



Your Touchstone Energy® Cooperative 🔨

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF

Case No. 2020-00064

)

)

)

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

FILED: April 3, 2020



ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF. CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS. AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND **OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF** CASE NO. 2020-00064

VERIFICATION

I, Robert W. ("Bob") Berry, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Robert W. ("Bob") Berry

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Robert W. ("Bob") Berry on this the <u>3rd</u> day of April, 2020.

Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

My Commission Expires

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF. CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS. AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND **OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF** CASE NO. 2020-00064

VERIFICATION

I, Michael W. ("Mike") Chambliss, verify, state, and affirm that the informationa request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Michael W. ("Mike") Chambliss

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Michael W. ("Mike") Chambliss on this the <u>3</u>^{ro} day of April, 2020.

Joep P. Parsley Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

My Commission Expires

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF. CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND **OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF** CASE NO. 2020-00064

VERIFICATION

I, Mark J. Eacret, verify, state, and affirm that the information request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

& Ceant

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Mark J. Eacret on this the day of April, 2020.

Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

My Commission Expires

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF. CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS. AND **OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF** CASE NO. 2020-00064

VERIFICATION

I, Michael T. ("Mike") Pullen, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Michael T. ("Mike") Pullen

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Michael T. ("Mike") Pullen on this the 3rd day of April, 2020.

Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

My Commission Expires

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF **BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION** FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF. CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND **OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF** CASE NO. 2020-00064

VERIFICATION

I, Paul G. Smith, verify, state, and affirm that the data request responses filed with this verification for which I am listed as a witness are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

Paul G. Smith

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY) COUNTY OF HENDERSON)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me by Paul G. Smith on this the day of April, 2020.

Notary Public, Kentucky State at Large

My Commission Expires

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 1)	Refer to the application, paragraph 14.
2	<i>a</i> .	State whether BREC has performed a decommissioning study for
3		Coleman Station.
4	<i>b</i> .	If affirmative, provide the study.
5	с.	If not affirmative, explain whether BREC plans to perform a
6		decommissioning study. Include an estimated timeline for such
7		study.
8		
9	Respons	se)
10	a.	Big Rivers retained Burns & McDonnell to perform a decommissioning cost
11		estimate study for the Coleman Station and Reid Station Unit 1 in 2016.
12	b.	The public version of the decommissioning study is attached to this
13		response. The CONFIDENTIAL version is provided via electronic media.
14	c.	Not applicable
15		

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Michael T. Pullen

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-1 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 2 of 2





Decommissioning Cost Estimate Study



Big Rivers Electric Corporation

Decommissioning Cost Estimate Study Project No. 89539

3/3/2016



Decommissioning Cost Estimate Study

prepared for

Big Rivers Electric Corporation Decommissioning Cost Estimate Study Henderson, Kentucky

Project No. 89539

3/3/2016

prepared by

Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc. Kansas City, Mo

COPYRIGHT © 2016 BURNS & McDONNELL ENGINEERING COMPANY, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page No.

1.0	EXE	CUTIVE SUMMARY1-1
	1.1	Introduction1-1
	1.2	Results1-1
	1.3	Statement of Limitations1-1
2.0	INTR	RODUCTION
	2.1	Background
	2.2	Study Methodology
	2.3	Site Visits
3.0	PLA	NT DESCRIPTIONS
	3.1	Kenneth C. Coleman Station
	3.2	Robert A. Reid Station
4.0	DEC	OMMISSIONING COSTS
	4.1	Demolition and Salvage Methodology
	4.2	Decommissioning Cost assumptions
		4.2.1 General Cost Assumptions and Clarifications for All Sites
		4.2.2 Demolition to Four (4) Feet Below Grade
		4.2.3 Retirement in Place
		4.2.4 Site Specific Assumptions
	4.3	Results

APPENDIX A - COST BREAKDOWNS APPENDIX B - PLANT AERIALS

LIST OF TABLES

Page No.

Table 1-1:	Four (4) Feet Below Grade Site Decommissioning Cost Estimate (2016\$)	1-1
Table 1-2:	Retire in Place Site Decommissioning Cost Estimates (2016\$)	1-1
Table 2-1:	Site Visit Dates	2-2
Table 4-1:	Four (4) Feet Below Grade Site Decommissioning Cost Estimate (2016\$)	4-9
Table 4-2:	Retire in Place Site Decommissioning Cost Estimates (2016\$)	4-9

LIST OF FIGURES

Page No.

Figure 1:	BREC Facilities Visited	2-3	3
-----------	-------------------------	-----	---

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<u>Abbreviation</u>	Term/Phrase/Name
BMcD	Burns & McDonnell
ВОР	Balance of plant facilities
BREC	Big Rivers Electric Corporation
C&D	Construction and demolition
GCL	Geosynthetic clay liner
Hz	Hertz
O&M	Operations and maintenance
РСВ	Polychlorinated biphenyl
Plants	Power generation assets
STG	Steam turbine generator
Study	Decommissioning Cost Study

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 Introduction

Burns & McDonnell ("BMcD") of Kansas City, Missouri, was retained by Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("BREC") to conduct a Decommissioning Cost Study ("Study") for power generation assets ("Plants") in Kentucky. The assets include two (2) coal-fired generating facilities. The purpose of the Study was to review the facilities and to make a recommendation to BREC regarding the total cost to decommission the facilities at the end of their useful lives. The decommissioning costs were developed by BMcD using information provided by BREC and in-house data available to BMcD.

This Study evaluated two (2) options for dismantling of the Kenneth C. Coleman Station including demolition to four (4) feet below grade and retiring the equipment in place. This Study also evaluated retirement in place for the Robert A. Reid Station.

1.2 Results

BMcD has prepared estimates in current dollars (2016\$) for the decommissioning of the Plants. These costs are summarized in Table 1-1 and Table 1-2. For the below grade demolition, when BREC determines that the Plants should be retired, the above grade equipment and steel structures are assumed to have sufficient scrap value to a salvage contractor to offset a portion of the decommissioning costs. BREC will incur costs in the demolition and restoration of the sites less the salvage value of equipment and bulk steel.

Table 1-1. Four (4) Feet below Grade Site Decommissioning Cost Estimate (2010)	Table 1-1:	Four (4) Feet Be	ow Grade Site Dec	ommissioning Cos	t Estimate (2016\$)
--	------------	------------------	-------------------	------------------	---------------------

Plant	Decommissioning Costs	Credits	Net Project Cost
Kenneth C. Coleman Station			

Table 1-2: Retire in Place Site Decommissioning Cost Estimates (2016\$)

Plant	Total Project Cost	Annual O&M Cost
Kenneth C. Coleman Station		
Robert A. Reid Station		

The total project cost in the below grade demolition includes the costs to return the site to an industrial condition suitable for reuse for development of an industrial facility. The retirement in place includes the cost for cleaning and securing the equipment in order to remove the Plant from service after its useful life.

The retirement in place also includes the maintenance of the facilities. A detailed breakdown of the decommissioning costs is shown in Appendix A.

1.3 Statement of Limitations

In preparation of this Study, BMcD has relied upon information provided by BREC. BMcD acknowledges that it has requested the information from BREC that it deemed necessary to complete this Study. While BMcD has no reason to believe that the information provided, and upon which BMcD has relied, is inaccurate or incomplete in any material respect, BMcD has not independently verified such information and cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness.

Engineer's estimates and projections of decommissioning costs are based on Engineer's experience, qualifications and judgment. Since Engineer has no control over weather, cost and availability of labor, material and equipment, labor productivity, construction contractors' procedures and methods, and other factors, Engineer does not guarantee the accuracy of its estimates and projections.

Engineer's estimates do not include allowances for unforeseen environmental liabilities associated with unexpected environmental contamination due to events not considered part of normal operations, such as fuel tank ruptures, oil spills, etc. Estimates also do not include allowances for environmental remediation associated with changes in classification of hazardous materials.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Background

Burns & McDonnell, ("BMcD") of Kansas City, Missouri, was retained by Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("BREC") to conduct a Decommissioning Cost Study ("Study") for power generation assets ("Plants") in Kentucky. The assets include two (2) coal-fired generating facilities. The purpose of the Study was to review the facilities and to make a recommendation to BREC regarding the total cost to decommission the facilities at the end of their useful lives.

BMcD has prepared decommissioning studies for over 100 facilities on various types of fossil fuel and renewable power plants using a proven approach to developing these estimates. These dismantlement studies and associated cost estimates were produced for various reasons, many of which have held up to strict scrutiny as part of a regulatory review process, which requires the results to be reasonable and defendable. BMcD has provided both written and verbal testimonies before public utility commissions, which have been well received and has confirmed the reasonableness of BMcD's estimate methodology. In addition to preparing demolition estimates, BMcD has supported demolition projects as the owner's engineer, to evaluate demolition bids and oversee demolition activities. This has provided BMcD with insight into the range of competitive demolition bids, which also assists in confirming the reasonableness of the decommissioning estimates developed by BMcD.

2.2 Study Methodology

The site decommissioning and retirement costs were developed using information provided by BREC and in-house data BMcD has collected from previous project experience. BMcD estimated quantities for equipment based on a visual inspection of the facilities, review of engineering drawings, BMcD's in house database of plant equipment quantities, along with BMcD's professional judgment. This resulted in an estimate of quantities for the tasks required to be performed for each decommissioning and retirement effort. Current market pricing for labor rates, equipment, and unit pricing were then developed for each task. The unit pricing was developed for each site based on the labor rates, equipment costs, and disposal costs specific to the general area in which the work is to be performed. These rates were applied to the quantities for the Plants to determine the total cost of decommissioning and retiring each site.

The decommissioning costs for the below grade included the cost to return the site to an industrial condition, suitable for reuse for development of an industrial facility, commonly referred to as a brownfield site. Included are the costs to decommission all of the assets owned by BREC at the site, including power generating equipment and BOP facilities. The decommissioning costs for the retirement

in place include the costs of cleaning and securing the equipment in order to remove the Plant from service after its useful life. The retirement in place also includes the annual operation and maintenance costs of the Plants.

2.3 Site Visits

Representatives from BMcD visited each of the Plants covered by the Study in January of 2016. The site visits consisted of a tour of each facility with plant personnel to review the equipment installed at each site. Tours were conducted by plant personnel.

The following BMcD representatives comprised the site visit team:

- Mr. Jeff Kopp, BMcD, Project Manager
- Mr. Thom Bristow, BMcD, Project Engineer

The site visits were performed on the following dates.

Plant	Site Visit Date
Kenneth C. Coleman Station	20-January-16
Robert A. Reid	20-January-16

Table 2-1: Site Visit Dates



Figure 1: BREC Facilities Visited

3.0 PLANT DESCRIPTIONS

The following sections provide site descriptions for each of the power plants included in this Study.

3.1 Kenneth C. Coleman Station

Kenneth C. Coleman Station consists of three (3) coal-fired boiler units located near Hawesville, Kentucky, approximately 60 miles east of Henderson, Kentucky. The Plant is located on the west bank of the Ohio River. The Plant has been idled since May 2014. Coleman 1 was commercialized in 1969 and is rated for 150 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Foster Wheeler boiler capable of producing 1,220,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a Westinghouse turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of 160 MW. Coleman 2 was commercialized in 1970 and is rated for 138 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Foster Wheeler boiler capable of producing 1,220,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a Westinghouse turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of 160 MW. Coleman 3 was commercialized in 1972 and is rated for 155 MW of net capacity. The unit is equipped with a Riley boiler capable of producing 1,160,000 pounds per hour of steam, and a General Electric turbine-generator with nameplate capacity of 165 MW. Low NO_x burners were installed to reduce NO_x levels for all three units. In 2004 all three boilers were retrofitted with over fire air combustion equipment to further reduce NO_x emissions. In 2006 the Plant was retrofitted with a limestone scrubber that combines all three (3) generation units into a single FGD to remove SO₂. The plant cooling water system is a direct, oncethrough cooling design supplied by the Ohio River. Each unit has a 350 foot stack that was bypassed at the time the FGD was installed. The FGD stack that is shared by all three (3) units stands 500 feet tall. There are a total of four (4) wells onsite that provide water to the plant.

3.2 Robert A. Reid Station

Robert A. Reid Station is part of Sebree Station which consists of two (2) other plants also owned and/or operated by BREC. Sebree Station is situated on the Green River approximately three (3) miles northwest of the town of Sebree. The Plant consists of one (1) coal-fired boiler unit. The Robert A. Reid Station steam turbine generating unit includes a Riley boiler with a steam flow capacity of 690,000 pounds per hour and a General Electric turbine-generator with nameplate capacities of 66 MW for the turbine and 96 MVA for the generator. The unit began commercial operation in 1966 and is currently rated at 65 MW. Precipitators are currently used for particulate emission removal. A Low NO_x burner and overfire air system is used to reduce NO_x levels. Circulating water for the unit comes directly from, and returns to, the Green River. Boiler exhaust is expelled through a 266 ft. chimney.

4.0 DECOMMISSIONING COSTS

The Study evaluated the decommissioning costs for Kenneth C. Coleman Station based on two (2) dismantlement options. The first option evaluates the cost for retiring the plant in place which includes performing tasks to reduce environmental and safety risks and securing the facility. The remaining option evaluates the demolition of the facility to a depth of four (4) feet below grade. For Robert A. Reid Station, the Study evaluated the decommissioning costs based solely on retiring the plant in place. More detailed breakdowns for each of the Plants are provided in Appendix A.

4.1 Demolition and Salvage Methodology

When BREC determines that Kenneth C. Coleman Station should be retired and below grade demolition is selected, the above grade equipment and steel structures are assumed to have sufficient scrap value to a salvage contractor to offset a portion of the site decommissioning costs. However, BREC will incur costs of decommissioning of the plant and restoration of the site to the extent that those costs exceed the salvage value of equipment and bulk steel.

The decommissioning costs include the cost to return the site to an industrial condition, suitable for reuse for development of an industrial facility. Included are the costs to dismantle all of the assets owned by BREC at the site, including power generating equipment and BOP facilities, as well as environmental site restoration activities.

For purposes of this Study, BMcD has assumed that the plant will be decommissioned as a single project, allowing the most cost effective demolition methods to be utilized. A summary of several of the means and methods that could be employed is summarized in the following paragraphs; however, means and methods will not be dictated to the contractor by BMcD. It will be the contractor's responsibility to determine means and methods that result in safely decommissioning the plant at the lowest possible cost.

Asbestos remediation, as required, would take place prior to commencement of any other demolition activities. Abatement would need to be performed in compliance with all state and federal regulations, including, but not limited to requirements for sealing off work areas and maintaining negative pressure throughout the removal process. Final clearances and approvals would need to be achieved prior to performing further demolition activities.

High grade assets would then be removed from the site, to the extent possible. This would include items such as transformers, circuit breakers, electrical wire, condenser plates and tubes, and heater tubes to list a few. High grade material that would be removed from the site include precious alloys such as copper,

aluminum-brass tubes, stainless steel tubes, and other high value metals utilized at plant. High grade asset removal would occur up-front in the schedule, to reduce the potential for vandalism, to increase cash flow, and for separation of recyclable materials, in order to increase scrap recovery. Methods of removal vary with the location and nature of the asset. Small transformers, small equipment, and wire would likely be removed and shipped as-is for processing at a scrap yard. Large transformers, steam turbine generators, and condensers would likely require some on-site disassembly prior to being shipped to a scrap yard.

Construction and Demolition ("C&D") waste includes items such as non-asbestos insulation, roofing, wood, drywall, plastics, and other non-metallic materials. C&D waste would typically be segregated from scrap and concrete to avoid cross-contaminating of waste streams or recycle streams. C&D demolition crews could remove these materials with equipment such as excavators equipped with material handling attachments, skid steers, etc. This material would be consolidated and loaded into bulk containers for disposal.

In general, boilers could be felled and cut into manageable sized pieces on the ground. First the structures around the boilers would need to be removed using excavators equipped with shears and grapples. Stairs, grating, elevators, and other high structures would be removed using an "ultra-high reach" excavator, equipped with shears. Following removal of these structures, the boilers would be felled, using explosive blasts. The boilers would then be dismantled using equipment such as excavators equipped with shears and grapples, and the scrap metal loaded onto trailers for recycling.

After the surrounding structures and ductwork have been removed, the stacks would be imploded, using controlled blasts. Following implosion the stack liners and concrete would be reduced in size to allow for handling and removal.

BOP structures and foundations would likely be demolished using excavators equipped with hydraulic shears, hydraulic grapples, and impact breakers, along with workers utilizing open flame cutting torches. Steel components would be separated, reduced in size, and loaded onto trailers for recycling. Concrete would be broken into manageable sized pieces and stockpiled for crushing on-site. Concrete pieces would ultimately be loaded in a hopper and fed through a crusher to be sized for on-site disposal.

The Plants contain significant amounts of scrap value that can be used to offset a portion of the costs incurred for each Plant. In BMcD's experience, the demolition cost typically exceeds the scrap value, resulting in a net cost, rather than a net benefit to the plant owner. In some cases, additional value can be realized if equipment can be salvaged for reuse rather than being simply scrapped. However, there are

several significant challenges to salvaging the equipment for reuse, which tend to cancel out the additional value associated with salvaging the equipment. Generally, BMcD recommends that all equipment be valued as scrap for planning purposes, due to the speculative nature of salvage opportunities and prices.

Generally, BMcD's experience has been that equipment and structures are scrapped as part of a demolition project. In order to market the equipment as salvageable for reinstallation and reuse as operating equipment, these items would need to be carefully removed prior to demolition activities. This will increase the cost of removal of those specific items, and will therefore increase the overall demolition costs. The economics of removing select pieces of equipment become even less attractive when looking at extracting individual pieces of equipment, separate from a full demolition project, as the equipment brokers may remove the equipment under a separate contract prior to demolition.

There are several factors placing downward pressure on salvage values of used plant equipment, including the numerous plants slated for decommissioning that will cause a significant increase in supply of used equipment. Additionally, the opportunistic nature of the salvage market often creates challenges with matching the specific needs of the buyer to the equipment available from a particular seller of salvaged equipment. Essentially, the market for a piece of used equipment is limited to buyers whose equipment needs directly match the equipment for sale. Typically this is either a buyer who has experienced an equipment failure and would rather buy used equipment than wait for new equipment, or is a buyer in an overseas market. These factors greatly limit the number of potential buyers.

In BMcD's experience, the steam turbine generator set and generator step-up transformer have been the most likely pieces of equipment to be sold for salvage and reuse. Typical customers of this type of equipment are generally located overseas. Most of these markets have 50 hertz ("Hz") power systems, thus the turbine generator set would need to be retrofitted to convert from generating at 60 Hz to 50 Hz. Although the miscellaneous pumps and motors associated with these facilities can sometimes be sold for salvage, this is one of the more opportunistic markets where a specific buyer with a specifically matched need would have to be identified. These opportunities have been less likely to occur than these pieces of equipment being scrapped.

Through other recent projects, BMcD has been in discussion with equipment salvage brokers to gauge market interest for equipment associated with power plants. There was very little interest in the equipment on other projects with newer equipment and there would likely be no interest in the equipment at these Plants due to the vintage. Comments from the brokers on the other projects indicated that they

expected any piece of equipment extracted separately from a full demolition project to be a net cost to the facility owner. Therefore, receiving scrap value for the equipment is likely the most economically attractive option.

4.2 Decommissioning Cost assumptions

Below is a list of general assumptions for all sites, as well as site specific assumptions applicable to each individual project.

4.2.1 General Cost Assumptions and Clarifications for All Sites

The following assumptions were made as the basis of all of the cost estimates.

- 1. All cost estimates are in current 2016 dollars.
- 2. All estimates are budgetary in nature and do not reflect guaranteed costs.
- 3. All work will take place in a safe and cost efficient method.
- 4. Labor costs are based on a regular 40 hour workweek without overtime.
- 5. Abatement of asbestos will precede any other work. After final air quality clearances have been reached, demolition can proceed.
- 6. All facilities will be decommissioned to zero generating output. Existing utilities will remain in place for use by the contractor for the duration of the decommissioning and demolition activities.
- 7. Soil testing and any other on-site testing has not been conducted for this study.
- 8. Transmission switchyards and substations within the boundaries of the plant are not part of the decommissioning scope. For purposes of this study, the division between generation assets and transmission assets is at the high side of the generator step-up transformers.
- 9. The costs for relocation of transmission lines, or other transmission assets, are specifically excluded from the decommissioning cost estimates.
- 10. All demolition and abatement activities, including removal of asbestos, will be done in accordance with any and all applicable Federal, State and Local laws, rules and regulations.
- 11. It is assumed that sufficient area to receive, assemble and temporarily store equipment and materials is available.
- 12. Any observable surface spills will be cleaned up.
- 13. All trash, debris, and miscellaneous waste will be removed and disposed of properly.
- 14. No environmental costs have been included to address cleanup of contaminated soils, hazardous materials, or other conditions present on-site having a negative environmental impact, other than those specifically listed in these assumptions. No allowances are included for unforeseen environmental remediation activities.
- 15. Handling and disposal of hazardous material will be performed in compliance with the approved methods of BREC's Environmental Services Department.
- 16. Valuation and sale of land and all replacement generation costs are excluded from this scope.

- 17. Spare parts inventories were not provided to BMcD for review. BMcD assumes that to the extent possible spare parts will be sold prior to decommissioning and remaining spare parts will be scrapped by the demolition contractor.
- 18. Rolling stock, including dozers, plant vehicles, etc. is assumed to be removed by BREC prior to decommissioning.
- 19. A 20 percent contingency was included on the direct costs in the estimates prepared as part of this study to cover unknowns.
- 20. Indirect costs are included in the cost estimate to cover owner expenses such as management trailers, utilities, etc. which may impact the cost of decommissioning each site. An indirect cost of 5 percent was included in the estimates to cover such costs.
- 21. Market conditions may result in cost variations at the time of contract execution.

4.2.2 Demolition to Four (4) Feet Below Grade

This option considers the cost associated to demolishing Kenneth C. Coleman Station to four (4) feet below grade. The following section outlines the assumptions for decommissioning the plant to four (4) feet below grade.

- 1. All estimates are based on labor rates from RS means values for a demolition crew B-8 with adjusted rates based on the local site cost index for the Plants.
- 2. The estimates are inclusive of all costs necessary to properly dismantle and decommission the site to a marketable or usable condition. For purposes of this study and the included cost estimates, the site will be restored to a condition suitable for industrial use.
- 3. Demolition of the entire site and all associated units will occur in a single project.
- 4. After the barge unloading equipment and structure are removed, the mooring cells will also be removed. The area in front of the unloading facility will be filled with materials required to restore the original river bankline in accordance with the Corps of Engineers' requirements.
- 5. This cost estimate includes property tax liabilities that have been provided by BREC.
- 6. Concrete will be crushed on-site and buried in existing basements. Concrete in trenches and basements will be perforated to create drainage. Once the capacity of all existing basements has been exceeded, remaining concrete will be crushed and used as clean fill on-site. All other non-hazardous material with no salvage value will be disposed of off-site at the nearest landfill.
- 7. Step-up transformers and auxiliary transformers are included for demolition and scrap in all estimates.
- 8. Demolition will include the removal of all structures, equipment, tanks, conveyer systems, ancillary buildings, and any other associated equipment to four (4) feet below grade.
- 9. All above grade plant structures and materials such as fire walls, masonry, doors, windows, building finishes, plumbing, HVAC ductwork, lighting fixtures, cable trays, etc., will be demolished and disposed of off-site at the nearest landfill.
- 10. Foundations and ground floor slabs will be removed to four (4) feet below grade. The surface will be graded for drainage using onsite soil and seeded.

- 11. Except for the circulating water lines, underground piping will be abandoned in place. Concrete circulating water system pipes will be capped, have the tops broken out, and backfilled with on-site soil. Steel circulating water pipes will be removed and scrapped
- 12. All pipe supports, and pipe racks will be demolished and scrapped.
- 13. Hazardous material abatement is included as necessary, including asbestos, mercury, and polychlorinated biphenyls ("PCBs"). Lead paint coated materials will be handled by certified personnel compliant with OSHA Standards as necessary, but will not be removed prior to demolition. Scrap steel can be taken to scrap brokers with lead paint still intact, and will not impact the scrap value.
- 14. All portable tanks will be removed from the site and scrapped, including any propane tanks, oil storage tanks, and waste oil tanks.
- 15. Most, if not all, chemicals have been removed from the site, however, any remaining chemicals will be consumed or disposed of by the Plant prior to decommissioning, including process chemicals in equipment, stored chemicals, and laboratory chemicals.
- 16. No plant washdown is required since it was completed as part of placing the plant in long term layup.
- 17. All coal, ash, and other residue was cleaned and removed as part of the plant layup and not included in this cost estimate.
- 18. The substation equipment owned by the Plant including breakers, air break disconnect switch, busbars, grounding cable and transformers up to the interconnection point will be removed.
- 19. The coal pile area will be excavated to a depth of one (1) foot, graded, capped, and covered with imported topsoil.
- 20. Site areas will be graded to achieve suitable site drainage to natural drainage patterns, but grading will be minimized to the extent possible.
- 21. Major equipment, structural steel, generators, inlet filters, exhaust stacks, transformers, electrical equipment, cabling, wiring, pump skids, above ground piping, and equipment enclosures for the above equipment will be sold for scrap and removed from the Plant site by the demolition contractor. All other demolished materials are considered debris.
- 22. All production wells will be closed as per state regulations. Production wells will be filled with grout to approximately five feet below surface grade. The top five feet will be overdrilled and filled with soil backfill to grade on top of the grout. Monitoring wells will remain intact.
- 23. The scrap value of the equipment is based on the equipment being at the end of its useful life at the time of demolition; therefore, the equipment will not have a value on the grey market for reinstallation. Equipment will have value as scrap only at the time of site demolition.
- 24.
- 25.
- 26. The scope of the costs included in the Study is limited to the decommissioning activities that will occur at the end of useful life of the facilities. Additional on-going costs may be required, including, but not limited to groundwater monitoring associated with ash pond closure and/or other environmental monitoring activities. These costs are excluded from the cost estimates provided in this study.

4.2.3 Retirement in Place

This option considers the cost associated with retiring both Plants in place which includes tasks such as removing chemicals and other potential environmental hazards, and placing the equipment and Plants in a condition that reduces liabilities and risks, while minimizing retirement costs. The following section outlines the assumptions for retiring the Plants in place.

- 1. All units will be retired to zero generating output.
- 2. An asbestos inspection will be performed and any friable asbestos identified will be completely removed. It is assumed that a minimal amount of asbestos will require removal. This activity will precede any other work.
- 3. All access into the Plant, powerhouse, warehouses, and other plant structures will be secured.
- 4. No equipment or material will be removed for scrap sales.
- 5. Switchyard breakers will be opened. Switchyard disconnects will be opened and locked in the open position.
- 6. Oil-filled transformers will be drained and the oil disposed of properly.
- 7. Lubricating oil systems and hydraulic oil systems will be drained and the oil will be recycled or disposed of properly.
- 8. This cost estimate includes property taxes and insurance liabilities that have been provided by BREC.
- 9. No general and administrative fees were developed for this cost estimate but will need to be included in BREC's ongoing costs.
- 10. All water/steam spaces in the steam turbines, including the condenser, will be drained and opened.
- 11. Aircraft warning lights on the stacks will be maintained and remain operational.
- 12. All chimneys will be capped.
- 13. All batteries, including lead and nickel cadmium batteries will be removed and disposed of properly.
- 14. Mercury filled equipment and instruments, if applicable, will be removed and disposed of or recycled.
- 15. Freon will be removed and disposed of properly.
- 16. Annual operational and maintenance ("O&M") costs will apply for each year the Plant is in retired in place status.
- 17. Liability insurance costs are not included in BMcD's estimates of annual O&M costs; however, these costs should be considered by BREC as it is assumed that some level of liability insurance will still be required. Costs should be confirmed with BREC's insurance provider.

4.2.4 Site Specific Assumptions

The following assumptions were made specific to each plant cost estimate.

Kenneth C. Coleman Station

1. The Plant is currently in dry layup state with dehumidified air.

2. Asbestos has been abated around steam turbine generator ("STG") but remains around main steam lines.

The condensers and circulating water lines have been drained.

- 3. The transformers still have oil but are PCB free.
- 4. Roughly 5000 gallons each of lube oil and seal oil remain on-site.
- 5. Sulfuric acid has been removed from the site.
- 6. All coal has previously been removed from site.
- 7. The condenser was retubed in the last five (5) years with admiralty brass.
- 8. The onsite section of rail is not part of this decommissioning estimate.
- 9. Mooring cells warning light system and cathodic protection system will remain active
- 10. Under either the demolition to four feet below grade or retirement in place scenario, the south pond will be capped with a combination of two (2) feet of clay and a geosynthetic clay liner ("GCL").
- 11. Under either the demolition to four feet below grade or retirement in place scenario, the contents of the east portion of sluice pond will be migrated to west portion of sluice pond. The west portion of sluice pond will be dewatered and an isolation berm will be built around it. It will be capped with a combination of two (2) feet of clay and a GCL. A groundwater monitoring system will be installed
- 12. Under either the demolition to four feet below grade or retirement in place scenario, the north pond will be dewatered and capped with a combination of two (2) feet of clay and a GCL.
- 13. Under the retirement in place scenario, all doors will be secured or welded shut and outstanding keys collected.
- 14. Under the retirement in place scenario, all windows up to twenty feet above grade will be boarded up.
- 15. Under the retirement in place scenario, branches into buildings from the fire mains in the yard will be valved off and fire risers in the building drained. Yard fire hydrants will be left in service.
- 16. Under the retirement in place scenario, access to duct bank manholes will be secured to prevent entry.
- 17. A new power supply for the firewater pump, barge clearance lights, FAA warning lights, and cathodic protection will be added by installing a new feed tied into the Kenergy line located adjacent to the plant.

Robert A. Reid Station

- 1. The 84-inch circulating water line and pump will be taken out of service and replaced with a smaller line and pump to serve HMP&L Station Two requiring HMP&L Station Two to be taken offline during this retrofit.
- 2. The Reid Station has a building heat system that will be maintained in service; therefore, no freeze protection modifications are required.
- 3. All chemicals still onsite at Reid can be transferred to some other plant owned by BREC at no net cost.
- 4. The Reid Station fire protection system will remain in service.
- 5. Sump pumps for all units are in the basement of Reid 1, which will need to be maintained.

- 6. The jockey pump that serves as a backup for the HMP&L fire protection system is fed by Reid's circulating water system. These jockey pumps will need to remain operational.
- 7. The Reid auxiliary transformers must remain operative to provide station power.
- 8. The compressed air system will remain in place and operational to allow for maintenance activities in the area of the Reid Station. The cooling water for the air compressors is fed from the Reid circulating water system, and will need to be modified.
- 9. Fly ash and bottom ash are currently routed to a common ash handling building with HMP&L and the over to the ponds. These lines from the Reid Station will need to be isolated from the remainder of the system.
- 10. The coal feed system must remain operative to serve HMP&L Station Two; however, the section of the coal feed system that serves the Reid Station needs to be blanked off to prevent coal from entering the Reid hopper.

4.3 Results

Table 4-1 presents a summary of the decommissioning cost for the Kenneth C. Coleman Station to four

(4) feet below grade. This summary provides a breakout of the major decommissioning activities and the scrap value for the Plant.

Table 4-1: Four (4) Feet Below Grade Site Decommissioning Cost Estimate (2016\$)

Plant	Decommissioning Costs	Credits	Net Project Cost
Kenneth C. Coleman Station			

Table 4-2 provides the total costs for retiring the Plants in place. The total project cost involves one-time costs regarding environmental and plant building items. The annual O&M costs include recurring costs involving the site security, environmental monitoring and administration.

	Table 4-2:	Retire in Place	Site Decommissioning	g Cost Estimates	(2016\$)
--	------------	------------------------	----------------------	------------------	----------

Plant	Total Project Cost	Annual O&M Cost
Kenneth C. Coleman		
Robert A. Reid		

Table 4-2: 1

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF

Case No. 202-00064

)

)

)

)

)

CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT

Appendix A - Cost Breakdowns Decommissioning Cost Study Provided as Attachment to Big Rivers Response to Item 1 of Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020 FILED: April 3, 2020

INFORMATION SUBMITTED WITH MOTION PETITION FOR CONFIDENTIAL TREATMENT



APPENDIX B - PLANT AERIALS

Figure 2: Kenneth C. Coleman Station



Figure 3: Robert A. Reid Station







CREATE AMAZING.



Burns & McDonnell World Headquarters 9400 Ward Parkway Kansas City, MO 64114 O 816-333-9400 F 816-333-3690 www.burnsmcd.com

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 2)	Refer to the application, paragraph 15.
2	<i>a</i> .	State whether BREC has performed a decommissioning study for
3		Reid Station Unit 1.
4	<i>b</i> .	If affirmative, provide the study.
5	с.	If not affirmative, explain whether BREC plans to perform a
6		decommissioning study. Include an estimated timeline for such
7		study.
8		
9	Respons	se)
10	a.	Big Rivers retained Burns & McDonnell to perform a decommissioning cost
11		estimate study for the Coleman Station and Reid Station Unit 1 in 2016.
12	b.	The decommissioning study is provided in Big Rivers' response to Item 1 of
13		these Commission Staff information requests.
14	с.	Not applicable
15		

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Michael T. Pullen

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-2 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 2 of 2
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 3)	Refer to the application, paragraph 21, and the application,
2	Exhibit	D, the Direct Testimony of Robert W. Berry (Berry Testimony), page
3	10.	
4	<i>a</i> .	Provide the net present value of assumed or estimated savings from
5		an improvement in Big Rivers' credit rating.
6	<i>b</i> .	If the Commission does not meet the proposed June 30, 2020
7		deadline, explain whether Big Rivers will delay the July debt
8		issuance.
9	с.	Provide the Case Number approving the proposed July debt
10		issuance. If Big Rivers has yet to file an application, provide the
1		anticipated filing date.
12		
13		

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-3 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Response)

2	a.	Achieving and maintaining an investment grade credit rating is estimated
3		to result in an immediate annual interest expense savings of approximately
4	l	which includes assumed savings on the reissuance of Big Rivers'
5		existing pollution control bonds, and those savings are estimated to
6		increase to by January 2024.
7	b.	The decision to re-issue the pollution control bonds in July will be evaluated
8		as we approach the date, and will take into account other factors such as
9		volatility in the credit markets.
10	c.	Big Rivers expects to file the application for Commission approval of the
11		debt issuance in June.
12		
13	Witness)	Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-3 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 4) Refer to the application, paragraph 41. State whether BREC's 2 request to "resume recovery of the Wilson Station depreciation expense 3 beginning on January 1, 2021" is a request to include that depreciation 4 expense in the calculation of its net margins for the purposes of calculating 5 the proposed New TIER Credit. If this is a mischaracterization of the 6 requested relief, further explain the mechanics of the request to "resume 7 recovery" of this expense.

8

9 Response) Yes, Big Rivers' request to "resume recovery of the Wilson Station 10 depreciation expense beginning on January 1, 2021" is a request to include that 11 depreciation expense in the calculation of its net margins for the purposes of 12 calculating the proposed New TIER Credit. Specifically, Wilson Station depreciation 13 expense will resume for financial and ratemaking purposes just as it was prior to 14 February 1, 2014.

15

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-4 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 5) Refer to the application, paragraph 41. Provide the value of the

2 Wilson Station Depreciation Deferral regulatory asset at the end of calendar

3 year 2020.

4

5 Response) The Wilson Station Depreciation Deferral regulatory asset is projected

6 to be approximately \$141,640,843 at the end of calendar year 2020.

7

8 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-5 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 6)	Refer to the application, paragraph 42, and the Berry Testimony,
2	page 16.	BREC states that the Midcontinent Independent System Operator,
3	Inc. (MI	SO) has terminated the interconnection rights at Coleman Station
4	due to th	e exceedance of the idling period.
5	<i>a</i> .	Explain how MISO defines an "idling period" and related criteria.
6	<i>b</i> .	Provide documentation of the expiry of interconnection rights at
7		Coleman Station, including the date of expiry of interconnection
8		rights.
9	с.	Provide the costs associated with re-attaining interconnection
10		rights with MISO for Coleman Station.
11		
12	Respons	e)
13	a.	MISO uses the terms "suspension" and "suspension period" rather than
14		"idling period" in their Tariff. Section 38.2.7(n) of the MISO Tariff
15		specifies, in relevant part, that:

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-6 Witnesses: Michael T. Pullen and Michael W. Chambliss Page 1 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

$ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 $		An owner of a Generation Resource may request suspension pursuant to the provisions of this Section 38.2.7 and remain for a maximum of thirty-six (36) cumulative months during any five (5) year period under any combination of suspended and SSR- designated statuses If a Generation Resource does not return to service at the end of the thirty-six (36) month maximum suspension period, the Transmission Provider will terminate interconnection service of the resource pursuant to Section 38.2.7.
10	b.	See the attached letter from MISO, dated September 28, 2016, notifying Big
11		Rivers that MISO will proceed to terminate the interconnection service for
12		Coleman Units 1, 2, and 3 in accordance with the provisions of the MISO
13		Tariff.
14	c.	Big Rivers cannot, at this time, quantify the costs that would be incurred if
15		Big Rivers sought to re-attain interconnection rights with MISO for the
16		Coleman Station. The costs of attempting to re-attain interconnection
17		rights would depend on whether or not Big Rivers would be forced to treat
18		the return-to-service of the Coleman Station as a new resource under the
19		MISO interconnection queue. The application, study, and deposit fees for
		Case No. 2020-000 Response to PSC

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	such a process are likely to range from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 per			
2	generating unit studied, and only some of these costs are potentially			
3	refundable. Further, it is possible the Coleman Station would need network			
4	upgrades prior to being approved for re-attaining interconnection rights			
5	with MISO, as well as any additional system upgrades that may be required			
6	by MISO.			
7				
8	8 Witnesses) Michael T. Pullen and			

9 Michael W. Chambliss

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-6 Witnesses: Michael T. Pullen and Michael W. Chambliss Page 3 of 3



Timothy Aliff Director, Reliability Planning



VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

September 28, 2016

Robert W. Berry Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street Henderson, KY 42419-0024

Subject: Termination Notice for Interconnection Service of Coleman Units 1, 2 & 3

Dear Mr. Berry:

This letter notifies Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") that MISO will proceed to terminate the interconnection service for Coleman Units 1, 2, & 3 ("Coleman") in accordance with the provisions of the MISO Tariff. A review of the status of the Coleman plant indicates that the units have not been returned to service on September 1, 2016 as specified in the Attachment Y Notice dated April 21, 2015, and Big Rivers has not submitted an Attachment Y Notice to MISO for the subsequent retirement of Coleman. Pursuant to Section 38.2.7 of the Tariff, a Generation Resource may suspend operations for a maximum of 36 cumulative months during any 5 year period under any combination of suspended and SSR-designated statuses; and the Transmission Provider will terminate interconnection service of the resource that does not return to service at the end of the 36 month maximum suspension period.

Once the interconnection service is terminated, Big Rivers will be required to submit an Attachment X request and enter the generation interconnection queue if Big Rivers seeks to resume operations of Coleman.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions on this matter.

Respectfully,

Tim Aliff Director, Reliability Planning

Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. 317.249-5400 www.misoenergy.org

720 City Center Drive Carmel, Indiana 46032

2985 Ames Crossing Road Eagan, Minnesota 55121 3850 N. Causeway Blvd., Two Lakeway, Suite 442 Metairie, LA 70002

1700 Centerview Drive Little Rock, AR 72211

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 7)	Refer to the application, paragraph 51. BREC states that the
2	MISO h	as terminated the interconnection rights at Reid Station Unit 1 due
3	to the ex	cceedance of the idling period.
4	<i>a</i> .	Provide documentation of the expiry of interconnection rights at
5		Coleman Station, including the date of expiry of interconnection
6		rights.
7	<i>b</i> .	Provide the costs associated with re-attaining interconnection
8		rights with MISO for Reid Statin Unit 1.
9		
10	Respons	se)
11	a.	See the attached letter from MISO, dated July 8, 2019, notifying Big Rivers
12		that MISO will proceed to terminate the interconnection service for Reid
13		Station Unit 1 in accordance with the provisions of the MISO Tariff. ¹

¹ While subpart (a) of this request pertains to Coleman Station, given that the remainder of this request pertains to Reid Station Unit 1 and the Commission's Request for Information Item 6 asks

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	b.	Big Rivers cannot, at this time, quantify the costs that would be incurred if
2		Big Rivers sought to re-attain interconnection rights with MISO for Reid
3		Station Unit 1. The costs of attempting to re-attain interconnection rights
4		would depend on whether or not Big Rivers would be forced to treat the
5		return-to-service of Reid Station Unit 1 as a new resource under the MISO
6		interconnection queue. The application, study, and deposit fees for such a
7		process are likely to range from \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 per generating unit
8		studied, and only some of those costs are potentially refundable. Further, it
9		is possible Reid Station Unit 1 would need network upgrades prior to being
10		approved for re-attaining interconnection rights with MISO, as well as any
1		additional system upgrades that may be required by MISO.

- 12
- 13

for similar information related to the Coleman Station, Big Rivers has responded to this subpart (a) by providing information related to Reid Station Unit 1.

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Witnesses) Michael T. Pullen and

2 Michael W. Chambliss

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-7 Witnesses: Michael T. Pullen and Michael Chambliss Page 3 of 3



Vikram Godbole Director, Resource Utilization



VIA OVERNIGHT DELIVERY

July 8, 2019

Robert W. Berry President and CEO Big Rivers Electric Corporation 201 Third Street Henderson, KY 42419-0024

Subject: Termination Notice for Interconnection Service of Reid Unit 1

Dear Mr. Berry:

This letter notifies Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") that MISO will proceed to terminate the interconnection service for Reid Unit 1 in accordance with the provisions of the MISO Tariff. A review of the status of the Reid Unit 1 indicates that the unit has not returned to service on April 1, 2019 as specified in the Attachment Y Notice dated August 21, 2015, and Big Rivers has not submitted an Attachment Y Notice to MISO for the subsequent retirement of Reid Unit 1. Pursuant to Section 38.2.7 of the Tariff, a Generation Resource may suspend operations for a maximum of 36 cumulative months during any 5-year period under any combination of suspended and SSR-designated statuses; and the Transmission Provider will terminate interconnection service of the resource that does not return to service at the end of the 36-month maximum suspension period.

Once the interconnection service is terminated, Big Rivers will be required to submit an Attachment X request and enter the generation interconnection queue if Big Rivers seeks to resume operations of Reid Unit 1.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Respectfully,

Vikram Godbole Director, Resource Utilization

Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. 317.249-5400 www.misoenergy.org

720 City Center Drive Carmel, Indiana 46032 2985 Ames Crossing Road Eagan, Minnesota 55121 3850 N. Causeway Blvd., Two Lakeway, Suite 442 Metairie, LA 70002

1700 Centerview Drive Little Rock, AR 72211

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 8)	Refer to the application, paragraph 60.
2	а.	Provide the annual maintenance expenses associated with keeping
3		the station idled for the past five calendar years for the Coleman
4		Station.
5	<i>b</i> .	Provide an estimate for the remaining marketable assets for
6		Coleman Station.
$\overline{7}$		

8 Response)

11

9 a. The annual maintenance expenses associated with keeping the Coleman

10 Station idled for the past five calendar years are:

	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Non-Labor	552,419	478,000	343,708	292,884	344,133
Labor	603,153	493,996	543 <i>,</i> 651	543,913	413,077
Total	\$ 1,155,572	\$ 971,996	\$ 887,359	\$ 836,797	\$ 757,210

b. The remaining marketable assets to be disposed as scrap or salvage for
Coleman Station are estimated to be approximately \$

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-8 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 1 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 High grade assets would be removed from the site, to the extent possible. $\mathbf{2}$ This would include items such as transformers, circuit breakers, electrical 3 wire, condenser plates and tubes, and heater tubes to list a few. High grade material that would be removed from the site include precious alloys such 4 as copper, aluminum-brass tubes, stainless steel tubes, and other high $\mathbf{5}$ 6 value metals utilized at the Coleman Station. These high-grade materials 7 are separated from other materials to increase scrap recovery value. Small transformers, small equipment, metal structures, and wire would likely be 8 9 removed and shipped as-is for processing at a scrap yard. Large 10 transformers, steam turbine generators, and condensers would likely require some on-site disassembly prior to being shipped to a scrap 11 yard. Based on industry experience, the steam turbine generator set and 1213generator step-up transformer have been the most likely pieces of 14equipment to be sold for salvage and reuse. Typical customers of this type of equipment are generally located overseas. Although the miscellaneous 15

> Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-8 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 2 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	pumps and motors associated with these facilities can sometimes be sold
2	for salvage, this is one of the more opportunistic markets where a specific
3	buyer with a specifically matched need would have to be identified. The
4	marketable assets that are not sold for salvage value will be sold for scrap
5	to offset some of the decommissioning costs.
6	

7 Witness) Michael T. Pullen

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-8 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 3 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 9)	Refer to the application, paragraph 61.
2	<i>a</i> .	Provide the annual maintenance expenses associated with keeping
3		the station idled for the past five calendar years for the Reid Station
4		1.
5	<i>b</i> .	Provide an estimate for the remaining marketable assets for Reid
6		Station 1.
7		
8	Respons	se)
~		

9 a. Reid Station Unit1 was not idled until April 2016, therefore, there are four 10 years of annual maintenance expense associated with keeping the station 11 idled. These annual maintenance expenses for the past four calendar years 12 are:

Year	Amount
2016	\$ 121,062
2017	\$ 201,568
2018	\$ 240,045
2019	\$ 217,440

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-9 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 1 of 3

13

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 b. The remaining marketable assets to be disposed as scrap or salvage for Reid $\mathbf{2}$ Station 1 are estimated to be much less than Coleman Station. As noted in 3 response to PSC 1-8, Coleman Station remaining marketable assets are 4 estimated to be approximately High grade assets would be removed from the site, to the extent possible. This would include items such $\mathbf{5}$ 6 as transformers, circuit breakers, electrical wire, condenser plates and 7 tubes, and heater tubes to list a few. High grade material that would be removed from the site include precious alloys such as copper, aluminum-8 9 brass tubes, stainless steel tubes, and other high value metals utilized at 10 Reid Station 1. These high-grade materials are separated from other materials to increase scrap recovery value. Small transformers, small 11 equipment, and wire would likely be removed and shipped as-is for 1213processing at a scrap yard. Large transformers, steam turbine generators, 14and condensers would likely require some on-site disassembly prior to being

> Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-9 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 2 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	shipped to a scrap yard. Based on industry experience, the steam turbine
2	generator set and generator step-up transformer have been the most likely
3	pieces of equipment to be sold for salvage and reuse. Typical customers of
4	this type of equipment are generally located overseas. Although the
5	miscellaneous pumps and motors associated with these facilities can
6	sometimes be sold for salvage, this is one of the more opportunistic markets
7	where a specific buyer with a specifically matched need would have to be
8	identified. The marketable assets that are not sold for salvage value will be
9	sold for scrap to offset some of the decommissioning costs.
10	

- 11 Witness) Michael T. Pullen
- 12

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-9 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 3 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 10)	Refer to the application at paragraph 67.
2	<i>a</i> .	Explain how BREC's proposal satisfies the requirements of
3		Accounting Standards Codification 980-340-25-1.
4	<i>b</i> .	Explain whether BREC would be amenable to including the
5		amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets as an
6		explicit component of the calculation of the proposed New TIER
7		Credit.
8		
9	Respons	e)
10	a.	As stated in its application, Big Rivers is requesting to recover the annual

amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets through
 existing rates.¹ As such, if the Commission grants Big Rivers the relief it

Paragraph 93.

¹ See, e.g., In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval to Modify its MRSM Tariff, Cease Deferring Depreciation Expenses, Establish Regulatory Assets, Amortize Regulatory Assets, and Other Appropriate Relief, Case No. 2020-00064, Application

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1		requests, the amortization expense will be included in allowable costs for
2		rate-making purposes, although without the necessity of requesting an
3		additional base rate increase. Further, Big Rivers currently believes, based
4		on available evidence, that its future revenues will be sufficient to recover
5		the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets, less any amortizations of
6		equity headroom, in addition to its expected level of future costs. Therefore,
7		Big Rivers believes that, if the Commission grants it the relief it has
8		requested, it will be in compliance with ASC 980-340-25-1.
9	b.	Yes, Big Rivers is amenable to including the amortization of the Smelter
10		Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets as an explicit component of the
1		calculation of the proposed New TIER Credit.
12		

13 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-10 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

Item 11) Refer to the application, paragraph 100. BREC proposes that the
 net margins above 1.30 Times Interest Earned Ratio (TIER) will be split 50
 percent as a bill credit and 50 percent to amortize the balance of the Smelter
 Loss Mitigation Regulatory Asset. Explain whether BREC would consider a
 25/75 split between the bill credit and Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory
 Assets.

8 Response) Big Rivers believes its proposal represents a reasonable and
9 symmetrical balance of near-term and long-term benefits to its Members. However,
10 Big Rivers is amenable to discussing an alternative allocation.

11

12 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-11 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 12) Refer to the application, page 34, footnote 45. Provide the number 2 and annual load of customers served by Economic Development Contracts or 3 to which BREC's Fuel Adjustment Clause is inapplicable. 4 5 **Response**) One Big Rivers' customer is served by an Economic Development 6 Contract: Aleris Rolled Products, Inc. Aleris' 2019 total annual load was was eligible for the economic development credit. 7 of which 8 Currently, the only load to which the Fuel Adjustment Clause ("FAC") is inapplicable 9 is cogeneration backup power provided for Domtar Paper Company, LLC's. 10 11 Please also see pages 19-21 of the Direct Testimony of Paul G. Smith. 12

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-12 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

¹ See In the Matter of: Joint Application Of Big Rivers Electric Corporation and Meade County Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation for Approval of Contracts for Electric Service with Nucor Corporation and Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Approval of Tariff – Case No. 2019-00365 [Filed October 18, 2019].

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-12 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 13) Refer to the application, paragraph 95. Explain how the 2 adjustment of the amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory

3 Assets will affect the calculation of Big Rivers' TIER in the following year.

4

5 Response) The adjustment of the amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation6 Regulatory Assets will not affect the calculation of Big Rivers' TIER in the following

7 year.

8

9 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-13 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 14) Refer to the application, Exhibit C, Depreciation Study, page 5.
 Explain whether the shift between Production and Transmission and
 General Property plant will materially affect Big Rivers' depreciation
 reserve if Big Rivers does not adopt the revised depreciation rates.

 $\mathbf{5}$

6 Response) No. Big Rivers' total depreciation reserve (accumulated depreciation)
7 will be very similar regardless if Big Rivers does, or does not, adopt the revised
8 depreciation rates.

9

10 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-14 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 15) Refer to the application, Exhibit C, Depreciation Study, page 22.

2 Explain why Coleman and Reid are included in the depreciation study.

3

4 **Response)** The depreciation study was performed as of December 31, 2018, at which

5 time Coleman Station and Reid Unit 1 were not proposed, or approved, to be retired.

6

7 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-15 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 16) Refer to the application, Exhibit C, Depreciation Study, page 67.
 Confirm that decommission costs are not included in the revised
 depreciation rates. If confirmed, explain whether the exclusion of
 decommission costs will result in intergeneration inequities in the recovery
 of these generation plants from BREC's customers.

6

7 Response) Decommission costs are included in the revised depreciation rates in the
8 form of "interim net salvage." Such decommissioning costs include the dismantling
9 of the physical structures; however, such costs do not include decommissioning
10 expenditures related to environmental regulations, such as ash pond closings, water
11 monitoring or landfill capping which are included in the environmental compliance
12 plan filing.

13

14 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-16 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 17) Refer to the Berry Testimony, page 25. Provide the TIER impact
 of a write-off of \$123.1 million, which represents the total write off for both
 Coleman Station and Reid Station Unit 1.

4

5 Response) Big Rivers is currently forecasting a TIER in 2020 (TIER TIER
6 before recording the TIER credit approved in Case No. 2018-00146), assuming no

7 write-off of Coleman Station and Reid Station Unit 1.

Assuming a write-off of Coleman Station and Reid Station Unit 1 in the amount of \$123.1 million, Big Rivers' 2020 forecasted TIER would drop to which represents a TIER reduction of Further, such write-off would result in Big Rivers' member-equity falling below the required minimum per its credit facility.

13 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-17 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 18) Refer to the Berry Testimony, page 30, line 5, though page 31, line 2 21. Explain how the amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory 3 Assets and the proposed new TIER Credit will affect the minimum level of 4 Member equity calculation. Refer to the Berry Testimony, page 6. Provide an 5 analysis of the yearly impacts of the Load Mitigation Plan since the loss of 6 the smelter loads.

7

8 **Response)** The amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets and 9 the proposed New TIER Credit for years in which Big Rivers' TIER is above 1.30 will 10 result in reduced net margins, thus reducing the minimum level of Member equity 11 required. Big Rivers has not prepared an analysis of the yearly impacts of the Load 12 Mitigation Plan since the loss of the smelter loads. However, Big Rivers believes the 13 Load Mitigation Plan has had a positive impact since the loss of the smelter loads, as 14 is exhibited by the fact that Big Rivers has not sought a rate increase since 2013, all 15 three rating agencies have increased their credit ratings, and Big Rivers' is now in

> Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-18 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 the position to request the relief sought in this proceeding with no increase in base

2 rates.

3

4 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-18 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

- 1 Item 19) Refer to Berry Testimony, page 9.
- 2 a. Provide an update to the metric table for 2020.
- 3 b. Explain whether BREC will annually true up the New TIER Credit.
- 4
- 5 Response)
- 6 a. Big Rivers' current estimated <u>projections</u> of the financial metrics for the
- 7 2020 calendar year are as follows:

Metric	2020
Net Margins	
TIER	
Debt Service	
FFO/Debt	
Leverage Ratio	

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-19 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	b.	In the event an audit of Big Rivers' financial information results in a change
2		to Big Rivers financial information that would affect Big Rivers' TIER
3		calculation used to determine the New TIER Credit, Big Rivers is amenable
4		to providing an annual true up of the New TIER Credit. Assuming there
5		are no material differences between Big Rivers unaudited and audited
6		financial information, no true up will be necessary. Please also see Big
7		Rivers' response to Item 25 of the Commission's first requests for
8		information.

9

10 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-19 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 20)	Refer to the Direct Testimony of Paul G. Smith (Smith
2	Testimo	ny), page 11.
3	<i>a</i> .	Provide the estimated decommissioning costs for the Coleman
4		Station.
5	<i>b</i> .	Provide the estimated decommissioning costs of the Reid Station 1.
6		
7	Respons	se)
8	a.	Pursuant to the decommissioning cost estimate study for Coleman Station
9		and Reid Station Unit 1 provided with Big Rivers' response to Item 1 of
10		Commission Staff's request for information, the estimated net project costs
11		to decommission Coleman Station are (in 2016\$) for the below
12		grade demolition option and (in 2016\$) for the retirement in
13		place option.
14	b.	The decommissioning cost estimate study for Coleman Station and Reid
15		Station Unit 1 provided with Big Rivers' response to Item 1 of Commission

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-20 Witness: Michael T. Pullen Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Staff's request for information lays out one option for decommissioning Reid
2	Station Unit 1, which is retirement-in-place. The estimated cost for that
3	option is (in 2016\$). Due to the proximity of Reid Station Unit
4	1 to Henderson Municipal Power & Light's Station Two ("Station Two"),
5	this study did not consider demolition of the Reid Station Unit 1 in 2016.
6	However, Station Two is now retired so Big Rivers anticipates the
7	demolition of Reid Station Unit 1 in conjunction with Station Two. As the
8	Commission is aware, there are outstanding issues between Big Rivers and
9	the City of Henderson related to the decommissioning of Station Two.
10	Those issues are before the Commission in Case No. $2019-00269.^{1}$
1	

12 Witness) Michael T. Pullen

¹ In the Matter of: Application of Big Rivers Electric Corporation for Enforcement of Rate and Service Standards – Case No. 2019-00269 [Filed July 31, 2019].

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 21) Refer to the Smith Testimony, page 14. Provide BREC's 2019 TIER

2 and supporting Form 7.

3

4 Response) Big Rivers' 2019 TIER was 1.45x based on the unaudited financial

5 statements. Big Rivers does not prepare a Form 7, but is instead attaching its

6 unaudited 2019 RUS Form 12.

7

8 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-21 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1
According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, an control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0572-0032. T response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gather	nd a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 21 hours per ing and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062
FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT	PERIOD ENDED December 2019
ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	BORROWER NAME
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.	BIG RIVELS ELECTIC COLDUCATION
This information is analyzed and used to determine the submitter's financial situation regulations to provide the information. The information provided is subject to the F	on and feasibility for loans and guarantees. You are required by contract and applicable reedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552)
CER	TIFICATION
We recognize that statements contained herein concern a matter wi false, fictitious or fraudulent statement may render the maker s	ithin the jurisdiction of an agency of the United States and the making of a subject to prosecution under Title 18, United States Code Section 1001.
We hereby certify that the entries in this report an of the system and reflect the status of the s	re in accordance with the accounts and other records system to the best of our knowledge and belief.
ALL INSURANCE REQUIRED BY PART 1788 OF 7 CFR CH PERIOD AND RENEWALS HAVE BEEN OBTAINED BY THIS REPORT PURSUANT TO P.	APTER XVII, RUS, WAS IN FORCE DURING THE REPORTING FOR ALL POLICIES DURING THE PERIOD COVERED ART 1718 OF 7 CFR CHAPTER XVII
(check on	e of the following)
All of the obligations under the RUS loan documents have been fulfilled in all material respects.	 There has been a default in the fulfillment of the obligations under the RUS loan documents. Said default(s) is/are specifically described in Part A Section C of this report.

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply

	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	BORROWER DE	BORROWER DESIGNATION				
	RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE		КҮ0062				
	FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT						
	ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	PERIOD ENDED	PERIOD ENDED				
	PART A - FINANCIAL		December 2019				
INST	TRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.						
	SECTION A. STA	TEMENT OF OPERATI	ONS				
			YEAR-TO-DATE				
	11 EM	LAST YEAR	THIS YEAR	BUDGET	THIS MONTH		
1	Electric Energy Revenues	<i>(a)</i> 366 189 758	(0)	(c)	(<i>a</i>) 28,123,700		
2	Income From Leased Property (Net)	0	0	0	0		
3.	Other Operating Revenue and Income	14,015,283	16,474,972	13,922,898	963,796		
4.	Total Operation Revenues & Patronage Capital (1 thru 3)	380,205,041	378,726,944	397,340,621	29,087,496		
5.	Operating Expense – Production - Excluding Fuel	47,897,213	45,917,589	51,201,533	3,369,140		
6.	Operating Expense – Production - Fuel	128,554,933	119,830,957	145,588,316	5,900,323		
7.	Operating Expense – Other Power Supply	51,909,654	37,893,241	31,943,766	4,787,596		
8.	Operating Expense – Transmission	8,284,761	7,118,281	7,796,642	493,407		
9.	Operating Expense – RTO/ISO	1,334,541	1,005,132	997,763	70,109		
10.	Operating Expense – Distribution	0	0	0	0		
11.	Operating Expense – Customer Accounts	(158,098)	0	0	0		
12.	Operating Expense – Customer Service & Information	1,134,185	652,628	706,643	54,539		
13.	Operating Expense – Sales	65,836	136,876	131,005	31,229		
14.	Operating Expense – Administrative & General	23,696,745	29,163,116	26,338,810	3,516,584		
15.	Total Operation Expense (5 thru 14)	262,719,770	241,717,820	264,704,478	18,222,927		
16.	Maintenance Expense – Production	41,200,903	32,244,613	36,937,184	2,985,419		
17.	Maintenance Expense – Transmission	6,418,487	6,640,686	6,927,045	694,133		
18.	Maintenance Expense – RTO/ISO	0	0	0	0		
19.	Maintenance Expense – Distribution	0	0	0	0		
20.	Maintenance Expense – General Plant	277,918	180,789	126,676	16,957		
21.	Total Maintenance Expense (16 thru 20)	47,897,308	39,066,088	43,990,905	3,696,509		
22.	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	20,708,887	49,355,955	39,222,006	30,386,603		
23.	Taxes	(10,361)	(26,171)	1,102	(1)		
24.	Interest on Long-Term Debt	38,566,555	37,143,611	37,119,573	3,111,036		
25.	Interest Charged to Construction - Credit	(55,778)	(206,529)	(166,609)	(25,189)		
26.	Other Interest Expense	57,478	0	0	0		
27.	Asset Retirement Obligations	0	0	0	0		
28.	Other Deductions	716,769	696,211	858,093	76,159		
29.	Total Cost Of Electric Service (15 + 21 thru 28)	370,600,628	367,746,985	385,729,548	55,468,044		
30.	Operating Margins (4 less 29)	9,604,413	10,979,959	11,611,073	(26,380,548)		
31.	Interest Income	2,670,221	2,902,915	2,707,313	295,922		
32.	Allowance For Funds Used During Construction	0	0	0	0		
33.	Income (Loss) from Equity Investments	0	0	0	0		
34.	Other Non-operating Income (Net)	1,348	334,271	0	36,749		
35.	Generation & Transmission Capital Credits	0	0	0	0		
36.	Other Capital Credits and Patronage Dividends	2,953,843	2,497,480	2,385,424	729		
37.	Extraordinary Items	0	0	0	0		
38.	Net Patronage Capital Or Margins (30 thru 37)	15,229,825	16,714,625	16,703,810	(26,047,148)		

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply - Part A - Financial

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			BORROWER DESIGNATION				
RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE			ку0062				
	FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPO	ORT					
	ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY		PERIOD ENDED				
INIS	PARI A - FINANCIAL			December 2019			
IND.	ROCTIONS – See help in the online application.	CECTION D. D.	LANC				
	A COPTE AND OTHER DEDITE	SECTION B. BA	LANC	E SHEEI			
1	ASSEIS AND OTHER DEBIIS	2 062 465 000	22	LIABILITIES AND OTHER CREDITS			
1.	Total Utility Plant in Service	2,062,465,999	33. 24	Memberships	/5		
2.		35,002,045	54.	a Assigned and Assignable	0		
3.	Total Utility Plant $(I + 2)$	2,098,128,644		b. Retired This year	0		
4.	Accum. Provision for Depreciation and Amortization	1,193,042,964		c. Retired Prior years	0		
5.	Net Utility Plant (3 - 4)	905,085,680		d. Net Patronage Capital $(a - b - c)$	0		
6.	Non-Utility Property (Net)	0	35.	Operating Margins - Prior Years	(149,566,934)		
7.	Investments in Subsidiary Companies	0	36.	Operating Margin - Current Year	13,477,438		
8.	Invest. in Assoc. Org Patronage Capital	11,003,421	37.	Non-Operating Margins	654,836,959		
9.	Invest. in Assoc. Org Other - General Funds	32,293,830	38.	Other Margins and Equities	4,416,537		
10.	Invest. in Assoc. Org Other - Nongeneral Funds	0	39.	Total Margins & Equities	522 164 075		
11.	Investments in Economic Development Projects	10,000		(33 +34d thru 38)	523,104,075		
12.	Other Investments	5,334	40.	Long-Term Debt - RUS (Net)	191,538,409		
13.	Special Funds	9,390,633	41.	Long-Term Debt - FFB - RUS Guaranteed	40,892,573		
14.	Total Other Property And Investments	52,703,218	42.	Long-Term Debt - Other - RUS Guaranteed	0		
	(6 thru 13)		43.	Long-Term Debt - Other (Net)	476,181,294		
15.	Cash - General Funds	958,726	44.	Long-Term Debt - RUS - Econ. Devel. (Net)	0		
16.	Cash - Construction Funds - Trustee	353,000	45.	Payments – Unapplied	0		
17.	Special Deposits	1,770,425	46.	Total Long-Term Debt (40 thru 44 - 45)	708,612,276		
18.	Temporary Investments	39,211,508	47.	Obligations Under Capital Leases Noncurrent	0		
19.	Notes Receivable (Net)	0	48.	Accumulated Operating Provisions and Asset Retirement Obligations	50,959,569		
20.	Accounts Receivable - Sales of Energy (Net)	30,479,418	49.	Total Other NonCurrent Liabilities			
21.	Accounts Receivable - Other (Net)	6,424,079		(47 + 48)	50,959,509		
22.	Fuel Stock	26,966,066	50.	Notes Payable	0		
23.	Renewable Energy Credits	0	51.	Accounts Payable	25,029,472		
24.	Materials and Supplies - Other	24,215,815	52.	Current Maturities Long-Term Debt	27,673,256		
25.	Prepayments	4,242,096	53.	Current Maturities Long-Term Debt - Rural Devel.	0		
26.	Other Current and Accrued Assets	417,633	54.	Current Maturities Capital Leases	0		
27.	Total Current And Accrued Assets	135 038 766	55.	Taxes Accrued	313,321		
	(15 thru 26)	133,030,700	56.	Interest Accrued	3,278,739		
28.	Unamortized Debt Discount & Extraordinary Property Losses	2,685,007	57.	Other Current and Accrued Liabilities	8,741,024		
29.	Regulatory Assets	250,562,563	58.	Total Current & Accrued Liabilities (50 thru 57)	65,035,812		
30.	Other Deferred Debits	5,427,154	59.	Deferred Credits	3,784,268		
31.	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	53,612	60.	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	0		
32.	Total Assets and Other Debits (5+14+27 thru 31)	1,351,556,000	61.	Total Liabilities and Other Credits (39 + 46 + 49 + 58 thru 60)	1,351,556,000		

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	BORROWER DESIGNATION			
FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	KY0062			
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.	PERIOD ENDED December 2019			
SECTION C. NOTES TO	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS			
Footnote to RUS Financial and Opera	ting Report Electric Power Supply Part A			
Financial	Ratios: 2019			
Margins For Intere	est Ratio (MFIR) 1.45			
Footnote to RUS Financial and Opera	ting Report Electric Power Supply Part H			
Section A Column c (Utility Plant Retirements)			
Big Rivers operated Henderson Municipal Powe under an agreement in which both parties shared plant was retired January 31, 2019 and Big River	er & Light's (HMP&L) Station Two generating plant capacity rights and operating costs. The Station Two 's' utility plant assets associated with Station Two in			
the amount of \$84.9 million were retired and record the RUS and the Kentucky Public Service Comm Financial Statement	rded in a regulatory asset that was approved by both hission. See Footnote 5 of Big Rivers' 2019 Audited s for more information.			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062					
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.	PERIOD ENDED December 2019					
SECTION C. CERTIFICATI	SECTION C. CERTIFICATION LOAN DEFAULT NOTES					

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE				BORROWER DESIGNATION						
	FINANCIAL AND OPERATI ELECTRIC POWER S	NG REPORT UPPLY								
INSTRUCTIO	DNS - See help in the online application	on.		PERIOD ENDED D	December 2019					
PART B SE - SALES				S OF ELECTRICIT	Y					
Sale No.	Name Of Company or Public Authority	RUS Borrower Designation	Statistical Classification	Renewable Energy Program Name	Primary Renewable Fuel Type	Average Monthly Billing Demand (MW)	Actual Average Monthly NCP Demand	Actual Average Monthly CP Demand		
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(h)		
2	2 Jackson Purchase Energy Corp (KY0020)	KY0020	RQ			119	131	117		
3	Kenergy Corporation (KY0065)	KY0065	IF							
4	Kenergy Corporation (KY0065)	KY0065	RQ			368	376	357		
5	Meade County Rural E C C (KY0018)	KY0018	RQ			96	102	93		
e	Associated Electric Coop, Inc (MO0073)	MO0073	OS							
7	Southern Illinois Power Coop (IL0050)	IL0050	OS							
8	ADM Investor Services, Inc. (IL)		OS							
ç	American Electric Power (AEP)		OS							
1(BP Energy Company		OS							
11	City of California		OS							
12	City of Centralia (MO)		OS							
13	Hannibal Board of Public Works (MO)		OS							
14	City of Kahoka		OS							
15	City of Marceline		OS							
16	Owensboro Municipal Utilities		OS							
17	City of Wakefield		OS							
18	DTE Energy Trading, Inc		OS							
19	EDF Trading North America, LLC (TX)		OS							
20	Henderson Munic Power & Light		OS							
21	MacQuarie Energy LLC (TX)		OS							
22	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (IN)		OS							
23	Morgan Stanley Capital Grp Inc (NY)		OS							
24	NextEra Energy Power Marketing LLC (FL)		OS							
25	Northeast Nebraska P P D (NE0107)	NE0107	OS							
26	City of Wayne (NE)		OS							
27	Kentucky Municipal Energy Agency (KY)		OS							
UC	Total for Ultimate Consumer(s)									
Dist	Total for Distribution Borrowers					583	609	567		
G&T	Total for G&T Borrowers					0	0	0		
Other	Total for Other					0	0	0		
Total	Grand Total					583	609	567		

U	NITED STATES DEPARTME RURAL UTILITIE	ENT OF AGRICULTURE	BORROWER DESIG	BORROWER DESIGNATION				
	FINANCIAL AND OPER ELECTRIC POWI	RATING REPORT ER SUPPLY		KY0062				
INSTRUCTIO	NS - See help in the online app	lication.	PERIOD ENDED De	ecember 2019				
		PART B SE -	SALES OF ELECTRICITY	7				
Sale No	Electricity Sold (MWh) (i)	Revenue Demand Charges	Revenue Energy Charges (k)	Revenue Other Charges	Revenue Total (j + k + l) (m)			
1	(1)	(J)	(**)	(*)	(111)			
2	631.177	19,782,905	34,217,652		54.000.557			
3	143,258	. ,	4,182,145		4,182,145			
4	2,102,413	54,672,905	105,915,885		160,588,790			
5	473,550	15,902,380	25,788,106		41,690,486			
6	162		3,112		3,112			
7	11,400		323,033		323,033			
8			766,765		766,765			
9			885,920		885,920			
10			2,395,896		2,395,896			
11			354,000		354,000			
12			291,000		291,000			
13			750,000		750,000			
14			6,000		6,000			
15			93,000		93,000			
16			67,351		67,351			
17			620,452		620,452			
18			2,476,929		2,476,929			
19			8,983,200		8,983,200			
20	41,061		1,266,956		1,266,956			
21			14,077,120		14,077,120			
22	2,361,382		62,837,444	(50,443,931)	12,393,513			
23			11,489,280		11,489,280			
24			22,198,240		22,198,240			
25			3,509,212		3,509,212			
26			777,159		777,159			
27	321,967		18,061,856		18,061,856			
UC								
Dist	3,350,398	90,358,190	170,103,788	0	260,461,978			
G&T	11,562	0	326,145	0	326,145			
Other	2,724,410	0	151,907,780	(50,443,931)	101,463,849			
Total	6,086,370	90,358,190	322,337,713	(50,443,931)	362,251,972			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE		BORROWER DESIGNATION		
	FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	KY0062		
INSTRUCTIONS	- See help in the online application.	PERIOD ENDED December 2019		
	PART B SE - SALE	S OF ELECTRICITY		
Sale No		Comments		
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
/				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21	Other Revenue charges are associated with off-system energy sale	es revenue reported in Sales No's 9, 10, 19, 21, 23, & 24		
23	other Revenue charges are associated with off system energy sar	5 revenue reported in Suites No.5 9, 10, 19, 21, 25, & 24.		
24				
25				
26				
27				
UC				

	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE				BORROWER D	ESIGNATION			
	FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY					KYO	0062		
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.				PERIOD ENDE	D December 2019	9			
			PART B P	P - PUR	CHASED POW	ER			
Purch ase No.	Name Of Company or Public Authority	RUS Borrower Designation	Statistical Classification	Rene Pro	Renewable Energy Program Name Primary Renewable Fuel Type Average Monthly Billing Actual Average Billing (MWW) Monthly NCP Demand Demand				Actual Average Monthly CP Demand ()
	(a)	(b)	(c)		(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
1	Associated Electric Coop, Inc (MO0073)	MO0073	OS						
2	Southern Illinois Power Coop (IL0050)	IL0050	OS						
3	Dynegy Marketing&Trade, Inc		OS						
4	Henderson Munic Power & Light		RQ						
5	Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc. (IN)		OS						
6	Southeastern Power Admin		LF				154	110	95
7	Kentucky National Guard (KY)		OS						
8	Voltus, Inc (CA)		OS						
Dist	Total for Distribution Borrowers						0	0	0
G&T	Total for G&T Borrowers						0	0	0
Other	Total for Other						154	110	95
Total	Grand Total						154	110	95

INSTRUC	UNITED STATES D RURAI FINANCIAL A ELECT	DEPARTMENT OF AC UTILITIES SERVIC AND OPERATING F RIC POWER SUPPI CONTRACTOR	GRICULTURE E REPORT JY	BORROWER D	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062					
INSTRUC	110NS - See help in the	e onnne apprication.		PERIOD ENDE	D December 2019					
	PART B PP - PURCHASED POWER									
Purchase No	Electricity Purchased (MWh)	Electricity Received (MWh)	Electricity Delivered (MWh)	Demand Charges	Energy Charges	Other Charges	Total (l + m + n)			
	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(0)			
1	32,398				604,425		604,425			
2	694,002				16,921,745		16,921,745			
3					270,432		270,432			
4	(1,922)				1,183,982		1,183,982			
5	231,725				7,721,329		7,721,329			
6	210,202				8,078,590		8,078,590			
7	67				1,487		1,487			
8					132,527		132,527			
Dist	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
G&T	726,400	0	0	0	17,526,170	0	17,526,170			
Other	440,072	0	0	0	17,388,347	0	17,388,347			
Total	1,166,472	0	0	0	34,914,517	0	34,914,517			

UNIT	ED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062
INSTRUCTIONS	- See help in the online application.	PERIOD ENDED December 2019
	PART B PP - PUR	CHASED POWER
Purchase No		Comments
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		

UNITED STATES DEPART RURAL UTILI FINANCIAL AND O ELECTRIC PO	BORROWER DESI	GNATION KY0062				
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application			PERIOD ENDED December 2019			
	- RENEWABLE GE	NERATING PLAN	Г SUMMARY			
Plant Name	Prime Mover	Primary Renewable Fuel Type	Renewable Fuel (%)	Capacity (kW)	Net Generation (MWh)	Capacity Factor (%)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) (e) (f) (g			
Total:				0.0	0.0	

UNITED STAT RU FINANC	ES DEPARTMENT O JRAL UTILITIES SEF IAL AND OPERATIN ECTRIC POWER SI	F AGRICULTURE RVICE NG REPORT IPPI V	BORROWER DE	SIGNATION KY0062		
INSTRUCTIONS - See help	in the online application	n	PERIOD ENDED	December 2019		
	PA	ART C RE - RENEWABLE	GENERATING PLA	NT SUMMARY		
Plant Name	Number of Employees	Total O&M Cost (mils/Net kWh)	Power Cost (mils/Net kWh)	Total Investment (\$1,000)	Percentage Ownership (%)	RUS Funding (\$1,000)
(a) Total:	(h) 0	(i)	(j)	(<u>k</u>)	(1)	(m)

Ľ	JNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062
INSTRUCTIO	ONS - See help in the online application	PERIOD ENDED December 2019
	PART C RE - RENEWABLE GE	NERATING PLANT SUMMARY
Plant Nam	e	Comments

reUNITED STATES DEPAR RURAL UTILI	TMENT OF AGRICULTURE ITIES SERVICE	BORROWEI	R DESIGNATION K	Y0062	
FINANCIAL AND O ELECTRIC P(PART C - SOURCES AND D	PERATING REPORT OWER SUPPLY DISTRIBUTION OF ENERGY	PERIOD EN	DED December 20	19	
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the onlin	e application.				
SOURCES	OF ENERGY a)	NO. OF PLANTS (b)	CAPACITY (kW) (c)	NET ENERGY RECIEVED BY SYSTEM (MWh) (d)	COST (\$) (<i>e</i>)
Generated in Own Plant	(Details on Parts D, E, F IC, F CC, a	nd G)			
1. Fossil Steam		4	1,489,000	4,960,896	238,385,327
2. Nuclear		0	0	0	0
3. Hydro		0	0	0	0
4. Combined Cycle		0	0	0	0
5. Internal Combustion		1	70,000	4,087	915,272
6. Other		0	0	0	0
7. Total in Own Plant (1 thru 6)		5	1,559,000	4,964,983	239,300,599
Purchased Power					
8. Total Purchased Power				1,166,472	34,914,517
Interchanged Power					
9. Received Into System (Gross)				2,506,141	0
10. Delivered Out of System (Gross)				2,384,066	0
11. Net Interchange (9 - 10)				122,075	0
Transmission For or By (Others - (Wheeling)				
12. Received Into System				15,001	22,502
13. Delivered Out of System				15,001	22,502
14. Net Energy Wheeled (12 - 13)				0	0
15. Total Energy Available for Sal	e (7 + 8 + 11 + 14)			6,253,530	
Distribution of Energy					
16. Total Sales				6,086,370	
17. Energy Furnished to Others Witho	out Charge			0	
18. Energy Used by Borrower (Exclude	ding Station Use)			4,434	
19. Total Energy Accounted For (A	16 thru 18)			6,090,804	
Losses					
20. Energy Losses - MWh (15 - 19))			162,726	
21. Energy Losses - Percentage ((2	20 / 15) * 100)			2.60 %	

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply – Part C - Sources and Distribution of Energy

		UNITED	STATES DEPARTMEN	NT OF AGRICULTUR	E		BORROWER	DESI	GNATION KY006	2					
		FINA	RURAL UTILITIES	SERVICE			DI ANT			_					
		FINA	ELECTRIC POWE	ER SUPPLY			PLANI Col	eman	1						
INST	RUCTIO)NS - See heln	PART D - STEAN	tion			PERIOD END	ED	December 2019						
11151	RUCIR	JING - See help	in the online applied	uon.	SECT	ION A. BOI	LERS/TURBIN	NES							
				FU	UEL CO	ONSUMPTI	ON				(OPERATIN	G HOURS		
NO	UNIT	TIMES	COAL	OIL	(10	GAS	OTHER		TOTAL] SED	IN	ON STANDRY	OUT OF	SERVICE	
NU.	NO. (a)	(b)	(1000 LDS.) (c)	(1000 Gals.) (d)	(10	(e)	(f)		(g)	SEK ((h)	(i)	(<i>i</i>)	(k)	
1.	1						v /						8,760		
2.	2												8,760		
3.	3												8,760		
4.															
5. 6	Total	0	0	0.00		0 00		0 00			0	0	26 280	0	
7.	Average	BTU		0.00		0.000		0.00				0	20,200		
8.	Total B	$\Gamma U (10^6)$							0						
9.	Total De	el. Cost (\$)													
	SEC	TION A. BOI	LERS/TURBINES	(Continued)		SEC	FION B. LABC	OR RF	EPORT	SE	C. C. I	FACTORS &	MAX. D	EMAND	
NO	UNIT	SI7F (LW)	GROSS CEN (MWb)	BTU PFP kWb	NO		ITEM		VALUE	NO		ITFM	V	IIF	
110.	(l)	(m)	(n)	(<i>o</i>)	110.				VALUE	110.		1112/11	V F	ALUE	
1.	1	160,000			1	No. Employ	ees Full-Time		0	0 1. Load Factor (%)				0 0.0%	
2.	2	160,000		-	1.	(Include Su	perintendent)		0	1.	Load I	actor (70)	_	0.000	
3.	3	165,000		-	2.	No. Employ	ees Part-Time		0	2.	Plant I	Factor (%)	(%)		
4.				-	<u> </u>						- ·				
<u>э</u> .	Total	485 000	0.00		3.	Total Em Hours W	ployee orked		6,167	3. Running Plant Capacity Factor (%)			0.00%		
7	Station 9	Service (MWh) 5.00			Operating P	lant Payroll (\$)		180 948		15 M	ny Factor (70	,		
7.	Net Car		5,831.00			Mointonono	a Plant Pouroll ((\$)	412,077	4.	Max. I	nute Gross Demand (kW)	0	
8.	Net Gen (MWh)	ieration	(5,831.00)	0.00	5.	Other Accts	Plant Payroll ((\$) (\$)	413,077		.	1.0	, 		
9	Station Station	Service (%)	0.00		7	Total Pla	nt Pavroll (\$)	Ψ)	594 025	5.	Indica Max I	ted Gross Demand (kW)	0	
<i>,</i>	otation			SECTIO	ND.C	OST OF NE	T ENERGY G	ENE	RATED				,		
NO		DD	ODUCTION EXDED	NSE		ACCOUR	T NUMPED	1	AMOUNT (\$)	Μ	IILLS/	NET kWh	\$/10 ⁶	BTU	
10.				NGE		ACCOUL	T NUMBER		<i>(a)</i>	_		(b)	(c)	
1.	Operat	10n, Supervisio	on and Engineering			4	500		1 562	-					
2.	Fuel, C)il				-	501.2		1,503	-					
4.	Fuel, C	Gas				4	501.3		5,128						
5.	Fuel, C	Other				4	501.4		0						
6.	F	uel SubTotal	(2 thru 5)				501	<u> </u>	6,691		_	(1.14)			
·/.	Steam	Expenses					502		650,549	-					
0. 9.	Miscel	laneous Steam	Power Expenses				506		236,524	-					
10.	Allowa	ances					509		0						
11.	Rents						507		0						
12.	N	on-Fuel SubT	otal (1 + 7 thru 11)			-			1,225,802	_		(210.22)			
13.	O	peration Exp	ense $(6 + 12)$	~			510		1,232,493	_		(211.36)			
14.	Mainte	enance of Strue	ision and Engineering	8			510		233,754						
16.	Mainte	enance of Boile	er Plant				512		252,356						
17.	Mainte	enance of Elect	ric Plant				513		20,531						
18.	Mainte	enance of Misc	ellaneous Plant				514		82,950			(100.05)			
19. 20	M T	laintenance E	xpense (14 thru 18) on Expense (13 ± 10))				<u> </u>	757,210	+		(129.85)			
20.	Deprec	ciation	m Expense (15 + 19)	,		403.	1,411.10		1,989,703			(371.22)			
22.	Interes	t					427		4,975,538						
23.	Т	otal Fixed Co	st(21+22)						4,975,538			(853.29)			
24.	P	ower Cost (20	+23)						6,965,241		((1,194.51)			
Rem	arks														

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062
FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART D - STEAM PLANT	PLANT Coleman
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application	PERIOD ENDED December 2019
Foot	Notes
The Out of Service Scheduled Hours for Coleman S temporary idling of the Station, effective April 30, 20 contracts with Big Rivers as wholesale power suppl Company is proposing to retire the units.	Station Units 1, 2, and 3 are a result of the 014, due to the termination of two aluminum smelter ier and Kenergy Corp. as retail power supplier. The

		UNITED S	STATES DEPARTMEN RURAL UTILITIES	NT OF AGRICULTUR	Е		BORROWER	DESI	GNATION KY006	2				
		FINAN	ICIAL AND OPER ELECTRIC POWE	ATING REPORT ER SUPPLY			PLANT _{Gre}	een						
			PART D - STEAN	M PLANT			PERIOD END	ED	December 2019					
INST	RUCTIO	DNS - See help	in the online applica	tion.	SECT		I EDS/TUDDIN	NES						
<u> </u>				F	SECT.	IUN A. BUI INSLIMPTI	<u>LEKS/IUKBI</u> ON	NES		I	(OPERATIN	C HOURS	
	UNIT	TIMES	COAL	OIL		GAS					IN	ON	OUT OF	SERVICE
NO.	NO.	STARTED	(1000 Lbs.)	(1000 Gals.)	(10	00 C.F.)	OTHER		TOTAL	SER	VICE	STANDBY	SCHED.	UNSCH.
	<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>	(c)	(d)		(e)	(f)		(g)		(h)	<i>(i)</i>	(j)	(<i>k</i>)
1.	1	21	1,212,289.60	552.55		0.00	(0.00			6,898	832	434	596
2.	2	19	1,093,524.10	428.81		0.00	(0.00			6,360	2,009	0	391
3.														
4.														
5.														
6.	Total	40	2,305,814	981.36		0.00		0.00			13,258	2,841	434	987
7.	Average	e BTU	11,371	138,000.32		0.00		0.00						
8.	Total B	$\Gamma U (10^{\circ})$	26,219,408.00	135,428		0.00		0.00	26,354,836					
9.	Total De	el. Cost (\$)	49,257,088	1,989,480.00	-	0.00		0.00	DODT	GE				
	SEC	TION A. BOII	CROSS	(Continued)		SEC	TION B. LABC)K KI	EPORT	SE	.C. C. I	FACTORS	¥ MAX. D	EMAND
NO.	NO. (<i>l</i>)	SIZE (kW) (m)	$\frac{\text{GROSS}}{\text{GEN. (MWh)}}$	PER kWh (o)	NO.		ITEM		VALUE	NO.		ITEM	VA	ALUE
1. 2.	1	250,000 242,000	1,402,119.70 1,216,246.50		1.	No. Employ (Include Sup	vees Full-Time perintendent)		142	1.	Load F	Factor (%)		59.72%
3. 4.				-	2.	No. Employ	vees Part-Time		0	2.	Plant I	Factor (%)		60.75%
5.	Total	402.000	2 610 266 20	10.065	3.	Total Em	ployee		298,357	3.	Runnin	ng Plant ity Factor (%)	80.23%
7.	Station S	Service (MWh)	277,216.00	10,000	4.	Operating P	Plant Payroll (\$)		11,968,334	4	15 Minute Gross			500 495
8	Net Gen	eration			5.	Maintenanc	e Plant Payroll ((\$)	6,726,724	Τ.	Max. I	Demand (kW)	500,495
0.	(MWh)		2,341,150.20	11,257.22	6.	Other Accts	. Plant Payroll (\$)	0	~	Indica	ted Gross		
9.	Station S	Service (%)	10.59		7.	Total Pla	nt Payroll (\$)		18,695,058	э.	Max. I	Demand (kW) (0
				SECTIO	N D. C	OST OF NE	T ENERGY G	ENE	RATED					
NO.		PRO	DUCTION EXPE	NSE		ACCOUN	NT NUMBER		AMOUNT (\$) (<i>a</i>)	M	IILLS/ (NET kWh (b)	\$/10 ⁶ (4	BTU c)
1.	Operat	ion, Supervisio	n and Engineering				500		3,174,313	_				
2.	Fuel, C	Coal				4	501.1		55,518,907	_			L	2.11
3.	Fuel, C	Dil					501.2		1,989,480	-			L	14.69
4.	Fuel, C	Jas Nas					501.3		0	-			L	
5. 6	Fuel, C	uel SubTotal (2 thru 5)				501		57 509 297			24 56		2 18
7	Steam	Expenses	u			1	502	<u> </u>	19.016 414			21.30		2.10
8.	Electri	c Expenses					505		2,410,542					
9.	Miscel	laneous Steam	Power Expenses				506		2,405,485					
10.	Allowa	ances					509		921					
11.	Rents						507		0					
12.	N	on-Fuel SubTo	otal (1 + 7 <i>thru</i> 11)						27,007,675			11.53		
13.	0	peration Expe	nse (6 + 12)						84,516,062			36.10		
14.	Mainte	enance, Supervi	sion and Engineering	5			510		1,561,165	-				
15.	Mainte	enance of Struct	ures				511	<u> </u>	1,839,383					
16.	Mainte	mance of Elast	r Plant				512		1,454,777					
1/.	Mainte	mance of Misca	ellaneous Plant			+	513	 	1 NRE 2/F					
1 9.	M	laintenance Ex	xpense (14 thru 18)						17,575,343			7.50		
20.	T	otal Productio	n Expense (13 + 19)						102,091,405			43.60		
21.	Deprec	ciation				403.	1,411.10		9,804,046					
22.	Interes	t					427		8,048,037					
23.	Т	otal Fixed Cos	t (21 + 22)					L	17,852,083	_		7.62		
24.	P	ower Cost (20	+23)						119,943,488			51.23		
Rem	arks													

		UNITED S	STATES DEPARTMEN RURAL UTILITIES	NT OF AGRICULTUR	E		BORROWER	DESI	GNATION KY006	2				
		FINA	NCIAL AND OPER ELECTRIC POWE	ATING REPORT CR SUPPLY			PLANT _{Rei}	.d						
INICO		NIC C 11.	PART D - STEAN				PERIOD END	ED	December 2019					
11121	RUCIIC	JNS - See help	in the online applica	tion.	SECT		I FRS/TURBIN	VES						
	l –			FI	IEL CO	ION A. BOI	ON	NES.			(OPERATIN	GHOURS	
	UNIT	TIMES	COAL	OIL		GAS				1	ÍN .	ON	OUT OF	SERVICE
NO.	NO.	STARTED	(1000 Lbs.)	(1000 Gals.)	(10	00 C.F.)	OTHER		TOTAL	SER	VICE	STANDBY	SCHED.	UNSCH.
	<i>(a)</i>	(b)	(c)	<i>(d)</i>		(e)	(f)		(g)	((h)	<i>(i)</i>	(j)	(<i>k</i>)
1.	1												8,760	
2.														
3.														
4.														
5.	Total		0	0.00		0.00		0.00			0		0 760	0
0.	Average	BTU	0	0.00		0.00		0.00			0	U	8,760	0
8.	Total B	$\Gamma U (10^6)$							0					
9.	Total De	el. Cost (\$)												
	SEC	TION A. BOI	LERS/TURBINES	(Continued)	T	SEC	TION B. LABC)R RI	EPORT	SE	C. C. I	FACTORS &	& MAX. DI	EMAND
	UNIT		GROSS	BTU										
NO.	NO. (<i>l</i>)	SIZE (kW) (m)	GEN. (MWh) (<i>n</i>)	PER kWh (o)	NO.		ITEM		VALUE	NO.		ITEM	VA	ALUE
1. 2.	1	72,000			1.	No. Employ (Include Su	/ees Full-Time perintendent)		0	1.	Load I	Factor (%)		0.00%
3. 4.				-	2.	No. Employ	vees Part-Time		0	2.	Plant I	Factor (%)		
5.					2	Total Em	ployee			2	Runni	ng Plant		0.00%
6.	Total	72,000	0.00	0	5.	Hours W	orked		7,256	5.	Capac	ity Factor (%)	0.003
7.	Station 3	Service (MWh)	13,908.00		4.	Operating P	Plant Payroll (\$)		165,858	4	15 Mir	nute Gross		
0	Net Gen	eration			5.	Maintenanc	e Plant Payroll ((\$)	329,139	4.	Max. I	Demand (kW)	0
δ.	(MWh)		(13,908.00)	0.00	6.	Other Accts	s. Plant Payroll ((\$)	0	_	Indica	ted Gross		
9.	Station S	Service (%)	0.00		7.	Total Pla	nt Payroll (\$)		494,997	5.	Max. I	Demand (kW)	0
				SECTIO	ND.C	OST OF NE	ET ENERGY G	ENE	RATED					
NO		PRO	DDUCTION EXPEN	NSE		ACCOUN	NT NUMBER		AMOUNT (\$) (<i>a</i>)	М	IILLS/	NET kWh (b)	\$/10 ⁶	BTU c)
1.	Operat	ion, Supervisio	n and Engineering				500		28,140	_				
2.	Fuel, C	Coal					501.1		16,253	_				
3.	Fuel, C						501.2		0	-				
- 4 . 5	Fuel ()ther				-	501.5		0	-				
6.	F uch, c	uel SubTotal (2 thru 5)				501		16,253			(1.16)		
7.	Steam	Expenses	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				502	L	173,693					
8.	Electri	c Expenses					505		58,280					
9.	Miscel	laneous Steam	Power Expenses				506		24,757					
10.	Allowa	ances					509		1	_				
11.	Rents					_	507	-	0	_				
12.	N	on-Fuel Sub I	0tal(1 + 7 tnru 11)			-		-	284,871	_		(20.48)		
14	Mainte	peration Expe	sion and Engineering	r			510		17 225			(21.05)		
15	Mainte	enance of Struc	tures	2		1	511	1	46.611					
16.	Mainte	enance of Boile	r Plant				512	1	439,488					
17.	Mainte	enance of Electr	ric Plant				513	L	42,934					
18.	Mainte	enance of Misce	ellaneous Plant				514		7,216					
19.	M	Iaintenance Ex	xpense (14 thru 18)					⊢	553,474	_		(39.79)		
20.	Depres	otal Productio	on Expense $(13 + 19)$)		402	1 411 10		854,598			(61.44)		
21.	Interes	t.				403.	427		460,467					
23.	T	- otal Fixed Cos	t(21+22)					<u> </u>	917,481			(65.96)		
24.	P	ower Cost (20	+ 23)						1,772,079	1		(127.41)		
Rem	arks													

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062
FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART D - STEAM PLANT	PLANT Reid
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application	PERIOD ENDED December 2019
Foot	Notes
The Out of Service Scheduled Hours for the Reid U	nit are a result of the temporary idling of the unit,
effective April 1, 2016. Due to the Environmental Pr	otection Agency's (EPA) Mercury and Air Toxics
Standard (MATS) ruling, management determined i	t was more prudent to idle the unit at this time
instead of investing in capital expenditures to comp	ly with the EPA's rule. The Company is proposing to
retire the unit.	

		UNITED S	STATES DEPARTMEN RURAL UTILITIES	NT OF AGRICULTUR	E		BORROWER	DESI	GNATION KY006	2				
		FINAN	ICIAL AND OPER ELECTRIC POWE	ATING REPORT CR SUPPLY			PLANT Wil	son						
			PART D - STEAN	M PLANT			PERIOD END	ED	December 2019					
INST	RUCTIO	ONS - See help	in the online applica	tion.	CECT		LEDC/TUDDI	JEC	December 2017					
		г г		F	SECT	ION A. BOL	<u>LEKS/IUKBI</u> ON	NES				OPERATIN	CHOURS	
	UNIT	TIMES	COAL	OIL		GAS				1	IN	ON	OUT OF	SERVICE
NO.	NO.	STARTED	(1000 Lbs.)	(1000 Gals.)	(10	00 C.F.)	OTHER		TOTAL	SER	VICE	STANDBY	SCHED.	UNSCH.
	<i>(a)</i>	(b)	(c)	(<i>d</i>)		(e)	(f)		(g)	((h)	<i>(i)</i>	(j)	(<i>k</i>)
1.	1	19	2,487,759.80	939.09		0.00	(0.00			7,407	701	0	652
2.														
3.														
4.														
5.	Tatal		0 405 500	0.20.00		0.00		0.00					0	650
0.	Total	19 DTU	2,487,760	939.09		0.00		0.00			/,40/	701	U	652
8	Total B	$\Gamma U (10^6)$	28.835.624.00	129.594		0.00		0.00	28,965,218					
9	Total De	el. Cost (\$)	56.605.676	1,856,147.00		0.00		0.00						
	SEC	TION A. BOI	LERS/TURBINES	(Continued)		SEC	FION B. LABC)R RF	EPORT	SE	C. C. I	FACTORS &	MAX. D	EMAND
	UNIT		GROSS	BTU					-					
NO.	NO. (<i>l</i>)	SIZE (kW) (m)	GEN. (MWh) (<i>n</i>)	PER kWh (o)	NO.		ITEM		VALUE	NO.		ITEM	VA	ALUE
1. 2.	1	440,000	2,850,010.10		1.	No. Employ (Include Suj	ees Full-Time perintendent)		108	1.	Load I	Factor (%)		71.10%
3. 4.				-	2.	No. Employ	ees Part-Time		0	2.	Plant I	Factor (%)	73.94%	
5. 6.	Total	440,000	2,850,010.10	10,163	3.	Total Em Hours W	ployee orked		216,918	3.	Runnin Capac	ng Plant ity Factor (%)	87.45%
7.	Station S	Service (MWh)	210,525.00		4.	Operating P	lant Payroll (\$)		8,857,569	4.	15 Mii	nute Gross	<u>`</u>	457,586
8.	Net Gen	neration	2 620 495 10	10 973 81	5.	Maintenanc	e Plant Payroll ((\$)	5,140,503		Max. I	Demand (KW)	
	(MWh)		2,059,405.10	10,9,9,9,01	6.	Other Accts	. Plant Payroll (\$)	0	5	Indica	ted Gross		
9.	Station S	Service (%)	7.39		7.	Total Plan	nt Payroll (\$)		13,998,072	5.	Max. l	Demand (kW)	
	-			SECTIO	N D. C	OST OF NE	T ENERGY G	ENEI	RATED				+ 14 of	
NO.	0	PRO	DUCTION EXPEN	NSE		ACCOUN	NT NUMBER		AMOUNT (\$) (<i>a</i>)	M	IILLS/	NET KWh (b)	\$/10*	c)
1.	Operat	tion, Supervisio	n and Engineering				500		2,775,532	-				2.00
2.	Fuel, C						501.2		1 856 147	-				14 32
4.	Fuel. (fas					501.3		1,030,111	-				11.52
5.	Fuel, C	Other				5	501.4		0					
6.	F	uel SubTotal (2 thru 5)				501		61,983,253			23.48		2.13
7.	Steam	Expenses					502		9,088,458					
8.	Electri	c Expenses				_	505		1,749,524	_				
9.	Miscel	laneous Steam	Power Expenses				506		3,572,930	-				
10.	Allowa	ances					507		1,648	-				
11.	N	on-Fuel SubTa	otal (1 + 7 thru 11)				501		17,188,092			6 51		
13.	0	peration Expe	nse $(6 + 12)$			-			79,171,345			29.99		
14.	Mainte	enance, Supervi	sion and Engineering	g			510		1,398,399					
15.	Mainte	enance of Struct	ures				511		949,949					
16.	Mainte	enance of Boile	r Plant				512		9,217,373					
17.	Mainte	enance of Electr	ic Plant				513		626,290					
18.	Mainte	enance of Misce	ellaneous Plant				514		1,050,310			E 01		
19. 20	IV. T	iaintenance Ex	арепse (<i>14 tnru 18</i>) n Expense (13 ± 10)	1		-		┣──	13,242,321	+		5.Ul		
21.	Depred	ciation	а дарчног (15 т 19)	,		403.	1,411.10	-	159,295			55.01		
22.	Interes	it					427		17,131,558					
23.	Т	otal Fixed Cos	t (21 + 22)						17,290,853			6.55		
24.	P	ower Cost (20	+ 23)						109,704,519			41.56		
Rem	arks													

		UNITED STATES I RURA	DEPARTMENT OF AG L UTILITIES SERVICI	FRICU	ILTURE		во	ORROWER D	ESIC	GN.	ATION			
		FINANCIAL A ELECT	AND OPERATING RIC POWER SUPP	REP PLY	ORT		PL	ANT						
		PART	E - HYDRO PLAN	Т			PEI	RIOD ENDE	D					
INST	RUCTIO	NS - See help in the (online application						_					
11101	Recitor	to bee help in the t	sinne apprication.	S	ECTION A	A. HYDRO	O GENI	ERATING U	NIT	S				
-				~		T				~ 0	PERATIN	IG HOURS		
	UNIT	SIZE	GROSS GEN	ERA	TION		IN		(ON	J	JO JO	UT OF S	SERVICE
NO	NO.	(kW)	(MW	/h)		SF	ERVICE	E	STA	NI	DBY	SCHEDULI	ED	UNSCHEDULED
110.	<i>(a)</i>	<i>(b)</i>	(c))			(<i>d</i>)			(e))	(f)		(g)
1.														
2.														
3.														
4.														
5.														
6.	Total													
7.	Station Se	ervice (MWh)						TUENA		ŀ	HYDRAU	LIC DATA		
8.	Net Gene	ration (MWh)						IIEM				(a) MAXIM	UM	(b) MINIMUM
9.	Station Se	ervice % of Gross				1. Pool	Elevation	n (ft.)						
10.	Energy fo (MWh)	or Pumped Storage				2. Tail F	Race Ele	evation (ft.)						
11.	Net Ge	eneration after						Wat	ter Sp	pillo	ed	Yes	No	
	Tump	Storage (MIVII)	SECTION B. LABO	R RF	PORT					S	ECTION	C. FACTORS &	MAXI	MUM DEMAND
NO.		TEM	VALUE	NO	ITI	EM	V	ALUE	NO		2011011	ITEM		VALUE
			, inded).				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
1.	No. Empl (Include S	oyees Full-Time Superintendent)		5.	Maintenan			1.		Lo	oad Factor	(%)		
2.	No. Empl	oyees Part Time			i iaiit i ayi	011 (\$)			2.	Pl	lant Factor	(%)		
	1	5		6.	Other Acc Plant Payr	ounts oll (\$)			3.	R	unning Pla	nt Capacity Facto	or (%)	
2	Total E	mployee			i iuni i uji	οπ (φ)	4 15 Min Gross Max					es Max Domand		
5.	Hours	Worked		7.	Total				т.	1.	5 Willi. 010	ss wax. Demand	(K W)	
4	Operating	Plant Payroll (\$)			Plant P	ayroll (\$)			5.	In	ndicated Gr	oss Max. Deman	d (kW)	
	operating	, i unit i ujion (¢)	5	SECT	TION D. CO	OST OF N	NET EN	ERGY GEN	ERA	ATI	ED			
NO.		PRODUCTIO	ON EXPENSE			A	CCOUN	NT NUMBEI	R		AM	OUNT (\$)	Ν	MILLS/NET kWh
1.	Operation	. Supervision and Er	ngineering					535				(<i>u</i>)		(0)
2.	Water for	Power	-88					536		┢				
3.	Energy fo	or Pumped Storage					5	536.1						
4.	Hydraulic	Expense						537						
5.	Electric E	Expense						538						
6.	Miscellan	eous Hydraulic Powe	er Generation Expens	se				539						
7.	Rents							540						
8.	Opera	tion Expense (1 thru	ı 7)											
9.	Maintena	nce, Supervision and	Engineering					541						
10.	Maintena	nce of Structures						542						
11.	Maintena	nce of Reservoirs, Da	ams and Waterways					543						
12.	Maintena	nce of Electric Plant				_		544					_	
13.	Maintena	nce of Miscellaneous	Hydraulic Plant					545		+				
14.	Maint	enance Expense (9 t	thru 13)							⊢				
15.	Total	Production Expense	$e(\delta + 14)$				402.5	2 411 10		╇				
16.	Depreciat	1011					403.5	3,411.10 427		+				
1/.	Tetal	Fixed Cost (16 + 17)					+ <i>∠</i> /		╀				
10.	Power	$\frac{1}{1}$ Cost (15 ± 18))							┣				
Rome	rks (inclu	ding Unschodulad O	utages)										1	
n c mi	nns (mum	ang onscheduled Ol	mages											
1														

Revision Date 2013

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply – Part E - Hydro Plant

_																	
		UN	NITED STA F	TES DE RURAL	EPARTMENT O UTILITIES SEF	F AC	RICULTURE E		BC	DRROWER I	DESIC	GNATIO	ON KY0062				
		1	FINANCI ELI	IAL AN ECTRI	ND OPERATI	ING SUPP	REPORT PLY		PL	ANT Reid							
		PAF	RT F IC -	INTEF	RNAL COME	BUST	TION PLANT		PE	RIOD ENDE	ED						
INST	RUCT	IONS - See l	help in the	online	application.						De	cember	2019				
					S	ECI	TION A. INTERNAL	L COM	BUSTIO	N GENERA	TIN	G UNIT	ſS				
					FUEL	CO	NSUMPTION		200110			0 01 12	OPERA	TING HO	URS		
	UNIT	SIZE	OI	L	GAS					IN		ON	OUT OF S	SERVICE	GROS	S	BTU
NO.	NO. (<i>a</i>)	(kW) (b)	(1000 C (c)	Gals.)	(1000 C.F. (<i>d</i>)	.)	OTHER (e)	TO' (ΓAL f)	SERVICE (g)	STA	NDBY (<i>h</i>)	SCHED. (i)	UNSCH. (j)	GENER.(N (k)	(Wh)	PER kWh (<i>l</i>)
1.	1	70,000		0.00	87,506	.00	0.00			192		8,528	0	40	4	,968	
2.										L							
3.										L							
4.										L							
<i>5</i> .	Total	70 000		87 506	0.0	0.00			192		8 5 2 8	0	40		4 968		
7.	Averag	e BTU		1,000				Station Serv	vice (N	(Wh)	Ŭ	0.00	8	81.30	17,613.93		
8.	Total F	$3TU(10^6)$		87,506	.00	0.00	8	7,506.00	Net Generat	tion (1	MWh)			4,0	86.70		
9.	Total I	Del. Cost (\$)	316,080	0.00			Station Serv	vice %	of Gro	SS			17.74	21,412.39			
		(.)		SE	CTION B. LA	ABO	R REPORT					SEC	ΓΙΟΝ C. FA	CTORS &	MAXIMU	M DE	MAND
NO.		ITEM		VALUE	NO	ITEM		V	ALUE	NO.		ľ	ГЕМ		1	VALUE	
1	No. En	nployees Ful	0	Maintananca				1.	Load F	Factor (%)				0.87%			
	(Incluc	le Superinter	ndent)			5.	Plant Payroll (\$)			49,288	2.	Plant F	Factor (%)				0.81%
2.	No. Er	nployees Par	t Time		0	6.	Other Accounts			0	3.	Runnii	ng Plant Cap	acity Factor	r (%)		36.96%
3.	Tota Hou	l Employee rs Worked			850		Plant Payroll (\$)				4.	15 Mir	n. Gross Max	. Demand ((kW)		65,221
4.	Operat	ing Plant Pa	vroll (\$)		8,717	7.	Plant Payroll (\$)			58,005	5.	Indicat	ed Gross Ma	ax. Demand	(kW)		0
	- 1	0					SECTION D. COST	Γ OF N	ET ENE	RGY GENE	RAT	ED					
NO.			PRODU	CTION	NEXPENSE			AC	COUNT	NUMBER		AMOU (1	NT (\$) ı)	MILLS/N	NET (kWh) (b)	\$	/10 ⁶ BTU (c)
1.	Operat	ion, Supervi	sion and E	Enginee	ring				546	5			6,583				
2.	Fuel, C	Dil							547.	.1			0				0.00
3.	Fuel, C	Jas							547.	.2			316,373				3.61
4.	Fuel, C	Other	1 4 '						547.	.3			0				0.00
5.	Energy	/ for Compre	2 thrue 5)						547.	.4 7			216 272		0.00		2 61
7	Genera	tion Expens	2 (111 u 3)						545	2			29.710		//.41		5.01
8.	Miscel	laneous Oth	er Power (Generat	ion Expenses				549	,)			30,956				
9.	Rents				- I				55()			0				
10.	Non	-Fuel SubT	otal (1 + 2	7 thru 9))								67,249		16.45		
11.	Ope	eration Expe	ense (6 + 1	<i>10</i>)									383,622		93.87		
12.	Mainte	enance, Supe	rvision an	d Engir	neering				551	l			6,600				
13.	Mainte	enance of Str	uctures						552	2			906				
14.	Mainte	enance of Ge	nerating a	nd Elec	ctric Plant		D1	1	553	3	<u> </u>		101,678				
15.	Mainte	enance of Mi	scellaneou	is Othe	r Power Gener	rating	g Plant		554	ł	<u> </u>		7,081		00.44	-	
16.	Mai	ntenance Ex	xpense (<i>I</i> .	2 thru 1	10						<u> </u>		100,265		28.44	-	
1%	Depred	ai rrouucu o	n Expens	e (11 +	10)				403 / /	11 10			220 QUE		122.32	-	
10.	Interes	t						+	422	7			194.479				
20.	Tota	- al Fixed Cos	st (18 + 19))					721				415,385		101.64		
21.	Pov	ver Cost (17	(+20)	/									915,272		223.96		
Rema	arks (in	cluding Unso	cheduled (Jutages	;)									-			

		UNI	TED STATES	DEPA	ARTMENT OF AC	GRICU	JLTURE		BORRO	OWER DE	SIGN	ATION					
			RURA		ILITIES SERVIC	E	ODT		DI ANT	۲.							
		FI	NANCIAL . ELECT	AND 'RIC	OPERATING	REP PLY	ORT		PLAN'I								
		PA	RT F CC -	CON	ABINED CYCI	LE PI	LANT		PERIO	D ENDED							
INST	RUCTI	IONS - See h	elp in the on	line	application.												
						SEC	TION A. CO	MBINED	CYCLE GI	ENERATI	NG U	NITS	ODED		NIDC		
	UNIT	SIZE	OIL	1	GAS	UNS	UMPTION			IN		ON	OPER/	SERVICE	GROS	S	BTU
	NO.	(kW)	(1000 Gal	s.)	(1000 CF)		OTHER	тс	TAL	SERVIC	E ST	ANDBY	SCHED.	UNSC.	GENER. (MWh)	PER kWh
NO.	<i>(a)</i>	(b)	(c)		(<i>d</i>)	_	(<i>e</i>)	_	(f)	(g)	_	(h)	<i>(i)</i>	(j)	(k)		(l)
2.								-									
3.]
4.						_		_		<u> </u>							_
5. 6.	Total							-		<u> </u>							
7.	7. Average BTU									Station Se	ervice	(MWh)					
8.	8. Total BTU (10 ⁶)									Net Gene	ration	(MWh)					
9.	9. Total Del. Cost (\$) SECTION B. LABOR REPORT									Station Se	ervice	% Of Gr		CTOPS	- MAVIMI	MDE	
NO.	NO. ITEM VALUE NO. IT								VA	LUE	NO	SECI	ION C. FA	TEM	X MAXIMU		ALUE
	No Employees Full Time										1.	Load Fa	actor (%)				
1.	1. (Include. Superintendent) 5. Maintenau 5. Plant Pays																
											2.	Plant Fa	actor (%)				
2.	2. No. Employees Part Time																
	6. Other Ac										3.	Runnin	g Plant Cap	acity Factor	r (%)		
3.	Tota Hou	al Employee urs Worked									1	15 Min	Gross May	y Demand	$(\mathbf{k}\mathbf{W})$		
	1100	iis worked					Total				т.	15 10111	. 01033 1010/	C. Demand	(K ***)		
4.	Operat	ing Plant Pa	yroll (\$)			7.	Plant Pay	roll (\$)			5.	Indicate	ed Gross Ma	ax. Demand	d (kW)		
						SF	CTIOND (OST OF N	IFT ENER	CV CFN	TRAT	FD					
NO.			PRODUC	TIO	N EXPENSE	51		ACCOU	NT NUMB	ER	A	MOUNT	(\$)	MILLS/	NET kWh	\$	/10 ⁶ BTU
1	Operat	ion Supervi	sion and Eng	ineer	ring			necou	500			<i>(a)</i>			(b)		(<i>c</i>)
2.	Fuel, C	Dil	sion and Eng	meer	illig				547.1					-			
3.	Fuel, C	Gas							547.2								
4.	Fuel, C	Other	and Air						547.3								
<i>6</i> .	Energy Fu	iel SubTotal	(2 thru 5)						547.4 547								
7.	Genera	ation Expense	es						548								
8.	Miscel	laneous Othe	er Power Ger	ierati	ion Expenses				549					-			
9. 10.	Steam	Expenses							502					-			
11.	Electri	c Expenses							505								
12.	Miscel	laneous Stea	m Power Exp	pense	es				506					-			
13. 14.	Allowa No	n-Fuel Sub]	fotal (1 + 7t)	hru	13)				509	_							
15.	Ор	erating Exp	ense (6 + 14)	- /]	
16.	Mainte	enance, Supe	rvision and E	engin	eering			5:	51, 510					-			
17.	Mainte Mainte	enance of Str	uctures	Elect	tric Plant			5	52, 511 53-513					-			
19.	9. Maintenance of Miscellaneous Other Power Generating Plant							5	54, 514								
20.	0. Maintenance Expense (16 thru 19)																
21.	Total Production Expense (15 + 20) 2 Depreciation							103.4	103 1 111 1	10							
23.	Interest							+03.4, 4	427								
24.	Total Fixed Cost (22 + 23)																
25.	Po	Power Cost (21 + 24)															
кета	ITKS																

	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE				BORROWER DESIGNATION								
		FINANCIAL A	ND OPERATIN	G REP	ORT	PLANT	PLANT						
DIGT	DUCTION	PART G	- NUCLEAR PI	ANT		PERIOD ENDED							
INST	RUCTION	NS - See help in the or	nline application.		SECTION A BOILER	S AND G	FNFRATING		тс				
				k	CPOSS	SAUD	S AND GENERATING UNITS OPERATING HOURS						
	UNIT	TIMES	SIZE	GE	UNERATION]	IN		(DN	OU	T OF SE	RVICE
NO.	NO.	STARTED	(kW)		(MWh)	SER	VICE		STA	NDBY	SCHEDUI	LED	UNSCHEDULED
_	<i>(a)</i>	(b)	(c)		(<i>d</i>)	((e)		(<i>f</i>)	(g)		(h)
1.													
2.													
4													
5.													
6.	Total												
7.	Station Se	ervice (MWh)											
8.	Net Gener	ration (MWh)											
9.	Station Se	ervice % Of Gross	SECTION D	LADO	D DEDODT			-	6		ELCTOR 8	3.4.5/13	
NO			SECTION B.	LABU	K KEPUKI			,		SECTION C.	FACIORS		NAL UE
NO.		IIEM	VALUE	NO.	IIEM		VALUE	'	NO.		IIEM		VALUE
1	No. Empl	oyees Full Time			Maintenance				1.	Load Factor (%)		
	(Include. Superintendent)		5.	Plant Payroll (\$)				2	Dlant Faatar (0/)			
									۷.	Flaint Factor (70)		
2.	No. Empl	oyees Part Time			Other Accounts				3.	Running Plan	t Capacity Fac	tor (%)	
				6.	Plant Payroll (\$)				5.		e cupuenty i ue		
3.	Total F	Employee			-				4.	15 Min. Gross	s Max. Demano	d (kW)	
	Hours	worked		7	Total							. ,	
4.	Operating	Plant Payroll (\$)			Plant Payroll (\$)				5.	Indicated Gro	ss Max. Dema	nd (kW)	
			-	S	ECTION D. COST OI	F NET EN	NERGY GENI	ERAT	ED				-
NO.			PRODUCTION	EXPEN	NSE	ACC	COUNT NUME	BER		AMOUN	NT (\$)	MI	LLS/NET kWh
1	Operation	Supervision and En	ginaaring				517			<i>(a)</i>			(0)
2	Operation Fuel		gineering				518.1		_				
2.	I uci Lass Fual	Acquisition Adjustm	ent				518.2		_				
3.	Not F	uel Expense (2 - 3)	ent				510.2						
	Coolante	and Water					510						
5.	Steam Ex	nenses					520		_				
7	Steam Erc	m Other Sources					520						
8	Electric E	xpenses					523						
9	Miscellar	Peous Nuclear Power	Expense				524						
10	Rents	leous ruclear rower	Expense				525						
10.	Oners	ation Expense $(1 + 4)$	thru 10)				525						
12	Maintena	ace Supervision and	Engineering				528						
13	Maintena	nce of Structures	Engineering				520						
14	Maintena	ace of Reactor Plant F	Fauinment				530						
14.	Maintena	nce of Electric Plant	Equipment				531						
16	Maintena	nce of Miscellaneous	Nuclear Plant				532						
10. priamenance of priscenaneous Nuclear Plant 17 Mointenance Expanse (12 thru 16)				552									
18	Reactor (redits	2 111 1 10)										
19	Total	Production Expens	e (11 + 17 - 18)										
20	Depreciat	ion	e (11 + 17 - 10)				403.2.411.10						
20.	Interest	Ion					427						
22	Total	Fixed Cost (20 + 21)						+				
23	Less Plan	t Acquisition Adjust	nent				406						
24.	Powe	er Cost (19 + 22 - 23)					100						
Remo	rks (inclu	ding Unscheduled Ou	tages)										

	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT RURAL UTILITIES S	OF AG	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE				BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062				
	FINANCIAL AND OPERA ELECTRIC POWER PART H - ANNUAL SU	TING I SUPP PPLEN	REPORT LY MENT	PERIOD ENDED	PERIOD ENDED December 2019						
INST	RUCTIONS - See help in the online application	tion.									
			SECTION	A. UTILITY PLANT							
	ITEM		BALANCE BEGINNING OF YEAR (a)	ADDITIONS (b)	RETIREMENTS (c)	ADJUSTMENTS AND TRANSFERS (d)	BALANCE END OF YEAR (e)				
1.	Total Intangible Plant (301 thru 303)		27,078,543	3,677,396			30,755,939				
2.	Total Steam Production Plant (310 thru 31	7)	1,784,932,679	14,777,447	127,925,237		1,671,784,889				
3.	Total Nuclear Production Plant (320 thru 3	826)	0				0				
4. -	Total Hydro Production Plant (330 thru 33	7)	0	F10 074			0				
5.	Total Other Production Plant (340 thru 347	/)	1 705 504 102	510,974	107 005 007		1 692 997 297				
6. 7	Total Production Plant (2 thru 5)		1, 795, 524, 103	15,288,421	127,925,237		1,082,887,287				
/. o	Land and Land Rights (350)		8 470 671	512 631	69 958		8 913 344				
о. 9	Station Equipment (353)		150.821.178	2.727.209	248,223		153.300.164				
2. 10	Other Transmission Plant (354 thru 350 1)		112,640,909	932,368	176,381		113,396,896				
11.	Total Transmission Plant (7 thru 10)		287,624,547	4,172,319	494,562		291,302,304				
12	Land and Land Rights (360)		0	_,,			0				
13.	Structures and Improvements (361)		0		1		0				
14.	Station Equipment (362)		0				0				
15.	Other Distribution Plant (363 thru 374)		0				0				
16.	Total Distribution Plant (12 thru 15)		0				0				
17.	RTO/ISO Plant (380 thru 386)		0				0				
18.	Total General Plant (389 thru 399.1)		53,302,507	1,641,500	1,743,134		53,200,873				
19	Electric Plant in Service		2,163,529,700	24,779,636	130,162,933		2,058,146,403				
17.	(1+6+11+16 thru 18)										
20.	Electric Plant Purchased or Sold (102)		0				0				
21.	Electric Plant Leased to Others (104)		0		225 000		0				
22.	Electric Plant Held for Future Use (105)	0	225,000	4 210 E06	225,000	(2 710 902)	U 4 210 E06				
23.	Completed Construction Not Classified (10	<i>)</i> 0)	2,710,803	4,319,390		(2,710,803)	4,319,590				
24.	Acquisition Adjustments (114) Other Litility Plant (118)		0				0				
25.	Nuclear Fuel Assemblies (120.1 thru 120.4	1)	0				0				
20.	Total Utility Plant in Service (19 thru	7) 26)	2,166,465,503	29,099,232	130,387,933	(2,710,803)	2,062,465,999				
28.	Construction Work in Progress (107)	20)	33,931,909	1,730,736		(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	35,662,645				
29.	Total Utility Plant $(27 + 28)$		2,200,397,412	30,829,968	130,387,933	(2,710,803)	2,098,128,644				
	SECTION B. AC	CUMU	JLATED PROVISION FO	DR DEPRECIATION	R DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION - UTILITY PLANT						
	ITEM	COM RATI (%) (a)	P. BALANCE BEGINNING OF YEAR (b)	ANNUAL ACCRUALS (c)	RETIREMENTS LESS NET SALVAGE (d)	ADJUSTMENTS AND TRANSFERS (e)	BALANCE END OF YEAR (f)				
1.	Depr. of Steam Prod. Plant (108.1)	2.3	984,670,03	38,524,946	16,596,364	4,831,615	1,011,430,232				
2.	Depr. of Nuclear Prod. Plant (108.2)			0			0				
3.	Depr. of Hydraulic Prod. Plant (108.3)			0			0				
4.	Depr. of Other Prod. Plant (108.4)	3.3	6,679,06	57 224,564	11,455	11,455	6,903,631				
5.	Depr. of Transmission Plant (108.5)	2.1	.1 139,494,30	5,710,549	571,094		144,633,760				
6.	Depr. of Distribution Plant (108.6)			0	1 515 000	5 600	0				
7.	Depr. of General Plant (108.7)		25,840,5	18 4,389,178	1,717,929	5,623	28,517,390				
8.	Retirement Work in Progress (108.8)		(1,147,48.	3)		850,700	(290,777)				
9.	(1 thru 8)		1,155,536,44	12		5,705,399	1,191,194,236				
10.	Depr. of Plant Leased to Others (109)			0	[0				
11.	Depr. of Plant Held for Future Use (110)			0			0				
12.	Amort. of Elec. Plant in Service (111)	2.2	32,151,96	51 341,307	111,968,283	81,323,743	1,848,728				
13.	Amort. of Leased Plant (112)			0			0				
14.	Amort. of Plant Held for Future Use			0			0				
15.	Amort. of Acquisition Adj. (115)			0			0				
16.	Depr. & Amort. Other Plant (119)			0			0				
17.	Amort. of Nuclear Fuel (120.5)			0			0				
18.	Total Prov. for Depr. & Amort. (9 thru 17)		1,187,688,40	49,190,544	130,865,125	87,029,142	1,193,042,964				

	UNITED ST	ATES DEPARTMENT OF AG	RICULTURE		BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062					
	FINANG	CIAL AND OPERATING I	REPORT							
	E	LECTRIC POWER SUPP	LY	Γ	PERIOD ENDED					
	PAR	T H - ANNUAL SUPPLEN	AENT		December 2019					
INSTR	INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.					LOD THE			(2)	<u>,</u>
10 4	SECTION SECTION	ON B. ACCUMULATED I	PROVISION FOR	DEPRECIA	ATION AND AN		ATION - U	Deals Cent of D	(Contin	ued)
19. A		rual Charged to Expense	20. Amount of A		al Charged to Ot	ner Accou	ints 21	S BOOK COSt OF P	roperty I	Ketired
φ	20,908,4		φ 20	5,202,09				Ψ	130,38	~
22. R	emoval Cost of Prope	rty Retired	23. Salvage Mate	erial from Pr	operty Retired		24	. Renewal and R	eplacem	ent Cost
φ	989,3	531	φ	512,135		N 1001		φ	10,32	3,691
			SECTIO PALANC	JN C. NON F	-UTILITY PLA	NT		ADHISTMI	INTE	PALANCE
	ITE	M	BEGINNING OI	E F YEAR	ADDITIONS (b)	RETIR	EMENTS (c)	ADJUSTNI AND TRANS (d)	FERS	END OF YEAR (e)
1. No	onUtility Property (12	1)								
2. Pr	ovision For Depr. & A	Amort. (122)								
		SE	CTION D. DEMA	ND AND E	NERGY AT PO	WER SO	URCES			
	MONTH	PEAK DEMAND	DAT		MONTHLY	PEAKS			E	NERGY OUTPUT
	MONTH	$(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W})$ (a)	DAI (b)	DATE (b)		,	IYPEC	(d)		$(\mathbf{M}\mathbf{W}\mathbf{h})$ (e)
1.	January	6	526 01/	30/2019		8		Coincident		557,652
2.	February	5	02/	08/2019	019		Coincident		ident 591,466	
3.	March	6	00 03/	04/2019		7 C		Coincident	Coincident 572,	
4.	April	5	08 04/	01/2019		8 0		Coincident		455,156
5.	May	5	38 05/	17/2019		16		Coincident		584,380
6	June	5	578 06/	30/2019		18		Coincident		576,715
7	July	6	521 07/	08/2019		18		Coincident		590,254
8.	August	6	30 08/	19/2019		17		Coincident		494,059
9.	September	6	06 09/	13/2019		16	Coincident			496,618
10.	October	5	95 10/	02/2019		16	16 Coincident			385,268
11.	November	5	582 <u>11</u> /	03/2019		7		Coincident		515,721
12.	December	5	543 12/	19/2019		8		Coincident		433,575
13.	Annual Peak	6	30				Annual	Total		6,253,530
		SE DELIVEDED TO DUS	CTION E. DEMAN	ND AND EP	NERGY AT DEI	LIVERY	POINTS	ТС	TAL D	FLIVEDED
	MONTH	DEMAND	ENERGY	DF	EMAND	EN	ERGY	DEMAND		ENERGY
	MONTH	(MW)	(MWh)	((MW)	(N	(Wh)	(MW)		(MWh)
1	Ionuory	(<i>a</i>)	(D)		(C) 582		(<i>a</i>)	(e)	250	(J) E42 256
1	February	571	262 572		681		309 820	1	259	543,350
3	March	646	281.611		694		274,032	1	340	555.643
4.	April	511	256,235		634		197,875	1,	145	454,110
5.	Mav	596	269,288		643		299,094	299 094 1 220		568,382
6	June	599	267,662		662		289,041	1,	261	556,703
7	July	667	320,183		617		258,057	1,	284	578,240
8.	August	676	303,192		481		182,863	1,	157	486,055
9.	September	640	290,827		539		187,722	1,	179	478,549
10.	October	629	235,837		655		140,842	1,	284	376,679
11.	November	633	267,292		527		237,733	1,	160	505,025
12.	December	592	282,211		370		129,025		962	411,236
13.	Peak or Total	677	3,350,398		694	2	,735,972 1,34		340	6,086,370

FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT

BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062

PERIOD ENDED December 2019

INSTRUCTIONS - Reporting of investments is required by 7 CFR 1717, Subpart N. Investment categories reported on this Part correspond to Balance Sheet items in Part A Section B. Identify all investments in Rural Development with an 'X' in column (e). Both 'Included' and 'Excluded' Investments must be reported. See help in the online application.

	SECTION F. INVESTMENTS, LOAN GUARANTEES AND LOANS SUB SECTION I. INVESTMENTS							
No	Description	Included	Excluded	Income Or Loss	Rural Development			
	(a)	(\$) (b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	(e)			
2	Investments in Associated Organizations	(6)	(0)	(4)	(0)			
	United Utilty Supply Capital	31.773						
	Ky Assn for Electric Coops Capital Credit	31,692						
	Jackson Purchase Capital Credit		6,192					
	Kenergy Capital Credit		27,098					
	Meade County Capital Credit		3,277					
	Rural Cooperatives Credit Union Deposit	5						
	Touchstone Energy (NRECA) Capital Credit	1,742						
	CoBank Capital Credit		6,337,858					
	NRUCFC Capital Credit		4,261,078					
	Cooperative Membership Fees	2,280						
	ACES Power Marketing Membership Fees	678,000						
	Federated Rural Electric Insurance Exchange Capital Credit	4,713	281,636					
	National Renewables Cooperative Organization Capital Credit		21,070					
	Capital Term Certificates - NRUCFC		31,608,837					
	Totals	750,205	42,547,046					
3	Investments in Economic Development Projects							
	Breckinridge Co. Development Corp. Stock	5,000			Х			
	Hancock Co. Industrial Foundation Stock	5,000			Х			
	Totals	10,000						
4	Other Investments							
	Southern States Coop Capital Credit	5,334			Х			
	Totals	5,334						
5	Special Funds							
	Other Special Funds-Deferred Compensation		894,852					
	Other Special Funds-Economic Reserve Transmission Rural	578,687						
	Other Speical Funds-Economic Reserve Transmission Large Industrial	151,978						
	Other Special Funds-Economic Reserve Nebraska Margins Rural	85,032						
	Other Special Funds-Economic Reserve Nebraska Margins Large Industrial	26,150						
	Other Speical Funds-Economic Reserve SII Depreciation Credit Rural	398,635						
	Other Special Funds-Economic Reserve SII Depreciation Credit Large Industrial	150,298						
	Other Special Funds-Station Two O&M Fund	150,000	250,000					
	Other Special Funds-MISO CCA	5,900,000						
	Other Special Funds-Southwest Power Pool CCA	500,000						
	Other Special Funds-Southwest Power Pool CCA Trans Upgrade	305,001						
	Totals	8,245,781	1,144,852					
6	Cash - General							
	General Fund	704,001	250,000					
	Right of Way Fund	0	1,000					
	Working Fund	3,725						
⊢	Totals	707,726	251,000					
7	Special Deposits							
	Special Deposit-TVA Trans. Reservation	603,673						

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply - Part H - Annual Supplement

FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062

PERIOD ENDED December 2019

INSTRUCTIONS - Reporting of investments is required by 7 CFR 1717, Subpart N. Investment categories reported on this Part correspond to Balance Sheet items in Part A Section B. Identify all investments in Rural Development with an 'X' in column (e). Both 'Included' and 'Excluded' Investments must be reported. See help in the online application.

	SECTION F. INVESTMENTS, LOAN GUARANTEES AND LOANS SUB SECTION I. INVESTMENTS							
	Special Deposit-ADM/ICE Margin Call	1,066,752						
	Special Deposit-Exelon Generation Margin Call	100,000						
	Totals	1,770,425						
8	Temporary Investments							
	Fidelity-U.S. Treasury Only (#2642)	28,743,913						
	Fifth Third Securities	816,724	4,415,000					
	Regions Bank Investments	1,436,413	3,799,458					
	Totals	30,997,050	8,214,458					
9	Accounts and Notes Receivable - NET							
	Accts Receivable - Employees-Other	(1,066)						
	Accts Receivable - Employees-Computer Assist Program	9,986						
	Accts Receivable - Other-Misc	(21,139)						
	Accts Receivable - Wilson (MATS Performance)	322,748						
	Accts Receivable - Century Sebree	10,703						
	Accts Receivable - Samples Court Restitution	(650)						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Sta Two Operation	(2,830,886)						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Station Other	35,536						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Sta Two Closure	364,489						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Landfill	351,969						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Coal/Lime Shortfall Native Load	3,773,543						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Coal/Lime Shortfall Excess Henderson Energy	2,577,826						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Fuel Oil-Native Load	920,044						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L Fuel Oil-Excess Henderson Energy	371,131						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L SII Severance True-Up	(143,400)						
	Accts Receivable - HMP&L MISO Costs	1,901,591						
	Accts Receivable - Coleman EHV 345 kV Line	292,893						
	Accts Receivable - OSER-Hardinsburg Solar	(39,926)						
	Accts Receivable - OSER-Meade County Solar	(97,780)						
	Accts Receivable - Henderson Airport-TL Relocation	(34,679)						
	Accts Receivable - KYTC Husbands Road	27,538						
	Accts Receivable - Century Hawesville SPS	2,759						
	Accts Receivable - Fed Inc Tax AMT Refunds	53,611						
	Accum Prov For Other Uncollectible Accounts	(1,422,762)						
	Totals	6,424,079						
11	TOTAL INVESTMENTS (1 thru 10)	48,910,600	52,157,356					

FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT

PERIOD ENDED December 2019

INSTRUCTIONS - Reporting of investments is required by 7 CFR 1717, Subpart N. Investment categories reported on this Part correspond to Balance Sheet items in Part A Section B. Identify all investments in Rural Development with an 'X' in column (e). Both 'Included' and 'Excluded' Investments must be reported. See help in the online application.

SECTION F. INVESTMENTS, LOAN GUARANTEES AND LOANS SUB SECTION II. LOAN GUARANTEES

	SUB SECTION II. LOAN GUARANTEES										
No	Organization	Maturity Date	Original Amount	Loan Balance	Rural Development						
	(a)	(b)	(\$) (c)	(\$) (d)	(e)						
	TOTAL										
	TOTAL (Included Loan Guarantees Only)										

BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062

FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT

No

TOTAL

PERIOD ENDED

BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062

December 2019

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply – Part H - Annual Supplement

	raki n - Annual Sufflem	LINI							
F	UCTIONS - Reporting of investments is required by 7 CFR 1717, Subpart N. Investment categories reported on this Part correspond to Balance Sheet items in Part on B. Identify all investments in Rural Development with an "X" in column (e). Both "Included" and "Excluded" Investments must be reported. See help in the application.								
	SECTION F. INVESTMENTS, LOAN GUARANTEES AND LOANS SUB SECTION III. RATIO								
(OF INVESTMENTS AND LOAN GUARANTEES TO UTILITY PLANT of Included Investments (Sub Section I, 11b) and Loan Guarantees - Loan Balance (Sub Section II, 5d) to Total Utility Plant (Section B, Line 3 of this report)]								
	SECTION F. INVESTMENTS, LOAN GUARANTEES AND LOANS SUB SECTION IV. LOAN								
	Organization	Maturity Date	Original Amount (\$)	Loan Balance (\$)	Rural Development				
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)				
	Employees, Officers, Directors								
	Energy Resources Conservation Loans								

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICU RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REI ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMEN INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062 PERIOD ENDED December 2019							
SEC	SECTION G. MATERIALS AND SUPPLIES INVENTORY							
ITEM	BALANCE BEGINNING OF YEA (a)	R PURCHASED & SALVAGED (b)	USED & SOLD (c)	BALANCE END OF YEAR (d)				
1. Coal	23,670,5	04 107,938,014	106,018,036	25,590,482				
2. Other Fuel	1,357,8	20 6,475,130	6,457,366	1,375,584				
3. Production Plant Parts and Supplies	21,313,3	73 4,604,227	5,801,709	20,115,891				
4. Station Transformers and Equipment		0		0				
5. Line Materials and Supplies	1,390,0	26 581,378	499,194	1,472,210				
6. Other Materials and Supplies	2,159,8	21 15,541,927	15,074,034	2,627,714				
7. Total (1 thru 6)	49,891,5	44 135,140,676	133,850,339	51,181,881				
RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply – Part H - Annual Supplement Revision Date 2013								

	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF RURAL UTILITIES SERV	AGRICULTURE /ICE	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062				
	OPERATING REPOR' ANNUAL SUPPLEMEN	PERIOD ENDED December 2019					
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.			This data will be used to review your financial situation. Your response is required (7 U.S.C. 901 et. seq.) and may be confidential				
SECTION H. LONG-TERM DEBT AND DEBT SERVICE REQUIREMENTS							
No	Item	Balance End Of Year (a)	Interest (Billed This Year) (b)	Principal (Billed This Year) (c)	Total (Billed This Year) (d)		
1	RUS (Excludes RUS - Economic Development Loans)	191,538,409					
2	National Rural Utilities Cooperative Finance Corporation	246,941,787	12,324,822	16,134,215	28,459,037		
3	CoBank, ACB	171,441,510	7,741,614	9,829,574	17,571,188		
4	Federal Financing Bank	43,063,826	1,252,089	531,174	1,783,263		
5	RUS - Economic Development Loans						
6	Payments Unapplied						
7	Principal Payments Received from Ultimate Recipients of IRP Loans						
8	Principal Payments Received from Ultimate Recipients of REDL Loans						
9	Principal Payments Received from Ultimate Recipients of EE Loans						
10	Ohio County Kentucky Bonds-Series 2010A	83,300,000	4,998,000		4,998,000		
	TOTAL	736,285,532	26,316,525	26,494,963	52,811,488		

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT	OF AGRICULTURE	BORROWER DESIGNATION					
RURAL UTILITIES SE	ERVICE	KY0062					
FINANCIAL AND OPERA	FING REPORT						
ELECTRIC POWER	SUPPLY	PERIOD ENDED					
PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT			December 2019				
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application	ion.						
SECTION I. ANNUAL MEETING AND BOARD DATA							
1. Date of Last Annual	2. Total Number of Members		3. Number of Members Present at Meeting	4. Was Quorum Present?			
Meeting 9/19/2019		3	3	Yes			
5. Number of Members	6. Total Number of Board		7. Total Amount of Fees and Expanses for Board Members	8. Does Manager Have			
voting by Floxy of Man	Wenders	~	\$	witten Contract?			
U		6	Ψ 189,236	NO			
	SECTION J. MAN-HOUR AN	ND P.	AYROLL STATISTICS				
1. Number of Full Time Employees	3	86	4. Payroll Expensed	43,093,997			
2. Man-Hours Worked - Regular Time	708,9	31	5. Payroll Capitalized	2,888,424			
3. Man-Hours Worked – Overtime	84,6	76	6. Payroll Other	270,668			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE			BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062					
FINANCIAL AND OPERATING REPORT ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PART H - ANNUAL SUPPLEMENT								
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application.			PERIOD ENDED December 2019					
SECTION K. LONG-TERM LEASES								
No	Name Of Lessor (a)		Type Of Property (b)	Rental This Year (c)				
1	Louisville Gas & Electric Company	Interconnec	et Facilities/Cloverport Sub		11,368			
	TOTAL				11,368			

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT O RURAL UTILITIES SER FINANCIAL AND OPERATI	BORROWER DESIGNATION KY0062							
ELECTRIC POWER S PART H - ANNUAL SUPP	PERIOD ENDED December 2019							
INSTRUCTIONS - See help in the online application								
SECTION L. RENEWABLE ENERGY CREDITS								
ITEM	BALANCE BEGINNING OF YEAR (a)	ADDITIONS (b)	RETIREMENTS (c)	ADJUSTMENTS AND TRANSFER (d)	BALANCE END OF YEAR (e)			
1. Renewable Energy Credits					0			
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BC RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE BC			DROWER DESIGNATION KY0062					
--	---------------------------------------	------------------------	------------------------------	---------------------------------------	-----------------	-----------	-----------------	
	FINANCIAL AND C							
ELECTRIC POWER SUPPLY PE PART I - LINES AND STATIONS			PERIOD ENDED Decer	RIOD ENDED				
INST	RUCTIONS - See help in the online a	application.						
		SEC	TION A. EXPENS	ES AND COSTS				
	ITEM			ACCOUNT NUMBER	LIN (d	VES a)	STATIONS (b)	
	Transmission Operation							
1.	Supervision and Engineering			560		281,270	381,532	
2.	Load Dispatching			561	2,	406,502		
3.	Station Expenses			562			667,452	
4.	Overhead Line Expenses			563	1,	109,315		
5.	Underground Line Expenses			564				
6.	Miscellaneous Expenses			566		251,830	347,839	
7.	Subtotal (1 thru 6)				4,	048,917	1,396,823	
8.	Transmission of Electricity by Othe	ers		565	1,	657,486		
9.	Rents			567	_		15,055	
10.	Total Transmission Operation (7 thru 9)			5,	706,403	1,411,878	
	Transmission Maintenar	ice						
11.	Supervision and Engineering			568		255,857	372,594	
12.	Structures			569			20,831	
13.	Station Equipment			570			2,054,553	
14.	Overhead Lines			571	2,	375,293		
15.	Underground Lines			572				
16.	Miscellaneous Transmission Plant			573		790,331	771,227	
17.	Total Transmission Maintenar	nce (11 thru 16)			3.	421,481	2 210 205	
18	Total Transmission Expense ()	(0 + 17)		-	9.	127.884	4 631 083	
19	RTO/ISO Expense – Operation	.0 11/)		575 1-575 8	1	005 132	4,031,005	
20	RTO/ISO Expense – Maintenance			576 1-576 5	±,	005,152		
20.	Total RTO/ISO Expense (19 +	- 20)		570.1 570.5	1.	005.132		
21.	Distribution Expanse Operation	20)		580.580	± /	0037132		
22.	Distribution Expense - Operation			500-509				
23.	Distribution Expense - Maintenance			590-598				
24.	Total Distribution Expense (22	(10 01 01)		_	<u> </u>			
25.	Total Operation And Maintena	ance $(18 + 21 + 24)$			10,	133,016	4,631,083	
	Fixed Costs							
26.	Depreciation – Transmission			403.5	2	2,132,306	3,578,243	
27.	Depreciation – Distribution			403.6				
28.	Interest – Transmission			427	2	2,280,412	2,848,311	
29.	Interest – Distribution			427				
30.	Total Transmission (18 + 26 + .	28)			13	3,540,602	11,057,637	
31.	Total Distribution (24 + 27 + 2)	9)						
32.	Total Lines And Stations (21 +	30 + 31)			14	1,545,734	11,057,637	
	SECTION B. FA	CILITIES IN SERVICE		SECTION	C. LABOR AND	MATERIAL	SUMMARY	
	TRANSMISSION LINES	SUBSTAT	TIONS	1. Number of Employe	es	52		
V	OLTAGE (kV) MILES	TYPE	CAPACITY(kVA	A) ITEM	I	LINES	STATIONS	
1.	138 KV 14.40 345 KV 68.40	13. Distribution Lines		2. Oper. Labor	1	L,779,302	686,938	
3.	161 KV 366.20	14. Total (12 + 13)	1,297.0	00 3. Maint. Labor		1,588,548	2,071,846	
4.	69 KV 848.00	15.0						
5.		15. Stepup at	1,879,80	00 4. Oper. Material	4	4,932,233	724,940	
6.		Generating Plants	. , .	*				
7. 8.		16. Transmission	4,045,00	00 5. Maint. Material	1	L,832,933	1,147,359	
9.		17 D' (1)			SECTION D	. OUTAGES		
10.		1 /. Distribution		1. Total			24,336.90	
11.		40		2. Avg. No. of Distrib	ution Consumers	Served	117,767.00	
12.	Total (1 thru 11) 1 297 00	18. Total (15 thru 17)	5,924,80	3. Avg. No. of Hours	Out Per Consume	r	.20	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e				

RUS Financial and Operating Report Electric Power Supply – Part I - Lines and Stations

Revision Date 2013

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

-

. •

. .

T	Item $22)$	Refer to the Smith Testimony, pages 17 and 18.
2	<i>a</i> .	Explain whether the members ever receive a charge through the
3		current MRSM Rider.
4	<i>b</i> .	Explain whether, under the proposed New TIER Credit, the credit
5		will ever be zero.
6	с.	Explain whether, under the proposed New TIER Credit, Members
7		will ever be charged as opposed to receiving a credit.
8		
9	Respons	e)
9 10	Respons a.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM
9 10 11	Respons a.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM Rider.
9 10 11 12	Respons a. b.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM Rider. Under the proposed New TIER Credit, it is possible for the Monthly Bill
9 10 11 12 13	Respons a. b.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM Rider. Under the proposed New TIER Credit, it is possible for the Monthly Bill Credit to be zero. For any year in which Big Rivers' TIER is below 1.30, Big
 9 10 11 12 13 14 	a. b.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM Rider. Under the proposed New TIER Credit, it is possible for the Monthly Bill Credit to be zero. For any year in which Big Rivers' TIER is below 1.30, Big Rivers would reduce the amortization expense of the Smelter Loss
 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 	a. b.	e) Big Rivers' Members do not receive a charge through the current MRSM Rider. Under the proposed New TIER Credit, it is possible for the Monthly Bill Credit to be zero. For any year in which Big Rivers' TIER is below 1.30, Big Rivers would reduce the amortization expense of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets to bring its TIER to 1.30. In such a year, Big

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-22 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Rivers will not provide the Monthly Bill Credit in the following year, so the
 Monthly Billing Credit will be zero for those twelve months. Additionally,
 for any year in which Big Rivers' TIER is equal to 1.30, the Monthly Billing
 Credit will be zero for the following twelve months.

Under the proposed New TIER Credit, Members will never be charged as $\mathbf{5}$ c. 6 opposed to receiving a credit; the Monthly Bill Credit will either be zero or 7 a positive credit amount. For each year in which Big Rivers' TIER does not exceed 1.30, the Monthly Bill Credit will be zero for the following year, as 8 9 explained in the response to part (b). Alternatively, for each year in which 10 Big Rivers' TIER exceeds 1.30. Big Rivers will provide its Members a Monthly Bill Credit through the MRSM over the twelve months following 11 the close of the books for that year. These are the only two alternatives; 1213 thus in no event will the Monthly Bill Credit become a charge.

14

15 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-22 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 23)	Refer to the Smith Testimony at page 18.
2	<i>a</i> .	Explain whether the Regulatory Exclusions could be expanded if the
3		Commission, through precedent, regulation, or legislation, expands
4		the categories of expense that are excluded for ratemaking purposes.
5	<i>b</i> .	Explain whether the New TIER Credit calculation would include a
6		true-up mechanism.
7	с.	Explain how the New TIER Credit will factor into the TIER
8		calculations of the following year.
9		
10	Respons	e)
11	a.	Big Rivers based its proposed "Regulatory Exclusions" on those specified in
12		807 KAR 5:016, in order to remain consistent with required ratemaking
13		practices in the Commonwealth. The Regulatory Exclusions applicable to
14		the Net Margins calculation for the New TIER Credit could be expanded at

15 the direction of the Commission if the Commission expands the categories

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-23 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1		of expense that are excluded for ratemaking purposes through precedent,
2		regulation, or legislation.
3	b.	Like the MRSM currently in effect, the New TIER Credit calculation as
4		proposed does not require a true-up mechanism to ensure all bill credits are
5		received by members.
6	c.	The way the New TIER Credit will factor into the TIER calculations of the
7		following year is addressed in the proposed MRSM tariff. The New TIER
8		Credit is not included in the calculation of Net Margins, so that the
9		determination of the New TIER Credit for any year is not affected by the
10		New TIER Credit from the previous year. Specifically, the proposed MRSM
11		tariff states the following on Sheet 66:
12 13 14 15 16 17 18		"Adjusted Net Margins shall equal Big Rivers' calendar year Net Margins, <i>before the TIER Credit</i> , and after excluding expenses related to "promotional advertising, political advertising, or institutional advertising" as defined in 807 KAR 5:016, lobbying costs, and donations, or to onetime charges related to the amortization of equity headroom." (<i>emphasis</i> <i>added</i>)

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-23 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-23 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 3 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 24) Refer to the Smith Testimony, page 23. Mr. Smith states that if
 the TIER falls below 1.30, the amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation
 Regulatory Assets will be temporarily reduced.
 A Provide what would trigger a base rate case from BREC

4 a. Provide what would trigger a base rate case from BREC.

b. Explain how BREC will handle the Smelter Loss Mitigation
 Regulatory Assets if a base rate case is triggered.

 $\mathbf{7}$

8 Response)

9 a. Big Rivers will continue to monitor its financial forecasts to ensure it
10 maintains: a) compliance with its debt covenants, b) investment grade
11 credit metrics, and c) the ability to amortize the Smelter Loss Mitigation
12 Regulatory Assets by 2043. The inability to maintain one or more of the
13 above requirements could result in the need to seek a base rate increase.
14 However, based on Big Rivers' current estimated projections, Big Rivers
15 believes that it will be able to fully amortize the Smelter Loss Mitigation

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-24 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1		Regulatory Assets by 2043 (and possibly sooner). Even if Big Rivers cannot
2		fully amortize the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets prior to filing
3		a base rate case, Big Rivers' Members will still receive the substantial
4		benefits of the proposed MRSM tariff and New TIER Credit through the
5		return of net margins in excess of a 1.30 TIER in the years prior to the filing
6		of a base rate case.
7	b.	In the event a base rate case were triggered, Big Rivers anticipates the
8		amortization of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets would be
9		included in the annual revenue requirement. The New TIER Credit could
10		be maintained, if desired.
1		

12 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-24 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 2

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 25) Refer to the Smith Testimony at page 23, line 19. Confirm that
 the "current year" would be the year after Big Rivers failed to achieve a TIER
 of 1.30.

4

5 Response) The "current year" would be the year that Big Rivers failed to achieve a
6 TIER of 1.30, <u>not the year after</u> Big Rivers failed to achieve a TIER of 1.30. The
7 amortization expense applied to the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets
8 would be reduced in the current year in order for Big Rivers to increase its TIER to
9 1.30 in the current year.

10

11 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-25 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1	Item 26)	Refer to the Smith Testimony at page 24.
2	<i>a</i> .	Explain whether BREC's loan covenants have changed since its last
3		rate case.
4	<i>b</i> .	Explain the relationship, if any, between TIER and Margins for
5		Interest Ratio.
6		
7	Respons	e)
8	a.	No changes have been made to the loan covenants associated with Big

a. No changes have been made to the loan covenants associated with Big
Rivers' current loan agreements which existed at the time of its last rate
case. However, certain loan agreements which existed at the time of Big
Rivers' last rate case have terminated and/ or been replaced, as summarized
in the table on the following page.

13

14

15

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-26 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Prior Loan Agreement	Current Loan Agreement	
(as of April 25, 2014)	(as of April 3, 2020)	KPSC Case No. Ref.
CFC Amended and Restated	CFC Syndicated Senior	Case No. 2014-00423 and
Revolving Line of Credit	Secured Credit Facility	Case No. 2017-00243
Agreement dated as of	dated as of March 5, 2015,	
August 19, 2013	as Amended September 19,	
_	2017	
RUS Amended and	RUS First Amended and	Case No. 2017-00281
Consolidated Loan Contract	Restated Consolidated Loan	
dated as of July 16, 2009	Contract dated as of	
	January 2, 2018	

1

2	b.	TIER and Margins for Interest Ratio ("MFIR") are very similar, with the
3		exception of how they treat income tax expense, which Big Rivers typically
4		does not incur. The relationship between TIER and MFIR can be shown
5		using the formula definitions for each term:
6		• TIER (Times Interest Earned Ratio) = (Net Margins + Interest Expense
7		on Long Term Debt) / Interest Expense on Long Term Debt
8		• MFIR (Margins for Interest Ratio) = (Net Margins + Interest Expense
9		on Long Term Debt + Income Tax) / Interest Expense on Long Term Debt
LO		

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-26 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 2 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

1 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-26 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 3 of 3

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 27) Refer to the Smith Testimony page 26. Provide an estimate for
 the base rate increase that would be required to recover the Smelter Loss
 Mitigation Regulatory Assets.

4

5 **Response)** Were Big Rivers to file a base rate proceeding, the revenue requirement 6 component needed to recover the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets would 7 be the annual amortization expense of approximately \$16 million. Assuming all else 8 being equal, and assuming Big Rivers proposed to utilize 80% of its equity headroom 9 and the existing DSM regulatory liability to further reduce the balance of the Smelter 10 Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets in the base rate proceeding, Big Rivers would 11 require an approximately \$4 million annual revenue increase in a base rate 12 proceeding to produce the same TIER achieved by its proposals in this case.

13

14 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-27 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

> Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

> > April 3, 2020

Item 28) Refer to the Smith Testimony, page 35. Describe the dispatch
 costs for the two operating base load generating facilities. Explain in detail
 how KIUC's fuel-stacking methodology would or would not affect fuel
 adjustment clause filing and base rates. Provide support.

 $\mathbf{5}$

6 Response) The forecasted fuel cost for Wilson Station and Green Station in 2020 is
7 and and respectively. The forecasted fuel cost for Wilson
8 Station and Green Station in 2021 is and respectively. As
9 stated in the testimony, with the closing of Station Two, the fuel dispatch costs for
10 the two remaining baseload generating stations are very similar and any change in
11 fuel adjustment clause methodology would have minimal effect on Member rates and
12 Big Rivers' fuel adjustment clause filings.

14 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-28 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

1 Item 29) Refer to the Smith Testimony, Exhibit Smith-2. Provide the status

2 of BREC's request with the Rural Utilities Service.

3

4 Response) Big Rivers had held several follow-up conversations with the Rural

5 Utilities Service ("RUS"). Big Rivers currently believes that it will receive a favorable

6 response from RUS.

7

8 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-29 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL TO MODIFY ITS MRSM TARIFF, CEASE DEFERRING DEPRECIATION EXPENSES, ESTABLISH REGULATORY ASSETS, AMORTIZE REGULATORY ASSETS, AND OTHER APPROPRIATE RELIEF CASE NO. 2020-00064

Response to Commission Staff's First Request for Information dated March 20, 2020

April 3, 2020

Item 30) Refer to the Smith Testimony, Exhibit Smith-7, page 1. Explain
 2 how the annual amortization of \$10 million was determined.

3

4 Response) Exhibit Smith-7 is an illustrative schedule intended to demonstrate how 5 the New TIER Credit will work. Ten million is the annual amortization required to 6 fully amortize the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets by 2043, when 7 accounting for the inclusion of the exemplifying decommissioning costs and New 8 TIER Credit. If the Commission and RUS grant Big Rivers the relief it has requested, 9 the actual annual amortization expense (before application of the New TIER Credit) 10 will be equal to the value of the Smelter Loss Mitigation Regulatory Assets remaining 11 after the immediate utilization of the DSM regulatory liability and the equity room 12 headroom utilization on January 1, 2021, divided by the number of years remaining 13 on the terms of Big Rivers' wholesale power contracts with its Members.

14

15 Witness) Paul G. Smith

Case No. 2020-00064 Response to PSC 1-30 Witness: Paul G. Smith Page 1 of 1