1		COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
2		BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5	TAI (1917 T. 2. 4.	
6	IN THE MA	ATTER OF:
7	ADDITO	NOV OF VIEW OF THE COLUMN TO T
8		TION OF KENERGY CORP. FOR A) CASE NO.
9	WAIVERP	PURSUANT TO KRS 278.2219) 2020-00215
10		
11		
12 13		VENERCY CORD IS SURDI EMENTAL DESPONSES TO
14	COM	KENERGY CORP.'S SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSES TO
15	CON	IMISSION'S STAFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
16	Kene	rgy Corp. ("Kenergy"), by counsel, submits the following supplemental
17	ixelle	rgy corp. (Renergy), by counsel, sublints the following supplemental
18	responses to	Staff Data Requests 3 & 5:
19	responses to	Staff Data Requests 3 & 5.
20	Item 3)	Refer to the Application, page 4.
21	20022	a. State the source of funds for the installation of the fiber network.
		an state the source of faines for the installation of the floor network.
22	RESPONSE	, and the second of the second field of the se
23		borrowing, government subsidy revenue (referenced in the application as
24		"grant funds", and eventually Kenect operating cash flow.
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32	T4 5)	
33	Item 5)	Refer to the Application, pages 5-6. Explain in detail and with specificity
34		how the provisions of KRS 278.2201 to 278.2713 are impracticable or
35		unreasonable as applied to the circumstances which are the subject of this
36 27		proceeding.
37 38	PECDONCE	There are two avenues for Vancet to startum Einst Warren
39	KESI UNSE	There are two avenues for Kenect to startup. First, Kenergy can guarantee a letter of credit that Kenect peeds to obtain funding. The alternative is that
40		letter of credit that Kenect needs to obtain funding. The alternative is that
41		Kenergy can invest \$3,000,000 of its equity in Kenect to enable Kenect to obtain its own letter of credit from CoBank.
42		obtain its own letter of elegit from Cobank.

The first alternative involves placing Kenergy's assets at risk to support the letter of credit guarantee. From an accounting standpoint, a letter of credit guarantee is not on the balance sheet. It is an inchoate loan. While no assets are affected by the guarantee, in the worst case scenario, then Kenergy must be prepared to satisfy the letter of credit should Kenect fail.

The second alternative involves placing \$3,000,000 in current assets (cash) into Kenect. While not a loan, it does transfer \$3,000,000 of Kenergy's equity to Kenect.

While Kenergy expects to fully recoup its investment in Kenect, either scenario presents at least a theoretical initial subsidy by Kenergy into Kenect. Because KRS 278.2201 bars the subsidy of a non-regulated venture by a regulated utility, a waiver is sought.

Additionally, KRS 278.2213 states that "[a] utility shall not enter into any arrangements for financing nonregulated activities through an affiliate that would permit a creditor upon default to have recourse to the assets of the utility." Kenergy needs a waiver from this under the Kenergy letter of credit guarantee scenario because, theoretically, Kenergy's assets are necessary to support the parent letter of credit guarantee

Both KRS 278.2201 and KRS 278.2213 are impractical and unreasonable obstacles to Kenergy providing broadband service through a subsidiary. In order for Kenect to become viable, Kenergy must prime the pump with either a cash infusion or by using Kenergy's financial wherewithal to enable Kenect to obtain the credit support it needs to obtain government subsidies. Depending upon the success of Kenect, the subsidy might not be long term, but there is no practical way to establish a subsidiary without either infusing cash (a subsidy) or guaranteeing a letter of credit (both a subsidy and placing the assets of Kenergy subject to recourse). Per KRS 278.2219(1), the need for the waiver or deviation is that there is no practical or reasonable way for Kenect to develop the broadband project without Kenergy's initial assistance.

The cost and benefit of Kenergy's compliance under KRS 278.2219(2) is best answered in the negative. The cost of strict compliance with KRS 278.2201 and 278.2213 is the loss of the opportunity to obtain significant subsidies and supply needed broadband service. Kenergy does not believe it can explore broadband through a subsidiary in compliance with KRS 278.2201 and KRS 278.2213; consequently, there is no benefit to compliance. The benefit to the waiver or deviation is stated in these responses and in the plethora of public comment received in support of the Application.

2

8

10

11

12 13

202122

23

19

2425

2627

28

29

30

WITNESS) J. Christopher Hopgood

forward on the project.

3

Neither "deviation" nor "waiver" are defined terms in KRS 278. To the

extent a deviation is more appropriate than a waiver, then Kenergy requests

a deviation as an alternative plea of relief. Kenergy's immediate need is

simply to be able to help Kenect obtain the letter of credit necessary to move

Regulated cooperatives providing broadband through a subsidiary in

Kentucky is a question of first impression and Kenergy submits that

For clarity, statutory areas where no waiver is needed are as follows. In

calculating the Kenect lease payment, services and products provided to

Kenect by Kenergy pursuant to a tariff will be at the tariffed rate, with

nontariffed items priced at the Kenergy's fully distributed cost but in no

event less than market, or in compliance with the utility's existing USDA,

SEC, or FERC approved cost allocation methodology. Services and

products provided to Kenergy by Kenect will be priced at the affiliate's fully

distributed cost but in no event greater than market or in compliance with

the utility's existing USDA, SEC, or FERC approved cost allocation

methodology. Kenergy will not provide any type of undue preferential

treatment to a nonregulated affiliate to the detriment of a competitor.

thorough vetting by the Commission of this proposal is appropriate.

1			
2	Respectfully submitted,		
3			
4	DORSEY, GRAY, NORMENT & HOPGOOD		
5	318 Second Street		
6	Henderson, KY 42420		
7	Telephone (270) 826-3965		
8	Telefax (270) 826-6672		
9	Attorneys for Kenergy Corp. //		
10	Alle A		
11	By		
12	J. Christopher Hopgood		
13	chopgood@dkgnlaw.com		
14			
15			
16	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE		
17			
18			
19	I hereby certify that the foregoing was served by electronic filing to the		
20	Kentucky Public Service Commission, 211 Sower Blvd., Frankfort, KY 40602 with a copy		
21	served electronically to the Kentucky Attorney General, Office of Rate Intervention, 700		
22	Capital Avenue, Suite 20, Frankfort, KY 40601-8204, on this day of September		
23	2020.		
24			
25	(lun) or		
26			
27	Counsel for Kenergy Corp.		
28			

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:)	
)	
ELECTRONIC)	
APPLICATION OF KENERGY CORP.)	
FOR A WAIVER PURSUANT)	
TO KRS 278.2219) CASE No. 2020-00215	

VERIFICATION

(Supplement to Staff Data Requests – Items 3 & 5)

I verify, state and affirm that the data requests responses attached hereto and filed with this verification are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry, and I ask that I be added as a witness for this information.

J. Christopher Hopgood

STATE OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF HENDERSON

The foregoing was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me by J. CHRISTOPHER HOPGOOD this 2nd day of September, 2020.

My commission expires

lotary Public, State of Kentucky at Large

TO #KYNPIILELE