KyPSC Case No. 2019-00271 KROGER 2ND SET TABLE OF CONTENTS

DATA REQUEST	WITNESS	TAB NO.
KROGER-DR-02-001	Benjamin Passty / James E. Ziolkowski Jeff Kern / Christopher M. Jacobi	1
KROGER-DR-02-002	Christopher M. Jacobi	2

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)	

The undersigned, Benjamin W. B. Passty, Lead Load Forecasting Analyst, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data request and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Benjamin W. B. Passty Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Benjamin W. B. Passty on this 15 day of November 2019.

PATRICIA C. ROSS NOTARY PUBLIC Mecklenburg County North Carolina

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: 10 - 23-202 €

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF MECKLENBURG)	

The undersigned, Christopher M. Jacobi, Director, Regional Financial Forecasting, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data request and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Christopher M. Jacobi Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Christopher M. Jacobi on this 14th day of November 2019.

NOTARY My Com

My Commission Expires: 06 | 00 | 2020

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Jeff L. Kern, Lead Rates & Regulatory Strategy Analyst, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data request and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Jeff L. Kern, on this 15

VEMBER_, 2019.

My Commission Expires 01-05-2024

My Commission Expires: 1/5/2024

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, James E. Ziolkowski, Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing data request and that it is true and correct to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.

James E. Ziolkowski Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by James E. Ziolkowski on this American day of Mannager, 2019.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: JJY 8,2022

E. MINNA ROLFES-ADKINS Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires July 8, 2022

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2019-00271

Kroger's Second Set Data Requests Date Received: November 12, 2019

1. 140 veiliber 12, 2017

KROGER-DR-02-001

REQUEST:

Load Growth.

a. Please provide the unadjusted energy and demand loads and revenues for April

2021 through July 2021 by class, preferably in the same format as the loads and

revenues provided in Schedule M and N Test Period.

i. If the loads and revenues are not available in the same format as the loads

and revenues provided in Schedule M and N Test Period, then please

provide the information in the format that is available.

b. Please provide the adjusted energy and demand loads and revenues for April 2021

through July 2021 by class, preferably in the same format as the loads and revenues

provided in Schedule M and N for the Test Period.

i. If the loads and revenues are not available in the same format as the loads

and revenues provided in Schedule M and N Test Period, then please

provide the information in the format that is available.

c. If the actual Duke Energy Kentucky loads turn out to be greater than the adjusted

test period loads, please identify the non-fuel variable O&M costs on a per kWh

basis that would be incurred to serve the incremental load growth.

1

- d. If Duke Energy Kentucky were to include an adjustment for expected incremental load growth through the end of July 2021, please explain in detail how that would impact the billing determinants used for rate design.
- e. If Duke Energy Kentucky were to include an adjustment to the test period for expected incremental load growth through the end of July 2021, please explain in detail how that would impact the each of the Company's proposed class cost of service studies.

RESPONSE:

- a. Please see Attachment BWP-8, attached hereto as KROGER-DR-02-001(a) Attachment, for monthly sales numbers (energy), peak loads (demand) and retail revenues in the period indicated, columns E-I. Please note that we do not produce peak forecasts for each individual customer class.
- b. The only adjustments that are commonly made to the forecast are for the impacts of UEE programs, which—for this forecast—are quite small and apply only to residential sales. These forecasts can be viewed in the same attachment, columns M-Q.
- c. Please see KROGER-DR-02-001(c) Attachment.
- d. This question cannot be answered in detail without knowing the details about the adjustment for expected incremental load growth. Generally, if the load for the 12 months ended July 2021 is higher than the load for the Test Period (12 months ended March 2021), then the billing determinants used for rate design would be greater.

e. This question cannot be answered without knowing the details about the adjustment

for expected incremental load growth. Most of the allocators that are used in the

cost of service model are based on actual costs and billing determinants for the

twelve months ended December 31, 2018. Therefore, the adjustment would not

change those allocators. Adjustments to plant costs and O&M expenses (inputs to

the cost of service model) would flow through the model and change the outputs

from what was filed. The relative class percentage increases might change

depending on the nature and magnitude of the plant and expense adjustments.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Benjamin Passty (energy forecast) – a., b.

Christopher M. Jacobi (revenue forecast) – a. b.

Jeff Kern – d.

James E. Ziolkowski - c. e.

3

Description

Š

					SL/Other 1,247 1,242 1,264 1,283
				tions	OPA 21,715 27,075 24,340 24,238
				or UEE projec	Industrial 70,355 73,953 77,490 78,341
				ducing load fo	Commercial 108,905 117,989 137,447 142,598
				neter, before re	Residential 83,622 98,292 138,681 165,621
				MWH Sales @ meter, before reducing load for UEE projections	Apr-21 May-21 Jul-21
					SL/Other 1,247 1,242 1,264 1,283 1,283 152,144 156,831 151,379
					OPA 21,715 27,075 24,340 24,238 0PA 1,800,689 1,928,776 2,113,126
					Industrial 70,355 73,953 77,490 78,341 Industrial 4,946,858 5,578,991 5,572,820
				끸	Commercial 108,905 117,989 137,447 142,598 Commercial 9,818,027 10,552,975 11,788,049 11,897,341
ઝ	574 724	834	828	er, inclusive of U	Residential 83,530 98,194 138,544 165,458 Iclusive of UEE* 9,262,711 9,692,561 12,029,907
MW Demand - Peak	Apr-21 May-21	Jun-21	Jul-21	MWH Sales @ meter, inclusive of UEE	Apr-21 83,530 May-21 98,194 Jun-21 138,544 Jul-21 165,458 Retail Revenues, inclusive of UEE* Apr-21 9,262,711 May-21 9,692,561 Jun-21 12,029,907 Jul-21 14,720,009
- 2	ю 4	S.	1 0	~ 60 0	9 0 1 1 2 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1 5 1

*Please note that the company only calculates forecasted revenues for load inclusive of UEE

DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC. PRODUCTION ENERGY ALLOCATED - ELECTRIC COST OF SERVICE CASE NO: 2019-00271

PRODUCTION O&M SOURCE: FR-16(7)(v)-4 PROD ENERGY

	בריינייי בליול אי מסק בערעם													
			TOTAL		SQ	GSFL	EH	SP	DT SEC	DT PRI	ď	F		ОТНЕВ
			PRODUCTION	æ	SECONDARY	SECONDARY	SECONDARY	SECONDABY	SECONDADY	DOMINADA		TO SOUTH CONTRACT		
Š	OAM EXPENSES	ALLO		TIAL	_	DISTRIBUTION	MSTRIBUTION DI	STRIBUTION DIS		2	DISTRIBUTION	TIME OF DAY		WATER
	Schedule 6				4	u	4	,		н		I IME OF DAY	CIGUINE	POMPING
-	PRODUCTION O&M			o	•	,	Þ		0	3 9	10	F	12	13
8	ENERGY RELATED PRODUCTION O&M													
ဗ	FUEL	K302	0	0	0	o	c	c	c	c	c	•	•	•
4	FUEL AND PURCHASED POWER ADJUSTMENT	K302	100.379.685	38 048 920	27 126 ADE	464 579	120 000	7 000	20101	2	2	>	2	0
9	EMISSION ALLOWANCES	K301		000000	000'03' '7	26,10	430,063	/'nr/	10/9/6/91	11,552,698	542,050	5,492,776	439,663	11,042
ď	CHAMMATE CAMPBOOM AT ONLY & OTHER CO.		> 1	>	>	>	•	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1 0	CLIMITALE EMISSION ALLOW & CLINER VAH COST	K301	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	OTHER PRODUCTION EXPENSE - MAINTENANCE	K301	40,683,654	15,356,451	10,956,922	61,432	174,126	2,848	6,713,210	4.662.754	218.471	2.355.584	177.381	4 475
20	MISO I HANSMISSION CHARGES - ACCT 555	K301	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
o	TOTAL ENERGY RELATED		141,063,339	53,405,371	38,083,528	213,005	604,755	9,875	23,289,911	16,215,452	760,521	7,848,360	617,044	15,517
5	TOTAL ENERGY RELATED EXCL FUEL AND PP		40.683.654	15.356.451	10 956 922	R1 439	174 196	0 0 40	0 740 040	1 000 754	250 000	1000		
							2015	2,040	0,7 13,610	PC/'700'+	218,4/1	2,355,584	14,38	4,4/5
=	KWH SALES		4,261,970,981	1,608,724,299	1,147,823,576	6,420,710	18,228,802	298,034	703,280,622	488,455,966	22,905,598	232,255,694	18,599,129	479,245
12	PRODUCTION NON-FUEL VARIABLE O&M PER KWH	10 / 11	\$ 0.009546 \$	\$ 0.009546 \$	\$ 0.009546	\$ 0000268	0.000553	2 2230000	0.000000					
		1				•		¢ 0000000	0.009546	0.009546 \$	0.00058	0.009538 \$ 0.010142 \$ 0.009537 \$	\$ 755,000	0,009338

Duke Energy Kentucky Case No. 2019-00271

Kroger's Second Set Data Requests

Date Received: November 12, 2019

KROGER-DR-02-002

REQUEST:

Depreciation. Refer to Duke Energy Kentucky's response to Kroger's First Set of Data

Requests, 1-003 e. Please identify the amount of depreciation expense associated with

certain capital projects being executed to support the Amazon Air Hub that has been

included in the test period.

RESPONSE:

The amount of depreciation expense included in the test period is \$156,708.

PERSON RESPONSIBLE:

Christopher M. Jacobi

1