COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN AMENDED GAS LINE TRACKER

) CASE NO. 2019-00301)

TESTIMONY OF ROBERT M. CONROY VICE PRESIDENT, STATE REGULATION AND RATES LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Filed: September 27, 2019

1

Q. Please state your name, position, and business address.

- A. My name is Robert M. Conroy. I am the Vice President of State Regulation and
 Rates for Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E" or "Company") and an
 employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, which provides services to LG&E
 and KU. My business address is 220 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202.
- 6 Q. Please describe your educational and professional background.
- A. A statement of my professional history and education is attached to this testimony as
 Appendix A.
- 9 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?
- 10 A. Yes. I have testified in numerous proceedings before the Commission. Most recently,
 11 I testified in the Companies' 2016 and 2018 base rate cases.¹
- 12 Q. What are the purposes of your testimony?

A. The purposes of my testimony are to: (1) explain how the pipeline replacement projects proposed in this case and described by Mr. Malloy were addressed in the Company's last base rate case; (2) describe the proposed tariff change to the Company's Gas Line Tracker driven by the additional revenues the Company needs to undertake the proposed pipeline replacement projects; and (3) explain why Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity are not required for the proposed pipeline replacement projects.

20 Q. Please describe how the pipeline replacement projects proposed in this case were

21 addressed in the Company's last base rate case.

¹ In the Matter of: Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric and Gas Rates and for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Case No. 2016-00371; and In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of Its Electric and Gas Rates, Case No. 2018-00295.

1 A. The Company seeks a revision to the current Gas Line Tracker charges so that it can 2 recover the costs related to the plan for the pipeline replacement projects presented in 3 this case. In Mr. Malloy's testimony in this matter, he identifies and explains the 4 need for three pipeline replacement projects the Company proposes in this case. The 5 replacement projects are necessary to maintain and improve the safety and reliability of LG&E's gas transmission system. Those three projects are for the Company's 6 7 Western Kentucky A Line, Western Kentucky B Line, and the Company's Magnolia 8 Lines. The Company needs to modify those lines to achieve a more uniform diameter 9 so that inline inspections ("ILI") can be used to assess the integrity of those lines. All three projects were identified and explained in the Company's last base rate case.² 10 11 Specifically, in Mr. Lonnie Bellar's September 28, 2018 Direct Testimony, he 12 explained the general use of ILIs to conduct pipeline integrity assessments and 13 described the need to achieve uniform diameter on the Western Kentucky A and B Lines to facilitate ILIs.³ He also explained the need to replace eight road crossings on 14 the Magnolia Lines.⁴ The Company sought rate recovery for those projects in the 15 2018 rate case. 16

In response to Mr. Bellar's Direct Testimony, Office of Attorney General ("OAG") witness Donna Mullinax objected to the rate recovery of the pipeline replacement projects.⁵ In rebuttal, Mr. Bellar elaborated on the purpose and need for the pipeline replacement projects.⁶ Then, as part of the March 1, 2019 *Stipulation*

² Case No. 2018-00295.

³ Case No. 2018-00295, Bellar Direct Testimony, September 28, 2018, pp. 58-60.

⁴ *Id.*, p. 60.

⁵ Case No. 2018-00295, Mullinax Direct Testimony, January 16, 2019, pp. 16-17.

⁶ Case No. 2018-00295, Bellar Rebuttal Testimony, February 21, 2019, pp. 4-8.

and Recommendation, the Company agreed⁷ to the removal of the pipeline
 replacement projects from the inclusion in base rates in that proceeding and the
 Commission subsequently approved that removal.⁸ Thus, no costs for the pipeline
 replacement projects are in current rates.

- 5 Q. Did the Parties to the *Stipulation and Recommendation* address the future 6 recovery of the cost of these pipeline replacement projects?
- A. Yes, the Parties to the *Stipulation and Recommendation* specifically agreed that "the appropriate forum for LG&E to seek cost recovery for these projects is either through a separate application for cost recovery under LG&E's Gas Line Tracker or in the context of a future base rate case"⁹ Accordingly, the Company now seeks cost recovery of the pipeline replacement projects in this case via the Company's adjustment clause known as the Gas Line Tracker.¹⁰

13 Q. Please describe the Company's Gas Line Tracker as set forth in the Company's 14 tariff.

A. The Company's Gas Line Tracker is an adjustment clause approved by the Commission to recover certain capital and operation and maintenance costs not already included in base rates associated with the replacement of gas distribution and transmission pipelines. The adjustment clause is set forth in a single sheet in LG&E's gas tariff as Original Sheet No. 84 on file and approved by the Commission. A copy is attached as Exhibit 2 to the Application with the revisions proposed in this case.

⁷ Case No. 2018-00295, *Stipulation and Recommendation*, March 1, 2019, pp. 10-11.

⁸ Case No. 2018-00296, Order, April 30, 2019, p. 11.

⁹ Case No. 2018-00295, Stipulation and Recommendation, March 1, 2019, p. 10.

¹⁰ LG&E Rates, Terms and Conditions for Furnishing Natural Gas Service, PSC Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 84.

1 Like many gas distribution utilities under the Commission's jurisdiction, the 2 Company recovers its investment in certain gas infrastructure assets through a line 3 item on customer bills. Among other things, the Gas Line Tracker includes: Gas 4 Line Tracker related Plant In-Service not included in base rates (minus the associated 5 accumulated depreciation and deferred income taxes); a rate of return on those assets 6 equal to the overall rate of return from the Company's last base rate case grossed up 7 for federal and state income taxes; and incremental operation and maintenance 8 expenses.

9 In LG&E's 2016 base rate case, the Commission approved the removal of the 10 Gas Line Tracker rate base as of June 30, 2017 from the Gas Line Tracker mechanism 11 and included it in the Company's base rates.¹¹ Costs for existing and new programs 12 incurred after June 30, 2017 continue to be recovered through the Gas Line Tracker 13 mechanism.

14 The Gas Line Tracker tariff language requires an annual filing in which 15 program costs are updated and the charges are adjusted. Historically, the Company 16 has made that filing every February. The Commission most recently approved 17 updated Gas Line Tracker charges in Case No. 2019-00043.¹² The Company will 18 submit such an annual filing in February 2020 with an updated revenue requirement.

¹¹ In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for an Adjustment of its Electric and Gas Rates and for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Case No. 2016-00371, Order (June 22, 2017).

¹² In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Gas Line Tracker, Case No. 2019-00043, Order (April 23, 2019), modified by Order (May 6, 2019).

CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION REDACTED

Q. Notwithstanding the Company's plan to file its annual Gas Line Tracker update filing in February 2020, does the Company seek an adjustment of the Gas Line Tracker amount in this case?

4 A. Yes. The Company seeks a revision to the current Gas Line Tracker charges so that it 5 can recover the costs related to the plan for the pipeline replacement projects 6 presented in this case. For those costs, the Company has calculated a total additional 7 capital investment of \$31.3 million. That investment includes the projected capital 8 cost of three projects: the Western Kentucky Line A project (\$20.0 million), the 9 Western Kentucky Line B project (\$5.4 million), and the Magnolia Lines project 10 (\$5.9 million). The Company will also incur additional operation and maintenance 11 expense to pay Rosen USA for its development of the multi-diameter tool. That cost 12 is approximately \$ million for 2020 – 2021. The return on equity used for 13 calculating that revenue requirement of 9.725% is taken directly from the Company's last base rate case.¹³ As shown in the proposed revised tariff sheet at Exhibit 2 to the 14 15 Application, the proposed Gas Line Tracker transmission amounts per Ccf are: 16 \$0.02046 for RGS and VFD service; \$0.01656 for CGS and SGSS service; \$0.01002 17 for IGS, AAGS, and DGGGS service; and \$0.00098 for FT and LGDS service. The 18 proposed effective date for the revised charges is October 27, 2019, but the Company 19 recognizes that the Commission may suspend those revisions for the purpose of 20 allowing further review in this case.

¹³ In the Matter of: Electronic Application Of Louisville Gas and Electric Company For An Adjustment Of Its Electric and Gas Rates, Case No. 2018-00295, Order (April 30, 2019)(approving the Rate Case Stipulation and Recommendation of a return on equity of 9.725 percent, applied to capitalization).

Q. Describe the incremental revenue requirement requested and its proposed allocation.

3 A. The incremental revenue requirement for the identified projects is \$3.5 million in 4 2020, \$2.9 million in 2021, and \$3.4 million in 2022. The revenue requirement is 5 allocated based on forecasted billing determinates utilized as part of LG&E's latest rate case (Case No. 2018-00295). The revenue requirement will be distributed as 6 7 follows: 66.90% to residential service; 27.79% to commercial gas service; 3.42% to 8 industrial gas service; and 1.90% to firm transportation service. Additional details 9 showing the calculation of the GLT revenue requirement using the formula 10 methodology and forms from the annual GLT filings are provided in Exhibit RMC-1 11 to my testimony.

Q. Describe the effect on the average bill for each customer class to which the
 requested changes will apply and the effect of such changes in both dollar and
 percentage amounts.

A. During 2020, the monthly residential gas bill will increase by 1.1%, or approximately
\$0.65, for a customer using 54 Ccf of gas (the average monthly consumption of a
LG&E residential customer). Details for all rates are displayed in the following table
with projections included through 2022.

Rate	Average Monthly	20	20	20	21	2022		
Kate	Usage (Ccf)	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%	
RGS & VFD	54	\$0.65	1.1%	\$0.54	0.9%	\$0.64	1.1%	
CGS	331	\$3.23	1.1%	\$2.68	0.9%	\$3.14	1.1%	
SGSS	1,248	\$12.17	0.3%	\$10.10	0.3%	\$11.84	0.3%	
IGS	5,967	\$35.44	1.0%	\$29.42	0.8%	\$34.49	0.9%	
AAGS	35,984	\$213.74	1.3%	\$177.40	1.0%	\$207.99	1.2%	
DGGS	4	\$0.02	0.0%	\$0.02	0.0%	\$0.02	0.0%	
FT	143,850	\$71.93	1.0%	\$58.98	0.8%	\$69.05	1.0%	
LGDS	No customers are currently served under this Rate Schedule							

19

1Q.How will the Company reconcile revised Gas Line Tracker amounts resulting2from this case with any revisions resulting from its annual filing in February32020?

A. It depends on the timing of the changes resulting from this case. If such changes are
known to the Company sufficiently in advance of the Company's annual February
2020 filing, they will be incorporated into the charges proposed in that annual filing.
If the outcome in this case is not known until after the February annual filing, the
Company will propose reconciled amounts that will include both the results of the
February annual filing and from this case.

10 Q. Has the Company provided notice to customers of its requested changes?

A. Yes. A copy of the notice provided to customers is attached to the Application in this
case as Exhibit 4.

Q. Does the Commission need to issue Certificates of Public Convenience and
Necessity ("CPCN") for each of the three projects proposed in this case?

15 A. No. First and foremost, "extensions in the ordinary course of business" are exceptions to CPCN requirement.¹⁴ Each of these projects is in the ordinary course 16 17 of business and, in fact, they don't even rise to the level of being extensions, as they 18 are mere replacement projects. Regardless, each project meets the requirements of 19 the exception because they do not: (1) create wasteful duplication of plant, 20 equipment, property, or facilities (they are replacing what is already there); (2) 21 conflict with existing certificates or service of other utilities (again, they are replacing

¹⁴ 807 KAR 5001, Section 15(3).

only what is already there); or (3) involve capital outlay sufficient to affect the Company's existing financial condition.

3 In the 2018 annual report on file with the Commission, the Company's total 4 Net Utility Plant is \$5,331,985,940. The gas-only Net Utility Plant is \$933,915,296. 5 Using applicable law, Commission regulations and precedent, and consistent with Company policy, the Company applies a reasonable "five percent test" to its current 6 7 Net Utility Plant to determine whether an individual project is costly enough to "materially affect the existing financial condition" of the Company.¹⁵ Five percent of 8 the Company's total Net Utility Plant is \$266.6 million¹⁶ and five percent of the 9 10 Company's gas-only Net Utility Plant is \$46.7 million.¹⁷

As Mr. Malloy explains in his Direct Testimony and as captured in the 11 12 discrete components of the revenue requirement for each project, the estimated 13 project cost for: (1) the Western Kentucky A Line is \$20.0 million; (2) the Western 14 Kentucky Line B is \$5.4 million; and (3) the Magnolia Lines is \$5.9 million. To 15 measure the true financial impact to the Company, it is reasonable to use total Net Utility Plant resulting in a per project threshold of \$266.6 million. Clearly, none of 16 17 the proposed projects comes close to meeting that threshold. And even if gas-only 18 Net Utility Plant is used to measure financial impact, that per project threshold of 19 \$46.7 is not close to being triggered. Therefore, CPCNs are not required.

1

2

¹⁵ The Company's April 1, 2019 Post-Hearing Brief in its last rate case (Case No. 2018-00295) described the Company's reasonable CPCN Policy in detail (pp. 14-17) and provided references to the data responses the Company filed in that case explaining its CPCN Policy. Those data responses were to Staff 2-58, Staff 3-20, and Staff 4-17.

 $^{^{16}}$ \$5,331,985,940 X .05 = \$266,599,297

 $^{^{17}}$ \$933,915,296 X .05 = \$46,695,764

1 Q. Does this case affect the Company's pending requests in Case No. 2019-00222?¹⁸ 2 A. The pipeline replacement projects requested for approval in this Gas Line No. 3 Tracker case are consistent with the pending waiver requests made in Case No. 2019-4 00222. In that case, the Company asked the Commission to approve, as a 5 precautionary measure because it may not even be necessary, a state waiver of the 6 reassessment interval for several segments of its lines in High Consequence Areas. 7 Essentially, the Company sought an extension of time to make those reassessments 8 until June 30, 2020 while taking proactive measures to ensure the safety of HCAs in 9 the meantime. And those reassessments need to be made regardless of what happens 10 in this case. The projects proposed in this case to move to a more uniform diameter 11 are simply the next step in the Company's ongoing pipeline reassessment process. 12 Once the lines are moved to a more uniform diameter by 2022, the Company will be 13 able avoid the multiple ILI that are now required for a satisfactory reassessment.

14

Q. What is your recommendation?

A. I recommend the Commission approve the projects described above for inclusion in
the Company's Gas Line Tracker along with the Company's contractual cost under its
contract with Rosen USA. LG&E's plan, consisting of three replacement projects
and the Rosen USA multi-diameter tool, will improve the safety and reliability of
LG&E's gas transmission system.

- 20 **Q.** Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does.

¹⁸ In the Matter of: Verified Electronic Application of Louisville Gas and Electric Company for Approval of State Waiver of the Reassessment Interval Required by 49 CFR 192.939, Kentucky Public Service Commission, Case No. 219-00222.

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Robert M. Conroy**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, State Regulation and Rates for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Robert M. Conrov

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County

and State, this <u>23</u> day of 2019.

edychover (SEAL)

My Commission Expires:

Judy Schooler Notary Public, ID No. 603967 State at Large, Kentucky Commission Expires 7/11/2022

APPENDIX A

Robert M. Conroy

Vice President, State Regulation and Rates LG&E and KU Services Company 220 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 Telephone: (502) 627-3324

Previous Positions

Director, Rates	Fe
Manager, Rates	A
Manager, Generation Systems Planning	Fe
Group Leader, Generation Systems Planning	Fe
Lead Planning Engineer	O
Consulting System Planning Analyst	A
System Planning Analyst III & IV	O
System Planning Analyst II	Ja
Electrical Engineer II	Ju
Electrical Engineer I	Ju

Feb 2008 – Feb 2016 April 2004 – Feb 2008 Feb. 2001 – April 2004 Feb. 2000 – Feb. 2001 Oct. 1999 – Feb. 2000 April 1996 – Oct. 1999 Oct. 1992 - April 1996 Jan. 1991 - Oct. 1992 Jun. 1990 - Jan. 1991 Jun. 1987 - Jun. 1990

Professional/Trade Memberships

Registered Professional Engineer in Kentucky, 1995 Edison Electric Institute - Rates and Regulatory Affairs Committee Southeastern Energy Exchange - Rates and Regulation Committee

Education

Essentials of Leadership, London Business School, 2004 Masters of Business Administration Indiana University (Southeast campus), December 1998 Center for Creative Leadership, Foundations in Leadership program, 1998. Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering; Rose Hulman Institute of Technology, May 1987

Civic Activities

Olmstead Parks Conservancy – Board of Directors – 2016 – current Leadership Kentucky – Class of 2016 Financial Research Institute – Advisory Board Member – 2016 – current

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER CLASS ALLOCATION AND ESTIMATED BILL IMPACT

Line No.	Rate Schedule - Transmission	Revenue in Case Allocation		Incremental Revenue Requirement	Mcf	Incremental Rate Per Mcf
	2020					
1	Residential Gas Service - Rates RGS, VFD	\$231,221,838	66.90%	\$2,335,319	19,344,465	\$0.1207
2	Commercial Gas Service - Rates CGS, SGSS	\$96,042,057	27.79%	\$970,016	9,952,828	\$0.0975
3	Industrial Gas Service - Rates IGS, AAGS, DGGS	\$11,816,427	3.42%	\$119,345	2,009,575	\$0.0594
4	Firm Transportation Service - Rates FS, AAGS, BOOS	\$6,552,693	1.90%	\$66,182	13,291,727	\$0.0050
5	Total	\$345,633,015	100.00%	\$3,490,861	44,598,595	φ0.0050
6 7 8 9	2021 Residential Gas Service - Rates RGS, VFD Commercial Gas Service - Rates CGS, SGSS Industrial Gas Service - Rates IGS, AAGS, DGGS Firm Transportation Service - Rates FT, LGDS	\$231,221,838 \$96,042,057 \$11,816,427 \$6,552,693	66.90% 27.79% 3.42% 1.90%	\$1,938,109 \$805,028 \$99,046 \$54,925	19,344,465 9,952,828 2,009,575 13,291,727	\$0.1002 \$0.0809 \$0.0493 \$0.0041
10	Total 2022	\$345,633,015	100.00%	\$2,897,107	44,598,595	-
11	Residential Gas Service - Rates RGS, VFD	\$231,221,838	66.90%	\$2,274,668	19,344,465	\$0.1176
12	Commercial Gas Service - Rates CGS, SGSS	\$96,042,057	27.79%	\$944,823	9,952,828	\$0.0949
13	Industrial Gas Service - Rates IGS, AAGS, DGGS	\$11,816,427	3.42%	\$116,245	2,009,575	\$0.0578
14	Firm Transportation Service - Rates FT, LGDS	\$6,552,693	1.90%	\$64,463	13,291,727	\$0.0048
15	Total	\$345,633,015	100.00%	\$3,400,199	44,598,595	_

Line				
No.	Description	2020	2021	2022
	Rate Base			
1	Gas Plant Investment	8,709,558	30,548,215	31,311,841
2	Cost of Removal	0	0	0
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserve	0	0	0
4	Net Gas Plant	8,709,558	30,548,215	31,311,841
5	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	0	0	0
6	Net Rate Base	8,709,558	30,548,215	31,311,841
7	Rate of Return	8.92%	8.92%	8.92%
8	Return on Net Rate Base (13 month average)	388,446	1,750,897	2,758,959
	Operating Expenses			
9	Annualized Depreciation	4,415	141,210	641,240
10	Incremental Operation & Maintenance	3,098,000	1,005,000	0
11	Total Operating Expenses	3,102,415	1,146,210	641,240
12	Total Annual Revenue Requirement	3,490,861	2,897,107	3,400,199

Line <u>No.</u>	Description (1)	2019 <u>December</u> (2)	2020 <u>January</u> (3)	2020 <u>February</u> (4)	2020 <u>March</u> (5)	2020 <u>April</u> (6)	2020 <u>May</u> (7)	2020 <u>June</u> (8)	2020 <u>July</u> (9)	2020 <u>August</u> (10)	2020 <u>September</u> (11)	2020 <u>October</u> (12)	2020 <u>November</u> (13)	2020 <u>December</u> (14)	2020 <u>Year*</u> (15)
	Rate Base														
1	Gas Plant Investment - Transmission CWIP	\$0	\$725.796	\$ 1.451.593	\$ 2177389	\$ 2.903.186	\$ 3.628.982	\$ 4354779	\$ 5,080,575	\$ 5 806 372	\$ 6.532.168	\$ 7.257.965	\$ 7,983,761 \$	8,709,558	\$4,354,779
2	Cost of Removal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0,002,100	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserve	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Net Gas Plant	+ -	\$725,796	\$1,451,593	\$2,177,389	\$2,903,186	\$3,628,982	\$4,354,779	\$5,080,575	\$5,806,372	\$6,532,168	\$7,257,965	\$7,983,761	\$8,709,558	\$4,354,779
			,												, , ,
5	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Net Rate Base	\$0	\$725,796	\$1,451,593	\$2,177,389	\$2,903,186	\$3,628,982	\$4,354,779	\$5,080,575	\$5,806,372	\$6,532,168	\$7,257,965	\$7,983,761	\$8,709,558	\$4,354,779
7	Rate of Return	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	8.92%
		0					0.0.170					0.1.1,0			017 - 70
8	Return on Net Rate Base	\$0	\$5,395	\$10,790	\$16,185	\$21,580	\$26,975	\$32,371	\$37,766	\$43,161	\$48,556	\$53,951	\$59,346	\$64,741	\$388,446
	Operating Expenses														
9	Depreciation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,415	\$4,415
10	Incremental Operation & Maintenance	\$0	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$3,098,000
11	Property Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	* -														
12	Total Operating Expenses	\$0	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$258,167	\$262,582	\$3,102,415
13	Total Revenue Requirement	\$0	\$263,562	\$268,957	\$274,352	\$279,747	\$285,142	\$290,537	\$295,932	\$301,327	\$306,722	\$312,118	\$317,513	\$327,323	\$3,490,861

Note * - 2020 Year amounts based upon thirteen-month average (December 2019 - December 2020).

		r													
Line		2020	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021	2021
No.	Description	December	January January	February	March	April	<u>Mav</u> (7)	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Year*
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
Ra	te Base														
1	Gas Plant Investment - Transmission CWIP	\$8,709,558	\$ 10,529,446	\$ 12,349,334	\$ 14,169,222	\$ 15,989,110	\$ 17,808,998	\$ 19,628,886	\$ 21,448,775	\$ 23,268,663	\$ 25,088,551	\$ 26,908,439	\$ 28,728,327	\$ 30,548,215	\$19,628,886
2	Cost of Removal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserve	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Net Gas Plant	\$8,709,558	\$10,529,446	\$12,349,334	\$14,169,222	\$15,989,110	\$17,808,998	\$19,628,886	\$21,448,775	\$23,268,663	\$25,088,551	\$26,908,439	\$28,728,327	\$30,548,215	\$19,628,886
5	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Net Rate Base	\$8,709,558	\$10,529,446	\$12,349,334	\$14,169,222	\$15,989,110	\$17,808,998	\$19,628,886	\$21,448,775	\$23,268,663	\$25,088,551	\$26,908,439	\$28,728,327	\$30,548,215	\$19,628,886
7	Rate of Return	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	8.92%
8	Return on Net Rate Base	\$64,741	\$78.269	\$91,797	\$105.325	\$118.852	\$132,380	\$145,908	\$159,436	\$172.964	\$186.492	\$200.019	\$213.547	\$227.075	\$1,750,897
0	Return on Net Kate Base	\$64,741	\$78,209	\$91,797	\$105,525	\$118,852	\$152,580	\$145,908	\$139,430	\$172,904	\$180,492	\$200,019	\$215,547	\$227,075	\$1,750,897
On	erating Expenses														
9	Depreciation	\$4,415	\$9,447	\$10.064	\$10.064	\$10,064	\$10.064	\$10,064	\$10,064	\$10.064	\$10.064	\$10.064	\$10,064	\$31,125	\$141,210
10	Incremental Operation & Maintenance	\$258,167	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$83,750	\$1,005,000
11	Property Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	· · · · ·	+-													+-
12	Total Operating Expenses	\$262,582	\$93,197	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$93,814	\$114,875	\$1,146,210
13 <u>To</u>	tal Revenue Requirement	\$327,323	\$171,466	\$185,611	\$199,138	\$212,666	\$226,194	\$239,722	\$253,250	\$266,778	\$280,305	\$293,833	\$307,361	\$341,950	\$2,897,107

Note * - 2021 Year amounts based upon thirteen-month average (December 2020 - December 2021).

Line		2021	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022	2022
No.	Description	December	January	February							September	October	November	December	
140.	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	March (5)	<u>April</u> (6)	<u>Mav</u> (7)	<u>June</u> (8)	<u>July</u> (9)	August (10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	Year* (15)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(3)	(0)	(7)	(0)	())	(10)	(11)	(12)	(15)	(14)	(15)
	Rate Base														
1	Gas Plant Investment - Transmission CWIP	\$30,548,215	\$ 30,611,851	\$ 30,675,486	\$ 30,739,122	\$ 30,802,757	\$ 30,866,393	\$ 30,930,028	\$ 30,993,664	\$ 31,057,299	\$ 31,120,935	\$ 31,184,570	\$ 31,248,206	\$ 31,311,841	\$30,930,028
2	Cost of Removal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Accumulated Depreciation Reserve	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
4	Net Gas Plant	\$30,548,215	\$30,611,851	\$30,675,486	\$30,739,122	\$30,802,757	\$30,866,393	\$30,930,028	\$30,993,664	\$31,057,299	\$31,120,935	\$31,184,570	\$31,248,206	\$31,311,841	\$30,930,028
5	Accumulated Deferred Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
6	Net Rate Base	\$30,548,215	\$30,611,851	\$30,675,486	\$30,739,122	\$30,802,757	\$30,866,393	\$30,930,028	\$30,993,664	\$31,057,299	\$31,120,935	\$31,184,570	\$31,248,206	\$31,311,841	\$30,930,028
7	Rate of Return	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	0.74%	8.92%
8	Return on Net Rate Base	\$227,075	\$227,548	\$228,021	\$228,494	\$228,967	\$229,440	\$229,913	\$230,386	\$230,859	\$231,332	\$231,805	\$232,278	\$232,751	\$2,758,959
0	Operating Expenses	621.105	\$52.839	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53.491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53.491	\$53,491	\$53,491	652 401	¢52 401	¢<41.240
9	Depreciation	\$31,125					1			,			\$53,491	\$53,491	\$641,240
10	Incremental Operation & Maintenance	\$83,750	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
11	Property Taxes	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
12	Total Operating Expenses	\$114,875	\$52,839	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$53,491	\$641,240
13	Total Revenue Requirement	\$341,950	\$280,387	\$281,512	\$281,985	\$282,458	\$282,931	\$283,404	\$283,877	\$284,350	\$284,823	\$285,296	\$285,769	\$286,242	\$3,400,199

Note * - 2022 Year amounts based upon thirteen-month average (December 2021 - December 2022).

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER DECEMBER 2020 BOOK DEPRECIATION

Line <u>No.</u>	Description (1)	Account <u>No.</u> (2)	December Beginning Plant <u>Balance</u> (3)	Monthly Depr <u>Rates</u> (4)	December Depr on Beginning <u>Balance</u> (5)=(3)*(4)	December Additions and <u>Retirements</u> (6)	Current Month Depr on <u>Adds/Ret</u> (7)=(4)*(6)*50%	Current Month Book <u>Depreciation</u> (8)=(5)+(7)	December Ending Plant <u>Balance</u> (9)=(6)+(3)
1 2 3	<u>Additions</u> Mains - Transmission	376	0	0.17%	0	5,169,000	4,415	4,415	5,169,000
4 5	Total Additions		0		0	5,169,000	4,415	4,415	5,169,000
6 7	<u>Retirements</u> Mains - Transmission	376	0	0.17%	0	0	0	0	0
8 9	Total Retirements		0		0	0	0	0	0
10	<u>Total Plant</u>		0		0	5,169,000	4,415	4,415	5,169,000
11 12 13	<u>Cost of Removal</u> Mains - Transmission	376	0			0			0
14	Total Cost of Removal		0		0	0	0	0	0

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER DECEMBER 2021 BOOK DEPRECIATION

Line <u>No.</u>	Description (1)	Account <u>No.</u> (2)	December Beginning Plant <u>Balance</u> (3)	Monthly Depr <u>Rates</u> (4)	December Depr on Beginning <u>Balance</u> (5)=(3)*(4)	December Additions and <u>Retirements</u> (6)	Current Month Depr on <u>Adds/Ret</u> (7)=(4)*(6)*50%	Current Month Book <u>Depreciation</u> (8)=(5)+(7)	December Ending Plant <u>Balance</u> (9)=(6)+(3)
1 2 3	<u>Additions</u> Mains - Transmission	376	5,891,000	0.17%	10,064	24,657,215	21,061	31,125	30,548,215
4 5	Total Additions		5,891,000		10,064	24,657,215	21,061	31,125	30,548,215
<u>]</u> 6 7 8 9	<u>Retirements</u> Mains - Transmission Total Retirements	376	0	0.17%	0	0	0	0	0
	<u>Fotal Plant</u>		5,891,000		10,064	24,657,215	21,061	31,125	30,548,215
11 12 13	Cost of Removal Mains - Transmission	376	0			0			0
14	Total Cost of Removal		0		0	0	0	0	0

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER DECEMBER 2022 BOOK DEPRECIATION

Line <u>No.</u>	Description (1)	Account <u>No.</u> (2)	December Beginning Plant <u>Balance</u> (3)	Monthly Depr <u>Rates</u> (4)	December Depr on Beginning <u>Balance</u> (5)=(3)*(4)	December Additions and <u>Retirements</u> (6)	Current Month Depr on <u>Adds/Ret</u> (7)=(4)*(6)*50%	Current Month Book <u>Depreciation</u> (8)=(5)+(7)	December Ending Plant <u>Balance</u> (9)=(6)+(3)
1 2 3	<u>Additions</u> Mains - Transmission	376	31,311,841	0.17%	53,491	0	0	53,491	31,311,841
4 5	Total Additions	-	31,311,841		53,491	0	0	53,491	31,311,841
6 7 8	<u>Retirements</u> Mains - Transmission	376	0	0.17%	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total Retirements		0		0	0	0	0	0
10	<u>Total Plant</u>	=	31,311,841		53,491	0	0	53,491	31,311,841
11 12 13	<u>Cost of Removal</u> Mains - Transmission	376	0			0			0
14	Total Cost of Removal	-	0		0	0	0	0	0

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER RATE OF RETURN

Line No.	Capital Structure	Ratio	Cost	Weighted Cost	Tax Gross-up @ 24.95%	Rate of Return Adjusted for Income Taxes
1	Short term debt	1.40%	3.360%	0.05%		0.05%
2	Long term debt	45.50%	4.374%	1.99%		1.99%
3	Common equity	53.10%	9.725%	5.16%	1.72%	6.88%
4	Total	100.00%		7.20%	1.72%	8.92%

Note: Capital structure and cost rates pursuant to Case No. 2018-00295.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ADJUSTMENT TO THE GAS LINE TRACKER CAPITAL EXPENSE

Date	Western KY A (Capital)	Western KY B (Capital)	Magnolia Road Crossing (Capital)	Total
2019	\$175,000	\$75,000	\$146,000	\$396,000
2020	\$1,928,104	\$1,362,453	\$5,023,000	\$8,313,558
2021	\$17,398,198	\$3,718,460	\$722,000	\$21,838,658
2022	\$547,477	\$216,149	\$0	\$763,626
2023	\$0		\$0	\$0
2024	\$0		\$0	\$0
2025	\$0		\$0	\$0
2026	\$0		\$0	\$0
2027	\$0		\$0	\$0
2028	\$0		\$0	\$0
	\$20,048,779	\$5,372,062	\$5,891,000	\$31,311,841