# 1COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY2BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### 3 In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF BIG RIVERS	)
ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR APPROVAL OF ITS	)
2020 ENVIRONMENTAL COMPLIANCE PLAN,	)
AUTHORITY TO RECOVER COSTS THROUGH	) Case No.
A REVISED ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE AND TARIFF, THE ISSUANCE OF A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY	) 2019-00435
FOR CERTAIN PROJECTS, AND APPROPRIATE ACCOUNTING AND OTHER RELIEF	) ) )

# 4 MOTION OF BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION FOR 5 CONFIDENTIAL PROTECTION

6 Big Rivers Electric Corporation ("Big Rivers") hereby moves the 1. 7 Kentucky Public Service Commission (the "Commission"), pursuant to KRS 61.878 8 and 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13, to grant confidential protection to certain information 9 contained in Big Rivers' Response to the Initial Request for Information propounded by Commission Staff in the above-styled matter. The information for which Big 10 11 Rivers seeks confidential protection is hereinafter referred to as the "Confidential 12Information," and it is contained in Big Rivers' responses to Item Nos. 3, 7, and 9 of Commission Staff's Initial Request. 13

Pursuant to the Commission's March 24, 2020 Order in *In the Matter of: Electronic Emergency Docket Related to the Novel Coronavirus COVID-19*, Case No.
 2020-00085, one (1) copy of each response containing Confidential Information, with
 the Confidential Information highlighted with transparent ink and marked

"CONFIDENTIAL," is being filed with this motion by email to PSCED@ky.gov with
a read receipt. A redacted copy of each of those responses is being filed with the
Commission via its Electronic Filing System.

3. A copy of this motion with the Confidential Information redacted has
been served on all parties to this proceeding through the use of electronic filing. *See*807 KAR 5:001, Section 13(b). A copy of the Confidential Information has been served
on all parties that have signed a confidentiality agreement.

8 4. If and to the extent the Confidential Information becomes generally 9 available to the public, whether through filings required by other agencies or 10 otherwise, Big Rivers will notify the Commission and have its confidential status 11 removed. 807 KAR 5:001 Section 13(10)(b).

125. The Confidential Information includes specific estimated cost and 13pricing information related to the projects Big Rivers proposes to pursue as part of 14this proceeding, including projected capital costs (see Response to Item No. 9) and ongoing variable operations and maintenance ("O&M") costs (see Response to Item 1516 No. 3). The Confidential Information also reflects expected operating characteristics of Big Rivers' D.B. Wilson Station, specifically that related to emissions performance 1718 (see Response to Item No. 7) and anticipated yields and value of marketable by-19 products resulting from generation activities (see Response to Item No. 3). As 20discussed below, this Confidential Information is entitled to confidential treatment 21pursuant to KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1), which protects "records confidentially disclosed to 22an agency or required by an agency to be disclosed to it, generally recognized as

2

confidential or proprietary, which if openly disclosed would permit an unfair
 commercial advantage to competitors of the entity that disclosed the records." 807
 KAR 5:001 Section 13(2)(a)(1).

4

### I. Big Rivers Faces Actual Competition

 $\mathbf{5}$ 6. Big Rivers competes in the wholesale power markets to sell energy it 6 produces in excess of its Members' needs. Big Rivers' ability to successfully compete 7 in the wholesale power markets is dependent upon a combination of its ability to 8 negotiate the maximum price for the power sold and its ability to keep its cost of 9 production as low as possible. If Big Rivers' cost of producing a kilowatt-hour of 10 energy increases, its competitive position against other power producers is adversely 11 affected. Similarly, Big Rivers' efforts to maximize the value of its generation assets 12through the sale of generation by-products are hindered if other participants in those 13markets are privy to internal projections detailing estimated output and pricing.

7. 14 Big Rivers also competes for reasonably priced credit in the credit markets, and its ability to compete is directly impacted by its financial results. Lower 1516revenues and any events that adversely affect Big Rivers' margins will adversely affect its financial results and potentially impact the price it pays for credit. A 1718 competitor armed with Big Rivers' proprietary and confidential information will be 19able to increase Big Rivers' costs or decrease Big Rivers' revenues, which could in 20turn affect Big Rivers' apparent creditworthiness. A utility the size of Big Rivers that 21operates generation and transmission facilities will always have periodic cash and 22borrowing requirements for both anticipated and unanticipated needs. Big Rivers

expects to be in the credit markets on a regular basis in the future, and it is
 imperative that Big Rivers improve and maintain its credit profile.

8. As is evidenced by these economic pressures, Big Rivers has
"competitors" as contemplated under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) and faces actual
competition from other market participants.

 $\frac{6}{7}$ 

### II. The Confidential Information is Generally Recognized as Confidential or Proprietary

8 9. The Confidential Information for which Big Rivers seeks confidential 9 treatment under KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) is generally recognized as confidential or 10 proprietary under Kentucky law. As noted above, the Confidential Information 11 includes operational and financial information and conclusions that detail Big Rivers' 12facilities and other detailed inner workings. The public disclosure of this information 13 will inevitably inure to the benefit of the cooperative's competitors, which would gain 14valuable, non-public information about the cooperative's business. Information such as this is generally recognized as confidential or proprietary.<sup>1</sup> 15

# 16 10. The Confidential Information is not publicly available, is not 17 disseminated within Big Rivers except to those employees and professionals with a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See, e.g., Hoy v. Kentucky Indus. Revitalization Authority, 907 S.W.2d 766, 768 (Ky. 1995) ("It does not take a degree in finance to recognize that such information concerning the inner workings of a corporation is 'generally recognized as confidential or proprietary"); Marina Management Servs. v. Cabinet for Tourism, Dep't of Parks, 906 S.W.2d 318, 319 (Ky. 1995) (unfair commercial advantage arises simply from "the ability to ascertain the economic status of the entities without the hurdles systemically associated with the acquisition of such information about privately owned organizations"); Case No. 2019-00115, In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Grayson County Water District for a Deviation from Meter Testing Requirements of 807 KAR 5:066, Section 16(1), Order (Ky. P.S.C. September 19, 2019) (granting confidential protection for proprietary product produced by a third party that was not available to the general public/required membership to obtain and was generally recognized as confidential).

1 legitimate business need to know and act upon the information, and is not 2 disseminated to others without a legitimate need to know and act upon the 3 information. As such, the Confidential Information is recognized as confidential and 4 proprietary both internally and generally.

 $\frac{5}{6}$ 

III.

## Disclosure of the Confidential Information Would Permit an Unfair Commercial Advantage to Big Rivers' Competitors

11. Disclosure of the Confidential Information would afford Big Rivers'
competitors an unfair commercial advantage. As discussed above, Big Rivers faces
actual competition in the power and by-product markets and in the credit markets.
It is likely that Big Rivers would suffer competitive injury if the Confidential
Information were publicly disclosed, and the information should therefore be subject
to confidential treatment.

1312.In Case No. 2018-00056, the Commission granted confidential 14treatment to pricing information provided by Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. ("Cumberland Valley").<sup>2</sup> In that case, the Commission recognized "that the specific 1516 cost information may be used to the financial detriment of Cumberland Valley and 17its ratepayers by allowing potential future vendors to bid just under the cost of its 18 current vendor, which, in turn, would place Cumberland Valley at a competitive 19 disadvantage." Likewise, disclosure of the Confidential Information would afford Big 20 Rivers' contractors, vendors, and competitors access to cost and operational

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> In the Matter of: Application of Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. for Commission Approval for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Install an Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) System Pursuant to KRS 807 KAR 5:001 and KRS 278.020 (Ky. P.S.C. May 9, 2018).

parameters which are material to Big Rivers, thereby allowing them to manipulate 1  $\mathbf{2}$ contract negotiations and bidding processes. If Big Rivers' potential vendors or 3 competitors are privy to detailed information about the cooperative's estimated 4 expenses, operations and maintenance activities, and related information about the  $\mathbf{5}$ inner-workings of the cooperative and its generation assets, the advantage they gain 6 is a competitive disadvantage to Big Rivers, its Member-Owners, and the customers 7 they serve. This is especially true given that Big Rivers faces actual competition in 8 the electricity markets.

9 13. In Case No. 2003-00054, the Commission likewise granted confidential 10 treatment to contractor bids submitted to Union Light, Heat & Power Company 11 ("ULH&P"). ULH&P argued, and the Commission implicitly accepted, that if the 12bids it received were publicly disclosed, contractors on future work could use the bids 13as a benchmark, which would likely lead to the submission of higher bids.<sup>3</sup> The Commission also implicitly accepted ULH&P's further argument that the higher bids 1415would lessen ULH&P's ability to compete with other gas suppliers, under the 16assumption that higher bids would lead to high prices, and higher prices would lead 17to higher rates.

18 14. Moreover, public disclosure of projected budgetary and O&M costs 19 would give power producers and marketers with which Big Rivers competes in the 20 wholesale power markets insight into Big Rivers' cost of producing power. Knowledge

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See In the Matter of: Application of Union Light, Heat & Power Company for Confidential Treatment (Ky. P.S.C. Aug. 4, 2003).

of this information would give those power producers and marketers an unfair competitive advantage because they could use that information to potentially underbid Big Rivers in wholesale transactions, reducing Big Rivers' revenue and impairing Big Rivers' ability to compete in the wholesale power and credit markets. Further, any competitive pressure that adversely affects Big Rivers' revenue and margins could make the company appear less creditworthy and thus impair its ability to compete in the credit markets.

8 15. Thus, Big Rivers' competitiveness will be adversely affected if potential 9 counterparties and competitors are provided with Big Rivers' private, proprietary, 10 and commercially-sensitive information. Accordingly, the public disclosure of the 11 Confidential Information Big Rivers seeks to protect pursuant to KRS 61.878(1)(c)(1) 12 would provide Big Rivers' competitors with an unfair commercial advantage.

13

#### IV. Time Period

14 16. With respect to the estimated by-product output and pricing information and related ongoing variable O&M information contained in Big Rivers' response to 1516Item No. 3, Big Rivers requests that the Confidential Information be protected 17indefinitely, at least as long as the relevant facilities are in service. Big Rivers also 18 requests confidential protection indefinitely for the projected emissions performance 19information contained in Big Rivers' response to Item No. 7, as the public disclosure 20of this confidential information could be used to Big Rivers' competitive disadvantage 21well into the future. Finally, Big Rivers requests that the projected capital cost 22information reflected in its response to Item No. 9 remain confidential for a period of

1	five (5) years, which will allow that Confidential Information to become sufficiently
2	historic such that its public disclosure would not provide competitors with an
3	advantage in the marketplace.
4	V. Conclusion
<b>5</b>	17. Based on the foregoing, the Confidential Information is entitled to
6	confidential protection. If the Commission disagrees, then the Commission should
7	hold an evidentiary hearing to protect Big Rivers' due process rights and to supply
8	the Commission with a complete record to enable it to reach a decision with regard
9	to this matter. See Utility Regulatory Com'n v. Kentucky Water Service Co., Inc., 642
10	S.W.2d 591 (Ky. App. 1982).
11	WHEREFORE, Big Rivers respectfully requests that the Commission classify
12	and protect as confidential the Confidential Information.
13	This 13 <sup>th</sup> day of April, 2020.
14	Respectfully submitted,
	BIG RIVERS ELECTRIC CORPORATION
15	
16	Tyson Kamuf
17	Gregory E. Mayes, Jr.
18	201 Third Street, P.O. Box 24 Handarson, Kantuchy 42410,0024
$\frac{19}{20}$	Henderson, Kentucky 42419-0024 Phone: (270) 827-2561 Fax: (270) 844-6417
$\frac{20}{21}$	Email: tyson.kamuf@bigrivers.com
$\frac{21}{22}$	Email: gregory.mayes@bigrivers.com

1	DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
2	
3	
4	<u>/s/ Edward T. Depp</u>
5	Edward T. Depp
6	R. Brooks Herrick
7	101 South Fifth Street, Suite 2500
8	Louisville, Kentucky 40202
9	E-mail: tip.depp@dinsmore.com
10	E-mail: brooks.herrick@dinsmore.com
11	Phone: (502) 540-2300 Fax: (502) 585-2207
12	M. Evan Buckley
13	100 West Main Street, Suite 900
14	Lexington, Kentucky 40507
15	E-mail: evan.buckley@dinsmore.com
16	Phone: (859) 425-1000 Fax: (859) 425-1099
17	Counsel to Big Rivers Electric Corporation
18	
19	
20	Certification
21	
22	I hereby certify that the electronic version of this filing made with the
23	Commission on April 13, 2020, is a true and accurate copy of the paper document that
24	will be submitted to the Commission within 30 days of the Governor lifting the state
25	of the emergency pursuant to the Commission's Orders in Case No. 2020-00085, and
26	the electronic version of the filing has been transmitted to the Commission. A copy of
27	this filing has been served electronically on all parties of record for whom an email
28	address is given in the online Service List for this proceeding, and there are currently
29	no parties that the Commission has excused from participation by electronic means.
$\frac{30}{31}$	
$\frac{31}{32}$	/s/ Edward T. Depp
32 33	Counsel to Big Rivers Electric Corporation
34	Counsel to Dig Rivers Electric Corporation
04	