1	COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY			
2	BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION			
3				
4	In the Matter of:			
	ELECTRONIC 2020 INTEGRATED)Case No.RESOURCE PLAN OF BIG RIVERS)2020-00299ELECTRIC CORPORATION)2020-00299			
5				
$6 \\ 7$	BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION'S RESPONSE TO THE STAFF'S REPORT AND POST-HEARING COMMENTS			
8	Comes Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers"), by counsel, and			
9	respectfully files this response to the Commission Staff's Report on Big Rivers' 2020			
10	Integrated Resource Plan ("IRP" or "2020 IRP"); post-hearing comments filed by			
11	Sierra Club; and public comments filed by Southern Renewable Energy Association			
12	("SREA"), both dated January 31, 2022.			
13	I. <u>The Staff Report</u>			
14	Big Rivers has reviewed the Staff Report on the 2020 Integrated Resource			
15	Plan of Big Rivers Electric Corporation, November 2021 contained in the appendix			
16	to the Commission's order, dated November 22, 2021. Big Rivers appreciates the			
17	Commission's feedback on it 2020 IRP and has no substantive comments on the			
18	report. Big Rivers will respond to the Commission Staff's recommendations in its			
19	next IRP.			
20				

21

## II. Sierra Club's Post-Hearing Comments

22	Sierra Club reiterates its Initial Comments filed September 9, 2021,	
23	regarding Big Rivers' evaluation of certain resources, specifically a new gas	
24	combined cycle unit at its Sebree Station <sup>1</sup> or Kenneth C. Coleman Station and the	
25	continued generation at its D.B. Wilson Station ("Wilson Station"). <sup>2</sup> Big Rivers	
26	reiterates and incorporates its response to Sierra Club's initial comments that was	
27	filed September 30, 2021. <sup>3</sup>	
28	Additionally, Sierra Club recommends that Big Rivers consider "the analysis	
29	and results of alternative methodologies for valuing DSM," including "using	
30	alternative assumptions about, or inputs for, avoided capacity value. <sup>4</sup> " Big Rivers	
31	appreciates Sierra Club's acknowledgement of its "thoughtful responses and	
32	discussion" during this proceedings and its efforts on DSM. $^5$ Big Rivers will	
33	continue to evaluate the appropriate resources needed to provide safe, affordable,	
34	and reliable power to meet our Members' long-term needs, including any Demand	
35	Side Management ("DSM") programs that become cost-effective.	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Sebree Station" is the site of Big Rivers' R.D. Green Plant and Robert A. Reid Plant, as well as Henderson Municipal Power & Light's Station Two.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Sierra Club's Initial Comments on Big Rivers' 2020 Integrated Resource Plan ("Sierra Club's Comments") (filed Sept. 9, 2021) and Sierra Club's Post Hearing Comments on Big Rivers' 2020 Integrated Resource Plan and the Staff Report at pp. 1-2 ("Sierra Club's Post-Hearing Comments") (filed Jan. 31, 2022).

 $<sup>^3</sup>$  See Big Rivers Electric Corporation's Response to Comments at pp. 4-5 (filed Sept. 30, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Sierra Club's Post Hearing Comments at p. 2.

 $<sup>^5</sup>$  See id. at p.3.

36	Finally, Sierra Club "urges skepticism by Big Rivers as well as the			
37	Commission on future contracts to support cryptocurrency 'mining'."6 Sierra Club's			
38	opinion of this industry contrasts with the Kentucky General Assembly policy of			
39	encouraging the use and growth of blockchain technology in the Commonwealth. <sup>7</sup>			
40	These two differing positions exemplify sometimes strikingly different opinions on			
41	what benefits "customers at large." <sup>8</sup> Big Rivers will continue to negotiate utility			
42	service contracts that are in its Members' best interest.			
43	Big Rivers rejects Sierra Club's implication that any utility service contract			
44	would or could work to "prop up otherwise uneconomical generation that otherwise			
45	would have been retired for the benefit of customers at large."9 Big Rivers'			
46	decisions to keep or add a generating resource are supported by multiple factors,			
47	none of which is a desire to keep uneconomical generation. For example, Big Rivers'			
48	planning objectives guiding its 2020 IRP process included:			
49	• Maintain a current and reliable load forecast,			
50	• Provide competitively priced power to its Members,			
51	• Maximize reliability while ensuring safety, minimizing costs, risks,			
52	and environmental impacts,			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See Sierra Club's Post Hearing Comments at p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the Recitals of House Bill 230 (Effective July, 2021) at <u>https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/law/acts/21RS/documents/0122.pdf;</u> Senate Bill 255 (Effective July 2021 at <u>https://apps.legislature.ky.gov/law/acts/21RS/documents/0141.pdf</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See In the Matter of: Joint Special Contract Filing of Big Rivers' Electric Corporation and Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation, Direct Testimony of Mark J. Eacret at pp. 9-10 (filed June 21, 2021).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See Sierra Club's Post Hearing Comments at p. 3.

53	• Identify potential new supply-side resources,	
54	• Maintain adequate planning reserve margins,	
55	• Develop and maintain a more diversified supply portfolio aligned with	
56	anticipated Member Owner load, and	
57	Meet North American Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC")	
58	guidelines and requirements. <sup>10</sup>	
59	Big Rivers believes it has struck the appropriate balance for our Members to	
60	provide safe, reliable power at the lowest reasonable cost, taking into account the	
61	risk of intermittent resources, and the regulatory risks of relying too heavily on	
69	coal-fired generation.	
62	coal-nreu generation.	
62 63	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u>	
63	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u>	
63 64	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u> While SREA did not intervene in this proceeding, it did file public comments	
63 64 65	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u> While SREA did not intervene in this proceeding, it did file public comments subsequent to the issuance of the Staff's Report. Contrary to the evidence on	
63 64 65 66	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u> While SREA did not intervene in this proceeding, it did file public comments subsequent to the issuance of the Staff's Report. Contrary to the evidence on record, the Staff's Report, and the Attorney General and Sierra Club's comments in	
<ul> <li>63</li> <li>64</li> <li>65</li> <li>66</li> <li>67</li> </ul>	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u> While SREA did not intervene in this proceeding, it did file public comments subsequent to the issuance of the Staff's Report. Contrary to the evidence on record, the Staff's Report, and the Attorney General and Sierra Club's comments in this proceeding, SREA alleges that Big Rivers' "current IRP is unacceptable and	
<ul> <li>63</li> <li>64</li> <li>65</li> <li>66</li> <li>67</li> <li>68</li> </ul>	III. <u>SREA's Public Comment</u> While SREA did not intervene in this proceeding, it did file public comments subsequent to the issuance of the Staff's Report. Contrary to the evidence on record, the Staff's Report, and the Attorney General and Sierra Club's comments in this proceeding, SREA alleges that Big Rivers' "current IRP is unacceptable and needs substantial improvement." <sup>11</sup> At this late stage in the proceeding, Big Rivers	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See Big Rivers 2020 IRP at 24.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  Post-hearing Comments of the Southern Renewable Energy Association at p. 2 (filed Jan. 31. 2022).

72	renewable energy in the south." <sup>12</sup> They fail to consider the real-world constraints			
73	of intermittent resources or the best interest of Big Rivers' Members. On the other			
74	hand, Big Rivers' diversified resource plan <sup>13</sup> balances the benefits of renewable			
75	resources with the risks associated with realistic concerns, including those			
76	expressed in the Attorney General's Comments:			
77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87	and solar capacity to make large-scale, rapid adoptions of renewable resources cost-effective for utility ratepayers; (2) The intermittent nature of renewable supply-side resources carry reliability risks; and (3) the Commission's IRP regulations do not require Kentucky's electric generating utilities to factor-in costs of additional transmission capacity that are frequently necessary to wheel out-of-state power into the utilities' respective service territories. <sup>14</sup>			
88	Big Rivers' 2023 IRP will include a robust evaluation of all viable resource options			
89	and will fully and fairly evaluate all viable resource options for providing an			
90	adequate and reliable supply of electricity to meet its forecasted electricity			
91	requirements at the lowest possible cost. <sup>15</sup> Big Rivers disputes SREA's opinions in			
92	regards to the adequacy of its IRP and declines its request to re-run the IRP			
93	analysis. <sup>16</sup> Big Rivers will remain open to any reliable, relevant, and current			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> *Id*.at p. 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See Big Rivers' Response to the Office of the Attorney General's Initial Data Requests, Item 1 (Mar. 19, 2021) ("Big Rivers' 2013 resource mix included 87% reliance on coal, while Big Rivers' portfolio in 2024 will include hydro, gas, solar, and only a 31% reliance on coal.")

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$  See The Attorney General's Comments to Big Rivers' 2020 IRP at pp. 3-4. (filed Sept. 3, 2021)

 $<sup>^{15}</sup>$  See 807 KAR 5:058 ("The [IRP] shall include the utility's resource assessment and acquisition plan for providing an adequate and reliable supply of electricity to meet forecasted electricity requirements at the lowest possible cost.")

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> See Post-hearing Comments of the Southern Renewable Energy Association at p. 5.

94 information that may be helpful in its regular review of resource options, as well as95 its next IRP filing.

96	IV. <u>Conclusion</u>
97	Big Rivers appreciates the Commission's Staff work and recommendations, as
98	well as the Commissioners' input and feedback during this proceeding. Big Rivers
99	thanks the Attorney General and Sierra Club for their respective comments and
100	agrees with Sierra Club that the efforts of the parties during the course of this case
101	have been productive.
102	As Big Rivers' 2020 IRP complies with 807 KAR 5:058, the Sierra Club's and
103	SREA's criticisms and recommendations should be rejected. The period for
104	comments has expired.
105	Wherefore, Big Rivers respectfully asks the Commission to issue an order
106	setting the date for Big Rivers' next IRP filing, closing this case, and removing it
107	from the Commission's docket. In accordance with 807 KAR 5:058, Big Rivers will
108	respond to the Commission Staff's recommendations in its next IRP filing.

6

109	On this the 11 <sup>th</sup> day of February, 2022.	
110		Respectfully submitted,
111		
112		/s/ Tyson Kamuf
113		
114		Tyson Kamuf
115		Senthia Santana
116		<b>Big Rivers Electric Corporation</b>
117		201 Third Street, P.O. Box 24
118		Henderson, Kentucky 42419-0024
119		Phone: (270) 827-2561
120		Facsimile: (270) 844-6417
121		tyson.kamuf@bigrivers.com
122		<u>senthia.santana@bigrivers.com</u>
123		-
124		Counsel for Big Rivers Electric Corporation
125		<b>-</b>