COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

Electronic Application Of Kentucky Power) Company For A Certificate Of Public Convenience) And Necessity To Perform Upgrade, Replacement,) And Installation Work At Its Existing Substation) Facilities In Perry And Leslie Counties, Kentucky)

Case No. 2019-00154

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

MICHAEL G. LASSLO

ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL G. LASSLO ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF KENTUCKY CASE NO. 2019-00154

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION		PAGE
I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	3
IV.	BACKGROUND ON SUBSTATIONS	4
V.	SYSTEM RELIABILITY	6
VI.	THE HAZARD SUBSTATION AND THE WOOTON SUBSTATION	9
VII.	COORDINATION OF WORK	16

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MICHAEL G. LASSLO ON BEHALF OF KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY

1		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
2	Q:	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, POSITION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
3	A:	My name is Michael G. Lasslo. My position is Reliability Manager for Kentucky Power
4		Company. My business address is 1400 E. Main Street, Hazard, Kentucky.
		II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>
5	Q:	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND
6		BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.
7	A:	I have a Bachelor of Science Degree in Electrical Engineering from the University of
8		Kentucky. I have 41 years of experience with Kentucky Power Company. My work
9		experience includes: engineering and design for new and upgraded electrical service to
10		residential, commercial, and industrial customers; preparation of detailed studies to
11		evaluate the existing distribution infrastructure and to plan for future system
12		improvements; transmission/sub-transmission construction, operation and maintenance;
13		substation construction, operation, and maintenance; power quality studies and customer
14		complaint resolution; budgeting for capital, operation and maintenance expenditures;
15		implementation and monitoring of safety programs and performance, accident/incident
16		investigation; marketing of electro-technologies; customer service; and various
17		supervisory and management positions.
18		

2

Q: WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS RELIABILITY MANAGER FOR KENTUCKY POWER COMPANY?

3 My role is to lead the activities of the Kentucky Power Reliability Team to provide safe, A: 4 efficient, and reliable electric service to over 165,000 residential, commercial and 5 industrial customers. I manage talented professionals who are organized into the 6 functions of distribution engineering; project management; risk management; customer 7 service; and power quality. My responsibilities include: customer service; restoration of 8 service interruptions (including major storms); provision of new and upgraded service to 9 distribution customers from 120V single phase through 34.5 kV three phase; provision of 10 new and upgraded service to transmission customers from 46kV through 138kV; 11 evaluation of employee performance, monitoring of work practices for compliance with 12 codes of conduct, safety rules and procedures, and environmental regulations; public 13 safety; budgeting and expenditures; working with various state and local agencies to 14 promote economic development of the service area; and developing and maintaining 15 good working relationships with local and state elected officials, community leaders, 16 civic groups, and the media.

I also provide input regarding the planning activities of the AEP transmission and
distribution assets planning groups regarding overall system performance;
recommendation and evaluation of large system improvements; and new service to large
commercial and industrial customers.

I		

Q: HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THIS COMMISSION?

2 Yes. I filed written testimony in multiple cases in which Kentucky Power sought a A: 3 certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct transmission-related facilities. These include Case Nos. 2011-00295, 1 2018-00072, 2 and 2018-00209, 3 I also filed 4 testimony in Case No. 2017-00328⁴ in which the Commission granted the Company's 5 application in part to construct the Hazard-Wooton 161 kV transmission line and certain 6 7 baseline elements associated with the line. The Commission denied without prejudice the 8 remainder of the Company's application seeking authority to construct, replace, and 9 upgrade elements at Kentucky Power's existing Hazard 161/138/69 kV Substation ("Hazard Substation") and Wooton 161 kV Substation ("Wooton Substation"). Much of 10 that same work is the subject of Kentucky Power's Application in this proceeding. 11

12

III. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>

13 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

14 A. I first provide a general background regarding substations. I next address the reliability

15 benefits to be provided by the proposed improvements to the Hazard Substation and the

16 Wooton Substation. Finally, I describe the need to coordinate work at the two substations

¹ In the Matter of: The Application Of Kentucky Power Company For A Certificate Of Public Convenience And Necessity To Construct A 138 KV Transmission Line In and Associated Facilities in Breathitt, Knott and Perry Counties, Kentucky (Bonnyman-Soft Shell Line).

² In the Matter of: Electronic Application Of Kentucky Power Company For A Certificate Of Public Convenience And Necessity To Construct A 138 kV Transmission Line In Boyd County, Kentucky (EastPark 138 kV Transmission Line (Phase 1)).

³ In the Matter of: Electronic Application Of Kentucky Power Company For A Certificate Of Public Convenience And Necessity To Construct A 138 kV Transmission Line And Associated Facilities In Pike And Floyd Counties (Enterprise Park Economic and Area Improvements Project).

⁴ In the Matter of: Electronic Application Of Kentucky Power Company For Certification of Public Convenience and Necessity to Construct A 161 kV Transmission Line in Perry and Leslie Counties, Kentucky, and Associated Facilities.

to limit outages and to avoid the unnecessary expense required by multiple mobilizations to perform substation work.

3		IV. <u>BACKGROUND ON SUBSTATIONS</u>
4	Q.	PEASE DESCRIBE THE FUNCTION OF SUBSTATIONS GENERALLY.
5	A.	A substation generally transforms voltage from one voltage to another. Substations are
6		typically classified by their primary purpose as either a transmission substation or a
7		distribution substation.
8	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE MAJOR COMPONENTS OF A SUBSTATION.
9	A.	Substations such as the Hazard Substation and the Wooton Substation consist of multiple
10		types of electrical equipment that perform different functions. The equipment found in a
11		typical substation and the principal functions performed by the equipment include:
12		(a) <u>Transformers</u> – transformers are used to step-down the voltage from
13		transmission to sub-transmission and distribution levels. The typical transmission
14		substation may have one or more transformers depending on the number of transmission
15		and distribution circuits passing through or being served from the substation.
16		(b) <u>Circuit breakers</u> – circuit breakers provide protection and control functions
17		at the transmission, sub transmission, or distribution levels. Circuit breakers open to
18		interrupt power flow to de-energize an electrical circuit. Their operation can be
19		automatic in the event of a fault on the system, or they can be operated manually to de-
20		energize the circuit in preparation for maintenance of the electrical circuit or the breaker
21		itself. They also close to energize an electrical circuit. Depending on the design of the
22		control scheme, a breaker may open or close one or more times during a fault event
23		depending on the location of the fault.

1	(c) <u>Busses</u> – the bus is a structure that consists of electrical conductors and
2	insulators that serves as a common connection point between various components such as
3	transformers, breakers, and capacitor banks. There can be several busses contained in a
4	substation that operate at different voltage levels.
5	(d) <u>Relays</u> – a relay is a device that provides protection, control, and/or
6	monitoring functions, and can send (i.e. relay) a signal to operate other equipment such as
7	circuit breakers or to activate alarms. Relays can monitor and operate based on multiple
8	parameters that are selected by the protection and control engineers. These parameters
9	include but are not limited to: overcurrent; over or under voltage; over or under
10	frequency; temperature; pressure; equipment operational status or position; instantaneous
11	or peak current, voltage and loading levels; and remote supervisory control.
12	(e) <u>Capacitor banks</u> – on the transmission or sub-transmission system, the
13	primary function of capacitor banks is to control the voltage level. Throughout a typical
14	day the amount of electrical load on the grid will vary and therefore the voltage will vary.
15	Closing in, or connecting, a capacitor bank to the system will increase the voltage level;
16	conversely, opening, or disconnecting, a capacitor bank from the system will decrease the
17	voltage level. On the distribution system, the primary function of capacitor banks is to
18	correct for lagging power factor caused by inductive loads such as motors, but they may
19	also be used for voltage control.
20	(f) <u>Communications equipment</u> – permits remote monitoring and operation of
21	substation equipment through the supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA)
22	system. It also serves to transmit relay and control signals between substations for
23	system protection and control.

1		(g) <u>Surge Arrestors</u> – provide overvoltage protection to transmission, sub-
2		transmission, and distribution lines, as well as substation components. Overvoltage
3		events usually are due to lightning but can also occur during switching operations or
4		faults.
5		V. <u>SYSTEM RELIABILITY</u>
6	Q.	WHICH OF THE COMPONENTS YOU DESCRIBE PLAY A ROLE IN
7		MAINTAINING SYSTEM RELIABILITY?
8	A.	The relays, circuit breakers, arrestors, and communications equipment are most important
9		substation components for maintaining system reliability. When a fault, overload, or
10		system abnormality occurs, these components function together as a system to interrupt
11		power flow to the faulted or overloaded equipment (either on a transmission, sub
12		transmission, or distribution line, or on a substation component).
13	Q.	HOW DO THESE COMPONENTS FUNCTION TO MAINTAIN RELIABILITY?
14	A.	The reliability protection system is designed to limit damage to the electrical equipment,
15		provide safety to employees and the public, and to minimize the disruption to the electric
16		grid. The faulted or overloaded equipment will be sectionalized (disconnected from the
17		grid) to protect the rest of the system and to maintain service to as many of our customers
18		as possible.
19	Q.	DO THESE COMPONENTS ENSURE THAT SERVICE IS ALWAYS
20		MAINTAINED?
21	A.	Service may be lost in the course of protecting the public, including customers,
22		employees, and equipment. For example, if a distribution transformer fails, the
23		protection and control system will operate to isolate and remove the transformer from

1 service, but customers that are served from that transformer will lose service. If a 2 transmission transformer fails, depending on system conditions (such as during peak 3 loading) there could be risk of overloading other segments or components of the area 4 transmission system which in turn could jeopardize service to more customers. 5 If a fault occurs on a substation bus, the bus will be de-energized and isolated, but because the bus functions to connect various lines and transformers, that connection will 6 7 be lost. In turn, this will segment the electric grid and may result in loss of service to 8 customers in the event of the loss of another segment of the grid.

9

10

Q. CAN THE AGE OF SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT AFFECT THE EQUIPMENT'S PERFORMANCE AND THE RESULTING RELIABILITY OF CIRCUITS

11 SERVED BY THE SUBSTATION?

A. Most certainly. Like any type of complex equipment, substation equipment is subject to degradation of performance and decreased reliability over time. Transformers have a life expectancy of approximately 60 years; the life expectancy of a typical circuit breaker is approximately 50 years.

16 Q. ARE THERE FACTORS OTHER THAN AGE THAT CAN AFFECT THE

17 **RELIABILITY OF SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT?**

18 A. Yes. Fault operations create temperature and mechanical stresses on the substation

19 equipment and its components leading to the degradation of the equipment. Faults on the

- 20 electrical lines or substation busses cause significant mechanical and thermal stresses
- 21 within the windings of the substation transformers that can damage the transformers'
- 22 internal insulation. For circuit breakers, the equipment manufacturers typically

1		recommend that after a specified number of fault operations the affected circuit breaker
2		be removed from service, inspected, and internal components be replaced if necessary.
3	Q.	WHAT OTHER FACTORS CAN AFFECT THE RELIABILITY OF
4		SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT?
5	A.	Equipment suppliers may cease manufacturing or supporting equipment types or models
6		after a certain period, just as with any consumer product. As manufacturers discontinue
7		support, the equipment becomes obsolete and spare parts become difficult or impossible
8		to obtain. An example of this obsolescence, and as further supported by Company
9		witness Ali, is electromechanical relays, which are no longer manufactured or supported
10		and thus replacements can be difficult to obtain.
11	Q.	IS SUBSTATION EQUIPMENT SUBJECT TO WEATHER-RELATED
12		CORROSION, DEGRADATION, AND LEAKS?
13	A.	Yes. Much of the equipment in a substation must be deployed outdoors and is exposed to
14		the elements. This exposure can lead to corrosion and degradation of equipment
15		housings, tanks, cabinets, bushings, and other components. This corrosion and
16		degradation can affect the performance and reliability of the equipment. In addition, it
17		can result in leaks and failures that have the potential to produce environmental and
18		safety risks.
19	Q.	CAN SUBSTATION RELIABILITY EVER BE IMPACTED BY EQUIPMENT
20		DESIGN ISSUES?
21	A.	Yes. As one of the largest owners of transmission equipment in the United States, the
22		AEP operating companies deploy significant numbers of substation equipment
23		components that are manufactured by a single manufacturer. The operating companies

1	also can deploy significant numbers of same equipment model. That experience can lead
2	to the conclusion that a particular model – although operating reasonably at the time in a
3	Kentucky Power substation – presents an undue risk of failure or mis-operation.

VI. THE HAZARD SUBSTATION AND THE WOOTON SUBSTATION

5

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE HAZARD SUBSTATION.

- A. The Hazard Substation is located at 1400 East Main Street, Hazard, Kentucky. The area
 within the fence measures approximately two acres. It was constructed almost 80 years
 ago in the early 1940's. The substation's proximity to the North Fork of the Kentucky
 River, nearby development, and the topography of the area make expansion of the
 existing footprint impracticable. Six transmission circuits and three distribution circuits
- 11 terminate at the substation. Approximately 1,800 customers and 30 MW of load are
- 12 directly served by the three distribution circuits terminating at the Hazard Substation.

13 Q. WHAT EQUIPMENT COMPRISES THE HAZARD SUBSTATION?

14 A. The major components of the Hazard substation are:

- The galvanized steel towers and columns that support the conductors, insulators,
 bus work, and switches that form the 161kV, 138kV, 69kV, 34.5kV, and 12kV
 structures.
- The #1 138/69kV 50MVA transformer that is source-connected to the #1 138kV
 bus and is load-connected to the #1 69kV bus.
- The #2 138/69kV 130MVA transformer that is source-connected to the #2 138kV
 bus and is load-connected to the #2 69kV bus.

1	•	The #3 161/138kV 135MVA transformer that is source-connected to the #2
2		138kV bus and is load-connected to the Hazard-Pineville 161kV transmission line
3		feeding towards Wooton Substation.
4	•	The #4 138/34.5kV 30MVA transformer that is source-connected to the #2 138kV
5		bus and is load-connected to the 34.5kV distribution bus.
6	•	The #5 34.5/12kV 3.75MVA transformer that is source-connected to the 34.5kV
7		distribution bus and is load-connected to the 12kV distribution bus.
8	•	The 161kV Circuit Breaker "M" that is connected to the 161kV side of the #3
9		161/138kV transformer and feeds the Hazard-Pineville 161kV transmission line
10		towards Wooton Substation.
11	•	The 138kV switch "Y" that is connected to the #1 138kV bus and feeds the
12		Hazard-Beaver Creek transmission line towards Beckham Substation.
13	•	The 138kV Circuit Breaker "N" that is connected between the #1 138kV bus and
14		the #2 138kV bus.
15	•	The 69kV Circuit Breaker "E' that is connected to the #1 69kV bus and feeds the
16		Hazard-Leslie 69kV line.
17	•	The 69kV Circuit Breaker "F" that is connected to the #1 69kV bus and feeds the
18		Hazard-Bonnyman #1 69kV line.
19	•	The 69kV Circuit Breaker "R" that is connected to the #2 69kV bus and feeds the
20		Hazard-Bonnyman #2 69kV line.
21	•	The 69kV Circuit Breaker "S" that is connected to the #2 69kV bus and feeds the
22		Hazard-Daisy 69kV line.

1		• The 34.5kV Circuit Breaker "A" that is connected to the 34.5kV distribution bus
2		and feeds the Hazard-Kenmont 34.5kV distribution circuit.
3		• The 34.5kV Circuit Breaker "B" that is connected to the 34.5kV distribution bus
4		and feeds the Hazard-Blackgold 34.5kV distribution circuit.
5		• The 12kV Circuit Breaker "C" that is connected to the 12kV distribution bus and
6		feeds the Hazard-Hazard 12kV distribution circuit.
7		• The 12kV Circuit Breaker "D" that is connected to the 12kV distribution bus and
8		serves as a spare.
9		• The 138kV Circuit Switcher "BB" that is connected to the #2 138kV bus and
10		serves a 13.2MVAR 138kV capacitor bank.
11		• The 69kV Circuit Switcher "CC" that is connected to the #2 69kV bus and serves
12		a 34.3MVAR 69kV capacitor bank.
13		• The control house that contains various controls, relays, stationary battery bank
14		and communication equipment.
15	Q.	HOW IS THE HAZARD SUBSTATION CONFIGURED?
16	A.	EXHIBIT MGL-1 provides a "one-line drawing" of the electrical layout of the Hazard
17		Substation.
18	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE WOOTON SUBSTATION.
19	A.	The Wooton Substation was constructed in 2006, and is located on a one-half acre tract of
20		land. The substation is located in northeastern Leslie County, Kentucky. Three
21		transmission circuits terminate at the substation.

1	Q.	WHAT EQUIPMENT COMPRISES THE WOOTON SUBSTATION?
2	A.	The major components of the Wooton substation are:
3		• The 161kV Circuit Breaker "A" that feeds towards the Leslie Substation. This
4		circuit breaker supports the Leslie-Wooton segment of the Hazard-Pineville
5		161kV transmission line.
6		• The 161kV Circuit Breaker "B" that feeds towards the Hazard Substation. This
7		circuit breaker supports the Hazard-Leslie segment of the Hazard-Pineville 161kV
8		line.
9		• The 161kV Circuit Breaker "C" that feeds towards the LG&E/KU Arnold-
10		Delvinta 161kV transmission line. This circuit breaker serves the interconnection
11		between the Kentucky Power and LG&E/KU 161kV transmission grids, which in
12		turn is an important source of power for the Hazard Area.
13		• The 161kV bus that serves to connect the three 161kV circuit breakers listed
14		above.
15		• The control house that contains various controls, relays, stationary battery bank
16		and communication equipment.
17	0	PLEASE GENERALLY DESCRIBE FOR THE COMMISSION THE
17	Q.	
18		EQUIPMENT ISSUES EXISTING AT THE HAZARD SUBSTATION THAT
19		WILL BE ADDRESSED THROUGH THE WORK DESCRIBED IN THE
20		APPLICATION.
21	A.	Company Witness Ali and Exhibit 2 to the Application provide a more detailed
22		explanation of the components to be installed at the two substations, their functions, and
23		the need for each. Here I address the reliability-related need for certain aspects of the

- proposed work. From a reliability standpoint, the work to be performed at the Hazard
 Substation falls into four categories:
- 3 (1) Two of the transformers at the Hazard Substation are to be replaced to
 4 address dielectric breakdown of insulation, accessory damage to the bushings and
 5 windings, and short circuit breakdown.
- 6 (2) Multiple circuit breakers have exceeded their expected useful life. They 7 are to be replaced because of fault operations well in excess of the manufacturers' 8 recommendations leading to damage to circuit breaker components including bushings. 9 Many of these circuit breakers are oil-type breakers, and present maintenance and 10 environmental issues because of required oil-handling procedures and the risk of oil 11 leaks. The oil in some of these older circuit breakers also may contain polychlorinated 12 biphenyls (PCBs), increasing maintenance issues and environmental risk.
- (3) One of the capacitor circuit switchers at the Hazard Substation is of the
 type being replaced across the AEP-system. MARK V units have a demonstrated history
 of excessive failures and mis-operations. Because of the widespread nature of these
 issues with the MARK V unit AEP operating companies are replacing MARK V units
 with a capacitor switcher that meets the latest AEP-system design standard when the
 opportunity presents itself.
- 19

20

(4) Certain of the other components to be replaced are suffering oil leaks that cannot be repaired or are non-standard design and thus pose maintenance issues.

21 Q. HOW DO THESE EQUIPMENT ISSUES AFFECT RELIABILITY?

A. Substation equipment approaching the end of its operational life, equipment of a type
with a history of an elevated risk of failure or mis-operation, equipment with faults well

1 in excess of the manufacturers' recommendations, and equipment with damaged or 2 deteriorating components all pose a risk of failure or mis-operation. Although most 3 utility customers are served from the distribution level, the loss of transmission and 4 elements of the grid, such as the Company proposes to replace at the Hazard and Wooton 5 Substations, can jeopardize continued service to the distribution grid and the Company's customers because the transmission and elements link generation and distribution. The 6 7 new transformers, capacitor banks and circuit breakers the Company proposes to install at 8 the Hazard Substation will address the on-going risk of equipment failures that will 9 negatively impact electric service to customers in the Hazard area.

Q. ASIDE FROM REPLACING OLD AND OBSOLETE EQUIPMENT, HOW DOES THE RECONFIGURATION WORK AND THE EQUIPMENT ADDITIONS AT THE HAZARD AND WOOTON SUBSTATIONS PROVIDE RELIABILITY BENEFITS?

A. The addition of new circuit breakers, circuit switchers, and associated relay upgrades to
the Hazard Substation will separate dissimilar transmission and zones of protection. The
resulting zones of protection will better isolate faults or equipment failures to smaller
segments of the grid and therefore will present less risk of loss of service to the
distribution grid. These improvements will not only benefit distribution customers served
directly from the Hazard Substation, but also distribution customers that are served from
the transmission and lines that are connected to the Hazard Substation.

The relay work and communication upgrades at Wooton Substation will improve the reliability of operation of the three transmission lines that are connected to the Wooton Substation by ensuring that the components and associated circuit breakers will operate as intended during faults and to improve the coordination with remote ends of the
 three transmission lines. The upgrades are designed to minimize the disruption to the
 Hazard area transmission grid during a fault event by confining the effect of a fault to
 only that segment of the transmission grid that experienced the fault, while keeping other
 segments in service.

6

7

Q.

WHY IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO REPLACE EQUIPMENT BEFORE IT FAILS?

8 A. Running equipment to failure presents multiple problems that ultimately adversely affect 9 Kentucky Power's customers. First, there are the environmental remediation issues 10 associated with the failure of oil-filled equipment. Environmental cleanup is very 11 expensive and can even exceed the cost of the equipment itself. Second, equipment 12 failures can directly result in outages to our customers or place customers at risk of loss 13 of service due to segmentation of the grid. This is the case particularly during peak 14 loading conditions. Third, emergency repairs or equipment replacements are very costly. 15 Premium prices may be incurred for equipment and much of the labor will be at an 16 overtime rate. Fourth, further delays may result as the Company attempts to source the 17 needed parts (if even available) and procure contract or company labor. Also, these 18 emergency efforts may disrupt other ongoing projects as resources are redirected to the 19 emergency. Fifth, the company may be required to forgo other "holistic" benefits, such 20 as creating multiple zones of protection, when failed equipment must be replaced under 21 the exigencies of an emergency instead of as part of a comprehensive plan that limits cost 22 and customer disruption. Finally, Equipment failure can lead to damage or degradation 23 of other equipment.

1

Q. IS THE WORK YOU DESCRIBE ABOVE AND THAT IS PROPOSED FOR THE HAZARD AND WOOTON SUBSTATIONS NECESSARY AND PRUDENT?

A. Yes. Kentucky Power must perform the proposed work in order to provide adequate and
reliable service. It is important to replace aging and obsolete infrastructure in a timely
and planned manner, in advance of failure, to provide safe and reliable service to our
customers in a cost-effective manner. Moreover, even the work that is not related to
replacing existing equipment, such as the relay and communication work proposed at the
Wooton Substation, will assist the Company in its meeting its obligation to provide
reliable service.

10

VII. COORDINATION OF WORK

11Q.WHY IS KENTUCKY POWER PROPOSING TO PERFORM THE WORK AT12THE HAZARD AND WOOTON SUBSTATIONS AS PART OF A SINGLE

13 **PROJECT INSTEAD OF MULTIPLE, SMALLER PROJECTS?**

A. Planning and performing the Hazard and Wooton work as single project is the most
efficient way to execute this project from a time and cost perspective. For that reason,

16 approval of all necessary components is paramount to deliver the safety and reliability

17 benefits of this work to Kentucky Power customers in an efficient manner.

18 Performing the proposed work at the Hazard Substation as a single project allows 19 the Company (and its customers) to avoid the unnecessary expense of multiple 20 mobilizations. It also avoids the need for multiple maintenance outages, which while not 21 customer outages, do require scheduling and planning to allow for appropriate 22 contingencies to continue service to customers with equipment out of service.

23

1

Q. WHAT IS MOBILIZATION?

2 Mobilization involves the preparatory steps to beginning the actual upgrade and A. 3 replacement work at a substation. It includes preparation of drawings, bid documents, 4 solicitation and evaluation and granting of bids, evaluation and selection of contractors 5 and subcontractors, contracting for material deliveries, and preparing the work site. Contractors must bring their employees, tools, vehicles, and construction equipment to 6 7 the work site. Some construction equipment, such as heavy lift cranes and earth moving 8 equipment are very expensive and require significant effort to transport and assemble at 9 the construction site.

10 Mobilization and execution also include activities such as construction permits 11 related to endangered species, roads, storm water that have to be renewed. Executing a 12 project over a longer period can also have impacts that are more lasting on the public and 13 nearby property owners due to continued construction activities and increased traffic. 14 Additional expenses for equipment and staging areas are likely as well. In addition, if 15 work cannot be planned and executed in a timely manner, environmental requirements 16 such a limited windows for tree clearing due to endangered bat populations can introduce 17 potentially significant delays that add to project cost.

18 Q. WHAT IS THE ANTICIPATED COST OF THE MOBILIZATION REQUIRED

19

FOR THE PROPOSED WORK AT THE HAZARD AND WOOTON

20 SUBSTATIONS?

A. For the planned work at the Hazard and Wooton stations, the Company estimates rough,
order-of-magnitude, mobilization costs of a minimum of \$50,000, with the potential for
these costs to be as much as \$250,000 or more. Performing this work over a longer

period will certainly add to these costs when compared against executing the work as a
 single project.

3 Q. WOULD THESE COSTS BE INCURRED FOR EACH MOBILIZATION?

4 A. The work and attendant cost would vary based on the scope of the work to be 5 accomplished in each mobilization, but the individual component steps in sequential 6 mobilization would be similar and there likely would be substantial duplication of costs 7 that could be avoided by performing the work as a single project as Kentucky Power 8 proposes. For example, if the same heavy construction equipment is required (which 9 would be needed for the large power transformers and excavation work for foundations) 10 the Company will be required to pay two or more delivery charges if the work is 11 performed as multiple projects instead of the single project Kentucky Power proposes. 12 Similarly, separate projects can require cable trench excavations to install new control 13 cables. It is more economical to open the trench one time and install all of the necessary 14 control cable as part of a single project instead of requiring that Kentucky Power incur of 15 the cost of opening the trench, installing the equipment, and refilling the trench on 16 multiple occasions.

17 Q: DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

18 A: Yes.

VERIFICATION

The undersigned, Michael G. Lasslo, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is the Reliability Manager, Kentucky Power Company, that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

al The Sanlo

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)) SS COUNTY OF PERRY)

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, by Michael G. Lasslo this the <u>2</u> day of June, 2019.

P. Sole Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

(SEAL)

APRIL 16,2023 NOTARY ID #62,555

KPSC Case No. 2019-00154 Lasslo Direct Testimony Exhibit MGL-1

System Electrical Diagram (Existing)

