

Larue County Water District #1
Case No. 2021-00285
Commission Staff's Second Request for Information

Witnesses

Tim Bartley: 2, 3, 5,

Kay Meers: 1, 3, 4, 6, 8

Adam Scott: 7

1. Refer to the general ledger for 2020 LaRue District No. 1 provided in response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information (Staff's First Request), Item 1.a.
 - a. Provide a supporting invoice and explanation for Check #1151 to Ampstun Corporation on March 5, 2020, in the amount of \$18,656.25 that was coded to general ledger account 6220, Office Supplies.

Response: See file: LCWD - Supporting Invoice. Invoice was for new billing software company.

- b. State whether the amount included in the test period will be a recurring expense each year going forward.

Response: No

2. Refer to the LaRue District No. 1's responses to Staff's First Request, Item 1.e., attachment "LCWD_Employee_Descriptions.pdf", and Item 1.g., attachment "LCWD_Board_Minutes_COMBINED.pdf".
 - a. Explain the basis for the year-over-year wage increases given to each of the LaRue District No. 1 employees.

Response: The employees are eligible for a cost living percentage and a job performance increase based on an evaluation in February of each year.

- b. Provide the schedule of wage adjustment recommended by Manager Bartley, referenced in both the February 10, 2020 and February 8, 2021 board minutes.

Response: See file: LCWD - Schedule of Wage Adjustments

3. Refer to the Responses to Staff's First Request, Item 1.e., attachment "LCWD_Employee_Descriptions.pdf" and Item 9, attachment "LCWD_-_Nonrecurring_Cost_Justification.pdf". Provide an update to the nonrecurring cost justification using the 2020 wage data given in the "LCWD_Employee_Descriptions.pdf" attachment.

Response: See file: LCWD – Updated Cost Justification

4. Refer to the adjusted trial balance for 2020 provided in response to Staff's First Request, Item 2. Provide written audit explanations for each of the adjusting journal entries. Journal entries that

are grouped in a series of balancing debits and credits can be listed with an accompanying explanation for the series.

Response: See file: LCWD – Adjusting Journal Entries

5. Refer to the Responses to Staff’s First Request, Item 9, attachment “LCWD_-_Nonrecurring_Cost_Justification.pdf.”
 - a. Provide a cost justification sheet in the same format used in the response for the Returned Payment Charge in LaRue District No. 1’s tariff.

Response: See File: LCWD – Non Recurring Charge Cost Justification Returned Payment Charge

- b. Provide an explanation, including all calculations, of how the amount for transportation expense was determined in each of the cost justification forms provided in the response.

Response: At the time of the Cost Justification submittal, LCWD used \$0.545 per mile. The Meter Test Cost justification includes extra mileage to meter testing facility.

6. Refer to the response to Staff’s First Request, Item 3.b.

- a. Provide an explanation for why the response, which states collection from late fees of \$1,695.00, differs from the response to Item 8 which states collections from Late Payment Charges were \$3,862.39.

Response: The late fees of \$1,695 were the unadjusted trial balance for January 2020 only. Due to COVID 19, LCWD only had late fees for the months of January and February. LCWD submitted the unadjusted trial balance to their auditor for their annual audit. This was an oversight by the district.

- b. Reconcile the difference between the \$9,529.00 listed in the response and the \$9,629.44 provided in the supporting attachment “LCWD-Other Water Revenues File.”

Response: The difference in \$9,529 and \$9,629.44 is due to only submitting the unadjusted trial balance to the auditor.

- c. Reconcile the total Other Water Revenues of \$11,224 provided in the response, with the \$16,540.78 nonrecurring charges assessed during the test year that was provided in response to Item 8 of Staff’s First Request.

Response: As mentioned previously, the difference in the totals is due to the unadjusted trial balances being submitted to the district’s auditor. However, the total mentioned for the nonrecurring charges in Item 8 is incorrect. It seems that the late fees were doubled in the Commission’s calculation. The \$3,862.39 is a total combined for January and February of 2020. The total for nonrecurring charges should be \$12,678.39.

7. Refer to the response to Staff’s First Request, attachment “LaRue_County_Responses.pdf”, Item 3b. Further explain what “File” under Other Water Revenues represents.

Response: “File” refers to the attachment LCWD-Other Water Revenues. File, which was filed with the Commission on 9-14-21.

8. Refer to the response to Staff's First Request, Item 10. Provide the amount billed to customers to install each of the two 1-inch customer taps in the test period.

Response: \$1,479.52 per tap