## PURCHASED WATER ADJUSTMENT FOR WATER DISTRICTS AND WATER ASSOCIATIONS (807 KAR 5:068)

Name of Utility	Bracken County Water Dis	trict		
Date	February 4, 2021			
Address	P.O. Box 201			
City, State, Zip	Brooksville, KY	41004		
Telephone Number	6060-735-3513			
Email Address	drmoran@brackencountyw	aterdistrict.com		

1.a. Name of all wholesale suppliers and the base (current) rate and changed rate of each. In the event the water purchased is billed by the supplier on a rate that is not a flat rate schedule, the entire rate schedule must be shown. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Supplier(s)	Base Rate	Changed Rate	
Augusta Regional WTP	\$2.35/1,000 gallons	\$2.50/1,000 gallons	
Western Mason Water District	\$1.33/1,000 gallons	\$1.33/1,000 gallons	

1.b. A copy of the supplier's notice of the changed rate showing the effective date of the increase are attached as Exhibits A and B.

2.	Twelve-month period upon which the purchased water adjustment is based.	(This twelve-
m	onth period must end within 90 days of this filing).	

From	January 2020	through	December 2020
	(month and year)		(month and year)

3. Statement of water purchases. Where water is purchased from more than one supplier, purchases from each supplier must be shown separately. If water is purchased through a declining block rate schedule, purchases for each month must be shown. Attach an additional sheet if necessary.

Supplier(s)	Gallons Purchased during 12 month period		
Augusta Regional Water Treatment Plant	160,141,233		
Western Mason Water District	4,478,800		
'			
TOTAL PURCHASES	164,620,033		
4. Total gallons sold for the 12 month period	135,354,000		
5. Increased water cost	\$24,021.18		
The increased water cost is the cost difference between purpurchases at new rate. The calculation and all supporting change in purchased water costs sufficient to determine the attached as Exhibits C and D. A spreadsheet containing calculation and an exhibits C and D. A spreadsheet containing calculation and a spreadsheet containing calculation and an exhibit scale of the spreadsheet containing calculation and the spreadsheet containing calculation an	documents used to determine the he accuracy of the calculation is		
6. Purchased water adjustment factor\$0.1775 or \$0.18 per 1,000 gaThe purchased water adjustment factor is obtained by dividing the increased cost of water by total gallons sold.			
Note: The purchased water adjustment factor is added to e minimum usage is 2,000 gallons then the purchased water ad the minimum bill twice.			
7. A schedule listing the current and proposed rates is attached	l as Exhibit F		
<ol> <li>A copy of the resolution or other document of the utility's g proposed rates is attached as Exhibit F.</li> </ol>			
	Esharan 12, 2021		
9. Proposed effective date	February 13, 2021		
Diana Moran Signature of Utility Officer	<b>\</b>		
Office Manager			
Title			

f.

#### EXHIBIT A

July 23, 2020

Anthony Habermehl - Chairman Bracken County Water District 1324 Brooksville Germantown Road Brooksville, Kentucky 41004

RE: Water Rates

Dear Anthony,

During the July Water Treatment Plant Advisory Board meeting that you attended on Wednesday, July 15, 2020, at the Water Treatment Plant, a discussion was held concerning a necessary rate increase. During the discussion many issues were discussed including an increase in employee's health insurance of \$12,000 per year and other increases in production costs. This increase is also based upon the audit report for Fiscal Year 2019.

The Advisory Board voted on and approved recommending a rate increase from \$2.35/1,000 gallons to \$2.50/1,000 gallons. All in attendance appeared to approve the \$.15 increase.

Per the 2016 Water Purchase Contract, the City of Augusta is providing written notice of the adjustment of water rates from \$2.35 to \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons.

Because of the Covid-19 pandemic and the inability of the Advisory Board to meet during the months of March, April and May, the City requests that the Bracken County Water District waive the 60-day period as described in section 14 of the 2016 agreement. The City of Augusta is requesting an effective date of Augusta 1, 2020.

Sincerely.

Doug Padgett Operations Manager

## EXHIBIT B

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY

)

)

)

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC TARIFF FILING OF CITY OF AUGUSTA REVISING ITS WHOLESALE WATER SERVICE RATES

Case No. 2020-00277

## CITY OF AUGUSTA'S RESPONSE TO BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT'S MOTION FOR RULING AND ESTABLISHMENT OF PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

Nearly six months have passed since Bracken County Water District ("Bracken District") submitted a letter to the Commission indicating it had no objection to the City of Augusta's proposed rate increase. Suddenly and without a detailed explanation, Bracken District now seeks to rescind its prior position and demand that the Commission create a new procedural schedule even though the deadline to request a hearing has long since expired. Augusta, by counsel, explains why Bracken District's dilatory approach should be rejected in the following Response:

#### I. Background

Pursuant to the Water Purchase Contract between the Augusta and Bracken District, there is a Water Treatment Plant Advisory Board, composed of a representative of Augusta, Bracken District, and the City of Brooksville. Due to restrictions related to the Covid-19 pandemic, the Advisory Board was unable to meet for several months in spring 2020. At the meeting on July 15, 2020, the Advisory Board discussed a rate increase and factors driving the need for the increase (e.g., increased insurance expense and increases in production costs).<sup>1</sup> Augusta proposed an increase from \$2.35 per 1,000 gallons to \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons. It initially proposed the increase to be effective on August 1, 2020, but later changed that to September 1, 2020. Augusta filed this information with the Commission on July 29, 2020.

Bracken District advised Augusta and the Commission that it did not object to the rate increase. In a letter to the Commission, counsel for Bracken District stated:

Please be advised that **Bracken County Water District will not file an objection to the proposed adjustment** or seek to intervene in any proceeding regarding the proposed adjustment. Bracken County Water District further waives its right under Paragraph 14 of its Water Purchase Contract with Augusta to receive at least 60 days prior notice of the proposed adjustment.<sup>2</sup>

Bracken District's letter was submitted to the Commission on July 30, 2020. Over the next two weeks, Augusta provided additional information in response to informal questions from Commission Staff.<sup>3</sup>

Despite Bracken District's explicit statement that it did not object to the proposed rate, the Commission found that an investigation was necessary to determine the reasonableness of the proposed rate. On August 25, 2020, the Commission suspended the effective date of the proposed rate through February 1, 2021. In that order, the Commission found that Bracken District had a "significant interest" in the case and afforded it (and others) an opportunity to file a motion to intervene by September 14, 2020. The Commission also established a procedural schedule that provided for requests for information issued to Augusta, intervenor testimony,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Letter from Doug Padgett, WTP Operations Manager, to Anthony Habermehl, Chairman of Bracken District (July 23, 2020).

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  See Letter from Gerald Wuetcher, Counsel for Bracken District, to Kent Chandler, Executive Director of the Commission (July 30, 2020)(emphasis added).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This information is included in the record of this case.

requests for information to intervenors, and a deadline by which to request an evidentiary hearing.

During that three-month period that ended with the December 1, 2020, deadline by which an evidentiary hearing could be requested, Bracken District took no action. Bracken District's inaction was consistent with its prior statement that it would not object to the proposed rate increase or even intervene in the case.

Then, on January 20, 2021—nearly six months after saying that it did not object to the rate—Bracken District filed the pending motion in which it seeks a review of Augusta's operational and maintenance practices and associated costs. It also seeks a new procedural schedule.<sup>4</sup>

Bracken District's requests must be denied. These requests are not timely. Bracken District has not demonstrated good cause to extend deadlines, let alone seek an entirely new procedural schedule. And Bracken District's requests are also precluded by the doctrine of laches.

#### II. Analysis

#### A. Bracken District's requests must be denied because they are not timely.

As mentioned above, on August 25, 2020, the Commission entered a procedural schedule, setting forth dates by which written discovery could be issued, intervenor testimony filed, and requests for hearing submitted. Bracken District did not file any written discovery requests, intervenor testimony, or a request for hearing. Despite these failures, Bracken District is belatedly seeking an extremely lengthy continuance of the previous procedural schedule.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bracken District also seeks a ruling on its motion for intervention, to which Augusta does not object because the Commission has already made a finding that Bracken District has a "substantial interest" in this case.

In its Order, the Commission specifically stated that it "does not look favorably upon motions of continuance. Accordingly, motions for extensions of time with respect to the schedule herein shall be made in writing and will be granted only upon a showing of good cause." Bracken District has not demonstrated good cause to review additional information or for a new procedural schedule. At most, Bracken District makes a conclusory statement that it "has ascertained information that suggests that Augusta is not properly or efficiently operating and maintaining its water treatment facility."<sup>5</sup> Bracken District provides no indication as to what information it is referring, when it obtained that information, and whether it could have previously obtained that information. The Commission has considered these types of general statements to be insufficient to demonstrate good cause. *See, e.g., Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc,* Case No. 2017-00321, 2017 WL 4864708, at \*1 (Ky. PSC Oct. 24, 2017)(finding that a movant "proffered general reasons for the delay but failed to clearly set forth why it was unable to meet the October 13, 2017 intervention request deadline in light of the fact that it had at least two and a half months' notice of the instant matter").

Moreover, Bracken District is now seeking to re-open the evidentiary record of this case nearly two months after it closed. The procedural schedule required requests for hearing by December 1, 2020. Neither Bracken District nor August filed a request for hearing in this case. The Commission typically treats this type of silence as being deemed a waiver of the right to hearing. *See, e.g., Licking Valley Rural Elec. Coop. Corp.*, Case No. 2016-00077 at 1 (Ky. PSC Dec. 12, 2016).

#### B. Bracken District's due process rights have been satisfied.

Contrary to Bracken District's argument, its due process rights have not been negatively impacted in this case. "The essential requirements of due process . . . are notice and an

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Bracken District at Motion at 2.

opportunity to respond. The opportunity to present reasons, either in person or in writing, why proposed action should not be taken is a fundamental due process requirement." *Lafferty v. Board of Educ. of Floyd County*, 133 F. Supp. 2d 941, 946 (E.D. Ky. 2001)(quoting *Cleveland Bd. of Educ. v. Loudermill*, 470 U.S. 532, 541 (1985).

Bracken District had both notice and opportunity to respond to the proposed increase. In fact, Bracken District took the opportunity to respond by informing that Commission on July 30, 2020, that it did not object to the proposed increase or the less-than-60-day notice period. Even after that point, Bracken District had actual knowledge of the case established by the Commission. It had the opportunity to ask written questions of Augusta, to present its own testimony, and to request a hearing in advance of the deadline.<sup>6</sup> Bracken District chose not to do so. Ultimately, Bracken District's due process rights have been satisfied.

## C. Augusta and the Commission will be prejudiced if Bracken District's requests is granted.

Pursuant to KRS 278.190(2), requires the Commission to issue a decision on new rates within ten months after the filing of those rates. In this case, Augusta filed the new rates on July 29, 2020. The Commission, therefore, must issue a decision no later than May 28, 2021.

Prior Commission rate cases involving municipalities demonstrate that four months is insufficient to complete a thorough and complete review of a municipal wholesale rate. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> If Bracken District attempts to argue that it did not have these opportunities because it had not yet been granted intervention, the argument should not be well taken. First and foremost, Bracken District took advantage of its opportunity by explicitly stating that it did not object to the rate increase. Second, it had the opportunity to file data requests, submit testimony, and request a hearing, regardless of whether it had been formally granted intervention. Movants for intervention commonly submit data requests prior to the Commission's decision on intervention. *See, e.g., Kentucky Utilities Co.*, Case No. 2016-00370 (EKPC as movant submitted data requests on January 10, 2017, prior to an order on its motion issued on February 1, 2017); *Kentucky-American Water Co.*, Case No. 2017-00383 (two individuals as movants submitted data requests on October 26, 2017, prior to being granted intervention on October 30, 2017); *Red Fiber Parent, LLC*, Case No. 2020-00259 (Charter as movant submitted data requests on September 4, 2020, prior to an order on its motion issued on October 26, 2020). Moreover, there is little question that Bracken District meets the criteria for intervention in this case because the Commission has already made a finding that it has a "significant interest." *See* Order of August 25, 2020, at 2

following list shows how long it took the Commission to investigate the reasonableness of a proposed wholesale rate in recent fully litigated cases after a suspension order was issued.

Princeton Water and Wastewater Commission - Case No. 2019-00444 - 6 months

City of Pikeville – Case No. 2019-00080 – 9 months

Lebanon Water Works – Case No. 2017-00417 – 8 months

These cases demonstrate that Bracken District's late request will put a significant strain on Augusta and the Commission to conclude this case within four months.<sup>7</sup>

In addition, Augusta has not requested inclusion of rate-case expense in this matter, in part because Bracken District agreed to the proposed rate when it was first discussed. Augusta believes that its initial communications with Bracken District is consistent with prior Commission cases in which the Commission has encouraged municipal wholesale suppliers to communicate with their wholesale customers in advance of a rate increase. *See, e.g., Hopkinsville Water Envir. Auth..* Case No. 2009-00373 (Ky. PSC July 2, 2010). Certainly, the amount of rate-expense in a fully litigated case is substantial. For example, in Augusta's last case, the Commission noted that the two parties had approximately \$140,000 in rate-case expense documented in the record. *See City of Augusta*, Case No. 2015-00039 at 8 (Ky. PSC Apr. 15, 2016). If the scope of this case is expanded, Augusta would be prejudiced by not recovering rate case expenses or by being required to request the addition of those expenses.

#### **D.** The doctrine of laches requires rejection of Bracken District's arguments.

The doctrine of laches "serves to bar claims in circumstances where a party engages in unreasonable delay to the prejudice of others rendering it inequitable to allow that party to reverse a previous course of action. *See Plaza Condominium Ass'n, Inc. v. Wellington Corp.*, 920

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> I Even in the last case involving these two parties—Augusta and Bracken District—approximately 14 months elapsed between the suspension order and final order.n that case, Augusta agreed to reset the 10-month statutory deadline during that case.

S.W.2d 51 (Ky. 1996)(citing Kendall v. Mussman, 247 S.W.2d 502, 503-04 (Ky. 1952)). As

stated by the Commonwealth's highest court:

'Laches' in its general definition is laxness; an unreasonable delay in asserting a right. In its legal significance, it is not merely delay, but delay that results in injury or works a disadvantage to the adverse party. Thus there are two elements to be considered. As to what is unreasonable delay is a question always dependent on the facts in the particular case. Where the resulting harm or disadvantage is great, a relative brief period of delay may constitute a defense while a similar period under other circumstances may not. What is the equity of the case is the controlling question. Courts of chancery will not become active except on the call of conscience, good faith, and reasonable diligence. The doctrine of laches is, in part, based on the injustice that might or will result from the enforcement of a neglected right.

*Denison v. McCann*, 197 S.W.2d 248, 249 (Ky. 1946), quoting *City of Paducah v. Gillispie*, 115 S.W.2d 574, 575 (Ky. 1938).

Each element of the doctrine of laches is met on the issue raised by Bracken District, and the discussion above demonstrates this. First and foremost, there is unquestionably an unreasonable delay. Bracken District waited approximately six months to raise an issue on which they provided no evidentiary support. There can be no doubt that wasting six months of a ten-month statutory window is unreasonable. Likewise, Augusta (and the Commission) will have a significant disadvantage if it is not afforded sufficient time to demonstrate the reasonableness of its rates and if it must incur additional rate-case expense. Accordingly, the Commission should also reject Bracken District's requests based on the doctrine of laches.

#### **III.** The Commission should approve Augusta's proposed wholesale rate.

For the reasons stated above, the Commission should reject Bracken District's arguments to investigate new issues and enter a new procedural schedule. Instead, the Commission should approve Augusta's proposed rate of \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons.

#### IV. Notice

Pursuant to KRS 278.190(2), Augusta hereby provides notice to the Public Service Commission of its intent to implement the proposed rates to Bracken District for water sold after February 1, 2021, if the Commission has not reached its decision in this matter by that date. This increase will be first reflected on the bill issued in March 2021.

Respectfully submitted,

STURGILL, TURNER, BARKER & MOLONEY, PLLC



M. TODD OSTERLOH JAMES W. GARDNER 333 W. Vine Street, Suite 1500 Lexington, Kentucky 40507 Telephone No.: (859) 255-8581 tosterloh@sturgillturner.com jgardner@sturgillturner.com and CYNTHIA C. THOMPSON 202 E Riverside Dr. Augusta, KY 41002 Telephone No.: (606) 756-2663 ccthompsonatty@yahoo.com

### COUNSEL FOR CITY OF AUGUSTA

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

In accordance with 807 KAR 5:001, Section 8(7), this is to certify that the January 27, 2021, electronic filing is a true and accurate copy of the documents being filed in paper medium; that the electronic filing has been transmitted to the Commission on January 27, 2021; and that there are currently no parties that the Commission has excused from participation by electronic means in this proceeding. A copy will also be served on counsel for Bracken District. Paper copies of the foregoing shall be filed in the Commission's offices within 30 days after the state of emergency is lifted, which is consistent with the findings in Case No. 2020-00085.

COUNSEL FOR CITY OF AUGUSTA

## EXHIBIT C

## WATER SALES/PURCHASES CALCULATION OF ADJUSTMENT FACTOR

Month	Total Purchases	Western Mason Water District Purchases	Augusta Purchases	Water Sales
Jan-20	12,432,935	0	12,432,935	10,215,000
Feb-20	11,432,017	0	11,432,017	10,883,800
Mar-20	12,156,971	0	12,156,971	9,086,200
Apr-20	11,988,342	0	11,988,342	11,108,400
May-20	13,195,075	0	13,195,075	10,877,100
Jun-20	15,752,632	0	15,752,632	13,069,300
Jul-20	17,022,791	0	17,022,791	12,484,000
Aug-20	14,817,981	418,000	14,399,981	13,466,400
Sep-20	14,088,981	892,700	13,196,281	12,155,900
Oct-20	13,899,274	997,200	12,902,074	11,648,300
Nov-20	13,802,650	1,009,600	12,793,050	9,629,600
Dec-20	14,030,384	1,161,300	12,869,084	10,730,000
Total	164,620,033	4,478,800	160,141,233	135,354,000

Increased Water Costs: \$24,021.18 Purchased Water Adjustment Factor: \$0.1775 EXHIBIT D

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

## BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT Account QuickReport February through December 2020

Date	Name	Memo	Amount	
6105 · WESTE	RN MASON PURC	HASE WATER (PURCHASE	WATER FROM	WESTERN MASON V
09/01/2020		418,800 GAL - AUG	557.00	
10/05/2020	WESTERN MA	892,700 GAL - SEPT	1,187.29	
11/02/2020	WESTERN MA	997,200 GAL - OCT	1,326.28	
		1,009,600 GAL - NOV	1,342.77	
Total 6105 · W	ESTERN MASON P	URCHASE WATER (PUR	4,413.34	
TOTAL			4,413.34	

## BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

Account QuickReport January 1 through February 1, 2021

Date	Name	Memo	Amount		
		CHASE WATER (PURCHASE 1,161,300 GAL - DEC	<b>WATER FROM</b> 1,544.53	WESTERN MASON	V
Total 6105 · WI	ESTERN MASON P	URCHASE WATER (PUR	1,544.53		
TOTAL	,		1,544.53		

## 11:38 AM

02/01/21 Accrual Basis

## **BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT**

## Account QuickReport February through December 2020

Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount
610.1 · PURCH	IASED V	VATER		
02/19/2020	12,4	CITY OF AUG	12,432,935 GAL - JAN	29,217.41
03/18/2020	11,4	CITY OF AUG	11,432,017 GAL - FEB	26,865.25
04/15/2020	12,1	CITY OF AUG	12,156,971 GAL - MAR	28,568.88
05/20/2020	11,9	CITY OF AUG	11,988,342 GAL - APR	28,172.63
06/17/2020	13,1	CITY OF AUG	13,195,075 GAL - MAY	31,008.44
07/15/2020	15,7	CITY OF AUG	15,752,632 GAL- JUNE	37,018.68
08/19/2020	17,0	CITY OF AUG	17,022,791 GAL -JULY	40,003.56
09/16/2020	14,3	CITY OF AUG	14,399,981 GAL - AUG	33,839.95
10/21/2020	13,1	CITY OF AUG	13,196,281 GAL- SEPT	31,011.26
11/18/2020	12,9	CITY OF AUG	12,902,074 GAL - OCT	30,319.86
12/16/2020	12,7	CITY OF AUG	12,793,050 GAL - NOV	30,063.67
Total 610.1 · PU	JRCHAS	ED WATER		346,089.59

TOTAL

346,089.59

## **BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT** Account QuickReport January 1 through February 1, 2021

Date	Num	Name	Memo	Amount
610.1 · PURC	HASED V	VATER		
01/20/2021	12,8	CITY OF AUG	12,869,084 GAL DEC	30,242.34
Total 610.1 · P	URCHAS	ED WATER		30,242.34
TOTAL				30,242.34

Water Util	ity: PWSID:		
For the M	onth of: January Year:	2020	
	ing Period: to		
1	PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost)		
2	PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost)		
	WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED	GALLONS	
3	Water Produced		0.0%
4	Water Purchased	12,432,935	100.0%
5	TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED	12,432,935	
6	TOTAL COST #VALUE!		
_	WATER SOLD		
7	Residential	8,600,900	
8	Commercial	239,400	
9	Industrial	10.000	
10 11	Bulk Loading Stations Wholesale (other water systems, special contracts, etc.)	12,200	
12	Wholesale(other water systems, special contracts, etc.)Public Authorities(fire departments, public pools, parks, etc.)	1,362,500	
12	Other Sales (explain)		
10			
14	TOTAL WATER SOLD	10,215,000	82.2%
15	TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD	2,217,935	17.8%
10	BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE		
16	Water Treatment Plant		
17	Wastewater Treatment Plant	262,400	
18 19	System Flushing(routine and complaint flushing)DBP Flushing(forced tank overflows and hydrant flushing)	263,400	#VALUE!
20	Fire Department (documented for firefighting and training)		
20	Other Usage (explain)		
21			
22	TOTAL USAGE	263,400	
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST		
23	Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance)		
24	Main Line Breaks (long term leakage during current month)	70,000	#VALUE!
25	Service Line Breaks (repaired during current month)		
26	Line Leaks (calculated line leakage, meter inaccuracies, etc.)		
27 28	Excavation Damage Loss (short term leakage) Theft (documented)		
20	men (documented)		
29	TOTAL DOCUMENTED WATER LOST	70,000	
30	COST OF DOCUMENTED WATER LOST	#VALUE!	
	"UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST:		
31	"Unknown Loss"	1,884,535	
32	% "Unknown Loss"	15.2%	
33	(insert billing period dates at top of page) Number of Days in Period	0	
34	"Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day)	#DIV/0!	
35	"Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM)	#DIV/0!	
36	"Unknown Loss" Cost for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
07			
37	WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR PSC RATEMAN	AING PURPOSES	15.72%

Water Utility:			0		PWSID:	0	
For the M	lonth of:	February			Year:	2020	
	ling Period:		to		L		
1		ION COST PER T			nsert cost)		
2	PURCHAS	E COST PER THO	DUSAND	(ii	nsert cost)		
	WATER P	RODUCED or PUF	CHASED			GALLONS	
3	Water Proc				]		0.0%
4	Water Pure	chased				11,432,017	100.0%
5		то	TAL PRODUCE	D AND PURC	HASED	11,432,017	
6		тс	TAL COST #	#VALUE!			
	WATER SO				F		
7	Residential					9,107,900	
8	Commercia	al			ļ	272,800	
9	Industrial	e e Otetier				10.100	
10		ng Stations	0.01010mg ====='-'	l contracta at	<u>_</u>	12,100	
11 12	Wholesale	``	systems, special			1,491,000	
12 13	Public Auth Other Sale		epartments, public	e pools, parks	s, etc.)		
15							
14			тс		R SOLD	10,883,800	95.2%
15				WATER NO		548,217	4.8%
	BREAKDO	WN OF WATER L	JSAGE				
16	Water Trea	atment Plant					
17		er Treatment Plant					
18	System Flu		e and complaint f			134,500	#VALUE!
19	DBP Flush		tank overflows a	•			
20	Fire Depart	•	nented for firefigh	nting and train	ing)	29,000	#VALUE!
21	Other Usag	ge (explain)					
22				TOTAL		163,500	
		OWN OF WATER	130	TOTAL	UUAUL	100,000	
23		flows (other than fo			Г		
23	Main Line I		erm leakage durir		onth)	60,000	#VALUE!
25	Service Lin	, <b>j</b>	ed during current	•	,	30,000	
26		(calculated line lea	•	,	.)		
27		Damage Loss	(short term l		<i>,</i>		
28	Theft	(documented)	`	<i>c ,</i>	ľ		
					-		
29			OTAL DOCUME			60,000	
30	-	COS	ST OF DOCUME	NTED WATE	R LOST	#VALUE!	
	<b>"UNKNOW</b>	N LOSS" FLOW I	RATE AND COST				
31				"Unknov		324,717	
32				% "Unknov		2.8%	
33	(insert billing	period dates at top of p		ber of Days i		0	
34		"Unk	nown Loss" per E	• • •	• •	#DIV/0!	
35			"Unknown Los			#DIV/0!	
36			"Unknown	Loss" Cost fo	or Month	#VALUE!	
37		WATEDLOS					2 270/
37		WAIER LUS	J FERCENTAGE			1.	3.37%
						© 2019 🛛 🛋 Ker	ntucky Rural Water Ass

Water Uti	lity:		0			PWSID:	0	
For the M	lonth of:	Mai	·ch			Year:	2020	_ _
Bil	ling Period:			to				<b>_</b>
								_
1			PER THOUS			nsert cost)		_
2	PURCHAS	E COST PE	R THOUSA	ND	(ii	nsert cost)		
	WATER P	RODUCED	or PURCHA	SED			GALLON	S
3	Water Proc			-				0.0%
4	Water Pure	chased				-	12,156,97	100.0%
5				PRODUCED A	AND PURC	HASED	12,156,97	1
6			TOTAL	COST #VA	ALUE!			
7	WATER SO					Г	7 004 00	ন
7	Residential					-	7,631,60	
8 9	Commercia Industrial	ai				ŀ	243,50	<u> </u>
9 10	Bulk Loadi	ng Stations				-	12,20	0
10	Wholesale	•	water syste	ms, special co	ontracts et	c.)	1,198,90	
12	Public Auth	•	•	nents, public po			.,	-
13	Other Sale		、	···· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ··· ·		, <b>,</b>		
		-						
14							9,086,20	
15				TOTAL W	ATER NO	I SOLD	3,070,77	′1 <b>25.3%</b>
	BREAKDO	WN OF WA		E				
16		atment Plant		_		Γ		
17	Wastewate	er Treatment	Plant			_		-
18	System Flu	Ishing	(routine and	complaint flus	shing)		152,00	0 #VALUE!
19	DBP Flush	•	•	overflows and				
20	Fire Depart		(documente	d for firefighting	ng and train	ing)		_
21	Other Usag	ge (explain)						
22					TOTAL	USAGE	152,00	0
	BREAKDO	OWN OF W	ATER LOST	1				=
23				<sup>o</sup> maintenance	e)	Γ		ר
24	Main Line I	Breaks	(long term le	akage during	current mo	onth)		
25	Service Lin			ring current mo				_
26		•	-	, meter inaccu		.)		4
27		Damage Lo	·	short term leal	kage)	-		4
28	Theft	(documente	ea)					
29			TOTAL		ED WATE	R LOST		0
30				DOCUMENT			#VALUE	-
	"UNKNOW	/N LOSS" F	LOW RATE	AND COST:				
31					"Unknov	vn Loss"	2,918,77	1
32				Q	% "Unknov		24.09	
33	(insert billing	period dates a			er of Days i			0
34				Loss" per Day			#DIV/0!	
35			"U	nknown Loss"			#DIV/0!	
36				"Unknown Lo	oss" Cost fo	or Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
37								S 24.01%
31					UN FOUR			
						(	© 2019	Kentucky Rural Water A

Water Ut	tility:			0		PWSID:	0	
For the I	Month of:	A	oril			Year:	2020	
	illing Period:			to				
1			PER THOU			(insert cost)		
2	PURCHAS	SE COST P	ER THOUS	AND		(insert cost)		
			or PURCH	ASED			GALLONS	
3	Water Proc							0.0%
4	Water Pure	chased					11,988,342	100.0%
5					ED AND PU	RCHASED	11,988,342	
6			TOTAL	COST	#VALUE!			
7	WATER So Residentia						9,367,100	
8	Commercia	ai					248,500	
9	Industrial						11.000	
10		ng Stations				- 1 - )	14,300	
11	Wholesale	<b>`</b>			ial contracts,	,	1,478,500	
12	Public Auth		(fire depart	ments, pui	olic pools, pa	rks, etc.)		
13	Other Sale	s (explain)						
14					TOTAL WAT		11,108,400	92.7%
15				тот	AL WATER N		879,942	7.3%
			ATER USAG	GE		1		
16		atment Plan						
17		er Treatmer						
18	System Flu	-	(routine an					
19	DBP Flush	•	•		s and hydran	• ·		
20	Fire Depar		•	ed for firefi	ghting and tra	aining)		
21	Other Usag	ge (explain)						
22					ΤΟΤΑ	L USAGE	0	
	BREAKD	OWN OF W	ATER LOS	Т				
23	Tank Over	flows (othei	than for DE	3P mainter	ance)			
24	Main Line I	Breaks	(long term	leakage du	uring current i	month)		
25	Service Lin	ne Breaks	(repaired d	uring curre	ent month)			
26	Line Leaks	(calculated	l line leakag	e, meter ir	accuracies, e	etc.)		
27	Excavation	n Damage L	oss	(short terr	n leakage)			
28	Theft	(document	ed)					
20			тота				0	
29 30			-				0 #VALUE!	
30						IER LUSI	#VALUE!	
24	"UNKNOW	VN LOSS"	FLOW RAT	E AND CO			970 042	
31						nown Loss"	879,942	
32	(in early half)	- 1- المعاسمين		NI.		nown Loss"	7.3%	
33	(insert billing	period dates	at top of page)		umber of Day		0 #DIV//0I	
34					r Day (Gallon		#DIV/0!	
35					Loss" per Min		#DIV/0!	
36				UNKNOV	vn Loss" Cos	i for worth	#VALUE!	
37		WATE			GE FOR PSC	RATEMA	KING PURPOSES	7.34%
0.								

Water Util	ity:	0		PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of:	Мау		Year:	2020	
Bill	ing Period:		to			
1 2				(insert cost)		
2	PURCHASE CU	ST PER THOUSAN		(insert cost)		
	WATER PRODU	CED or PURCHAS	SED		GALLONS	
3	Water Produced					0.0%
4	Water Purchased	ł			13,195,075	100.0%
5				D PURCHASED	13,195,075	
6		TOTAL (	COST #VALI	JE!		
-	WATER SOLD				0 000 000	
7	Residential				9,393,900	
8 9	Commercial Industrial				265,600	
9 10	Bulk Loading Stat	tions			10,300	
10	_	(other water syster	ms special cont	racts atc.)	1,207,300	
12	Public Authorities		ents, public pool		1,207,300	
13	Other Sales (expl	· ·		is, parks, cto.)		
14			TOTAL	WATER SOLD	10,877,100	82.4%
15			TOTAL WAT	FER NOT SOLD	2,317,975	17.6%
			_			
4.0		F WATER USAGE	Ē		]	
16	Water Treatment					
17	Wastewater Trea		oomploint fluchir		459,400	#\/ALLIEI
18 19	System Flushing DBP Flushing		complaint flushir overflows and hy		458,400	#VALUE!
20	Fire Department	•	for firefighting a		0	
20	Other Usage (exp	•		and training)	0	
21	ether eeuge (exp					
22				TOTAL USAGE	458,400	
		OF WATER LOST				
23	```	other than for DBP	,			
24	Main Line Breaks	( U	akage during cu	,		
25	Service Line Brea		ing current mon			
26		ulated line leakage,			05.000	
27 28	Excavation Dama Theft (docu	mented)	short term leaka	ge)	25,000	#VALUE!
20	men (uocu	menteu)				
29		TOTAL	DOCUMENTED	WATER LOST	25,000	
30		COST OF	DOCUMENTED	WATER LOST	#VALUE!	
	UNKNOWN LO	SS" FLOW RATE	AND COST:			
31				"Unknown Loss"	1,834,575	
32			% '	"Unknown Loss"	13.9%	
33	(insert billing period of	dates at top of page)	Number o	of Days in Period	0	
34		"Unknown	Loss" per Day (C	Gallons per Day)	#DIV/0!	
35		"Ur		er Minute (GPM)	#DIV/0!	
36			"Unknown Loss	" Cost for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
07						44.000/
37	N	IATER LOSS PER	CENTAGE FOR	CPSC RATEMA	KING PURPOSES	14.09%

Water Util	ty:	0		PWSID:	0	
For the Mo	onth of:	June		Year:	2020	
Billi	ng Period:	1	to	L		
			_	r		
1	PRODUCTION COS		D	(insert cost)		
2	PURCHASE COST	PER INCUSAND		(insert cost)		
	WATER PRODUCE	D or PURCHASED	)		GALLONS	
3	Water Produced					0.0%
4	Water Purchased				15,752,632	100.0%
5		TOTAL PRO	DUCED AND PU	RCHASED	15,752,632	
6		TOTAL COS	ST #VALUE!			
_	WATER SOLD			r	44,000,000	
7	Residential				11,298,800	
8	Commercial				282,600	
9 10	Industrial Bulk Loading Station				12 600	
10	•	her water systems,	special contracts	oto)	13,600 1,474,300	
12	Public Authorities	•	s, public pools, pa		1,474,300	
13	Other Sales (explain	· ·	s, public pools, pa	iko, etc. <i>j</i>		
		.,				
14			TOTAL WAT		13,069,300	83.0%
15			TOTAL WATER N	IOT SOLD	2,683,332	17.0%
10	BREAKDOWN OF			r		
16	Water Treatment Pla					
17	Wastewater Treatme				101.000	#\/ALLIEI
18 19	System Flushing DBP Flushing	(routine and con	rflows and hydran	t fluching)	191,000 35,000	#VALUE! #VALUE!
19 20	Fire Department	•	firefighting and tra	<b>U</b> /	35,000	#VALUE!
20	Other Usage (explai		menyning and in	anniy)		
21	ether eeuge (explai					
22			ΤΟΤΑ	L USAGE	226,000	
	BREAKDOWN OF	WATER LOST		-		
23	Tank Overflows (oth		,			
24	Main Line Breaks		ge during current	month)		
25	Service Line Breaks					
26	Line Leaks (calculat	-		etc.)		
27	Excavation Damage	•	rt term leakage)			
28	Theft (docume	nted)		l		
29		TOTAL DO	CUMENTED WA	TER LOST	0	
30			CUMENTED WA		#VALUE!	
	<b>"UNKNOWN LOSS</b>	" FLOW RATE AN	D COST:			
31		/		nown Loss"	2,457,332	
32				nown Loss"	15.6%	
33	(insert billing period date	es at top of page)	Number of Day		0	
34			s" per Day (Gallor		#DIV/0!	
35			own Loss" per Mir	• • • •	#DIV/0!	
36		"Ur	nknown Loss" Cos	t for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
~-						
37	WAT	TER LOSS PERCE	NTAGE FOR PSC	RATEMA		15.60%

Water Util	ity: 0 PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of: July Year:	2020	
	ing Period: to		
1	PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost)		
2	PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND (insert cost)		
	WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED	GALLONS	
3	Water Produced		0.0%
4	Water Purchased	17,022,791	100.0%
5	TOTAL PRODUCED AND PURCHASED	17,022,791	
6	TOTAL COST #VALUE!		
_	WATER SOLD	40.050.000	
7	Residential	10,950,300	
8	Commercial	386,500	
9 10	Industrial Bulk Londing Stations	13,600	
10	Bulk Loading Stations Wholesale (other water systems, special contracts, etc.)	1,133,600	
12	Public Authorities (fire departments, public pools, parks, etc.)	1,133,000	
13	Other Sales (explain)		
10			
14	TOTAL WATER SOLD	12,484,000	73.3%
15	TOTAL WATER NOT SOLD	4,538,791	26.7%
4.0	BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE	1	
16	Water Treatment Plant		
17	Wastewater Treatment Plant	400.000	#VALUE!
18 19	System Flushing(routine and complaint flushing)DBP Flushing(forced tank overflows and hydrant flushing)	466,000 50,000	#VALUE! #VALUE!
20	Fire Department (documented for firefighting and training)	50,000	#VALUE!
20	Other Usage (explain)		
21			
22	TOTAL USAGE	516,000	
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST		
23	Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance)		
24	Main Line Breaks (long term leakage during current month)		
25	Service Line Breaks (repaired during current month)	30,000	#VALUE!
26	Line Leaks (calculated line leakage, meter inaccuracies, etc.)	150,000	#VALUE!
27	Excavation Damage Loss (short term leakage)		
28	Theft (documented)		
29	TOTAL DOCUMENTED WATER LOST	180,000	
30	COST OF DOCUMENTED WATER LOST	#VALUE!	
	"UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST:		
31	"Unknown Loss"	3,842,791	
32	% "Unknown Loss"	22.6%	
33	(insert billing period dates at top of page) Number of Days in Period	0	
34	"Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallons per Day)	#DIV/0!	
35	"Unknown Loss" per Minute (GPM)	#DIV/0!	
36	"Unknown Loss" Cost for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
37	WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR PSC RATEMAN		23.63%

Water Utility:			0			PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of:	Aug	gust			Year:	2020	
Bill	ing Period:			to				
1			PER THOUS			(insert cost)		
2	PURCHAS	E COST PI	ER THOUSAN	ND		(insert cost)		
	WATER PF	RODUCED	or PURCHAS	SED			GALLONS	
3	Water Proc							0.0%
4	Water Purc	chased					14,818,781	100.0%
5					ED AND PUF	RCHASED	14,818,781	
6			TOTAL C	COST	#VALUE!			
_	WATER SC							
7	Residential						12,292,700	
8	Commercia	al					407,000	
9	Industrial	0					04.000	
10	Bulk Loadir Wholesale	•				ata )	21,300	
11 12	Public Auth	``	r water syster (fire departm	•			745,400	
12	Other Sales		(ine departin	enis, pub	nic pools, pai	KS, elc.)		
10	Other Oules							
14				-	TOTAL WAT	ER SOLD	13,466,400	90.9%
15				ΤΟΤΑ	L WATER N	OT SOLD	1,352,381	9.1%
			ATER USAGE					
16	Water Trea							
17	Wastewate				(flue la la su)		000.000	
18 19	System Flu DBP Flushi	-	(routine and (forced tank)			fluching)	290,000	#VALUE!
19 20	Fire Depart	•	(documented		•	0,	12,000	#VALUE!
20	Other Usag		•		griding and the	an in ig)	12,000	#VALUE:
		<b>Je</b> (e)(p)(a)()						
22					ΤΟΤΑ	L USAGE	302,000	
	BREAKDO	OWN OF W	ATER LOST					
23		•	than for DBP		,			
24	Main Line E		(long term lea	-	-	nonth)	150,000	#VALUE!
25	Service Lin		(repaired dur				25,000	#VALUE!
26		•	line leakage,			etc.)		
27 28	Excavation Theft	document	•	snort term	n leakage)			
20	men	(uocument	eu)			_		
29			TOTAL	DOCUM	ENTED WAT	ER LOST	175,000	
30					ENTED WAT		<b>#VALUE!</b>	
	"UNKNOW	/N LOSS" F	LOW RATE		ST:			
31						own Loss"	875,381	
32						own Loss"	5.9%	
33	(insert billing	period dates a	at top of page)	Nu	mber of Day		0	
34					· Day (Gallon		#DIV/0!	
35			"Ur	nknown L	.oss" per Min	ute (GPM)	#DIV/0!	
36				"Unknow	n Loss" Cost	for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
<b>6</b> -			D 1 000 DE-					
37		WATE	K LUSS PER	CENTAG	E FOR PSC	KAIEMA	KING PURPOSES	7.09%

Water Utility:		0		PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of:	September		Year:	2020	
	ing Period:	to	0		2020	
	BB 6 B · · · · -					
1 2		TION COST PER THOUSAND		(insert cost)		
2	PURCHAS	E COST PER THOUSAND		(insert cost)		
	WATER P	RODUCED or PURCHASED			GALLONS	
3	Water Proc					0.0%
4	Water Purc			[	14,088,981	100.0%
5 6		TOTAL PROI TOTAL COS	DUCED AND PUR T #VALUE!	CHASED	14,088,981	
0	WATER SO		#VALUE:			
7	Residential			Γ	10,008,300	
8	Commercia			ľ	379,300	
9	Industrial			Ī		
10	Bulk Loadii	ng Stations			9,000	
11	Wholesale		•	· ·	1,759,300	
12	Public Auth	· ·	, public pools, park	(s, etc.)		
13	Other Sale	s (explain)				
14			TOTAL WATE	ER SOLD	12,155,900	86.3%
15		T	TOTAL WATER NO	OT SOLD	1,933,081	13.7%
4.0		OWN OF WATER USAGE		г		
16		atment Plant		-		
17 18	System Flu	er Treatment Plant ushing (routine and com	plaint fluching)	-	200,500	#VALUE!
18	DBP Flush		flows and hydrant f	(lushina)	200,300	#VALUE!
20	Fire Depart		firefighting and trai			
21		ge (explain)	5 5 5	5/		
					000 500	
22			TOTAL	USAGE	200,500	
00		OWN OF WATER LOST	intonon)	г	ı	
23 24	Main Line	flows (other than for DBP mai	,	onth)		
24 25	Service Line		ge during current m			
26		calculated line leakage, met	,	c.)	106,000	#VALUE!
27			t term leakage)	- /	,	
28	Theft	(documented)	Ç ,			
29 30			CUMENTED WAT		106,000 #VALUE!	
					#VALUE:	
31	UNKNOW	VN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND		wn Loss"	1,626,581	
31			% "Unkno		1,020,501 11.5%	
33	(insert billing	period dates at top of page)	Number of Days		0	
34			s" per Day (Gallons		#DIV/0!	
35			own Loss" per Minu	• • •	#DIV/0!	
36			known Loss" Cost		<b>#VALUE!</b>	
37		WATER LOSS PERCEN	NTAGE FOR PSC	RATEMA		12.30%
					© 2019 🛛 🛋 Ker	ntucky Rural Water Asso

Water Utility:			0			PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of:	Octo	ber			Year:	2020	
Bill	ing Period:			to				
1			PER THOUS			(insert cost)		
2	PURCHAS	E COST PE	R THOUSAN	ID		(insert cost)		
	WATER PF		or PURCHAS	SED			GALLONS	
3	Water Proc							0.0%
4	Water Purc	chased					13,899,274	100.0%
5			TOTAL P	RODUCE	ED AND PUF	RCHASED	13,899,274	
6			TOTAL C	COST	#VALUE!			
	WATER SC							
7	Residential						9,970,800	
8	Commercia	al					412,000	
9	Industrial							
10	Bulk Loadir	•					12,800	
11	Wholesale	``	water syster	•			1,252,700	
12	Public Auth		(fire departm	ents, pub	lic pools, par	ks, etc.)		
13	Other Sales	s (explain)						
14				-	TOTAL WAT		11,648,300	83.8%
14					L WATER N		2,250,974	16.2%
							_,,	
	BREAKDO	WN OF WA	TER USAGE					
16	Water Trea	atment Plant						
17	Wastewate	r Treatment	Plant					
18	System Flu	ishing	(routine and o	complaint	flushing)		428,700	#VALUE!
19	DBP Flushi	ing	(forced tank o	overflows	and hydrant	flushing)		
20	Fire Depart	tment	(documented	l for firefig	phting and tra	lining)		
21	Other Usag	ge (explain)						
22					τοται	L USAGE	428,700	
			TERLOOT			L OOAGE	420,700	
22		OWN OF WA		maintana				
23 24	Main Line E	•	than for DBP (long term lea		,	oonth)	00.000	#VALUE!
24 25	Service Line		(repaired dur	•	•	ionui)	90,000 60,000	#VALUE! #VALUE!
26			line leakage,			tc)	00,000	#VALUE:
27		Damage Lo	•		leakage)			
28	Theft	(documente	•		licallage)			
20	mon	(accumente)	(4)					
29			TOTAL	DOCUM	ENTED WAT	ER LOST	150,000	
30			COST OF	DOCUM	ENTED WAT	ER LOST	#VALUE!	
	"UNKNOW	/N LOSS" F	LOW RATE		ST:			
31						own Loss"	1,672,274	
32						own Loss"	12.0%	
33	(insert billing	period dates a	top of page)	Nu	mber of Days		0	
34					Day (Gallon		#DIV/0!	
35					oss" per Min		#DIV/0!	
36				"Unknow	n Loss" Cost	for Month	<b>#VALUE!</b>	
				_				
37		WATER	R LOSS PER	CENTAG	E FOR PSC	RATEMA	KING PURPOSES	13.11%

Water Util	ity: 0	PWSID:	0	
For the M	onth of: November	Year:	2020	
Bill	ing Period: to	L		
		r		
1	PRODUCTION COST PER THOUSAND	(insert cost)		
2	PURCHASE COST PER THOUSAND	(insert cost)		
	WATER PRODUCED or PURCHASED		GALLONS	
3	Water Produced	[		0.0%
4	Water Purchased		13,802,650	100.0%
5	TOTAL PRODUCED AND PU	RCHASED	13,802,650	
6	TOTAL COST #VALUE!			
-	WATER SOLD	г	0.454.700	
7	Residential		8,151,700	
8	Commercial		332,300	
9	Industrial		0.400	
10 11	Bulk Loading Stations Wholesale (other water systems, special contracts,	oto)	9,100 1,136,500	
12	Public Authorities (fire departments, public pools, par		1,130,300	
12	Other Sales (explain)	K3, El0. <i>j</i>		
10				
14	TOTAL WAT	ER SOLD	9,629,600	69.8%
15	TOTAL WATER N	IOT SOLD	4,173,050	30.2%
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER USAGE	r		
16	Water Treatment Plant			
17	Wastewater Treatment Plant		470.000	
18	System Flushing (routine and complaint flushing)	fluching)	178,000	#VALUE!
19 20	DBP Flushing(forced tank overflows and hydrantFire Department(documented for firefighting and tra		6.000	#VALUE!
20	Other Usage (explain)	anning)	6,000	#VALUE!
21				
22	τοτα	L USAGE	184,000	
	BREAKDOWN OF WATER LOST			
23	Tank Overflows (other than for DBP maintenance)		58,000	#VALUE!
24	Main Line Breaks (long term leakage during current r	month)		
25	Service Line Breaks (repaired during current month)		4,000	#VALUE!
26	Line Leaks (calculated line leakage, meter inaccuracies, e	etc.)		
27	Excavation Damage Loss (short term leakage)		0	
28	Theft (documented)	l	0	
29	TOTAL DOCUMENTED WAT	FER LOST	62,000	
30	COST OF DOCUMENTED WAT		#VALUE!	
	"UNKNOWN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND COST:			
31		own Loss"	3,927,050	
32		own Loss"	28.5%	
33	(insert billing period dates at top of page) Number of Day	s in Period	0	
34	"Unknown Loss" per Day (Gallon	s per Day)	#DIV/0!	
35	"Unknown Loss" per Min		#DIV/0!	
36	"Unknown Loss" Cos	t for Month	#VALUE!	
27				20.000/
37	WATER LOSS PERCENTAGE FOR PSC		VING PURPUSES	<b>28.90%</b>

Water Utility:		0	PWSID:	0	
For the N	Ionth of:	December	Year:	2020	
Bil	ling Period:	to			
1	BBODUCT	TION COST PER THOUSAND	(incent cost)		
1 2		SE COST PER THOUSAND	(insert cost) (insert cost)		
			(		
		RODUCED or PURCHASED		GALLONS	
3	Water Proc			14 020 284	0.0%
4 5	Water Pure		ED AND PURCHASED	14,030,384 14,030,384	100.0%
6		TOTAL COST	#VALUE!	14,000,004	
	WATER S				
7	Residentia	I		9,144,200	
8	Commercia	al		390,300	
9	Industrial				
10		ng Stations	vial contracto ata	9,800	
11 12	Wholesale Public Auth		cial contracts, etc.) blic pools, parks, etc.)	1,185,700	
12	Other Sale		ulic pools, parks, etc.)		
10					
14			TOTAL WATER SOLD	10,730,000	76.5%
15		тот	AL WATER NOT SOLD	3,300,384	23.5%
40		OWN OF WATER USAGE			
16 17		atment Plant er Treatment Plant			
18	System Flu		nt flushing)	56,000	#VALUE!
19	DBP Flush		s and hydrant flushing)	00,000	
20	Fire Depar	•			
21	Other Usag	ge (explain)			
22			TOTAL USAGE	56,000	
			TOTAL USAGE	56,000	
23		OWN OF WATER LOST flows (other than for DBP mainter		]	
23 24	Main Line I	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
25	Service Lin			60,000	#VALUE!
26		calculated line leakage, meter ir	,	· · · ·	
27		•	m leakage)		
28	Theft	(documented)	l		
20				60.000	
29 30			IENTED WATER LOST	60,000 #VALUE!	
31		VN LOSS" FLOW RATE AND CC	"Unknown Loss"	3,184,384	
32	1		% "Unknown Loss"	<b>22.7%</b>	
33	(insert billing	period dates at top of page) N	umber of Days in Period	0	
34			er Day (Gallons per Day)	#DIV/0!	
35	1	"Unknown	Loss" per Minute (GPM)	#DIV/0!	
36	1	"Unknov	wn Loss" Cost for Month	#VALUE!	
07					00 400/
37		WATER LOSS PERCENTA	GE FOR PSC RATEMA		23.12%
				© 2019 Ker	ntucky Rural Water Ass

## PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Annual Water Loss Report

tility:		0	PWSID:	0
			Year:	2020
			GALLONS	(Omit 000's)
		HASED, & DISTRIBUTED		0
Water P				0
water P	urchased			164,621
	TOTAL WA	TER PRODUCED AND PU	IRCHASED	164,621
WATER				
Residen				115,918
Commer				3,860
Industria				3,000 0
	ding Stations			150
Wholesa				15,426
	uthorities			0,420
	ales (explain)			0
		TOTAL WAT	ER SALES	135,354
				,
OTHER	WATER USED			
	d/or Water Treatmen	nt Plant		0
	ater Plant			0
System	Flushing			2,904
Fire Dep	artment			47
Other Us	sage (explain)			0
	- · · · · <u></u>	TOTAL OTHER WA	TER USED	2,951
<u>.</u>				
WATER				
Tank Ov				58
Line Bre				549
Line Lea	ks			179
	on Damages			256
				25
				20
Excavati Theft	oss (explain)	Unknown Loss		25 25,249 <b>26,316</b>

## EXHIBIT E

#### SCHEDULE OF CURRENT AND PROPOSED RATES

## **Current Rates**

First2,000 gallons\$ 27.85 Minimum billNext38,000 gallons\$ 10.63 per 1,000 gallonsAll over 40,000 gallons\$ 8.43 per 1,000 gallonsWholesale Rate\$ 4.04 per 1,000 gallonsBulk Sales Loading Station\$ 9.50 per 1,000 gallons

### **Proposed Rates**

First 2,000 gallons Next 38,000 gallons All over 40,000 gallons Wholesale Rate Bulk Sales Loading Station \$ 28.21 Minimum bill
\$ 10.81 per 1,000 gallons
\$ 8.61 per 1,000 gallons
\$ 4.22 per 1,000 gallons
\$ 9.75 per 1,000 gallons

## EXHIBIT F

RESOLUTION OF BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AUTHORIZING ADJUSTMENT

#### **RESOLUTION 2021-02-03**

## A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT ADJUSTING WATER RATES AND CHARGES TO OFFSET THE CITY OF AUGUSTA'S WHOLESALE RATE INCREASE

WHEREAS, the City of Augusta ("Augusta") is Bracken County Water District's primary wholesale water supplier;

WHEREAS, on July 29, 2020, Augusta filed with the Kentucky Public Service Commission notice of an increase in its wholesale water rate from \$2.35 per 1,000 gallons to \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons effective September 1, 2020;

WHEREAS, on August 25, 2020, the Kentucky Public Service Commission suspended Augusta's proposed wholesale rate adjustment for a period of five months until February 1, 2021, and initiated an investigation into the reasonableness of the proposed wholesale rate;

WHEREAS, as of February 1, 2021, the Kentucky Public Service Commission had not completed its investigation,

WHEREAS, on January 27, 2021, Augusta gave notice to the Kentucky Public Service Commission of its intent to place the proposed wholesale rate into effect on February 1, 2021 subject to refund pursuant to KRS 278.190(3);

WHEREAS, based upon the water purchases from Augusta during the previous 12 months, the annual increased cost to Bracken County Water District will be approximately \$24,021.18;

WHEREAS, prudent financial management dictates that Bracken County Water District take immediate action to adjust its retail rates commensurate with Augusta's wholesale rate increase; and

WHEREAS, KRS 278.015 and 807 KAR 5:068 provide the legal mechanism for Bracken County Water District to increase its water rates commensurate with Augusta's wholesale rate increase via a Purchased Water Adjustment ("PWA");

## NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. The facts, recitals, and statements contained in the foregoing preamble of this Resolution are true and correct and are hereby affirmed and incorporated as a part of this Resolution.

Section 2. The PWA factor is \$0.18 per 1,000 gallons;

Section 3. All tiers of all meter sizes of Bracken County Water District's existing tariff shall be increased by \$0.18 per 1,000 gallons, effective February 13, 2021, subject to any minor adjustment that may be made by the Kentucky Public Service Commission.

Section 4. The monthly water rates to be charged to and collected from the customers and users of Bracken County Water District's water system shall be as set forth in Appendix A, which is attached hereto and is incorporated herein by reference as a part of this Resolution. These monthly rates and charges shall be in effect for all water service rendered on and after February 13, 2021.

Section 5. The Chairman, all appropriate Staff, and Bracken County Water District's attorney are hereby further authorized and directed to take any and all other actions and to execute and deliver any and all other documents as may be reasonably necessary to implement the PWA.

Section 6. This Resolution shall take effect upon its adoption.

ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT at a meeting held on February 3, 2021 signed by the Chairman, and attested by the Secretary.

Anthony Habermehl. Chairman

ATTEST:

Timothy Sweeney, Secretary

#### **APPENDIX A** APPENDIX TO A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF **BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT DATED FEBRUARY 3, 2021** .

## **RATES FOR WATER SERVICE PROVIDED BY BRACKEN COUNTY WATER DISTRICT ON AND AFTER FEBRUARY 13, 2021**

2,000 gallons First 38,000 gallons Next All over 40,000 gallons

Wholesale Rate Bulk Sales Loading Station \$ 28.21 Minimum bill

\$ 10.81 per 1,000 gallons

8.61 per 1,000 gallons \$

\$ 4.22 per 1,000 gallons\$ 9.75 per 1,000 gallons

#### CERTIFICATION

I, Secretary for the Board of Commissioners of Bracken County Water District ("the District"), do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true copy of a Resolution duly adopted by the Board of Commissioners of the District at a meeting properly held on February 3, 2021, signed by the Chairman of the District's Board of Commissioners, and attested by me as Secretary. The Resolution is now in full force and effect.

WITNESS my hand this 3rd day of February, 2021.

Timothy buceney Timothy Sweeney, Secretary