### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### In the Matter of:

AN ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC	)	
SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL	)	
SURCHARGE MECHANISM OF LOUISVILLE GAS	)	CASE NO.
AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR THE TWO-YEAR	)	2019-00206
BILLING PERIOD ENDING APRIL 30, 2019	)	

### **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**

DEREK A. RAHN
MANAGER, REVENUE REQUIREMENT COS
LG&E AND KU SERVICES COMPANY

Filed: July 26, 2019

### 1 Q. Please state your name, title, and business address.

A. My name is Derek A. Rahn. I am the Manager, Revenue Requirement COS for Kentucky Utilities Company ("KU" or "Company") and Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") and an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, which provides services to LG&E and KU (collectively "Companies"). My business address is 220 West Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky, 40202. A complete statement of my

education and work experience is attached to this testimony as Appendix A.

### 8 Q. Have you previously testified before this Commission?

9 A. Yes. I have previously testified before this Commission in proceedings concerning the
10 Companies' fuel adjustment clauses, environmental cost recovery ("ECR") surcharge
11 mechanisms, and the ECR compliance plan proceedings (Case No. 2016-00026 (KU)
12 and Case No. 2016-00027 (LG&E)).

### 13 Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits?

- 14 A. Yes. I am sponsoring two exhibits identified as:
- Exhibit DAR-1 Roll-in.

7

• Exhibit DAR-2 – Revised ECR Monthly Filings

### 17 Q. What is the purpose of this proceeding?

A. The purpose of this proceeding is to review the past operation of LG&E's environmental surcharge, including the six-month billing period ending April 30, 2019 that is part of the two-year billing period also ending April 30, 2019, determine whether the surcharge amounts collected during the period are just and reasonable, and then incorporate or "roll-in" such surcharge amounts into LG&E's existing electric base rates.

### Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

1

4

5

6

7

9

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A.

2 Α. The purpose of my testimony is to summarize the operation of LG&E's environmental 3 surcharge during the billing period under review, demonstrate that the amount collected during the period was just and reasonable, present and discuss LG&E's proposed adjustment to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement based on the operation of the surcharge during the period and explain how the environmental surcharge factors were calculated during the period under review. In addition, my 8 testimony will recommend that the cumulative ECR revenue requirement for the twelve months ending with the expense month of February 2019 be used for purposes of 10 incorporating or "rolling-into" LG&E's electric base rates the appropriate surcharge amounts using the methodology previously approved by the Commission, most 12 recently in Case No. 2017-00267.

### 13 Q. Please summarize the operation of the environmental surcharge for the billing 14 period included in this review.

LG&E billed an environmental surcharge to its customers from November 1, 2018 through April 30, 2019. For purposes of the Commission's examination in this case, the monthly LG&E environmental surcharges are considered as of the six-month billing period ending April 30, 2019; that same review period is part of the two-year billing period also ending April 30, 2019. (The three previous billing periods were reviewed in Case Nos. 2018-00052, 2018-00258, and 2019-00015.) In each month of the sixmonth period under review in this proceeding, LG&E calculated the environmental surcharge factors in accordance with its ECR Tariff, and the requirements of the Commission's previous orders concerning LG&E's environmental surcharge. The

calculations were made in accordance with the Commission-approved monthly forms
and filed with the Commission ten days before the new monthly charge was billed by
the Company.

## 4 Q. What costs were included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge 5 factors for the billing period under review?

A.

The capital and operating costs included in the calculation of the environmental surcharge factors for the six-month billing period under review were the costs incurred each month by LG&E from September 2018 through February 2019, as detailed in the attachment in response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff's Request for Information, incorporating all required revisions.

The monthly environmental surcharge factors applied during the billing period under review were calculated consistent with the Commission's Orders in LG&E's previous applications to assess or amend its environmental surcharge mechanism and plan, as well as Orders issued in previous review cases. The monthly environmental surcharge reports filed with the Commission during this time reflect the various changes to the reporting forms ordered by the Commission from time-to-time.

# Q. Please describe the most recently approved changes to LG&E's ECR Compliance Plan.

A. In Case No. 2016-00027, the Commission approved LG&E's 2016 ECR Compliance Plan that included three new projects and associated operation and maintenance costs. Pursuant to the Commission's August 8, 2016 Order approving the Settlement Agreement in Case No. 2016-00027, LG&E began including the approved projects in

1	the monthly filing for the August 2016 expense month that was billed in October 2016
2	with separate authorized rates of return for the Pre-2016 and 2016 ECR Plans.

- Q. Please describe the most recently approved changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism and the monthly ES Forms.
- 5 A. There were two changes approved in Case No. 2019-00015, LG&E's most recent ECR six-month review case.

First, the Commission increased the return on equity to be used in the monthly environmental surcharge filings to 9.725% for all ECR Plans. Pursuant to the Commission's April 30, 2019 Order, the changes were implemented with the April 2019 expense month that was billed in June 2019. The higher return on equity, therefore, will not impact the recovery of costs for this review period. However, the higher return on equity will be used in this proceeding to establish the overall rate of return on capital to be used to calculate the environmental surcharge in future filings as discussed later in this testimony.

Second, the Commission approved a form change on ES Form 2.00, which eliminated the section for Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales.

- 17 Q. Is LG&E proposing any changes to the environmental surcharge mechanism and 18 the monthly ES Forms?
- 19 A. No, there are currently no needed changes to the ES Forms.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. Is LG&E proposing any changes to its Environmental Cost Recovery Surcharge
   tariff?
- A. No. There are currently no needed changes to the Environmental Cost Recovery

  Surcharge tariff.

1	Q.	Are there any	changes or	adjustments	in Rat	te Base	from	the	originally	filed
2		expense month	s?							

- A. Yes. During the period under review, there were changes to Rate Base from the originally filed billing months November through February as summarized in LG&E's response to the Commission Staff's Request for Information, Question No. 1. The changes reflect a change to the Eligible Accumulated Depreciation on ES Form 2.10 that flow thru the formula and are provided as Exhibit DAR-2.
- 8 Q. Are there any changes necessary to the jurisdictional revenue requirement 9 (E(m))?
- 10 A. Yes. Adjustments to E(m) are necessary for compliance with the Commission's Order 11 in Case No. 2000-00386, to reflect the actual changes in the overall rate of return on 12 capitalization that is used in the determination of the return on environmental rate base.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement approving the 2011 ECR Plan, LG&E calculated the short- and long-term debt rate using average daily balances and daily interest rates in the calculation of the overall rate of return true-up adjustment for the six-month period ending February 28, 2019. For the expense months of September 2018 through February 2019, the weighted average cost of capital was based on the balances as of February 28, 2019 and the 9.70% return on equity for all Plan projects. The details of and support for these calculations are shown in LG&E's response to Question No. 1 of the Commission Staff's First Request for Information.

Q. Are there any other corrections to information provided in the monthly filings during the billing period under review?

- 1 A. No. There are no other corrections to information provided in the monthly filings
  2 during the six-month billing period under review.
- As a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period under review, is an adjustment to the revenue requirement necessary?
- Yes. LG&E experienced an under-recovery of \$292,006 for the billing period ending
  April 30, 2019. LG&E's response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff's
  Request for Information shows the calculation of the under-recovery. An adjustment
  to the revenue requirement is necessary to reconcile the collection of past surcharge
  revenues with actual costs for the billing period under review.
- 10 Q. Has LG&E identified the causes of the under-recovery during the billing period
  11 under review?
- 12 A. Yes. LG&E has identified the primary components that make up the under-recovery
  13 during the billing period under review. The components are: (1) changes in overall
  14 rate-of-return as previously discussed, (2) the use of 12-month average revenues to
  15 determine the billing factor. The details and support of the components that make up
  16 the under-recovery during the billing period under review are shown in LG&E's
  17 response to Question No. 2 of the Commission Staff's Request for Information.
- 18 Q. Please explain how the function of the ECR mechanism contributes to the 19 recovery position in the billing period under review.
- 20 A. The use of 12-month average revenues to calculate the monthly billing factors and then
  21 applying those same billing factors to the actual monthly revenues will result in an
  22 over- or under-collection of ECR revenues. The table below shows a comparison of
  23 the 12-month average revenues used in the monthly filings to determine the ECR billing

factors and the actual revenues to which the ECR billing factors were applied in the billing month.

Expense Month	12-Month Average Revenues	Billing Month	Actual Revenues Subject to ECR Billing Factors
September 2018	\$76,218,781	November 2018	\$63,013,666
October 2018	\$76,746,791	December 2018	\$67,877,370
November 2018	\$76,808,370	January 2018	\$73,257,650
December 2018	\$76,866,902	February 2018	\$70,491,683
January 2019	\$76,219,629	March 2019	\$68,349,952
February 2019	\$76,004,124	April 2019	\$60,626,901

<sup>\*</sup>The 12-month average revenues and the Actual Revenues subject to ECR Billing Factors reflect net revenues for Group 2.

A.

Generally, an under-recovery will occur when actual revenues for the billing month are less than the 12-month average revenues used for the expense month. Likewise, an over-recovery will usually occur when actual revenues for the billing month are greater than the 12-month average revenues used for the expense month.

# What kind of adjustment is LG&E proposing in this case as a result of the operation of the environmental surcharge during the billing period?

LG&E is proposing that the under-recovery be collected in one month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. Specifically, LG&E recommends that the Commission approve an increase to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement spread over one month. The proposed increase of \$292,006 per month for one month would begin in the second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding. This method is consistent with the method of implementing previous over- or under-recovery positions in prior ECR review cases.

- Q. What is the bill impact on a residential customer for the proposed collection of the under-recovery?
- A. The inclusion of the collection reflecting the under-recovery position in the determination of the ECR billing factor will increase the billing factor by approximately 0.32%. For a residential customer using an average of 979 kWh per month, the impact of the adjusted ECR billing factor would be an increase of approximately \$0.34 per month for one month.
- 8 Q. Should the Commission approve incorporating into LG&E's base rates the
  9 environmental surcharge amounts found just and reasonable for the two-year
  10 billing period ending April 2019?

A.

Yes. In Exhibit DAR-1, LG&E provides the surcharge amount that LG&E believes should be incorporated into its existing base rates. The exhibit includes all supporting calculations, work papers, and assumptions. It is now appropriate to incorporate into electric base rates the surcharge amounts the Commission finds just and reasonable for the two-year billing period ending April 2019. LG&E recommends that an incremental environmental surcharge amount of \$4,784,265 be incorporated into base rates at the conclusion of this case. LG&E determined the incremental roll-in amount of \$4,784,265 using environmental surcharge rate base as of February 28, 2019 and environmental surcharge operating expenses for the twelve-month period ending February 28, 2019. If approved, the total amount of environmental surcharge that will be included in base rates will be \$131,340,413. The implementation of the change in base rates reflecting the roll-in amount should take effect with bills rendered beginning

1		with the first billing cycle in the second month following the month in which the
2		Commission issues its Order in this proceeding.
3	Q.	How should the surcharge amount be incorporated into LG&E's base rates?
4	A.	The surcharge factor reflects a percentage of revenue approach, rather than a per-kWh
5		approach. The Commission previously approved LG&E's proposed roll-in
6		methodology in Case Nos. 2011-00232, 2013-00243, 2015-00222, and most recently
7		in 2017-00267. LG&E uses a two-step roll-in methodology as shown below:
8		Step #1 – Customer Group Allocation: Allocate the roll-in between Group 1 and Group
9		2 customers based on the percentage of each group's total base revenue to
10		LG&E's total base revenue (excluding base environmental surcharge revenue).
11		<u>Step #2</u> – Group Rate Class Allocation:
12		• Group 1 amount from Step 1 is allocated to the Group 1 rate classes based on total
13		base revenues excluding base environmental surcharge revenues.
14		• Group 2 amount from Step 1 is allocated to Group 2 rate classes based on non-fuel
15		base revenues excluding base environmental surcharge revenues.
16		Furthermore, for Group 1 and Group 2, the amount of the roll-in will be spread
17		to the energy portion of rates (without a demand charge) and to the demand portion of
18		rates (with a separately metered and billed demand component). Lastly, lighting rates
19		will continue to be billed on a per-light basis.
20		LG&E recommends that this method continue to be used to accomplish this
21		roll-in to base rates.
22	Q.	Does LG&E believe that modifications will need to be made to either the
23		surcharge mechanism or the monthly surcharge reports as a result of

1	incorporating	additional	environment	al surcharge	amounts into	LG&E's	existing
---	---------------	------------	-------------	--------------	--------------	--------	----------

- 2 base rates?
- 3 A. No. The incorporation of additional environmental surcharge revenues into existing
- base rates does not require modifications to the surcharge mechanism or monthly ES
- 5 Forms.
- 6 Q. If the Commission accepts LG&E's recommendation to incorporate the proposed
- amount into base rates, what will be the impact on LG&E's ECR revenue
- 8 requirement?
- 9 A. The incorporation of the recommended surcharge amount into base rates will increase
- base rates and, two months later, decrease ECR revenues by an equal amount. There
- will be no impact on the environmental costs LG&E is allowed to recover from its
- customers; only the method of collection will be impacted.
- 13 Q. Please explain why ECR revenues will not decrease in the same month that base
- 14 rates will increase.
- 15 A. The ECR is billed on a two-month lag, meaning that costs are incurred, for example, in
- February 2019 (expense month) and ECR billing factor revenues are collected two
- months later in April 2019 (billing month). LG&E's determination of costs recoverable
- through the billing factor (E(m) for the expense month) are reduced by the ECR
- revenue included in base rates. Therefore, total ECR costs for the month of February
- are collected from customers through base rates in February and through the ECR
- billing mechanism in April. If base rates increase due to a roll-in in February, the
- 22 portion of ECR costs incurred in February that is recovered through base rates will
- increase and the resulting decrease in the ECR billing factor will be applied in April.

1	If the decrease in the ECR billing factor were applied in February, the same month that
2	base rates change, then LG&E would not be collecting the correct amount of ECR
3	revenue associated with costs incurred in December. This is because the February
4	billing factor is associated with the December expense month and must be calculated
5	using base rates in effect in December.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

### Q. What rate of return is LG&E proposing to use for all ECR Plans upon the 6 **Commission's Order in this proceeding?** 7

LG&E is recommending an overall rate of return on capital of 8.70%, including the A. currently approved 9.725% return on equity and adjusted capitalization, to be used to calculate the environmental surcharge. This is based on capitalization as of February 28, 2019, the Commission's Order of April 30, 2019 in Case No. 2019-00015 and use of the 2019 gross-up revenue factor that excludes the §199 manufacturing tax deduction.

See the response and attachment to Commission Staff's Request for Information Question No. 5 following this testimony.

### 0. What is your recommendation to the Commission in this case? 16

- 17 A. LG&E makes the following recommendations to the Commission in this case:
  - a) The Commission should approve the proposed increase to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement over five months. The proposed increase of \$292,006 per month for one month starting the second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding;
  - b) The Commission should determine the environmental surcharge amount for the six-month billing period ending April 30, 2019 to be just and reasonable;

- The Commission should approve the use of an overall rate of return on capital of 8.70%, using a return on equity of 9.725%, beginning in the second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding, and;
  - d) The Commission should approve a "roll-in" of \$4,784,265 in incremental environmental costs into LG&E's base rates, for a total base rate ECR component of \$131,340,413, to be included in base rates following the methodology previously approved by the Commission and implemented by LG&E. The implementation of the change in base rates reflecting the roll-in amount should take effect with bills rendered beginning with the first billing cycle in the second month following the month in which the Commission issues its Order in this proceeding.

### Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

13 A. Yes.

### **VERIFICATION**

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY	)	
	)	SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON	)	

The undersigned, **Derek A. Rahn**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Manager - Revenue Requirement COS for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Derek A. Rahn

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County

and State, this day of

2019.

Notary Public

(SEAL)

My Commission Expires:
Judy Schooler
Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commission Expires 7/11/2022

### APPENDIX A

### Derek A. Rahn

Manager, Revenue Requirement COS LG&E and KU Services Company 220 West Main Street Louisville, Kentucky 40202 (502) 627-4127

### Previous Positions

Manager, Transmission Policy & Tariffs
Group Leader, Transmission Operations Engineering
Supervisor, Operations (Ghent Power Station)
Electrical Engineer II (Ghent Power Station)
Project Engineer (TubeMaster, Inc)
Sept. 2010 – Oct. 2015
Dec. 2008 – Sept. 2010
Dec. 2007 – Dec. 2008
Jul. 2005 – Dec. 2007
Dec 2003 – Jul. 2005

### Education

Masters of Business Administration,
Bellarmine University, July 2010.
Bachelor of Science in Electrical Engineering,
University of Kentucky, December 2003.

### Training:

Managing People & Processes (2014), IUS Leadership Program (2007-2008), Professional Development Program (2007-2008), Global Leadership Summit (2013, 2015, & 2017), Mentoring Program (2008, 2014 - 2019), Advanced Operator (2008), Project Management (2006), and Basic Shaft Alignment (2006).

### Civic Activities

Power of One Committee Member (2007 – 2019) Saratoga Springs Neighborhood Association Board (2017- 2019)

### Louisville Gas And Electric Company Calculation of ECR Roll-in At February 28, 2017

Calculation of Revenue Requirement for Roll-In:			Co	Environmental ompliance Plans Feb. 28, 2019	Į	Jurisdictional Basis
Environmental Compliance Rate Base Pollution Control Plant in Service Pollution Control CWIP Excluding AFUDC	Subtotal	ES Form 2.00, February 2019 ES Form 2.00, February 2019	\$	1,183,394,235 320,851,819 1,504,246,054	\$ \$	1,140,128,158 309,121,156 1,449,249,314
Additions: Emission Allowances Cash Working Capital Allowance Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance	Subtotal	ES Form 2.00, February 2019 ES Form 2.00, February 2019 ES Form 2.00, February 2019	\$	141 695,233 12,037,967 12,733,341		136 669,815 11,597,847 12,267,798
Deductions:  Accumulated Depreciation on Pollution Control Plant Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes	Subtotal	ES Form 2.00, February 2019 ES Form 2.00, February 2019	\$	95,598,136 267,188,174 362,786,310	\$	92,102,973 257,419,507 349,522,480
Environmental Compliance Rate Base			\$	1,154,193,085	\$	1,111,994,632
Rate of Return Environmental Compliance Rate Base		ES Form 1.10, February 2019		8.66%		
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base			\$	99,953,121	\$	99,953,121
Pollution Control Operating Expenses 12 Month Depreciation and Amortization Expense 12 Month Taxes Other than Income Taxes 12 Month Operating and Maintenance Expense, net of amt in ba 12 Month CCR Amortization 12 Month Amortization of Excess ADIT with Gross-Up 12 Month Emission Allowance Expense 12 Month Beneficial Reuse Expense, net of amounts in base rate 12 Month KPSC Consultant Expense		See Support Schedule A	\$	28,593,176 1,664,241 7,528,675 634,384 (82,209) - (1,966,812)	\$ \$ \$	27,547,781 1,603,395 7,253,419 611,190 - (1,894,904)
<b>Total Pollution Control Operating Expenses</b>			\$	36,371,455	\$	35,120,881
Gross Proceeds from Allowance Sales		See Support Schedule B	\$	-	\$	-
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requi	rement Ro	oll In Amount				
Return on Environmental Compliance Rate Base Pollution Control Operating Expenses Less Gross Proceeds from Allowance Sales			\$	99,953,121 36,371,455	\$	99,953,121 35,120,881 -
Roll In Amount			\$	136,324,576	\$	135,074,002
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Roll In		See Support Schedule C	_	96.3439%		
Jurisdictional Revenues for 12 Months for Roll In		See Support Schedule C	\$	1,090,790,746		
Roll In Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Factor:						
Total Company Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement	ent Roll In	Amount	\$	136,324,576		
Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio Roll In				96.3439%		
Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement Gross Roll In Amount Less Jurisdictional Environmental Revenue Previously Rolled In Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Gross Revenue Requirement Net Roll In Amount				131,340,413 126,556,149 <b>4,784,265</b>		

### **Louisville Gas And Electric Company** Calculation of ECR Roll-in At February 28, 2017

**Support Schedule A**12 Month Balances for Selected Operating Expense Accounts

### **Current Plans**

	Depreciation & Amortization	Taxes Other than Income Taxes	Operating	and Maintenance	Expense	Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs	Amortization of Excess ADIT with Gross-Up	Emission Allowance Expense	Beneficial Reuse Expense	KPSC Consultant Expense	Total
	Steam Plant		FERC 502	FERC 506	FERC 512			FERC 509	FERC 501		
Mar-18	\$ 2,355,580	\$ 139,268	\$ -	\$ 271,069	\$ 397,842	\$ 39,074	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 43,682	\$ -	3,246,515
Apr-18	2,355,580	139,268	=	349,431	326,522	41,143	=	=	(295,848)	-	2,916,097
May-18	2,355,580	139,268	=	329,095	155,480	42,014	-	-	(346,853)	-	2,674,583
Jun-18	2,366,698	139,268	=	420,836	200,878	43,676	(33,591)	=	(225,197)	-	2,912,568
Jul-18	2,377,807	139,268	-	442,749	201,423	49,548	(5,872)	=	(146,456)	-	3,058,466
Aug-18	2,377,776	139,263	-	421,134	137,247	53,449	(7,513)	=	(153,494)	-	2,967,862
Sep-18	2,384,374	139,263	-	397,617	261,436	55,582	(5,872)	=	(168,053)	-	3,064,347
Oct-18	2,391,001	139,263	=	350,378	363,428	57,467	(5,872)	-	(104,890)	-	3,190,776
Nov-18	2,391,001	139,263	=	377,211	262,042	61,117	(5,872)	-	(48,950)	-	3,175,812
Dec-18	2,388,406	139,263	=	441,877	131,081	61,819	(5,872)	-	(382,078)	-	2,774,496
Jan-19	2,413,343	135,793	=	480,479	183,663	63,771	(5,872)	-	(26,656)	-	3,244,521
Feb-19	2,436,030	135,793	=	423,126	202,630	65,723	(5,872)	-	(111,452)	-	3,145,978
less Base Rate amo	unt								(566)		(566)
Totals	28,593,176	1,664,241	-	4,705,002	2,823,673	634,384	(82,209)	-	(1,966,812)	-	36,371,455

Support Schedule B
12 Month Balances for Allowance Sales

	Total Proceeds from Allowance Sales ES Form 2.00
Mar-18	-
Apr-18	-
May-18	-
Jun-18	=
Jul-18	=
Aug-18	=
Sep-18	=
Oct-18	=
Nov-18	=
Dec-18	=
Jan-19	=
Feb-19	-
Totals	_

Support Schedule C
12 Month Balances for Jurisdictional Revenues and Allocation Ratio

	KY Retail Revenues, Excl. Envir. Surch. Revenues			Total Company venues, Excluding Envir. Surch. Revenues	KY Retail Allocation Ratio		
	Е	S Form 3.10		ES Form 3.10	KY Retail/ Total Company		
Mar-18	\$	76,788,619	\$	81,502,134	94.22%		
Apr-18		81,271,113		84,303,901	96.40%		
May-18		84,419,534		86,346,389	97.77%		
Jun-18		102,811,173		104,440,751	98.44%		
Jul-18		110,891,417		112,728,275	98.37%		
Aug-18		107,259,532		109,117,592	98.30%		
Sep-18		102,937,931		106,209,009	96.92%		
Oct-18		92,645,227		95,536,078	96.97%		
Nov-18		76,503,824		79,017,088	96.82%		
Dec-18		81,552,830		88,734,681	91.91%		
Jan-19		89,063,690		95,326,505	93.43%		
Feb-19		84,645,856		88,922,646	95.19%		
				•			

Totals \$ 1,090,790,746 \$ 1,132,185,051 96.3439%

**ES FORM 1.00** 

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

### Net Group E(m) and Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors For the Expense Month of September 2018

# GROUP 1 (Total Revenue) Group 1 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (986,545) Group 1 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -2.51% GROUP 2 (Net Revenue) Group 2 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (1,291,324) Group 2 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -3.50%

Effective Date for Billing: November billing cycle beginning October 30, 2018

Submitted by:

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement COS

Date Submitted: October 19, 2018

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and Group Surcharge Billing Factors

### For the Expense Month of September 2018

### Calculation of Total E(m)

E(m) = [(RB / 12) (ROR + (ROR - DR)(TR/(1-TR)))] + OE - BAS + BR, where

RB = Environmental Compliance Rate Base

ROR = Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base

DR = Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR = Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses

BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales

BR = Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

			Environmental Compliance Plans			
(1) RB		=	\$	1,138,211,886		
(2) RB / 12		=	\$	94,850,991		
(3) (ROR + (ROR -	- DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=		8.73%		
(4) OE		=	\$	3,232,400		
(5) BAS		=	\$	0		
(6) BR		=	\$	(168,053)		
(7) E(m)	$(2) \times (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)$	=	\$	11,344,839		

Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

(8)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	96.92%
(9)	Jurisdictional E(m) = Total E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio [(7) x (8)]	= \$	10,995,418
(10)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2018-0052	= \$	(730,092)
(11)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	= \$	-
(12)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	= \$	12,543,195
(13)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m) $[(9) + (10) + (11) - (12)]$	= \$	(2,277,869)

Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

			GROU	P 1 (Total Revenue)	GROU	JP 2 (Net Revenue)
(14)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=		43.31%		56.69%
(15)	Group E(m) [(13) x (14)]	=	\$	(986,545)	\$	(1,291,324)
(16)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12  Months Ending with the Current Expense Month – ES Form 3.00	=	\$	39,338,285	\$	36,880,496
(17)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(15) \div (16)]$	=		-2.51%		-3.50%

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of September 2018

**Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base** 

<u> </u>			
	Environmental (	Comp	liance Plan
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$ 1,180,978,599		
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC	290,017,518		
Subtotal		\$	1,470,996,117
Additions:			
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33, and 2.34	142		
Cash Working Capital Allowance	897,853		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance <sup>1</sup>	10,184,948		
Subtotal			11,082,943
Deductions:			
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant	83,562,679		
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes	260,304,495		
Subtotal			343,867,174
Environmental Compliance Rate Base		\$	1,138,211,886

**Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** 

				Envi	ronmental
				Comp	liance Plan
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense				\$	659,053
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense					2,384,374
less investment tax credit amortization					-
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant					139,263
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs					-
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs					55,582
Amortization of Excess ADIT with gross-up	\$	(4,407)	1.33245		(5,872)
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32,	2.33, and 2.34				-
Monthly Surcharge Consulting Fees					-
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee	•				-
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense	•			\$	3,232,400

**Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses** 

	Enviro	onmental
	Complia	ance Plan
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$	(168,053)
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)		-
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$	(168,053)

**Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales** 

	Total Proceeds		Amount in Base Rates	Net Proceeds
	(1)		(2)	(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$	0 5	\$ -	\$ 0
Scrubber By-Products Sales	-		-	-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$	0 5	\$ -	\$ 0

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

For the Month Ended: September 30, 2018

(1)	(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)	(6)	(7)		(8)		(9)
Description	Eligible Plant In Service		Eligible Accumulated Depreciation		CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC		Eligible Net Plant In Service	Deferred Tax Balance as of 9/30/2018	Monthly ITC Amortization Credit		Monthly Depreciation Expense		Monthly roperty Tax Expense
	İ .						(2)-(3)+(4)						
2009 Plan: Project 22 - Cane Run CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I) [CANCELLED] Project 23 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP) Project 24 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase 1) Project 25 - Beneficial Reuse	\$ 9,599,35 \$ 13,399,11 \$ 7,413,75	1 \$	1,450,855 564,604 725,420	\$ \$ \$	- 108,015,982 -	\$ \$ \$	8,148,499 120,850,489 6,688,335	\$ 1,012,276 \$ 2,250,479 \$ 1,444,903		\$ \$ \$	10,336 28,310 14,253	\$	1,030 1,675 852
Subtotal	\$ 30,412,22	0 \$	2,740,879	\$	108,015,982	\$	135,687,323	\$ 4,707,658	\$ -	\$	52,899	\$	3,557
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$ (1,329,41	9) \$	(372,436)	\$	-	\$	(956,982)	\$ (296,464)		\$	(3,135)	\$	(120)
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$ 29,082,80	1 \$	2,368,442	\$	108,015,982	\$	134,730,341	\$ 4,411,193	\$ -	\$	49,764	\$	3,438
2011 Plan: Project 26 - Mill Creek Station Air Compliance Project 27 - Trimble County Unit 1 Air Compliance	\$ 1,035,408,46 \$ 100,846,40		73,665,640 7,319,244	\$	, , , , ,	\$	961,784,590 93,527,625	\$ 231,687,501 \$ 21,595,045		\$	2,081,433 230,052	\$	122,127 11,950
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2011 Plan	\$ 1,136,254,86 \$ (41,83		80,984,884 (750)		42,235	\$	1,055,312,215 (41,082)	\$ 253,282,546 \$ (4,868)	\$ -	\$ \$	2,311,484 (61)		134,076
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$ 1,136,213,03	3 \$	80,984,134	\$	42,235	\$	1,055,271,133	\$ 253,277,678	\$ -	\$	2,311,424	\$	134,071
2016 Plan: Project 28 - Supplemental Mercury Control Project 29 - Mill Creek New Process Water Systems Project 30 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	\$ 4,506,42 \$ 11,113,07 \$ 379,21	0 \$	111,707 240,119 17,264	\$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$	4,380,372 160,814,113 32,394,432	\$ 820,723 \$ 1,768,558 \$ 66,744		\$ \$ \$	7,411 14,909 866	\$	486 1,242 46
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$ 15,998,70 \$ (315,94		369,090 (158,987)		181,959,301	\$	197,588,918 (156,955)		\$ -	\$	23,186	\$	1,774
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$ 15,682,76	4 \$	210,103	\$	181,959,301	\$	197,431,963	\$ 2,615,624	\$ -	\$	23,186	\$	1,754
Net Total - All Plans:	\$ 1,180,978,59		83,562,679	\$	290,017,518	\$	1,387,433,437			\$	2,384,374	\$	139,263

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52%.

Note 2: Effective with the September 2012 expense month, Project 22 is cancelled and the previous CWIP balance is included on ES Form 2.50 as an expense for the September 2012 expense month.

Note 3: The Deferred Tax Balance includes Excess Deferred Taxes resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

**ES FORM 1.00** 

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

### Net Group E(m) and Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors For the Expense Month of October 2018

# GROUP 1 (Total Revenue) Group 1 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (116,926) Group 1 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -0.29% GROUP 2 (Net Revenue) Group 2 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (152,799) Group 2 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -0.41%

Effective Date for Billing: December billing cycle beginning November 30, 2018

Submitted by:

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement COS

Date Submitted: November 20, 2018

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and Group Surcharge Billing Factors

### For the Expense Month of October 2018

### $Calculation \ of \ Total \ E(m)$

 $E(m) = \left[ (RB \ / \ 12) \ (ROR + (ROR \ -DR)(TR/(1 - TR))) \right] + OE \ - \ BAS \ + \ BR, \ where$ 

RB = Environmental Compliance Rate Base

ROR = Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base

DR = Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR = Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses

BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales

BR = Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

			Environmental Compliance Plans
(1) RB		=	\$ 1,144,981,635
(2) RB / 12		=	\$ 95,415,136
(3) $(ROR + (ROR -$	DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=	8.73%
(4) OE		=	\$ 3,295,665
(5) BAS		=	\$ 0
(6) BR		=	\$ (104,890)
(7) E(m)	$(2) \times (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)$	=	\$ 11,520,517

Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

(8)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	96.97%
(9)	$ \label{eq:continuous} Juris dictional \ E(m) = Total \ E(m) \ x \ Juris dictional \ Allocation \ Ratio \ \ [(7) \ x \ (8)] $	= \$	11,171,445
(10)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2018-0052	= \$	-
(11)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	= \$	-
(12)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	= \$	11,441,170
(13)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m) $[(9) + (10) + (11) - (12)]$	= \$	(269,725)

Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

			GROU	JP 1 (Total Revenue)	GRO	UP 2 (Net Revenue)
(14)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=		43.35%		56.65%
(15)	Group E(m) [(13) x (14)]	=	\$	(116,926)	\$	(152,799)
(16)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12  Months Ending with the Current Expense Month ES Form 3.00	=	\$	39,693,788	\$	37,053,003
(17)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(15) \div (16)]$	=		-0.29%		-0.41%

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of October 2018

**Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base** 

	Environmental (	Comp	oliance Plan
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$ 1,180,978,599		
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC	300,330,824		
Subtotal		\$	1,481,309,423
Additions:			
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33, and 2.34	142		
Cash Working Capital Allowance	892,458		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance <sup>1</sup>	10,532,392		
Subtotal			11,424,992
Deductions:			
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant	85,956,816		
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes	261,795,964		
Subtotal			347,752,780
Environmental Compliance Rate Base		\$	1,144,981,635

**Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** 

				Envir	onmental
				Compl	iance Plan
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense				\$	713,806
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense					2,391,001
less investment tax credit amortization					-
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant					139,263
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs					-
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs					57,467
Amortization of Excess ADIT with gross-up	\$	(4,407)	1.33245		(5,872)
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33, and 2.34					-
Monthly Surcharge Consulting Fees					-
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee					-
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense				\$	3,295,665

**Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses** 

	Environmental
	Compliance Plan
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$ (104,890)
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)	-
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$ (104,890)

**Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales** 

	Total Proceeds		Amou Base		Net Proceeds	
	(1)	(1)		(2)		(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0
Scrubber By-Products Sales		-		-		-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

### LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

For the Month Ended: October 31, 2018

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Description	Eligible Plant In Service	Eligible Accumulated Depreciation	CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC	Eligible Net Plant In Service	Deferred Tax Balance as of 10/31/2018	Monthly ITC Amortization Credit	Monthly Depreciation Expense	Monthly Property Tax Expense
				(2)-(3)+(4)				
2009 Plan: Project 22 - Cane Run CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I) [CANCELLED] Project 23 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP) Project 24 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I) Project 25 - Beneficial Reuse	\$ 9,599,354 \$ 13,399,111 \$ 7,413,755	\$ 1,461,191 \$ 592,914 \$ 739,673	\$ - \$ 110,425,557 \$ -	\$ 8,138,163 \$ 123,231,754 \$ 6,674,082	\$ 1,018,726 \$ 2,253,467 \$ 1,446,500		\$ 10,336 \$ 28,310 \$ 14,253	\$ 1,675
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	\$ 30,412,220	\$ 2,793,778	\$ 110,425,557	\$ 138,043,999	\$ 4,718,693	\$ -	\$ 52,899	\$ 3,557
from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$ (1,329,419)	\$ (372,436)	\$ -	\$ (956,982)	\$ (296,464)		\$ (3,135)	\$ (120)
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$ 29,082,801	\$ 2,421,341	\$ 110,425,557	\$ 137,087,017	\$ 4,422,229	\$ -	\$ 49,764	\$ 3,438
2011 Plan: Project 26 - Mill Creek Station Air Compliance Project 27 - Trimble County Unit 1 Air Compliance	\$ 1,035,408,460 \$ 100,846,405	\$ 7,549,296	\$ 221,984	\$ 960,294,054 \$ 93,519,093	\$ 233,009,299 \$ 21,671,844		\$ 2,086,292 \$ 230,052	\$ 11,950
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2011 Plan	\$ 1,136,254,865 \$ (41,832)	, ,		\$ 1,053,813,148 \$ (41,021)	\$ 254,681,143 \$ (4,853)	\$ -	\$ 2,316,344 \$ (61)	\$ 134,076 \$ (5)
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$ 1,136,213,033	\$ 83,300,417	\$ 859,511	\$ 1,053,772,126	\$ 254,676,290	\$ -	\$ 2,316,283	\$ 134,071
2016 Plan: Project 28 - Supplemental Mercury Control Project 29 - Mill Creek New Process Water Systems Project 30 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	\$ 4,506,424 \$ 11,113,070 \$ 379,213	\$ 119,118 \$ 256,797 \$ 18,130	\$ (14,344) \$ 155,574,817 \$ 33,485,284	\$ 4,372,961 \$ 166,431,091 \$ 33,846,367	\$ 829,456 \$ 1,841,532 \$ 66,857		\$ 7,411 \$ 16,677 \$ 866	\$ 1,242
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$ 15,998,706 \$ (315,942)	,	\$ 189,045,756 \$ -	\$ 204,650,419 \$ (156,955)	\$ 2,737,846 \$ (40,400)	\$ -	\$ 24,954 \$ -	\$ 1,774 \$ (20)
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$ 15,682,764	\$ 235,057	\$ 189,045,756	\$ 204,493,464	\$ 2,697,446	\$ -	\$ 24,954	\$ 1,754
Net Total - All Plans:	\$ 1,180,978,599	\$ 85,956,816	\$ 300,330,824	\$ 1,395,352,607	\$ 261,795,964	\$ -	\$ 2,391,001	\$ 139,263

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52%.

Note 2: Effective with the September 2012 expense month, Project 22 is cancelled and the previous CWIP balance is included on ES Form 2.50 as an expense for the September 2012 expense month.

Note 3: The Deferred Tax Balance includes Excess Deferred Taxes resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

**ES FORM 1.00** 

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

### Net Group E(m) and Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors For the Expense Month of November 2018

# GROUP 1 (Total Revenue) Group 1 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ 476,073 Group 1 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = 1.20% GROUP 2 (Net Revenue) Group 2 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ 622,387 Group 2 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = 1.68%

Date Submitted: December 21, 2018

Submitted by:

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement COS

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and Group Surcharge Billing Factors

For the Expense Month of November 2018

### Calculation of Total E(m)

 $E(m) = \left[ (RB \ / \ 12) \ (ROR + (ROR \ -DR) (TR/(1 - TR))) \right] + OE \ - \ BAS \ + \ BR, \ where$ 

RB = Environmental Compliance Rate Base

ROR = Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base

DR = Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR = Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses

BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales

BR = Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

			Environmental Compliance Plans				
(1) RB		=	\$	1,149,277,534			
(2) RB / 12		=	\$	95,773,128			
(3) $(ROR + (ROR -$	DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=		8.66%			
(4) OE		=	\$	3,224,762			
(5) BAS		=	\$	0			
(6) BR		=	\$	(48,950)			
(7) E(m)	$(2) \times (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)$	=	\$	11,469,764			

Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

(8)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	96.82%
(9)	$\label{eq:Jurisdictional} \text{Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio}  [(7) \text{ x } (8)]$	= \$	11,105,026
(10)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2018-0052	= \$	(579,324)
(11)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	= \$	-
(12)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	= \$	9,427,242
(13)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional $E(m)$ [(9) + (10) + (11) - (12)]	= \$	1,098,460

Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

			GROU	P 1 (Total Revenue)	GRO	UP 2 (Net Revenue)
(14)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=		43.34%		56.66%
(15)	Group E(m) [(13) x (14)]	=	\$	476,073	\$	622,387
(16)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12 Months Ending with the Current Expense Month ES Form 3.00	=	\$	39,719,418	\$	37,088,952
(17)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(15) \div (16)]$	=		1.20%		1.68%

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of November 2018

**Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base** 

1			
	Environmental Compliance Plan		
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$ 1,180,978,599		
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC	307,888,949		
Subtotal		\$	1,488,867,548
Additions:			
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33, and 2.34	141		
Cash Working Capital Allowance	812,205		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance <sup>1</sup>	11,236,025		
Subtotal			12,048,371
Deductions:			
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant	88,350,952		
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes	263,287,433		
Subtotal			351,638,385
Environmental Compliance Rate Base		\$	1,149,277,534

**Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** 

Determination of Fortier Control Operating Empenses		
		Environmental
		Compliance Plan
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense		\$ 639,253
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense		2,391,001
less investment tax credit amortization	-	
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant	139,263	
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs	-	
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs		61,117
Amortization of Excess ADIT with gross-up	\$ (4,407) 1.33245	(5,872)
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32,	-	
Monthly Surcharge Consulting Fees	-	
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee	-	
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense		\$ 3,224,762

**Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses** 

	Environmental
	Compliance Plan
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$ (48,950)
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)	-
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$ (48,950)

**Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales** 

	Total Proceeds		Amou Base		Net Proceeds	
	(1)	(1)		(2)		(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0
Scrubber By-Products Sales		-		-		-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

### LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

For the Month Ended: November 30, 2018

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Description	Eligible Plant In Service	Eligible Accumulated Depreciation	CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC	Eligible Net Plant In Service	Deferred Tax Balance as of 11/30/2018	Monthly ITC Amortization Credit	Monthly Depreciation Expense	Monthly Property Tax Expense
				(2)-(3)+(4)				
2009 Plan: Project 22 - Cane Run CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I) [CANCELLED] Project 23 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP) Project 24 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase 1) Project 25 - Beneficial Reuse	\$ 9,599,354 \$ 13,399,111 \$ 7,413,755	\$ 1,471,526 \$ 621,224 \$ 753,926	\$ - \$ 112,158,254 \$ -	\$ 8,127,828 \$ 124,936,141 \$ 6,659,829	\$ 1,025,176 \$ 2,256,456 \$ 1,448,097		\$ 10,336 \$ 28,310 \$ 14,253	\$ 1,675
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$ 30,412,220 \$ (1,329,419)	, ,		\$ 139,723,798 \$ (956,982)	\$ 4,729,729 \$ (296,464)	\$ -	\$ 52,899 \$ (3,135)	
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$ 29,082,801	\$ 2,474,240	\$ 112.158.254	\$ 138,766,815	\$ 4,433,265	\$ -	\$ 49,764	\$ 3,438
2011 Plan: Project 26 - Mill Creek Station Air Compliance Project 27 - Trimble County Unit 1 Air Compliance Subtotal	\$ 1,035,408,460 \$ 100,846,405 \$ 1,136,254,865	\$ 7,779,348	\$ 664,376 \$ 368,165 \$ 1,032,541	\$ 93,435,222	\$ 234,331,096 \$ 21,748,643 \$ 256,079,740	\$ -	\$ 2,086,292 \$ 230,052 \$ 2,316,344	\$ 11,950
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2011 Plan	\$ (41,832)	\$ (871)	\$ -	\$ (40,961)	\$ (4,838)		\$ (61)	\$ (5)
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$ 1,136,213,033	\$ 85,616,700	\$ 1,032,541	\$ 1,051,628,873	\$ 256,074,902	\$ -	\$ 2,316,283	\$ 134,071
2016 Plan: Project 28 - Supplemental Mercury Control Project 29 - Mill Creek New Process Water Systems Project 30 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	\$ 4,506,424 \$ 11,113,070 \$ 379,213	\$ 126,530 \$ 273,474 \$ 18,995	\$ (467) \$ 160,388,591 \$ 34,310,030	\$ 4,379,427 \$ 171,228,187 \$ 34,670,248	\$ 838,190 \$ 1,914,506 \$ 66,971		\$ 7,411 \$ 16,677 \$ 866	\$ 1,242
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$ 15,998,706 \$ (315,942)	,	\$ 194,698,154 \$ -	\$ 210,277,862 \$ (156,955)	\$ 2,819,667 \$ (40,400)	\$ -	\$ 24,954 \$ -	\$ 1,774 \$ (20)
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$ 15,682,764	\$ 260,012	\$ 194,698,154	\$ 210,120,907	\$ 2,779,267	\$ -	\$ 24,954	\$ 1,754
Net Total - All Plans:	\$ 1,180,978,599	\$ 88,350,952	\$ 307,888,949	\$ 1,400,516,595	\$ 263,287,433	\$ -	\$ 2,391,001	\$ 139,263

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52%.

Note 2: Effective with the September 2012 expense month, Project 22 is cancelled and the previous CWIP balance is included on ES Form 2.50 as an expense for the September 2012 expense month.

Note 3: The Deferred Tax Balance includes Excess Deferred Taxes resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

**ES FORM 1.00** 

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

### Net Group E(m) and Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors For the Expense Month of December 2018

# GROUP 1 (Total Revenue) Group 1 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (178,933) Group 1 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -0.45% GROUP 2 (Net Revenue) Group 2 E(m) -- ES Form 1.10, line 15 = \$ (233,451) Group 2 ES Billing Factor -- ES Form 1.10, line 17 = -0.63%

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement COS

Submitted by:

Date Submitted: January 18, 2019

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and Group Surcharge Billing Factors

For the Expense Month of December 2018

### $Calculation \ of \ Total \ E(m)$

 $E(m) = \left[ (RB \ / \ 12) \ (ROR + (ROR \ -DR) (TR/(1 - TR))) \right] + OE \ - \ BAS \ + \ BR, \ where$ 

RB = Environmental Compliance Rate Base

ROR = Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base

DR = Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR = Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE = Pollution Control Operating Expenses

BAS = Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales

BR = Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

				Environmental Compliance Plans			
(1) RB		=	\$	1,156,291,859			
(2) RB / 12		=	\$	96,357,655			
(3) (ROR + (ROR -	DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=		8.66%			
(4) OE		=	\$	3,156,574			
(5) BAS		=	\$	0			
(6) BR		=	\$	(382,078)			
(7) E(m)	$(2) \times (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)$	=	\$	11,119,069			

Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

(8)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	91.91%
(9)	$\label{eq:continuous} \mbox{Jurisdictional E(m) = Total E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio}  \mbox{[(7) x (8)]}$	= \$	10,219,536
(10)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2018-0052	= \$	(579,325)
(11)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	= \$	-
(12)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	= \$	10,052,595
(13)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m) $[(9) + (10) + (11) - (12)]$	= \$	(412,384)

Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

			GROUP 1 (Total Revenue)			UP 2 (Net Revenue)
(14)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=		43.39%		56.61%
(15)	Group E(m) [(13) x (14)]	=	\$	(178,933)	\$	(233,451)
(16)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12  Months Ending with the Current Expense Month ES Form 3.00	=	\$	39,788,944	\$	37,077,958
(17)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(15) \div (16)]$	=		-0.45%		-0.63%

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of December 2018

**Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base** 

•	F ' (IC I' N					
	Environmental Compliance Plan					
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$ 1,	,182,524,245				
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC		317,229,948				
Subtotal			\$	1,499,754,193		
Additions:						
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33, and 2.34		141				
Cash Working Capital Allowance		725,138				
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance <sup>1</sup>		11,336,858				
Subtotal				12,062,137		
Deductions:						
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant		90,742,493				
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes		264,781,978				
Subtotal				355,524,471		
Environmental Compliance Rate Base			\$	1,156,291,859		

**Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses** 

			Envi	ironmental
			Comp	oliance Plan
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense			\$	572,958
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense				2,388,406
less investment tax credit amortization		-		
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant		139,263		
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs		-		
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs				61,819
Amortization of Excess ADIT with gross-up		(5,872)		
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32,		-		
Monthly Surcharge Consulting Fees		-		
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee		-		
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense			\$	3,156,574

**Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses** 

	Environmental Compliance Plan		
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$ (3	382,078)	
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)		-	
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$ (3	382,078)	

**Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales** 

	Total Proceeds		-	unt in Rates	]	Net Proceeds
	(1)	(1)		(2)		(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0
Scrubber By-Products Sales		-		-		-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$	0	\$	-	\$	0

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

### LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

For the Month Ended: December 31, 2018

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Description	Eligible Plant In Service	Eligible Accumulated Depreciation	CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC	Eligible Net Plant In Service	Deferred Tax Balance as of 12/31/2018	Monthly ITC Amortization Credit	Monthly Depreciation Expense	Monthly Property Tax Expense
				(2)-(3)+(4)				
2009 Plan: Project 22 - Cane Run CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I) [CANCELLED] Project 23 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP) Project 24 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase 1) Project 25 - Beneficial Reuse	\$ 9,599,354 \$ 13,684,241 \$ 7,413,755	\$ 1,481,862 \$ 649,762 \$ 768,179	\$ - \$ 114,597,936 \$ -	\$ 8,117,492 \$ 127,632,414 \$ 6,645,576	\$ 1,031,627 \$ 2,261,256 \$ 1,449,694		\$ 10,336 \$ 28,538 \$ 14,253	\$ 1,675
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$ 30,697,350 \$ (1,329,419)	, ,	\$ 114,597,936 \$ -	\$ 142,395,482 \$ (956,982)	\$ 4,742,576 \$ (296,464)	\$ -	\$ 53,127 \$ (3,135)	
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$ 29,367,931	\$ 2,527,367	\$ 114,597,936	\$ 141,438,500	\$ 4,446,112	\$ -	\$ 49,992	\$ 3,438
2011 Plan: Project 26 - Mill Creek Station Air Compliance Project 27 - Trimble County Unit 1 Air Compliance Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2011 Plan	\$ 1,035,408,460 \$ 100,846,405 \$ 1,136,254,865 \$ (41,832)	\$ 8,009,400 \$ 87,933,916	\$ 368,165 \$ 1,070,829	\$ 956,186,608 \$ 93,205,171 \$ 1,049,391,778 \$ (40,900)	\$ 235,652,894 \$ 21,825,442 \$ 257,478,336 \$ (4,823)	\$ -	\$ 2,086,292 \$ 230,052 \$ 2,316,344 \$ (61)	\$ 11,950 \$ 134,076
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$ 1,136,213,033	\$ 87,932,984	\$ 1,070,829	\$ 1,049,350,878	\$ 257,473,514	S -	\$ 2,316,283	\$ 134,071
2016 Plan: Project 28 - Supplemental Mercury Control Project 29 - Mill Creek New Process Water Systems Project 30 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	\$ 4,512,896 \$ 12,367,114 \$ 379,213		\$ (6,939) \$ 166,218,675 \$ 35,349,448	, , ,	\$ 848,188 \$ 1,987,480 \$ 67,085		\$ 4,588 \$ 16,677 \$ 866	\$ 486 \$ 1,242
Subtotal Less Retirements and Replacement resulting from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$ 17,259,223 \$ (315,942)	,		\$ 218,379,276 \$ (156,955)		\$ -	\$ 22,131 \$ -	\$ 1,774 \$ (20)
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$ 16,943,281	\$ 282,143	\$ 201,561,183	\$ 218,222,321	\$ 2,862,352	\$ -	\$ 22,131	\$ 1,754
Net Total - All Plans:	\$ 1,182,524,245	\$ 90,742,493	\$ 317,229,948	\$ 1,409,011,700	\$ 264,781,978	\$ -	\$ 2,388,406	\$ 139,263

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52%.

Note 2: Effective with the September 2012 expense month, Project 22 is cancelled and the previous CWIP balance is included on ES Form 2.50 as an expense for the September 2012 expense month.

Note 3: The Deferred Tax Balance includes Excess Deferred Taxes resulting from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.