewed current and historic mapping, as well as local, state, and federal GIS data layers as part of a desktop investigation during its natural resources assessment. No significant concerns were identified onsite that would affect construction of the proposed Project.

Cardno conducted a threatened and endangered species review during desktop environmental assessments of the Project area. There are three mammal species, eight freshwater mussel species and two flowering plant species listed by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Information for Planning and Consultation (IPaC) and Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources (KDFWR) as having the potential to occur within or be affected by the Project. No designated critical habitat for listed species exists within the Project area. Cardno inspected all habitats within the Project area for the presence of suitable habitat for listed species. Cardno scientists investigated the area for bat habitat as defined in USFWS 2018 Range-wide Indiana Bat Summer Survey Guidelines (also applicable to Northern Long Eared Bat) during field site assessments. One potential roosting tree (trees with loose bark or hollows) was identified. Although the NLEB is listed to occur within Harrison County, there are no USFWS documented hibernaculum or roosting trees in the Project site. Due to the undisturbed small patches of forested riparian areas and the distance to current summer and winter grounds, it is unlikely that NLEB would be impacted by this Project. Though Cardno scientists did not conduct 'in water' surveys, no mussel relics were identified along the Project stream banks. Indian Creek flows through portions of the Project area and may contain suitable habitat for listed freshwater mussel species; however, impacts to this creek is not anticipated as a result of the Project.

The Project area could contain habitat for the federally listed endangered running buffalo clover and Short's goldenrod. Presence/absence surveys during the listed species flowering seasons: running buffalo clover's (April-August), Short's goldenrod (August-October) may be required to determine status of the species within the Project boundary.

Cardno scientists identified **14** ephemeral drainages, **six** intermittent streams, **two** perennial streams, and **27** wetlands, including **17** ponds within the Project area. From the field investigation, it was determined that **seven** of the identified streams, as well as **one** of the identified wetlands may possess a hydrological connection to South Fork Licking River. It is Cardno's opinion that these delineated streams and associated wetland may likely be classified as jurisdictional under USACE guidance. The ephemeral streams did exhibit flow during field investigations due to recent rain events. **Twenty-six** of the identified wetlands, including the excavated ponds appeared to be isolated in nature. It is Cardno's opinion that these drainages/streams and wetlands lack adequate connectivity to a TNW, and would most likely be classified as non-jurisdictional under USACE guidance.

Because only the USACE may issue determinations on the jurisdictional status of the streams and wetlands identified within the Project, Cardno recommends avoiding these resources to the greatest extent practicable during initial design phases, until a jurisdictional determination has been issued by the USACE Louisville District. If any of the identified streams or wetlands are deemed jurisdictional by the USACE, the Project may proceed under a NWP 51, 14 and/or 57. Nationwide 51 requires a pre-construction notification to the USACE and allows for construction, expansion or modification of land-based renewable energy production facilities, including attendant features. For Electric Utility Line and Telecommunications Activities, each separate and distant crossing of waters of the United States may be covered by its own NWP authorization. If the only activity requiring USACE authorization is the construction, maintenance, repair, and removal of electrical utility lines, then a NWP 57 may be used. As stated in the text of the NWPs, the discharge of dredged or fill material into wetlands and non-tidal WOUS must not cause the loss of greater than ½-acre of wetlands and non-tidal WOUS. Permanent impacts which exceed the ½-acre threshold for NWPs will require an Individual Permit.

According to the FEMA floodplain data, approximately 21.31 acres of the Project area occur within the 100-Year Floodplain of South Indian Creek. Additional permitting from the Harrison County Floodplain Administrator may be required if construction will take place in these areas.

Cardno performed a search for potential sinkhole areas utilizing GIS data from the KGS. **One** sinkhole area comprising 0.3 acres was identified within the Project area using this dataset, an additional potential sinkhole was found by Cardno staff during the onsite field investigation.

## 7 References

Kentucky Geological Survey (KGS), https://www.uky.edu/KGS/gis/sinkpick.htm. Accessed June 2021.

- Kentucky's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy. 2013. Kentucky Department of Fish and Wildlife Resources, #1 Sportsman's Lane, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601. http://fw.ky.gov/WAP/Pages/Default.aspx (Date updated 2/5/2013)
- National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Level III Ecoregions of Kentucky, Accessed June 2021 https://hort.purdue.edu/newcrop/cropmap/kentucky/maps/KYeco3.html
- Omernik, J.M., 1987, Ecoregions of the conterminous United States (map supplement): Annals of the Association of American Geographers, v. 77, p. 118-125, scale 1:7,500,000.
- Omernik, J.M. 2004. Perspectives on the nature and definition of ecological regions. Environmental Management 34 (Suppl. 1): S27-S38.
- Soil Survey Staff, 2021. Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/. Accessed June 2021
- United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), 2021. National Wetlands Inventory. www.fws.gov/wetlands/ Accessed March 2020. Accessed June 2021
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) IPaC Trust Resources Report (generated July 2021)
- U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) Kentucky Topographic Quadrangles Containing Northern Long-Eared Bat Roost Trees and/or Hibernaculum <u>https://www.fws.gov/frankfort/pdf/KY\_NLEB\_Quad\_List.pdf</u> (December 2017)
- United States Geologic Survey (USGS). 2021. National Hydrography Dataset. https://www.usgs.gov/corescience-systems/ngp/national-hydrography. Accessed June 2021.

Blue Moon Solar Project CIA Report

APPENDIX

WETLAND DETERMINATION AND STREAM CHARACTERIZATION DATASHEETS



### **Stream Identification Form**

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.35832
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.22957
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent: 5: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 1 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>2.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	O	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	1	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	No = 0 Yes = 3		= 3	
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = _ 2 _)				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
7. Soil-based evidence of high water table? No = 0 Yes = 3				= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 1)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	1	<mark>0</mark>
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	0	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed	FACW = 0.75; OBL = 1.5 Other = 0			
Notes:				

Sketch:

### **Stream Identification Form**

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36068
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.2271
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent: 20.25: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 2 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>14</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3	
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
9. Grade control	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
11. Second or greater order channel	No = 0 Yes = 3			= 3	
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>3</u> )					
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0	
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			= 3	
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>3.25</u> )					
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0	
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0	
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
22. Fish	0	0.5	1	1.5	
23. Crayfish	0	0.5	1	1.5	
24. Amphibians	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5	
26. Wetland plants in streambed	FACW = 0.75; OBL = 1.5 Other = 0				
Notes:					

Sketch:
Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36054
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.22803
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 15.25: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennia <mark>l</mark>	Other Stream 3 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>8.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes :	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>4</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>2.75</u> )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75; (	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	
Notes:				

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36357
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.23342
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 8.75: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 4 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>3</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>2</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>3.75</u> )			·	
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		<mark>FACW = 0.75</mark> ; (	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	
Notes:				

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.37835
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.26009
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 10.75: Ephemeral if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 5 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>3</u> )			-	
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	0	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		<mark>FACW = 0.75</mark> ;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	
NOTES:				

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.37534
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.26093
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 10.75: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 6A e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes :	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>3</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	<b>No = 0</b> Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed	FACW = 0.75; OBL = 1.5 Other = 0			
Notes:				

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.37534
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.26093
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 23.5: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 6B e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>13</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3	
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3	
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
9. Grade control	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3	
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>5</u> )					
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0	
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3				
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>5.5</u> )					
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0	
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0	
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5	
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5	
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0		
Notes:					

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.37256
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25766
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 10.5: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 7 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>2.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	0.5	<mark>0</mark>
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5	
Notes:				

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36774
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25448
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 11: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 8 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>2.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	0.5	<mark>0</mark>
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>lo = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = $2.5$ )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75; C	)BL = 1.5	

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36268
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25653
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 28.25: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 9 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>16</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>	
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
3. In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence	0	1	2	3	
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	1	1.5	
11. Second or greater order channel	N	o = 0	Yes :	<mark>= 3</mark>	
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.					
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 7)					
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0	
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	0 = 0	Yes :	<mark>Yes = 3</mark>	
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>5.25</u> )					
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0	
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0	
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
24. Amphibians	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5	
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0		

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36182
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25644
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 9.5: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 10A e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 6)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
3. In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.			·	
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>1.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	0.5	<mark>0</mark>
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.36182
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25644
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 20.75: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 10B e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>12.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>5.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed	FACW = 0.75; OBL = 1.5 Other = 0			
Notes:				

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.3606
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25356
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 6.75: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 11 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = _ 2 _)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	1	2	3
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	0 = 0	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.	•		•	
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = _ 2 _)				
12. Presence of Baseflow	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	0.5	<mark>0</mark>
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	1	<mark>1.5</mark>
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		<mark>FACW = 0.75</mark> ;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.38232
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25763
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 17.25: Ephemeral if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 12 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>12</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>2.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		<mark>FACW = 0.75</mark> ;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.38302
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25876
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 17.75: Ephemeral if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 13 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>10.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.	·			
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>3.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>3.75</u> )	•			
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75; C	DBL = 1.5 Other = 0	

Notes:

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.38808
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25813
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 6: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 14A e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>3.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	No	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes :	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>1</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	0	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5  Other = 0	
Notes:				

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 37.68229
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -85.97114
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 20.75: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 14B e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>12.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>5.5</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2.75)				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed	FACW = 0.75; OBL = 1.5 Other = 0			
Notes:				

Date: 05/23/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.3894
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.25429
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 7: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 15 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>3</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong	
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3	
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3	
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3	
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3	
5. Active/relict floodplain	O	1	2	3	
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
8. Headcuts	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
10. Natural valley	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3	
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal =2)					
12. Presence of Baseflow	O	1	2	3	
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0	
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5	
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5	
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3				
C. Biology (Subtotal = 2)					
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0	
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0	
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3	
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5	
24. Amphibians	O	0.5	1	1.5	
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5	
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75; 0	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0		
Notes: Ephemeral Swale					

Date: 05/24/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.38935
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.23907
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 28.25: Intermittent if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral <mark>Intermittent</mark> Perennial	Other Stream 16 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>15.5</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	o = 0	Yes	<mark>= 3</mark>
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>8</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			<mark>= 3</mark>
C. Biology (Subtotal = $4.75$ )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	<mark>2</mark>	1	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	
Notes:				

Date: 05/24/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.39195
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.2328
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 42.5: Perennial if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 17 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>25</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	1	<mark>2</mark>	3
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	o = 0	Yes:	<mark>= 3</mark>
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 9)				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	No = 0 Yes = 3			
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>8.5</u> )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	<mark>3</mark>	2	1	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	<mark>3</mark>	2	1	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
25. Algae	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	<mark>OBL = 1.5</mark> Other = 0	
NOTES:				

Date: 05/24/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.39055
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.22672
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 6.75: Ephemeral if ≥ 19 or perennial if ≥ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent Perennial	Other Stream 18 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = 2)	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	3
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	3
4. Particle size of stream substrate	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
5. Active/relict floodplain	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
6. Depositional bars or benches	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
7. Recent alluvial deposits	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
8. Headcuts	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
9. Grade control	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated. B. Hydrology (Subtotal = <u>2</u> )				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	1	<mark>0.5</mark>	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	<mark>o = 0</mark>	Yes	= 3
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>2.75</u> )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	3	2	<mark>1</mark>	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	OBL = 1.5 Other = 0	
Notes:				

Date: 05/22/2021	Project/Site: Blue Moon Solar	Latitude: 38.39316
Evaluator: Wyatt Goertz and Corbin Hoffmann	County: Harrison County, Kentucky	Longitude: -84.21968
Total Points: Stream is at least intermittent 44.5: Perennial if $\geq$ 19 or perennial if $\geq$ 30*	Stream Determination (circle one) Ephemeral Intermittent <mark>Perennial</mark>	Other Stream 19 e.g. Quad Name:

A. Geomorphology (Subtotal = <u>27</u> )	Absent	Weak	Moderate	Strong
1 <sup>a.</sup> Continuity of channel bed and bank	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
2. Sinuosity of channel along thalweg	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
<ol> <li>In-channel structure: ex. riffle-pool, step-pool, ripple-pool sequence</li> </ol>	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
4. Particle size of stream substrate	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
5. Active/relict floodplain	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
6. Depositional bars or benches	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
7. Recent alluvial deposits	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
8. Headcuts	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
9. Grade control	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
10. Natural valley	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
11. Second or greater order channel	N	o = 0	Yes:	<mark>= 3</mark>
<sup>a</sup> artificial ditches are not rated.				
B. Hydrology (Subtotal = 9)				
12. Presence of Baseflow	0	1	2	<mark>3</mark>
13. Iron oxidizing bacteria	0	<mark>1</mark>	2	3
14. Leaf litter	1.5	<mark>1</mark>	0.5	0
15. Sediment on plants or debris	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
16. Organic debris lines or piles	0	0.5	<mark>1</mark>	1.5
17. Soil-based evidence of high water table?	N	o = 0	Yes:	<mark>= 3</mark>
C. Biology (Subtotal = <u>8.5</u> )				
18. Fibrous roots in streambed	<mark>3</mark>	2	1	0
19. Rooted upland plants in streambed	<mark>3</mark>	2	1	0
20. Macrobenthos (note diversity and abundance)	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
21. Aquatic Mollusks	<mark>0</mark>	1	2	3
22. Fish	0	<mark>0.5</mark>	1	1.5
23. Crayfish	<mark>0</mark>	0.5	1	1.5
24. Amphibians	0	0.5	1	1.5
25. Algae	0	0.5	1	1.5
26. Wetland plants in streambed		FACW = 0.75;	<mark>OBL = 1.5</mark> Other = 0	
Notes:				

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Samp	ling Date: 22-Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Po	Dint: DP-1
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	nship, Range: S	т	R
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	): none	Slope: $0.0$ % / $0.0$ °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.35536855	Long.:	-84.2263972	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: uMImC - Maury-Bluegrass silt loams, 6 to 12 pe	rcent slopes		NWI classification	: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🔍	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	olain in Remarks.) cumstances" present	? Yes 🖲 No 🔿
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expl	ain any answers in R	lemarks.)
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	oint locations,	transects, imp	ortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes O No O				

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🔍		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

#### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)			· · ·	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0	0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$Y_{es}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Denth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No 🖲	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Depth (inches): Vetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Wetl	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ()	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Wetland Depth (inches):	Hydrology Present? Yes No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes No 💿

#### VEGETATION (Five/Four Strata) - Use scientific names of plants.

		Do	minant		Sampling Point: DP-1
	Absolute	– spe Rel	Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover		ver	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Juglans nigra	10		50.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Celtis occidentalis	10		50.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3	0		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)
4	0		0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0		0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:40.0%(A/B)
6	0		0.0%		
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0		0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Tot	tal Cover		OBL species x 1 =
1	0		0.0%		<b>FACW</b> species $20 \times 2 = 40$
2	0		0.0%		<b>FAC species</b> $20 \times 3 = 60$
3	0		0.0%		FACU species20x 4 =80
аа	0		0.0%		UPL species20 x 5 =100
т. <u> </u>	0		0.0%		Column Totals:
5	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index $= B/A = 2.500$
7	0		0.0%		
8	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	0		0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic vegetation
9	0		0.0%		$\Box  \text{Dominance Test is } > 50\%$
	0	 = Tot	al Cover		□ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:) 1	0		0.0%		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2.	0		0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3.	0		0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4.	0		0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5.	0		0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6.	0		0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0		0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
Userb Streeture (Plot size: )	0	= Tot	tal Cover		of height.
<u>Herb Stratum</u> (Fotolize:)	20	$\checkmark$	33.3%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
	20		33.3%	FACW	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Contium maculatum	20		33.3%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
	0		0.0%		in height.
5	0		0.0%		
7	0		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
8	0		0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in beight and 3 in (7.6 cm) or larger in
8	0		0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
9	0		0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
11	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0		0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
12	60	 = Tot	tal Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)					Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1
2	0		0.0%		m) in height.
3	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0		0.0%		
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic
6	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		Vegetation
	0	= To	tal Cover		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	st )				

F

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS. US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or coi	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	res 1		<b>_</b> .	
(inches)		%	Color (moist)	%	Ivpe	Loc2		Remarks
0-20	7.51K 4/0							
								· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				-				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=Depletion	on. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:			~~>			Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	5/)	(a) (a) = -		2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epi	ipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (	58) (MLRA	147,148)	Coast Prairie Red	ox (A16)
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muc	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR I	N,	Iron-Manganes	se Masses (	F12) (LRR M	٧,		
MLRA 14	7, 148)		MLRA 136)					
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)	3	
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	Indicators of wetland hyperterminetermin	hydrophytic vegetation and Irology must be present
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 127	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive I	aver (if observed)							
Type:								
Denth (inc	hes).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Deptil (Inc	ines).							
Reillaiks.								

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County: Cyntiana	a/Harrison	Sampling	g Date: 22-Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy	Sta	ate: KY	Sampling Point	: DP-2
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Township, Ra	ange: S	т	R
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, c	onvex, none):	none SI	ope: % / °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N	Lat.: 38.3608894	Long.: -84	1.22770471	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12	percent slopes	N	WI classification:	N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology signi	e of year? Yes <ul> <li>No</li> <li>ficantly disturbed?</li> </ul> Are	(If no, explair "Normal Circum	i in Remarks.) stances" present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natu	rally problematic? (If r	needed, explain	any answers in Rem	narks.)
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showi	ng sampling point lo	cations, tra	nsects, impor	tant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No	Is the Sampler			

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ● Yes ○ No ●	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No O Vitin a Wetland?
Remarks:		

### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one i	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (I	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$Y_{es}$ $\bigcirc$	No 💿	Denth (inches)	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No 🖲	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 💿 je, monito	Depth (inches):	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 💿 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 je, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 je, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Wetland Depth (inches): Wetland I Tring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ()	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

#### **VEGETATION (Five/Four Strata)- Use scientific names of plants.**

	Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-2		
	Absolute	-Species? Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Ulmus americana	20	25.0%	5 FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Celtis occidentalis	20	25.0%	5 FACU	Total Number of Dominant		
3. Acer saccharinum	20	25.0%	5 FACW	Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B)		
4. <u>Fraxinus americana</u>	20	25.0%	5 FACU	Demonstration of the site of the site of		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or FAC:33.3% (A/B)		
6	0	0.0%				
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	)	= Total Cov	er	OBL species x 1 =		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species40 x 2 =80		
1 2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$		
2				<b>FACU species</b> $40 \times 4 = 160$		
3				UPL species x 5 =400		
4				Column Totals: 160 (A) 640 (B)		
5						
7	- <u> </u>			$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$		
0				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9				Dominance Test is > 50%		
10				Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			ei	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Evaluate)		
2						
3		0.0%		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4	0			Definition of Venetation Strates		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		FOUR Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cov	er	of height.		
1. Verbesina hellanthoides	40	50.0%	S UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Lonicera maackii	40	50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height		
5	0	0.0%		in noight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	80	= Total Cov	er	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.		
3		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
Δ		0.0%		height.		
F.						
6	- <u> </u>	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation		
0		= Total Co	/er	Present? Yes O No O		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate she	et.)			1		

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS. US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Rec	lox Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam	
	u u		u					
	u			-				
	p			-				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	iced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (A	A1)		Dark Surface (S	57)			2 cm Musk (A10)	
🗌 Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MERA 147)
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved M	Matrix (F2)			(WILKA 147,140)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MI RA 136, 147)	ain Soils (F19)
	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)				- C
	Relaw Dark Surfage (A	11)		Surface (F	7)			x Surface (TFT2)
		.11)	Peday Depressi	ons (F8)	·)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
	K Surface (A12)				[[1]	M.		
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	١,	MLRA 136)	e masses (	F12) (LRR I	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)	3	
Sandy Ree	dox (S5)		Piedmont Flood	plain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	Indicators of wetland byd	hydrophytic vegetation and Irology must be present
Stripped M	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Mat	erial (F21)	(MLRA 127	7, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.
Postrictivo I	aver (if observed):							
	ayer (il observed).							
Dopth (incl	hos).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Depth (Incl	nes):						•	
Remarks:								

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Bl	ue Moon	e Moon				City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison		Sam	Sampling Date: 22-Jun		JN-21	-21	
Applicant/Owner:	pplicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy					State:	KY	Sampling F	Point:	D	)P-3			
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffr	mann and Wy	/att Goertz			Section, Township, Range: S			т		R			
Landform (hillslop	be, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, conv	ex, none	): none	Slope:	0.0	%/ <u>0.0</u>	0	
Subregion (LRR o	r MLRA):	MLRA 217	in LRR N		Lat.:	38.35931101		Long.:	-84.23121625		Datum:	:		
Soil Map Unit Nan	ne: AsB-A	shton silt lo	oam, 2 to 6 p	ercent slop	es				NWI classificatio	n: N/A				
Are climatic/hydro Are Vegetation	ologic condi	tions on th	e site typical r Hydrology	for this time	e of ye ficant	ear? Yes 🖲 ly disturbed?	No 🔾 (I Are "No	f no, exp rmal Circ	blain in Remarks.) cumstances" preser	nt? Ye	es 💿	No 〇		
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil	🗌 , o	r Hydrology	natu	rally p	oroblematic?	(If need	led, expl	ain any answers in	Remarks	.)			
								-						

### Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{\sf Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{ m Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

#### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

#### VEGETATION (Five/Four Strata) - Use scientific names of plants.

			Sampling Point: DP-3			
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2	0	0.0%	·	Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:33.3% (A/B)		
6	0	0.0%				
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	) =	= Total Cover		0BL species x 1 =		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC species</b> $20 \times 3 = 60$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species20 x 4 =80		
5	0	0.0%		UPL species $20 \times 5 = 100$		
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals:		
5	0	0.0%		Provalence Index $= B/A = -4.000$		
7	0	0.0%				
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		$\Box  \text{Dominance Test is } > 50\%$		
10	0	= Total Cover		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>		
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $\frac{1}{2}$ (Explain)		
2						
3				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4	0			Definition of Vegetation Strate:		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		FOUR VEGELATION STRATE:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover		of height. Sanling/about stratum - Consists of woody plants, systeming		
1. <u>Carduus nutans</u>	20	33.3%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Ambrosia trifida	20	33.3%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3. Trifollum repens	20	33.3%	FACU	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in noight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	60 =	= Total Cover	•	Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.		
2		0.0%		Woody vines - Consists of all woody vines regardless of		
и от стана и И от стана и		0.0%		height.		
Г т						
				Hydrophytic		
0				Present? Yes No		
Demonto (Include abote anno 1						

ep

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS. US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Descr	ription: (Describe to	the depth n	eeded to documen	t the indica	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	res						
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%		Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					,				
u			<u>_</u>				,,				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletic	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix. CS=Cover	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Linina. M=M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:					2000	Indicators for Drahls	matia Uudria Caila <sup>3</sup> .			
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)							
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)			
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (M	LRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MLRA 147,148)	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)			
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)				
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	irface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)			
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7	)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)							
Sandy Mu MLRA 14	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	٨,	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	se Masses (I	F12) (LRR I	Ν,					
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)					
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	iterial (F21)	(MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.			
De staistius I											
Typo:	ayer (il observed):										
Dopth (inc	bos						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲			
Deptil (inc	iles).						-				
Remarks:											

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Bl	ue Moon	e Moon				Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	Sampling Date: 22		2-Jun-21	
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy					State: KY	Sampling I	Point:	DP-4			
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffr	nann and Wya	tt Goertz		Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	R		
Landform (hillslop	oe, terrace, e	etc.):			Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	): none	Slope: (	).0 <b>%/</b>	<u>0.0</u> °	
Subregion (LRR or	r MLRA):	MLRA 217 ir	n LRR N	Lat.:	38.3636371	Long.:	-84.23344555	Da	tum:		
Soil Map Unit Nan	ne: AsB-A	shton silt loa	m, 2 to 6 per	cent slopes			NWI classificatio	n: N/A			
Are climatic/hydro Are Vegetation	ologic condi	tions on the	site typical for Hydrology	this time of ye significant	ear? Yes 🖲 ly disturbed?	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Cir	blain in Remarks.) cumstances" prese	nt? Yes	• No (	)	
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil	, or l	Hydrology	naturally p	oroblematic?	(If needed, exp	ain any answers in	Remarks.)			
									_	_	

### Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

#### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

#### **VEGETATION (Five/Four Strata)- Use scientific names of plants.**

,,	Dominant				Sampling Point: DP-4		
	Absolute	– Sp Re	ecies? - el.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Co	ver	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Ulmus americana	20		25.0%	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Acer saccharinum	20		25.0%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant		
3. Celtis occidentalis	20		25.0%	FACU	Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B)		
4. <u>Fraxinus americana</u>	20		25.0%	FACU			
5	0		0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:33.3% (A/B)		
6	0		0.0%				
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	$\square$	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	80	= To	otal Cover		OBL species x 1 =		
1	0		0.0%		FACW species $40 \times 2 = 80$		
2	0		0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$		
3	0		0.0%		FACU species <b>X 4</b> = $160$		
л	0		0.0%		UPL species x 5 =		
5	0		0.0%		Column Totals: <u>120</u> (A) <u>440</u> (B)		
- 5	0		0.0%		Provalence Index = P/A = 2.667		
7	0		0.0%		$\frac{1}{2000}$		
8	0	$\square$	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8:	0	$\square$	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9			0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0		tal Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{-1}$		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		c			Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1			0.0%		$\square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)$		
2			0.0%				
3			0.0%		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4			0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
5			0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
6	0		0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.		
7	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= 10	otal Cover		or neight. Sanling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding		
1. Verbesina helianthoides	20		50.0%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Lonicera maackii	20		50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3			0.0%				
4	0		0.0%		in height.		
5			0.0%				
6			0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7			0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8	0		0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast beight (DBH)		
9	0		0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
10	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	Ш.	0.0%		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	40	= To	otal Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1		
2	0		0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0		0.0%		height.		
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0		0.0%				
	0	= Te	otal Cove	r	Present? Yes V No 🛡		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	t.)						

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS. US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe	e to the depth r	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Matr	rix	Ree	dox Featu	res1						
(inches)	Color (moist	t) <u>%</u>	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	10YR 4/6		·				Loam				
	-										
							·,				
Ē											
			· · · ·				·				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depl	letion. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			$2 \text{ cm} \text{Muck} (\Lambda 10)$	(MI PA 147)			
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)					
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MLPA 147 148)	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)							
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	к (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Soiis (F19)			
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Vory Shallow Darl	Surface (TE12)			
	Below Dark Surface	o (A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F)	7)						
	k Surface (A12)	e (ATT)	Redox Depress	ions (F8)	,		Uther (Explain in Remarks)				
			Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (I RR I	N					
MLRA 14	JCK MINERAI (ST) (LF 7. 148)	R N,	MLRA 136)	c masses (		•,					
Sandy Gl	aved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)					
	dox (SE)		Piedmont Floor	Inlain Soils	(F19) (MI F	, 2Δ 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
	Motrix $(S4)$		Dad Darant Ma	torial (EQ1)		7 147)	wetland hyd	rology must be present,			
				teriai (F2T)	(IVILKA 12)	7, 147)		sturbed of problematic.			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed	I):									
Туре:											
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲			
Remarks:											

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ing Date: 22-Ju	n-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: D	P-5
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	): concave	Slope:0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36427257	Long.:	-84.23594232	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: AsB- Ashton silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes			NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🖲	No (If no, exp	lain in Remarks.) :umstances" present?	Yes 🖲	No 〇
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expl	ain any answers in Re	emarks.)	

### Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ●	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{ullet}$
Remarks:				
Wetland 3				

#### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	,	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
✓ Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes O No O	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes • No ·	Wetland Hyc Depth (inches): 0	Irology Present? Yes $igodoldsymbol{\in}$ NO $igodoldsymbol{\setminus}$
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ilable:
Remarks:		

#### **VEGETATION (Five/Four Strata)- Use scientific names of plants.**

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-5	
	Absolute	- Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1. Carya ovata	10	20.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)	
2. Fraxinus americana	10	20.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant	
3. Quercus bicolor	10	20.0%	FACW	Species Across All Strata: <u>6</u> (B)	
4. Acer negundo	10	20.0%	FAC		
5. Quercus macrocarpa	10	20.0%	FAC	Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_EACW_or_EAC* 66.7% (A/B)	
6	0	0.0%			
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
Sapling Sapling /Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	50	= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =	
<u>aphing-saphing/shi ub stratum</u> (************************************	0	0.0%		FACW species50 x 2 =100	
1	0	0.0%		FAC species X 3 =90	
2	0			FACU species x 4 =20	
3	0			UPL species x 5 =	
4	0			Column Totals: 110 (A) 310 (B)	
5	0				
0 7	0			Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.818$	
7	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
8	0			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
9				✓ Dominance Test is > 50%	
10	0	0.0%		✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 $^{1}$	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting	
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)	
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:	
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:	
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.	
1. Persicaria maculosa	40	66.7%	FACW	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines less than 3 in DBH and greater than 3 28 ft (1 m) tall	
2. Plantago rugelli	10	16.7%	FACU	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,	
3 Ambrosia trifida	10	16.7%	FAC	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft	
5	0	0.0%		in height.	
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strate.	
7.	0	0.0%			
8	0	0.0%		free - woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in	
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).	
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less	
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.	
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
	60	= Total Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size)		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody	
1				species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1	
2	0			Ing in neight. Maaduuinaa Consists of all was duuine and the state	
3	0			height.	
	0				
5	0			Hydrophytic	
6	0	<u> </u>		Vegetation Present? Yes I No	
	0	= Total Cove	r		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)				

\*Indicator suffix = National status or professional decision assigned because Regional status not defined by FWS. US Army Corps of Engineers

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to docume	nt the indic	cator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		R	edox Featu	ures				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	95	5YR 3/4	5	C	M	Loam		
	·								
	<u>.                                    </u>								
		_			-				
		_		_					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Depletio	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Cove	red or Coat	ed Sand Gra	iins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=N	atrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol	(A1)		Dark Surface	(S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI RA 147)	
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Bel	ow Surface	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)			
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Su	rface (S9) (M	MLRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147.148)	DX (A16)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2	)			ain Soile (E10)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Mat	rix (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)		
2 cm Muc	:k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark S	Surface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)	
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	A11)	Depleted Dar	k Surface (F	7)		Othor (Evplain in	Pomarks)	
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)	,	Redox Depres	ssions (F8)				Refinal KS)	
Sandy Mi	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR I	N	Iron-Mangan	ese Masses	(F12) (LRR	N,			
MLRA 14	7, 148)	N,	MLRA 136)	oo (E12) (M	104 124 12	2)			
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)				LKA 130, 12		A 148) , 147) <sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Piedmont Flo	odplain Soils	s (F19) (MLF	RA 148)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent M	laterial (F21	) (MLRA 12	7, 147)			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):								
Туре:									
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾	
Remarks									
Remarks.									

#### WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM - Eastern Mountains and Piedmont Region

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Samp	ling Date: 22-Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Po	int: DP-6
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	ship, Range: S	т	R
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (con	cave, convex, none	):	Slope: $0.0$ %/ $0.0$ °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.363782	Long.:	-84.23610171	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	ent slopes		NWI classification	: PUBHh
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of your Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes 🔍 I tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) sumstances" present ain any answers in R	? Yes • No ·
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	sampling po	int locations, t	transects, imp	ortant features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No • No • No •	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc {}_{\rm No}  \textcircled{\textbf{x}}$
Remarks:				

### Hydrology

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimum of one requi	red; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Drift deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)		FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present? Yes 🔾 No	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches):	nd Hydrology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{e}$		
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, m	onitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:		
Remarks:				
		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-6
--	----------	---------------	-----------	--
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0	0.0%	·	Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover		0BL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species20 x 4 =80
о Л	0	0.0%		UPL species $20 \times 5 = 100$
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals:40 (A)180 (B)
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = R/A = -4.500
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		$\Box  \text{Dominance Test is } > 50\%$
	0 -	= Total Cover		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	0			Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2	0			
3				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Vegetation Strata:
5	0			Four Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		of height. Sapling/shruh stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Arrhenatherum elatius	20	✓ 50.0%	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Carduus nutans	20	✓ 50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
5	0	0.0%		·····g···
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH). Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, oveluding woody
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	40 =	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2.	0	0.0%		m) in height.
3.	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4.	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes O No O
Pomarka: (Include photo numbers here or on a constate shoe	.+ )			

ep

<b>D</b>	Matrix	aspurne			e. e. ee				
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	 %	Color (moist)	w reature	is Type <sup>1</sup>		Texture	Remarks	
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100			TYDE	LUC-		Reinarks	
	-								
		·							
	. <u> </u>								
	contration D Doulation	- DM Dadua	ad Matrix CS Cause	ad ar Castad	Sand Crain	21 0001	ion. DL Doro Lining M M	.t.i.	
			ed Matrix, CS=COver	ed of Coaled	Sanu Grair	IS <sup>2</sup> LOCAL	Ion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma		
dric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (/	A1)		Dark Surface (	57)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)	
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8	3) (MLRA 1	47,148)	Coast Prairie Redo	x (A16)	
Black Hist	ic (A3)		☐ Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLF	RA 147, 14	8)	(MLRA 147,148)		
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	. /	
2 cm Mucl	2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6)					Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)			
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A1	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)	
Thick Darl	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			<u> </u>	·····,	
Sandy Mu	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N	,	Iron-Manganes	se Masses (F1	2) (LRR N				
MLRA 147	7, 148)		MLRA 136)						
] Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (MLRA	A 136, 122	)	3		
Sandy Red	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils (F	19) (MLRA	A 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation		
Stripped N	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	iterial (F21) (M	MLRA 127,	147)	unless dis	turbed or problematic.	
strictive La	ayer (if observed):								
Туре:							Hudric Sail Procent?		
Depth (incl	hes):						Hydric Soli Present?	res 🗢 INO 🙂	
emarks:									

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	Sampling Date: 22-Jun-2	
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling P	oint:	DP-7
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none)		Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.37539811	Long.:	-84.26033874	Datum	::
Soil Map Unit Name: HuA - Huntington silt loam, 0 to 4 percent slope	s		NWI classification	n: <u>N/A</u>	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       significantl         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       naturally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed? roblematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expla	lain in Remarks.) umstances" presen ain any answers in	<sub>nt?</sub> Yes 🖲 Remarks.)	No $\bigcirc$
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	int locations, t	ransects, imp	portant feat	ures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes         No         ●           Yes         No         ●           Yes         No         ●	Is the Sampled Area yes O No •
Remarks:		

		Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-7		
	Absolute	– spe Rel	.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover		/er	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Fraxinus americana	20		50.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Celtis occidentalis			50.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant		
3	0		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)		
4	0		0.0%		Percent of dominant Species		
5	0		0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $40.0\%$ (A/B)		
6	0		0.0%				
7	0		0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
8	40						
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)	40	- 101			$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$		
1	0		0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
2	0		0.0%		FAC species $40$ x 3 = $120$		
3	0		0.0%		FACU species $\underline{00}$ x 4 = $\underline{240}$		
4	0		0.0%		UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$		
5	0		0.0%		Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>360</u> (B)		
6	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.600$		
7	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0		0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0		0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{1}$		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Tot	al Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0		0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0		0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0		0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0		0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	$\Box$	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	$\Box$	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0		0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Tot	al Cover		of height.		
1. Ambrosia trifida	20	$\checkmark$	33.3%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in, DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Vernonia fasciculata	20		33.3%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3. Arctium minus	20		33.3%	FACU	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0		0.0%		in neight.		
6	0		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0		0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8	0		0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0		0.0%		diameter at breast neight (DBH). Sanling stratum – Consists of woody plants, evoluting woody		
10	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	60	= Tot	al Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0		0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0		0.0%		height.		
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0		0.0%				
	0	= Tot	tal Cover		Present? Yes UNO U		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	ot )						

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Rec	lox Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam	
	u u		u					
	u			-				
	p			-				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	iced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (A	A1)		Dark Surface (S	57)			2 cm Musk (A10)	
🗌 Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	/ Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MERA 147)
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ce (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved M	Matrix (F2)			(WILKA 147,140)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MI RA 136 147)	ain Soils (F19)
	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)				- C
	Relaw Dark Surfage (A	11)		Surface (F	7)			x surface (TFT2)
		.11)	Peday Depressi	ons (F8)	·)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
	K Surface (A12)				[[1]	M.		
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	١,	MLRA 136)	e masses (	F12) (LRR I	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)	3	
Sandy Ree	dox (S5)		Piedmont Flood	plain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	Indicators of wetland byd	hydrophytic vegetation and Irology must be present
Stripped M	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Mat	erial (F21)	(MLRA 127	7, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.
Postrictivo I	aver (if observed):							
	ayer (il observed).							
Dopth (incl	hos).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🖲
Depth (Incl	nes):						•	
Remarks:								

City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ın-21	
	State: KY	Sampling Poin	nt: C	)P-8
Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	): concave	Slope:0.0	%/0.0 °
38.37162329	Long.:	-84.25887842	Datum	
s		NWI classification:	N/A	
ear? Yes tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) sumstances" present? ain any answers in Re	Yes 🖲 emarks.)	No O
	Section, Town Local relief (con <u>38.37162329</u> s ear? Yes ly disturbed? problematic?	City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison State: KY Section, Township, Range: S Local relief (concave, convex, none) <u>38.37162329</u> Long.: s ear? Yes  No  (If no, exp Are "Normal Circo problematic? (If needed, explain (If needed, explain)	City/County:       Cyntiana/Harrison       Sampling Poil         State:       KY       Sampling Poil         Section, Township, Range:       S       T         Local relief (concave, convex, none):       concave         38.37162329       Long.:       -84.25887842         s       NWI classification:         ear?       Yes       No         Ily disturbed?       Are "Normal Circumstances" present?         problematic?       (If needed, explain any answers in Response)	City/County:       Cyntiana/Harrison       Sampling Date:       22-Ju         State:       KY       Sampling Point:       E         Section, Township, Range:       S       T       R         Local relief (concave, convex, none):       concave       Slope:       0.0         38.37162329       Long.:       -84.25887842       Datum:         s       NWI classification:       N/A         ear?       Yes  No       (If no, explain in Remarks.)         Are "Normal Circumstances" present?       Yes  Yes  Yes         problematic?       (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿				
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Is the Sampled Area			
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	within a Wetland?			
Remarks:						

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes • No · Depth (inches): 2	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches):	<b>Y O N O</b>
Saturation Present? Yes I No Depth (inches): 0	rology Present? Yes $igodoldsymbol{\in}$ NO $igodoldsymbol{\cup}$
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if avail	ilable:
Remarks:	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-8		
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
				Number of Dominant Species		
1. Salix nigra		▼ 100.0%	OBL	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)		
2	0			Total Number of Dominant		
3	0			Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4	0			Percent of dominant Species		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:66.7% (A/B)		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
0	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
0	50	= Total Cover		$\mathbf{OB}  \mathbf{species} \qquad 50  \mathbf{x}  1 =  50$		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
1	0	0.0%		$\mathbf{FAC} = \mathbf{FAC} = \mathbf$		
2	0	0.0%		FACT species $25$ x 3 = $75$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $23$ x 4 = $100$		
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $-$ x b = $-$		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: $100$ (A) $225$ (b)		
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.250$		
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	0.0%		<b>V</b> Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	•	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum <sup>(Plot size:</sup> )		= Total Cover		of height.		
1. Vernonia fasciculata	25	✓ 50.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Erigeron strigosus	25	50.0%	FACU	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height		
5	0	0.0%				
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0			vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:)	50	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1		
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0	0.0%				
5	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0	0.0%		Vegetation Ves  No		
	0	= Total Cove	r			
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe to	the depth i	needed to docume	nt the indi	cator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	<u>Matrix</u>		Color (moist)	edox Featu	ures 1	1.002	Tautuma	Demorke			
(incnes)		%	COLOR (MOIST)	<u>%</u>		LOC <sup>2</sup>		Remarks			
-20						111					
	. <u> </u>										
	·						-				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletic	on. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Cove	ered or Coat	ed Sand Gra	ains <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface	(S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI DA 147)			
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Be	low Surface	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)					
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Su	rface (S9) (I	MLRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147.148)	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleye	d Matrix (F2	)			ain Soils (F19)			
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Ma	trix (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)				
2 cm Muc	:k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark	Surface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)			
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Dar	k Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)			
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	ssions (F8)			— 、 ,				
Sandy Mu MLRA 14	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR M 7, 148)	۹,	Iron-Mangan MLRA 136)	ese Masses	(F12) (LRR	N,					
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfa	ice (F13) (M	LRA 136, 12	22)	2				
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Flo	odplain Soil	s (F19) (MLI	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent M	Naterial (F21	) (MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	aver (if observed):										
Type:											
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲 No 🔾			
Pomarks:											
Nemarks.											

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	pling Date: 22-J	un-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling P	Point:	DP-9
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.37086018	Long.:	-84.25926705	Datum	:
Soil Map Unit Name: HuA - Huntington silt loam, 0 to 4 percent slop	es		NWI classificatio	n: N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of years         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       significant         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       naturally	ear? Yes • tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) :umstances" preser ain any answers in	<sub>nt?</sub> Yes 🖲 Remarks.)	No O
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	sampling po	oint locations, t	transects, imp	portant feat	ures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No • No • No •	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one i	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (I	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0	0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🔍	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	$\sim \sim \sim \sim$
C I I' D IO	_	~	Wetland Hy	/drology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🛡
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No () je, monito	Depth (inches): ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O ream gaug	No 🖲 je, monito	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🔍 je, monito	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🔍 je, monito	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🗩	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🗩	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🗩	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●)	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●)	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●)	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (•)	Depth (inches):	railable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●)	Depth (inches):	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (●)	Depth (inches):	railable:

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-9
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0	0.0%	·	Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	) =	= Total Cover		0BL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species20x 4 =80
5	0	0.0%		UPL species $20 \times 5 = 100$
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals:40 (A)180 (B)
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = R/A = -4.500
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9				$\Box Dominance Test is > 50\%$
10	0	= Total Cove		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)	0			Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1				Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation $\frac{1}{2}$ (Explain)
2				
3				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Vegetation Strates
5				Demittion of vegetation Strata.
6	0			Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover		of height.
1. Arrhenatherum elatius	20	✓ 50.0%	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Carduus nutans	20	✓ 50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	40 =	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	- <u> </u>	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
Δ		0.0%		height.
F		0.0%	·	
6		0.070		Hydrophytic Vogstation
υ		- Total Covo	r	Present? Yes No 🖲
Domarka: (Includo photo numbero horo or on o constante cho				

ep

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe	to the depth r	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matri	х	Ree	dox Featu	res1			
(inches)	Color (moist)	)%	Color (moist)	_%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam	
	·							·
			p					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Deple	etion. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:		_				Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	57)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	JX (A16)
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)				ain Sails (E10)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muc	:k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
	Below Dark Surface	(A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (FI	7)			Pomarks)
Thick Dar	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				Remarks)
Sandy Mi	ick Mineral (S1) (LR	RN	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (LRR I	Ν,		
MLRA 14	7, 148)	ix iv,	MLRA 136)					
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)	2	
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	plain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed)	):						
Type:							Hydric Soil Present?	
Depth (inc	:hes):						Hyune son resent.	
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Samplin	ng Date: 22-Ju	un-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: D	P-10
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	concave	Slope:0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36804493	Long.:	-84.26175501	Datum	
Soil Map Unit Name: HuA - Huntington silt loam, 0 to 4 percent slope	es		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       significant         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       naturally preserved.	ear? Yes • tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) sumstances" present? ain any answers in Re	Yes 🖲	No 🔿

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No $\bigcirc$	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
✓ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes • No O Depth (inches): 12	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Wetland Hy	/drology Present? Yes 🔍 NO 🔾
(includes capillary fringe) Yes Vo Vo Depth (inches): 0	
(includes capillary fringe) Yes V No Depth (inches): 0 Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Yes Vo Depth (inches): 0 Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	ailable:
(includes capillary fringe) Yes Vo Depth (inches): 0 Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
(includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	railable:
(includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
(includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	railable:
(includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
<u>(includes capillary fringe)</u> Yes No Depth (inches): <u>0</u> Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	railable:
(includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	railable:

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-10
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot Size:)	% COVE	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0			That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
2	0			Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0			Percent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $100.0\%$ (A/B)
6	0			
7 9	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
8	0	- Total Cove		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				EACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
1	0	0.0%		FACW spectes $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $\_0$ x 4 = $\_0$
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A) <u>60</u> (B)
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.000$
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0	0.0%		✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )	:	= Total Cover	-	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2.	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7.	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )	0 =	= Total Cover	-	of height.
1 Typha latifolia	20	33 3%	OBI	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
	20	33.3%	OBL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tail. Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants
3 Alternanthera nhiloveroides	20	33.3%	OBL	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in height.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strates
7.	0	0.0%		Five vegetation Strata:
8.	0	0.0%		free - woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9.	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10.	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12.	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	60 =	= Total Cover	-	Vines, approximately 5 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants
	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes • No ·
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)			1

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to	the depth ne	eded to documen	t the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	edox Featu	ires			
(inches)	Color (moist)		Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	90	5YR 3/4	10	C	M	Loam	
p				_				
		·					·	
		· ·						
			E					
1								
'Type: C=Cond	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Reduce	ed Matrix, CS=Cover	red or Coate	ed Sand Gra	iins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
	41)		Dark Surface	(S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epip	oedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	ow Surface (	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)		x (A16)
Black Histi	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Sur	face (S9) (N	1LRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	l Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)
Stratified I	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matr	ix (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck	< (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark S	urface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Depleted I	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in F	Remarks)
Thick Dark	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depres	sions (F8)				
Sandy Mue MLRA 147	ck Mineral (S1) (LRR N ', 148)	I,	Iron-Mangane MLRA 136)	ese Masses (	(F12) (LRR I	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	ved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)		
Sandy Red	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	odplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of h	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped N	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent M	aterial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless dis	turbed or problematic.
				. ,				
Restrictive La	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:							Ukudaia Cail Dassarato	
Depth (inch	nes):						Hydric Soll Present?	$Yes \bullet No \cup$
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blu	ie Moon				Moon City/County: Cyntia						Sampling Date: 22-			-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy					S	tate:	КҮ	Sampling Poi	int:	D	P-11			
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffn	nann and W	yatt Goertz			Section, Tow	nship, F	Range:	s	т		R				
Landform (hillslope	e, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, o	convex	, none	):	Slope:	0.0	%/_(	0.0 °		
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA):	MLRA 21	' in LRR N		Lat.:	38.36815461		L	ong.:	-84.26194448		Datum	:			
Soil Map Unit Nam	e: HuA -	Huntingtor	n silt loam, 0 t	to 4 percent	slope	es				NWI classification:	N/A					
Are climatic/hydro Are Vegetation [	ologic condi	tions on th	e site typical or Hydrology	for this time	of ye icant	ear? Yes 🖲	No 🔿 Are	(Ifı Norme	no, exp nal Circ	olain in Remarks.) cumstances" present?	? Ye	es 💿	No O			
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, c	r Hydrology	⊔ natur	ally p	problematic?	(If	neede	d, expl	ain any answers in R	emarks	.)				
Summary of	Finding	s _ Atta	n site ma	an showir	na e	ampling or	oint lo	ncatio	nns i	transects imno	hrtan	t teati	IIRAS A	tr		

Summary of Findings - At	tach site map show	ing sampling point locations, transects, important reactires, etc.
Hydrophytic Vogetation Present?		

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O	No () No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{ullet}$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one i	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (I	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$Y_{es}$ $\bigcirc$	No 💿	Denth (inches)	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No 🖲	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $oldsymbol{igodol}$
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 💿 je, monito	Depth (inches):	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 💿 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 je, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 je, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland I	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Wetland Depth (inches): Wetland I Tring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ()	Wetland I Depth (inches): Wetland I rring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-11
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	) =	= Total Cover	-	OBL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species20 x 4 =80
5 Л	0	0.0%		UPL species $20 \times 5 = 100$
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals:40 (A)180 (B)
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index $= B/A = -4.500$
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9		0.0%		$\Box Dominance Test is > 50\%$
10	0	= Total Cove		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1				$\square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)$
2				
3				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Vegetation Strates
5				Four Vegetation Strates
6	0			Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	-	of height. Sanling/about stratum - Consists of woods plants, evaluating
1. Arrhenatherum elatius	20	✓ 50.0%	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Carduus nutans	20	✓ 50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in neight.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	40 =	= Total Cove	-	Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants
	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
2		0.0%		Woody vines - Consists of all woody vines regardless of
л Л		0.0%		height.
Г т				
				Hydrophytic
0				Present? Yes No 🔍
Demonto (Include abote mundo d			•	

ep

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	<u>Matrix</u>		Rec	dox Featu	res 1	1.002	Touturo	Demorko
		100	Color (moist)	70	TVDe			Remarks
		-			-			
							,,	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						ludiates for Deckle	
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Solis -:
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147.148)	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147. 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	x (A16)
Hvdroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved	Matrix (F2)		,	(MLRA 147,148)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			Piedmont Floodpla (MI RA 136, 147)	ain Soils (F19)
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)				Surface (TE12)
	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F)	7)			
Thick Dar	k Surface (Δ12)	,	Redox Depress	ions (F8)	,		Uther (Explain in	Remarks)
	ick Minoral (S1) (LDD N	ı	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (LRR	Ν.		
MLRA 14	7, 148)	4,	MLRA 136)		, (	- ,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)	0	
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of I	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless dis	turbed or problematic.
				. ,	•			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:								
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soll Present?	$Yes \cup No \bullet$
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blu	e Moon				City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison				Sampling Date: 23-			-Jun-21	
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy					State	e: KY	Sampling P	oint:	D	P-12	
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffr	nann and \	Vyatt Goertz			Section, Tow	nship, Ran	ge: S	т		R		_
Landform (hillslop	oe, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, con	ivex, none)	concave	Slope:	0.0	%/_(	0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or	r MLRA):	MLRA 21	7 in LRR N		Lat.:	38.37081121		Long.:	-84.25231888		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Nam	ne: uLfC -	Lowell-Fa	ywood silt loa	ams, 6 to 12	perce	nt slopes			NWI classification	n: <u>N/A</u>			
Are climatic/hydro	ologic condi	tions on t	he site typical	l for this tim	e of ye	ear? Yes 🖲	No $\bigcirc$	(If no, exp	lain in Remarks.)		_	_	
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil		or Hydrology	🗌 signi	ificant	ly disturbed?	Are "N	ormal Circ	umstances" presen	t? Y	es 🖲	No 🔿	
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil	□ ,	or Hydrology	🗌 natu	rally p	oroblematic?	(If nee	eded, expla	ain any answers in I	Remarks	5.)		

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Second	ary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Sur	face Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Spa	rsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Dra	inage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	ots (C3) 🗌 Mos	ss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry	Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C	6) 🗌 Cra	yfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Sat	uration Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stu	nted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geo	morphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Sha	llow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Mic	rotopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC	-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:					
Surface Water Present?	$_{ m Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present?		No (			
	103 0		Depth (Inches):		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):     Depth (inches):     Initoring well, aerial photos, previous inspect	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P tions), if available:	resent? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P tions), if available:	resent? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland Hydrology P tions), if available:	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspec	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P	resent? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P :tions), if available:	resent? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P :tions), if available:	resent? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P :tions), if available:	resent? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology P :tions), if available:	resent? Yes O No 🖲

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-12
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Juglans nigra	30	✓ 100.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
2		0.0%		Total Number of Dominant
3		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)
4	0			Dercent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:60.0%(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	30=	= Total Cover		OBL species $0 \times 1 = 0$
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $20 \times 2 = 40$
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC speci es</b> $40 \times 3 = 120$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species30 x 4 =120
3	0	0.0%		UPL species20 x 5 =100
4	0	0.0%		Column Totals:(A)(B)
5	0			
7	0			Prevalence index = $B/A = 3.455$
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8	0			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0			✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0			Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{-1}$
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0			$\square Problematic Hydronbytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)$
2	0			
3	0			Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Venetation Strates
5	0	0.0%		Definition of vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		FOUR Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.
1. Carduus nutans	20	25.0%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Ambrosia trifida	20	25.0%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3. <u>Conlum maculatum</u>	20	25.0%	FACW	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4. Symphyotrichum pilosum	20	25.0%	FAC	Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in neight.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	80	= Total Cover	•	Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants
	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines regardless of
и о И	0	0.0%		height.
F		0.0%		
0	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vogstation
U	0		r	Present? Yes I No
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	<u> </u>			

Profile Desc	ription: (Descrit	e to the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Ma	trix	Ree	dox Featu	res1		_				
(inches)	<u>Color (mois</u>	<u>st) %</u>	Color (moist)	_%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	/.5YR 4/6	100					Loam				
							,,				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=De	pletion. RM=Redu	iced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			$2 \text{ cm} \text{Muck} (\Lambda 10)$	(MI PA 147)			
🗌 Histic Epi	Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147,148)					147,148)					
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MLPA 147 148)	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)							
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Soils (F19)			
2 cm Muc	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Vory Shallow Darl	<pre>x Surface (TE12)</pre>			
	Bolow Dark Surfa	co (A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F)	7)						
	rk Surfaco (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)	,		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
			Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (I RR I	N					
MLRA 14	uck Mineral (ST) (1 7. 148)	LRR N,	MLRA 136)	ie musses (	(LINC)	•,					
Sandy Gl	eved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)					
			Piedmont Floor	nlain Soils	(F19) (MI F	, Δ 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and			
	Matrix (S4)			torial (EQ1)		7 147)	wetland hyd	Irology must be present,			
				teriai (F2T)	(IVILRA 12)	(, 147)					
Restrictive L	ayer (if observe	ed):									
Туре:											
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🔍			
Remarks											
Remarks.											

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	Sampling Date: 23-Ju	
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: D	P-13
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36909608	Long.:	-84.25322221	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: W- Water			NWI classification:	PUBHh	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes 🖲	No 🔾 🛛 (If no, exp	lain in Remarks.)	0	~
Are Vegetation 🗌 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 significant	tly disturbed?	Are "Normal Circ	umstances" present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔾
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expla	iin any answers in Re	emarks.)	

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No	Is the Sampled Area	Yes $\odot$ No $\bigcirc$
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔾	within a wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that a	(ylqc	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	c Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	ulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rh	izospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Expl.	ain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes $ullet$ No $igodow$ Depth (inc	thes): <u>6</u>	
Water Table Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$ Depth (inc	thes):	× • • •
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (includes capillary fringe)	thes): 0 Wetland Hyd	rology Present? Yes $igodot$ NO $igodot$
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial	photos, previous inspections), if avai	lable:
Remarks:		

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-13		
T c: (Plot size:	Absolute % Cover	Rel.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
	0		otatus	Number of Dominant Species		
1	0	0.0%	·	That are OBL, FACW, of FAC: (A)		
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4	0	0.0%		Percent of dominant Species		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100.0% (A/B)		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
0	0 =	= Total Cove	r	<b>OBL</b> species $40 \times 1 = 40$		
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		FACW species $30 \times 2 = 60$		
1	0	0.0%		$\mathbf{EAC} = \mathbf{EAC} + \mathbf{EAC} \mathbf$		
2	0	0.0%		$\begin{bmatrix} AC \\ B \\ B \\ C \\ $		
3	0	0.0%		FACU Species $\underline{}$ $\mathbf{x}$ $4 = \underline{}$		
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $\longrightarrow$ x b = $\longrightarrow$		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>/0</u> (A) <u>100</u> (B)		
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.429$		
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0	0.0%		✓ Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	0.0%		✓ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 $^{1}$		
	:	= Total Cover	r	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cove	r	of height.		
1. Persicaria maculosa	30	42.9%	FACW	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in, DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Eleocharis palustris	20	28.6%	OBL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3. Alternanthera philoxeroides	20	28.6%	OBL	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in neight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
_Woody Vine Stratum_ (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	r	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0	0.0%		height.		
5	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0	0.0%		Vegetation		
	0	= Total Cove	r –	Present? Yes 💌 No 🔾		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth i	needed to documen	t the indic	cator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	edox Featu	ures			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	90	5YR 3/4	10	С	M	Loam	
							·	
	p p		· ·				с	
	P							
	· · · ·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Cover	red or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface	(S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI RA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)		
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Sur	face (S9) (N	MLRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	X (A16)
Hydrogen	sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2	)			ain Soils (F10)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matr	ix (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark S	urface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in I	Remarks)
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depres	sions (F8)				
Sandy Mu	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	١,	Iron-Mangane MLRA 136)	se Masses	(F12) (LRR	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (M	LRA 136, 12	22)		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils	s (F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of I	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent M	aterial (F21	) (MI RA 12	7 147)	wetland hyd unless dis	rology must be present, turbed or problematic
					, (	.,,		
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:							Hydric Soil Procent?	
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soli Fresent:	res 🙁 No 🗢
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampling Date: 23-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poi	int: DP-14	
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	ship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (con	cave, convex, none	):	Slope: <u>0.0</u> % / <u>0.0</u> °	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36880259	Long.:	-84.25427303	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	ent slopes		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year of the second se	ear? Yes • N	lo O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present?	? Yes <ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling poi	(If needed, explain t locations, 1	ain any answers in Recent	emarks.) Ortant features, etc.	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0			
Surface Water Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 💿	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches): Wetland	l Hydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	<b>Yes</b> O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 💿
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O tream gaug	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O tream gaug	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland pring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O tream gauç	No 💿 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O tream gauç	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🗩	Depth (inches): Wetland	Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	available:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	I Hydrology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	A Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland	available:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No •	Depth (inches): Wetland	available:

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-14		
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)		
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)		
6	0	0.0%				
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	) =	= Total Cover	-	OBL species x 1 =		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species40x 4 =160		
5	0	0.0%		UPL species $40 \times 5 = 200$		
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A) <u>360</u> (B)		
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index $= B/A = -4.500$		
7	0	0.0%				
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		$\Box  Dominance Test is > 50\%$		
10	0	= Total Cove		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>		
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
1				$\square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)$		
2						
3				Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
4				Definition of Vegetation Strates		
5		0.0%		Four Vegetation Strates		
6	0	0.0%		FOUR VEGELATION STRATE:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover	-	of height. Sanling/about stratum - Consists of woods plants, evaluating		
1. Arrhenatherum elatius	40	50.0%	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Carduus nutans	40	50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in reight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	80 =	= Total Cove	-	Vines, approximately 5 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.		
2		0.0%		Woody vines - Consists of all woody vines regardless of		
а Л		0.0%		height.		
Г т						
				Hydrophytic		
0				Present? Yes No 🔍		
Demonto (Include abote mundo d			•			

ep

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Rec	lox Featu	res			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/4	100					Loam	
			p					
								·
	·							
-								
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	d or Coate	d Sand Gra	iins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (A	A1)		Dark Surface (S	57)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI DA 147)
Histic Epip	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Below	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MERA 147)
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ice (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleved M	Matrix (F2)			(IVILKA 147,148)	
Stratified	Lavers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			MIRA 136 147)	ain Soils (F19)
	k (A10) (I RR N)		Redox Dark Sur	face (F6)				
	Relaw Dark Surfage (A	11)		Surface (F)	7)		Very Shallow Dari	k Surface (TFT2)
	Below Dark Surface (A	11)			()		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
	K Surface (AT2)			ons (ro) Massas (		N		
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	Ι,	MLRA 136)	e masses (	FIZ) (LRR	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	22)	3	
Sandy Ree	dox (S5)		Piedmont Flood	Iplain Soils	(F19) (ML	RA 148)	Indicators of wetland hyperbolic	hydrophytic vegetation and trology must be present
Stripped M	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Mat	erial (F21)	(MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive La	ayer (if observed):							
Type:							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Depth (incl	nes):							105 0 110 0
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ng Date: 23-Ju	n-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: DF	P-15
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36808997	Long.:	-84.25415935	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: FyC2 -Faywood silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent	slopes, eroded		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expla	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present? ain any answers in Re	Yes 🔍	No ()

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🔿 No 🔍
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
🗌 Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes  No Depth (inches): 2	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes  No Depth (inches):	ydrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	ydrology Present? Yes • No U vailable:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hyperbolic         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available       0       0	ydrology Present? Yes • No U vailable:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No ·
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)       Yes       No       Depth (inches):       0       Wetland Hy         Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av         Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No U /ailable:
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hy (includes capillary fringe) Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No U /ailable:
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hy (includes capillary fringe) Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if an Remarks:	ydrology Present? Yes • No U /ailable:
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hyperbolic Constraints of the second dependence of the second depend	ydrology Present? Yes • No U /ailable:
Saturation Present? Yes No Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hyperbolic Constraints of the second dependence of the second depend	ydrology Present? Yes • No U /ailable:

	Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-15		
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Salix nigra	30	✓ 50.0%	OBL	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Ulmus americana	20	⊻ 33.3%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant		
3. Juglans nigra	10		FACU	Species Across All Strata: (B)		
4	0			Dereent of dominant Chaption		
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100.0% (A/B)		
6	0					
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	60	= Total Cover	•	OBL species $30 \times 1 = 30$		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $45$ x 2 = $90$		
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC species</b> $25 \times 3 = 75$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species20 x 4 =80		
4	0	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>120</u> (A) <u>275</u> (B)		
6.	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.292$		
7.	0	0.0%				
8.	0	0.0%		And The Panid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%				
10.	0	0.0%		<b>V</b> Dominance lest is $> 50\%$		
Charle Charles (Diet size)	0	= Total Cover		V Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{-1}$		
Shrub Stratum         (Plot size:)           1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.		
1 Vernonia fasciculata	25	<ul><li>✓ 41.7%</li></ul>	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding		
2 Persicaria maculosa	25	41.7%	FACW	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.		
3 Erigeron strigosus	10	16.7%	FACU	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4.	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in neight.		
6	0	0.0%		Eive Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree Weedy plants, evoluting weedy vince, approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )	60	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.		
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
۵ ۵	0	0.0%		height.		
5	0	0.0%				
6	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation		
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes • No ·		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)			1		

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth n	eeded to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	ires			
(inches)	Color (moist)	_%	Color (moist)	%		Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/2	95	5YR 3/4	5	C	Μ	Loam	
	p p	·				-	,	
		· ·						
	p	- <u></u> -	<u>_</u>			-		
		·		-			,	
	·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ains <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI DA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)		
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	148)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)	1			
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Solis (F19)
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	(Surface (TE12)
	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)			
	k Surface (A12)	,	Redox Depress	ions (F8)				Remarks)
	n Sullace (A12)	1	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	(LRR	N.		
MLRA 147	7, 148)	Ι,	MLRA 136)					
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	22)	<sup>3</sup> Indiantara of I	hudrophytic vegetation and
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLI	RA 148)	wetland hyd	rology must be present,
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		🗌 Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive L	aver (if observed)							
Type <sup>.</sup>								
Depth (inc	hos).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
	nes).							
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon		Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampl	ng Date: 23-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy			State: KY	Sampling Po	int: DP	-16
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and	d Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):		Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope:	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA	217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36790317	Long.:	-84.25462383	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: FyC2 -Faywoo	od silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent	slopes, eroded		NWI classification:	: <u>N/A</u>	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions or Are Vegetation, Soil Are Vegetation, Soil	n the site typical for this time of y , or Hydrology Significant , or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes • tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Cirr (If needed, expl	olain in Remarks.) cumstances" present ain any answers in R	? Yes  I emarks.)	No ()

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{\sf Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{ m Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-16
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover	-	OBL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species35 x 4 =140
л	0	0.0%		UPL species $35 \times 5 = 175$
т. <u> </u>	0	0.0%		Column Totals:
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index $= B/A = -4.500$
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0			$\Box Dominance Test is > 50\%$
	0 -	= Total Cover		☐ Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>⊥</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1	0			Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2	0			
3	0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Vegetation Strata:
5	0			Four Vegetation Strata:
6				Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	-	of height. Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Arrhenatherum elatius	35	✓ 50.0%	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Carduus acantholdes	35	✓ 50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%	. <u> </u>	Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH). Sanling stratum – Consists of woody plants, oveluding woody
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	70 =	= Total Cover	-	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	 	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes No 🖲
Demarka, (Include abote numbers berg er en e concrete abo			-	

ep

Profile Desci	ription: (Describ	e to the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Mat	rix	Ree	dox Featu	res1						
(inches)	Color (mois	<u>t) %</u>	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam				
				-							
				-			,	·			
							1				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Dep	letion. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
🗌 Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI DA 147)			
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)					
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MLPA 147 148)	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)							
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	к (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Solis (F19)			
2 cm Muc	:k (A10) (LRR N)							(Surface (TE12)			
	Rolow Dark Surfac	· ( / 1 1 )									
	delow Dark Suriau	e (ATT)					Other (Explain in	Remarks)			
				o Massos (	F12) (I DD I	M					
Sandy Mu MI RA 14	uck Mineral (S1) (L 7 148)	RR N,	MLRA 136)	e masses (	1 12) (LKK I	ν,					
	ovod Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)					
				Inlain Soile	(E10) (MI E	, 0. 1.10)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and			
	uux (SS)						wetland hyd	Irology must be present,			
	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	teriai (F2T)	(MILRA 12)	7, 147)	uniess dis	sturbed or problematic.			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observe	d):									
Type:		-									
Depth (inc	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲			
Remarks											
Netharks.											

Project/Site: Blue Moon	on City/County: Cyntiana/Harriso				
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poi	int: DI	P-17
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope:0.0	%/0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N	_at.: 38.36668541	Long.:	-84.25594238	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: FwC - Faywood silt loam, 6 to 12 percent s	lopes		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time Are Vegetation Soil or Hydrology signifi	of year? Yes •	No (If no, exp	llain in Remarks.)	7 Yes 🖲	No O
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology natura	ally problematic?	(If needed, expl	ain any answers in R	emarks.)	
Cummon of Findings Attach site man showin	a compling po	int locations	transasta iman	ortant faati	uraa ata

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No Yes No Yes No No	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No O
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Do	minant		Sampling Point: DP-17
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	Rel Cov	I.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
1. Celtis occidentalis	40		80.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
2. Ulmus americana	10		20.0%	FACW	
3	0		0.0%		Species Across All Strata: 6 (B)
4	0		0.0%		
5	0		0.0%		Percent of dominant Species
6	0		0.0%		THAL ARE OBL, FACW, OF FAC:
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0		0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
	50	= Tot	tal Cover		OBL species x 1 =
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Flot size)	20		100.0%	EACU	FACW species 10 x 2 =20
			0.0%	FACU	FAC species25 x 3 =75
2	0		0.0%		FACU species x 4 =240
3	0		0.0%		UPL species $45 \times 5 = 225$
4	0		0.0%		$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Colump Totals:}  140  \textbf{(A)} \qquad 560  \textbf{(B)} \end{array}$
5	0		0.0%		
6	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index = B/A =
/			0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8			0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9			0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 $^{1}$
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Toi	tal Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1. Rubus occidentalis	20		100.0%	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0		0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>+</sup> (Explain)
3	0		0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0		0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0		0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0		0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0		0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	20	= Tot	tal Cover		of height.
1. Ambrosia trifida	25	✓	50.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in DBH and greater than 3 28 ft (1 m) tall
2. Lonicera maackii	25		50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3.	0		0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5.	0		0.0%	87 	in height.
6.	0		0.0%		Eive Vegetation Strates
7.	0		0.0%		
8.	0		0.0%		free - woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0		0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0		0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0		0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
	50	= Tot	tal Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)			0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
1			0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1
2	0		0.0%		Ing in neight. Maaduuinaa Consists of all was duuine and the state
3	<u> </u>		0.0%		height.
	0		0.0%		
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic
6	0	└ <u></u> _	0.0%		Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ●
	0	= To	tal Covei	r	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	h )				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Dopph (ndrebs)       Matrix       Redox Features       Texture       Remarks         0.20       7.5%       4/6       100       %       Vores       Location         0.20       7.5%       4/6       100       %       Location       Location         0.20       7.5%       4/6       100        Location       Location         0.20       7.5%       4/6       100         Location       Location         0.20       7.5%       4/6       100         Location	Profile Desc	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	t the indicate	or or cor	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Indicators       Color (maix)       %       Color (maix)       %       Local       Texture       Remarks         0-20       7.5YR       4/6       100	Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Feature	s			
0-20       7.51%       4/6       100       Loam         0-20       7.51%       4/6       100       Loam         0-20       7.51%       4/6       100       Loam         0-20       20       20       20       20         1 type: CoConcentration. D-Depiction. MdReduced Matrix. (S2: Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL-Prote Lining, M-Matrix         */pdfr Solit Typecton (A2)       Extension (S1)       PL-Prote Lining, M-Matrix         */pdfr Solit Typecton (A2)       Polyvation Below Starface (S2)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solit 3 <sup>1</sup> ;         */pdfr Solit Typecton (A2)       Polyvation Below Starface (S2)       Complexity Below Reduce (A14)         > Stratified Layers (A5)       Deglecity Below Reduce (A14)       Comparison Matrix (S2)         > Stratified Layers (A5)       Deglecity Below Reduce (A14)       Warey Stratison (F3)         > Stratified Layers (A5)       Deglecity Below Reduce (F1)       Warey Stratison (F3)         > Stratified Layer (A12)       Warey Stratison (F3)       Warey Stratison (F3)         > Stratified K4(S5)       Extension (F3)       Stratison (F10)         > Stratified K4(S5)       Extension (F3)       Warey Stratison (F3)         > Stratified K4(S5)       Extension (F3)       Ware Stratison (F3)         > Stratified K4	(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)		Tvpe <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
1* Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Mathz. CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location:       PL=Pere Lining. M=Matrix: <b>Ydpric</b> : Solir Addicators:       Implementation (S7)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)         Histoid (A1)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)         Bisck Histic (A3)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)         2 cm Mark (A0)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)       Implementation (S3)         2 cm Mark (A0) (BR N)       Implementation (S4)       Implementation (S4)       Implementation (S4)         2 cm Mark (A0) (BR N)       Implementation (S4)       Implementation (S4)       Implementation (S6)         2 cm Mark (A0) (BR N)       Implementation (S4)       Implementation (S6)       Implementation (S6)         2 cm Mark (A0) (BR N)       Implementation (S6)       Implementation (S6)       Implementation (S6)         2 cm Mark (S6)       Implement Material (F21) (MLRA 12, 147)       Implementation of mydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation (S6)         2 mark (S6)       Implement Material (F21) (MLRA 12, 147)       Implementation of mydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation of mydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation of mydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation of mydrogentation of mydrogentation and wetlend hydrogentation of mydrogentation	0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam	
* Type: C-Concentration. D-Depieton. RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Covered or Coated Send Grains       * Instanting M-Matrix         * Type: C-Concentration. D-Depieton. RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Covered or Coated Send Grains       * Instanting M-Matrix         * Hydrix Soil Indicators:       Indicators for Problematic Hydrix Soils ?:         + Histor Typedon (A2)       Polywate Relow Surface (S0) (MLRA 147, 148)         + Bitak Haid (S3)       Const Harding Soil (S1)         > Stratified Layers (A5)       Dim Dark Sarface (S0)         > Depiest Haid (X5)       Dim Dark Sarface (73)         > Depiest Haid (X5)       Dim Dark Sarface (71)         > Depiest Haid (X5)       Dim Dark Sarface (72)         > Depiest Haid (X6)       Depiested Mark (X1)         > Depiest Haid (X6)       Depiested Mark (X1)         > Stratified Layers (X1)       Reduce Approvalement (X2)         > Stratified Layer (X1)       Reduce Approvalement (X2)         > Stratified Layer (X2)       Reduce Approvalement (X2)         > Stratified Layer (X2)       Reduce Approvalement (X2)         > Stratified Layer (X2) <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>									
Type: C-Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grain       *Location:       PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         Hydric Soil Protectors:									
* Type: C-Concentration. D-D Depieton. RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Covered or Coated Sand Grains       * Location. PL-Pore Lining, M-Matrix.         # Hydric Soil Indicators:									
Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Couled Sand Grains       *Location:       PL=Farc Lining, M=Matrix         Hydris Soil Indicators:									
**Type: C-Concentration. D-Depletion. RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL-Pore Lining. M-Matrix         Histosof (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)       Indicators in Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 146)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 146)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A3)       Cam Mark (A10) (MLRA 147, 146)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A3)       Cam Mark (A10) (MLRA 147, 146)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A3)       Cam Mark (A10) (MLRA 147, 146)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A1)       Depleted Dark Surface (F12)       Indicators of Problematic Hydric Soils *:         Histosof (A1)       Depleted Dark Surface (F12)       Other (Explain in Remarks)         Histosof (S1)       Redow Dark Surface (F13)       Indicators of hydrophylic vegetation and wetten hydrop									
"Type: C-Concentration. D-Depletion. RM-Reduced Matrix. CS-Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL-Pare Lining. M-Matrix         Hyteric Soil Indicators:       Dark Surface (S7)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>2</sup> :         Histic Epipednon ((2)       Deplyales Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)       Coated Prains Reduc (A16)         Hydrigon Surface (S7)       Deplyales Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)       Coated Prains Reduc (A16)         Hydrigon Surface (A17)       Depleted Matrix (F2)       Coated Prains Reduc (A16)         Depleted Duck Surface (F3)       Depleted Duck Surface (F7)       Dick Nark Surface (T12)         Depleted Duck Surface (F12)       Other (Explain in Remarks)         Histic Epiped Matrix (S4)       Umbrit: Surface (F13) (MLRA 126, 122)         Sandy Reduc S(S3)       Pederin Moterial (F2) (MLRA 127, 127)         Sandy Reduc S(S3)       Pederin Moterial (F2) (MLRA 127, 127)         Uppeted Matrix (S4)       Umbrit: Surface (F13) (MLRA 128, 122)         Signep Reduc S(S3)       Pederin Moterial (F2) (MLRA 127, 127)         Uppeted Matrix (S4)       Umbrit: Surface (F13) (MLRA 127, 127)         Uppeted Matrix (S4)       Hydric Soil Present?         Yes       No @         Restrictive Layer (fl observed):       Implement Soil Sing Sing Reduc Sing									
**Type: C-Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         **Type: C-Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         **Type: C-Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         **Hole Solid Indicators:									
** Type: C-Concentration. D-Depletion. RM-Reduced Matrix, CS-Covered of Coated Sand Grains       **Location: PL-Pore Lining, M-Matrix         Hydric Soil Indicators:									
* Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Crevered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         * Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Crevered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         * Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S5)       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solis ?:         * Histosol (A2)       Dark Surface (S6)       QULRA 147, 148)         Black Histis (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S6)       Plactmark Float(A10)         Opticipen Suffic (A4)       Deary Diavyot Matrix (F2)       Plactmark Float(A11)         Depleted Matrix (A10) (URR N)       Depleted Matrix (F2)       Plactmark Float(A11)         Depleted Matrix (A11)       Depleted Matrix (F2)       Other (Explain In Remarks)         * Standy Gloge Matrix (S1)       Depleted Matrix (S1)       Plactmark Float(S12) (URR N, MLRA 130, 122)         * Sandy Kedy Matrix (S1)       Depleted Matrix (F2)       * Indicators of hydrophydic vegetation and wortand hydrology must be proceent, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (If observed):       Type:       * Indicators of hydrophydic vegetation and wortand hydrology must be proceent, unless disturbed or problematic.         Type:       Depleted Matrix (S6)       Reed Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 130, 122)       * Indicators of hydrophydic vegetation and wortand hydrology must be proceent, unless disturbed or problematic.         Type:       Type									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration. D=Dapletion: RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         Hydric Soil Indicators:									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cancentration. D=Depietion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix         Hydric Soll Indicators:       Indicators for Problematic Hydric Solls <sup>3</sup> ;         I Isidic Epipeon (A2)       Doyslub: Bolow Surface (S3) (MLRA 147, 148)         I Bidek Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S3) (MLRA 147, 148)         I Hydric Soll Problematic Hydric Solls <sup>3</sup> ;       Coast Paria Redox (A16) (MLRA 147, 148)         I Stratified Layers (A5)       Dopelied Matrix (F3)         I Stratified Layers (A5)       Depieted Matrix (F3)         I Stratified Layers (A5)       Pledmont Floodplain Solis (T19) (MLRA 143, 142)         I Stratified Layers (A5)       Pledmont Floodplain Solis (T19) (MLRA 142), unless disturbed or problematic.         Stratified Matrix (S4)       I Unter: Surface (F13) (MLRA 142, 147)         I Stratified Matrix (S4)       Pledmont Floodplain Solis (T19) (MLRA 142, 147)         U Stratified Layer (If Observed):       Topetana matrix									
*Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Graits       *Location: PL=Pare Lining. M=Matrix         Hydric Soil Indicators:									
Hydric Soll Indicators:       Indicators of problematic Hydric Solls <sup>3</sup> :         Histos (14)       Dark Surface (57)         Histos (23)       Polyvalue Below Surface (58) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrog Sufface (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (59) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrog Sufface (A3)       Dappideed Mairk (72)         Unitation Layers (A5)       Depleted Dark Surface (76)         Depleted Dark Surface (76)       Depleted Dark Surface (76)         Strike Layers (A12)       Depleted Dark Surface (71)         Depleted Dark Surface (71)       Depleted Dark Surface (71)         Strike Layers (A12)       Depleted Dark Surface (71)         Strike Layers (A12)       Depleted Dark Surface (71)         Strike Layers (A12)       Depleted Dark Surface (71)         Strike Layers (K5)       Pedmont Floodplan Soils (F12) (LR N, MLRA 132, 122)         Strike Layer (if observed):       Trom-Manganese Masses (F12) (LR N, MLRA 132, 122)         Strike Layer (if observed):       Trom-Manganese Masses (F12) (MLRA 147, 147)         Weeting Hydrog Mairk (S5)       Pedmont Floodplan Soils (F19) (MLRA 142, 147)         Weeting Hydrog Mairk (S4)       Indicators of Hydrog Mairk (S2)         Type:       Depleted Dark Surface (F13) (MLRA 142, 147)         Weeting Hydrog Mairk (S4)       Indicators of Hydrog Mairk (S4)         Thom Dark Surface (S5) <td><sup>1</sup>Type: C=Cor</td> <td>ncentration. D=Depletio</td> <td>on. RM=Red</td> <td>uced Matrix, CS=Cover</td> <td>ed or Coated S</td> <td>Sand Gra</td> <td>ins <sup>2</sup>Loca</td> <td>tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M</td> <td>atrix</td>	<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=Depletio	on. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coated S	Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Instruct Explored (A2)       □ Dark Surface (S7)       □ Crm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)         □ Histic Explored (A2)       □ Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)       □ Crm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)         □ Back Histic (A3)       □ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)       □ Crm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)         □ Strutified Layers (A5)       □ Depleted Matrix (T2)       □ Muck (A10) (MLRA 147, 148)         □ Strutified Layers (A5)       □ Depleted Matrix (T2)       □ Muck (A10) (MLRA 147, 148)         □ Depleted Matrix (T2)       □ Depleted Matrix (T2)       □ Other (Explain in Remarks)         □ Struty Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136, 122)       □ Other (Explain in Remarks)         □ Sandy Glegved Matrix (S4)       □ Umbri: Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       □ <sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and welland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (If observed):       □ Type:	Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
I istic Epipedon (A2)       □ Polyvalue Bekow Surface (S9) (ULRA 147, 148)         □ Back Histic (A3)       □ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (ULRA 147, 148)         □ Brack Histic (A3)       □ Darp Gleged Matrix (F2)         □ Stratified Layers (A5)       □ Depleted Matrix (F3)         □ Depleted Balow Dark Surface (F7)       □ Redox Dark Surface (F12)         □ Depleted Balow Dark Surface (T13)       □ Depleted Dark Surface (F2)         □ Sardy Redox Operasions (F8)       □ Untor-Monganese Massas (F12) (UR N, MLRA 136)         □ Sardy Redox (S5)       □ Peidmont Floodplin Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         □ Sardy Redox (S5)       □ Peidmont Floodplin Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         □ Stripped Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 120, 127, 147)         □ Depleted Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 120, 127, 147)	Histosol	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Black Histic (A3)               Thin Dark Surface (5%) (MLRA 147, 148)             (MLRA 147, 148	Histic Ep	ipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8)	) (MLRA	147,148)	Coast Prairie Pede	x (A16)
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)         Camy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Depleted Matrix (F2)         Cam Muck A136, 147)         Depleted Matrix (F3)         Depleted Matrix (F3)         Cam Muck A100 (LRR N)         Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N,         MLRA 136, 147)         Construct (F13)         Construct (F13)	Black His	stic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLR	A 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	(A10)
□       Strittled Layers (Ab)       □       Depleted Matrix (F3)       (MLRA 136, 147)       (MLRA 136, 147)         □       2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       □       Redox Dark Surface (F7)       □       Other (Explain in Remarks)         □       Depleted Matrix (S1)       □       Depleted Matrix (S1)       □       Other (Explain in Remarks)         □       Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       □       □       Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         □       Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       □       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       3       Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (If observed):       Type:	Hydroge	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Depleted Dark Surface (F6)       Uvery Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)       Other (Explain in Remarks)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MERA 136, 142)       Fedox Dord Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       Inor-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136, 142)         Sandy Geleyed Matrix (S4)       Other For Manganese Masses (F12) (MLRA 136, 122)       Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (If observed):       Type:	Stratified	l Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
□       Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12)       □       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)       □       Other (Explain in Remarks)         □       Thick Dark Surface (A12)       □       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)       □       Other (Explain in Remarks)         □       Thick Dark Surface (A12)       □       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)       □       Other (Explain in Remarks)         □       Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       □       Imbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       □       3       Indicators of hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         □       Stripped Matrix (S6)       □       Dedpeted Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)       unless disturbed or problematic.         Type:	2 cm Mu	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	irface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
□       Thick Dark Surface (A12)       □       Redox Depressions (F8)       □       □       Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MILRA 147, 148)         □       Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       □       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       3 indicators of hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         □       Sandy Redox (S5)       □       Piedmont Floodplain Solis (F19) (MLRA 148)       3 indicators of hydrophylic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (if observed):       Type:	Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)
□       Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         □       MLRA 137, 148)       □         □       Sandy Gebyed Matrix (S4)       □       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         □       Sandy Redox (S5)       □       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)       wetland hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrophytic vegetation and method hydrophytic vegetation and method hydrophytic vegetation and method hydrophytic vegetation and methods wetland hydrophytic vegetation and hydrophytic vegetation and methods wetland hydrophytic vegetation and methods wetland hydrophytic vegetation and methods wetland hydrophytic vegetation and hydrophytic vegetati	Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)				(condition)
MLRá 147, 148) MLRá 136,   Sandy Gleyel Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)   Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)   Vetland hydrology must be present,   unless disturbed or problematic.   Restrictive Layer (if observed):   Type:   Depth (inches):   Hydric Soil Present? Yes No • No •	Sandy M	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR N	٨.	Iron-Manganes	se Masses (F12	2) (LRR M	١,		
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       □ Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)       ³ Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Stripped Matrix (S6)       □ Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)       anless disturbed or problematic.         Type:	MLRÁ 14	7, 148)		MLRA 136)					
Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)       Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and weten and hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.         Restrictive Layer (If observed):       Type:	Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLRA	136, 12	2)	3	
Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)     Restrictive Layer (If observed):   Type:   Depth (inches):   Remarks:	Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils (F	19) (MLR	A 148)	<sup>o</sup> Indicators of wetland by:	hydrophytic vegetation and Irology must be present
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	iterial (F21) (N	/ILRA 127	', 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
Type:	Postrictivo I	aver (if observed).							
Depth (inches): Hydric Soll Present? Yes No	Type	ayer (il observed).							
Remarks:	Dopth (in	shos).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🖲
Remarks:		unes):						-	
	Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blu	ie Moon				on City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison					pling Da	Date: 23-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy					State	КҮ	Sampling P	oint:	D	P-18	
Investigator(s):	stigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz				Section, Tow	nship, Rang	e: S	т		R			
Landform (hillslop	e, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, conv	ex, none	e):	Slope	0.0	%/	.0 °
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA):	MLRA 2	17 in LRR N		Lat.:	38.36473495		Long.:	-84.25719265		Datum	:	
Soil Map Unit Nam	e: FwB -	Faywood	silt loam, 2 to	6 percent	slopes				NWI classification	n: N/A			
Are climatic/hydro	ologic condi	tions on	the site typical	for this tim	ie of ye	ear? Yes		lf no, exp	olain in Remarks.)		es 🖲		
Are Vegetation	, soir		or Hydrology		irally p	problematic?	(If need	ded, expl	lain any answers in	Remarks	s.)		
C	<b>Fin din m</b>		a a la a la a ma										_

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No O
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲	within a Wetland?
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:					Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)				S	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)		parsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)	
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		rainage Patterns (B10)	
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	Roots (C3)	loss Trim Lines (B16)	
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	🗌 C	ry Season Water Table (C2)	
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soil	s (C6)	rayfish Burrows (C8)	
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	🗌 s	aturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	🗌 s	tunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
Iron Deposits (B5)			,		eomorphic Position (D2)	
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)				🗌 s	hallow Aquitard (D3)	
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				N	licrotopographic Relief (D4)	
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				🗌 F	AC-neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No (	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?		No (				
	105 0	110				
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology	Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):     Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No ( ge, mo	Depth (inches):     Depth (inches):     Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ( ge, mo	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  phitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  ponitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No ( ge, mo	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      pnitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      phitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      pritoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No O	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  pointoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No O	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  ponitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No O	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  ponitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  ponitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):      ponitoring well, aerial photos, previous ins	Wetland Hydrology pections), if available:	Present? Yes O No 🖲	
,,,	Dominant			Sampling Point: <u>DP-18</u>		
--	---------------------	-----------------	------------	------------------------------	---	--
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	Absolute % Cover	−sµ Re Co	el.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
1 Celtis occidentalis	30		66.7%	FACU	Number of Dominant Species That are OBL. FACW. or FAC: 2 (A)	
2 Ulmus americana	15		33.3%	FACW		
3	0		0.0%		Total Number of Dominant	
Δ	0		0.0%		Species Across Air Strata. 0 (b)	
т 5	0		0.0%		Percent of dominant Species	
6	0		0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:33.3% (A/B)	
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
0	0		0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
0	45	= To	tal Cover		0Bl species 0 x 1 = 0	
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)					$\frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100} = \frac{1}{100}$	
1. Celtis occidentalis	20		100.0%	FACU	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 \\ 15 $	
2	0		0.0%		FAC species $23$ x 3 = $75$	
3	0		0.0%		FACU species $50$ x 4 = $200$	
4	0		0.0%		UPL species x 5 =	
5	0		0.0%		Column Totals: <u>140</u> (A) <u>555</u> (B)	
6	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.964$	
7	0		0.0%		Hudronhutia Vagatatian Indiastors	
8	0		0.0%		Panid Test for Hydronbytic Vegetation	
9	0		0.0%			
10	0		0.0%		$\square$ Dominance Test Is > 50%	
	20	= To	otal Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{-1}$	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	25		100.0%	LIDI	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
			0.0%	UPL	$\square Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation 1 (Explain)$	
2	0		0.0%			
3	0		0.0%		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
4	0		0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strates	
5	0		0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata.	
6	0		0.0%		FOUR VEGELATION STRATE:	
7	0	$\Box_{i}$	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless	
Herb Stratum <sup>(Plot size:</sup> )	25	= To	otal Cover		of height.	
1. Ambrosia trifida	25	$\checkmark$	50.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in, DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
2. Lonicera maackii	25	$\checkmark$	50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,	
3	0		0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft	
5	0		0.0%		in neight.	
6	0		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:	
7	0		0.0%		Trop - Woody plants, evoluting woody vines, approximately 20	
8	0		0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in	
9	0		0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).	
10.	0		0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less	
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.	
12.	0		0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	50	= Tc	otal Cover		Vines, approximately 5 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height. Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants	
	0	$\square$	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody	
2			0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1	
2	0		0.0%		Woody vince - Consists of all woody vince, reportilizes of	
3	<u> </u>		0.0%		height.	
4			0.00%			
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic	
б	0		0.0%		vegetation Present? Yes ○ No ●	
	0	= T(	otal Cove	r		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Profile Desci	ription: (Describ	e to the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Mat	rix	Ree	dox Featu	res1		_				
(inches)	Color (mois	<u>.t) %</u>	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	/.5YR 4/6						Loam				
							. <u></u>				
							,				
				- ,			,				
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Dep	oletion. RM=Redu	iced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)				
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MERA 147)			
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)							
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			(MI RA 136 147)	ain Soils (F19)			
	k (A10) (I RR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)				C			
	Dalaw Dark Curfa	- (A11)		Surface (F	7)		U Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)				
	Below Dark Surface	e (ATT)		ions (EQ)			Uther (Explain in Remarks)				
	rk Surface (A12)				E12) /I DD I	M.					
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1) (L 7 148)	RR N,	MLRA 136)	e Masses (	F12) (LKK I	ν,					
				(F13) (MI	RA 136 12	2)					
				halain Saila	(E10) (MLF	-/	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present,				
	edox (S5)				(F 19) (IVILF	(A 148)					
	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless disturbed or problematic.				
Restrictive L	ayer (if observe	d):									
Type:											
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲			
Domarke											
Remarks.											

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampl	ing Date: 23-Jun	-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poi	int: DP	-19
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.36068684	Long.:	-84.25398419	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: FwC - Faywood silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	S		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significantl	ear? Yes 🖲 ly disturbed?	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present?	? Yes 🖲 N	10 🔿
Are Vegetation 🗌 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 naturally p	roblematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any answers in Re	emarks.)	

## Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ● Yes ● Yes ●	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{ullet}$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes • No · Depth (inches): 1	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes I No Depth (inches): 0	rology Present? Yes $igodoldsymbol{\in}$ NO $igodoldsymbol{\cup}$
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if avail	lable:
Remarks:	

	Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-19		
	Absolute	Re	I.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:	
(Plot size:)	% Cover	<u>Co</u>	ver	Status	Number of Dominant Species	
1. Ulmus americana	20		33.3%	FACW	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)	
2. Acer saccharinum	20		33.3%	FACIU	Total Number of Dominant	
			33.3%	FACU	Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)	
4	0		0.0%		Percent of dominant Species	
5 6	0		0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 80.0% (A/B)	
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:	
8	0		0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:	
0:	60	 = To	tal Cover		OBL species 0 x 1 = 0	
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)					FACW species $65 \times 2 = 130$	
1	0		0.0%		FAC species $25 \times 3 = 75$	
2	0		0.0%		EACIL species $20 \times 4 = 80$	
3	0		0.0%		FACU species $20$ x 4 = $0$	
4	0		0.0%		$\begin{array}{c} \text{opl} \text{specilies} & \underline{  } \\ \text{opl} & \underline{  } \\ \text{specilies} & \underline{ } \\ \text{specilies} & \underline{  } \\ \ \text{specilies} & \underline{  } \\ \ \text{specilies} & \underline{ } \\ \ \ \ \ \ } \\ \ \ \ \      \\ \ \ \ \$	
5	0		0.0%		$\begin{array}{c} \text{Column lotals:}  \underline{110}  \text{(A)}  \underline{285}  \text{(b)} \\ \end{array}$	
6	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 2.591$	
7	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:	
8	0		0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation	
9	0		0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%	
10	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		<b>V</b> Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= To	tal Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting	
1	0		0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
2	0		0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>+</sup> (Explain)	
3	0		0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
4	0		0.0%			
5	0		0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:	
6	0		0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:	
7	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless	
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= To	tal Cover		of height.	
1. Vernonia fasciculata	25		50.0%	FAC	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.	
2. Persicaria maculosa	25		50.0%	FACW	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,	
3	0		0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.	
5	0		0.0%			
6	0		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:	
7	0		0.0%	·	Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20	
8	0		0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast beight (DBH)	
9	0		0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
10	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less	
11	0		0.0%		than 3 III. (7.0 CIII) DBH. Shruh stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
12	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	50	= 10	tal Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,	
1	0		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1	
2	0		0.0%		m) in height.	
3	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of	
4	0		0.0%			
5	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic	
6	0	$\square$	0.0%		Vegetation Procent? Yes No	
	0	= To	tal Cove	r		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Profile Descri	iption: (Describe to	the depth ne	eded to documen	t the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix Redox Features							
(inches)	Color (moist)		Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	90	5YR 3/4	10	C	M	Loam	
p				_				
		·					·	
		· ·						
			E					
1								
'Type: C=Cond	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Reduce	ed Matrix, CS=Cover	red or Coate	ed Sand Gra	iins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
	41)		Dark Surface	(S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epip	oedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	ow Surface (	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)		x (A16)
Black Histi	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Sur	face (S9) (N	1LRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	l Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)
Stratified I	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matr	ix (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck	< (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark S	urface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Depleted I	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in F	Remarks)
Thick Dark	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depres	sions (F8)				
Sandy Mue MLRA 147	ck Mineral (S1) (LRR N ', 148)	I,	Iron-Mangane MLRA 136)	ese Masses (	(F12) (LRR I	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	ved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)		
Sandy Red	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	odplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of h	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped N	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent M	aterial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless dis	turbed or problematic.
				. ,				
Restrictive La	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:							Ukudaia Cail Dassarato	
Depth (inch	nes):						Hydric Soll Present?	$Yes \bullet No \cup$
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	pling Date: 23-J	un-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling P	oint: C	)P-20
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):		Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.360463	Long.: -{	34.25315467	Datum	::
Soil Map Unit Name: FwC - Faywood silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes	6		WI classification	n: N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       significantl         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       naturally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed? roblematic?	NO (If no, expla Are "Normal Circur (If needed, explair	in in Remarks.) nstances" preser n any answers in	<sub>nt?</sub> Yes 🖲 Remarks.)	No O
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	int locations, tra	ansects, imp	portant feat	ures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No Yes No Yes No No	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No O within a Wetland?
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one re	equired; cl	heck all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)		Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)			Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B	7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)			FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0		
Surface Water Present? Yes 🔾	No 💌	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes O	No 🖲	Wetlan Depth (inches):	d Hydrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 😌
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge	e, monitori	ing well, aerial photos, previous inspections),	if available:
Remarks:			

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-20		
	Absolute	-Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Celtis occidentalis	30	▼ 75.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Ulmus americana		▶ 25.0%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant		
3		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)		
4	0			Porcent of dominant Species		
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)		
6	0					
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0			Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	40	= Total Cover		OBL species $0 \times 1 = 0$		
1 Celtis occidentalis	10	✔ 100.0%	FACU	<b>FACW species</b> <u>10</u> <b>x 2</b> = <u>20</u>		
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC species</b> <u>15</u> <b>x 3</b> = <u>45</u>		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species x 4 =60		
۵ ۱	0	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =50		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals:		
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.667$		
7	0	0.0%				
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
9	0	0.0%				
10	0	0.0%		$\Box$ Dominance lest is > 50%		
	10	= Total Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \le 3.0^{-1}$		
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)	0	0.0%		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
аа	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
5	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.		
(Plot size: )	0	= Total Cover		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
Herb Stratum (Flot size:)	15	<b>1</b> (0.0%)	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding		
1. Ambrosia trifida	10	<ul> <li>✓ 00.0%</li> <li>✓ 40.0%</li> </ul>		vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
		40.0%	UPL	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
3	0			Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
4	0			in height.		
5	0					
7	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
8	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in beight and 3 in (7.6 cm) or larger in		
0	0			diameter at breast height (DBH).		
9	0			Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
11	0			than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0			Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
	25	= Total Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
<u>Woody Vine Stratum</u> (Plot size:)		0.00/		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
1	0			species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1		
2	0			m) in neight.		
3	0			woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of height.		
4				-		
5	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0			Vegetation Present? Yes ◯ No ●		
	0	= Iotal Cover	-			
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indicator or co	onfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Features			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	% Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100				Loam	
					- ,		
						,	
	·				- ,		
						,,,	
Гуре: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	on. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coated Sand Gr	ains <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
-Iydric Soil I	Indicators:					Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)		2 cm Muck (A10)	- (MI RA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8) (MLRA	A 147,148)		
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLRA 147,	148)	Coast Prairie Redo (MI RA 147 148)	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			ain Soile (E10)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)		(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Sons (FT9)
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)		Vory Shallow Dark	(Surface (TE12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			
	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)		U Other (Explain in I	Remarks)
				se Masses (F12) (I RR	N		
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	10 (LRR 10), 148)	Ν,	MLRA 136)		Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLRA 136, 1	22)		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils (F19) (MI	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of I	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21) (MLRA 12	27, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):						
Type:						Hydric Soil Present?	
Depth (inc	hes):					Tryune Son Present:	
Remarks:							

Project/Site: Blu	ue Moon				City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison			Sam	te: 23-Jun-21				
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy					State	KY	Sampling P	oint:	D	P-21	
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffn	nann and V	Wyatt Goertz			Section, Tow	nship, Rang	e: S	т		R		
Landform (hillslop	e, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, conv	ex, none	•):	Slope:	0.0	%/	.0 °
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA):	MLRA 2	17 in LRR N		Lat.:	38.35964445		Long.:	-84.25668315		Datum	:	
Soil Map Unit Nam	ne: FwC -	Faywood	silt loam, 6 to	12 percent	slopes	S			NWI classification	n: <u>N/A</u>			
Are climatic/hydro	ologic condi	tions on	the site typical	for this tim	e of ye	ear?Yes 🖲	No 🔿 🕧	f no, exp	olain in Remarks.)				
Are Vegetation	, Soil	□,	or Hydrology	🗌 sign	ificant	ly disturbed?	Are "No	rmal Cire	cumstances" preser	nt? Yo	es 🔍	No $\bigcirc$	
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil	□ ,	or Hydrology	natu	irally p	problematic?	(If need	ded, expl	ain any answers in	Remarks	5.)		
C		· • • • • •							transsats insu		I feat		-

## Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes No Yes No Yes No No	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No O
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-21
	Absolute	- Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Celtis occidentalis	30	<b>✓</b> <u>50.0%</u>	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Fraxinus americana	30	50.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)
4	0	0.0%		Demonst of dominant Crossics
5	0	0.0%		Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC: 40.0% (A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling Sapling /Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	60	= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =
	0	0.0%		FACW species x 2 =
1	0	0.0%		FAC species30 x 3 =90
2.	0			FACU species x 4 =300
3	0			UPL species x 5 =
4	0			Column Totals: 105 (A) 390 (B)
5	0			
0 7	0			Prevalence Index = B/A = 3.714
<i>.</i>	0			Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8	0			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9				Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 $^{1}$
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover	•	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.
1 Vernonia fasciculata	15	33.3%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
2 Ambrosia trifida	15	33.3%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
3 Arctium minus	15	33.3%	FACU	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in height.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strates
7.	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		free - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
	45	= Total Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size)		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
1				species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1
2	0			Ing in neight. Weedwainee Consists of all was should be a line of the second second second second second second second second
3	<u> </u>			height.
	<u> </u>			
5	0	□ <u>0.0%</u>		Hydrophytic
6	0	<u> </u>		Vegetation Present? Yes No 🖲
	0	= Total Cove	r	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)			

rofile Descr	ription: (Describe to	the depth i	needed to document	the indicate	or or cor	firm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix	<u> </u>	Re	dox Feature	<b>s</b> 1		<b>-</b> ,	<b>D</b>
(inches)	Color (moist)	100	Color (moist)	%	[vpe	LOC <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.51R 4/0	100						
							_	
/pe: C=Con	centration. D=Depletic	on. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coated	Sand Grai	ns <sup>2</sup> Locat	ion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
dric Soil I	Indicators:		_				Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
」Histosol(	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (S8	3) (MLRA <sup>·</sup>	147,148)	Coast Prairie Pode	· · · ·
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (MLF	RA 147, 14	18)	(MLRA 147,148)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			Other (Explain in	Remarks)
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				
Sandy Mu MLRA 14	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	Ν,	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	e Masses (F1	2) (LRR N	l,		
Sandy Gle	eved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLRA	A 136, 122	2)		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils (F	- 19) (MLR	A 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	nydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21) (N	MLRA 127	, 147)	wetland hyd unless dis	rology must be present, sturbed or problematic.
estrictive I	aver (if observed)			. , .		. ,		
Type:	ayer (ii observed).							
Denth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🖲
	nes).							
emarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ing Date: 23-Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poi	nt: DP-22
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope: <u>0.0</u> % / <u>0.0</u> °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38718563	Long.:	-84.25531136	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	ent slopes		NWI classification:	PUBHh
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	olain in Remarks.) cumstances" present? ain any answers in Re	, Yes  No
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	oint locations,	transects, impo	ortant features, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$				

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O	No () No ()	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\otimes$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0	0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$Yes \bigcirc$	No 💿	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 💿 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲 railable:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	railable:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O ream gaug	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O ream gaug	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲 railable:
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 🖲 ge, monito	Wetland Hy Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland Hy Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland Hy Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Depth (inches):       Wetland Hy         ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland Hy Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No 💿	Wetland Hy Depth (inches): Wetland Hy ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland Hy Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No () ge, monito	Wetland Hy Depth (inches):	rdrology Present? Yes 🔾 No 💌

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-22
Trac Chrotum (Plot size)	Absolute % Cover	-Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
	0			Number of Dominant Species
1				That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
2				Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata: (B)
4				Dereent of dominant Species
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0%(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sanling-Sanling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:		= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =
A	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
1		0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
2				FACU species35 x 4 =140
3				UPL species35 x 5 =175
4				Column Totals: 70 (A) 315 (B)
5				
6				Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.500$
/				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0			Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4.	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
(Plet size:	0 =	= Total Cover		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Herb Stratum (Plot size)		50.000	FAOL	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Arrhenatherum elatius		▼ <u>50.0%</u>	FACU	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Carduus nutans		▼ <u>50.0%</u>	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3 28 ft tall
3				Woody vines - Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
4				in height.
5				
6				Five Vegetation Strata:
/	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast beight (DBH)
9	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	70 =	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	 	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
U		= Total Cove		Present? Yes No 💿
Domarka: (Include photo numbers here or on a concrete share				

ep

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	ires				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
	7.5YR 4/6	100	·						
		-							
	·	-	·						
								,	
			·						
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	ncentration. D=Depletion	on. RM=Red	luced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> .	
Histosol	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)					
🗌 Histic Epi	ipedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MLRA 147)	
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	/ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Red (MLPA 147 148)	хх (А16)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)	)			lein Seile (F10)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)		
2 cm Muc	ck (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Verv Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)	
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				(charles)	
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR I	N,	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	(F12) (LRR I	Ν,			
MLRĂ 14	7, 148)		MLRA 136)						
Sandy Gl	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MI	_RA 136, 12	2)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vogetation and	
Sandy Re	edox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils	s (F19) (MLF	RA 148)	wetland hyd	drology must be present,	
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	) (MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.	
Restrictive L	aver (if observed):								
Type:									
Depth (inc	thes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Domarka									
Remarks:									
1									

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	pling Date: 23-	Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling P	oint: [	OP-23
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38924848	Long.:	-84.25671608	Datum	וי:
Soil Map Unit Name: MsD2 - McAfee silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slope	es, eroded		NWI classification	n: N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🖲 ly disturbed?	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) cumstances" presen	<sub>t?</sub> Yes 🖲	No 〇
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expl	ain any answers in	Remarks.)	
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	oint locations,	transects, imp	ortant feat	tures, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	(B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	$\sim$	$\sim$		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🔍	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Wetland H	Aydrology Present? Tes C NO C
Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gau	ge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	available:
Remarks:				

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-23
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Fraxinus americana	25	62.5%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Celtis occidentalis		▼ 37.5%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)
4	0			Percent of dominant Species
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: $40.0\%$ (A/B)
6	0			
<i>1</i>	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
8				
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)	40			$\begin{array}{c} \text{OBL species} \\ \text{OBL species} \\$
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $40 \times 3 = 120$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $40$ x 4 = $160$
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $10$ x 5 = $50$
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A) <u>330</u> (B)
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.667$
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		of height.
1 Ambrosia trifida	30	✔ 60.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
2 Vernonia fasciculata	10	20.0%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
3 Lonicera maackii	10	20.0%	UPL	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4.	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in height.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree Weedy plants evoluting weedy vince approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )	50	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2.	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3.	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6.	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes $\cup$ No $ullet$
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee				1

F

Profile Desci	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)		
Depth (inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	iox Featu %	Type 1		Texture	Remarks	
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100			TYDE			Kenlarks	
				<u></u>					
				<u></u>					
		-				-			
							,n		
				-					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletic	n. RM=Red	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	ıtrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (S	57)					
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface	(S8) (MLRA	147,148)	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)	
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	nce (S9) (N	/LRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	x (A16)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2)	)		(WILKA 147,146)		
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	in Solis (F19)	
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TE12)	
	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)				
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)	,	Redox Depress	ions (F8)				(endres)	
Sandy Mi	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N	d.	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	(F12) (LRR	N,			
MLRA 14	7, 148)	-,	MLRA 136)						
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MI	_RA 136, 12	2)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	plain Soils	s (F19) (MLF	RA 148)			
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	) (MLRA 12	7, 147)			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):								
Type:							Hydric Soil Present?		
Depth (inc	hes):						Tryunc Son Present:		
Remarks:									

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampling Date: 24-Jun-21			
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Po	int: DP-	-24	
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	ship, Range: S	т	R		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Flat	Local relief (cor	icave, convex, none)	):	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/°	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38565216	Long.:	-84.24901686	Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name: FyB2 - Faywood silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent	slopes, eroded		NWI classification	: N/A		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🖲 I	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present	<sub>?</sub> Yes 🖲 N	lo $\bigcirc$	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any answers in R	emarks.)		
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	int locations, t	ransects, imp	ortant featur	res, etc.	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ● Yes ○ No ● Yes ○ No ●	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No 💿 within a Wetland?
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:				Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one r	equired; che	ck all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)		True Aquatic Plants (B14)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)		] Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)		Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	oots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)		Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)		Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)		Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)		Other (Explain in Remarks)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B	7)			Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)				Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0			
Surface Water Present? Yes 🔾	No 🔍	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):		
Saturation Present? Yes O	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydro	ology Present? Yes 🔾 NO 👁
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge	e, monitoring	g well, aerial photos, previous inspe	ections), if availa	able:
Remarks:				

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-24
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover	r	OBL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
о Л	0	0.0%		UPL species $100 \times 5 = 500$
т 5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>500</u> (B)
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000
7	0	0.0%	-	
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		$\Box Dominance Test is > 50\%$
	0 -	= Total Cove		Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{-1}$
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2	0			1 Indianton of hydric coil and wattend hydrology must
3	0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4	0			Definition of Vegetation Strata:
5	0			Four Vegetation Strata:
6	0			Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
1	0	<u> </u>		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)			r	or neight. Sanling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Medicago sativa	100	✓ 100.0%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2		0.0%	- <u></u>	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast neight (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	100 =	= Total Cover	r	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	 	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes No 🖲
Domarka, (Include photo numbers here or on a consecto ches			-	

ep

Profile Descr	ription: (Describe to	the depth r	needed to document	the indica	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Red	dox Featu	res				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%		Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam		
					-				
			u				·		
							, <u> </u>		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix	
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (S	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)	
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(114)	
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (M	LRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	(A10)	
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed I	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)		
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)	
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7	7)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)	
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)					
Sandy Mu MLRA 14	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	١,	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	e Masses (	F12) (LRR	Ν,			
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)	3		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	lplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>o</sup> Indicators of wetland hyd	hydrophytic vegetation and rology must be present.	
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless disturbed or problematic.		
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):								
Туре:									
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲	
Remarks:									
riomanior									

Project/Site: Blu	e Moon				City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison					
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy				State: KY	Sampling Po	oint: D	P-25	
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffr	mann and Wy	att Goertz		Section, Tow	nship, Range: S	т	R		
Landform (hillslop	e, terrace,	etc.):			Local relief (co	oncave, convex, no	ne):	Slope: 0.0	%/°	
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA):	MLRA 217	in LRR N	L	at.: 38.39216946	Long	.: -84.24493378	Datum	:	
Soil Map Unit Nam	e: FyC2 -	Faywood si	Ity clay loam	, 6 to 12 perc	ent slopes, eroded		NWI classification	: N/A		
Are climatic/hydro	ologic condi	tions on the	e site typical	for this time	of year? Yes 🖲	No 🔾 (If no, e	xplain in Remarks.)			
Are Vegetation		. , 0	r Hydrology		cantly disturbed?	Are "Normal C	ircumstances" present	? Tes 🙂		
Are Vegetation	, Soil	, o	Hydrology	natura	Ily problematic?	(If needed, ex	plain any answers in R	Remarks.)		

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No 💿 No 💿 No 💿	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{ullet}$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-25
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Celtis occidentalis	30	66.7%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Ulmus americana	15	✓ 33.3%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0			Percent of dominant Species
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	45	= Total Cover		$\begin{array}{c} \text{OBL specilies}  \underline{0}  \text{x 1} = \underline{0} \\ \end{array}$
1	0	0.0%		FACW species x 2 =30
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC speci es</b> $15$ <b>x 3</b> = $45$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species30 x 4 =120
4	0	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =75
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: (A) (B)
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3600$
7.	0	0.0%		
8.	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	0	0.0%		
10.	0	0.0%		$\square$ Dominance lest is > 50%
Charle Charles (Diet size)	0	= Total Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{-2}$
	0	0.0%		<ul> <li>Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)</li> </ul>
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast beight (DBH) regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.
1 Ambrosia trifida	15	✓ 50.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
2 Lonicera maackii	15	50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5.	0	0.0%		in height.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree Weedurlente evoluting weeduringe ennewimetelu 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	30	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants.
<u>1</u>	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6.	0	0.0%		Hydropnytic Vegetation
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes $\cup$ No $ullet$
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee				1

F

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth ne	eded to document	the indica	ator or co	nfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	res1			
(inches)	Color (moist)		Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100			- <u></u>		Loam	
			"					
-								
	·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI RA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	w Surface (S	58) (MLRA	147,148)		(A16)
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (M	LRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	0X (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	k (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7	)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7, 148)	Ι,	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	e Masses (I	-12) (LRR I	Ν,		
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)	2	
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of wetland by	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped M	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 127	7, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
<b>Destrictive</b>	aver (if elserved).							
	ayer (il observed):							
Denth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Depution	nes).							
Remarks:								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ng Date: 24-Jur	Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: DP	-26		
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	nship, Range: S	т	R			
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/°		
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38793335	Long.:	-84.24284745	Datum:			
Soil Map Unit Name: BrB - Brashear silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes			NWI classification:	N/A			
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes • tly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expla	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present? ain any answers in Re	Yes 🔍 I emarks.)	10 🔾		

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	Roots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	(C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
✓ Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes • No · Depth (inches): 6	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes  No Depth (inches): 0	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous insp	pections), if available:
Remarks:	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Do	minant		Sampling Point: DP-26
	Absolute	– Spe Rel	ecles? -	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cov	ver	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Salix nigra	40		80.0%	OBL	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Ulmus americana	10		20.0%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
3	0		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:4(B)
4	0		0.0%		
5	0		0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:100.0%(A/B)
6	0		0.0%		
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	50	= Tot	tal Cover		OBL species x 1 =
1	0	$\square$	0.0%		FACW species 10 x 2 =20
1	0		0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
2	0		0.0%		FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
3	0		0.0%		UPL species x 5 =0
4	0		0.0%		Column Totals: 80 (A) 90 (B)
5	0		0.0%		
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence index = $B/A = 1.125$
0	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
0	0		0.0%		✓ Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9			0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0				$\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)					Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Evaluate)
2	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		
3	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present unless disturbed or problematic
4	0		0.0%		Definition of Venetation Strates
5	0		0.0%		Definition of vegetation Strata:
6	0		0.0%		FOUR Vegetation Strata:
7	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Tot	tal Cover		of height.
1. <u>Typha latifolia</u>	20	<	66.7%	OBL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Alternanthera philoxeroides	10	<ul><li>✓</li></ul>	33.3%	OBL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3			0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0		0.0%		in noight.
6	0		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0		0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0		0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0		0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0		0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0		0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: )	30	= Tot	tal Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
1	0		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4	0		0.0%		height.
5	0		0.0%		
6	0	$\square$	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= To	tal Cover		Present? Yes • No ·
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee		-			1

Depth     Matrix     Redox Features       (inches)     Color (moist)     %     Tvpe     1     Loc2     Texture     Remarks       0-20     7.5YR     3/2     90     5YR     3/3     10     C     M     Loam	
Color (moist)         %         Color (moist)         %         Type         Loc²         Texture         Remarks           0-20         7.5YR         3/2         90         5YR         3/3         10         C         M         Loam	
U-20         7.5YR         3/2         90         5YR         3/3         10         C         M         Loam	
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Location: PL=Pore Lining. M=Matrix	
Hydric Soil Indicators: Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) 2 cm Muck (A10) (MLRA 147)	
Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147,148)	
Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) (MLRA 147,148)	
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	
Stratified Layers (A5)     Depleted Matrix (F3)     (MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)     Redox Dark Surface (F6)     Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12)	
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	
☐ Thick Dark Surface (A12)	
Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N.	
MLRA 147, 148) MLRA 136)	
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	
Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	and
Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) unless disturbed or problematic.	1
Restrictive Layer (if observed):	
Hydric Soil Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔿	
Depth (inches):	
Remarks:	

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	Sampling Date: 24-		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling P	oint: I	DP-27	
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	nship, Range: S	т	R		
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (co	ncave, convex, none	):	Slope: 0.0	%/°	
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38790435	Long.:	-84.24360025	Datun	n:	
Soil Map Unit Name: BrB - Brashear silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes			NWI classification	n: N/A		
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🖲	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) cumstances" presen	nt? Yes 🖲	No 〇	
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expl	ain any answers in	Remarks.)		
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling po	oint locations,	transects, imp	oortant feat	tures, etc.	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes         No         ●           Yes         No         ●           Yes         No         ●	Is the Sampled Area Yes O No 💿 within a Wetland?
Remarks:		

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:					Sec	ondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	requi	red; cl	neck all that apply)			Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)				True Aquatic Plants (B14)			Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)			Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living	Roots (C3)		Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)			Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils	s (C6)		Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)			Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Other (Explain in Remarks)			Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)							Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)					Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)						Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)							FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:							
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No	$oldsymbol{eta}$	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No	ullet	Depth (inches):			
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No	ullet	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydr	rolog	y Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ NO $\bigcirc$
Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gaug	je, m	onitori	ng well, aerial photos, previous ins	pections), if avail	ilable	:
Remarks:							

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-27
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1	0	0.0%		That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2	0			Total Number of Dominant
3	0			Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0	0.0%		Dereent of dominant Species
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
6	0	0.0%		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	=	= Total Cover	r	OBL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
л	0	0.0%		UPL species $100 \times 5 = 500$
т. <u> </u>	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A) <u>500</u> (B)
5	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = B/A = 5.000
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		$\Box Dominance Test is > 50\%$
	0 -	= Total Cove	-	Prevalence Index is $\leq 3.0^{-1}$
<u>Shrub Stratum</u> (Plot size:)				Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
1	0	0.0%	·	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2	0			1 Indianton of hydric coil and wattend hydrology must
3	0			be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4	0		·	Definition of Vegetation Strata:
5	0			Four Vegetation Strata:
6	0			Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
1	0			(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Covel	r	or neight. Sanling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Medicago sativa	100	⊻ 100.0%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2	0	0.0%		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast neight (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	100 =	= Total Cover	r	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes No 🖲
Domarka: (Include photo numbers here or on a concrete char			-	

ep

Profile Descr	ription: (Describe	to the depth I	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)					
Depth	Matri	х	Ree	dox Featu	res1							
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	_%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks				
0-20	7.5YR 4/6						Loam					
-												
							·,					
				-								
Ē												
				-			·					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Deple	tion. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix				
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :				
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	57)			$2 \text{ cm} \text{Muck} (\Lambda 10)$	(MI DA 147)				
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)						
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MLPA 147 148)	ox (A16)				
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)								
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	(F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Soiis (F19)				
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	face (F6)			Vory Shallow Darl	(Surface (TE12)				
	Below Dark Surface	(111)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F)	7)							
		(ATT)	Redox Depress	ions (F8)			U Other (Explain in	Remarks)				
			Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (I RR I	A.						
MLRA 14	ICK Mineral (ST) (LRF 7. 148)	≺N,	MLRA 136)	c masses (		•,						
Sandy Gl	aved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	(F13) (ML	.RA 136, 12	2)						
	dov (SE)		Piedmont Floor	Inlain Soils	(F19) (MI F	24 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and					
	Motrix (S4)		Dad Darant Ma	torial (E21)		1 1 1 7)	wetland hydrology must be present,					
				teriai (F2T)	(IVILRA 12)	, 147)						
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed)	:										
Туре:												
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $\cup$ No $ullet$				
Remarks:												

Project/Site: Blue Mo	e Moon					City/County:	Cyntiana/H	larrison	Sam	Sampling Date: 24			Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy							State: KY		Sampling Point:		DI	P-28			
Investigator(s): Cort	bin Hoffn	nann and \	Vyatt Goertz			Section, Town	nship, Ran	ge: S	т		R				
Landform (hillslope, te	errace, e	etc.):				Local relief (cor	ncave, con	vex, none	):	Slope:	0.0	%/_(	).0 °		
Subregion (LRR or MLI	RA):	MLRA 21	7 in LRR N		Lat.:	38.38766638		Long.:	-84.24761018		Datum:				
Soil Map Unit Name:	FyC2 -	Faywood	silty clay loam	i, 6 to 12 pe	ercent	slopes, eroded			NWI classification	1: N/A					
Are climatic/hydrologi Are Vegetation Are Vegetation	ic condi , Soil , Soil	tions on t	he site typical or Hydrology or Hydrology	for this tim	ie of ye ificant irally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed? problematic?	No O Are "N (If nee	(If no, exp ormal Circ eded, expla	lain in Remarks.) sumstances" presen ain any answers in l	t? Yo Remarks	es 💿 ;.)	No O			

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:					Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	requi	red; d	heck all that apply)		Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)				True Aquatic Plants (B14)		Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)				Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)		Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)				Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living R	oots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)				Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)		Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)				Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	(C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)				Thin Muck Surface (C7)		Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)				Other (Explain in Remarks)		Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)						Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)				Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)					Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)						FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	_		_			
Surface Water Present?	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No	igodoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldol	Depth (inches):		
	$\sim$	Na	$\bigcirc$			
Water Table Present?	Yes $\cup$	NO	G	Depth (inches):		
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes ⊖ Yes ⊖	NO NO	•	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydr	ology Present? Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes ⊖ Yes ○ ream gaug	NO No ge, m	<ul> <li>onitor</li> </ul>	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No 🖲
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	<ul> <li>onitor</li> </ul>	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	<ul> <li>onitor</li> </ul>	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	• onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	onitol	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No 🖲 able:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	onitor	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No 🖲 able:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	No No ge, m	onitol	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gauç	NO No ge, m	onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gauç	NO No ge, m	onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gauç	No No ge, m	onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	NO No ge, m	onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspo	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	No No ge, m	onito	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gaug	No No ge, m	<ul> <li>onitol</li> </ul>	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gauç	No No ge, m	onitor	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O ream gauç	No ge, m	onitor	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ing well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydr ections), if avail	ology Present? Yes O No O

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-28
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:
	10			Number of Dominant Species
1. Maclura pomifera		▼ <u>100.0%</u>	UPL	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A)
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)
4	0			Percent of dominant Species
o	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)
7	0	0.0%		Provalance Index worksheet:
0	0			Total % Cover of Multiply by
0	10	= Total Cover		0Bl species 0 x 1 = 0
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		$\frac{1}{10} = \frac{1}{10}$
1	0	0.0%		$\begin{bmatrix} AC \\ c \\ $
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $20$ x 3 = $00$
3	0	0.0%		FACU Species $x 4 =$
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $23 \times 5 = 123$
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u><math>65</math></u> (A) <u><math>255</math></u> (B)
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.923$
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9	0	0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0	0.0%		□ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>
_Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)	:	= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1. Sambucus nigra ssp. canadensis	20	✔ 100.0%	FAC	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	20=	= Total Cover		of height.
1. Carduus nutans	15	42.9%	UPL	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in, DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Erigeron strigosus	15	42.9%	FACU	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3. Conlum maculatum	5	14.3%	FACW	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in neight.
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
_Woody Vine Stratum_ (Plot size:)	35	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic
6	0	0.0%		
	0	= Total Cover		Present? Yes UNO U
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a senarate shee	t)			

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Profile Description: (Describe to the de	pth needed to document the indicator or confirm the	absence of indicators.)	
Depth <u>Matrix</u>	Redox Features	_	
(inches) Color (moist) %	Color (moist) % Type Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20 7.5YR 4/6 100	,,,,,,	Loam	
			-
			· •
Type: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=	Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Loo	ation: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	latrix
Hydric Soil Indicators:		Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (A1)	Dark Surface (S7)	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epipedon (A2)	Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147,148)		ov (A16)
Black Histic (A3)	Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)	(MLRA 147,148)	UN (UT )
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)	Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)	Piedmont Floodol	lain Soils (F19)
Stratified Layers (A5)	Depleted Matrix (F3)	(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)	Redox Dark Surface (F6)	Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)	Depleted Dark Surface (F7)	Other (Explain in	Remarks)
Thick Dark Surface (A12)	Redox Depressions (F8)		Kemarkay
Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N	Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,		
MLRA 147, 148)	MLRA 136)		
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)	Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)	3	
Sandy Redox (S5)	Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)	Indicators of wetland by	hydrophytic vegetation and drology must be present
Stripped Matrix (S6)	Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
Postriative Lavor (if absorved).			
Depth (inches):		Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
		,	
Remarks:			

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Samp	ling Date: 24-Jun-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Po	int: DP-29
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	ship, Range: S	т	R
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (con	cave, convex, none	):	Slope: $0.0$ %/ $0.0$ °
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.39034585	Long.:	-84.24027277	Datum:
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	ent slopes		NWI classification	: N/A
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology naturally p	ear? Yes • N Ily disturbed? problematic?	lo O (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) sumstances" present ain any answers in R	? Yes 🖲 No 🔾 Pemarks.)
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling poi	nt locations,	transects, imp	ortant features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes O Yes O Yes O	No 💿 No 💿 No 💿	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\odot$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	(B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	$\sim$	$\sim$		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🔍	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Wetland H	Aydrology Present? Tes C NO C
Describe Recorded Data (st	ream gau	ge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	available:
Remarks:				

· · ·		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-29
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Celtis occidentalis	30	▼ 75.0%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Fraxinus americana		▶ 25.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3				Species Across All Strata:6(B)
4				Porcent of dominant Species
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>16.7%</u> (A/B)
6	0			
7	0			Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%		Iotal % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		0BL species x 1 =0
1 Celtis occidentalis	10	✔ 100.0%	FACU	FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		<b>FAC species</b> $20 \times 3 = 60$
3	0	0.0%		<b>FACU species</b> $50 \times 4 = 200$
а	0	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>110</u> (A) <u>460</u> (B)
6.	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index – $B/A = -4.182$
7	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9.	0	0.0%		
10	0	0.0%		$\Box \text{ Dominance lest is } 50\%$
	10	= Total Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \le 3.0^{-1}$
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	20	100.0%	וחו	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
		<ul> <li>■ 100.0%</li> <li>■ 0.0%</li> </ul>	UPL	Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
2		0.0%		
3				be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
4				Definition of Vegetation Strata:
5				Four Vegetation Strata:
6	0			Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	20	= Total Cover		or neight. Sanling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
1. Lonicera maackii	20	≤ 50.0%	UPL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Ambrosia trifida	20	≤ 50.0%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.
5	0	0.0%		
6		0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	40	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines. regardless of
4.	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	 0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
0	0	= Total Cove		Present? Yes O No O
Pomarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a constate shee	+ )			1

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Depth		•	needed to documen	t the indicator or co	niirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
	Matrix		Re	dox Features			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>% Tvpe</u>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100				Loam	
Type: C=Conc	entration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Redu	ced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coated Sand Gra	ains <sup>2</sup> Locat	ion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	itrix
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:		<b>—</b>			Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (A	(1)		Dark Surface (	\$7)		2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
Histic Epip	edon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8) (MLRA	147,148)	Coast Prairie Redo	x (A16)
Black Histi	c (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLRA 147,	148)	(MLRA 147,148)	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)		Piedmont Floodpla	in Soils (F19)
Stratified L	_ayers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)		(MLRA 136, 147)	
2 cm Muck	(A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	irface (F6)		Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)
Depleted F	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)		Other (Explain in I	Remarks)
Thick Dark	Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	sions (F8)			
Sandy Muc MLRA 147	ck Mineral (S1) (LRR N , 148)	l,	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	se Masses (F12) (LRR	N,		
Sandy Gle	yed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (MLRA 136, 12	22)	3	
Sandy Rec	lox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils (F19) (ML	RA 148)	wetland hyd	rology must be present,
	1atrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	iterial (F21) (MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless dis	turbed or problematic.
Stripped N							
Stripped N Stripped N Sestrictive La	ver (if observed):						
Stripped N Cestrictive La Type:	ayer (if observed):						
Stripped M Contractive La Type: Depth (inch	ayer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Stripped N Cestrictive La Type: Depth (inch	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Stripped M	ayer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Stripped M Restrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	ayer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Stripped M Strictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Stripped M estrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 No 🖲
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Stripped M Restrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	ayer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	ayer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (incr Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Sestrictive La Type: Depth (incr Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Restrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Contraction Contr	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Sestrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Restrictive La Type: Depth (inch Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O
Stripped M Restrictive La Type: Depth (inct Remarks:	nyer (if observed):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes O No O

Project/Site: Blu	ue Moon					City/County:	Cyntiana/Ha	rrison	Samp	oling Dat	te: 24-Ju	ın-21	
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy					State:	KY	Sampling Po	oint:	D	P-30	
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffn	nann and V	/yatt Goertz			Section, Tow	nship, Range	e: S	т		R		_
Landform (hillslop	oe, terrace, e	etc.):				Local relief (co	ncave, conve	ex, none)	concave	Slope:	0.0	%/	0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or	r MLRA):	MLRA 21	7 in LRR N		Lat.:	38.39495895		Long.:	-84.23152973		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Nam	ne: uLsoB	- Lowell-S	andview silt l	oams, 2 to 6	perc	ent slopes			NWI classification	n: <u>N/A</u>			
Are climatic/hydro	ologic condi	tions on t	he site typical	for this time	e of ye	ear?Yes 🖲	No 🔾 🛛 (I	f no, exp	lain in Remarks.)		_		
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil		or Hydrology	🗌 signi	ficant	ly disturbed?	Are "Noi	rmal Circ	umstances" present	t? Yo	es 💿	No O	
Are Vegetation	🗌 , Soil	□ ,	or Hydrology	🗌 natui	rally p	problematic?	(If need	ed, expla	ain any answers in F	Remarks	.)		
		<b>.</b>								-			

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{\rm Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)		
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)		
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)		
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)		
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)		
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)		
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)		
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)		
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)		
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)		
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)		
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)		
Field Observations:						
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):			
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inchos):			
			Deptil (inches).			
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O		
		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-30		
---	----------	-----------------------	-----------	--	--	--
	Absolute	Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Celtis occidentalis	25	✓ 62.5%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Fraxinus americana	15	<b>⊻</b> <u>37.5%</u>	FACU	Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>5</u> (B)		
4	0	0.0%				
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:20.0%(A/B)		
6	0	0.0%				
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:		= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
1	0	0.0%		FAC species10 x 3 =30		
2		0.0%		<b>FACU species</b> $40 \times 4 = 160$		
3		0.0%		UPL species20 x 5 =100		
4		0.0%		Column Totals: 70 (A) 290 (B)		
5		0.0%				
7		0.0%		Prevalence index = $B/A = 4.143$		
0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8				Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9				Dominance Test is > 50%		
10		0.0%		Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
_Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1. Rubus occidentalis	10	✓ 100.0%	UPL	data in Remarks or on a separate sneet)		
2	0	0.0%				
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%				
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum <sup>(Plot size:</sup> )	10=	= Total Cover		of height.		
1. Ambrosia trifida	10	✓ 50.0%	FAC	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Lonicera maackii	10	50.0%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in neight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	20	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants.		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.		
3	 0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
Δ	 0	0.0%		height.		
Г		0.0%				
0				Hydrophytic Vocatation		
0				Present? Yes No 🖲		
Demonico. (Includo abote numbero baro en en ott						

ep

nth		Matrix		Re	dox Featur	es			
pin hes)	Color (	moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe <sup>1</sup>	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
20	7.5YR	4/6	100					Loam	
								-	
C=Con	centration. D	=Depletic	on. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coated	d Sand Grai	ns <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix
c Soil I	ndicators:				(7)			Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
istosol (/	AI)				5/) N Surface "		147 140	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
suc Epip ack ⊔ic+	$ic (\Delta 3)$					PO (IVILKA)	147,148) 18)	Coast Prairie Red	ox (A16)
vdronen	Sulfide (A4)				Matrix (F2)	LINA 147, 14	10)	(MLRA 147,148)	
ratified	Layers (A5)			Depleted Matri	x (F3)			MIRA 136 147	ain Soils (F19)
cm Mucl	LL) (LRR	N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)				k Surfaco (TE12)
epleted	Below Dark S	Surface (A	.11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)	)			Domotice (TFTZ)
nick Darl	k Surface (A1	12)	,	Redox Depress	ions (F8)				Remarks)
andy Mu	ck Mineral (S	, 51) (LRR N	٩,	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (F	12) (LRR N	l,		
LRA 147	7, 148)	, (		MLRA 136)					
andy Gle	eyed Matrix (	S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLF	RA 136, 122	2)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vocatation and
andy Red	dox (S5)			Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLR	A 148)	wetland hyd	drology must be present,
tripped N	Matrix (S6)			Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 127	, 147)	unless di	sturbed or problematic.
ictive La	ayer (if obs	erved):							
pe:									$\sim$
epth (incl	hes):							Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🔍
arks:									

Project/Site: Blue	ie Moon			City/County: Cyntiana/Harrisor			Samplir		ng Date: 24-Jun-21			
Applicant/Owner:	Recurrent	Energy				State:	КҮ	Sampling Poi	nt:	D	P-31	
Investigator(s):	Corbin Hoffi	mann and	Nyatt Goertz		Section, Tow	nship, Range:	s _	т		R		_
Landform (hillslope	e, terrace,	etc.):			Local relief (co	ncave, conve	x, none)	concave	Slope:	0.0	%/	0.0 °
Subregion (LRR or	MLRA):	MLRA 2	17 in LRR N	Lat.	: 38.39744412		Long.:	-84.23171295		Datum:		
Soil Map Unit Name	e: FyC2 -	Faywood	silty clay loam	i, 6 to 12 percer	t slopes, eroded			NWI classification:	N/A			
Are climatic/hydrol Are Vegetation [ Are Vegetation [	logic cond , Soil , Soil	itions on	the site typical or Hydrology or Hydrology	for this time of this time of this significant in the second seco	year? Yes ntly disturbed? problematic?	No O (If Are "Norr (If neede	no, exp mal Circ ed, expla	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present? ain any answers in Re	ې Ye emarks	es •	No 〇	

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲		
Hydric Soil Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicate	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimu	um of one	require	ed; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Ro	bots (C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (	C6) Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeria	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:				
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🤆	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	Yes O	No 🤆	Dopth (inches):	
			Deptil (inches).	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes 🔿 No 🖲
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):      Depth (inches):  nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspe	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No (	Depth (inches):	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes O No O

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-31		
	Absolute	- Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:		
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species		
1. Gleditsia triacanthos	20	50.0%	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)		
2. Celtis occidentalis	20	50.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata:4_ (B)		
4	0	0.0%				
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:50.0%(A/B)		
6	0	0.0%				
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	40	= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =		
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
1	0	0.0%		FAC species35 x 3 =105		
2	0			<b>FACU species</b> $20$ <b>x 4</b> = $80$		
3	0			UPL species25_ x 5 =125		
4	0			Column Totals: 80 (A) 310 (B)		
5	0					
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence index = $B/A = 3.875$		
0	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0			Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9				Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	<u> </u>		Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 $^{1}$		
_Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)		= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation + (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.		
1 Lonicera maackii	25	62.5%	UPL	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding		
2 Vitis rotundifolia	15	37.5%	FAC	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.		
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5.	0	0.0%		in height.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strates		
7.	0	0.0%				
8	0	0.0%		free - woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
	40	= Total Cover		vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)		0.0%		herb stratum – Consists of all nerbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
1				species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1		
2	0			m) in neight.		
3	0			woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of height.		
4	0			-		
5	0	□		Hydrophytic		
6	0	0.0%		Vegetation Present? Yes No 🖲		
	0	= Total Cover	-			
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Deput       Color (moist)       %       Type       Loc2         0-20       7.5YR       4/6       100       Loa         0-20       2.5       100       100       100         0-20       2.5       100       100       100         0-20       2.5       100       100       100         100       100       100       100       100       100         1110       100       100       100       100       100	Texture n			
0-20       7.5YR       4/6       100       Loar	n	Remarks		
with the second seco		nomarito		
weil:       C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location:         dric Soil Indicators:       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location:         Histic Soil Indicators:       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location:         Histic Fipleedon (A2)       Dark Surface (S7)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix (F2)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix (F3)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix (F3)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Depleted Matrix (F3)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced F13)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced F13)         2 cm Muck (Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MIRA 136)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced F13)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)         Sandy Muck (Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MIRA 136)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Image: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced F13)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)       Image: C=Concentration. RM=Reduced F13)         Sandy Gleyed Mat				
Depict C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Image: Carbon Surface (S7)         Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)         Histic Epipedon (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Suffde (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F12) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:       Depleth (inches):         Depth (inches):       marks:				
with the second seco				
with the second seco				
De: C =Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Image: Dark Surface (S7)       Image: Dark Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)       Image: Dark Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:       Depth (inches):         marks:       Marks:				
we: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Ir         Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)         Histo (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hack Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N,       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,         MLRA 147, 148)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:       Depth (inches):         marks:       Marks:				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains       *Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       In         Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)         Histic Epipedon (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N,       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,         MLRA 147, 148)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Improve Tooloptain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Ir         Histosol (A1)       Dark Surface (S7)         Histic Epipedon (A2)       Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Balow Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N,       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N,         MLRA 143, 148)       MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Solis (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (If observed):       Type:         Type:       Deplether):       marks:				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Image: Concentration in the second s				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains <sup>2</sup> Location: F         dric Soil Indicators:       Image: Carter of the surface (S7)       Image: Carter of the surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Histoc J(A2)       Dark Surface (S7)       Image: Carter of the surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148)         Black Histic (A3)       Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Suffide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains 2Location: F dric Soil Indicators: Histosol (A1) Dark Surface (S7) Histic Epipedon (A2) Dolyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) C m Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Hiron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Stripped Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydromethed Strippet Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (S12) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydromethed Strippet Matrix (S6) Hydromethed Strippet Hydromet				
pe: C=Concentration. D=Depletion. RM=Reduced Matrix, CS=Covered or Coated Sand Grains 2Location: F   dric Soil Indicators: Indicators:   Histosol (A1) Dark Surface (S7)   Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147,148)   Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147,148)   Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)   Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3)   2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6)   Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7)   Thick Dark Surface (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148)   Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)   Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)   Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)   trictive Layer (if observed): Type:				
dric Soil Indicators:       Image: Constraint of the second	L=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix		
Histosol (A1) Histosol (A2) Back Histic (A3) Histic Epipedon (A2) Black Histic (A3) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Stratified Layers (A5) Com Muck (A10) (LRR N) Depleted Matrix (F3) Com Muck (A10) (LRR N) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydromot Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Hydromot Floo	idicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :		
Histic Epipedon (A2) Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (MLRA 147, 148) Black Histic (A3) Thin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sulfide (A4) Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2) Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3) 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6) Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11) Depleted Dark Surface (F7) Thick Dark Surface (A12) Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S4) Stripped Matrix (S6) Type: Depth (inches): marks: Hydrogen Sufface (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Hydrogen Sufface (F13) (MLRA 127, 147) Hydrogen Sufface (F13) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S4) Sufface (F13) (MLRA 148) Sufface (F13) (MLR	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)		
Black Histic (A3)       Imin Dark Surface (S9) (MLRA 147, 148)         Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Strattified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictictve Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:	Coast Prairie Dede	· (Λ16)		
Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)       Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)         Stratified Layers (A5)       Depleted Matrix (F3)         2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Pledmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictictve Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:	(MLRA 147,148)			
Stratified Layers (A5) Depleted Matrix (F3)   2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N) Redox Dark Surface (F6)   Depleted Below Dark Surface (A12) Redox Depressions (F8)   Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)   Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)   Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)   Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)	Piedmont Floodpla	ain Soils (F19)		
2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR N)       Redox Dark Surface (F6)         Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:	(MLRA 136, 147)			
Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)       Depleted Dark Surface (F7)         Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136)       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         *trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:	Very Shallow Dark	Surface (TF12)		
Thick Dark Surface (A12)       Redox Depressions (F8)         Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 136, 122)       Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136)         Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)       Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)         Sandy Redox (S5)       Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)         Stripped Matrix (S6)       Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)         *trictive Layer (if observed):       Type:         Type:       Hyc         marks:       Hyc	Other (Explain in 1	Remarks)		
Sandy Muck Mineral (S1) (LRR N, MLRA 147, 148) Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR N, MLRA 136) Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4) Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122) Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)				
MLRA 147, 148)     Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)     Umbric Surface (F13) (MLRA 136, 122)   Sandy Redox (S5)     Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148)   Stripped Matrix (S6)   Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)     trictive Layer (if observed):   Type:    Depth (inches):    Hyc				
Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)  Gindbit Sufface (F13) (MLRA 158, 122) Sandy Redox (S5)  Fiedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6)  Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)  trictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hyc marks:				
Sandy Redox (S5) Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (MLRA 148) Stripped Matrix (S6) Red Parent Material (F21) (MLRA 127, 147)  trictive Layer (if observed): Type: Depth (inches): Hyc marks:	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of (	hydronhytic vegetation and		
Stripped Matrix (S6)     trictive Layer (if observed):   Type: Depth (inches):	wetland hydrology must be present,			
strictive Layer (if observed):   Type:	unless dis	turbed or problematic.		
Type: Hyc Depth (inches): Hyc marks:				
Depth (inches): Hyc marks:				
marks:	Iric Soil Present?	Yes 🔾 🛛 No 🔍		

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampling Da	Sampling Date: 24-Jun-21						
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy	State: KY	Sampling Point:	DP-32						
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Township, Range: S	т	R						
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none	): Slope	e: %/°						
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N La	at.: 38.39389264 Long.:	-84.23289197	Datum:						
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 pe	ercent slopes	NWI classification: N/A							
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes  No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes  No									
Are Vegetation 🗌 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 natural	Are Vegetation 🗌 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)								
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.									
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No O	Is the Sempled Area	0 0							

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ No ● Yes ○ No ●	Is the Sampled Area yes $\bigcirc$ No $\textcircled{\bullet}$ within a Wetland?	
Remarks:			

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:	Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required; check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1) True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2) Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3) Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4) Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)	Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)	FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	
Surface Water Present? Yes V No Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Yes No   No   Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes No  No Depth (inches):	varology Present? Tes C No C
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if av	vailable:
Remarks:	

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Dominant			Sampling Point: DP-32		
(Plot size:	Absolute % Cover	Absolute Rel.Strat. Indica		Dominance Test worksheet:		
	15			Number of Dominant Species		
1. Gleditsia triacanthos	25	<ul> <li>✓ 37.5%</li> <li>✓ 62.5%</li> </ul>	FAC	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>3</u> (A)		
		0.0%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata:5_ (B)		
4				Percent of dominant Species		
5 6	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:60.0% (A/B)		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
0	40	= Total Cover		0Bl species 0 x 1 = 0		
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$		
1	0	0.0%		$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{p} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{s} \\ \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix}$		
2	0	0.0%		FACT species $\underline{-45}$ $\times$ $3 = \underline{-155}$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $23$ x 4 = $100$		
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $13 \times 5 = 73$		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: $85$ (A) $310$ (B)		
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3.647$		
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	0.0%		□ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>		
_Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless		
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Total Cover		of height.		
1. Vitis rotundifolia	15	33.3%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, less than 3 in, DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
2. Lonicera maackii	15	33.3%	UPL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
3. Ambrosia trifida	15	33.3%	FAC	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
5	0	0.0%		in neight.		
6	0	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree - Woody plants excluding woody vines approximately 20		
8	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in		
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).		
10	0	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less		
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.		
12	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	45	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody		
2.	0	0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0	0.0%		height.		
5	0	0.0%		l hudrow ku dia		
6	0	0.0%		Vegetation		
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾		
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee	et.)					

Profile Descr	ription: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)					
Depth	Matrix		Rec	dox Featu	res1							
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks				
	7.5YR 4/6	100					Loam					
L	р————————————————————————————————————											
							·					
							7					
							,					
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depleti	on. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=M	atrix				
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :				
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2  cm Muck (A10)					
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)						
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo (MI RA 147 148)	)x (A16)				
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)				ain Saile (E10)				
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	k (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	111 30113 (F 19)				
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Very Shallow Dark	< Surface (TF12)				
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (	A11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F	7)		Other (Explain in Remarks)					
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)								
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR	N,	Iron-Manganes	e Masses (	F12) (LRR I	Ν,						
MLRĂ 14	7, 148)		MLRA 136)									
Sandy Gle	eyed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)	3 Indiana of hudronic discussion in a					
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floor	dplain Soils	(F19) (MLF	RA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present					
Stripped	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12	7, 147)	unless dis	sturbed or problematic.				
Restrictive L	aver (if observed):											
Type:												
Depth (inc	hes).						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲				
Domarka												
Remarks.												

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampling Date: 24-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: Di	P-33
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Town	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none	concave	Slope:	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.39090324	Long.:	-84.22629248	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	nt slopes		NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       significant         Are Vegetation       , Soil       , or Hydrology       naturally p	ear? Yes ly disturbed? problematic?	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ (If needed, expl	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present? ain any answers in Re	Yes 🖲 emarks.)	No 🔿

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
🖌 Aquatic Fauna (B13)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes • No ·	Depth (inches): 2	
Water Table Present? Yes O No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes • No	Depth (inches): 0	Hydrology Present? Yes ♥ No ∪
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monit	oring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	available:
Remarks:		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dom	ninant		Sampling Point: DP-33
	Absolute	-Spec Rel.S	Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cove	er	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Salix nigra	30		75.0%	OBL	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Ulmus americana	10		25.0%	FACW	Total Number of Dominant
3	0		0.0%		Species Across All Strata:4(B)
4	0		0.0%		
5	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:100.0%(A/B)
6	0		0.0%		
7	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	$\Box_{-}$	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	40	= Tota	I Cover		OBL species $60 \times 1 = 60$
1	0	$\square$	0.0%		FACW species X 2 =20
2	0	$\square$	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$
2	0		0.0%		FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$
3	0	$\square$	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =0
4	0	$\square$	0.0%		Column Totals: 70 (A) 80 (B)
5	0	$\square$	0.0%		
7	0		0.0%		Prevalence index = B/A = 1.143
0	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
0.	0		0.0%		✓ Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9			0.0%		✓ Dominance Test is > 50%
10	0				$\checkmark$ Prevalence Index is $\leq$ 3.0 <sup>1</sup>
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)			ii covei		Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting
1	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Evaluate)
2	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		
3	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present unless disturbed or problematic
4	0	<u> </u>	0.0%		Definition of Venetation Strates
5	0		0.0%		Definition of vegetation Strata:
6	0		0.0%		FOUR Vegetation Strata:
7	0	$\Box_{-}$	0.0%		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless
Herb Stratum (Plot size:)	0	= Tota	al Cover		of height.
1. Alternanthera philoxeroides	15	✓ !	50.0%	OBL	vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
2. Typha latifolia	15	✓ !	50.0%	OBL	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
3	0		0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
4	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0		0.0%		
6	0	$\square_{-}$	0.0%		Five Vegetation Strata:
7	0		0.0%		Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
8	0	$\square$	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9	0	$\Box$	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10	0	$\Box$	0.0%		vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0		0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12	0		0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	30	= Tota	I Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,
1	0		0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0		0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3.	0		0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4.	0		0.0%		height.
5	0		0.0%		
6	0		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	= Tota	al Cover		Present? Yes • No ()
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee					1

Denth	iption: (Describe to	the depth h	eeded to document	the indica	ator or co	nfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)		
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	res				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks	
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	95	5YR 3/4	5	C	M	Loam		
E-		·							
					·				
		,					,,		
		·		-	-				
-									
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	n. RM=Reduc	ed Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix	
Hydric Soil I	ndicators:						Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :	
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI RA 147)	
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(114)	
Black Hist	ic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (M	LRA 147, 1	48)	(MLRA 147,148)	)X (A16)	
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)				ain Soils (F10)	
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)		
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)			Verv Shallow Dark	k Surface (TF12)	
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7	)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)	
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)				Nemarks)	
Sandy Mu	ck Mineral (S1) (LRR N	1	Iron-Manganes MLRA 136)	e Masses (	F12) (LRR I	١,			
	wed Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (ML	RA 136, 12	2)			
			Piedmont Floo	dolain Soils	(F19) (MI F	Δ 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and	
	uox (33) Matrix (S6)		Ded Parent Ms	torial (E21)		147)	wetland hyd	Irology must be present,	
					(IVILKA 12)	, 147)			
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):								
Туре:									
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $ullet$ No $igcup$	
= (									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									
Remarks:									

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampling Date: 24-Jun-21		
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: DF	P-34
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38959619	Long.:	-84.2279695	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: Ld - Lindside silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, or	ccasionally flood	ed	NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye	ear? Yes	No O (If no, exp	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present?	Yes 🖲	No O
Are Vegetation, Soil, or Hydrology naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any answers in Re	emarks.)	

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No O		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	Is the Sampled Area	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes • No ·	Depth (inches): 8	
Water Table Present? Yes O No O	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? Yes  No	Depth (inches): 0 Wetland Hyd	drology Present? Yes 🖲 No 🔾
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ailable:
Remarks:		

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Dominant Species?		Sampling Point: DP-34	
T C: (Plot size: )	Absolute % Cover	Rel.Strat.	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:	
	20			Number of Dominant Species	
		0.0%	OBL		
2	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant	
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: (B)	
4	0			Percent of dominant Species	
5	0			That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:(A/B)	
07	0	0.0%			
7 9		0.0%		Total % Cover of Multiply by:	
δ	30	- Total Cover			
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum_ (Plot size:)					
1. Sallx nigra	10	✔ 100.0%	OBL	FACW spectes $0 \times 2 = 0$	
2	0	0.0%		FAC species $0 \times 3 = 0$	
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $0 \times 4 = 0$	
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $0 \times 5 = 0$	
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>80</u> (A) <u>80</u> (B)	
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.000$	
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vogotation Indicators:	
8	0	0.0%		Ranid Test for Hydronhytic Vegetation	
9	0	0.0%		$\mathbf{V}  \text{Dominance Test is } > 50\%$	
10	0	0.0%		$\mathbf{\nabla}  \text{Dominance restricts} > 30.76$	
Shrub Stratum (Plot size:	10	= Total Cover		Prevalence muck is 25.0	
1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)	
2.	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)	
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must	
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.	
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:	
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:	
7.	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )	0	= Total Cover		of height.	
1 Typha latifolia	30	▼ 75.0%	OBL	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding	
2 Alternanthera philoxeroides	10	✓ 25.0%	OBL	Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants	
3 Persicaria maculosa	0	0.0%	FACW	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.	
4	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft	
5.	0	0.0%		in height.	
6.	0	0.0%		Eive Vegetation Strates	
7.	0	0.0%			
8.	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in	
9	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).	
10	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.	
12.	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody	
Weady Vine Stratum (Plot size:	40	= Total Cover		Vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.	
	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody	
1	0			species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1	
3	- <u> </u>	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines regardless of	
и от стана и И от стана и	- <u> </u>	0.0%		height.	
F.		0.0%			
6		0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation	
0	0	= Total Cover		Present? Yes O No	
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate shee				1	

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to documen	t the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Featu	ires			
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	90	5YR 3/3	10	C	M	Loam	
		-			-			
	·		·					
-				10 <sup>-</sup>	-	-		
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Con	centration D=Depletic	n RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coate	ed Sand Gra	ains <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PI=Pore Lining M=M	atrix
Hydric Soil I								2
	A1)		Dark Surface	(57)			Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils <sup>5</sup> :
	(A2)			(37) w Surfaco (	(CO) (MI DA	117 110)	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)
					(30) (IVILKA	147,140)	Coast Prairie Rede	ox (A16)
	Sulfido (AA)				(ILKA 147, I	40)	(MLRA 147,148)	
				Wallix (F2)			Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)
				IX (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)	
	K (ATU) (LRR N)				7)		Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	.11)			/)		Other (Explain in	Remarks)
Thick Dar	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depres	Sions (F8)	(510) (100	NI		
Sandy Mu	ick Mineral (S1) (LRR N 7 148)	۱,	MLRA 136)	se masses (	(F12) (LRR	IN,		
	wod Matrix (\$4)		Umbric Surfac	e (F13) (MI	RA 136, 12	22)		
				dolain Soils	(F10) (MII	 DA 1/18)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of	hydrophytic vegetation and
	UOX (SS)			ntorial (E21)		TA 140)	wetland hyd	drology must be present,
				ateriai (F21)	) (IVILRA 12	7, 147)		sturbed or problematic.
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):							
Туре:	-							
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Remarks								
Remarks.								

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County: Cyntiana/Harrison	Sampli	ng Date: 24-Jun-21					
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy	State: KY	Sampling Poi	nt: DP-35					
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Township, Range: S	т	R					
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (concave, convex, none	e):	Slope: % / °					
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.38996059 Long.:	-84.22802062	Datum:					
Soil Map Unit Name: uLfC - Lowell-Faywood silt loams, 6 to 12 perce	nt slopes	NWI classification:	N/A					
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes  No (If no, explain in Remarks.) Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology isignificantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes No Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology instrually problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.) Summary of Eindings Attach site man chowing compling point locations transport								
Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing s	ampling point locations,	transects, impo	ortant reatures, etc.					
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes O No 💿								

Hydric Soil Present? Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes ○ Yes ○	No 🖲 No 🖲	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland?	Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicat	ors:			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minim	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeri	al Imagery (	(B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0	0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present?	$_{ m Yes}$ $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$_{\rm Yes} \bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	drology Present? Fes C NO C
Describe Recorded Data (st	iream gauç	ge, monito	ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	ailable:
Remarks:				
Water-Stained Leaves (B9 Aquatic Fauna (B13)  Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes Yes Yes tream gau	No No No ge, monita	Depth (inches): Depth (inches): Depth (inches): ring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if ava	Microtopographic Relief (D4) FAC-neutral Test (D5) drology Present? Yes ○ No ④ ailable:

		Dominant		Sampling Point: DP-35		
Tree Stratum (Plot size: )	Absolute % Cover	- Species? - Rel.Strat. Cover	Indicator Status	Dominance Test worksheet:		
	0	0.0%		Number of Dominant Species		
2	0	0.0%				
3	0	0.0%		Total Number of Dominant		
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B)		
5	0	0.0%		Percent of dominant Species		
5	0	0.0%		That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:0.0% (A/B)		
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:		
8	0	0.0%		Total % Cover of: Multiply by:		
0	0 =	= Total Cover		$OBL species 0 \times 1 = 0$		
_Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)		_		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$		
1	0	0.0%		$\mathbf{FAC} = \mathbf{FAC} = \mathbf$		
2	0	0.0%		FACT species $\frac{75}{100} \times 3 = \frac{300}{100}$		
3	0	0.0%		FACU species $\frac{75}{25}$ x 4 = $\frac{300}{125}$		
4	0	0.0%		UPL species $-23 \times 5 = -123$		
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: $100$ (A) $425$ (B)		
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 4.250$		
7	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:		
8	0	0.0%		Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation		
9	0	0.0%		Dominance Test is > 50%		
10	0	0.0%		$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{-1}$		
Shrub Stratum (Plot size: )		= Total Cover	•	Morphological Adaptations <sup>1</sup> (Provide supporting		
1.	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)		
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)		
3.	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must		
4.	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.		
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:		
6.	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:		
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.		
(Plot size:	0 =	= Total Cover		(7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.		
Herb Stratum (Fiot size:)	75	75.0%	EACU	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding		
	 	<ul> <li>✓ 75.0%</li> <li>✓ 25.0%</li> </ul>		vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.		
		25.0%	UPL	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.		
3				Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft		
4				in height.		
5						
0				Five Vegetation Strata:		
7 2				Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20		
8				it (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in diameter at breast height (DBH).		
9				Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
10				vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less than 3 in (7 6 cm) DBH		
11				Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody		
12	100			vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	100 -			Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants,		
1	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1		
2	0	0.0%		m) in height.		
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of		
4	0	0.0%		neight.		
5	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic		
6	0	0.0%				
	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? res 💛 NO 🗢		
Pomarks: (Includo photo numbors horo or on a sonarato shoo	+ )					

ep

Profile Descr	iption: (Describe to	the depth	needed to document	the indicator or o	onfirm the a	bsence of indicators.)	
Depth	Matrix		Re	dox Features	1		
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	Color (moist)	<u>%</u> Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks
0-20	7.5YR 4/6	100				Loam	
	p p		p p			,	
	·						
	p p		p p			,,,	
Гуре: C=Con	centration. D=Depletio	on. RM=Redu	uced Matrix, CS=Cover	ed or Coated Sand G	irains <sup>2</sup> Locat	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix
-Iydric Soil I	Indicators:					Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :
Histosol (	A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)		2 cm Muck (A10)	(MI DA 147)
Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belo	w Surface (S8) (MLR	A 147,148)		(IVILINA 147)
Black Hist	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surf	ace (S9) (MLRA 147	, 148)	Coast Prairie Redo (MI RA 147 149)	ox (A16)
Hydrogen	Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)			ain Caile (F10)
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matri	x (F3)		(MLRA 136, 147)	ain Solis (F19)
2 cm Muc	k (A10) (LRR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)		Vory Shallow Dark	Surface (TE12)
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (A	11)	Depleted Dark	Surface (F7)			
	k Surface (A12)		Redox Depress	ions (F8)		U Other (Explain in I	Remarks)
				e Masses (F12) (I RI	R N		
Sandy Mu MLRA 147	7, 148) (LRR 10	Ν,	MLRA 136)				
Sandy Gle	eved Matrix (S4)		Umbric Surface	e (F13) (MLRA 136,	122)		
Sandy Re	dox (S5)		Piedmont Floo	dplain Soils (F19) (M	ILRA 148)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of I	hydrophytic vegetation and
Stripped I	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21) (MI RA 1	, 27 147)	wetland hyd	rology must be present,
Restrictive L	ayer (if observed):						
Туре:							× 0 × 0
Depth (inc	hes):					Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $\cup$ No $ullet$
Remarks:						L	

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	ana/Harrison Sampl		n-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling Poir	nt: DF	P-36
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	nship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.):	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none)	concave	Slope: <u>0.0</u>	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N Lat.:	38.39028564	Long.:	-84.22698526	Datum:	
Soil Map Unit Name: Ld - Lindside silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, or	ccasionally flood	ed	NWI classification:	N/A	
Are climatic/hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of ye Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology significant	ear? Yes 🖲	No 🔾 (If no, exp Are "Normal Circ	lain in Remarks.) umstances" present?	Yes 🖲	No O
Are Vegetation 🔲 , Soil 🗌 , or Hydrology 🗌 naturally p	problematic?	(If needed, expla	ain any answers in Re	emarks.)	

# Summary of Findings - Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes 🖲	No 🔿		
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	Is the Sampled Area	Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes 🖲	No O	within a Wetland?	
Remarks:				

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minimum of one require	ed; check all that apply)	_ Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)	True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)	Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)	Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C3)	Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)	Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)	Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)	Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)		Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9)		Microtopographic Relief (D4)
🖌 Aquatic Fauna (B13)		✓ FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:		
Surface Water Present? Yes 🔍 No 🤇	Depth (inches): <u>12</u>	
Water Table Present? Yes O No	Depth (inches):	
Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Yes • No	Depth (inches): 0	Hydrology Present? Yes 🔍 No 🔾
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, mor	nitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if a	available:
Remarks:		

Tree Stratum       (Plot size:)       Absolute % Cover       Species: Rel.Strat. % Cover       Indicator Status         1. Salix nigra       30       ✓       100.0%       OBL         2.       0       0.0%       0.0%	Dominance Test worksheet:         Number of Dominant Species         That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:       3         (A)         Total Number of Dominant         Species Across All Strata:       3         (B)
1. Salix nigra     30     ✓     100.0%     OBL       2.     0     0.0%       30     0.0%	Number of Dominant Species         That are OBL, FACW, or FAC:       3       (A)         Total Number of Dominant         Species Across All Strata:       3       (B)
1. Saix Ingra     500     10.000     502       2.     0     0.00%	Total Number of Dominant       Species Across All Strata:         3   (B)
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ $	Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata:3(B)
	Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 5 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ $	Percent of dominant Species
	That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC:100.0% (A/B)
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 0 \\ $	Provalance Index worksheet:
	Total % Cover of Multiply by
0	$OBI \text{ species} \qquad 60 \qquad \text{x 1} = 60$
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	EACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
1. Salix nigra 10 ⊻ 100.0% OBL	FAC species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	FAC species $\underline{0}$ $\mathbf{x}$ $3 = \underline{0}$
3	FACU species $\_0$ x 4 = $\_0$
4 0 0.0%	UPL species $-0$ x 5 = $-0$
<u> </u>	Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A) <u>60</u> (B)
<u> </u>	Prevalence Index = $B/A = 1.000$
7 0 0.0%	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
8 0 0.0%	Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
9 0 0.0%	$\mathbf{\nabla}$ Dominance Test is > 50%
10	$\mathbf{V} \text{ Prevalence Index is } \leq 30^{-1}$
Shrub Stratum (Plot size: ) 10 = Total Cover	$\square Morphological Adaptations 1 (Provide supporting)$
	data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
200.0%	$\Box$ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
30 0.0%	<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
40 0.0%	be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
500.0%	Definition of Vegetation Strata:
60 0.0%	Four Vegetation Strata:
7. 0 0.0%	Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
Horb Stratum (Plot size: ) 0 = Total Cover	of height.
1 Alternanthera philoveroides 20 ▼ 100.0% OB	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
	Vines, less than 3 in. DBH and greater than 3.28 ft (1 m) tail.
$\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 0 \\ $	regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
	Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
	in height.
7 0 0.0%	Five Vegetation Strata:
	Tree - Woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20
	diameter at breast height (DBH).
	Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
	than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
	Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
	vines, approximately 3 to 20 ft (1 to 6 m) in height.
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:)	Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants, including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
	species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1
	m) in neight.
	woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of height.
	Hydrophytic
	Vegetation Present? Yes  No
$\frac{1}{2} = 10 \text{ (all Cover}$	1

Profile Desc	ription: (Describe to	o the depth	needed to docume	nt the indi	cator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	<u>Matrix</u>		R	edox Featu	ures1		. <u>.</u> .				
(inches)	Color (moist)	%	<u>Color (moist)</u>	%		_Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	7.5YR 3/1	90	5YR 3/4			IVI	Loam				
			p				-	~			
	·										
			p				-				
	ncentration D-Deplet	ion RM-Redu	uced Matrix CS-Cove	ered or Coat	ed Sand Gra	ains 21 oca	tion: PI-Pore Lining M-M	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:										
Histosol	(A1)		Dark Surface	(S7)			Indicators for Proble	ematic Hydric Soils":			
Histic En	ipedon (A2)			OW Surface	(S8) (MI RA	147 14ዩነ	2 cm Muck (A10)	(MLRA 147)			
Rlack Hic	stic (A3)			rface (SO) /	VI RA 1/7 1	48)	Coast Prairie Red	ох (А16)			
	n Sulfide (A4)			d Matrix (57) (1	VILINA 147, 1	(0F	(MLRA 147,148)				
			Loanny Gleye     Depleted Med	u Matrix (F2	)		Piedmont Floodpl	ain Soils (F19)			
				IIX (F3)			(MLRA 136, 147)				
	CK (ATU) (LRR N)				-7\		Very Shallow Dar	k Surface (TF12)			
Depleted	Below Dark Surface (	A11)		K Surface (F	. /)		Other (Explain in Remarks)				
Thick Da	rk Surface (A12)		Redox Depre	ssions (F8)	(540) (100						
Sandy M	uck Mineral (S1) (LRR	N,	Iron-Mangan MLRA 136)	ese Masses	(F12) (LRR	N,					
	(7, 140)		Umbric Surfa	ce (F13) (M	I RA 136, 12	2)	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and				
				odolain Soil	c (E10) (MII						
	Matrix (S4)			Astorial (F21		(A 140) 7 147)	wetland hyd	drology must be present,			
					) (IVILKA 12	7, 147)	uniess ui				
Restrictive I	Layer (if observed):										
Туре:											
Depth (ind	ches):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes $ullet$ No $igcup$			
Remarks:											

Project/Site: Blue Moon	City/County:	Cyntiana/Harrison	Sam	npling Date: 24-Ju	un-21
Applicant/Owner: Recurrent Energy		State: KY	Sampling I	Point: D	P-37
Investigator(s): Corbin Hoffmann and Wyatt Goertz	Section, Towr	ship, Range: S	т	R	
Landform (hillslope, terrace, etc.): Hillside	Local relief (cor	ncave, convex, none):	concave	Slope: 0.0	%/°
Subregion (LRR or MLRA): MLRA 217 in LRR N	Lat.: 38.3923034	Long.:	84.22166381	Datum	:
Soil Map Unit Name: FyC2 -Faywood silty clay loam	6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded		NWI classificatio	on: N/A	
Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology Are Vegetation , Soil , or Hydrology Summary of Findings - Attach site ma	<ul> <li>a significantly disturbed?</li> <li>anaturally problematic?</li> <li>ap showing sampling po</li> </ul>	Are "Normal Circu (If needed, explain int locations, to	mstances" prese n any answers in <b>ansects, im</b>	<sub>nt?</sub> Yes Remarks.) portant featu	No 〇 ures, etc.
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?YesNoHydric Soil Present?YesNoWetland Hydrology Present?YesNo	● ●       Is the s ●           within	Sampled Area Yes a Wetland?	○ <sub>No</sub> ●		
Remarks: Potential sink hole					
Hydrology					
Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Soco	ndary Indicators (n	animum of two roqu	uirod)

wetiand Hydrology mulcat	015.			Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)
Primary Indicators (minim	um of one	required;	check all that apply)	Surface Soil Cracks (B6)
Surface Water (A1)			True Aquatic Plants (B14)	Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)
High Water Table (A2)			Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	✓ Drainage Patterns (B10)
Saturation (A3)			Oxidized Rhizospheres along Living Roots (C	C3) Moss Trim Lines (B16)
Water Marks (B1)			Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	Dry Season Water Table (C2)
Sediment Deposits (B2)			Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	Crayfish Burrows (C8)
Drift deposits (B3)			Thin Muck Surface (C7)	Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)
Algal Mat or Crust (B4)			Other (Explain in Remarks)	Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)
Iron Deposits (B5)				Geomorphic Position (D2)
Inundation Visible on Aeri	al Imagery (	B7)		Shallow Aquitard (D3)
Water-Stained Leaves (B9	)			Microtopographic Relief (D4)
Aquatic Fauna (B13)				FAC-neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations:	0	0		
Surface Water Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	No 🖲	Depth (inches):	
	Vac O			
Water Table Present?	Yes $\bigcirc$	$\mathbf{NO}$	Depth (inches):	
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe)	$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Yes} \bigcirc$	No 💿	Depth (inches): Wet	land Hydrology Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $ullet$
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (si	Yes ○ Yes ○ tream gauç	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches): rring well, aerial photos, previous inspection:	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No () ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wet	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No 🔍 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wet	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (si Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gaug	No 🔍 ge, monito	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	Iand Hydrology Present? Yes O No O
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (si Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No 🔍	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No ()	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gau	No ()	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gau	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gau	No O	Depth (inches): Wet	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No O	Depth (inches): Wet	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches): pring well, aerial photos, previous inspection:	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:
Water Table Present? Saturation Present? (includes capillary fringe) Describe Recorded Data (st Remarks:	Yes O Yes O tream gauç	No O	Depth (inches): Wet Depth (inches):	s), if available:

	Dominant Species?			
	Absolute	- Species? - Rel.Strat.	Indicator	Dominance Test worksheet:
_Tree Stratum (Plot size:)	% Cover	Cover	Status	Number of Dominant Species
1. Celtis occidentalis	20	66.7%	FACU	That are OBL, FACW, or FAC: (A)
2. Carya ovata	10	33.3%	FACU	Total Number of Dominant
3	0	0.0%		Species Across All Strata: <u>3</u> (B)
4	0	0.0%		
5	0	0.0%		Percent of dominant Species That Are OBL_FACW_or_FAC:33.3% (A/B)
6	0	0.0%	·	
7	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index worksheet:
8	0	0.0%	·	Total % Cover of: Multiply by:
Sapling-Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size:)	30=	= Total Cover		OBL species x 1 =
1	0	0.0%		FACW species $0 \times 2 = 0$
2	0	0.0%		FAC species X 3 =90
3	0	0.0%		FACU species X 4 =20
۵ ۵	0	0.0%		UPL species x 5 =
5	0	0.0%		Column Totals: <u>60</u> (A) <u>210</u> (B)
6	0	0.0%		Prevalence Index = $B/A = 3500$
7.	0	0.0%		
8	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
9	0	0.0%		
10.	0	0.0%		$\Box$ Dominance lest is > 50%
Church Charthurg (Diet size)	0	= Total Cover		$\square Prevalence Index is \leq 3.0^{-1}$
<u></u> (Plot size:) 1	0	0.0%		data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
2	0	0.0%		Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation <sup>1</sup> (Explain)
3	0	0.0%		<sup>1</sup> Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must
4	0	0.0%		be present, unless disturbed or problematic.
5	0	0.0%		Definition of Vegetation Strata:
6	0	0.0%		Four Vegetation Strata:
7	0	0.0%		Tree stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding vines, 3 in.
Herb Stratum (Plot size: )		= Total Cover		of height.
1 Ambrosia trifida	30	✓ 100.0%	FAC	Sapling/shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding
2	0	0.0%		Herb stratum – Consists of all berbaceous (non-woody) plants
3	0	0.0%		regardless of size, and all other plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
<u>а</u>	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines greater than 3.28 ft
5	0	0.0%		in height.
6.	0	0.0%		Eive Vegetation Strates
7	0	0.0%		Five vegetation strata.
8.	0	0.0%		ft (6 m) or more in height and 3 in. (7.6 cm) or larger in
9.	0	0.0%		diameter at breast height (DBH).
10.	0	0.0%		Sapling stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody vines, approximately 20 ft (6 m) or more in height and less
11	0	0.0%		than 3 in. (7.6 cm) DBH.
12.	0	0.0%		Shrub stratum – Consists of woody plants, excluding woody
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size:	30	= Total Cover		Herb stratum – Consists of all herbaceous (non-woody) plants.
	0	0.0%		including herbaceous vines, regardless of size, and woody
2	0	0.0%		species, except woody vines, less than approximately 3 ft (1 m) in height.
3	0	0.0%		Woody vines – Consists of all woody vines, regardless of
4	0	0.0%		height.
5	0	0.0%		
6	0	0.0%		Hydrophytic
0	0	= Total Cove	r	Present? Yes $\bigcirc$ No $\bigcirc$
Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a senarate shee				1

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

Profile Desci	ription: (Describ	e to the depth	needed to document	the indic	ator or co	nfirm the a	absence of indicators.)				
Depth	Mat	rix	Ree	dox Featu	res1		_				
(inches)	Color (mois	<u>.t) %</u>	Color (moist)	%	Tvpe	Loc <sup>2</sup>	Texture	Remarks			
0-20	/.5YR 4/6						Loam				
							. <u></u>				
							,				
							,				
				- ,			,				
				·							
<sup>1</sup> Type: C=Cor	centration. D=Dep	oletion. RM=Redu	iced Matrix, CS=Covere	ed or Coate	d Sand Gra	ins <sup>2</sup> Loca	tion: PL=Pore Lining. M=Ma	atrix			
Hydric Soil	Indicators:						Indicators for Proble	matic Hydric Soils <sup>3</sup> :			
Histosol (	(A1)		Dark Surface (	S7)			2 cm Muck (A10)				
🗌 Histic Epi	pedon (A2)		Polyvalue Belov	v Surface (	S8) (MLRA	147,148)		(MERA 147)			
Black His	tic (A3)		Thin Dark Surfa	ace (S9) (N	ILRA 147, 1	48)	Coast Prairie Redo	ox (A16)			
Hydroger	n Sulfide (A4)		Loamy Gleyed	Matrix (F2)							
Stratified	Layers (A5)		Depleted Matrix	x (F3)			(MI RA 136 147)	ain Soils (F19)			
	k (A10) (I RR N)		Redox Dark Su	rface (F6)				C			
	Deleve Deele Confer	- (A11)		Surface (F	7)		Very Shallow Dark Surface (TF12) Other (Explain in Remarks)				
	Below Dark Surface	e (ATT)		ions (EQ)							
	rk Surface (A12)				E12) /I DD I	M.					
Sandy Mu	uck Mineral (S1) (L 7 148)	RR N,	MLRA 136)	e Masses (	F12) (LKK I	ν,					
				(F13) (MI	RA 136 12	2)					
				halain Saila	(E10) (MLF	-/	<sup>3</sup> Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present,				
	edox (S5)				(F 19) (IVILF	(A 148)					
	Matrix (S6)		Red Parent Ma	terial (F21)	(MLRA 12)	7, 147)	unless disturbed or problematic.				
Restrictive L	ayer (if observe	d):									
Type:											
Depth (inc	hes):						Hydric Soil Present?	Yes 🔿 No 🖲			
Domarke											
Remarks.											

# PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG


































Ephemeral Stream (S-1)

<image>



**Description:** Ephemeral Stream (S-3)

**Photo Direction:** 

North









## PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG

Property Name: Blue Moon Solar Project

#### County/State: Harrison County, Kentucky

Project No. E320201803



 Photo No.
 Date:

 38
 5-23-2021

 Coordinates:
 38.3606, -84.∠5356

 Photo Dire⊂tion:
 Southwest

 Description:
 Ephemeral (S-11)





### **PHOTOGRAPHIC LOG**

Property Name: Blue Moon Solar Project

#### County/State: Harrison County, Kentucky

**Project No.** E320201803













## PROJECT MAPPING















Data Source: Basemap: Bing Maps Aerial (2020)



# IPaC resource list

This report is an automatically generated list of species and other resources such as critical habitat (collectively referred to as *trust resources*) under the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's (USFWS) jurisdiction that are known or expected to be on or near the project area referenced below. The list may also include trust resources that occur outside of the project area, but that could potentially be directly or indirectly affected by activities in the project area. However, determining the likelihood and extent of effects a project may have on trust resources typically requires gathering additional site-specific (e.g., vegetation/species surveys) and project-specific (e.g., magnitude and timing of proposed activities) information.

Below is a summary of the project information you provided and contact information for the USFWS office(s) with jurisdiction in the defined project area. Please read the introduction to each section that follows (Endangered Species, Migratory Birds, USFWS Facilities, and NWI Wetlands) for additional information applicable to the trust resources addressed in that section.

## Location

virithia sa

Harrison County, Kentucky

## Local office

Kentucky Ecological Services Field Office

**└** (502) 695-0468**i** (502) 695-1024

J C Watts Federal Building, Room 265 330 West Broadway Frankfort, KY 40601-8670

http://www.fws.gov/frankfort/

# Endangered species

# This resource list is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an analysis of project level impacts.

The primary information used to generate this list is the known or expected range of each species. Additional areas of influence (AOI) for species are also considered. An AOI includes areas outside of the species range if the species could be indirectly affected by activities in that area (e.g., placing a dam upstream of a fish population even if that fish does not occur at the dam site, may indirectly impact the species by reducing or eliminating water flow downstream). Because species can move, and site conditions can change, the species on this list are not guaranteed to be found on or near the project area. To fully determine any potential effects to species, additional site-specific and project-specific information is often required.

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act **requires** Federal agencies to "request of the Secretary information whether any species which is listed or proposed to be listed may be present in the area of such proposed action" for any project that is conducted, permitted, funded, or licensed by any Federal agency. A letter from the local office and a species list which fulfills this requirement can **only** be obtained by requesting an official species list from either the Regulatory Review section in IPaC (see directions below) or from the local field office directly.

For project evaluations that require USFWS concurrence/review, please return to the IPaC website and request an official species list by doing the following:

- 1. Draw the project location and click CONTINUE.
- 2. Click DEFINE PROJECT.
- 3. Log in (if directed to do so).
- 4. Provide a name and description for your project.
- 5. Click REQUEST SPECIES LIST.

Listed species<sup>1</sup> and their critical habitats are managed by the <u>Ecological Services Program</u> of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and the fisheries division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries<sup>2</sup>).

Species and critical habitats under the sole responsibility of NOAA Fisheries are **not** shown on this list. Please contact <u>NOAA Fisheries</u> for <u>species under their jurisdiction</u>.

- Species listed under the <u>Endangered Species Act</u> are threatened or endangered; IPaC also shows species that are candidates, or proposed, for listing. See the <u>listing status page</u> for more information. IPaC only shows species that are regulated by USFWS (see FAQ).
- 2. <u>NOAA Fisheries</u>, also known as the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), is an office of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration within the Department of Commerce.

The following species are potentially affected by activities in this location:

## Mammals

NAME

#### Gray Bat Myotis grisescens

Wherever found

This species only needs to be considered if the following condition applies:

• The project area includes potential gray bat habitat.

No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6329</u>

#### Indiana Bat Myotis sodalis

Wherever found

This species only needs to be considered if the following condition applies:

 The project area includes 'potential' habitat. All activities in this location should consider possible effects to this species.

There is **final** critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5949

Northern Long-eared Bat Myotis septentrionalis

Wherever found

This species only needs to be considered if the following condition applies:

 The specified area includes areas in which incidental take would not be prohibited under the 4(d) rule. For reporting purposes, please use the "streamlined consultation form," linked to in the "general project design guidelines" for the species.

No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/9045</u>

## Clams

NAME

Clubshell Pleurobema clava No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3789

Fanshell Cyprogenia stegaria Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/4822</u>

Endangered

Endangered

### Threatened

STATUS

Endangered

Endangered

<ul> <li>Northern Riffleshell Epioblasma torulosa rangiana</li> <li>Wherever found</li> <li>This species only needs to be considered if the following condition applies: <ul> <li>The species may potentially occur in suitable habitat in the South Fork Licking River.</li> </ul> </li> <li>No critical habitat has been designated for this species.</li> </ul>	Endangered
https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/527	
Pink Mucket (pearlymussel) Lampsilis abrupta Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/7829	Endangered
Purple Cat's Paw (=purple Cat's Paw Pearlymussel) Epioblasma obliquata obliquata No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5602	Endangered
Rabbitsfoot Quadrula cylindrica cylindrica Wherever found There is final critical habitat for this species. The location of the critical habitat is not available. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5165	Threatened
Rough Pigtoe Pleurobema plenum Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6894	Endangered
Sheepnose Mussel Plethobasus cyphyus Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/6903	Endangered
Flowering Plants	
NAME	STATUS
Running Buffalo Clover Trifolium stoloniferum No critical habitat has been designated for this species. https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/2529	Endangered
Short's Goldenrod Solidago shortii Wherever found No critical habitat has been designated for this species. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/5367</u>	Endangered

# Critical habitats

Potential effects to critical habitat(s) in this location must be analyzed along with the endangered species themselves.

THERE ARE NO CRITICAL HABITATS AT THIS LOCATION.

# Migratory birds

Certain birds are protected under the Migratory Bird Treaty Act<sup>1</sup> and the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act<sup>2</sup>.

Any person or organization who plans or conducts activities that may result in impacts to migratory birds, eagles, and their habitats should follow appropriate regulations and consider implementing appropriate conservation measures, as described <u>below</u>.

- 1. The Migratory Birds Treaty Act of 1918.
- 2. The Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act of 1940.

Additional information can be found using the following links:

- Birds of Conservation Concern <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/managed-species/</u> <u>birds-of-conservation-concern.php</u>
- Measures for avoiding and minimizing impacts to birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/birds/management/project-assessment-tools-and-guidance/</u> <u>conservation-measures.php</u>
- Nationwide conservation measures for birds <u>http://www.fws.gov/migratorybirds/pdf/management/nationwidestandardconservationmeasures.pdf</u>

The birds listed below are birds of particular concern either because they occur on the <u>USFWS Birds</u> of <u>Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) list or warrant special attention in your project location. To learn more about the levels of concern for birds on your list and how this list is generated, see the FAQ <u>below</u>. This is not a list of every bird you may find in this location, nor a guarantee that every bird on this list will be found in your project area. To see exact locations of where birders and the general public have sighted birds in and around your project area, visit the <u>E-bird data mapping tool</u> (Tip: enter your location, desired date range and a species on your list). For projects that occur off the Atlantic Coast, additional maps and models detailing the relative occurrence and abundance of bird species on your list are available. Links to additional information about Atlantic Coast birds, and other important information about your migratory bird list, including how to properly interpret and use your migratory bird report, can be found <u>below</u>.

For guidance on when to schedule activities or implement avoidance and minimization measures to reduce impacts to migratory birds on your list, click on the PROBABILITY OF PRESENCE SUMMARY at the top of your list to see when these birds are most likely to be present and breeding in your project area.

NAME

BREEDING SEASON (IF A	
BREEDING SEASON IS INDICATED	
FOR A BIRD ON YOUR LIST, THE	
BIRD MAY BREED IN YOUR	
PROJECT AREA SOMETIME WITHIN	
THE TIMEFRAME SPECIFIED,	
WHICH IS A VERY LIBERAL	
ESTIMATE OF THE DATES INSIDE	
WHICH THE BIRD BREEDS	
ACROSS ITS ENTIRE RANGE.	
"BREEDS ELSEWHERE" INDICATES	
THAT THE BIRD DOES NOT LIKELY	
BREED IN YOUR PROJECT AREA.)	
Henslow's Sparrow Ammodramus henslowii This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska. <u>https://ecos.fws.gov/ecp/species/3941</u>	Breeds May 1 to Aug 31
Prairie Warbler Dendroica discolor This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 1 to Jul 31
Red-headed Woodpecker Melanerpes erythrocephalus This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 10 to Sep 10
Wood Thrush Hylocichla mustelina This is a Bird of Conservation Concern (BCC) throughout its range in the continental USA and Alaska.	Breeds May 10 to Aug 31

## **Probability of Presence Summary**

The graphs below provide our best understanding of when birds of concern are most likely to be present in your project area. This information can be used to tailor and schedule your project activities to avoid or minimize impacts to birds. Please make sure you read and understand the FAQ "Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report" before using or attempting to interpret this report.

### Probability of Presence (

Each green bar represents the bird's relative probability of presence in the 10km grid cell(s) your project overlaps during a particular week of the year. (A year is represented as 12 4-week months.) A taller bar indicates a higher probability of species presence. The survey effort (see below) can be used to establish a level of confidence in the presence score. One can have higher confidence in the presence score if the corresponding survey effort is also high.

How is the probability of presence score calculated? The calculation is done in three steps:

- 1. The probability of presence for each week is calculated as the number of survey events in the week where the species was detected divided by the total number of survey events for that week. For example, if in week 12 there were 20 survey events and the Spotted Towhee was found in 5 of them, the probability of presence of the Spotted Towhee in week 12 is 0.25.
- 2. To properly present the pattern of presence across the year, the relative probability of presence is calculated. This is the probability of presence divided by the maximum probability of presence across all weeks. For example, imagine the probability of presence in week 20 for the Spotted Towhee is 0.05, and that the probability of presence at week 12 (0.25) is the maximum of any week of the year. The relative probability of presence on week 12 is 0.25/0.25 = 1; at week 20 it is 0.05/0.25 = 0.2.
- 3. The relative probability of presence calculated in the previous step undergoes a statistical conversion so that all possible values fall between 0 and 10, inclusive. This is the probability of presence score.

To see a bar's probability of presence score, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

### Breeding Season (=)

Yellow bars denote a very liberal estimate of the time-frame inside which the bird breeds across its entire range. If there are no yellow bars shown for a bird, it does not breed in your project area.

### Survey Effort (I)

Vertical black lines superimposed on probability of presence bars indicate the number of surveys performed for that species in the 10km grid cell(s) your project area overlaps. The number of surveys is expressed as a range, for example, 33 to 64 surveys.

To see a bar's survey effort range, simply hover your mouse cursor over the bar.

### No Data (-)

A week is marked as having no data if there were no survey events for that week.

### Survey Timeframe

Surveys from only the last 10 years are used in order to ensure delivery of currently relevant information. The exception to this is areas off the Atlantic coast, where bird returns are based on all years of available data, since data in these areas is currently much more sparse.

N				🔳 prob	ability of	fpresen	ce b	reeding s	eason	survey	effort	– no data
SPECIES	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
Henslow's Sparrow					CTU:							
BCC Rangewide												
(CON) (This is a												
Bird of												
Conservation												
Concern (BCC)												
throughout its												
range in the												
continental USA												
and Alaska.)												



#### Tell me more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds.

Nationwide Conservation Measures describes measures that can help avoid and minimize impacts to all birds at any location year round. Implementation of these measures is particularly important when birds are most likely to occur in the project area. When birds may be breeding in the area, identifying the locations of any active nests and avoiding their destruction is a very helpful impact minimization measure. To see when birds are most likely to occur and be breeding in your project area, view the Probability of Presence Summary. Additional measures or permits may be advisable depending on the type of activity you are conducting and the type of infrastructure or bird species present on your project site.

#### What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The Migratory Bird Resource List is comprised of USFWS <u>Birds of Conservation Concern (BCC)</u> and other species that may warrant special attention in your project location.

The migratory bird list generated for your project is derived from data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network</u> (<u>AKN</u>). The AKN data is based on a growing collection of <u>survey</u>, <u>banding</u>, <u>and citizen science datasets</u> and is queried and filtered to return a list of those birds reported as occurring in the 10km grid cell(s) which your project intersects, and that have been identified as warranting special attention because they are a BCC species in that area, an eagle (<u>Eagle Act</u> requirements may apply), or a species that has a particular vulnerability to offshore activities or development.

Again, the Migratory Bird Resource list includes only a subset of birds that may occur in your project area. It is not representative of all birds that may occur in your project area. To get a list of all birds potentially present in your project area, please visit the <u>AKN Phenology Tool</u>.

# What does IPaC use to generate the probability of presence graphs for the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location?

The probability of presence graphs associated with your migratory bird list are based on data provided by the <u>Avian Knowledge Network (AKN)</u>. This data is derived from a growing collection of <u>survey, banding, and citizen</u> <u>science datasets</u>.

Probability of presence data is continuously being updated as new and better information becomes available. To learn more about how the probability of presence graphs are produced and how to interpret them, go the Probability of Presence Summary and then click on the "Tell me about these graphs" link.

#### How do I know if a bird is breeding, wintering, migrating or present year-round in my project area?

To see what part of a particular bird's range your project area falls within (i.e. breeding, wintering, migrating or year-round), you may refer to the following resources: <u>The Cornell Lab of Ornithology All About Birds Bird Guide</u>, or (if you are unsuccessful in locating the bird of interest there), the <u>Cornell Lab of Ornithology Neotropical Birds</u> guide. If a bird on your migratory bird species list has a breeding season associated with it, if that bird does occur in your project area, there may be nests present at some point within the timeframe specified. If "Breeds elsewhere" is indicated, then the bird likely does not breed in your project area.

#### What are the levels of concern for migratory birds?

Migratory birds delivered through IPaC fall into the following distinct categories of concern:

- 1. "BCC Rangewide" birds are <u>Birds of Conservation Concern</u> (BCC) that are of concern throughout their range anywhere within the USA (including Hawaii, the Pacific Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands);
- "BCC BCR" birds are BCCs that are of concern only in particular Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) in the continental USA; and
- 3. "Non-BCC Vulnerable" birds are not BCC species in your project area, but appear on your list either because of the <u>Eagle Act</u> requirements (for eagles) or (for non-eagles) potential susceptibilities in offshore areas from certain types of development or activities (e.g. offshore energy development or longline fishing).

Although it is important to try to avoid and minimize impacts to all birds, efforts should be made, in particular, to avoid and minimize impacts to the birds on this list, especially eagles and BCC species of rangewide concern. For more information on conservation measures you can implement to help avoid and minimize migratory bird impacts and requirements for eagles, please see the FAQs for these topics.

#### Details about birds that are potentially affected by offshore projects

For additional details about the relative occurrence and abundance of both individual bird species and groups of bird species within your project area off the Atlantic Coast, please visit the <u>Northeast Ocean Data Portal</u>. The Portal also offers data and information about other taxa besides birds that may be helpful to you in your project review. Alternately, you may download the bird model results files underlying the portal maps through the <u>NOAA NCCOS</u> <u>Integrative Statistical Modeling and Predictive Mapping of Marine Bird Distributions and Abundance on the Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf</u> project webpage.

Bird tracking data can also provide additional details about occurrence and habitat use throughout the year, including migration. Models relying on survey data may not include this information. For additional information on marine bird tracking data, see the <u>Diving Bird Study</u> and the <u>nanotag studies</u> or contact <u>Caleb Spiegel</u> or <u>Pam</u> <u>Loring</u>.

#### What if I have eagles on my list?

If your project has the potential to disturb or kill eagles, you may need to <u>obtain a permit</u> to avoid violating the Eagle Act should such impacts occur.

#### Proper Interpretation and Use of Your Migratory Bird Report

The migratory bird list generated is not a list of all birds in your project area, only a subset of birds of priority concern. To learn more about how your list is generated, and see options for identifying what other birds may be in your project area, please see the FAQ "What does IPaC use to generate the migratory birds potentially occurring in my specified location". Please be aware this report provides the "probability of presence" of birds within the 10 km grid cell(s) that overlap your project; not your exact project footprint. On the graphs provided, please also look carefully at the survey effort (indicated by the black vertical bar) and for the existence of the "no data" indicator (a red horizontal bar). A high survey effort is the key component. If the survey effort bar or no data bar means a lack of data and, therefore, a lack of certainty about presence of the species. This list is not perfect; it is simply a starting point for identifying what birds of concern have the potential to be in your project area, when they might be there, and if they might be breeding (which means nests might be present). The list helps you know what to look for to confirm presence, and helps guide you in knowing when to implement conservation measures to avoid or minimize potential impacts from your project activities, should presence be confirmed. To learn more about conservation measures I can implement to avoid or minimize impacts to migratory birds" at the bottom of your migratory bird trust resources page.

# Facilities

# National Wildlife Refuge lands

Any activity proposed on lands managed by the <u>National Wildlife Refuge</u> system must undergo a 'Compatibility Determination' conducted by the Refuge. Please contact the individual Refuges to discuss any questions or concerns.

THERE ARE NO REFUGE LANDS AT THIS LOCATION.

## Fish hatcheries

THERE ARE NO FISH HATCHERIES AT THIS LOCATION.

# Wetlands in the National Wetlands Inventory

Impacts to <u>NWI wetlands</u> and other aquatic habitats may be subject to regulation under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, or other State/Federal statutes.

For more information please contact the Regulatory Program of the local <u>U.S. Army Corps of</u> <u>Engineers District</u>.

Please note that the NWI data being shown may be out of date. We are currently working to update our NWI data set. We recommend you verify these results with a site visit to determine the actual extent of wetlands on site. This location overlaps the following wetlands:

FRESHWATER EMERGENT WETLAND

<u>PEM1Ch</u>

FRESHWATER POND

<u>PUBHh</u> <u>PUBFh</u> <u>PUBHx</u>

RIVERINE

<u>R5UBH</u> <u>R4SBC</u>

A full description for each wetland code can be found at the National Wetlands Inventory website

#### Data limitations

The Service's objective of mapping wetlands and deepwater habitats is to produce reconnaissance level information on the location, type and size of these resources. The maps are prepared from the analysis of high altitude imagery. Wetlands are identified based on vegetation, visible hydrology and geography. A margin of error is inherent in the use of imagery; thus, detailed on-the-ground inspection of any particular site may result in revision of the wetland boundaries or classification established through image analysis.

The accuracy of image interpretation depends on the quality of the imagery, the experience of the image analysts, the amount and quality of the collateral data and the amount of ground truth verification work conducted. Metadata should be consulted to determine the date of the source imagery used and any mapping problems.

Wetlands or other mapped features may have changed since the date of the imagery or field work. There may be occasional differences in polygon boundaries or classifications between the information depicted on the map and the actual conditions on site.

#### Data exclusions

Certain wetland habitats are excluded from the National mapping program because of the limitations of aerial imagery as the primary data source used to detect wetlands. These habitats include seagrasses or submerged aquatic vegetation that are found in the intertidal and subtidal zones of estuaries and nearshore coastal waters. Some deepwater reef communities (coral or tuberficid worm reefs) have also been excluded from the inventory. These habitats, because of their depth, go undetected by aerial imagery.

#### Data precautions

Federal, state, and local regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over wetlands may define and describe wetlands in a different manner than that used in this inventory. There is no attempt, in either the design or products of this inventory, to define the limits of proprietary jurisdiction of any Federal, state, or local government or to establish the geographical scope of the regulatory programs of government agencies. Persons intending to engage in activities involving modifications within or adjacent to wetland areas should seek the advice of appropriate federal, state, or local agencies concerning specified agency regulatory programs and proprietary jurisdictions that may affect such activities.

# Phase I Environmental Site Assessment

Blue Moon Energy LLC – Harrison County, Kentucky

E321200400

Prepared by

Cardno, Inc. 1142 West 2320 South, Suite A Salt Lake City, Utah 84119 Phone: (801) 256-3800 Fax: (801) 973-1095

Prepared for Blue Moon Energy LLC

September 20, 2021



## Table of Contents

1	Exec	utive Sur	nmary	1					
	1.1	1 General Information							
	1.2	Finding	gs and Conclusions Summary	2					
	1.3	Signific	cant Data Gap Summary	3					
	1.4	Finding	Findings						
		1.4.1	Recognized Environmental Condition	3					
		1.4.2	Controlled Recognized Environmental Condition	3					
		1.4.3	Historical Recognized Environmental Condition	3					
		1.4.4	Environmental Issue	3					
	1.5	Conclu	isions, Opinions and Recommendations	4					
2	Intro	4							
	2.1	Purpos	4						
	2.2	Scope	Scope						
	2.3	Signific	5						
	2.4	Limitat	ions and Exceptions	5					
	2.5	Specia	l Terms and Conditions (User Reliance)	7					
3	Site Description								
	3.1	Local a	and Legal Description	7					
	3.2	Surrou	Surrounding Area General Characteristics						
	3.3	Current Use of the Property							
	3.4	Descrip	Description of Property Improvements						
	3.5	6.5 Current Uses of Adjoining Property							
4	User	9							
	4.1	9							
	4.2	Environmental Liens or Activity and Use Limitations							
	4.3	Specia	10						
	4.4	Signific	10						
	4.5	Owner	10						
	4.6	Reaso	10						
	4.7	4.7 Other User Provided Documents							
5	Records Review								
	5.1	Standa	ard Environmental Records	10					
		5.1.1	Subject Property Listings	14					
		5.1.2	Adjacent Property Listings	14					
		5.1.3	Sites of Concern Listings	14					
		5.1.4	Orphan Listings	14					
		5.1.5	Local Environmental Records Search	14					
		5.1.6	Health Department	15					
		5.1.7	Fire Department	15					
		5.1.8	Building Department	15					
		5.1.9	Planning Department	16					
		5.1.10	Oil & Gas Exploration	16					

		5.1.11	Utilities	16	
		5.1.12	Other Local Environmental Records Sources	16	
	5.2	Physical	Setting Sources	17	
		5.2.1	Topography	17	
		5.2.2	Geology/Soils	17	
		5.2.3	Hydrology	17	
		5.2.4	Other Physical Setting Sources	17	
	5.3	Historica	I Records Sources	18	
		5.3.1	Aerial Photographs	18	
		5.3.2	Fire Insurance Maps	18	
		5.3.3	Property Tax Files	18	
		5.3.4	Recorded Land Title Records and AULs	18	
		5.3.5	Historical USGS Topographic Quadrangles	18	
		5.3.6	City Directories	18	
		5.3.7	Building Department Records	19	
		5.3.8	Zoning/Land Use Records	19	
		5.3.9	Prior Reports	19	
		5.3.10	Other Historical Sources	19	
6	Site Re	connais	sance	19	
	6.1	Methodo	logy and Limiting Conditions	19	
	6.2	Hazardo	us Substance Use, Storage, and Disposal	19	
	6.3	Abovegr	ound and Underground Hazardous Substance or Petroleum Product Storage Tanks	19	
	6.4	Polychlo	rinated Biphenyls	20	
	6.5	Unidenti	fied Substance Containers	20	
	6.6	Non-hazardous Solid Waste			
	6.7	Wastewa	ater	20	
	6.8	Sumps		20	
	6.9	Septic S	ystems	20	
	6.10	Storm wa	ater Management System	20	
	6.11	Wells		21	
7	Intervie	WS		21	
8	Other E	nvironm	nental Conditions	21	
	8.1	Asbestos	s-Containing Material	21	
	8.2	Radon		21	
	8.3	Lead in I	Drinking Water	22	
	8.4	Lead-Ba	sed Paint	22	
	8.5	Mold Sci	reening	22	
	8.6	Vapor Er	ncroachment	23	
9	Referer	nces		23	

## Appendices

- Appendix A Site Vicinity Map
- Appendix B Site Plan
- Appendix C Subject Property Photographs
- Appendix D User Provided Documentation (intentionally left blank)
- Appendix E Regulatory Database Report
- Appendix F Aerial Photographs
- Appendix G Historical Research Documentation
- Appendix H Sanborn Map Report
- Appendix I Topographic Map Report
- Appendix J City Directory Report
- Appendix K Property Owner Questionnaires
- Appendix L Terminology

## 1 Executive Summary

### 1.1 General Information

Project Information	Approximate 1,581 acres of land
Site Information	Blue Moon Energy LLC – Harrison County, Kentucky Cynthia, Kentucky
Site Access Contact	Joshua Harding
Client Information	Blue Moon Solar LLC
Consultant Information	Cardno, Inc. 76 San Marcos Street Austin, Texas 78702 Phone: 512 745 8129
Reconnaissance Date	August 25, 2021
Site Assessor	Chad Martin and Sam Waltman
Report Writer	Chad Martin
Environmental Professional	Chad Martin

#### **Environmental Professional Statement**

I declare that, to the best of my professional knowledge and belief, I meet the definition of Environmental Professional as defined in § 312.10 part of 40 CFR 312. We have the specific qualifications based on education, training, and experience to assess a property of the nature, history, and setting of the Subject Property. We have developed and performed the all appropriate inquiries in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312.

Chad Martin National Client Manager Environmental Professional
## 1.2 Findings and Conclusions Summary

Cardno, Inc. performed this Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) in conformance with the scope and limitations of American Society of Testing and Material (ASTM) Standard Practice E 1527-13. Any exceptions to, or deletions from, this practice are described in Section 2.0 of this report. This assessment did not reveal evidence of *recognized environmental conditions* (RECs) in connection with the Subject Property. Information regarding this finding is detailed in the following table.

Report Section		Further Action	De Minimis Condition	REC	Historical REC	Controlled REC	ASTM Non- Scope Condition	Description
4.0	User Provided Information	No						
5.1.1	Federal Database Findings	No						
5.1.2	State and Tribal Database Findings	No						
5.1.3	Local Environmental Record Sources	No						
5.3	Historical Records Sources	No						
6.2	Hazardous Substance Use,	No						
	Storage and Disposal							
6.3	Underground Storage Tanks	No						
6.4	Aboveground Storage Tanks	No						
6.5	Other Petroleum Products	No						
6.6	Polychlorinated Biphenyls	No						
6.7	Unidentified Substance Containers	No						
6.8	Nonhazardous Solid Waste	No						
6.9	Wastewater	No						
6.10	Waste Pits, Ponds and Lagoons	No						
6.11	Sumps	No						
6.12	Septic Systems	No						
6.13	Storm water Management System	No						
6.14	Wells	No						
7.0	Interviews	No						
8.1	Asbestos-Containing Material	No						
8.2	Radon	No						
8.3	Lead in Drinking Water	No						
8.4	Lead-Based Paint	No						
8.5	Mold Screening	No						
8.6	Vapor Encroachment	No						

#### **Findings and Conclusions Summary**

## 1.3 Significant Data Gap Summary

*Data gaps* may have been encountered during the performance of this Phase I ESA and are discussed within the section of the report where they were encountered. According to ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13, *data gaps* are only significant if "other information and/or professional experience raise reasonable concerns involving the *data gap.*" The following is a list of common sources of *significant data gaps* and Cardno, Inc.'s experience with them on this Phase I ESA.

Significant	Data	Gap	Summary
-------------	------	-----	---------

Report Section		Description
3.5	Current Uses of Adjoining Property	No significant data gap identified.
4.2	Environmental Liens or Activity and Use Limitations	No significant data gap identified.
5.1	Standard Environmental Records	No significant data gap identified.
5.2	Physical Setting Sources	No significant data gap identified.
5.3	Historical Records Sources	No significant data gap identified.
6.1	Methodology and Limiting Conditions	No significant data gap identified.
7.0	Interviews	No significant data gap identified.

### 1.4 Findings

#### 1.4.1 <u>Recognized Environmental Condition</u>

A REC refers to the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at a property due to release to the environment, under conditions indicative of a release to the environment, or under conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment.

Cardno, Inc. did not identify any RECs at the Subject Property during the course of this assessment.

#### 1.4.2 Controlled Recognized Environmental Condition

A controlled recognized environmental condition (CREC) refers to a REC resulting from a past release of hazardous substances or petroleum products that has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority, with hazardous substances or petroleum products allowed to remain in place subject to the implementation of required controls.

Cardno, Inc. did not identify any CRECs at the Subject Property during the course of this assessment.

#### 1.4.3 <u>Historical Recognized Environmental Condition</u>

An *historical recognized environmental condition* (HREC) refers to a past release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products that occurred in connection with the Subject Property and has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority or meeting unrestricted use criteria established by a regulatory authority, without subjecting the Subject Property to any required controls.

Cardno, Inc. did not identify any HRECs at the Subject Property during the course of this assessment.

#### 1.4.4 Environmental Issue

An *environmental issue* refers to environmental concerns identified by Cardno, Inc. that warrant further discussion, but that do not qualify as RECs.

Cardno, Inc. did not identify any environmental issues during the course of this assessment.

## 1.5 Conclusions, Opinions and Recommendations

Cardno, Inc. performed a Phase I ESA in conformance with the scope and limitations of ASTM Practice E1527-13 of the real property described herein, in Cynthia, Kentucky (the "Subject Property"). Any exceptions to, or deletions from, are described in Section 1.3 of this report.

This assessment did not reveal evidence of RECs or environmental issues in connection with the Subject Property. Based on the conclusions of this assessment, Cardno, Inc. recommends no further investigation regarding the environmental condition of the Subject Property.

## 2 Introduction

#### 2.1 Purpose

The purpose of this Phase I ESA was to identify RECs and certain potential environmental conditions outside the scope of ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13 in connection with the Subject Property at the time of the site reconnaissance. This report documents the findings, opinions, and conclusions of the Phase I ESA.

#### 2.2 Scope

This Phase I ESA was conducted in general accordance with the ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13, consistent with a level of care and skill ordinarily practiced by the environmental consulting profession currently providing similar services under similar circumstances. Significant additions, deletions, or exceptions to ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13 are noted below or in the corresponding sections of this report. The scope of this assessment included an evaluation of the following:

- Physical setting characteristics of the Subject Property through a review of referenced sources such as topographic maps and geologic, soils, and hydrologic reports.
- Usage of the Subject Property, adjoining property, and surrounding area through a review of referenced historical sources such as land title records, fire insurance maps, city directories, aerial photographs, prior reports, and interviews.
- Observations and interviews regarding current Subject Property usage and conditions including the use, treatment, storage, disposal, or generation of hazardous substances, petroleum products, hazardous wastes, non-hazardous solid wastes, and wastewater.
- Usage of adjoining and surrounding property and the likely impact of known or suspected releases of hazardous substances or petroleum products on the Subject Property.
- Information referenced in environmental agency databases and local environmental records within the specified approximate minimum search distance from the Subject Property.

The scope of the assessment also included consideration of the following environmental issues or conditions that are beyond the scope of ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13:

 Mold screening to report the findings of a baseline survey of readily observable mold and conditions conducive to mold on the Subject Property identified by limited interview, document review, and physical observation and to provide an opinion on whether an identified condition warrants further action. The scope of work for the mold screening was intended to be consistent with ASTM Standard Practice E 2418-06: *Standard Guide for Readily Observable Mold and Conditions Conducive to Mold in Commercial Buildings: Baseline Survey Process.* The scope of work, including potential deviations from the Standard Guide, is described as follows. The interview was limited to one knowledgeable person from property management or engineering staff. The document review was limited to only those relevant documents made readily available to Cardno, Inc. in a timely manner. The physical observations were limited to certain heating, ventilation, and air conditioning (HVAC) system areas and other readily accessible building areas likely to become subject to water damage, plumbing leaks, and flooding. Unless noted otherwise herein, Cardno, Inc. observed the HVAC equipment room(s) and readily accessible mechanical rooms and, in buildings with package units in the ceiling, at least one unit per floor. Also, unless noted otherwise, Cardno, Inc. observed readily accessible areas of the basement (or lowest level), the top floor, the roof and at least one mid-level floor (if applicable). For multistory buildings, the total number of floors observed (inclusive of those already mentioned) was intended to be up to 10% of the total number of floors (if readily accessible). For hotel and multi-family buildings, Cardno, Inc. targeted the lowest and highest levels and roof as described above and up to 10% of units, including one per floor if readily accessible. The mold screening did not include destructive methods of observation. No sampling or laboratory analyses were conducted. The mold screening service as described herein was limited in scope and by the time and cost considerations typically associated with performing a Phase I ESA. No method can guarantee that a hazard will be discovered if evidence of the hazard is not encountered within the performance of the mold screening as authorized and that opinions and conclusions must, out of necessity, be extrapolated from limited information and discrete, non-continuous data points. Unidentified mold or other microbial conditions may exist on the Subject Property.

- Visual observation and limited sampling of suspect asbestos-containing material (ACM) at the Subject Property. The visual observation consists of providing an opinion on the condition of suspect ACM on the Subject Property, based upon visual observation during the site reconnaissance. The limited sampling, if conducted, consists of the submission of bulk material samples to an accredited laboratory for determination of asbestos concentrations. The sampling was "limited" in that it was not intended to comply with the sampling requirements described in 40 CFR Part 763 or 40 CFR Part 61. Limited surveys are performed to identify the presence of readily accessible suspect ACM and to develop recommendations as to the need for a more thorough survey and/or an operations and maintenance (O&M) program.
- Radon document review, consisting of the review of published radon data with regard to the potential for elevated levels of radon gas in the surrounding area of the Subject Property.
- Lead in drinking water data review, consisting of contacting the water supplier for information regarding whether or not the potable water provided to the Subject Property meets or exceeds drinking water standards for lead.
- Visual observation of lead-based paint (LBP), consisting of providing an opinion on the potential for LBP based on the construction date of buildings on the Subject Property and visual observation of the condition of suspect LBP.
- Wetlands document review, consisting of a review of a current National Wetlands Inventory map of the surrounding area to note if the Subject Property is identified as having a wetland.
- Flood plain document review, consisting of a review of a reasonably ascertainable flood plain map of the surrounding area to note if the Subject Property is identified as being located within a flood plain.

## 2.3 Significant Assumption

Any assumptions in this report were not considered as having significant impact on the determination of RECs associated with the Subject Property.

## 2.4 Limitations and Exceptions

Cardno, Inc. prepared this Phase I ESA report using reasonable efforts to identify RECs associated with hazardous substances or petroleum products at the Subject Property. Findings contained within this report are based on information collected from observations made on the day(s) of the site reconnaissance and from reasonably ascertainable information obtained from certain public agencies and other referenced sources.

The ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13 recognizes inherent limitations for Phase I ESAs, including, but not limited to:

- Uncertainty Not Eliminated A Phase I ESA cannot completely eliminate uncertainty regarding the potential for RECs in connection with any property.
- *Not Exhaustive* A Phase I ESA is not an exhaustive investigation of the Subject Property and environmental conditions on such property.
- Past Uses of the Property Phase I requirements only require review of standard historical sources at five year intervals; therefore, past uses of the Subject Property at less than five year intervals may not be discovered.

Users of this report may refer to ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13 for further information regarding these and other limitations. This report is not definitive and should not be assumed to be a complete and/or specific definition of all conditions above or below grade. Current subsurface conditions may differ from the conditions determined by surface observations, interviews, and reviews of historical sources. The most reliable method of evaluating subsurface conditions is through intrusive techniques, which are beyond the scope of this report. Information in this report is not intended to be used as a construction document and should not be used for demolition, renovation, or other property construction purposes. Any use of this report by any party, beyond the scope and intent of the original parties, shall be at the sole risk and expense of such user.

Cardno, Inc. makes no representation or warranty that the past or current operations at the Subject Property are, or have been, in compliance with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, regulations, and codes. This report does not warrant against future operations or conditions, nor does it warrant against operations or conditions present of a type or at a location not investigated. Regardless of the findings stated in this report, Cardno, Inc. is not responsible for consequences or conditions arising from facts not fully disclosed to Cardno, Inc. during the assessment.

An independent data research company provided the government agency database referenced in this report. Information on surrounding area property was requested for approximate minimum search distances and is assumed to be correct and complete unless obviously contradicted by Cardno, Inc.'s observations or other credible referenced sources reviewed during the assessment. Cardno, Inc. shall not be liable for any such database firm's failure to make relevant files or documents properly available, to properly index files, or otherwise to fail to maintain or produce accurate or complete records.

Cardno, Inc. used reasonable efforts to identify evidence of aboveground and underground storage tanks (USTs) and ancillary equipment on the Subject Property during the assessment. "Reasonable efforts" were limited to observation of accessible areas, review of referenced public records and interviews. These reasonable efforts may not identify subsurface equipment or evidence hidden from view by things including, but not limited to, snow cover, paving, construction activities, stored materials and landscaping.

Any estimates of costs or quantities in this report are approximations for commercial real estate transaction due diligence purposes and are based on the findings, opinions, and conclusions of this assessment, which are limited by the scope of the assessment, schedule demands, cost constraints, accessibility limitations, and other factors associated with performing the Phase I ESA. Subsequent determinations of costs or quantities may vary from the estimates in this report. The estimated costs or quantities in this report are not intended to be used for financial disclosure related to the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 143, FASB Interpretation No. 47, Sarbanes/Oxley Act or any United States Securities and Exchange Commission reporting obligations, and may not be used for such purposes in any form without the express written permission of Cardno, Inc.

Cardno, Inc. is not a professional title insurance or land surveyor firm and makes no guarantee, express or implied, that any land title records acquired or reviewed in this report, or any physical descriptions or depictions of the Subject Property in this report, represent a comprehensive definition or precise delineation of property ownership or boundaries.

The Environmental Professional Statement in Section 1.1 of this report does not "certify" the findings contained in this report and is not a legal opinion of the Environmental Professional. The Environmental Professional Statement is intended to document Cardno, Inc.'s opinion that an individual meeting the qualifications of an Environmental Professional was involved in the performance of the assessment and that the activities performed by, or under the supervision of, the Environmental Professional were performed in conformance with the standards and practices set forth in 40 CFR Part 312 per the methodology in ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13 and the scope of work for this assessment.

Per ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13, Section 6, User Responsibilities, the user of this assessment has specific obligations for performing tasks during this assessment that will help identify the possibility of RECs in connection with the Subject Property. Failure by the user to fully comply with the requirements may impact their ability to use this report to help qualify for Landowner Liability Protections (LLPs) under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). Cardno, Inc. makes no representations or warranties regarding a user's qualification for protection under any federal, state, or local laws, rules, or regulations.

In accordance with the ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13, this report is presumed to be valid for a six-month period. If the report is older than six months, the following information must be updated for the report to be valid: (1) regulatory review, (2) site visit, (3) interviews, (4) specialized knowledge, and (5) environmental liens search. Reports older than one year may not meet the ASTM Standard Practice 1527-13 and, therefore, the entire report must be updated to reflect current conditions and property-specific information.

Other limitations and exceptions that are specific to the scope of this report may be found in corresponding sections.

## 2.5 Special Terms and Conditions (User Reliance)

This report is for the use and benefit of and may be relied upon by Blue Moon Energy LLC, its affiliates, and third parties authorized in writing by the client and Cardno, Inc., including the lender(s) in connection with a secured financing of the Subject Property, and their respective successors and assigns. Any third party agrees by accepting this report that any use or reliance on this report shall be limited by the exceptions and limitations in this report, and with the acknowledgment that actual Subject Property conditions may change with time, and that hidden conditions may exist at the Subject Property that were not discovered within the authorized scope of the assessment. Any use by or distribution of this report to third parties, without the express written consent of Cardno, Inc., is at the sole risk and expense of such third party.

Cardno, Inc. makes no other representation to any third party except that it has used the degree of care and skill ordinarily exercised by environmental consultants in the preparation of the report and in the assembling of data and information related thereto. No other warranties are made to any third party, either expressed or implied. Unless otherwise agreed upon in writing by Cardno, Inc. and a third party, or as set forth in the environmental consulting services agreement between Blue Moon Solar LLC and Cardno, Inc., Cardno, Inc.'s liability to any third party authorized to use or rely on this report with respect to any acts or omissions shall be limited to a total maximum amount of \$50,000. In the event of any conflict between the terms and conditions of this report and the terms and conditions of the environmental consulting services agreement, the environmental consulting services agreement shall control.

## 3 Site Description

## 3.1 Local and Legal Description

The Subject Property are located at the following 14 assessor parcel numbers (APN)/owner information:

First Name	Last Name	APN
Sarah	Haley	130-0000-004-00-000
Kent	Bradford	130-0000-003-01-000
Pam	McCauley White	116-0000-012-01-000
James	Wilson	117-0000-009-00-000
Chapel	Mastin	130-0000-012-00-000
William	Cook	129-0000-007-01-000
Gerald	Whalen	128-0000-013-00-000
Gerald	Whalen	129-0000-024-00-000
Paul	Wilson	129-0000-009-00-000
	Cynona Farms, LLC	130-0000-003-00-000
	Cynona Farms, LLC	130-0000-002-00-000
	Cynona Farms, LLC	116-0000-011-02-000
Richard	Midden	129-0000-022-02-000
Richard	Midden	129-0000-019-00-000
James	МсКее	117-0000-022-00-000

A Site Vicinity Map is located in Appendix A, a Site Plan is included in Appendix B, and Subject Property photographs are provided in Appendix C.

## 3.2 Surrounding Area General Characteristics

The surrounding areas are primarily characterized by agricultural and residential properties. The Subject Property can be accessed from Old Lair Pike, Millersburg Road/Highway 36, Hedges Lane, Republican Pike/Highway 392, Shady Nook Pike, Ruddles Mill Road/Highway 1940 and Steffe Lane. Elevation at the Subject Property range from approximately 800 to 900 feet above mean sea level (msl), and the topography of the Subject Property are generally flat. The Subject Property topography is discussed in detail in Section 5.2.1 of this report.

Specific adjoining property information is further discussed in Section 3.5.

## 3.3 Current Use of the Property

The Subject Property are currently occupied by residential and agricultural use. The subject buildings are rectangular-shaped structures that are oriented in various directions and are situated randomly throughout the Subject Property. The subject buildings are of wood-frame construction with reinforced concrete foundations. The building exteriors are finished with painted masonry brick veneer and painted wood siding. The roofs of the subject buildings are covered with different types of roofing materials.

The Subject Property are designated for multi-family residential development and agricultural use and are considered a legal use in its current configuration.

The Subject Property were not identified in the regulatory database report of Section 5.1.

Subject Property photographs are provided in Appendix C.

## 3.4 Description of Property Improvements

The following table provides general descriptions of the Subject Property improvements.

Size of Property (approximate)	Approximate 1,581-acre parcels
General Topography of Property	Generally the topography of the Subject Property are flat
Adjoining and/or Access/Egress Roads	Vehicular access to the Subject Property can be accessed from Old Lair Pike, Millersburg Road/Highway 36, Hedges Lane, Republican Pike/Highway 392, Shady Nook Pike, Ruddles Mill Road/Highway 1940 and Steffe Lane.
Approximate % Unimproved Areas	98%
Approximate % Landscaped Areas	0%
Approximate % Surface Water	2%
Potable Water Source	Harrison County Water Association and Cynthiana Water Department
Sanitary Sewer Utility	City of Cynthiana
Storm Sewer Utility	City of Cynthiana
Electrical Utility	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Blue Grass Energy Cooperative and Kentucky Utilities
Natural Gas Utility	Columbia Gas of Kentucky
Current Occupancy Status	5 single family residences are located throughout the Subject Property
Unoccupied Buildings/Spaces/Structures	26 barns or garages/sheds are located throughout the Subject Property
Number of Occupied Buildings	5 single family residences are located throughout the Subject Property
General Building Description	The subject buildings are rectangular-shaped structures that are oriented in various directions and are situated randomly throughout the Subject Property. The subject buildings are of wood-frame construction with reinforced concrete foundations.
Exterior Finishes Description	The building exteriors are finished with painted masonry brick veneer and painted wood siding. The roofs of the subject buildings are covered with different types of roofing materials
Emergency Power	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Blue Grass Energy Cooperative and Kentucky Utilities

#### **Property Improvements**

## 3.5 Current Uses of Adjoining Properties

The Subject Property are located within a mixed residential and agricultural area of Cynthia. During the vicinity reconnaissance, Cardno, Inc. observed land use in the immediate vicinity of the Subject Property as residential and agricultural use.

## 4 User-Provided Information

The following section summarizes information (if any) provided by Blue Moon Energy LLC (User) with regard to the Phase I ESA. Documentation may be found where referenced in this report.

## 4.1 Title Records

User provided no title record information for the Subject Property.

#### 4.2 Environmental Liens or Activity and Use Limitations

Cardno, Inc. attempted to identify environmental liens and AULs through client-supplied data. User provided no information that indicated any environmental liens or activity and use limitations (AULs) related to the Subject Property.

## 4.3 Specialized Knowledge

User provided no specialized knowledge regarding RECs associated with the Subject Property.

### 4.4 Significant Valuation Reduction for Environmental Issues

User provided no information indicating any significant valuation reduction for environmental issues associated with the Subject Property.

### 4.5 Owner, Property Manager and Occupant Information

User provided Cardno, Inc. with a site access contact indicating that some of the Subject Property were currently occupied and an escort would not be needed.

## 4.6 Reason for Performing Phase I ESA

User indicated that the Phase I ESA was being completed prior to a financial transaction regarding the Subject Property.

## 4.7 Other User Provided Documents

The User and property owners were provided an environmental questionnaire concerning the Subject Property. To date, Cardno, Inc. has received the completed document from seven of eleven property owners. The completed questionnaires do not materially change the findings of the Phase I. No RECs were identified in any of the responses. One removed UST (gas or diesel) and seven in-use ASTs were reported (four liquid petroleum, one gas, and two diesel). Chemical usage, consistent with agricultural use (ie, gasoline/diesel for equipment and pesticides/herbicides) were reported. No other documents were supplied to Cardno, Inc. as described in the ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13. Completed questionnaires, along with a summary, are provided in Appendix K. These features do not represent an environmental concern.

## 5 Records Review

#### 5.1 Standard Environmental Records

The regulatory agency databases report discussed in this section, provided by Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS), was reviewed for information regarding reported releases of hazardous substances and petroleum products on or near the Subject Property. Cardno, Inc. also reviewed the "unmappable" (also referred to as "orphan") listings within the database reports, cross-referencing available address information and facility names. Unmappable sites are listings that could not be plotted with confidence, but are potentially in the general area of the Subject Property based on the partial street address, city, or zip code. Any unmappable site that was identified by Cardno, Inc. as a being within the approximate minimum search distance from the Subject Property based on the site reconnaissance and/or cross-referencing to mapped listings, is included in the discussion within this section. The complete regulatory agency database reports may be found in Appendix E. The following table is a summary of the findings of the databases reviewed.

Summary of Federal, State, and Tribal Database Findings

Regulatory Database	Approx. Minimum Search Distance	Property Listed?	Additional Sites Listed
Federal NPL site list			
NPL	1 mile	No	No
Proposed NPL	1 mile	No	No
NPL LIENS	property	No	No
Federal Delisted NPL site list			
Delisted NPL	1 mile	No	No
Federal CERCLIS list			
FEDERAL FACILITY	½ mile	No	No
SEMS	½ mile	No	No
Federal CERCLIS NFRAP site list			
SEMS-ARCHIVE	½ mile	No	No
Federal RCRA CORRACTS facilities list			
CORRACTS	1 mile	No	No
Federal RCRIS non-CORRACTS TSD facilities list			
RCRA-TSDF	½ mile	No	No
Federal RCRA Generators list			
RCRA-LQG	1⁄4 mile	No	No
RCRA-SQG	1⁄4 mile	No	No
RCRA-CESQG	1⁄4 mile	No	No
Federal Institutional Control/Engineering Control Registry			
LUCIS	½ mile	No	No
US ENG CONTROLS	½ mile	No	No
US INST CONTROL	½ mile	No	No
Federal ERNS list			
ERNS	property	No	No
State - and tribal - equivalent CERCLIS			
SHWS	NA	No	No
State and tribal landfill and/or solid waste disposal site lists			
SWF/LF	½ mile	No	No
State and tribal leaking storage tank lists			
PSTEAF	½ mile	No	No
INDIAN LUST	1⁄2 mile	No	No
SB193	½ mile	No	No
State and tribal registered storage tank lists			
FEMA UST	1⁄4 mile	No	No
UST	1⁄4 mile	No	No
AST	1⁄4 mile	No	No
INDIAN UST	1⁄4 mile	No	No

Regulatory Database	Approx. Minimum Search Distance	Property Listed?	Additional Sites Listed
State and tribal institutional control/engineering control			
	½ mile	No	No
INST CONTROL	½ mile	No	No
State and tribal voluntary cleanup sites			
VCP	½ mile	No	No
INDIAN VCP	½ mile	No	No
State and tribal Brownfields sites			
BROWNFIELDS	½ mile	No	No
Local Brownfield lists			
US BROWNFIELDS	½ mile	No	No
Local Lists of Landfill / Solid Waste Disposal Sites			
HIST LF	½ mile	No	No
SWRCY	½ mile	No	No
INDIAN ODI	½ mile	No	No
DEBRIS REGION 9	½ mile	No	No
ODI	½ mile	No	No
IHS OPEN DUMPS	½ mile	No	No
Local Lists of Hazardous waste / Contaminated Sites			
US HIST CDL	property	No	No
CDL	property	No	No
US CDL	property	No	No
Local Land Records			
LIENS 2	property	No	No
Records of Emergency Release Reports			
HMIRS	property	No	No
SPILLS	property	No	No

Regulatory Database	Approx. Minimum Search Distance	Property Listed?	Additional Sites Listed
Other Ascertainable Records			
RCRA NonGen / NLR	1⁄4 mile	No	No
FUDS	1 mile	No	No
DOD	1 mile	No	No
SCRD DRYCLEANERS	½ mile	No	No
US FIN ASSUR	property	No	No
EPA WATCH LIST	property	No	No
2020 COR ACTION	1⁄4 mile	No	No
TSCA	property	No	No
Tris	property	No	No
SSTS	property	No	No
ROD	1 mile	No	No
RMP	property	No	No
RAATS	property	No	No
PRP	property	No	No
PADS	property	No	No
ICIS	property	No	No
FTTS	property	No	No
MLTS	property	No	No
COAL ASH DOE	property	No	No
COAL ASH EPA	½ mile	No	No
PCB TRANSFORMER	property	No	No
RADINFO	property	No	No
HIST FTTS	property	No	No
DOT OPS	property	No	No
CONSENT	1 mile	No	No
INDIAN RESERV	1 mile	No	No
FUSRAP	1 mile	No	No
UMTRA	½ mile	No	No
LEAD SMELTERS	Property	No	No
US AIRS	property	No	No
US MINES	1⁄4 mile	No	No
ABANDONED MINES	1⁄4 mile	No	No
FINDS	property	No	No
DOCKET HWC	1 mile	No	No
UXO	property	No	No
ECHO	property	No	No

Regulatory Database	Approx. Minimum Search Distance	Property Listed?	Additional Sites Listed
FUELS PROGRAM	1⁄4 mile	No	No
DRYCLEANERS	1⁄4 mile	No	No
EWA	Property	No	No
Financial Assurance	property	No	No
FUDS	property	No	No
MMRP	property	No	No
NPDES	property	No	No
TIER 2	property	No	No
UIC	property	No	No
UOPF	property	No	No

### 5.1.1 Subject Property Listings

The Subject Property were not identified in the regulatory database report.

#### 5.1.2 Adjacent Property Listings

Adjacent Properteis were not identified in the regulatory database report.

#### 5.1.3 Sites of Concern Listings

No sites of concern are identified in the regulatory database report.

#### 5.1.4 Orphan Listings

Orphan listings were identified in the regulatory database report. The listings were located and found to be outside the search radius or of no concern.

#### 5.1.5 Local Environmental Records Search

Name of Agency:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Department of Environmental Protection (KDEP)
Point of Contact:	http://dep.gateway.ky.gov/eSearch/Search_Al.aspx
Agency Address:	300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Agency Phone Number:	(502) 564-0323
Date of Contact:	September 17, 2021
Method of Communication:	Online
Communication Summary:	No records regarding hazardous substance use, storage or releases, or the presence of USTs and AULs on the Subject Property were on file with the agency.

#### 5.1.6 <u>Health Department</u>

Name of Agency:	Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Department for Public Health (KDPH)
Point of Contact:	KDPH Answering Service
Agency Address:	275 East Main Street, HS1C-D, Frankfort, Kentucky 40621
Agency Phone Number:	(502) 564-4856
Date of Contact:	September 17, 2021
Method of Communication:	Telephone
Communication Summary:	As of the date of this report, Cardno, Inc. has not received a response from this agency for inclusion in this report.

## 5.1.7 <u>Fire Department</u>

Name of Agency:	Harrison County Fire District
Point of Contact:	Charles A. Carson
Agency Address:	140 West Electric Avenue, Cynthiana, Kentucky 41031
Agency Phone Number:	(859) 588-9039
Date of Contact:	September 17, 2021
Method of Communication:	Telephone
Communication Summary:	No records regarding hazardous substance use, storage or releases, or the presence of USTs and AULs on the Subject Property were on file with the agency.

#### 5.1.8 Building Department

Name of Agency:	Planning and Community Development
Point of Contact:	https://www.harrisonplanning.com/
Agency Address:	111 South Main Street, Suite 202, Cynthiana, Kentucky 41031
Agency Phone Number:	(859) 234-7165
Date of Contact:	September 17, 2021
Method of Communication:	Online
Communication Summary:	Additional records were not available for review for the Subject Property.

#### 5.1.9 Planning Department

Name of Agency:	Planning and Community Development
Point of Contact:	https://www.harrisonplanning.com/
Agency Address:	111 South Main Street, Suite 202, Cynthiana, Kentucky 41031
Agency Phone Number:	(859) 234-7165
Date of Contact:	September 17, 2021
Method of Communication:	Online
Communication Summary:	Additional records were not available for review for the Subject Property.
5.1.10 Oil & Gas Explor	ation
Name of Agency:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department
Name of Agency: Point of Contact:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department <u>https://eec.ky.gov/Natural-Resources/Oil-and-Gas/Pages/default.aspx</u>
Name of Agency: Point of Contact: Agency Address:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department <u>https://eec.ky.gov/Natural-Resources/Oil-and-Gas/Pages/default.aspx</u> 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Name of Agency: Point of Contact: Agency Address: Agency Phone Number:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department <u>https://eec.ky.gov/Natural-Resources/Oil-and-Gas/Pages/default.aspx</u> 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 (502) 564-0323
Name of Agency: Point of Contact: Agency Address: Agency Phone Number: Date of Contact:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department <u>https://eec.ky.gov/Natural-Resources/Oil-and-Gas/Pages/default.aspx</u> 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 (502) 564-0323 September 17, 2021
Name of Agency: Point of Contact: Agency Address: Agency Phone Number: Date of Contact: Method of Communication:	Kentucky Energy & Environment Cabinet, Natural Resources, Oil & Gas Department https://eec.ky.gov/Natural-Resources/Oil-and-Gas/Pages/default.aspx 300 Sower Boulevard, Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 (502) 564-0323 September 17, 2021 Online

## 5.1.11 <u>Utilities</u>

Utility providers for the Subject Property are detailed in the following table.

#### **Utility Providers**

Utility	Provider
Electrical Utility Company	East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Blue Grass Energy Cooperative and Kentucky Utilities
Water Utilities	Harrison County Water Association, Cynthiana City Water/Sewage and Kentucky American Water
Sewer Utility	Cynthiana City Water/Sewage and Berry Sewage Treatment
Natural Gas Utility	Columbia Gas of Kentucky

### 5.1.12 Other Local Environmental Records Sources

No additional local environmental records sources were reviewed for this assessment.

## 5.2 Physical Setting Sources

#### 5.2.1 <u>Topography</u>

The United States Geological Survey (USGS) *Shady Nook, Millersburg, Shawhan, and Cynthiana,* Kentucky Quadrangle 7.5-minute series topographic maps were reviewed for this ESA. According to the contour lines on the topographic map, the Subject Property range from approximately 800 to 900 feet above msl, and are generally flat. The Subject Property are depicted on the 2013 maps as undeveloped.

#### 5.2.2 <u>Geology/Soils</u>

The Subject Property are situated within the Ordovician System in the Inner Bluegrass physiographic province of the state of Kentucky. It covers the central and southern part of Harrison County. The entire county is underlain by rocks of the Eden and Cynthiana formations.

Based on information obtained from the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey online database, the Subject Property are mapped as Faywood silty clay loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 20 percent, eroded; Lowell-Sandview silt loams, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Faywood silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 12 percent; Lowell-Faywood silt loams, which slopes from a range of 6 to 12 percent; McAfee silt loam, which slopes from a range of 6 to 20 percent; Huntington silt loam, which slopes from a range of 0 to 4 percent; Bluegrass-Maury silt loams, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Ashton silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Heitt silty clay loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 12 percent; Brasher silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Heitt silty clay loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 12 percent; Brasher silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Ashton slopes from a range of 6 to 12 percent; Brasher silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; Heitt silty clay loam, which slopes silt loams, which slopes from a range of 2 to 12 percent; Brasher silt loam, which slopes from a range of 2 to 6 percent; and a Maury-Bluegrass silt loams, which slopes from a range of 6 to 12 percent.

#### 5.2.3 <u>Hydrology</u>

According to topographic map interpretation, the direction of groundwater in the vicinity of the Subject Property are inferred to flow toward the west. The nearest surface water is the Indian Creek located in the northwestern portion of the Subject Property APN 128-0000-013-00-000. Also the North Fork Licking River, located approximately 250-feet west of Subject Property APN 117-0000-022-00-000. During this assessment, multiple ponds were observed throughout the Subject Property.

According to available information, a public water system operated by the Harrison County Water Association serves the Subject Property. According to the 2018 Water Quality Report, all water for the county comes from treated surface water. The producers and their sources include, City of Cynthiana withdraws from South Fork of Licking River; Kentucky-American Water withdraws from Kentucky River and Jacobson Reservoir; City of Paris withdraws from Stoner Creek; Nicholas County Water District purchases from Western Fleming Water District and the city of Carlisle which withdraws from Licking River.

Information specific to the Subject Property regarding the depth to groundwater and direction of groundwater flow was not available for the subject area.

#### 5.2.4 Other Physical Setting Sources

#### 5.2.4.1 Flood Plain Map

Cardno, Inc. performed a review of the Flood Insurance Rate Maps, published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). According to Harrison County Map Number 21097C0 Panel Numbers 169, 200, 257 and 300, dated January 6, 2011, the Subject Property appears to be located in Zone A, an area with no base flood elevations determined and Zone X, an area located within an area of minimal flood hazard.

A copy of the FEMA Map is included in Appendix K.

#### 5.2.4.2 Wetlands Map

According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory, wetlands are *not* located on the Subject Property. A copy of the Wetland Map is included in Appendix G. It is noted that this investigation did not include a formal determination relating to the presence of possible wetlands areas on the Subject Property.

#### 5.3 Historical Records Sources

The following table summarizes the findings of the research presented in the following subsections pertaining to historical property and surrounding area uses.

#### **Historical Use Information**

Period / Date	Source	Description / Use
1929 – 2013	Topographic Maps	Residential buildings and undeveloped land
1950 – 2016	Aerial Photographs	Residential buildings and undeveloped land

Potential environmental concerns were not identified in association with the current or former use of the Subject Property.

#### 5.3.1 <u>Aerial Photographs</u>

Cardno, Inc. obtained available aerial photographs of the Subject Property and the surrounding areas from 1950 to 2016. Potential environmental concerns were not identified in association with the current or former use of the Subject Property.

Copies of select aerial photographs are included in Appendix F of this report.

#### 5.3.2 Fire Insurance Maps

Cardno, Inc. reviewed the collection of Sanborn Fire insurance maps from Environmental Data Resources on September 17, 2021. Sanborn map coverage was not available for the Subject Property.

A copy of the reviewed Sanborn Maps Report is included in Appendix H of this report.

#### 5.3.3 Property Tax Files

The Subject Property are located on 15 assessor parcel numbers as seen in Section 3.1.

Additional historical ownership information was not available. The review of tax files did not identify past uses indicating RECs at the Subject Property.

#### 5.3.4 Recorded Land Title Records and AULs

The acquisition of recorded land title records was not required by the scope of work for this ESA.

#### 5.3.5 <u>Historical USGS Topographic Quadrangles</u>

Cardno, Inc. reviewed historical topographic maps obtained from EDR on August 2, 2019. The historical topographic maps, of the Subject Property and the surrounding areas, range from 1929 to 2013. Potential environmental concerns were not identified in association with the current or former use of the Subject Property.

Copies of reviewed topographic maps are included in Appendix I of this report.

#### 5.3.6 <u>City Directories</u>

Cardno, Inc. reviewed historical city directories obtained from EDR on May 20, 2019 for past names and businesses that were listed for the Subject Property APN 117-0000-022-00-000 and 130-0000-012-00-000 and its

adjacent property. Potential environmental concerns were not identified in association with the current or former use of the Subject Property APN 117-0000-022-00-000 and 130-0000-012-00-000 and its adjacent property.

Copies of reviewed city directories are included in Appendix J of this report.

#### 5.3.7 Building Department Records

Building Department records were previously discussed in Section 5.1.8.

#### 5.3.8 Zoning/Land Use Records

Zoning/land use records were previously discussed in Section 5.1.9.

#### 5.3.9 Prior Reports

Information obtained for and contained within the Cardno March 2, 2021 Phase I ESA were utilized to complete this review.

#### 5.3.10 Other Historical Sources

No other historical sources were reviewed for this assessment.

## 6 Site Reconnaissance

The initial unaccompanied site reconnaissance was conducted by Samuel Waltman of Cardno, Inc. on July 17, 2019. This visit was focused on the publically accessible areas of the Subject Property and noted only exterior features. Multiple single-family residences, barns and garages/sheds were observed throughout the Subject Property. A second reconnaissance was conducted on February 25, 2021 by Justin Stelly and Corbin Hoffmann and the same structures were observed. Sam Waltman and Chad Martin made a tertiary visit on August 25, 2021. No new observations were made.

Photographs of the Subject Property are included in Appendix C.

#### 6.1 Methodology and Limiting Conditions

The site reconnaissance consisted of visual and/or physical observations of the Subject Property and improvements, adjoining sites as viewed from the Subject Property, and the surrounding area based on visual observations made during the trip to and from the Subject Property. At the time of the Subject Property inspection, the weather conditions were sunny and approximately 85 degrees Fahrenheit. The ground was clear, allowing for full visual inspection.

#### 6.2 Hazardous Substance Use, Storage, and Disposal

No hazardous substances or petroleum products were observed on the Subject Property during the site reconnaissance.

## 6.3 Aboveground and Underground Hazardous Substance or Petroleum Product Storage Tanks

Cardno, Inc. observed multiple aboveground storage tanks (ASTs); located throughout the Subject Property. Three propane ASTs were observed on the Subject Property APN 117-0000-022-00-000 (1-AST) and 130-0000-012-00-000 (2-ASTs); one diesel AST was observed on the Subject Property APN 129-0000-009-00-000; two empty ASTs, two unlabeled ASTs and one unlabeled UST were observed on APN 130-0000-012-00-000. There

was no visible staining around the ASTs or UST located throughout the Subject Property, and they appear unlikely to represent an environmental concern.

## 6.4 Polychlorinated Biphenyls

Older transformers and other electrical equipment could contain polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) at a level that subjects them to regulation by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). PCBs in electrical equipment are controlled by U.S. EPA regulations 40 CFR, Part 761. Under the regulations, there are three categories into which electrical equipment can be classified: (1) less than 50 parts per million (ppm) of PCBs – "*Non-PCB*," (2) 50 to 500 ppm – "*PCB-Contaminated*," and (3) greater than 500 ppm – "*PCB-Containing*." The manufacture, process, or distribution in commerce or use of any PCB in any manner other than in a totally enclosed manner was prohibited after January 1, 1977.

The on-site reconnaissance addressed outdoor transformers that may contain PCBs. Eight pole-mounted transformers were observed throughout the Subject Property. One transformer was observed on the Subject Property APN 117-0000-022-00-000, 128-0000-013-00-000, 129-0000-007-01-000, 129-0000-009-00-000, and 129-0000-024-00-000; and three transformers were observed on APN 130-0000-012-00-000. The transformers are not labeled indicating PCB content. No staining or leakage was observed in the vicinity of the transformers. Based on the good condition of the equipment, the transformers are not expected to represent a significant environmental concern.

Additionally, no other potential PCB-containing equipment (interior transformers, oil-filled switches, hoists, lifts, dock levelers, hydraulic elevators, balers, etc.) was observed on the Subject Property.

### 6.5 Unidentified Substance Containers

Cardno, Inc. observed four silos, located throughout the Subject Property. One silo was observed on the Subject Property APN 129-0000-009-00-000 and 129-0000-024-00-000; and two silos were observed on APN 130-0000-012-00-000. Based on the good condition of the silos and no observed visible staining present, the silos appear unlikely to represent an environmental concern.

#### 6.6 Non-hazardous Solid Waste

Cardno, Inc. observed two trash debris piles on the north central portion of the Subject Property APN 116-0000-012-01-000, which do not represent an environmental concern.

#### 6.7 Wastewater

Cardno, Inc. did not observe evidence of wastewater generated, treated or discharged (including sanitary sewage and storm water) on the Subject Property or to adjoining properties.

Cardno, Inc. did observe multiple freshwater ponds on and adjacent to the Subject Property.

#### 6.8 Sumps

Cardno, Inc. did not observe evidence of sumps or oil/water separators on the Subject Property.

#### 6.9 Septic Systems

Cardno, Inc. did not observe evidence of a septic system on the Subject Property.

#### 6.10 Storm water Management System

Cardno, Inc. did not observe evidence of surface water, surface impoundments, retention ponds, dry wells, or other storm water management systems at the Subject Property.

Storm water from the Subject Property either percolates into the ground or runs off the Subject Property to adjacent sites.

## 6.11 Wells

Cardno, Inc. did not observe evidence of wells on the Subject Property.

## 7 Interviews

Persons were interviewed to obtain information regarding RECs in connection with the Subject Property. Pertinent information (if any) identified during those interviews are discussed in the respective sections of this report.

Record of Communication

Communication with	Date	Summary of Communication
Kentucky Energy & Environmental Cabinet, Department of Environmental Protection (KDEP)	September 17, 2019	Cardno, Inc. contacted the KDEP regarding records for the Subject Property. No records regarding hazardous substance use, storage or releases, or the presence of USTs and AULs on the Subject Property were on file with the KDEP.
Kentucky Cabinet for Health and Family Services, Department for Public Health (KDPH)	September 17, 2019	Cardno, Inc. contacted the KDHP regarding records for the Subject Property. No records of any violations were available for the Subject Property.
Harrison County Fire District	September 17, 2019	Cardno, Inc. contacted Fire Chief Charles Carson and no records regarding hazardous substance use, storage or releases, or the presence of USTs and AULs on the Subject Property were on file with the agency.
Planning and Community Development	September 17, 2019	Cardno, Inc. contacted the Planning and Community Development Department regarding records for the Subject Property and at the time this report was prepared, the information/records have not been received from the agency.

## 8 Other Environmental Conditions

## 8.1 Asbestos-Containing Material

Asbestos is the name given to a number of naturally occurring, fibrous silicate minerals mined for their useful property such as thermal insulation, chemical and thermal stability, and high tensile strength. The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) regulation 29 CFR 1926.1101 requires certain construction materials to be presumed to contain asbestos, for purposes of this regulation. All thermal system insulation (TSI), surfacing material, and asphalt/vinyl flooring that are present in a building constructed prior to 1981 and have not been appropriately tested are *presumed asbestos-containing material* (PACM).

Due to the commercial nature of use of the Subject Property, ACMs were not considered within the scope of this assessment.

## 8.2 Radon

Radon is a colorless, odorless, naturally occurring, radioactive, inert, gaseous element formed by radioactive decay of radium (Ra) atoms. The U.S. EPA has prepared a map to assist national, state, and local organizations to target their resources and to implement radon-resistant building codes. The map divides the country into three Radon Zones, as detailed in the following table.

Zones	Average Predicted Radon Levels	Potential
Zone 1	Exceed 4.0 pCi/L	Highest
Zone 2	Between 2.0 and 4.0 pCi/L	Moderate
Zone 3	Less than 2.0 pCi/L	Low

#### U.S. EPA Radon Zones

It is important to note that the U.S. EPA has found homes with elevated levels of radon in all three zones, and the U.S. EPA recommends site-specific testing to determine radon levels at a specific location; however, the map does give a valuable indication of the propensity of radon gas accumulation in structures.

Radon sampling was not conducted as part of this assessment. Review of the U.S. EPA Map of Radon Zones places the Subject Property in Zone 2. Based upon the radon zone classification, radon is unlikely to represent an environmental concern. The EPA Map of Radon Zones for Kentucky is provided in Appendix G.

### 8.3 Lead in Drinking Water

According to available information, a public water system operated by the Harrison County Water Association serves the Subject Property. According to the 2018 Water Quality Report, there are two sources used for the public water for Fleming County are provided by the Morehead Utility Plant Board, which obtains its source from the Licking River, and the Greater Fleming County Regional Water Commission, which uses groundwater supplied by three wells in the northwestern Lewis County. According to the 2018 Water Quality Report, water supplied to the Subject Property is in compliance with all state and federal regulations pertaining to drinking water standards, including lead and copper.

Information specific to the Subject Property regarding the depth to groundwater and direction of groundwater flow was not available for the subject area; however, according to information obtained from online research, depth to the high water table is anticipated between 14 and 24 feet below ground surface (bgs).

#### 8.4 Lead-Based Paint

Lead is a highly toxic metal that affects virtually every system of the body. Lead-based paint (LBP) is defined as any paint, varnish, stain, or other applied coating that has 1 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> (or 5,000 µg/g or 0.5% by weight) or more of lead. Congress passed the Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992, also known as "Title X," to protect families from exposure to lead from paint, dust, and soil. Under Section 1017 of Title X, intact LBP on most walls and ceilings is not considered a "hazard," although the condition of the paint should be monitored and maintained to ensure that it does not become deteriorated. Further, Section 1018 of this law directed the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) and the U.S. EPA to require the disclosure of known information on LBP and LBP hazards before the sale or lease of most housing built before 1978.

Due to the agricultural nature of use of the Subject Property, LBP was not considered within the scope of this assessment.

## 8.5 Mold Screening

Molds are microscopic organisms found virtually everywhere, indoors and outdoors. Mold will grow and multiply under the right conditions, needing only sufficient moisture (e.g., in the form of very high humidity, condensation, or water from a leaking pipe), and organic material (e.g., ceiling tile, drywall, paper, or natural fiber carpet padding).

Cardno, Inc. observed no indications of water damage or mold growth during Cardno, Inc.'s visual assessment.

## 8.6 Vapor Encroachment

Cardno, Inc. did not conduct a limited screening for potential vapor encroachment conditions (VECs) that may affect the Subject Property. A VEC screening would focus on the current and historical usage of the Subject Property and also used the aforementioned regulatory database report provided by EDR to evaluate identified chemicals of concern, including petroleum hydrocarbons. If the client should choose to further evaluate the potential VECs, Cardno, Inc. can provide those services accordingly. Cardno, Inc. identified no conditions at around the Subject Property, however, that appear to represent a concern in relation to soil vapor.

## 9 References

ASTM International (ASTM). Standard Practice for Environmental Site Assessments: Phase I Environmental Site Assessment Process, ASTM Designation E 1527-13, dated November 2005.

ASTM International (ASTM). Standard Guide for Readily Observable Mold and Conditions Conducive to Mold in Commercial Buildings: Baseline Survey Process, ASTM Designation E 2418-06, dated March 2006.

Cardno Inc. Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, dated March 2, 2021.

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). *Certified Sanborn Map Report*®, *Inquiry Number 5652207.3*, dated May 15, 2019.

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). *The EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package; Inquiry Number 5652207.8*, dated May 16, 2019

Environmental Data Resources, Inc. (EDR). *The EDR Historical Topographic Map Report; Inquiry Number* 5652207.4, dated February 19, 2021.

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS). Database Report - Radius - Linear Reports; Order Number 21021200299, dated February 18, 2021.

Federal Emergency Management Act, Map Service Center website, <u>https://msc.fema.gov/webapp/</u>, accessed September 17, 2021

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory, <u>http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/Mapper</u>, accessed September 17, 2021.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Soil Conservation Service (SCS) Soil Survey website, <u>http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/</u>, accessed September 17, 2021.

United States Geological Survey (USGS) Interactive Geologic Map of Kentuky, <u>http://ngmdb.usgs.gov/maps/</u>, accessed September 17, 2021.

Harrison County Water Association. Water Quality Report, dated 2018.

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky







GIS Analyst: chad.martin

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

## Appendix



## Site Plan



Project Boundary

Date Created: 9/17/2021 Date Revised: 9/17/2021 File Path: S:\PROJECTS\RecurrentEnergy\E320201803 - Blue Moon CUP\_KSB Applications\GIS\PHase 1 Site Layout.mxd GIS Analyst: chad.martin

Legend

Cynthiana

0 6251,250 2,500 ├─┼─┼─┤ Feet Blue Moon Energy Project

## Figure 2: Site Map

Date:	Project No:	Figure No:	
Sept 2021	E320201803		
Data Source: Basemap: Bing Maps Aerial (2020)			

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

Appendix



## Subject Property Photographs

























Appendix C: Site Photographs





Appendix C: Site Photographs









Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

## Appendix



# User Provided Documentation (intentionally left blank)

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

## Appendix

## Regulatory Database Report



**Project Property:** 

E319202000 Blue Moon Solar n/a Cynthiana KY

Project No: Report Type: Order No: Requested by: Date Completed:

Quote - Custom Radius - Linear Reports 21021200299 Cardno Inc. February 18, 2021
## Table of Contents

Table of Contents	3
Executive Summary	4
Executive Summary: Report Summary	5
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Project Property	8
Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Surrounding Properties	9
Executive Summary: Summary by Data Source	10
Map	11
Aerial	12
Topographic Map	13
Detail Report	14
Unplottable Summary	15
Unplottable Report	16
Appendix: Database Descriptions	25
Definitions	34

#### Notice: IMPORTANT LIMITATIONS and YOUR LIABILITY

Reliance on information in Report: This report DOES NOT replace a full Phase I Environmental Site Assessment but is solely intended to be used as database review of environmental records.

License for use of information in Report: No page of this report can be used without this cover page, this notice and the project property identifier. The information in Report(s) may not be modified or re-sold.

Your Liability for misuse: Using this Service and/or its reports in a manner contrary to this Notice or your agreement will be in breach of copyright and contract and ERIS may obtain damages for such mis-use, including damages caused to third parties, and gives ERIS the right to terminate your account, rescind your license to any previous reports and to bar you from future use of the Service.

No warranty of Accuracy or Liability for ERIS: The information contained in this report has been produced by ERIS Information Inc. ("ERIS") using various sources of information, including information provided by Federal and State government departments. The report applies only to the address and up to the date specified on the cover of this report, and any alterations or deviation from this description will require a new report. This report and the data contained herein does not purport to be and does not constitute a guarantee of the accuracy of the information contained herein and does not constitute a legal opinion nor medical advice. Although ERIS has endeavored to present you with information that is accurate, ERIS disclaims, any and all liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in such information and data, whether attributable to inadvertence, negligence or otherwise, and for any consequences arising therefrom. Liability on the part of ERIS is limited to the monetary value paid for this report.

**Trademark and Copyright:** You may not use the ERIS trademarks or attribute any work to ERIS other than as outlined above. This Service and Report (s) are protected by copyright owned by ERIS Information Inc. Copyright in data used in the Service or Report(s) (the "Data") is owned by ERIS or its licensors. The Service, Report(s) and Data may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in any substantial part without prior written consent of ERIS.

## **Executive Summary**

#### Property Information:

**Project Property:** 

E319202000 Blue Moon Solar n/a Cynthiana KY

**Project No:** 

#### **Coordinates:**

Latitude:	38.37848012
Longitude:	-84.24408927
UTM Northing:	4,251,405.60
UTM Easting:	740,734.24
UTM Zone:	16S

#### Elevation:

902 FT

### Order Information:

Order No:	21021200299
Date Requested:	February 12, 2021
Requested by:	Cardno Inc.
Report Type:	Quote - Custom Radius - Linear Reports
Report Type:	Quote - Custom Radius - Linear Reports

#### Historicals/Products:

ERIS Xplorer Excel Add-On

ERIS Xplorer Excel Add-On

## Executive Summary: Report Summary

Database	Searched	Project Property
Standard Environmental Records		
Federal		
FRP	Y	0
NPL	Y	0
PROPOSED NPL	Y	0
DELETED NPL	Y	0
SEMS	Y	0
SEMS ARCHIVE	Y	0
ODI	Y	0
CERCLIS	Y	0
IODI	Y	0
CERCLIS NFRAP	Y	0
CERCLIS LIENS	Y	0
RCRA CORRACTS	Y	0
RCRA TSD	Y	0
RCRA LQG	Y	0
RCRA SQG	Y	0
RCRA VSQG	Y	0
RCRA NON GEN	Y	0
FED ENG	Y	0
FED INST	Y	0
ERNS 1982 TO 1986	Y	0
ERNS 1987 TO 1989	Y	0
ERNS	Y	0
FED BROWNFIELDS	Y	0
FEMA UST	Y	0
REFN	Y	0
BULK TERMINAL	Y	0
SEMS LIEN	Y	0

Database	Searched	Project Property	
SUPERFUND ROD	Y	0	
State			
BROWNFIELDS	Y	0	
SHWS	Y	0	
DSHW	Y	0	
SWF/LF	Y	0	
SB193	Y	0	
PSTEAF	Y	0	
UST	Y	0	
DELISTED STORAGE TANK	Y	0	
ENG	Y	0	
INST	Y	0	
VCP	Y	0	
BROWNFIELD INV	Y	0	
Tribal			
INDIAN LUST	Y	0	
INDIAN UST	Y	0	
DELISTED ILST	Y	0	
DELISTED IUST	Y	0	

No County standard environmental record sources available for this State.

County

Additional Environmental Records

#### Federal

PFAS NPL	Y	0
FINDS/FRS	Y	0
TRIS	Y	0
PFAS TRI	Y	0
PFAS WATER	Y	0
HMIRS	Y	0
NCDL	Y	0
TSCA	Y	0
HIST TSCA	Y	0
FTTS ADMIN	Y	0
FTTS INSP	Y	0
PRP	Y	0
SCRD DRYCLEANER	Y	0
ICIS	Y	0
FED DRYCLEANERS	Y	0
DELISTED FED DRY	Y	0

Database	Searched	Project Property	
FUDS	Y	0	
PIPELINE INCIDENT	Y	0	
MLTS	Y	0	
HIST MLTS	Y	0	
MINES	Y	0	
ALT FUELS	Y	0	
SSTS	Y	0	
PCB	Y	0	
State			
SPILLS	Y	0	
Tribal	No Tribal a	dditional environment	al record sources available for this State.
County	No County	additional environmer	ntal record sources available for this State.

Total:

0

## Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Project Property

		<b>0 (0) 1</b>		<b>D</b> . (		-
Мар	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Distance	Elev Diff	Page
Key				(mi/ft)	(ft)	Number

No records found in the selected databases for the project property.

## Executive Summary: Site Report Summary - Surrounding Properties

Мар Кеу	DB	Company/Site Name	Address	Distance (mi/ft)	Elev Diff (ft)	Page Numbe
Ney				(IIII/IC)	(ft)	

No records found in the selected databases for the surrounding properties.

## Executive Summary: Summary by Data Source

No records found in the selected databases for the project property or surrounding properties.





84°15'W

84°14'30"W

84°15'30"W

84°16'30"W

84°16'W

Order Number: 21021200299



Address: n/a, Cynthiana, KY

84°12'30"W

84°13'W



#### **Topographic Map** Year: 2016

Address: n/a, KY

Quadrangle(s): Cynthiana, KY; Millersburg, KY; Shady Nook, KY; Shawhan, KY

Source: USGS Topographic Map

© ERIS Information Inc.

R

## Detail Report

Map Key	Number of Records	Direction	Distance (mi/ft)	Elev/Diff (ft)	Site	DB
---------	----------------------	-----------	---------------------	-------------------	------	----

No records found in the selected databases for the project property or surrounding properties.

## Unplottable Summary

#### Total: 7 Unplottable sites

DB	Company Name/Site Name	Address	City	Zip	ERIS ID
ERNS		HIGHWAY 36	CARLISLE KY	40311	806722481
ERNS		HWY 36	CARLISLE KY	40311	807133482
RCRA NON GEN	JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL, INC.	HIGHWAY 36	CARLISLE KY	40311	810121821
RCRA NON GEN	JONES SHOP SERVICE STATION	HWY 32/36	CYNTHIANA KY	41031	810144328
UST	Connersville Grocery	Hwy 32	Cynthiana KY	41031	819774542
UST	Service Station 208d BP 009	KY 36	Carlisle KY	40311	819769706
UST	Larrys Bar & Grill	KY 36	Cynthiana KY	41031	819765266

## **Unplottable Report**

#### Site:

HIGHWAY 36 CARLISLE KY 40311

NRC Report No:	497472	Latitude Degrees:
Type of Incident:	FIXED	Latitude Minutes:
Incident Cause:	UNKNOWN	Latitude Seconds:
Incident Date:	9/3/1999 1:00:00 AM	Longitude Degrees:
Incident Location:		Longitude Minutes:
Incident Dtg:	OCCURRED	Longitude Seconds:
Distance from City:	1.5	Lat Quad:
Distance Units:	MI	Long Quad:
Direction from City:	W	Location Section:
Location County:	NICHOLAS	Location Township:
Potential Flag:		Location Range:
Year:	Year 1999 Reports	-
Description of Incident:	CHLORINE SILNER / UNDER INVEST	IGATION / DO NOT KNOW IF MATERIAL WASRELEASED

#### Material Spill Information

Chris Code: CAS No: UN No: Name of Material: Amount of Material:		Unit of Measure: If Reached Water: Amount in Water: Unit Reach Water:	UNKNOWN AMOUNT YES 0 UNKNOWN AMOUNT
--	--	--	--

#### **Calls Information**

Date Time Received: Date Time Complete:	9/3/1999 3:11:53 PM 9/3/1999 3:21:15 PM	Responsible City: Responsible State:	CARLISLE KY
Call Type:	INC	Responsible Zip:	40311
Resp Company:	JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL	Source:	UNAVAILABLE
Resp Org Type:	PRIVATE ENTERPRISE		

#### Incident Information

U

ABOVE

UNKNOWN

U

Tank ID: Tank Regulated: Tank Regulated By: Capacity of Tank: Capacity Tank Units: Description of Tank: Actual Amount: Actual Amount Units: Tank Above Ground: NPDES: NPDES Compliance: Init Contin Rel No: Contin Rel Permit: Contin Release Type: Aircraft ID: Aircraft Runway No: Aircraft Spot No: Aircraft Type: Aircraft Model: Aircraft Fuel Cap: Aircraft Fuel Cap U: Aircraft Fuel on Brd: Aircraft Fuel OB U: Aircraft Hanger:

Building ID: Location Area ID: Location Block ID: OCSG No: OCSP No: State Lease No: Pier Dock No: Berth Slip No: Brake Failure: Ν Airbag Deployed: υ Transport Contain: Location Subdiv: Platform Rig Name: Platform Letter: Allision: Ν Type of Structure: Structure Name: Structure Oper: Υ Transit Bus Flag: Date Time Norm Serv: Serv Disrupt Time: Serv Disrupt Units: CR Begin Date: CR End Date:

ERNS

Road Mile Marker:		CR Change Date:	
Power Gen Facility:	U	FBI Contact:	
Generating Capacity:		FBI Contact Dt Tm:	
Type of Fixed Obj:	UNKNOWN	Passenger Handling:	
Type of Fuel:		Passenger Route:	XXX
DOT Crossing No:		Passenger Delay:	XXX
DOT Regulated:	11	Sub Part C Test Reg	XXX
Dinalina Typa:		Conductor Tost:	<i>/////</i>
Pipeline Type.		Engineer Test:	
Pipeline Abv Ground.		Engineer Test.	
Pipeline Coverea:	U	Trainman Test:	
Exposed Underwater:	U	Yard Foreman Test:	
Railroad Hotline:	No	RCL Operator Test:	
Railroad Milepost:	UNKNOWN	Brakeman Test:	
Grade Crossing:	N	Train Dispat Test:	
Crossing Device Ty:		Signalman Test:	
Ty Vehicle Involved:	UNKNOWN	Oth Employee Test:	
Device Operational:	Y	Unknown Test:	
Incident Details Informa	tion		
Release Secured:	U	State Agen Report No:	
Release Rate:		State Agen on Scene:	
Release Rate Unit		State Agen Notified	
Poloaso Pato Pato:		End Agency Notified:	
Est Duration of Pol:		Oth Agency Notified:	
Est Duration of Ref.		Dun Agency Nouned.	
Desc Remedial Act.	KNOW IF IT WAS A FALSE ALARM /REPORT NO ODOR OTHER EQUIPMENT DID NOT REPORT SPILL	body of water.	
Fire Involved:	N	Tributary of:	
Fire Extinguished:	U	Near River Mile Make:	
Anv Evacuations:	Ν	Near River Mile Mark:	
No Evacuated:		Offshore:	Ν
Who Evacuated		Weather Conditions:	
Padius of Evacu:		Air Temperature:	
Any Injurios	11	Wind Direction:	
Any injunes.	0	Wind Speed	
No. Injurea:		Wind Speed:	
No. Hospitalized:		wind Speed Unit:	
No. Fatalities:		Water Supp Contam:	U
Any Fatalities:	U	Water Temperature:	
Any Damages:	N	Wave Condition:	
Damage Amount:		Current Speed:	
Air Corridor Closed:	N	Current Direction:	
Air Corridor Desc:		Current Speed Unit:	
Air Closure Time:		EMPL Fatality:	
Waterway Closed	Ν	Pass Fatality:	
Waterway Desc:		Community Impact:	Ν
Waterway Close Time:		Dessonders Transfer:	LINK
Read Closed	Ν	Passengers mansier.	ONK
Road Closed.	IN .	Fassenger mjuries.	
Road Desc:		Employee injuries:	
Road Closure Time:		Occupant Fatality:	
Road Closure Units:		Sheen Size:	
Closure Direction:		Sheen Size Units:	
Major Artery:	No	Sheen Size Length:	
Track Closed:	N	Sheen Size Length U:	
Track Desc:		Sheen Size Width:	
Track Closure Time:		Sheen Size Width U:	
Track Closure Units:		Sheen Color:	
Track Close Dir:		Dir of Sheen Travel	
Media Interest		Sheen Odor Desc	
Medium Desc:	AIR	Duration Unit	
Addl Medium Info:	ATMOSPHERE	Additional Info:	SHUT DOWN PROCESS

SHUT DOWN PROCESS TO CHECK EQUIPMENT / STILL INVESTIGATING IF THEIRWAS A LEAK / BELIEVE IT WAS A FALSE ALARM AT THIS TIME

Site:

17

HWY 36 CARLISLE KY 40311

ERNS

NRC Report No: Type of Incident: Incident Cause: Incident Date: Incident Location: Incident Dtg: Distance from City: Distance Units: Direction from City: Location County: Potential Flag: Year: Description of Incident:	450385 UNKNOWN SHEEN UNKNOWN 8/13/1998 7:45:00 AM OCCURRED NICHOLAS Year 1998 Reports 10 EMPLOYEE'S SENT HOME BECAU ALL EMPLOYEE'S SENT HOME AT 05	Latitude Degrees: Latitude Minutes: Latitude Seconds: Longitude Degrees: Longitude Minutes: Longitude Seconds: Lat Quad: Long Quad: Location Section: Location Township: Location Range: USE OF COMPLAINTS OF	FEELING SICK /AIR TESTING BEING DONE /
Material Spill Information	<u>n</u>		
Chris Code: CAS No: UN No: Name of Material: Amount of Material:	UNK UNKNOWN MATERIAL 0	Unit of Measure: If Reached Water: Amount in Water: Unit Reach Water:	UNKNOWN AMOUNT YES 0 NONE
Calls Information			
Date Time Received: Date Time Complete: Call Type: Resp Company: Resp Org Type:	8/13/1998 1:38:22 PM 8/13/1998 1:50:20 PM INC JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL PRIVATE ENTERPRISE	Responsible City: Responsible State: Responsible Zip: Source:	CARLISLE KY 40311 UNAVAILABLE
Incident Information			
Tank ID: Tank Regulated: Tank Regulated By: Capacity of Tank: Capacity Tank Units: Description of Tank: Actual Amount: Actual Amount Units: Tank Above Ground: NPDES:	U ABOVE	Building ID: Location Area ID: Location Block ID: OCSG No: OCSP No: State Lease No: Pier Dock No: Berth Slip No: Brake Failure: Airbag Deployed:	Ν
NPDES Compliance: Init Contin Rel No: Contin Rel Permit: Contin Release Type: Aircraft ID: Aircraft Spot No:	U	Transport Contain: Location Subdiv: Platform Rig Name: Platform Letter: Allision: Type of Structure: Structure Name:	U N
Aircraft Type: Aircraft Model: Aircraft Fuel Cap: Aircraft Fuel Cap U: Aircraft Fuel on Brd: Aircraft Fuel OB U: Aircraft Hanger: Road Mile Marker: Power Gen Facility:	UNKNOWN	Structure Oper: Transit Bus Flag: Date Time Norm Serv: Serv Disrupt Time: Serv Disrupt Units: CR Begin Date: CR End Date: CR Change Date: FBI Contact:	Y
Generating Capacity: Type of Fixed Obj: Type of Fuel: DOT Crossing No: DOT Regulated: Pipeline Type: Pipeline Abv Ground: Pipeline Covered: Exposed Underwater:	UNKNOWN U UNKNOWN ABOVE U U	FBI Contact Dt Tm: Passenger Handling: Passenger Route: Passenger Delay: Sub Part C Test Req: Conductor Test: Engineer Test: Trainman Test: Yard Foreman Test:	XXX XXX XXX

Railroad Hotline: Railroad Milepost: Grade Crossing: Crossing Device Ty: Ty Vehicle Involved: Device Operational:	No UNKNOWN N UNKNOWN Y	RCL Operator Test: Brakeman Test: Train Dispat Test: Signalman Test: Oth Employee Test: Unknown Test:	
Incident Details Informa	<u>tion</u>		
Release Secured: Release Rate: Release Rate Unit: Release Rate Rate: Est Duration of Rel: Desc Remedial Act:	U AIR TESTING BEING DONE / UNKNOWN IF RELEASE OF ANYTHING HAS OCCURREDTESTS HAVE BEEN COMING UP NEGATIVE	State Agen Report No: State Agen on Scene: State Agen Notified: Fed Agency Notified: Oth Agency Notified: Body of Water:	
Fire Involved: Fire Extinguished: Any Evacuations: No Evacuated: Who Evacuated:	N U N	Tributary of: Near River Mile Make: Near River Mile Mark: Offshore: Weather Conditions:	Y
Radius of Evacu: Any Injuries: No. Injured: No. Hospitalized:	Y 6	Air Temperature: Wind Direction: Wind Speed: Wind Speed Unit:	
No. Fatalities: Any Fatalities: Any Damages: Damage Amount:	U N	Water Supp Contam: Water Temperature: Wave Condition: Current Speed:	U
Air Corridor Closed: Air Corridor Desc: Air Closure Time: Waterway Closed: Waterway Dosc:	N	Current Speed Unit: EMPL Fatality: Pass Fatality:	N
Waterway Desc. Waterway Close Time: Road Closed: Road Desc: Road Closure Time: Road Closure Units:	Ν	Passengers Transfer: Passenger Injuries: Employee Injuries: Occupant Fatality: Sheen Size:	UNK
Closure Direction: Major Artery: Track Closed: Track Desc: Track Closure Time: Track Closure Units:	No N	Sheen Size Units: Sheen Size Length: Sheen Size Length U: Sheen Size Width: Sheen Size Width U: Sheen Color:	
Track Close Dir: Media Interest: Medium Desc: Addl Medium Info:	AIR ATMOSPHERE	Dir of Sheen Travel: Sheen Odor Desc: Duration Unit: Additional Info:	6 EMPLO

6 EMPLOYEE'S WENT TO HOSPITAL FOR NAUSIA AND HEADACHES / MANAGERIALSTAFF STILL IN FACILITY WITH NO SYMPTOMS / WX: CLEAR AND CALM

#### <u>Site:</u> JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL, INC. HIGHWAY 36 CARLISLE KY 40311

EPA Handler ID:	KYD981806201
Gen Status Universe:	No Report
Contact Name:	JAMES WELLS
Contact Address:	P.O. BOX 192, , CARLISLE, KY, 40311, US
Contact Phone No and Ext:	606-289-2221
Contact Email:	
Contact Country:	US
County Name:	NICHOLAS
EPA Region:	04
Land Type:	Private

#### RCRA NON GEN

#### Violation/Evaluation Summary

#### Note:

NO RECORDS: As of Oct 2020, there are no Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement (violation) records associated with this facility (EPA ID).

#### Handler Summary

Importer Activity:	No
Mixed Waste Generator:	No
Transporter Activity:	No
Transfer Facility:	No
Onsite Burner Exemption:	No
Furnace Exemption:	No
Underground Injection Activity:	No
Commercial TSD:	No
Used Oil Transporter:	No
Used Oil Transfer Facility:	No
Used Oil Processor:	No
Used Oil Refiner:	No
Used Oil Burner:	No
Used Oil Market Burner:	No
Used Oil Spec Marketer:	No

#### Hazardous Waste Handler Details

1
19920210
JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Notification
N
Not a Generator, Verified

#### Waste Code Details

Hazardous Waste Code:	NONE
Waste Code Description:	DESCRIPTION

#### **Owner/Operator Details**

Owner/Operator Ind:	Current Owner	Street No:	
Type:	Private	Street 1:	2300 60TH STREET
Name:	JOCKEY INTERNATIONAL, INC.	Street 2:	
Date Became Current:		City:	KENOSHA
Date Ended Current:		State:	WI
Phone:	606-289-2221	Country:	
Source Type:	Notification	Zip Code:	53140
Date Ended Current: Phone: Source Type:	606-289-2221 Notification	State: Country: Zip Code:	53140

#### <u>Site:</u> JONES SHOP SERVICE STATION HWY 32/36 CYNTHIANA KY 41031

EPA Handler ID:	KYR000016881
Gen Status Universe:	No Report
Contact Name:	LOUIS JONES
Contact Address:	RT 7 BOX 320, , CYNTHIANA, KY, 41031, US
Contact Phone No and Ext:	606-234-2653
Contact Email:	
Contact Country:	US
County Name:	HARRISON
EPA Region:	04
Land Type:	Private
Receive Date:	19980805

## RCRA NON GEN

#### Violation/Evaluation Summary

#### Handler Summary

Importer Activity:	No
Mixed Waste Generator:	No
Transporter Activity:	No
Transfer Facility:	No
Onsite Burner Exemption:	No
Furnace Exemption:	No
Underground Injection Activity:	No
Commercial TSD:	Nc
Used Oil Transporter:	No
Used Oil Transfer Facility:	No
Used Oil Processor:	Nc
Used Oil Refiner:	No
Used Oil Burner:	No
Used Oil Market Burner:	No
Used Oil Spec Marketer:	Nc

#### Hazardous Waste Handler Details

1
19980805
JONES SHOP SERVICE STATION
Notification
Ν
Not a Generator, Verified

#### Waste Code Details

Hazardous Waste Code:	NONE
Waste Code Description:	DESCRIPTION

#### **Owner/Operator Details**

Owner/Operator Ind:	Current Owner	Street No:	
Type:	Private	Street 1:	RT 7 BOX 320
Name:	LOUIS JONES	Street 2:	
Date Became Current:		City:	CYNTHIANA
Date Ended Current:		State:	KY
Phone:	606-234-2653	Country:	
Source Type:	Notification	Zip Code:	41031

#### Site: **Connersville Grocery** UST Hwy 32 Cynthiana KY 41031 AI ID: 61277 County: Harrison Int Doc ID: Mail Addr Municip: Cynthiana 0 Latitude: Mailing Addr State: KΥ Longitude: Mailing Addr Zip: 41031 AI Type: RETAIL- Retail Trade, Gasoline Stations (447)

### Underground Storage Tanks

Subject Item ID:	2	Owner Name:	Zelma Mcbee
Tank Pit No:		Owner Address:	RT 1 BOX 422
Tank Status:	TEX Exempt	<b>Owner Address 2:</b>	
Temp Close Date:	1/1/1979	Owner Address 3:	
Site Seq ID:	10000564	Owner City:	Cynthiana
Install Date:	1/1/1965	Owner State:	KÝ
Lined Date:		Owner Zip:	41031
Tank Material:	SST Single Wall Steel	Owner Phone:	606-234-4245
Tank Inert Material:		Subj Item Cat Code:	STOR

Tank Release Detect: Tank Spill Prevent: Last Cont Prod Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Removal Date: Service Change Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last CP Test Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Piping Dt: Added to Tank Date: Piping Install Dt: Tank Manufctr: Pipe Manufctr:	NON None UNK Unknown	Last Pipe Test Dt: Lining Insp Date: Tank Ext Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Overfill Prevent: Pipe Material Desc: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Type Desc: Pipe Rel Detect PRP: Pipe Rel Detect SUC: Pipe Leak Detect:	UNK Unknown UNK Unknown SST Single Wall Steel UNK Unknown SUC Suction NON None NON None N/A Not Applicable	
Tank Compartment Info	rmation			
Compartment No: Capacity MSR:	1 560	Tank Substance Cd: Tank Subst Desc:	GAS GAS Gasoline	
Underground Storage T	anks			
Subject Item ID: Tank Pit No: Tank Status: Temp Close Date: Site Seq ID: Install Date: Lined Date: Tank Material: Tank Inert Material: Tank Release Detect: Tank Release Detect: Tank Spill Prevent: Last Cont Prod Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Removal Date: Service Change Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last CP Test Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Flex Date: Piping Install Dt: Tank Manufctr: Pipe Manufctr:	1 TEX Exempt 1/1/1979 10000564 1/1/1965 SST Single Wall Steel NON None UNK Unknown	Owner Name: Owner Address: Owner Address 2: Owner Address 3: Owner City: Owner State: Owner Zip: Owner Phone: Subj Item Cat Code: Last Pipe Test Dt: Lining Insp Date: Tank Ext Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Overfill Prevent: Pipe Material Desc: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Rel Detect PRP: Pipe Rel Detect SUC: Pipe Leak Detect:	Zelma Mcbee RT 1 BOX 422 Cynthiana KY 41031 606-234-4245 STOR UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown SST Single Wall Steel UNK Unknown SUC Suction NON None NON None NON None N/A Not Applicable	
Tank Compartment Info	rmation			
Compartment No: Capacity MSR:	1 560	Tank Substance Cd: Tank Subst Desc:	GAS GAS Gasoline	
<u>Site:</u> Service Station KY 36 Carlisle	208d BP 009 KY 40311			UST
Al ID: Int Doc ID: Latitude: Longitude: Al Type:	58794 0 RETAIL- Retail Trade, Gasoline Station	County: Mail Addr Municip: Mailing Addr State: Mailing Addr Zip: ns (447)	Nicholas Carlisle KY 40311	
Underground Storage T	Underground Storage Tanks			
Subject Item ID: Tank Pit No: Tank Status: Tomp Close Data:	1 TEX Exempt	Owner Name: Owner Address: Owner Address 2: Owner Address 2:	A Kearns KY HWY 36	
Site Seq ID:	7791091	Owner City:	Carlisle	

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

Install Date: Lined Date: Tank Material: Tank Inert Material: Tank Release Detect: Tank Spill Prevent: Last Cont Prod Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Removal Date: Service Change Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last CP Test Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Tank Date: Piping Install Dt: Tank Manufctr: Pipe Manufctr:	1/1/1978 SST Single Wall Steel NON None UNK Unknown	Owner State: Owner Zip: Owner Phone: Subj Item Cat Code: Last Pipe Test Dt: Lining Insp Date: Tank Ext Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Overfill Prevent: Pipe Material Desc: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Rel Detect PRP: Pipe Rel Detect SUC: Pipe Leak Detect:	KY 40311 000 STOR UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown UNK Unknown	
Tank Compartment Info	rmation			
Compartment No: Capacity MSR:	1 1000	Tank Substance Cd: Tank Subst Desc:	GAS GAS Gasoline	
<u>Site:</u> Larrys Bar & G KY 36 Cynthia	rill ana KY 41031			UST
Al ID: Int Doc ID: Latitude: Longitude: Al Type:	61243 0 ACCOM-Accomodation & Food Serv	County: Mail Addr Municip: Mailing Addr State: Mailing Addr Zip: rices (72)	Harrison Cynthiana KY 41031	
Underground Storage T	<u>anks</u>			
Subject Item ID: Tank Pit No: Tank Status: Temp Close Date: Site Seq ID: Install Date: Lined Date: Tank Material: Tank Inert Material: Tank Release Detect: Tank Release Detect: Tank Release Detect: Tank Spill Prevent: Last Cont Prod Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Removal Date: Service Change Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last CP Test Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Tank Date: Piping Install Dt: Tank Manufctr: Pipe Manufctr:	2 TRM Removed Tank Verified 7/1/1998 7703049 1/1/1972 SST Single Wall Steel NON None UNK Unknown 7/1/1998	Owner Name: Owner Address: Owner Address 2: Owner Address 3: Owner City: Owner City: Owner State: Owner Zip: Owner Phone: Subj Item Cat Code: Last Pipe Test Dt: Lining Insp Date: Tank Ext Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Overfill Prevent: Pipe Material Desc: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Type Desc: Pipe Rel Detect PRP: Pipe Rel Detect SUC: Pipe Leak Detect:	Dorothy Holbrook Rt 5 Box 45 Cynthiana KY 41031 859-234-8917 STOR NON None N/A Not Applicable UNK Unknown SST Single Wall Steel NON None SUC Suction NON None NON None NON None	
Tank Compartment Info	<u>rmation</u>		040	
Compartment No: Capacity MSR:	1 1000	Tank Substance Cd: Tank Subst Desc:	GAS GAS Gasoline	
Underground Storage T	<u>anks</u>			
Subject Item ID:	1	Owner Name:	Dorothy Holbrook	
23 erisinfo.c	om   Environmental Risk Information Servic	ces	Order No: 2	1021200

Tank Pit No: Tank Status: Temp Close Date: Site Seq ID: Install Date: Lined Date: Tank Material: Tank Inert Material: Tank Release Detect: Tank Spill Prevent: Last Cont Prod Dt: Closed in Place Dt: Removal Date: Service Change Dt: Last Tank Test Dt: Last CP Test Date: Added to Flex Date: Added to Piping Dt: Added to Tank Date: Piping Install Dt: Tank Manufctr: Pipe Manufctr:

TRM Removed Tank Verified 7/1/1998 7703049 1/1/1972

SST Single Wall Steel

NON None UNK Unknown

7/1/1998

Owner Address: **Owner Address 2: Owner Address 3: Owner City: Owner State:** Owner Zip: Owner Phone: Subj Item Cat Code: Last Pipe Test Dt: Lining Insp Date: Tank Ext Corr Protect: Tank Int Corr Protect: Tank Overfill Prevent: Pipe Material Desc: Pipe Ext Corr Protect: Pipe Type Desc: Pipe Rel Detect PRP: Pipe Rel Detect SUC: Pipe Leak Detect:

Rt 5 Box 45

Cynthiana KY 41031 859-234-8917 STOR

NON None N/A Not Applicable UNK Unknown SST Single Wall Steel NON None SUC Suction NON None NON None N/A Not Applicable

#### Tank Compartment Information

Compartment No:	1
Capacity MSR:	1000

Tank Substance Cd: Tank Subst Desc: GAS GAS Gasoline

## Appendix: Database Descriptions

Environmental Risk Information Services (ERIS) can search the following databases. The extent of historical information varies with each database and current information is determined by what is publicly available to ERIS at the time of update. ERIS updates databases as set out in ASTM Standard E1527-13, Section 8.1.8 Sources of Standard Source Information:

"Government information from nongovernmental sources may be considered current if the source updates the information at least every 90 days, or, for information that is updated less frequently than quarterly by the government agency, within 90 days of the date the government agency makes the information available to the public."

#### Standard Environmental Record Sources

#### Federal

#### Facility Response Plan:

List of facilities that have submitted Facility Response Plans (FRP) to EPA. Facilities that could reasonably be expected to cause "substantial harm" to the environment by discharging oil into or on navigable waters are required to prepare and submit Facility Response Plans (FRPs). Harm is determined based on total oil storage capacity, secondary containment and age of tanks, oil transfer activities, history of discharges, proximity to a public drinking water intake or sensitive environments.

Government Publication Date: Mar 26, 2020

#### National Priority List:

National Priorities List (Superfund)-NPL: EPA's (United States Environmental Protection Agency) list of the most serious uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites identified for possible long-term remedial action under the Superfund program. The NPL, which EPA is required to update at least once a year, is based primarily on the score a site receives from EPA's Hazard Ranking System. A site must be on the NPL to receive money from the Superfund Trust Fund for remedial action.

Government Publication Date: Dec 30, 2020

#### National Priority List - Proposed:

Includes sites proposed (by the EPA, the state, or concerned citizens) for addition to the NPL due to contamination by hazardous waste and identified by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a candidate for cleanup because it poses a risk to human health and/or the environment. *Government Publication Date: Dec 30, 2020* 

#### Deleted NPL:

The National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP) establishes the criteria that the EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425.(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate. *Government Publication Date: Dec 30, 2020* 

#### SEMS List 8R Active Site Inventory:

The Superfund Program has deployed the Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS), which integrates multiple legacy systems into a comprehensive tracking and reporting tool. This inventory contains active sites evaluated by the Superfund program that are either proposed to be or are on the National Priorities List (NPL) as well as sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. The Active Site Inventory Report displays site and location information at active SEMS sites. An active site is one at which site assessment, removal, remedial, enforcement, cost recovery, or oversight activities are being planned or conducted.

Government Publication Date: Oct 28, 2020

#### SEMS List 8R Archive Sites:

The Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS) Archived Site Inventory displays site and location information at sites archived from SEMS. An archived site is one at which EPA has determined that assessment has been completed and no further remedial action is planned under the Superfund program at this time.

Government Publication Date: Oct 28, 2020

#### DELETED NPL

SEMS

PROPOSED NPL

FRP

NPL

#### SEMS ARCHIVE

#### Inventory of Open Dumps, June 1985:

The Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) provides for publication of an inventory of open dumps. The Act defines "open dumps" as facilities which do not comply with EPA's "Criteria for Classification of Solid Waste Disposal Facilities and Practices" (40 CFR 257). Government Publication Date: Jun 1985

#### Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Information System -

#### **CERCLIS:**

Superfund is a program administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to locate, investigate, and clean up the worst hazardous waste sites throughout the United States. CERCLIS is a database of potential and confirmed hazardous waste sites at which the EPA Superfund program has some involvement. It contains sites that are either proposed to be or are on the National Priorities List (NPL) as well as sites that are in the screening and assessment phase for possible inclusion on the NPL. The EPA administers the Superfund program in cooperation with individual states and tribal governments; this database is made available by the EPA. Government Publication Date: Oct 25, 2013

#### EPA Report on the Status of Open Dumps on Indian Lands:

Public Law 103-399, The Indian Lands Open Dump Cleanup Act of 1994, enacted October 22, 1994, identified congressional concerns that solid waste open dump sites located on American Indian or Alaska Native (AI/AN) lands threaten the health and safety of residents of those lands and contiguous areas. The purpose of the Act is to identify the location of open dumps on Indian lands, assess the relative health and environment hazards posed by those sites, and provide financial and technical assistance to Indian tribal governments to close such dumps in compliance with Federal standards and regulations or standards promulgated by Indian Tribal governments or Alaska Native entities. Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 1998

#### **CERCLIS - No Further Remedial Action Planned:**

An archived site is one at which EPA has determined that assessment has been completed and no further remedial action is planned under the Superfund program at this time. The Archive designation means that, to the best of EPA's knowledge, assessment at a site has been completed and that EPA has determined no further steps will be taken to list this site on the National Priorities List (NPL). This decision does not necessarily mean that there is no hazard associated with a given site; it only means that, based upon available information, the location is not judged to be a potential NPL site.

Government Publication Date: Oct 25, 2013

#### **CERCLIS Liens:**

A Federal Superfund lien exists at any property where EPA has incurred Superfund costs to address contamination ("Superfund site") and has provided notice of liability to the property owner. A Federal CERCLA ("Superfund") lien can exist by operation of law at any site or property at which EPA has spent Superfund monies. This database is made available by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Government Publication Date: Jan 30, 2014

#### **RCRA CORRACTS-Corrective Action:**

RCRA Info is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. At these sites, the Corrective Action Program ensures that cleanups occur. EPA and state regulators work with facilities and communities to design remedies based on the contamination, geology, and anticipated use unique to each site.

Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020

#### **RCRA non-CORRACTS TSD Facilities:**

RCRA Info is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. This database includes Non-Corrective Action sites listed as treatment, storage and/or disposal facilities of hazardous waste as defined by the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020

#### **RCRA Generator List:**

26

RCRA Info is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. RCRA Info replaces the data recording and reporting abilities of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS) and the Biennial Reporting System (BRS). A hazardous waste generator is any person or site whose processes and actions create hazardous waste (see 40 CFR 260.10). Large Quantity Generators (LQGs) generate 1,000 kilograms per month or more of hazardous waste or more than one kilogram per month of acutely hazardous waste. Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020

IODI

CERCLIS

### **CERCLIS NFRAP**

#### RCRA CORRACTS

**CERCLIS LIENS** 

RCRA TSD

#### **RCRA LQG**

#### Order No: 21021200299

#### RCRA Small Quantity Generators List:

RCRA Info is the EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. RCRA Info replaces the data recording and reporting abilities of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS) and the Biennial Reporting System (BRS). A hazardous waste generator is any person or site whose processes and actions create hazardous waste (see 40 CFR 260.10). Small Quantity Generators (SQGs) generate more than 100 kilograms, but less than 1,000 kilograms, of hazardous waste per month.

Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020

#### RCRA Very Small Quantity Generators List:

RCRA Info is the EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. A hazardous waste generator is any person or site whose processes and actions create hazardous waste (see 40 CFR 260.10). Very Small Quantity Generators (VSQG) generate 100 kilograms or less per month of hazardous waste, or one kilogram or less per month of acutely hazardous waste. Additionally, VSQG may not accumulate more than 1,000 kilograms of hazardous waste at any time.

Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020

#### RCRA Non-Generators:

RCRA Info is EPA's comprehensive information system, providing access to data supporting the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) of 1976 and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA) of 1984. RCRA Info replaces the data recording and reporting abilities of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Information System (RCRIS) and the Biennial Reporting System (BRS). A hazardous waste generator is any person or site whose processes and actions create hazardous waste (see 40 CFR 260.10). Non-Generators do not presently generate hazardous waste. *Government Publication Date: Oct 19, 2020* 

#### Federal Engineering Controls-ECs:

Engineering controls (ECs) encompass a variety of engineered and constructed physical barriers (e.g., soil capping, sub-surface venting systems, mitigation barriers, fences) to contain and/or prevent exposure to contamination on a property. This database is made available by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Government Publication Date: Aug 26, 2020

#### Federal Institutional Controls- ICs:

Institutional controls are non-engineered instruments, such as administrative and legal controls, that help minimize the potential for human exposure to contamination and/or protect the integrity of the remedy. Although it is EPA's (United States Environmental Protection Agency) expectation that treatment or engineering controls will be used to address principal threat wastes and that groundwater will be returned to its beneficial use whenever practicable, ICs play an important role in site remedies because they reduce exposure to contamination by limiting land or resource use and guide human behavior at a site.

Government Publication Date: Aug 26, 2020

#### Emergency Response Notification System:

Database of oil and hazardous substances spill reports controlled by the National Response Center. The primary function of the National Response Center is to serve as the sole national point of contact for reporting oil, chemical, radiological, biological, and etiological discharges into the environment anywhere in the United States and its territories.

Government Publication Date: 1982-1986

#### Emergency Response Notification System:

Database of oil and hazardous substances spill reports controlled by the National Response Center. The primary function of the National Response Center is to serve as the sole national point of contact for reporting oil, chemical, radiological, biological, and etiological discharges into the environment anywhere in the United States and its territories.

Government Publication Date: 1987-1989

#### Emergency Response Notification System:

Database of oil and hazardous substances spill reports made available by the United States Coast Guard National Response Center (NRC). The NRC fields initial reports for pollution and railroad incidents and forwards that information to appropriate federal/state agencies for response. These data contain initial incident data that has not been validated or investigated by a federal/state response agency. *Government Publication Date: Nov 9, 2020* 

#### FED ENG

FED INST

#### ERNS 1982 TO 1986

#### ERNS 1987 TO 1989

#### ERNS

#### erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

#### RCRA SQG

**RCRA VSQG** 

**RCRA NON GEN** 

#### The Assessment, Cleanup and Redevelopment Exchange System (ACRES) Brownfield Database:

#### Brownfields are real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant. Cleaning up and reinvesting in these properties protects the environment, reduces blight, and takes development pressures off greenspaces and working lands. This database is made available by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Government Publication Date: Jan 6, 2021

#### FEMA Underground Storage Tank Listing:

#### The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) of the Department of Homeland Security maintains a list of FEMA owned underground storage tanks.

Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2017

#### Petroleum Refineries:

List of petroleum refineries from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) Refinery Capacity Report. Includes operating and idle petroleum refineries (including new refineries under construction) and refineries shut down during the previous year located in the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, and other U.S. possessions. Survey locations adjusted using public data. Government Publication Date: Jul 10, 2020

#### Petroleum Product and Crude Oil Rail Terminals:

List of petroleum product and crude oil rail terminals made available by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Includes operable bulk petroleum product terminals located in the 50 States and the District of Columbia with a total bulk shell storage capacity of 50,000 barrels or more. and/or the ability to receive volumes from tanker, barge, or pipeline; also rail terminals handling the loading and unloading of crude oil that were active between 2017 and 2018. Petroleum product terminals comes from the EIA-815 Bulk Terminal and Blender Report, which includes working, shell in operation, and shell idle for several major product groupings. Survey locations adjusted using public data. Government Publication Date: Apr 28, 2020

#### LIEN on Property:

The EPA Superfund Enterprise Management System (SEMS) provides LIEN information on properties under the EPA Superfund Program. Government Publication Date: Oct 28, 2020

#### Superfund Decision Documents:

This database contains a listing of decision documents for Superfund sites. Decision documents serve to provide the reasoning for the choice of (or) changes to a Superfund Site cleanup plan. The decision documents include Records of Decision (ROD), ROD Amendments, Explanations of Significant Differences (ESD), along with other associated memos and files. This information is maintained and made available by the US EPA (Environmental Protection Agency).

Government Publication Date: Sep 22, 2020

#### State

28

#### Brownfield Redevelopment Program:

A list of sites in the Brownfield Redevelopment Program. This list is made available by the Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet (EEC). Government Publication Date: Jan 22, 2021

#### State Leads Priority List:

State Leads Priority List that containins a listing of State Hazardous Waste sites. This list is maintained by The Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). This database is state equivalent CERCLIS. Government Publication Date: Nov 24, 2020

#### **Delisted State Leads Priority List:**

This database contains a list of closed State Hazardous Waste sites that were removed from the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP).

Government Publication Date: Nov 24, 2020

#### Solid Waste Facilities and Landfills:

A list of Solid Waste Facilities (SWF) and Landfills (LF) made available by the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). This list includes registered contained landfills, construction/demolition debris landfills, residual landfills and special waste landfills. Government Publication Date: Dec 1, 2020

#### FEMA UST

FED BROWNFIELDS

#### REFN

### SUPERFUND ROD

SEMS LIEN

**BULK TERMINAL** 

## SHWS

#### **DSHW**

BROWNFIELDS

#### SWF/LF

## erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

### SB193 Branch Site Inventory List:

#### Protection (DEP) of Kentucky State. Government Publication Date: Apr 30, 1985

#### Ranking List for UST Facilities:

A list of UST facilities under site investigation which are eligible to receive reimbursement from Financial Responsibility Account (FRA) and Petroleum Storage Tank Account (PSTA) of the Petroleum Storage Tank Environmental Assurance Fund (PSTEAF). Reimbursements from the FRA and PSTA are determined by this ranking system. This list is maintained by the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Government Publication Date: Dec 1, 2020

This list is comprised of sites that have performed permanent closure activities at regulated underground storage tank facilities and have known soil and/or groundwater contamination. Historical listing made available by the underground storage tank branch in the Department of Environmental

#### Underground Storage Tanks:

A list of registered Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) maintained by the Underground Storage Tank Branch in the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Government Publication Date: Dec 3, 2020

Delisted Storage Tank:

This database contains a list of closed storage tank sites that were removed from the Underground Storage Tank Branch in the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Government Publication Date: Dec 3, 2020

#### Sites with Engineering Controls:

Sites on the Institutional Controls and State Leads Lists that have engineering controls in place; both lists made available by the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Government Publication Date: Nov 24, 2020

#### Sites with Institutional Controls:

Sites with institutional controls in place, provided by the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). Institutional controls are put in place to regulate activities on the property, such as a requirement that the property never be used for residential development or to prohibit the use of groundwater from below the property.

Government Publication Date: Nov 24, 2020

#### Voluntary Cleanup Program Sites:

The Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) maintains an inventory of sites that are in the Voluntary Cleanup Program. Government Publication Date: Oct 8, 2020

#### Kentucky Brownfield Inventory:

Kentucky Brownfield Inventory consists primarily of properties that are receiving, or have received, assessments and/or cleanups under federal brownfield funding to states or local government entities. This list is managed by the Kentucky Department for Environmental Protection (DEP). Government Publication Date: Nov 16, 2020

#### Tribal

29

#### Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUSTs) on Indian Lands: LUSTs on Tribal/Indian Lands in Region 4, which includes Kentucky. There are no LUST records in Kentucky at this time.

Underground Storage Tanks (USTs) on Indian Lands:

USTs on Tribal/Indian Lands in Region 4, which includes Kentucky. There are no UST records in Kentucky at this time. Government Publication Date: Oct 14, 2017

#### **Delisted Tribal Leaking Storage Tanks:**

Government Publication Date: Oct 14, 2017

Leaking Underground Storage Tank facilities which have been removed from the Regional Tribal LUST lists made available by the EPA. Government Publication Date: Apr 14, 2020

#### SB193

PSTEAF

### UST

#### DELISTED STORAGE TANK

#### INST

ENG

## VCP

#### **BROWNFIELD INV**

#### **INDIAN LUST**

#### **INDIAN UST**

#### **DELISTED ILST**

#### Delisted Tribal Underground Storage Tanks:

Underground Storage Tank facilities which have been removed from the Regional Tribal UST lists made available by the EPA. Government Publication Date: Apr 14, 2020

#### County

No County standard environmental record sources available for this State.

#### Additional Environmental Record Sources

#### Federal

#### **PFOA/PFOS Contaminated Sites:**

List of sites where PFOA or PFOS contaminants have been found in drinking water or soil. Made available by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

Government Publication Date: Nov 18, 2020

#### Facility Registry Service/Facility Index:

The Facility Registry Service (FRS) is a centrally managed database that identifies facilities, sites, or places subject to environmental regulations or of environmental interest. FRS creates high-quality, accurate, and authoritative facility identification records through rigorous verification and management procedures that incorporate information from program national systems, state master facility records, and data collected from EPA's Central Data Exchange registrations and data management personnel. This list is made available by the Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA). Government Publication Date: Nov 2, 2020

#### Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) Program:

The EPA's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) is a database containing data on disposal or other releases of over 650 toxic chemicals from thousands of U. S. facilities and information about how facilities manage those chemicals through recycling, energy recovery, and treatment. One of TRI's primary purposes is to inform communities about toxic chemical releases to the environment. Government Publication Date: Feb 19, 2020

#### Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) Releases:

List of Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) facilities at which the reported chemical is a Per- or polyfluorinated alkyl substance (PFAS) included in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s consolidated PFAS Master List of PFAS Substances. The EPA's Toxics Release Inventory (TRI) is a database containing data on disposal or other releases of over 650 toxic chemicals from thousands of U.S. facilities and information about how facilities manage those chemicals through recycling, energy recovery, and treatment.

Government Publication Date: Feb 19, 2020

#### Perfluorinated Alkyl Substances (PFAS) Water Quality:

The Water Quality Portal (WQP) is a cooperative service sponsored by the United States Geological Survey (USGS), the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the National Water Quality Monitoring Council (NWQMC). This listing includes records from the Water Quality Portal where the characteristic (environmental measurement) is in the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)'s consolidated PFAS Master List of PFAS Substances. Government Publication Date: Jul 20, 2020

#### Hazardous Materials Information Reporting System:

US DOT - Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) Incidents Reports Database taken from Hazmat Intelligence Portal, U.S. Department of Transportation.

Government Publication Date: Sep 1, 2020

#### National Clandestine Drug Labs:

The U.S. Department of Justice ("the Department") provides this data as a public service. It contains addresses of some locations where law enforcement agencies reported they found chemicals or other items that indicated the presence of either clandestine drug laboratories or dumpsites. In most cases, the source of the entries is not the Department, and the Department has not verified the entry and does not guarantee its accuracy. Government Publication Date: Oct 5, 2020

#### erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

Order No: 21021200299

#### **DELISTED IUST**

**FINDS/FRS** 

PFAS NPL

TRIS

#### PFAS TRI

PFAS WATER

#### **HMIRS**

#### NCDL

#### 30

### Toxic Substances Control Act:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is amending the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) section 8(a) Inventory Update Reporting (IUR) rule and changing its name to the Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) rule.

The CDR enables EPA to collect and publish information on the manufacturing, processing, and use of commercial chemical substances and mixtures (referred to hereafter as chemical substances) on the TSCA Chemical Substance Inventory (TSCA Inventory). This includes current information on chemical substance production volumes, manufacturing sites, and how the chemical substances are used. This information helps the Agency determine whether people or the environment are potentially exposed to reported chemical substances. EPA publishes submitted CDR data that is not Confidential Business Information (CBI).

Government Publication Date: Apr 11, 2019

#### Hist TSCA:

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is amending the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) section 8(a) Inventory Update Reporting (IUR) rule and changing its name to the Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) rule.

The 2006 IUR data summary report includes information about chemicals manufactured or imported in quantities of 25,000 pounds or more at a single site during calendar year 2005. In addition to the basic manufacturing information collected in previous reporting cycles, the 2006 cycle is the first time EPA collected information to characterize exposure during manufacturing, processing and use of organic chemicals. The 2006 cycle also is the first time manufacturers of inorganic chemicals were required to report basic manufacturing information.

Government Publication Date: Dec 31, 2006

#### FTTS Administrative Case Listing:

An administrative case listing from the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), together known as FTTS. This database was obtained from the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Compliance Database (NCDB). The FTTS and NCDB was shut down in 2006.

Government Publication Date: Jan 19, 2007

#### FTTS Inspection Case Listing:

An inspection case listing from the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, & Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA), together known as FTTS. This database was obtained from the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Compliance Database (NCDB). The FTTS and NCDB was shut down in 2006.

Government Publication Date: Jan 19, 2007

#### Potentially Responsible Parties List:

Early in the cleanup process, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conducts a search to find the potentially responsible parties (PRPs). EPA looks for evidence to determine liability by matching wastes found at the site with parties that may have contributed wastes to the site. Government Publication Date: Dec 30, 2020

#### State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners Listing:

The State Coalition for Remediation of Drycleaners (SCRD) was established in 1998, with support from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation. Coalition members are states with mandated programs and funding for drycleaner site remediation. Current members are Alabama, Connecticut, Florida, Illinois, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin.

Government Publication Date: Nov 08, 2017

#### Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS):

The Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) is a system that provides information for the Federal Enforcement and Compliance (FE&C) and the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) programs. The FE&C component supports the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Civil Enforcement and Compliance program activities. These activities include Compliance Assistance, Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement. The NPDES program supports tracking of NPDES permits, limits, discharge monitoring data and other program reports. Government Publication Date: Jan 6, 2021

#### **Drycleaner Facilities:**

A list of drycleaner facilities from Enforcement and Compliance History Online (ECHO) online search. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) tracks facilities that possess NAIC and SIC codes that classify businesses as drycleaner establishments. Government Publication Date: Jan 20, 2020

#### **Delisted Drycleaner Facilities:**

List of sites removed from the list of Drycleaner Facilities (sites in the EPA's Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS) with NAIC or SIC codes identifying the business as a drycleaner establishment).

#### Government Publication Date: Jan 20, 2020

erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

#### 31

#### SCRD DRYCLEANER

## FED DRYCLEANERS

### DELISTED FED DRY

#### **TSCA**

## HIST TSCA

## FTTS INSP

**FTTS ADMIN** 

## PRP

ICIS

#### erisinfo.com | Environmental Risk Information Services

### Formerly Used Defense Sites:

Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) are properties that were formerly owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed by and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense prior to October 1986, where the Department of Defense (DoD) is responsible for an environmental restoration. This list is published by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Government Publication Date: Jan 28, 2020

### PHMSA Pipeline Safety Flagged Incidents:

A list of flagged pipeline incidents made available by the U.S. Department of Transportation (US DOT) Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA). PHMSA regulations require incident and accident reports for five different pipeline system types. Government Publication Date: Jul 7. 2020

### Material Licensing Tracking System (MLTS):

A list of sites that store radioactive material subject to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) licensing requirements. This list is maintained by the NRC. As of September 2016, the NRC no longer releases location information for sites. Site locations were last received in July 2016. Government Publication Date: Aug 5, 2020

### Historic Material Licensing Tracking System (MLTS) sites:

A historic list of sites that have inactive licenses and/or removed from the Material Licensing Tracking System (MLTS). In some cases, a site is removed from the MLTS when the state becomes an "Agreement State". An Agreement State is a State that has signed an agreement with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) authorizing the State to regulate certain uses of radioactive materials within the State. Government Publication Date: Jan 31, 2010

#### Mines Master Index File:

The Master Index File (MIF) contains mine identification numbers issued by the Department of Labor Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) for mines active or opened since 1971. Note that addresses may or may not correspond with the physical location of the mine itself. Government Publication Date: Nov 3, 2020

#### Alternative Fueling Stations:

List of alternative fueling stations made available by the US Department of Energy's Office of Energy Efficiency & Renewable Energy. Includes Biodiesel stations, Ethanol (E85) stations, Liquefied Petroleum Gas (Propane) stations, Ethanol (E85) stations, Natural Gas stations, Hydrogen stations, and Electric Vehicle Supply Equipment (EVSE). The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) obtains information about new stations from trade media, Clean Cities coordinators, a Submit New Station form on the Station Locator website, and through collaborating with infrastructure equipment and fuel providers, original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), and industry groups. Government Publication Date: Jan 18, 2021

#### Registered Pesticide Establishments:

List of active EPA-registered foreign and domestic pesticide-producing and device-producing establishments based on data from the Section Seven Tracking System (SSTS). The Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) Section 7 requires that facilities producing pesticides, active ingredients, or devices be registered. The list of establishments is made available by the EPA. Government Publication Date: Mar 31, 2020

## Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Notifiers:

PCB Facilities included in the national list of facilities that have notified the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) activities. Any company or person storing, transporting or disposing of PCBs or conducting PCB research and development must notify the EPA and receive an identification number.

Government Publication Date: Nov 19, 2020

#### State

#### Incidents:

32

A list of incidents reported to the Kentucky Department of Environmental Protection (Kentucky DEP) where hazardous materials may have been spilled and/or released.

Government Publication Date: Nov 24, 2020

#### FUDS

MLTS

### HIST MLTS

**PIPELINE INCIDENT** 

### ALT FUELS

MINES

## SSTS

### SPILLS

### <u>Tribal</u>

No Tribal additional environmental record sources available for this State. <u>County</u>

No County additional environmental record sources available for this State.

## Definitions

**Database Descriptions:** This section provides a detailed explanation for each database including: source, information available, time coverage, and acronyms used. They are listed in alphabetic order.

**Detail Report**. This is the section of the report which provides the most detail for each individual record. Records are summarized by location, starting with the project property followed by records in closest proximity.

**Distance:** The distance value is the distance between plotted points, not necessarily the distance between the sites' boundaries. All values are an approximation.

Direction: The direction value is the compass direction of the site in respect to the project property and/or center point of the report.

*Elevation:* The elevation value is taken from the location at which the records for the site address have been plotted. All values are an approximation. Source: Google Elevation API.

**Executive Summary:** This portion of the report is divided into 3 sections:

'Report Summary'- Displays a chart indicating how many records fall on the project property and, within the report search radii.

'Site Report Summary'-Project Property'- This section lists all the records which fall on the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

'Site Report Summary-Surrounding Properties'- This section summarizes all records on adjacent properties, listing them in order of proximity from the project property. For more details, see the 'Detail Report' section.

<u>Map Key:</u> The map key number is assigned according to closest proximity from the project property. Map Key numbers always start at #1. The project property will always have a map key of '1' if records are available. If there is a number in brackets beside the main number, this will indicate the number of records on that specific property. If there is no number in brackets, there is only one record for that property.

The symbol and colour used indicates 'elevation': the red inverted triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Lower Elevation', the yellow triangle will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Higher Elevation' and the orange square will dictate 'ERIS Sites with Same Elevation.'

<u>Unplottables</u>: These are records that could not be mapped due to various reasons, including limited geographic information. These records may or may not be in your study area, and are included as reference.

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix

Aerial Photographs

## **Blue Moon**

Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

Inquiry Number: 5652207.8 May 16, 2019

# **The EDR Aerial Photo Decade Package**



6 Armstrong Road, 4th floor Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 800.352.0050 www.edrnet.com

### **Date EDR Searched Historical Sources:**

Aerial Photography May 16, 2019

## Target Property: Blue Moon

Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

<u>Year</u> 1950	<u>Scale</u> Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	<u>Details</u> Flight Year: 1950	<u>Source</u> USGS
1960	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 1960	USGS
1984	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 1984	USDA
1993	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 1993	USGS
1997	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 1997	DOQQ_USGS
2004	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 2004	NAIP_USGS
2008	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 2008	NAIP_USGS
2012	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 2012	NAIP_USGS
2016	Aerial Photograph. Scale: 1"=1000'	Flight Year: 2016	NAIP_USGS



1940







































































Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix



# Historical Research Documentation



Data Sources: FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer

CAD Analyst: Alisha.Strong





Date Created: 6/17/2019 Date Revised: 6/17/2019 File Path: C:\Users\alisha.strong\Desktop\Projects\Recurrent Energy\Blue Moon - Harrison County, KY\XXX Figures\BM Figures.dwg Data Sources: FEMA's National Flood Hazard Layer CAD Analyst: Alisha.Strong









Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix

# Sanborn Map Report

Blue Moon Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

Inquiry Number: 5652207.3 May 15, 2019

# **Certified Sanborn® Map Report**



6 Armstrong Road, 4th floor Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 800.352.0050 www.edrnet.com

#### 05/15/19 Certified Sanborn® Map Report Site Name: Client Name: Blue Moon Cardno. Inc. 1142 West 2320 South Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031 Salt Lake City, UT 84119 EDR Inquiry # 5652207.3 Contact: Alisha Strong

The Sanborn Library has been searched by EDR and maps covering the target property location as provided by Cardno, Inc. were identified for the years listed below. The Sanborn Library is the largest, most complete collection of fire insurance maps. The collection includes maps from Sanborn, Bromley, Perris & Browne, Hopkins, Barlow, and others. Only Environmental Data Resources Inc. (EDR) is authorized to grant rights for commercial reproduction of maps by the Sanborn Library LLC, the copyright holder for the collection. Results can be authenticated by visiting www.edrnet.com/sanborn.

The Sanborn Library is continually enhanced with newly identified map archives. This report accesses all maps in the collection as of the day this report was generated.

Certified Sanborn Results:		
Certification #	58AE-4D44-8767	
PO #	NA	
Project	NA	

### UNMAPPED PROPERTY

This report certifies that the complete holdings of the Sanborn Library, LLC collection have been searched based on client supplied target property information, and fire insurance maps covering the target property were not found.



Certification #: 58AE-4D44-8767

The Sanborn Library includes more than 1.2 million fire insurance maps from Sanborn, Bromley, Perris & Browne, Hopkins, Barlow and others which track historical property usage in approximately 12,000 American cities and towns. Collections searched:

	Library of	Congress
--	------------	----------

/	University	Publications	of America
	011110101010	1 abiloationio	017 11101100

EDR Private Collection

The Sanborn Library LLC Since 1866™

#### Limited Permission To Make Copies

Cardno, Inc. (the client) is permitted to make up to FIVE photocopies of this Sanborn Map transmittal and each fire insurance map accompanying this report solely for the limited use of its customer. No one other than the client is authorized to make copies. Upon request made directly to an EDR Account Executive, the client may be permitted to make a limited number of additional photocopies. This permission is conditioned upon compliance by the client, its customer and their agents with EDR's copyright policy; a copy of which is available upon request.

#### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2019 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix

Topographic Map Report

Blue Moon Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

Inquiry Number: 5652207.4 May 15, 2019

# EDR Historical Topo Map Report with QuadMatch™



6 Armstrong Road, 4th floor Shelton, CT 06484 Toll Free: 800.352.0050 www.edrnet.com

### **EDR Historical Topo Map Report**

### Site Name: Blue Moon

Blue Moon

Cynthiana, KY 41031

EDR Inquiry # 5652207.4

#### **Client Name:**

Cardno, Inc. 1142 West 2320 South

05/15/19

EDR Topographic Map Library has been searched by EDR and maps covering the target property location as provided by Cardno, Inc. were identified for the years listed below. EDR's Historical Topo Map Report is designed to assist professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDRs Historical Topo Map Report includes a search of a collection of public and private color historical topographic maps, dating back to the late 1800s.

Salt Lake City, UT 84119

Contact: Alisha Strong

Search Results:		Coordinates:		
P.O.#	NA	Latitude:	38.378611 38° 22' 43" North	
Project:	NA	Longitude:	-84.244167 -84° 14' 39" West	
		UTM Zone:	Zone 16 North	
		UTM X Meters:	740727.01	
		UTM Y Meters:	4251419.92	
		Elevation:	904.00' above sea level	
Maps Provide	ed:			

#### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OF DAMAGE, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction or forecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2019 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc., or its affiliates, is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

### **Topo Sheet Key**

This EDR Topo Map Report is based upon the following USGS topographic map sheets.

#### 2013 Source Sheets





Cynthiana 2013 7.5-minute, 24000

Shady Nook 2013 7.5-minute, 24000

Millersburg

7.5-minute, 24000

Aerial Photo Revised 1976

1978



Shawhan 2013 7.5-minute, 24000

Shawhan

7.5-minute, 24000

Aerial Photo Revised 1976

1978



Millersburg 2013 7.5-minute, 24000

### 1976, 1978 Source Sheets



Shady Nook 1976 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1950

#### **1961 Source Sheets**



Cynthiana 1961 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1952

### 1952, 1953, 1954 Source Sheets



Shady Nook 1952 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1950



1953 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1950



Cynthiana 1953 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1952



Shawhan 1954 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1952

Cynthiana 1978 7.5-minute, 24000 Aerial Photo Revised 1976

### Topo Sheet Key

This EDR Topo Map Report is based upon the following USGS topographic map sheets.

### **1934 Source Sheets**



Cynthiana 1934 15-minute, 62500

#### **1929 Source Sheets**



Cynthiana 1929 15-minute, 48000





TP, Shady Nook, 2013, 7.5-minute S, Millersburg, 2013, 7.5-minute SW, Shawhan, 2013, 7.5-minute NW, Cynthiana, 2013, 7.5-minute SITE NAME: Blue Moon ADDRESS: Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031 CLIENT: Cardno, Inc.





TP, Shady Nook, 1976, 7.5-minute N, Cynthiana, 1978, 7.5-minute SE, Millersburg, 1978, 7.5-minute SW, Shawhan, 1978, 7.5-minute SITE NAME: Blue Moon ADDRESS: Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031 CLIENT: Cardno, Inc.



SW S SE

# Historical Topo Map

# 1961

	<i>N</i> , Cynthiana, 1961, 7.5-m	inute	SITE NAME: Blue ADDRESS: Blue Cynt	Moon Moon hiana, KY 41031	
This report includes in following map sheet(s	formation from the		0 Miles 0.25 0	.5	1 1.
UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
		1APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
0			UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
	8-20 C S 20	IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
		IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
	840 5000 Sooo	IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
		(1APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
•		IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED

Ň



S, Shawhan, 1954, 7.5-minute NW, Cynthiana, 1953, 7.5-minute

W

SW

S

SE

Cardno, Inc.

CLIENT:



-

SW S

SE

# Historical Topo Map

# 1934

WWNNE W, Cynthiana, 1934, 15-minute	SITE NAME: Blue ADDRESS: Blue Cyn CLIENT: Card	e Moon e Moon thiana, KY 41031 dno, Inc.	. 1.0
This report includes information from the following map sheet(s).		0.5	1 15
826 ALLER AND IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
CDS 752	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
ASA SASIAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
AND 62 HAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
A CAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
800 APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
ALL STATES APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED

Ň



-

SW S

SE

# Historical Topo Map

# 1929

IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
1 Store and Co			
A CONTRACTOR IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
APPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED
IAPPED IAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED	UNMAPPED

N

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix



Blue Moon

Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

Inquiry Number: 5652207.5 May 20, 2019

# The EDR-City Directory Image Report



6 Armstrong Road Shelton, CT 06484 800.352.0050 www.edrnet.com

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **SECTION**

**Executive Summary** 

Findings

**City Directory Images** 

*Thank you for your business.* Please contact EDR at 1-800-352-0050 with any questions or comments.

#### **Disclaimer - Copyright and Trademark Notice**

This Report contains certain information obtained from a variety of public and other sources reasonably available to Environmental Data Resources, Inc. It cannot be concluded from this Report that coverage information for the target and surrounding properties does not exist from other sources. NO WARRANTY EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED, IS MADE WHATSOEVER IN CONNECTION WITH THIS REPORT. ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. SPECIFICALLY DISCLAIMS THE MAKING OF ANY SUCH WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR USE OR PURPOSE. ALL RISK IS ASSUMED BY THE USER. IN NO EVENT SHALL ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. BE LIABLE TO ANYONE, WHETHER ARISING OUT OF ERRORS OR OMISSIONS, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENT OR ANY OTHER CAUSE, FOR ANY LOSS OR DAMAGE, INCLUDING. WITHOUT LIMITATION, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR EXEMPLARY DAMAGES. ANY LIABILITY ON THE PART OF ENVIRONMENTAL DATA RESOURCES, INC. IS STRICTLY LIMITED TO A REFUND OF THE AMOUNT PAID FOR THIS REPORT. Purchaser accepts this Report "AS IS". Any analyses, estimates, ratings, environmental risk levels or risk codes provided in this Report are provided for illustrative purposes only, and are not intended to provide, nor should they be interpreted as providing any facts regarding, or prediction orforecast of, any environmental risk for any property. Only a Phase I Environmental Site Assessment performed by an environmental professional can provide information regarding the environmental risk for any property. Additionally, the information provided in this Report is not to be construed as legal advice.

Copyright 2017 by Environmental Data Resources, Inc. All rights reserved. Reproduction in any media or format, in whole or in part, of any report or map of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates is prohibited without prior written permission.

EDR and its logos (including Sanborn and Sanborn Map) are trademarks of Environmental Data Resources, Inc. or its affiliates. All other trademarks used herein are the property of their respective owners.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### DESCRIPTION

Environmental Data Resources, Inc.'s (EDR) City Directory Report is a screening tool designed to assist environmental professionals in evaluating potential liability on a target property resulting from past activities. EDR's City Directory Report includes a search of available city directory data at 5 year intervals.

#### **RECORD SOURCES**

EDR's Digital Archive combines historical directory listings from sources such as Cole Information and Dun & Bradstreet. These standard sources of property information complement and enhance each other to provide a more comprehensive report.

EDR is licensed to reproduce certain City Directory works by the copyright holders of those works. The purchaser of this EDR City Directory Report may include it in report(s) delivered to a customer. Reproduction of City Directories without permission of the publisher or licensed vendor may be a violation of copyright.



### **RESEARCH SUMMARY**

The following research sources were consulted in the preparation of this report. A check mark indicates where information was identified in the source and provided in this report.

<u>Year</u>	Target Street	<u>Cross Street</u>	<u>Source</u>
2014	$\checkmark$		EDR Digital Archive
2010	$\checkmark$		EDR Digital Archive
2005	$\square$		EDR Digital Archive
2000			EDR Digital Archive
1995			EDR Digital Archive
1992			EDR Digital Archive

### **FINDINGS**

### TARGET PROPERTY STREET

### Blue Moon Cynthiana, KY 41031

2000

1995

1992

\_

\_

\_

<u>Year</u>	<u>CD Image</u>	<u>Source</u>	
MCKEE LN	L		
2014	pg A1	EDR Digital Archive	
2010	pg A3	EDR Digital Archive	
2005	pg A5	EDR Digital Archive	
2000	-	EDR Digital Archive	Target and Adjoining not listed in Source
1995	-	EDR Digital Archive	Target and Adjoining not listed in Source
1992	-	EDR Digital Archive	Target and Adjoining not listed in Source
	AI.		
	<u> </u>		
2014	pg A2	EDR Digital Archive	
2010	pg A4	EDR Digital Archive	
2005	pg A6	EDR Digital Archive	

EDR Digital Archive

EDR Digital Archive

EDR Digital Archive

Target and Adjoining not listed in Source Target and Adjoining not listed in Source Target and Adjoining not listed in Source

### **FINDINGS**

### **CROSS STREETS**

No Cross Streets Identified

**City Directory Images** 



-

Source EDR Digital Archive

# MCKEE LN 2014

- 136 GRINSTEAD, MALCOLM B
- 649 MCKEE, JOE P
- 673 MCKEE JOE
  - OCCUPANT UNKNOWN,
- 967 OCCUPANT UNKNOWN,



-

### STEFFE LN 2014

- 29 OWSLEY, GINA M
- 185 DONOVAN, JAMES L
- 263 STEFFE, BEVERLY M
- 312 OCCUPANT UNKNOWN,
- 378 MCILVAIN, KEVIN K
- 415 OCCUPANT UNKNOWN,
- 469 MASTIN CHAPEL
- MASTIN, RACHEL D
- 488 KISKADEN, CHRISTINA
- 597 ASHER, DAVID B



-

Source EDR Digital Archive

### MCKEE LN 2010

- 136 GRINSTEAD, MALCOLM B
- 649 MCKEE, JOE P
- 673 MCKEE JOE



-

Source EDR Digital Archive

### STEFFE LN 2010

- 197 TRIBBLE, CHARLES C
- 263 STEFFE, BEVERLY M
- 378 MCILVAIN, KEVIN K
- 469 MASTIN CHAPEL
- MASTIN, RACHEL 597 ASHER, DAVID B



-

Source EDR Digital Archive

### MCKEE LN 2005

- 136 GRINSTEAD, MALCOLM B
- 649 MCKEE, JOE P
- 673 MCKEE JOE
- 967 MCKEE, FRANK



-

Source EDR Digital Archive

### STEFFE LN 2005

- 185 KEARNS, KATHY M
- 197 TRIBBLE, CHARLES G
- 263 STEFFE, BEVERLY M
- 378 MCILVAIN, KEVIN K
- 415 OWSLEY, GINA M469 MASTIN CHAPEL
  - MASTIN CHA

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix



# Property Owner Questionnaires
1.1.1



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: Chapel Mastin Date: 9-17-19 Property address: 469 Steffe Lave, Cynthiano, KY 41031

 What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

Residential (landowner's home and one small and agricultural nouse

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

Tommeker Betsy Clyde South Edward Magee - West Larry Rereaut - East ? Hemlock - Northoast Mis Ingram - East David + Julie Asher - East Teibble - West ? - NoRthe Toreyl

3) Do you know the past uses of the property? Yes No

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

all surrounding property has been residential + agricultural for the last 44 years at 10

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)? <u>RIL ARE RESIDENTIAL + AQRICULTURA</u>

Page 1 of 5

5) What is the total acreage of the property? $189$ and the square footage of
and the square total deletage of the property: and the square totage of
1200 so for 2 barris approximately 1000 - NE + 3800 - 11
6) When was each structure built and what was there before construction?
Barns are at least 44 ms old greenhouse & pay barn in the 1990's plumes's home Imit in 1977 & restal house 1940's
7) What is the heating source of each building? Master home-electric, newtal home +
8) Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? Yes No Unknown
9) What is the water source for the property? V Public Supply Well Unknown
10) What is the sanitary service for the property? 🗌 Public Sanitary Sewer 🔽 Septic System
11) Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🖸 Yes 📋 No 🔲 Unknown
12) Are any wells present on the property? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No 🔲 Unknown
13) Are floor drains present on the property? 🗹 Yes 🔲 No 🗌 Unknown
14) Where do the drains discharge? N/A Septic System
15) Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically on the property? Yes D No D Unknown grease thep on terneut house
16) Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property?  Yes No Unknown
17) If so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Unknown
18) Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits? 🗌 Yes 💭 No If yes, please explain:
19) Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin? Yes No
20) Is there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts washer or degreaser present at the property?  Yes 🔽 No If yes, please explain:
Page 2 of 5

1.1

21) Are hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property? Yes No If yes, please list / explain: above graind gos & diesel tarks at barn 22) Are there now or have there ever been underground storage tanks (USTs) present on the property? Ves No If yes, please list / explain: reseltant removed to yos ago at barn 23) How many USTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each) [N/A 1 at barn, Removed 40 upo ago, not Sure of it stoud disel of gas 24) Are the USTs in service, closed-in-place or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results. 
In Service Removed Closed-in-place N/A 25) Are there now or have there ever been aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) present on the property? Yes 🗌 No If yes, please list / explain: One goo and one diesel abrow ground tanks at l One LP goo for finglace at Master house, one at restal h + 2 LP gas at greeshouse. 26) How many ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for me LP) see above ques DC each) N/A

27) Are the ASTs in service or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results. I In Service Removed N/A

Page 3 of 5

28) Were chemicals such as solvents, petroleum products, inks, paints, oils, or pesticides used in the past? Ves No If yes, please explain:

Dasticides on from Crops

29) Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties? Yes No If yes, please list:

ucultural us Cornerstone psati

30) Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property? Yes No If yes, please explain / list:

31) Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties? 
Yes No If yes, please explain:

32) Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or

adjacent properties? 🔲 Yes 💭 No

If yes, please explain: \_\_\_\_\_

Page 4 of 5

34) Do you know of any obvious indicators that contamination at the property or adjacent	t point to the presence of likely presence of properties?
35) Are you aware of any environmental cleant the property that are filed or recorded und	up liens or pending enforcement actions against er federal, tribal, state or local law?
Yes 🖌 No If yes, please explain:	
36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use Limit use restrictions or institutional controls tha filed or recorded in a registry under federal If yes, please explain:	ations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land t are in place at the property and/or have been , tribal, state or local law? Yes Y No
37) Do you have any other information that mig associated with the property or adjacent pre	ht indicate potential environmental concerns operties?  Yes  No If yes, please explain:
I have completed this questionnaire in good fail	th and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature: Charle Mist	Name: Chapal Mastin
Company:	Title: Roperty AulaleR
Relationship to the property: OWNER	Number of years associated with the property: 44 years

I recieved 2 questionnaires. The proporties are adjacent. The answers here in are for both properties, combined. All Appropriate Inquiry Questionnaire ) Cardno The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability. Name: GERALD M. WHALEN toy Brot Wholen 9-22-19 Shartymook Pike Cynthiana, <u>Ky. 41031</u> Property address: 1375 1) What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any. idential - Brod Whe 059 298-9878 859 tarmer 2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours. adjacent prosenties are Aa. use. Kein Brat WEST . 1 brien EAST NORTH 3) Do you know the past uses of the property? XYes No List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc). 100 nears. past 4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)?

5) What is the total acreage of the property? <u>152</u> and the square footage
each building? 2.500' Log farm house
1,500' harn
6) When was each structure built and what was there before construction?
Log house - 1795
0
7) What is the heating source of each building? Woop & Elicityic heat
8) Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? 🗌 Yes 💢 No 🗌 Unknown
9) What is the water source for the property? 🔀 Public Supply 🗌 Well 🔲 Unknown
10) What is the sanitary service for the property?  Public Sanitary Sewer X Septic Syster Unknown
11) Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 Unknown
12) Are any wells present on the property? 🛣 Yes 🔲 No 🗌 Unknown
13) Are floor drains present on the property? 🔲 Yes 💢 No 🗔 Unknown
14) Where do the drains discharge? 🛄 N/A
15) Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historical on the property?
16) Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property? 🔲 Yes 💢 No 🗍 Unknown
17) If so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗋 No 💢 Unknown
18) Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits?  Yes X No If yes, please expla
19) Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin?  Yes X
If yes, please explain:
20) Is there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts washer or degreaser present at the property?  Yes No If yes, please explain:
Page 2 of 5

5 ° - 1

-	
22) Are there r property?	Now or have there ever been underground storage tanks (USTs) present on the Yes X No If yes, please list / explain:
1207	
23) How many each)	USTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for
24) Are the UST closure/ren	Ts in service, closed-in-place or removed? Please provide applicable noval reports or current tightness testing results.  In Service Removed I-in-place N/A
25) Are there n property?	ow or have there ever been aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) present on the Yes X No If yes, please list / explain:
11	
- <u></u>	
26) How many A each) 🔲 N,	ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for
26) How many / each)	ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for

ай , Г

4

28)	Were chemicals such as solvents, petroleum products, inks paints oils, or pesticides used i the past? Yes No If yes, please explain: <u><u>He house hes been painted</u></u>
29)	Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties? Yes X No If yes, please list:
30) <sup>-</sup> -	Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property?  Yes X No If yes, please explain / list:
- 1)(1 -	Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
- 2) [ a	Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or Idjacent properties?  Yes Y No If yes, please explain:
 3) F g c	las the property been the recipient of any notices or other correspondence from any overnment agency relating to past or present violations of environmental laws, rules or odes? Yes X No If yes, please explain:

Page 4 of 5

······································	
34) Do you know of any obvious indicators that contamination at the property or adjacent p	point to the presence or likely presence of properties? 🗌 Yes 💢 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:
35) Are you aware of any environmental cleanu the property that are filed or recorded unde	p liens or pending enforcement actions against er federal, tribal, state or local law?
Yes X No If yes, please explain:	
36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use Limita use restrictions or institutional controls that filed or recorded in a registry under federal, If yes, please explain:	ations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land are in place at the property and/or have been tribal, state or local law? Yes X No
37) Do you have any other information that mig associated with the property or adjacent pro	ht indicate potential environmental concerns operties?
I have completed this questionnaire in good fait	h and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature: Jaco M. Whan by:	Name: GERALD M. WHALEN
Company: Bud Whaling	Title:
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated OWNER FoR
UWNER	53 VEARS

Page 5 of 5

1004 f



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: JAMES O. & Shirly H MSKee Date: 9-14-19 Property address: 2811 Old LAIR Rd., Cynthiana, KY 41031

 What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

Agricultural nothing else

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

All properties, North, South, East, and Nest of many My property are agricultural and allways have been.

3) Do you know the past uses of the property? Ves No

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

Agricultural, cattle grazing, hay & corn production, and tobacco production

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)?

Agricultural See # 2 and 3

5)	What is the total acreage of the property?       140       and the square footage of         each building?       No building/s       S	
6)	When was each structure built and what was there before construction? Alo buildings ever on property	
7)	What is the heating source of each building? <u>N/A</u>	
8)	Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? 🔲 Yes 🕑 No 🔲 Unknown	
9)	What is the water source for the property? $\Box$ Public Supply $\Box$ Well $\Box$ Unknown $\mathcal{O}_{I}$	ree
10)	What is the sanitary service for the property?  Public Sanitary Sewer  Septic System	Don
11)	Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🔲 Yes 🛛 Yo 🔲 Unknown	
12)	Are any wells present on the property? 🔲 Yes 🕑 No 🗌 Unknown	
13)	Are floor drains present on the property? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No 🎉 Unknown	
14)	Where do the drains discharge? 🗹 N/A	
15)	Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically on the property? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Unknown	
16)	Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Unknown	
17)	If so, has the PCB content been tested? 🛛 🗌 Yes 🏼 No 🔲 Unknown	
18)	Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No If yes, please explain:	
19)	Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin? Yes You	
d.		
20)	Is there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts washer or degreaser present at the property? 🔲 Yes 🗗 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:	

4

.

2)	Are there now or have there ever been underground storage tanks (USTs) present on the property? Yes Yos If yes, please list / explain:
3)	How many USTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each)
1)	Are the USTs in service, closed-in-place or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results.  In Service Removed Closed-in-place N/A
5)	Are there now or have there ever been aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) present on the property? Yes Yos If yes, please list / explain:
5)	How many ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each) 같Ń/A

.

.

9)	Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties? TYPE Yes You If yes, please list:
0)	Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property?  Yes  No If yes, please explain / list:
1)	Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties? □ Yes 같No If yes, please explain:
2)	Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes Yo If yes, please explain:
1	

ł

÷

34) Do you know of any obvious indicators the contamination at the property or adjacent	at point to the presence or likely presence of t properties?  Yes  No If yes, please explain:
35) Are you aware of any environmental clear the property that are filed or recorded un Yes Yo If yes, please explain:	aup liens or pending enforcement actions against der federal, tribal, state or local law?
36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use Lim use restrictions or institutional controls th filed or recorded in a registry under federa If yes, please explain:	itations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land at are in place at the property and/or have been al, tribal, state or local law? Yes I No
37) Do you have any other information that m associated with the property or adjacent p	ight indicate potential environmental concerns properties?  Yes  Yes  Yes. please explain:
I have completed this questionnaire in good fa	aith and to the best of my knowledge.
Company:	Title: Owner
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated with the property: 78 years

1.2



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: Kent Bradford Date: 9/27/19 Property address: 858 KY. Highway 1940 Cynthiana, 49, 41031

 What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

agricultural

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

3) Do you know the past uses of the property?  $\checkmark$ Yes  $\square$ No

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

agricultural

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)? <u>all adjacent properties</u> have been agricultural <u>use in all directions</u>

Page 1 of 5

5)	What is the total acreage of the property? <u>379 aL</u> and the square footage of each building? <u>4 X tobacco barns, about 3,000 ft</u> eq. <u>1 X hay barn</u> , <u>3000 ft</u>
6)	When was each structure built and what was there before construction? Farmland was there before all barns built.
•	Tobacco bains likely built in 'So'd 'bo', hay in 200
7)	What is the heating source of each building? No heat
8)	Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No 🔲 Unknown
9)	What is the water source for the property? 🗹 Public Supply 🗌 Well 🔲 Unknown
10)	What is the sanitary service for the property? □ Public Sanitary Sewer □ Septic System □ Unknown かっ Sanitary Sarvice
11)	Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No 📋 Unknown
12)	Are any wells present on the property? L Yes 🗹 No 🛄 Unknown
13)	Are floor drains present on the property? 📙 Yes 🗹 No 🗌 Unknown
14)	Where do the drains discharge? 🗹 N/A
15),	Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically on the property? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No 🔲 Unknown
16)/	Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property? 🔲 Yes 🔽 No 🗌 Unknown
17)	f so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗍 Unknown
18) I -	Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits? 🗌 Yes 🗹 No If yes, please explain:
- 19) H	Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin?  Yes  Yo Yes  Yo
- 20) I: v	s there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts vasher or degreaser present at the property?  Yes  V No If yes, please explain:

Page 2 of 5

×.

21) Are hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property? [] Yes 📝 No If yes, please list / explain:
22) Are there now or have there ever been underground storage tanks (USTs) present on the property? 🔲 Yes 🗹 No If yes, please list / explain:
23) How many USTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each)
24) Are the USTs in service, closed-in-place or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results.  In Service Removed Closed-in-place N/A
25) Are there now or have there ever been aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) present on the property? Yes 🗹 No If yes, please list / explain:
26) How many ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each)
27) Are the ASTs in service or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results.  In Service  Removed  N/A
Page 3 of 5

£3

R)

28) Were chemicals such as solvents, petroleum products, inks, paints, oils, or pesticides used in
the past? 🗹 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:
Ag perticides used in accordance to label requirements
29) Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties? 🗍 Yes 📝 No If yes, please list:
30) Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property? TYes M No If yes, please explain / list:
31) Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties? TY Yes Y No If yes, please explain:
32) Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes  Yes  No If yes, please explain:
<ul> <li>33) Has the property been the recipient of any notices or other correspondence from any government agency relating to past or present violations of environmental laws, rules or codes? Yes V No If yes, please explain:</li> </ul>

Page 4 of 5

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
34) Do you know of any obvious indicators tha contamination at the property or adjacent	t point to the presence or likely presence of properties? TYes No If yes, please explain:
35) Are you aware of any environmental clean the property that are filed or recorded und	up liens or pending enforcement actions against ler federal, tribal, state or local law?
🗌 Yes 🗹 No 🛛 If yes, please explain: _	
<ul> <li>36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use Limit use restrictions or institutional controls tha filed or recorded in a registry under federal If yes, please explain:</li> </ul>	tations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land at are in place at the property and/or have been I, tribal, state or local law? Yes V No
37) Do you have any other information that mines associated with the property or adjacent pr	ght indicate potential environmental concerns roperties?  Yes  Yes  If yes, please explain:
I have completed this questionnaire in good fai	ith and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature: Kont Bradford	Name: Kent Bradford
Company:	Title:
Kynona Farms, LLC	managing member
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated
Owner	with the property: $21 - 53$

Page 5 of 5



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: TAMELA D. MC ANLEY-WHITE Date: JEPT. Property address: 2308 KY. HWY 36 E. CUNTHIANA, KY. 4103/

1) What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and Contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

MY CATTLE, ON MY PROPERTY, COW/CALF OPERATION, HAY HARVEST FOR CATTLE ON FARM - NOT SUL

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

AG, LATTLE, ON SIDES AND BACK of PROPERTY, HIGHIVAY IN FRONT ACCES, AND to SOUTH WEST for FARM BEHIND. ACBROSS LAME IS ROTATION OF AND A CULLDESACK SUBDIVISION

3) Do you know the past uses of the property?

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

MY PROPERTY WAS PART OF A LARGER FARM KNOWN AS MIDDEN PROPERTY IT HAS BEEN CATTLE AND HAY SINCE 1998. THERE WAS TOBACCO GROUN ON THE FARM

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)?

ALL PROPERTY, WERE PART OF MIDDEN PROPERTY - AN AGRICULTURAL BALE

5) \	What is the total acreage of the property?47 and the square footage of
e	each building? <u>4 BEAT TOBACCO BARN</u>
_	1900 SQ. ft. House
6) V	When was each structure built and what was there before construction?
	HOUSE BUILT 1950'S ERA - GRASS LAND
-	BARN BUILT SAMET - GRASS
7) V	What is the heating source of each building? FUEL OIL, REMOVED IN 2001
8) V	Vas the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? 🕑 Yes 🔲 No 🔲 Unknown
9) V	What is the water source for the property? 🛛 Public Supply 🗌 Well 📋 Unknown
10) V [	Vhat is the sanitary service for the property? 🔲 Public Sanitary Sewer 🕑 Septic System ] Unknown
11) H	las there ever been a septic system on the property? 🗹 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 Unknown
12) A	re any wells present on the property? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗹 Unknown 5PRIN6_S
13) A	re floor drains present on the property? 🗹 Yes 🔲 No 🗌 Unknown
14) V	Vhere do the drains discharge? N/A SEPTIC
15) A o	re any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically n the property? 🔲 Yes 🗗 No 🔲 Unknown
16) A 0	re there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment n the property?  Yes  Yes  No  Unknown
17) lf	so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Unknown
18) H —	ave areas of the property been used as borrow pits? 🗌 Yes 🖳 No If yes, please explain:
 19) H	ave areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin? 🗌 Yes 🖌 No
lf —	yes, please explain:
 20) Is w	there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts asher or degreaser present at the property?  Yes  No  If yes, please explain:

i,

						-
						_
			<u> </u>			
2) Are there now property?	or have there e Yes P No	ver been und If yes, plea	erground stora ise list / explair	ge tanks (US n:	Ts) present on t	the
3) How many UST each) □N/A	s are/were pres	sent? (Please	provide the cor	ntents, age, l	location, and siz	e fo
4) Are the USTs in closure/remova	service, closed I reports or cur	-in-place or re rent tightnes	moved? Please s testing result	e provide apj s. 🔲 In Serv	plicable vice 🗌 Remo	ved
Are the USTs in closure/remova	service, closed I reports or cur place IN/A	-in-place or re rent tightnes:  ver been abov	emoved? Please s testing results reground stora	e provide app s.  In Serv ge tanks (AS	plicable vice	ved
<ul> <li>Are the USTs in closure/remova</li> <li>Closed-in-</li> <li>Are there now of property?</li> </ul>	service, closed I reports or cur place N/A or have there ev Yes No	-in-place or re rrent tightness ver been abov If yes, plea	emoved? Please s testing results reground stora se list / explain	e provide app s. 📋 In Serv ge tanks (AS <sup>*</sup> :	plicable vice 🗌 Remov	ved
4) Are the USTs in closure/removal Closed-in- 6) Are there now of property? 7) How many ASTs each) \[\]N/A	service, closed I reports or cur place N/A or have there ev Yes No	-in-place or re rrent tightnes: 	emoved? Please s testing results reground stora se list / explain	e provide app s. In Serv ge tanks (AS <sup>-</sup> : :	plicable vice Remove Ts) present on t ocation, and siz	he e fo

	ne past? 🗌 Yes 💾 No	lf yes, please exp	plain:	
_				
2				
				_
29) Do ac	o you know of specific cher djacent properties? 🔲 Ye	nicals that are pres	esent or once were pre , please list:	sent at the property o
		_		12
at	the property? 🔲 Yes 🕑	No If yes, plea	ase explain / list:	
1) Do ad	o you know of spills or othe ljacent properties? 🔲 Yes	er chemical release	es that have taken plac , please explain:	ce at the property or
		nental cleanuos ti	nat have taken place at	t the property or
2) Do ad	you know of any environr jacent properties? 🔲 Yes	No If yes,	please explain:	

ř

.

34) Do you know of any obvious indicators that contamination at the property or adjacent p	point to the presence or likely presence of properties?
35) Are you aware of any environmental cleanu the property that are filed or recorded under	p liens or pending enforcement actions against er federal, tribal, state or local law?
🗌 Yes 🔛 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:	
36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use Limita use restrictions or institutional controls that filed or recorded in a registry under federal, If yes, please explain:	ations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land are in place at the property and/or have been tribal, state or local law? Yes Y No
37) Do you have any other information that mig associated with the property or adjacent pro	ht indicate potential environmental concerns operties? Yes INo If yes, please explain:
have completed this questionnaire in good fait	h and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature: Manley White	Name:
Company:	Title:
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated
AUNED	with the property: 1/2/20

Page 5 of 5

x < 0.5



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: Paul Wilson

Date: 9-24-19

Property address: 731 Hedges Ln, Cynthiony Ky 41031

 What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

agricultural

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

3) Do you know the past uses of the property? XYes No

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

agricultural

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)? all agricultural

\_\_\_\_\_

Page 1 of 5

5) What is the total acreage of the property? $102$ and the square footage of
each building? Hour 1600 Squar Leet (oprox)
Ban 3360 Squar 2t
6) When was each structure built and what was there before construction?
do not know
7) What is the heating source of each building? propare at house
8) Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? 🔀 Yes 🗔 No 🔲 Unknown
9) What is the water source for the property? 🔀 Public Supply 🗌 Well 🔲 Unknown
10) What is the sanitary service for the property? 🗌 Public Sanitary Sewer 🔀 Septic System
11) Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🔲 Unknown
12) Are any wells present on the property? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No 🗌 Unknown
13) Are floor drains present on the property? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🗍 Unknown
14) Where do the drains discharge? N/A NA N/A
15) Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically on the property? 🔲 Yes 📉 No 🗌 Unknown
16) Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property? 💢 Yes 🔲 No 🗌 Unknown
17) If so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🖄-Unknown
18) Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits?  Yes 🕅 No If yes, please explain:
19)Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin? 🗌 Yes 💢 No
If yes, please explain:
20) Is there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts
washer or degreaser present at the property? $[ ]$ Yes $[X]$ No If yes, please explain:

21) Are hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property? X Yes No If yes, please list / explain:

diesel tank for ag use 22) Are there now or have there ever been underground storage tanks (USTs) present on the property? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If yes, please list / explain: heating oil tank at house 23) How many USTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each) [N/A heating oil near rear of house Sine unknown 24) Are the USTs in service, closed-in-place or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results. 
In Service Removed 🛛 Closed-in-place 🗌 N/A \_\_\_\_\_ 25) Are there now or have there ever been aboveground storage tanks (ASTs) present on the property? 🔀 Yes 🗌 No If yes, please list / explain: diesel tank for ag use 26) How many ASTs are/were present? (Please provide the contents, age, location, and size for each) [N/A 1 200 gal diesel tank beside barn 27) Are the ASTs in service or removed? Please provide applicable closure/removal reports or current tightness testing results. 🔀 In Service 🗌 Removed 🗍 N/A

	the past?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
29)   ; -	Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please list:
30) \ 3	Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property?  Yes X No If yes, please explain / list:
- 31) [ a	Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
- 32) [ a	Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
-	
33)⊦ g c	las the property been the recipient of any notices or other correspondence from any government agency relating to past or present violations of environmental laws, rules or godes?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:

34) Do you know of any obvious indicator contamination at the property or adjust contamination at the property or adjust	rs that point to the presence or likely presence of acent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
35) Are you aware of any environmental the property that are filed or recorde	cleanup liens or pending enforcement actions against ed under federal, tribal, state or local law?
🗌 Yes 🖾 No 🛛 If yes, please expla	ain:
6) Are you aware of any Activity and Use use restrictions or institutional contro filed or recorded in a registry under fe If ves, please explain:	e Limitations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land ols that are in place at the property and/or have been ederal, tribal, state or local law? Yes 🔀 No
7) Do you have any other information th associated with the property or adjace	nat might indicate potential environmental concerns ent properties?
nave completed this questionnaire in go	od faith and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature:	Name:
Paul De Welson	PAUL Wilson
Company:	Title: Owner
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated
Ourse	with the property: $/2$



The All Appropriate Inquiry rule (40 CFR Part 312) requires that certain inquiries be made to past and

present owners, operators and occupants to help evaluate the environmental conditions of the property. Please answer all questions to the best of your ability.

Name: WILLIAM R. COOK

Date: <u>9-16-19</u>

Property address: 430 HEDGES LN CYNTHIANA, KY 41031

 What is the current use(s) of the property? For instance, residential, commercial, agricultural (multiple responses are acceptable). Please list all on-site businesses and contact information for each owner / operator, if any.

AGRICULTURAL

2) List the known uses/occupants of all adjacent properties including which direction the property is located relative to yours.

DALE FRYMAN/RESIDENTIAL - SOUTH BAST STEVE CRAYCRAFT/AGRICULTURAL - SOUTH KENT BRADFORD/AGRICULTURAL - WI55T PAUL WILSON/AGRICULTURAL - NORTH

3) Do you know the past uses of the property? 🕅 Yes 🔲 No

List: (i.e, undeveloped prior to 1940, agricultural 1940 to 1968, etc).

AGRICULTURAL

4) What have adjacent properties been used for in the past (please include which direction the property is located relative to yours)?

\_\_\_\_\_

AGRICULTURAL

5)	What is the total acreage of the property? <u>56</u> and the square footage of
	each building? 1 SHED - APPROX, 600 SR.FT. (Wooden)
	(FOR STORAGE ONLY)
6)	When was each structure built and what was there before construction?
	1965 - AGRICULTRUAL
7)	What is the heating source of each building?
8)	Was the fuel source for the building(s) ever heating oil? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$ Unknown $NOAC$
9)	What is the water source for the property? □ Public Supply □ Well □ Unknown へのん
10)	What is the sanitary service for the property? $\Box$ Public Sanitary Sewer $\Box$ Septic System $\land \circ \land \circ \circ \circ$ $\Box$ Unknown
11)	Has there ever been a septic system on the property? 🔲 Yes 🛛 No 🔲 Unknown
12)	Are any wells present on the property? 🔲 Yes 🖾 No 🗌 Unknown
13)	Are floor drains present on the property? 🔲 Yes 📈 No 🗌 Unknown
14)	Where do the drains discharge? 🗹 N/A
15)	Are any sumps, sand traps, grease traps or oil-water separators present now or historically on the property? 🔲 Yes 📈 No 🔲 Unknown
16)	Are there any transformers, hydraulic lifts or other potentially PCB-containing equipment on the property?  Yes X No Unknown
17)	If so, has the PCB content been tested? 🗌 Yes 🗌 No 🗐 Unknown
18)	Have areas of the property been used as borrow pits? 🗌 Yes 🔀 No If yes, please explain:
19)	Have areas of the property been filled with debris or fill of unknown origin?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
20)	Is there now or has there been automobile/farm equipment/equipment repair, a parts washer or degreaser present at the property? 🔲 Yes 🔀 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:

						_	
		20			185/6715		
2) Ar	e there now operty?	or have there Yes 🔀 No	e ever beer If yes	n undergro 5, please lis	und storage t / explain:	tanks (USTs	) present on th
-							1
) Ho ead	ow many UST ch) 🕅 N/A	s are/were pi	resent? (Pl	lease provi	de the conte	ents, age, loc	ation, and size
Are clo	e the USTs in sure/remova Closed-in-r	service, close I reports or c blace 🕅 N/	ed-in-place current tig A	e or remove htness test	ed? Please p ing results.	rovide appli	cable e 🗌 Remove
) Are pro	e there now o operty?	or have there Yes 🔀 No	ever beer If yes	abovegro , please list	und storage : / explain:	tanks (ASTs)	present on the
Hov	w many ASTs :h) [又N/A	are/were pr	esent? (Pl	ease provid	e the conte	nts, age, loca	ation, and size

, 2 1

<ol><li>Were chemicals such as solvents, petroleum products,</li></ol>	inks,	paints,	oils.	or pesticides used in
--	-------	---------	-------	-----------------------

the past? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:
AGRICULTURAL USE MH30, 2-4-D - CROSSBO
29) Do you know of specific chemicals that are present or once were present at the property or adjacent properties? ∑ Yes □ No If yes, please list: 
30) Were hazardous substances or petroleum products stored, generated, treated or disposed at the property?  Yes X No If yes, please explain / list:
31) Do you know of spills or other chemical releases that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
32) Do you know of any environmental cleanups that have taken place at the property or adjacent properties?  Yes X No If yes, please explain:
33) Has the property been the recipient of any notices or other correspondence from any government agency relating to past or present violations of environmental laws, rules or codes?  Yes  No If yes, please explain:

k

34) Do you know of any obvious indicators contamination at the property or adja	s that point to the presence or likely presence of cent properties? 🔲 Yes 🔀 No 🛛 If yes, please explain:
35) Are you aware of any environmental c the property that are filed or recorded	leanup liens or pending enforcement actions against I under federal, tribal, state or local law?
🗌 Yes 🔀 No 🛛 If yes, please explai	in:
36) Are you aware of any Activity and Use use restrictions or institutional control filed or recorded in a registry under fe If yes, please explain:	Limitations (AULs), such as engineering controls, land is that are in place at the property and/or have been deral, tribal, state or local law? Yes X No
37) Do you have any other information tha associated with the property or adjace	at might indicate potential environmental concerns Int properties?
have completed this questionnaire in goo	od faith and to the best of my knowledge.
Signature: William R. Cook	Name: WILLIAM R. Cook
Company:	Title:
	Owner
Relationship to the property:	Number of years associated
UWNBK.	67

e e t

Blue Moon Solar – Harrison County, Kentucky

# Appendix

Terminology
### Terminology

The following provides definitions and descriptions of certain terms that may be used in this report. Italics indicate terms that are defined by ASTM Standard Practice E 1527-13. The Standard Practice should be referenced for further detail (such as the precise wording), related definitions, or additional explanation regarding the meaning of terms.

**recognized environmental condition (REC)** - the presence or likely presence of any hazardous substances or petroleum products in, on, or at the Subject Property: (1) due to any release to the environment, (2) under conditions indicative of a release to the environment, or (3) under conditions that pose a material threat of a future release to the environment.

*de minimis conditions* – conditions that generally do not present a threat to human health or the environment and that generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies. Conditions determined to be *de minimis* are not recognized environmental conditions.

*historical recognized environmental condition (HREC)* – a past release of any hazardous substances in connection with the Subject Property and has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority or meeting unrestricted use criteria established by a regulatory authority, without subjecting the V to any required controls (e.g., property use restrictions, AULs, institutional controls, or engineering controls). The final decision rests with the environmental professional and will be influenced by the current impact of the historical recognized environmental condition on the Subject Property.

**controlled recognized environmental condition (CREC)** – a recognized environmental condition resulting from a past release of hazardous substances or petroleum products that has been addressed to the satisfaction of the applicable regulatory authority (e.g., as evidenced by the issuance of a no further action letter or equivalent, or meeting risk-based criteria established by regulatory authority), with hazardous substances or petroleum products allowed to remain in place subject to the implementation of required controls.

*material threat* – a physically observable or obvious threat that is reasonably likely to lead to a release that, in the opinion of the environmental professional, is threatening and might result in impact to public health or the environment. An example might include an aboveground storage tank system that contains a hazardous substance and that shows evidence of damage such that it may cause or contribute to tank integrity failure with a release of contents to the environment.

*threat to human health or the environment* – a substantial risk of harm to public health or the environment resulting from the presence or likely presence of an existing release, a past release, or a material threat of a release of any hazardous substances or petroleum products into structures on the Subject Property or into the ground, ground water, or surface water of the Subject Property. An example might include a release of a hazardous substance in concentrations exceeding applicable governmental agency standards under conditions that could reasonably and foreseeably result in substantial exposure to humans or substantial damage to natural resources. The risk of that exposure or damage would represent a threat to human health or the environment.

**generally would not be the subject of an enforcement action** – the likelihood that an environmental condition would not be subject to enforcement action if brought to the attention of appropriate governmental agencies. If the circumstances suggest an enforcement action would be less likely than not, then the condition is considered to be generally not the likely the subject of an enforcement action.

#### Blue Moon Energy LLC Response to Public Service Commission's Second Request for Information Case No. 2021-00414

Request No. 7:

Disclose whether a wetlands delineation study has been completed. If so, provide a copy.

Response No. 7:

A wetland delineation was completed as part of the Natural Resources report. See documents

provided in Response No. 6.

Responding Witness: Chad Martin, Kathryn Garcia

#### Blue Moon Energy LLC Response to Public Service Commission's Second Request for Information Case No. 2021-00414

#### Request No. 8:

The feasibility study provided as Exhibit D to the amended application is dated July 2018. Disclose whether any update has been completed. If so, provide a copy.

Response No. 8:

There is no update to the July 31, 2018, Feasibility Study.

Responding Witness: Jayce Walker

#### Blue Moon Energy LLC Response to Public Service Commission's Second Request for Information Case No. 2021-00414

#### Request No. 9:

Explain and illustrate on a map any variations in site access roads from the original site plan submitted with the amended application.

#### Response No. 9:

There have been no changes to the site access roads since the original site plan was submitted with the amended application. Blue Moon Energy is considering an additional access point as indicated on the attached map off KY HW 392.

Responding Witness: Kathryn Garcia



LEGEND:
рон
//////////////////////////////////////
^
272

PROJECT DATA		
PARCEL AREA	1581.33 ACRES	
PARCEL AREA WITHOUT EXCLUSION AREAS	1249.22 ACRES	
BUILDABLE AREA	1041.24 ACRES	
PROJECT FENCED AREA	648.84 ACRES	
ARRAY AREA (ACREAGE UNDER PANELS)	122.14 ACRES	
FENCE PERIMETER LENGTH (LINEAR FEET)	65,780.5	
SYSTEM SIZE - DC	98.11 MW	
SYSTEM SIZE - AC (AT INVERTER)	79.80 MW	
SYSTEM SIZE - AC (AT POI)	70.08 MW	
DC/AC (AT INVERTER)	1.23	
DC/AC (AT POI)	1.40	
DC SYSTEM VOLTAGE	1500 V	
MODULE MODEL	CSI Module	
MODULE RATING	490 W	
MODULE QUANTITY	200,226	
STRINGS (26 MODULES PER STRING)	7,701	
INVERTER MODEL	TMEIC	
INVERTER RATING (MVA @50C) (kVA)	4.2	
INVERTER QUANTITY	19	
RACKING SYSTEM	ATI DuraTrack HZ v3 Tracker – assume 2-st ring and 3-string tracker rows.	
ROW SPACING	26.48'	
GCR	28%	

NOTES:

1. PROJECT AREAS ARE SUBJECT TO CHANGE PENDING FUTURE DESIGN CONSTRAINTS

# END:

	PROJECT BOUNDARY
	EASEMENT LINES
	EX. PAVED ROADS
	EX. GRAVEL ROADS
он — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	EX. OVERHEAD POWER
	EX. FIELD DELINEATED STREAM CHANNEL
	EX. FIELD DELINEATED WETLAND
	EX. STRUCTURE
	BUILDABLE AREA
	EXCLUSION AREA
	FEMA FLOOD ZONE - 100 YEAR
x	PROPOSED SECURITY FENCE
	PROPOSED 25' WETLAND AND 50' STREAM BUFFER
	PROPOSED PROJECT SETBACK
	PROPOSED ACCESS ROAD
	PROPOSED SOLAR ARRAY
	PROPOSED ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT
<u></u>	POTENTIAL SINKHOLE
$\boxtimes$	PROPOSED PROJECT SUBSTATION LOCATION
	PROPOSED UTILITY SUBSTATION LOCATION
	PROPOSED LAYDOWN YARD

Westwood 
 Phone
 (952) 937-5150
 12701 Whitewater Drive, Suite #300

 Fax
 (952) 937-5822
 Minnetonka, MN 55343

 Toll Free
 (888) 937-5150
 westwoodps.com

Westwood Professional Services, Inc.

PREPARED FOR:



3000 E Cesar Chavez, Ste. 400 Austin, TX 78702

**REVISIONS:** 

0 10/06/2021 PRELIMINARY SITE PLAN



# **Blue Moon Solar**

Harrison County, Kentucky

# **Overall Site Plan**

## NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

DATE:

10/06/2021

SHEET:

C.200