### **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

### **BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC	)	
SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL	)	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS	)	CASE NO.
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH	)	2020-00171
<b>BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2019</b>	)	

### DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

### ANDREA M. FACKLER MANAGER, REVENUE REQUIREMENT/COST OF SERVICE LG&E AND KU SERVICES COMPANY

Filed: JULY 8, 2020

#### VERIFICATION

### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

The undersigned, Andrea M. Fackler, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Manager - Revenue Requirement/Cost of Service for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.

Andrea M. Fadeler

Andrea M. Fackler

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County

and State, this / day of \_\_\_\_\_\_ 2020.

Jelly Schooler Notary Public (SEAL)

Notary Public, ID No. 603967

My Commission Expires:

7/11/2022

1

Q.

#### Please state your name, title, and business address.

A. My name is Andrea M. Fackler. I am the Manager, Revenue Requirement/Cost of
Service for Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E" or "Company") and an
employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, which provides services to LG&E.
My business address is 220 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky, 40202. A
complete statement of my education and work experience is attached to this testimony
as Appendix A.

### 8 Q. Have you previously testified before this or any other Commission?

9 A. Yes. I submitted testimony and sponsored data responses to the Kentucky Public
10 Service Commission ("Commission") regarding LG&E's and its sister utility Kentucky
11 Utilities Company's ("KU") 2020 ECR Plan filings<sup>1</sup>. I also sponsored data responses
12 to the Commission for LG&E's and KU's most recent fuel adjustment clause six-month
13 review cases<sup>2</sup> and LG&E's annual Gas Line Tracker filing<sup>3</sup>, was responsible for data
14 and information provided to the Commission for LG&E's and KU's data responses in
15 a variety of proceedings over the last four years, and submitted testimony to and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Approval an Amended 2020 Environmental Compliance Plan and a Revised Environmental Surcharge, Case No. 2020-00061, Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated May 6, 2020 (Ky. PSC May 22, 2020); Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for Approval of its 2020 Compliance Plan for Recovery by Environmental Surcharge, Case No. 2020-00060, Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated May 6, 2020 (Ky. PSC May 22, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Louisville Gas and Electric Company from May 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019, Case No. 2020-00007, Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated February 11, 2020 (Ky. PSC Feb. 25, 2020); An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from May 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019, Case No. 2020-00006, Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated February 11, 2020 (Ky. PSC Feb. 25, 2020); An Examination of the Application of the Fuel Adjustment Clause of Kentucky Utilities Company from May 1, 2019 to October 31, 2019, Case No. 2020-00006, Response of Kentucky Utilities Company to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated February 11, 2020 (Ky. PSC Feb. 25, 2020).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Approval of Revised Rates to be Recovered Through Its Gas Line Tracker, Case No. 2020-00032, Response of Louisville Gas and Electric Company to Commission Staff's First Request for Information Dated April 7, 2020 (Ky. PSC April 17, 2020).

sponsored data responses to the Virginia State Corporation Commission regarding
 KU's 2020 Levelized Fuel Factor filing<sup>4</sup>.

3

#### Q. What is the purpose of this proceeding?

A. The purpose of this proceeding is to review the past operation of LG&E's
environmental surcharge during the six-month billing period ending October 31, 2019
and determine whether the surcharge amounts collected during the period are just and
reasonable.

8 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

A. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the operation of LG&E's environmental surcharge during the billing period under review, demonstrate that the amount collected during the period was just and reasonable, present and discuss LG&E's proposed adjustment to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement based on the operation of the surcharge during the period and explain how the environmental surcharge factors were calculated during the period under review.

### Q. Please summarize the operation of the environmental surcharge for the billing period included in this review.

A. LG&E billed an environmental surcharge to its customers from May 1, 2019 through
October 31, 2019. For purposes of the Commission's examination in this case, the
monthly LG&E environmental surcharges are considered as of the six-month billing
period ending October 31, 2019. In each month of the six-month period under review
in this proceeding, LG&E calculated the environmental surcharge factors in accordance
with its ECR Tariff and the requirements of the Commission's previous orders

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Application of Kentucky Utilities Company d/b/a Old Dominion Power Company to Revise Its Fuel Factor, Case No. PUR-2020-00029, Direct Testimony of Andrea M. Fackler (Va. SCC filed Feb. 14, 2020).

concerning LG&E's environmental surcharge. The calculations were made in
 accordance with the Commission-approved monthly forms and filed with the
 Commission ten days before the new monthly factor was billed by the Company.

### 4 Q. What costs were included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge 5 factors for the billing period under review?

A. The capital and operating costs included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge factors for the six-month billing period under review were the costs incurred each month by LG&E from March 2019 through August 2019, as detailed in the attachment to the response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff's First Request for Information, incorporating all required revisions.

11 The monthly environmental surcharge factors applied during the billing period 12 under review were calculated consistent with the Commission's Orders in LG&E's 13 previous applications to assess or amend its environmental surcharge mechanism and 14 plan, as well as, Orders issued in previous review cases. The monthly environmental 15 surcharge reports filed with the Commission during this time reflect the various 16 changes to the reporting forms ordered by the Commission from time to time.

### 17 Q. Please describe the most recently approved changes to LG&E's ECR Compliance 18 Plan.

A. In Case No. 2016-00027, the Commission approved LG&E's 2016 ECR Compliance
Plan that included three new projects and associated operation and maintenance costs.
Pursuant to the Commission's August 8, 2016 Order approving the Settlement
Agreement in Case No. 2016-00027, LG&E began including the approved projects in
the monthly filing for the August 2016 expense month that was billed in October 2016

3

1

2

with separate authorized rates of return for the Pre-2016 and 2016 ECR Compliance Plans.

# Q. Please describe the most recently approved changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism and the monthly ES forms.

- 5 A. There were two changes approved in Case No. 2019-00015.
- First, the Commission increased the return on equity to be used in the monthly
  environmental surcharge filings to 9.725% for all ECR Plans. Pursuant to the
  Commission's April 30, 2019 Order, the changes were implemented with the April
  2019 expense month that was billed in June 2019.
- Second, the Commission approved a form change on ES Form 2.00 which
  eliminated the section for Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales.

Q. Is LG&E proposing any changes to its Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge
 tariff?

- 14 A. No. There are no needed changes to the Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge tariff.
- Q. Is LG&E proposing any changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism and
  the monthly ES forms?
- 17 A. No, there are currently no needed changes to the ES Forms.

Q. Are there any changes or adjustments in Operating Expenses from the originally
 filed expense months?

A. Yes. For the period under review, there are two updates to Operating Expenses that
are reflected in the attachment to the response to Question No. 2 of Commission Staff's
First Request for Information. The first update relates to \$271,331 in expenses for
account 512107 - Landfill Maintenance, which is related to the coal combustion

residuals treatment facility ("CCRT") at Trimble County, that were inadvertently 1 2 excluded from ES FORM 2.50 for expense months April through August 2019 of the 3 period under review. When the error was discovered, the expenses were included in the December 2019 expense month filing. Because the monthly revenue requirement 4 5 impacts were not significant (total understated jurisdictional E(m) was \$266,643 for 6 April through August 2019 and total overstated jurisdictional E(m) for December 2019 7 when corrected was \$262,995), LG&E did not restate the prior months' ECR filings and instead is correcting them in this review case. The impact of this issue on operating 8 9 expenses and the cash working capital component of rate base is reflected in the 10 attachments to the responses to Question Nos. 1 and 2.

The second update relates to the issue identified by Commission Staff in 11 Question No. 7 of Commission Staff's First Request for Information. As noted in the 12 response, certain costs related to the settlement of CCR ARO liabilities were 13 14 inadvertently included in the Accumulated CCR Closure Costs in the March 2019 expense month filing, which impacted the calculation of the March amortization 15 expense. When the CCR closure costs and amortization expense were corrected in 16 17 April 2019, the filing included the impact of the correction twice – once in the prior month accumulated amortization and once in the current month amortization expense. 18 19 The May 2019 expense month filing was correct but still did not tie to the previous 20 month's filing due to the error in the April 2019 filing. Because the monthly revenue 21 requirement impacts were not significant (total understated jurisdictional E(m) was 22 \$32,214 for March 2019 and April 2019), LG&E did not restate the prior months' ECR 23 filings and instead is correcting them in this review case. The impact of this issue on

	operating expenses and the net unamortized closure cost balances component of rate
	base is reflected in the attachments to the responses to Question Nos. 1 and 2.
Q.	Are there any changes or adjustments in Rate Base from the originally filed
	expense months?
A.	Yes. As discussed previously, both issues impacted rate base as well. The impact on
	rate base is reflected in the attachments to the responses to Question Nos. 1 and 2. No
	other changes or adjustments in rate base from the originally filed expense months is
	necessary for the period under review.
Q.	Are there any other changes necessary to the jurisdictional revenue requirement
	(E(m))?
A.	Yes. Adjustments to E(m) are necessary for compliance with the Commission's Order
	in Case No. 2000-00386, to reflect the actual changes in the overall rate of return on
	capital that is used in the determination of the return on environmental rate base.
	Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement approving the 2011 ECR
	Plan, LG&E calculated the short- and long-term debt rate using average daily balances
	and daily interest rates in the calculation of the overall rate of return true-up adjustment
	for the six-month expense period ending August 31, 2019. For the March 2019 expense
	month, the weighted average cost of capital was based on the average daily balances as
	of March 31, 2019 and the 9.700% authorized return on equity for all Plan projects.
	For the April 2019 through August 2019 expense months, the weighted average cost of
	capital was based on the average daily balances as of August 31, 2019 and the 9.725%
	return on equity for all Plan projects. The details of and support for these calculations
	А. <b>Q.</b>

- are shown in the attachment to LG&E's response to Question No. 1 of the Commission
   Staff's First Request for Information.
- 3 Q. Are there any other corrections to information provided in the monthly filings
  4 during the billing period under review?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. As a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing
  7 period under review, is an adjustment to the revenue requirement necessary?
- A. Yes. LG&E experienced an over-recovery of \$991,852 for the billing period ending
  October 31, 2019. LG&E's attachment to the response to Question No. 2 of the
  Commission Staff's First Request for Information shows the calculation of the overrecovery. An adjustment to the revenue requirement is necessary to reconcile the
  collection of past surcharge revenues with actual costs for the billing period under
  review.

### 14 Q. Has LG&E identified the causes of the over-recovery during the billing period 15 under review?

A. Yes. LG&E has identified the primary components that make up the over-recovery
during the billing period under review. The primary components are: (1) changes in
overall rate of return as previously discussed and (2) the use of 12-month average
revenues to determine the billing factor. The details and support of the primary
components that make up the over-recovery during the billing period under review are
shown in LG&E's response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff's First Request
for Information.

Q. Please explain how the function of the ECR mechanism contributes to the
 recovery position in the billing period under review.

A. The use of 12-month average revenues to calculate the monthly billing factors and then applying those same billing factors to the actual monthly revenues will result in an over- or under-collection of ECR revenues. The table below shows a comparison of the 12-month average revenues used in the monthly filings to determine the ECR billing factors and the actual revenues to which the ECR billing factors were applied in the billing month.

Expense Month	12-Month Average Revenues	Billing Month	Actual Revenues Subject to ECR Billing Factors
March 2019	\$76,203,445	May 2019	\$68,426,406
April 2019	\$75,655,968	June 2019	\$80,087,011
May 2019	\$75,489,006	July 2019	\$95,079,160
June 2019	\$74,954,670	August 2019	\$94,119,714
July 2019	\$75,032,191	September 2019	\$90,091,738
August 2019	\$75,365,688	October 2019	\$83,476,040

\*The 12-month average revenues and the Actual Revenues subject to ECR Billing Factors reflect net revenues for Groups 1 and 2.

9

10 Generally, an under-recovery will occur when actual revenues for the billing
11 month are less than the 12-month average revenues used for the expense month.
12 Likewise, an over-recovery will usually occur when actual revenues for the billing
13 month are greater than the 12-month average revenues used for the expense month.

### Q. What kind of adjustment is LG&E proposing in this case as a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period?

### 16 A. LG&E is proposing that the over-recovery be distributed over two months following

17 the Commission's Order in this proceeding. Specifically, LG&E recommends that the

Commission approve a decrease to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$495,926 for two months beginning in the second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. This method is consistent with the method of implementing previous over- or under-recovery positions in prior ECR review cases.

What is the bill impact on a residential customer for the proposed distribution of

### 6

7

Q.

### the over-recovery?

A. The inclusion of the distribution reflecting the over-recovery position in the
determination of the ECR billing factor will decrease the billing factor by
approximately 0.55% for two months. For a residential customer using an average of
945 kWh per month, the impact of the adjusted ECR billing factor would be a decrease
of approximately \$0.57 for each of the two months (using rates and adjustment clause
factors in effect for the March 2020 billing month).

## See the response and attachment to Commission Staff's First Request forInformation Question No. 6.

### Q. What rate of return is LG&E proposing to use for all ECR Plans upon the Commission's Order in this proceeding?

A. LG&E is recommending an overall rate of return on capital of 8.85%, including the currently approved 9.725% return on equity and adjusted capitalization, to be used to calculate the environmental surcharge. This is based on capitalization as of August 31, 2019, the Commission's Order of October 22, 2019 in Case No. 2019-00206, and the

continued use of the federal corporate income tax rate implemented in accordance with

1		the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act and the Kentucky state corporate income tax rate
2		implemented in accordance with House Bill 487.
3		See the response and attachment to Commission Staff's First Request for
4		Information Question No. 5.
5	Q.	What is your recommendation to the Commission in this case?
6	A.	LG&E makes the following recommendations to the Commission in this case:
7		a) The Commission should approve the proposed decrease to the Environmental
8		Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$495,926 for two months beginning in the
9		second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding;
10		b) The Commission should determine the environmental surcharge amount for the
11		six-month billing period ending October 31, 2019 to be just and reasonable,
12		and;
13		c) The Commission should approve the use of an overall rate of return on capital
14		of 8.85% for all projects, using a return on equity of 9.725%, beginning in the
15		second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding.
16	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
17	A.	Yes.

### **APPENDIX A**

### Andrea M. Fackler, CPA, CGMA

Manager, Revenue Requirement/Cost of Service LG&E and KU Services Company 220 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202

#### **Previous Positions**

LG&E and KU Services Company	
Rate & Regulatory Analyst III & Senior	Jan 2016 – Nov 2019
Accounting Analyst III & Senior	Aug 2012 – Jan 2016
Accounting Analyst II & III	Jul 2010 – Aug 2012
Dean Dorton Ford, PSC Supervisor in Accounting and Compliance Services	Jan 2007 – May 2010

#### **Professional/Trade Memberships**

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Kentucky Society of Certified Public Accountants ("KSCPA") Institute of Management Accountants

#### **Education/Training**

LG&E and KU Strategic Business Integration, 2017-2018 Cohort Bachelor of Science in Accounting, University of Kentucky, Dec 2006 Bachelor of Business Administration, University of Kentucky, Dec 2006

#### **Civic Activities**

Baptist Health NICU Family Advisory Council, 2019 – Current
Members in Business and Industry Committee Member, KSCPA, July 2017 – Current
President-Elect, President, and Immediate Past President, LG&E and KU Young Energy Professionals, 2015-2017
Member and Chair of Communications and Marketing Committee, LG&E and KU Young Energy Professionals, 2013-2014