

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF EAST)	
KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.)	
FOR A GENERAL ADJUSTMENT OF RATES,)	Case No. 2021-00103
APPROVAL OF DEPRECIATION STUDY,)	
AMORTIZATION OF CERTAIN REGULATORY)	
ASSETS AND OTHER GENERAL RELIEF)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF CRAIG A. JOHNSON
SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT OF POWER PRODUCTION
ON BEHALF OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER COOPERATIVE, INC.

Filed: July 27, 2021

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, BUSINESS ADDRESS AND OCCUPATION.**

2 A. My name is Craig A. Johnson and my business address is East Kentucky Power
3 Cooperative, Inc. (“EKPC”), 4775 Lexington Road, Winchester, Kentucky 40391. I am
4 the Senior Vice President of Power Production of EKPC.

5 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR EDUCATION AND PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.**

6 A. I received a Bachelor’s degree in Engineering from West Virginia Institute of Technology
7 and a Master’s of Science degree in Engineering from the University of Kentucky. I am a
8 licensed professional engineer in the Commonwealth of Kentucky. I have been employed
9 by EKPC since September 1989 and have occupied my current position within the EKPC
10 organization since January 2010.

11 **Q. PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF YOUR DUTIES AT EKPC.**

12 A. I am responsible for all operational and maintenance functions at EKPC’s two coal fired
13 power plants, two combustion turbine plants, six landfill gas plants and one community
14 solar facility. I report to the Chief Operating Officer.

15 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

16 A. I will address some of the issues raised by AG/Nucor witness Lane Kollen in his testimony
17 regarding the appropriate life span for EKPC’s combustion turbine (“CT”) generation
18 units.

19 **Q. HAVE YOU REVIEWED MR. KOLLEN’S TESTIMONY?**

20 A. I have. Among other things, he states that combustion turbines should be expected to reach
21 an operational life of up to seventy years.

22 **Q. WHAT IS YOUR IMPRESSION OF IT?**

1 A. Mr. Kollen presents a one-sided perspective on the likely useful life span of EKPC's CTs.
2 In particular, he overlooks several key factors that affect the useful life of these assets and,
3 therefore, comes to an incorrect conclusion that the units could be in service for up to 70
4 years.

5 **Q. WHAT IS EKPC'S CURRENT EXPECTED USEFUL LIFE FOR THESE ASSETS?**

6 A. No firm retirement date(s) have been set for any of the units. However, for depreciation
7 purposes, I understand that it is assumed that the Smith Units 1-3 are projected to have a
8 useful life of 35 years and the Smith Units 4-10 and the Bluegrass Units have a useful life
9 of 40 years.

10 **Q. FROM YOUR PERSPECTIVE AS AN ENGINEER, IS THAT ESTIMATE**
11 **REASONABLE?**

12 A. Yes. While the number could fluctuate a few years one way or the other, based upon their
13 current configuration and economic conditions, the estimate is appropriate from an
14 engineering perspective.

15 **Q. ARE EKPC'S CTS ALL ALIKE?**

16 A. No. The Smith Units 1-3 are different from the other units.

17 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE EKPC'S CT UNITS?**

18 A. Response 14a of the AG/NUCOR Supplemental Request For Information lists the technical
19 characteristics for the EKPC combustion turbine units. As described in the table, Smith
20 Units 1 – 7 and the Bluegrass Units are heavy frame units. Smith Units 9 and 10 are aero
21 derivative units. As outlined in the table, Smith Units 1-7 are dual fuel capable, Smith
22 Units 9 and 10 are natural gas fired only, and the Bluegrass Units are dual fuel capable.
23 All of EKPC's units are dispatched by PJM in a cyclic peaking operating mode. All Smith

1 Units and Bluegrass generators are air-cooled by either a totally enclosed water to air cooler
2 or an open loop design. Smith Units 1-3 use a single silo combustion system, where all of
3 the other units use an annular combustion system, making them unique in the world of gas
4 turbines.

5 **Q. WHY IS THE LIFE SPAN FOR SMITH UNITS 1-3 DIFFERENT FROM THE**
6 **OTHER CTS?**

7 A. Smith Units 1-3 were originally purchased from ABB. ABB sold its combustion turbine
8 line to Alstom, which was in turn purchased by General Electric. Smith Units 1-3 are
9 model 11N2. There are only seven like-kind 11N2s operating in the world to the best of
10 EKPC's knowledge. EKPC purchased a very early vintage of the 11N2 model. ABB stated
11 at the time that EKPC purchased serial numbers 2, 3 and 4. These units were originally
12 intended to go into commercial operation during 1995. The units required extensive testing
13 and modification by ABB in order for those units to be accepted by EKPC for commercial
14 operation. Typically, it takes about a three-month commissioning window from first fire
15 to commercial operation. In the case for Smith Units 1-3, the commissioning took
16 approximately four years to complete. During this extensive commissioning, the units had
17 several starts and stops. Smith Units 1, 2 and 3 went into commercial operation on March
18 1, 1999, February 1, 1999 and April 1, 1999, respectively. EKPC began depreciation of
19 the assets based upon each unit's commercial operation date. The extensive
20 commissioning required was not considered when estimating the useful life of the units.
21 Comparatively, the other units in EKPC's fleet went through a normal commissioning
22 cycle before declaring those units commercially operational signaling the start of their
23 depreciable life.

1 Technical support and parts supply of the major gas turbine components is limited to GE
2 where the other heavy frame units enjoy support from multiple vendors and parts suppliers.
3 In comparison, Smith Units 4 -7 are GE model 7EAs. There are reportedly over 1,100
4 instances of this model in service today. The Bluegrass Units are Siemens model 501FD2s.
5 There are reportedly over 360 instances of this model in service today. Finding
6 replacement parts and technical support for the 11N2s is a high risk we live with today and
7 could become very costly, if not impossible, if the Original Equipment Manufacturer
8 (“OEM”) decides to discontinue its support for this model. The turbine casing and turbine
9 rotor for each Smith Units 1 -3 have already required modification and repair to ensure
10 continued serviceability. It is EKPC’s understanding that there are no places in the world
11 that have the manufacturing capability to make a new turbine casing and rotor for the model
12 11N2.

13 **Q. WHAT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO EXTEND THE USEFUL LIVES OF THE CTS**
14 **TO AS LONG AS 70 YEARS AS MR. KOLLEN SUGGESTS?**

15 A. EKPC follows the OEM recommendations for operating and maintaining its combustion
16 turbine fleet. All of the CTs in EKPC’s fleet operate in a simple cycle mode and are used
17 for peaking duty. The OEM recommends for a peaking operation that the maintenance
18 intervals be based upon the number of operating hours and the number of starts. The heavy
19 frame units generally follow a cyclic maintenance interval involving annual inspections,
20 and after so many operating hours or starts EKPC performs a combustion inspection and
21 then finally ending the maintenance cycle by performing a major turbine overhaul. The
22 aero derivative units’ maintenance is based upon operating hours. The components are
23 inspected, cleaned, refurbished or replaced during these maintenance cycles. Peakers

1 endure a thermal cycle during startup. The predominant wear on a peaking unit due to
2 thermal cycling is through mechanical fatigue. Maintenance of a gas turbine component
3 cannot reverse the effects of mechanical fatigue. A simple cycle peaking mode of operation
4 is the severest operating modes of any generating unit. The fast start of a peaker results in
5 components going from ambient temperature to over 2,000 degrees in a matter of minutes.
6 This thermal cycling impacts the life of each component of a simple cycle peaker. The less
7 starts on a peaking unit means a longer life of the peaking unit. EKPC's peaking units are
8 utilized extensively by PJM, sometimes starting twice per day. Smith Units 1-3 have the
9 most starts of any 11N2 in the world. A great maintenance plan cannot overcome the
10 effects of mechanical fatigue resulting from thermal cycling. Change-out of major
11 components at the end of their design life is not typically economical to do. Turbine
12 casings, and turbine rotors would not be economical to replace even if possible.

13 **Q. IS THAT LIKELY, IN YOUR OPINION?**

14 A. The amount of capital required to achieve a 70-year operating life – as Mr. Kollen suggests
15 is possible – does not appear to be economical or feasible. A major investment in an old
16 gas turbine would have to be weighed against other options for power supply prior to
17 making such a large investment. In my opinion, the only way for EKPC to achieve a 70-
18 year operating life on a simple cycle gas turbine is to remove the unit from dispatch, which
19 is not a feasible solution. A unit that sees very little operation cannot be relied upon when
20 there is a critical need for power. The environmental challenges of operating a unit for 70
21 years without some type of major modification or addition of pollution control equipment
22 seems very unlikely given the climate we are in today. It is very unlikely that any of the

1 EKPC simple cycle gas turbines, operating the same way we operate today, could ever see
2 a 70-year life span.

3 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes it does.

