COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF THE MARION COUNTY WATER DISTRICT FOR THE ISSUANCE)
OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE)
AND NECESSITY TO CONSTRUCT A WATER SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT AND)) Case No. 2021-00303
AN ORDER AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE OF SECURITIES PURSUANT TO THE)
PROVISIONS OF KRS 278.020, KRS 278.300)
AND 807 KAR 5:001)

** *** **** ******* ****

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION FILING

The Applicant, Marion County Water District ("Marion District"), by Counsel, files this Supplemental Information Filing in order to provide certain additional information set forth in **Exhibit "A"** attached hereto to the Case file. The additional information was compiled by Mr. Toby Spalding, Marion District General Manager.

Respectfully Submitted, Rubin & Hays

By W. Druddel Aley

W. Randall Jones, Esq. Kentucky Home Trust Building 450 South Third Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 Phone: (502) 569-7534 Fax: (502) 569-7555 Counsel for Marion County Water District wrjones@rubinhays.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, in accordance with 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8, hereby certifies that Marion County Water District's electronic filing of the foregoing Supplemental Information Filing is a true and accurate copy of the document being electronically filed and transmitted to the Kentucky Public Service Commission on October 28, 2021; that there are currently no parties that the Kentucky Public Service Commission has excused from participation by electronic means in this proceeding.

W. Randall Jones, Esq.

W. Randall Jones, Esq. Rubin & Hays 450 South Third Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 Phone: (502) 569-7534 Fax: (502) 569-7555 Counsel for Marion County Water District wrjones@rubinhays.com

Case # 2021-00303 Response # 2

- 1. Refer to Marion County Water District's Application, Exhibit A, Project Description and Need.
 - a. Marion District explains that replacement parts for its old meters are not available anymore. Provide a more thorough explanation as to why replacement parts are no longer available.

RESPONSE:

Sensus SR meters were manufactured between the years of 1954 to 2008. Most all of Marion District SR meters were manufactured between the years of 1975-1980. Replacement parts for these meters became unavailable around the year 2010. Replacement meter bottoms have been and continue to be salvaged off old meters that we have in stock.

Replacement parts are not available due to the fact that no one has these meters anymore and the manufacturer, Sensus, does not support them.

b. Provide the original expected service lives of the existing meters and the expected service life of the replacement meters

RESPONSE:

The original expected service life of the existing Sensus SR meters was twenty (20) years.

The expected service life of the new Neptune meters is twenty (20) years.

c. Marion District performed two tests on small areas and water loss reduction was 5.6-5.7% on both independent areas. Provide copies of the two tests that were performed by Marion District and include the sample size for both tests.

RESPONSE:

The test results are attached. The Sulphur Springs Master Meter area sample size was 50 customers and the Fairgrounds Road Master Meter area sample size was 40 customers.

- 2. Refer to Marion District's Application, Exhibit B, Meter Specs.
 - a. Provide a detailed analysis of the alternatives to meter replacement that were explored by Marion District and why each alternative was rejected.

RESPONSE:

Rebuilding the existing meters was not an option as no replacement parts were available.

The alternative to meter replacement is no meter replacement. This alternative was not an option due to the water loss percentage that the District is experiencing. Additionally, the existing meters due to their age are experiencing a high level of maintenance issues in the field. The Board discussed in detail as to the best timeframe to replace the aging Sensus meters that the District has along with the aging Sensus MXU's that are no longer under warranty. The decision was made to replace all the aging meters within three (3) years. Processing bills while utilizing multiple AMR systems would be problematic. This tightened timeframe would make the transition from the existing AMR system to the new AMR system substantially less difficult.

> b. List each replacement meter that was under consideration by Marion District the pros and cons for each meter, and a discussion explaining why the Neptune meters were ultimately chosen.

RESPONSE:

The Marion District had three meter manufacturers who met the required specifications and that replied to the Invitation to Bid.

They were: Neptune, Badger and Sensus.

All three meters met the specifications. The Sensus meter was a digital meter that was frowned upon by the District as customers find it very hard to read and very hard to determine if they have a slow leak as it does not have a low flow detection dial. The Neptune and Badger meters are very similar in appearance and both met the bid specifications.

The District has seven hundred (700) existing Neptune meters in service and they have performed exceptionally over the past three years. But ultimately, the Neptune meter was chosen due to the fact that they met all specifications and were the lowest responsive bidder.

- 3. Refer to Marion District's Application, Exhibit L, Bid Tabulation. The bids include a truck reading system.
 - a. Confirm that Marion District's proposed truck reading system is an Automated Meter Reading (AMR) system.

RESPONSE:

The Marion District's proposed truck reading system is an AMR system

b. Explain if Marion District currently has an AMR system.

RESPONSE:

The Marion District does currently have an AMR system and has since 2009.

c. If Marion District currently has an AMR system, explain why it is required to purchase a new AMR system for its proposed Neptune Meters.

RESPONSE:

The existing AMR system is a Sensus system and can only read Sensus meters. A Sensus R MXU AMR system can only read Sensus R MXU's. A Sensus M MXU AMR system can only read Sensus M MXU's. The R MXU's are no longer available from Sensus and therefore the R MXU AMR system is longer available. This means that if the R MXU AMR system fails, there are no longer replacement parts for it.

All meter manufacturers suppliers were required to bid two truck reading systems (AMR) for price comparison purposes and due to the fact that the Badger meters require a Badger AMR system, the Sensus meters require a Sensus AMR system and the Neptune meters require a Neptune AMR system.

d. If Marion District does not currently have an AMR system, identify the operational benefits of installing an AMR system.

RESPONSE:

The District currently has an AMR system and it would be basically operationally impossible to go back to a 100% manually read system.

SULPHUR SPRINGS

	Dec 18th Dec 28th			Jan 15th Jan 19th			
		STER METER		MASTER METER			
	Start	Ending	Usage	Start	Ending	Usage	
	Reading	Reading	CF	Reading	Reading	CF	
	103828	116809	12981	145390	157571	12181	
CUSTOMER		OLD METERS		·	IEW METERS		
NAME	Start	Ending	Usage	Start	Ending	Usage	
Job Masters	324945	325112	167	656	885	229	
Isaac Tatum	173417	174251	834	1201	1989	788	
Mary Lou Hamilton	4895990	4921407	25417	20711	36348	15637	
Mary Lou Hamilton	518095	531124	13029	559606	573805	14199	
Commercial Propane	84519	84553	34	127	190	63	
Lanham Refridgeration	119783	120040	257	607	1136	529	
Harold Farmer	374185	374661	476	675	1170	495	
Steven Nally	147338	147459	121	253	417	164	
Terry Mays	662365	663697	1332	2380	3655	1275	
Terry Mays Jr	309321	310362	1041	2047	3539	1492	
Fannie Cowherd	253982	254481	499	657	1474	817	
Sandra Trigg	554050	554922	872	1249	2222	973	
James Parrott	489112	489189	77	244	363	119	
Priscilla Shuck	314642	315040	398	598	991	393	
James Parrott	39583	39583	0	25	25	0	
George Calhoun	263042	263509	467	3515	6051	2536	
Larry King	458167	459092	925	1421	2236	815	
Betty Jean Hardin	321566	322112	546	796	1257	461	
Ronald Bohannon	60599	62322	1723	1483	2599	1116	
Ann Johnson	164254	165340	1086	2617	4370	1753	
Jackie Mays	1399468	1404058	4590	3716	5836	2120	
Jackie Mays	523024	523858	834	2554	4274	1720	
Jackie Mays	561052	563825	2773	5572	9175	3603	
Jackie Mays	105292	105780	488	720	1499	779	
	558682	562455	3773	3150	5314	2164	
Jackie Mays	255528	255899	371	564	939	375	
Dorothy Sagracy	291522	292821	1299	1848	3551	1703	
Joey Rigdon	302925	303456	531	293	1115	822	
Joey Rigdon	334940	335425	485	929	1082	153	
Jo Ann Sagracy	277095	277509	414	862	1247	385	
April Moore	301703	302241	538		1227	343	
Catherine Doty	471158	473499	2341		7508	2866	
Joseph Coulter	218587	219722	1135		3011	1151	
James Heistand			382		781	445	
James Heistand	264715	265097	435		1261	522	
Jackie Mays	163426				1261	635	
Stephen Rakes	372948	373633	685 2153		4991	2104	
Stephen Rakes	420834	422987	1539		5533	2007	
Steven Smith	1003777	1005316			1478	686	
Justin Gardner	1705817	1706362	545		1397	594	
BJ Medley	166351	166781	430		16740	6049	
Richard Ryan	715217	717649	2432			1224	
James Medley	641623	642905	1282		3009		
David Clark	426519	426519	0		426519	(
Tony Clark	1395566	1399712	4146		12471	5068	
Kirk Thompson	210248	211000	752		1789	608	
Michael Farmer	0	0		25			
Will Dunn	30993		826		34424	522	
Will Dunn	61002		798			86	
Willie Downs	1223327		478			208	
Jeff Ryan	613495	614422	927	2187	3276	108	
	meter u	ter usage(gal) 86683 meter usage(gal)		sage(gal)	86546		
	master n	neter (gal)	97098	master meter (gal)		91114	
	LC	DSS	10415		DSS	4568	
	PERCE	NT LOSS	10.73%	PERCE	NT LOSS	5.01%	

FAIRGROUNDS

	1						
	Dec 18th	Dec 28th		Jan 20th	21-Jan	1	
		MASTER METER			MASTER METER		
62	Start	Ending	USAGE	Start	Ending	USAGE	
	Reading	Reading	CF	Reading	Reading	CF	
	75933.3	82697	6763.7	96891	97515	624	
CUSTOMER		OLD METERS			NEW METERS		
	Start	Ending	Usage	Start	Ending	Usage	
	267542	268381	839	747	809	62	
Cleo Tungate Toby and Susan Tungate	505290	506783	1493	3932	4091	159	
Debbie Wilson	64530	64530	0	25	25	0	
Gary Long	33390	33390	0	54	228	174	
Larry Violette	546735	548299	1564	2584	2699	115	
Ashley Bridgewater	221064	225404	4340	3180	3227	47	
Darrell Gribbins	584330	585573	1243	2803	2909	106	
Vicky Gribbins	307725	308369	644	1734	1833	99	
Christopher Grimes	526896	527333	437	1024	1053	29	
Larry King	689126	689960	834	2113	2241	128	
Melissa Farris	144555	144724	169	1142	1162	20	
Linda Spears	6214	8057	1843	12092	12341	249	
Brenda Murphy	1 1			321	453	132	
Donald Bell	342002	342532	530	1141	1141	0	
Dallas Simpson	118827	119066	239	524	544	20	
Wallace Gribbins	366597	367275	678	558	568	10	
Clarence Darnell	176162	176202	40	689	718	29	
Gary Long	459225	460242	1017	2234	2404	170	
RCE Enterprises	540772	541570	798	1724	1831	107	
Odie Deering	338500	343281	4781		1104	20	
Clarence Ford	79063	79104	41		128	1	
James Cornett	141273	141888	615		1461	:63	
James Cornett	183836	184124	288		891	50	
Tamyra McElroy	363835	364738	903		2678	114	
Marion Fiscal Court	158095	158159	64		889	44	
Alton Smith	667700	667993	293		1078	52	
Michella Sagracy	225138	225421	283		862	26	
Bonnie Amador	346724	347550	826		1925	44	
Kenneth Sagracy	639669	640812	1143		3236	215	
Crenshaw Trust	380454	380874	420				
Holly Hardin	243663	245208	1545			185	
Lacy Owens	942361	944587	2220			237	
Edna Seabrook	2421	2902	48:			22	
Geneva Denton	267739	268065	32		1	0	
Donnie Hazelwood	289700	289701		1 32		158	
Mona Sagracy	601610	604704	309			43	
Travis Yates	125697	126297				123	
Terrence O'Reilly	397771	398602				148	
James Leake	491937	493605				(
James Medley	612	612		0 25		942	
James Tony Medley	1168806						
Willie Downs	76679	76705	2	6 111	1 12		
	1			1	·····	4292	
	meter usage(gal) 43673			meter usage(gal)		4292 4668	
		neter (gal)	50592		10 1		
		DSS	6919	l.	LOSS 376 PERCENT LOSS 8.059		
	PERCE	NT LOSS	13.68%	PERCE	.141 LO33	0.0070	