## **KyPSC Case No. 2020-00266 TABLE OF CONTENTS**

DATA REQUEST	<u>WITNESS</u> <u>TAB</u>	<u>NO.</u>
STAFF-DR-01-001	Trisha Haemmerle	1
STAFF-DR-01-002	Julie Hollingsworth	2
STAFF-DR-01-003	Nathan Lewis	3
STAFF-DR-01-004	Nathan Lewis	4
STAFF-DR-01-005	Bruce L. Sailers	5
STAFF-DR-01-006	Julie Hollingsworth	6
STAFF-DR-01-007	Jean Williams	7
STAFF-DR-01-008	Jean Williams Greg Simmons	8
STAFF-DR-01-009	Jean Williams	9
STAFF-DR-01-010	Trisha Haemmerle Julie Hollingsworth Greg Simmons	10

Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-001** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, paragraph 6. Provide the comments or feedback about Duke

Kentucky's proposed changes received from the Residential Collaborative and the

Commercial and Industrial Collaborative.

**RESPONSE:** 

There were no comments or feedback about the proposed changes from the Residential

Collaborative and the Commercial and Industrial Collaborative. However; there was a

comment appreciating the update, a question about if the proposed changes had any impact

on the statewide Home Energy Assistance program which they do not and the Office of

Rate Intervention from the Office of the Attorney General stated they would attend a

collaborative if one were to be held.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Trisha Haemmerle

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-002** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, paragraph 7. Regarding the Home Energy House Call, provide

the Cost-Effectiveness Test Results for each of the proposed individual program

expansions.

**RESPONSE:** 

Please see STAFF-DR-01-002 Attachment.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Julie Hollingsworth

Program	Product Code	Measure I Measure Name	UCT	TRC	RIM	PCT**	
Residential Energy Assessments	HCBLRD	12872 Home Energy House Call - Assess Kit w LEDs Blower Door		1.24	0.62	0.63	14.62
Residential Energy Assessments	HCCNDL	12875 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Candelabra LED		2.52	0.23	0.76	46.29
Residential Energy Assessments	HCGLOB	12876 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Globe LED		511.80	46.52	1.09	15.61
Residential Energy Assessments	HCHHSH	12878 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Showerhead		5,591.54	508.42	0.89	11.92
Residential Energy Assessments	HCNSTE	12873 Home Energy House Call - Smart Thermostat - Elec		16.15	1.47	0.75	2.57
Residential Energy Assessments	HCNSTE	12874 Home Energy House Call - Smart Thermostat -Only CAC Fuel Htd		16.15	1.47	0.75	2.57
Residential Energy Assessments	HCRCSD	12877 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Recessed LED		157.62	14.33	1.06	21.21

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-003** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, paragraph 8. Duke Kentucky is seeking approval to increase the

budget for its Smart \$aver® Prescriptive program.

a. Explain if the increase is in addition to the carry-over amount of \$1,396,010.

b. Provide support for the need for the additional increase and how Duke Kentucky

anticipates the dollars will be spent.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. The carry-over amount of \$1,396,010 is the total requested increase.

b. The dollars will be spent primarily on Smart \$aver Prescriptive & Custom customer

projects that have already requested incentive reservations and/or been placed on

the Smart \$aver incentive waitlist for planned upcoming energy efficiency

improvements. To date in 2020, the Duke Energy Kentucky Smart \$aver

Prescriptive & Custom programs have received prequalification applications for 22

customer projects planned for completion later this fiscal year or early next fiscal

year, with total potential incentives of up to \$1,739,309. The projects have all been

issued tentative incentive reservations and/or been placed on the program's

incentive waitlist for future projects.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Nathan Lewis

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-004** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, paragraph 8. Duke Kentucky anticipates increased customer

demand and interest in energy efficiency as it relates to the Smart \$aver® Prescriptive

Program as customer operations return to pre-COVID-19 levels.

a. Provide documentation supporting the increased customer demand, such as a

waiting list or call log.

b. Explain whether Duke Kentucky is anticipating having to hire more individuals to

handle this anticipated uptick in customer participation.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. Please see STAFF-DR-01-004 Attachment.

b. No additional hires are anticipated.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Nathan Lewis

## Duke Energy Kentucky - Reservation/Waitlist Log Smart \$aver Prescriptive & Custom

Smart Queen rescriptive a custom						
Enrollment Application Number		tial Incentive Total				
EA-0000168190	\$	5,489.98				
EA-0000168966	\$	906,703.60				
EA-0000170615	\$	25,883.00				
EA-0000170620	\$	25,913.00				
EA-0000171093	\$	4,575.00				
EA-0000171463	\$	150.00				
EA-0000171464	\$	150.00				
EA-0000171466	\$	150.00				
EA-0000398734	\$	9,761.00				
EA-0000399080	\$	55,957.00				
EA-0000399347	\$	1,305.00				
EA-0000399359	\$	5,400.00				
EA-0000399795	\$	5,485.50				
EA-0000399970	\$	1,650.00				
EA-0000400021	\$	7,499.00				
EA-0000399898	\$	800.00				
CSK20-0000172363	\$	9,370.00				
CSK20-0000172484	\$	462.00				
CSK19-0000159553	\$	252,085.00				
CSK20-0000209670	\$	878.00				
CSK20-0000172214	\$	3,942.00				
CSK20-0000399129	\$	415,700.00				

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2020-00266
Stoff First Set Data Baguests

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-005** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, paragraph 9. Duke Kentucky is requesting to carry over the

unspent funds for the Peak Time Rebate Pilot Program to the July 2020 through June 2021

period. Provide support for the need for the additional dollars and how Duke Kentucky

anticipates they will be spent

**RESPONSE:** 

When originally filed, Duke Energy Kentucky anticipated an approval for this pilot

program by year end 2019 with development occurring during the first part of 2020 leading

to a pilot launch in mid- to late May 2020. Given uncertainty surrounding the pilot program

and the Commission's approval, implementation efforts did not start in earnest until

Commission approval was received on April 27, 2020. Unfortunately, complications due

to the COVID-19 pandemic slowed the development of the pilot. The pilot was launched

on July 27, 2020. Due to the delayed launch of the pilot, the timing of program costs shifted

later into the summer and with respect to EM&V costs, needed to be rescheduled

completely. The shift of the unspent funds from the period ending June 2020 ensures that

enough budget dollars are available to the pilot for the July 2020 through June 2021 period.

Budget categories such as customer incentives, EM&V, labor, and marketing have not been

eliminated, rather the timing of those expected expenses have just been shifted out in time.

If the entirety of the requested budget to be shifted are not spent, the actual amount required

to run the pilot will be addressed in the reconciliation component of future Company annual rider proceedings.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Bruce L. Sailers

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2020-00266 Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-006** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, Exhibit A.

a. For the Residential Energy Assessment Program, provide the individual program

cost-effectiveness test results.

b. For the Smart \$aver® Prescriptive Program, provide the individual program cost-

effectiveness test results.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. See STAFF-DR-01-006(a) Attachment.

b. See STAFF-DR-01-006(b) Attachment.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Julie Hollingsworth

Program	Product Code	Measure I Measure Name	UCT	TRC	RIM	PCT**	
Residential Energy Assessments	HCBAER	12207 Home Energy House Call - Bathroom Aerator		824.23	1.27	0.97	97.85
Residential Energy Assessments	HCBLRD	12872 Home Energy House Call - Assess Kit w LEDs Blower Door		1.24	0.62	0.63	14.62
Residential Energy Assessments	HCCNDL	12875 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Candelabra LED		2.52	0.23	0.76	46.29
Residential Energy Assessments	HCGLOB	12876 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Globe LED		511.80	46.52	1.09	15.61
Residential Energy Assessments	нсннѕн	12878 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Showerhead		5,591.54	508.42	0.89	11.92
Residential Energy Assessments	HCLED	12190 Home Energy House Call - Additional LED		31.49	2.08	0.87	5.72
Residential Energy Assessments	HCNSTE	12873 Home Energy House Call - Smart Thermostat - Elec		16.15	1.47	0.75	2.57
Residential Energy Assessments	HCNSTE	12874 Home Energy House Call - Smart Thermostat -Only CAC Fuel Htd		16.15	1.47	0.75	2.57
Residential Energy Assessments	HCPWRP	12206 Home Energy House Call - Pipe Wrap		526.72	34.04	1.03	67.12
Residential Energy Assessments	HCRCSD	12877 Home Energy House Call - Specialty Recessed LED		157.62	14.33	1.06	21.21
Residential Energy Assessments	HEHC	7103 Home Energy House Call - Kit w LEDs		1.37	0.67	0.66	45.45

Program	Product Code	Measure I Measure Name	UCT	TRC	RIM	PCT**	
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRFS	5660 Zero Energy Doors_Med-Temp Cooler		8.92	1.92	1.02	3.41
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRFS	5760 Convection Oven Full-Sized		12.75	2.75	1.46	3.41
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRHVAC	384 Setback Programmable Thermostat		32.22	0.25	0.72	6.78
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRHVAC	3001 0.5 gpm Faucet Aerator (DI) - School, public use		31.02	0.24	0.69	6.78
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRHVAC	5704 HVAC DX AC 65-135kBtuh 11.7 EER (Tier 0_1)		133.91	1.03	2.98	6.78
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRHVAC	5731 HVAC DX PTAC 12000 Btuh 10.7 EER		135.30	1.04	3.01	6.78
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRHVAC	10001 High Volume Low Speed Fan		74.31	0.57	1.65	6.78
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	352 LED Exit Signs Electronic Fixtures (Retrofit Only)		14.39	3.75	1.18	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3067 LED FLD rplcng or ILO GRT 100W HAL, INCD, or HID		9.92	2.58	0.81	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3069 LED Highbay replacing 251-400W HID		15.76	4.10	1.29	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3070 LED Highbay replacing greater than 400W HID		15.75	4.10	1.29	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3071 LED Lowbay replacing 176W-250W HID		15.17	3.95	1.25	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3072 LED Lowbay replacing up to 175W HID		15.18	3.95	1.25	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3073 LED Panel 1x4 replacing or in lieu of T8 FL		16.50	4.29	1.36	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3075 LED Panel 2x2 replacing or in lieu of T8 FL		16.69	4.34	1.37	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	3077 LED Panel 2x4 replacing or in lieu of T8 FL		16.75	4.36	1.38	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	8850 LED 2ft Tube 1-LED, replacing or in lieu of T8 fluorescent		15.76	4.10	1.29	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	8851 LED 4ft Tube 1-LED, replacing or in lieu of T8 fluorescent		15.79	4.11	1.30	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	8852 LED A Lamps		16.76	4.36	1.38	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	8853 LED Decorative, Globe, 3-Way Lamps		16.84	4.38	1.38	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	8859 LED PAR, BR, MR Lamps		16.86	4.39	1.38	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	10070 LED Highbay replacing greater than 400W HID Lamp		15.45	4.02	1.27	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	10074 LED Highbay Fixture replacing 6-lamp 4ft T8 fixture		17.10	4.45	1.40	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	10075 LED Highbay Fixture replacing 2-lamp 8ft T12 fixture		17.13	4.46	1.41	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	10077 LED Highbay Fixture replacing 4-lamp 4ft T5HO fixture		17.11	4.45	1.40	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRLTG	10079 LED FLD rplcng or ILO greater than 500W HAL, INCD, or HID		8.50	2.21	0.70	5.69
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRP&M	1114 VFD Process Pump 1-50 HP		21.55	3.22	1.40	2.58
Smart \$aver® Prescriptive	NRP&M	10098 VFD on Chilled Water Pump		24.43	3.65	1.59	2.58

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2020-00266
toff First Sot Data Paguests

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-007** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, Appendix D.

a. Refer to pages 8-9 of 100, Tables 1-1, 1-2, and 1-3.

1) Explain why programs have a Realization Rate less than 100 percent, thus

indicating that verified savings is less than the reported savings estimates.

2) Explain whether Duke Kentucky updates the reported savings estimates

inputted into the programs that determine the total savings for the custom

program and thus determine the cost-effectiveness of the custom program.

b. Refer to page 10 of 100. Explain why the tracking data was missing some key

information for evaluation activities and program/project tracking. Provide the

impact of missing this data on the final Nexant report.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. 1) The overall Duke Energy Kentucky Non-Residential Custom Incentive

Program energy realization rate is above 100 percent, in fact 103.5 percent

as indicated in Table 1-1 of Appendix D. For this evaluation, the evaluator

used sample stratification within the sample design, i.e., Lighting - Large,

Lighting - Small, etc.), with a realization rate reported for each stratum,

which then rolls up to a program-level realization rate.

The realization rate for the Lighting – Small stratum is less than 100 percent

primarily due to actual lighting hours of use being less than reported.

For the Non-Lighting – Large and Non-Lighting – Small strata, the primary

reasons for realization rates being less than 100 percent were myriad

reasons, including baseline and efficient values were different than reports;

lower operating hours for the various non-lighting measures; and inaccurate

setpoints.

2) Duke Energy Kentucky updates and applies the verified program-level

realization rate and the estimated free ridership rate to determine the cost

effectiveness of Non-Residential Custom program applications.

b. Customer contact information, specifically contact phone numbers and email

addresses, was occasionally missing or outdated, most likely due to staff turnover

at participating customer companies. In addition, the quantities of installed

equipment (particularly for lighting) and some savings values associated with

projects was missing or incorrect. Quantity data, however, was found in other

documentation used in the evaluation. Neither missing customer contact data nor

quantity data had any impact on the final Nexant report.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Jean Williams

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2020-00266 Staff First Set Data Requests

Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-008** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, Appendix E.

a. Refer to page 6 of 66, Tables 1 and 2. Also, refer to page 7 of 66, recommendation

(1). Confirm that Duke Kentucky will update the reported savings estimates

inputted into the programs that determine the total savings for the Multifamily

Energy Efficiency Program, and thus update the cost-effectiveness of each

customer offering.

b. Refer to pages 7 and 8 of 66. For each recommendation, explain whether or not

Duke Kentucky will adopt the recommendation.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. Duke Energy Kentucky confirms that reported savings for each measure in the

Duke Energy Multifamily Energy Efficiency Program have been updated to reflect

verified savings indicated in the report. This update includes cost effectiveness.

b. Responses to each of the recommendations are below:

1. Duke Energy Kentucky will implement the ex-post, per unit energy and

demand impacts.

2. Program materials have been updated since the time period of the evaluation

in question to include information about new measures. Property Managers

now also receive a post-installation savings report to share with tenants that

highlights the total energy and water saved by the property.

3. For properties with 250 or more units, the program vendor leaves behind a

quantity of LEDs equal to 1% of the total LEDs installed. For smaller

properties, the property is instructed to contact the program vendor for

replacement bulbs for those that quit working. This helps control overall

program cost.

4. Smart thermostats are currently under consideration for addition to the

program based on property manager feedback while ensuring that the

offering is cost effective for customers.

5. Since the time period of the evaluation, the Service Agreement has been

updated to include language regarding Quality Assurance and EM&V

follow-up activities.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Jean Williams

**Greg Simmons** 

Duke Energy Kentucky
Case No. 2020-00266
Stoff First Set Data Baguests

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-009** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, Appendix F, page 7 of 18, Table 1-2.

a. Explain why programs have a Realization Rate less than 100 percent, thus

indicating that verified savings is less than the reported savings estimates.

b. Explain if Duke Kentucky updates the reported savings estimates inputted into the

programs that determine the total savings for the Smart \$aver® Prescriptive

Program and thus determine the cost-effectiveness of the program.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. Each of the technologies (i.e., Lighting, HVAC, Food Service Products, etc.) listed

in the Non-Residential Prescriptive program has a respective realization rate. The

only technology which has a realization rate less than 100 percent is Lighting. The

Lighting technology has a realization rate less than 100 percent due to adjustments

the evaluator made to correct actual hours of use and update waste heat and

coincident factors.

b. Duke Energy Kentucky updated the savings estimates reflected in the report for

reporting and cost-effectiveness purposes.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Jean Williams

Staff First Set Data Requests Date Received: September 28, 2020

**STAFF-DR-01-010** 

**REQUEST:** 

Refer to the application, Appendix G.

a. Also, refer to the application, paragraph 4. Regarding the Save Energy and Water

Kit Program (SEWKP), explain to which demand-side management program listed

in paragraph 4 the SEWKP will be part of.

b. Provide the cost-effectiveness score for the SEWKP.

c. Refer to page 5 of 83. Explain how Duke Kentucky pre-selects a household.

**RESPONSE:** 

a. Residential Smart \$aver® Energy Efficient Products Program – Sheet No. 110

b. See STAFF-DR-01-010(b) Attachment.

c. Duke Energy Kentucky uses a propensity model to determine which households are

eligible for the program offering. This model evaluates a number of inputs to

provide a mathematical score that reflects the likelihood that the customer has an

electric water heater. If Duke Energy Kentucky provides natural gas to the

customer, then due to the high correlation between the availability of natural gas

and its use to heat water, it is assumed the customer has a gas water heater.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Trisha Haemmerle – a.

Julie Hollingsworth – b.

Greg Simmons - c.

Program	Product Code	Measure I Measure Name	UCT	TRC	RIM	PCT**	
Residential Smart \$aver®	SFEEAR	2979 Faucet Aerators SF DIY 1.0 GPM - bath		30.06	9.01	0.95	33.63
Residential Smart \$aver®	SFEEAR	2980 Faucet Aerators SF DIY 1.0 GPM - kitchen		30.14	9.03	0.95	33.63
Residential Smart \$aver®	SFEEPW	2997 Pipe Wrap SF DIY		18.06	6.40	0.94	71.34
Residential Smart \$aver®	SFEESH	2993 LF Showerhead SF DIY 1.5 GPM		16.17	4.25	0.93	31.85