COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:		
ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION INTO EXCESSIVE WATER LOSS BY KENTUCKY'S JURISDICTIONAL WATER UTILITIES)	Case No. 2019-00041
CAWOOD WATER DISTRICT'S RESPONSE TO REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION		

Filed: May 31, 2019

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF: ELECTRONIC INVESTIGATION IN EXCESSIVE WATER LOSS BY KEY	
JURISDICTIONAL WATER UTILIT	
VERIFICAT	TION OF GRANT COOPER
COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
COUNTY OF HARLAN)	
response of Cawood Water District to Co	rn, states that hehas supervised the preparation of the commission Staff's Request for Information, in the aboveings set forth in that response are true and accurate to the elief, formed after reasonable inquiry.
	GRANT COOPER
The foregoing Verification was s day of May, 2019 by Grant Cooper.	igned, acknowledged and sworn to before me this
	Mich Jeague NOTARY PUBLIC F. D.# 596357 Commission Expiration: 03-01-2022
	and and an

Item 1 Page 1 of 1

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

1. State the effective date of the water utility's last rate increase, either through the alternative rate filing procedure, through a general adjustment of rates, or through a purchased water adjustment, and provide the Board Resolution approving the rate increase.

Response:

January 1, 2018 was the last rate increase. This increase was a result of an alternative rate filing.

Page 1 of 1

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

2. State whether the water utility's board of commissioners or directors has discussed applying for a rate increase since January 1, 2018, utilizing either the alternative rate filing procedure or through a general adjustment of rates. If the utility can state this affirmatively, provide the board minutes where this was discussed:

Response:

No, the Board has not discussed a rate increase since January 1, 2018.

Item 3
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

3. Provide a list of the top three obstacles the water utility believes are preventing or slowing the progress of the water utility in reducing line loss.

- Old US highway 421 relocation by state no bedding of line and large objects on lines;
- Old Valves in system preventing from isolating parts; and,
- Old service lines that should and are being replaced.

Item 4
Page 1 of 2
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

4. Provide the utility's most recent monthly water loss report.

Response:

Please see attached.

Item 4
Page 2 of 2
Witness: Grant Cooper

Monthly Water Use Report

Water Uti	tility: Cawood Water			PWSID:[480565		
For the M	lonth of:	APRIL			Year: [2019	
1	PPODUCI	TION COST PER	THOUSAND	(ie	nsert cost)		
2		SE COST PER T			nsert cost)		
-	. 011011110			\(\text{II}\)	isorr cost)		
						GALLONS	
2		RODUCED or P	URCHASED		r	0.000 557	400.00/
3 4	Water Prod Water Purd				ŀ	9,886,557	100.0% 0.0%
5	vvaler Full		OTAL PRODUCED	AND PURC	HASED	9,886,557	0.076
6				VALUE!	TIAGED	5,000,007	
	WATER S				115		
7	Residentia				1	5,738,155	
8	Commercia	al			1		
9 10	Industrial	ng Stations			ŀ		
11	Wholesale				ŀ		
12	Other Sale						
13			TO	TAL WATER	2 SOL D	5,738,155	58.0%
14				WATER NO		4,148,402	42.0%
4.5		WN OF WATER	RUSAGE		г	470 000	
15 16		atment Plant er Treatment Pla	nt			170,000	
17	System Flu					780,909	#VALUE!
18		tment Usage				0	
19	DBP Flush	ing	DBP Mainte	enance		157,399	#VALUE!
20				TOTAL	USAGE	1,108,308	
21			WATER LOSS PI			ATE PURPOSES	30.7%
	BREAKDO	OWN OF WATE					
22	Tank Overf	flows (other than	for DBP maintenan	ce)	Г		
23	Excavation	Breaks			1	0	
24	Repaired L					1,975,564	
25	Unknown L	.oss				1,064,530	10.8%
26			TOTAL WATER NO	OT SOLD OF	RUSED	3,040,094	
27		C	OST OF WATER NO	T SOLD OF	RUSED	#VALUE!	
	"UNKNOW	N LOSS" FLOV	V RATE AND COST	:			
28				"Unknow	n Loss"	1,064,530	
29				% "Unknow	n Loss"	10.8%	
30				er of Days ir		30	
31	l	"Ur	known Loss" per Da			35,484	
32	l		"Unknown Loss			24.64	
33	ŀ		"Unknown L	oss" Cost fo	r Month	#VALUE!	

Item 5
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District
Case No. 2019-00041
Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

5. Provide the name and occupation, if any, of each of the water utility's current commissioners including the highest level of education attained by each.

Howard Farmer Jr.	Retired	High School Graduate
Tim Rice	Certified welder	High School Graduate
Harold Sellers	Retired	High School Graduate
Tim Engle	Salesperson	High School Graduate
Mike Thomas	Chief of Police	High School Graduate

Item 6
Page 1 of 1

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 6. Provide the following training information:
 - a. State whether the water utility allocates funds in its annual operating budget to provide training to its water personnel.
 - b. If so, state the amount allocated in the last three calendar years.
 - c. Identify any training programs, free of charge or otherwise, that water personnel have taken and individuals, agencies, or suppliers providing the training program.

- a. Yes there are funds allocated for training purposes.
- b. Although there is money allocated for training purposes, the amount is not broken out into a separate category. Expenses from the prior year are used to determine the budget for the current year. However, in 2018 Cawood spent approximately \$5,000 on personnel training.
- c. Cawood had Kentucky Rural Water come and do leak training. This was a week-long training and was completed in April 2019.

Item 7
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 7. Provide the following system information in a formatted and tabulated Excel spreadsheet for each applicable asset:
 - a. For transmission and distribution lines, provide the diameter size, length in miles, type of material, and average age of the lines. When PVC is used, provide the specific type of PVC used.
 - b. For service connection lines, provide the service connection size, number, type of material, and average age of the lines. When PVC is used, provide the specific type of PVC used.
 - c. For customer meters, provide the customer meter size, number, manufacturer/model, and the average age of the customer meters.

- a. 1.67 miles 10" DI 17 years, 1.75 miles 6" DI 17 years, 1.1 miles 4" DI 15 years, .19 miles of 3" DI 10 years, .31 miles 12" SDR21 PVC 29 years, 8.74 miles 8" SDR21 PVC 15 years, 20.79 miles 6" SDR21 PVC 15 years, 13.3 miles 4" SDR17 PVC 15 years, 19.85 miles 3" SDR17 PVC 17 years.
- b. Size 34, number 1663, CPS and blu-max, 18 years: Size 1" number 2, CPS 10 years: Size 1 ½ number 1 SDR17, 15 years: Size 2" number 3 victaulic pipe and SDR17 15 years: Size 3" number 3 SDR17 and DI 17 years.
- c. Meter size $\frac{3}{4}$ 1663 RG3 C-700 7 to 8 years.

Item 8
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

8. Proved the water utility's closest approximate number of service lines and transmission and distribution lines that were made with Blu-Max tubing within its distribution system and the dates they were installed.

Response:

Cawood currently has 50 service lines remaining in the system with Blu-Max tubing. These were installed in 1991.

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

9. State whether the water utility has considered hiring a consulting firm for leak detection rather than using in-house labor, and if not explain why not.

Response:

Cawood has not considered hiring a consulting firm to assist in leak detection. Cawood has its employees look for leaks daily when they are out doing work orders reading meters and general maintenance on the system. Cawood has been able to reduce its water loss by utilizing this method and does not think it would be prudent to pay for a consulting firm to assist in this effort.

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

State whether an employee dedicated to leak detection would be a worthwhile 10. investment for the water utility, and if not state why not.

Response:

Cawood currently has three employees that share the daily task of detecting leaks. Cawood looks for leaks on a daily basis. Cawood does not believe that an additional employee dedicated to leak detection would be a worthwhile investment.

Item 11
Page 1 of 2
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

11. Refer to the water utility's response to Commission Order of March 12, 2019, Appendix C (March 12 Order), Item 8. Provide a copy of the most recent written and completed inspection report done at the water utility's plant, pump, and storage facilities. If no written and completed inspection report exists, then state in specific detail all tasks performed by the water utility during the water utility's most recent inspection of its plant, pump, and storage facilities.

Response:

Please see attached.

Item 11 Page 2 of 2 Witness: Grant Cooper

5/1/2019 **SOURCE OF SUPPLY**

INTAKE WILL BE DOING INSPECTION ON INTAKE AT END OF JULY

WELL/STRUCTURE WELL LOOKS TO BE CLEAN NO CRACKS IN CONCRETE PUMP WIRE

LOOKS GOOD NO CUTTS AND SAFTEY CHAINS AR GOOD

WELL MOTERS WELL MOTERS AMP PULL IS NORMAL

WELL ELECTRIC CONTROLS ALL WIRES IN PANNAL AT WELL IN GOOD CONDITION ALL CONNECTIONS

ARE TIGHT AND SUCURE

TREATMENT/PURIFICATION

SEDIMENTATATION BASINE ALL THREE BASINES HAVE BEEN CLEANED AND FREE OF ANY OBSTRUCTIONS

FILTERS ALL THREE FILTERS HAVE BEEN CLEANED

CLEARWELL CHECKED THE OUTSIDE STRUCTURE OF THE CLEARWELL AND IT LOOKED GOOD

CHECKED VALVES AND ALL WORKED TARGET WORKES GOOD ON TANK

LEVEL AND TELEMETARY IS WORKING WITH THE TARGET

CHEMICAL FEED EQUIPMENT CHIMICAL EQUIPMENT IS CHECKED DAILY WENT AND DONE SPOT CHECK ON

AND EVERYTHING IS GOOD NO WORN HOSES AND ALL PUMPS ARE WORKING

PROPERLY

BUILDINGS THE BUILDINGS ARE IN GOOD SHAPE HAD TO REPLACE LIGHT OUTSIDE BECAUSE

ONE WAS BLOWN, HOUSE KEEPING IS UP AND NO OBSTRUCTIONS WAS NOTICED

STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION

PUMPING EQUIPMENT CHECKED ALL PUMPS AT PUMP STATIONS

SMITH, ALL PUMPS AND MOTORS TEST GOOD MOTORS AMP DRAW WAS IN RANG CRUMMIES, ONE PUMP WAS NOT PULLING AMPS RIGHT SO CHECKED AND FOUND BEARINGS IN MOTOR WERE GOING OUT, GOT NEW MOTER TO REPLACE AND

PUMP ALSO

GULSTON, ALL PUMPS AND MOTORS TEST GOOD MOTORS AMP DRAW WAS IN RANG

ALL PLANT PUMPS TEST ON AMPS WAS GOOD

WE GREASED ALL MOTORS AT ALL PUMP STATIONS AND AT PLANT 05/01/2019

WATER STORAGE TANKS

THEY ARE SET TO GE INSPECTED IN JUNE OR JULY

HYDRANTS

ALL SYSTEM HYDRANTS HAVE BEEN WORKED AND IN OPERATION

VALVES

SYSTEM VALVES HAVE BEEN WORKED

MASTER METERS

ALL HAVE BEEN CHECKED

MASTER METER CALABRATIONS

CALABRATIONS HAVE BEEN DONE AND HAVE REPORTS ON ALL, ONE FAILED AND

IN BEING REPLACED WITH NEW METER

LEAK DETECTION

IN DOING DAILY LEAK DETECTION WE FOUND 4 SERVICE LINE LEAKS LAST MONTH

AND ONE MAIN LINE LEAK

VALVES AND BLOWOFF ASSEMBLYS

VALVES

ALL VALVES IN THE SYSTEM HAVE BEEN WORKED

BLOW OFF

WE LIKE 15 MORE BLOWOFFS TO HAVE THE ENTIRE SYSTEM WORKED

Item 12 Page 1 of 1 Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 12. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 14.
 - a. Provide the cost and purchase date of all equipment the water utility identified in its response.
 - b. State how frequently the identified leak detection equipment items are utilized by the water utility.

- a. The cost and purchase date for the equipment is as follows:
 - -Chain saw and combo kit for cutting pipe 03/21/2019 \$815.00;
 - Dynasonics flow meter 12/14/2017 \$6144.00;
 - Itron digital leak detector 01/05/2018 \$3020.00;
 - Ingersol Rand jackhammer 12/19/2017 \$1520.00; and,
 - Rock Saw with 16-inch chain and diamond chain for rock 11/30/2017 \$2258.96
- b. The frequency of use of the equipment is as follows:
 - Chain saw kit twice in 4 months;
 - Dynasonics flow meter 9 times in the last 6 months;
 - Itron digital leak detector 3 times in the last 4 months;
 - Ingersol Rand jackhammer once in the last year; and,
 - Rock saw once in last year.

Item 13
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

13. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 16. For water utilities that responded that they have no written policy to identify errors that result in missed customer billings or under billings of customer accounts, state whether writing and adopting a formal written policy regarding this would be considered by its board of commissioners or directors, and if not state why not.

Response:

Please see the Response to Item 16 to Commission Staff's First Request for Information.

Item 14
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

14. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 17. For water utilities that responded that they cannot accurately verify through testing how much water they produce at their water treatment plant, state how the water utility can accurately assess its water loss with an unverified production meter.

Response:

Please see the Response to Item 17 of Commission's Staff First Request for Information.

Item 15
Page 1 of 5
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 15. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 18.
 - a. For water utilities that provided test results and had master meters that failed tests, state whether those master meters were replaced or repaired and provide the dates when they were replaced or repaired.
 - b. For water utilities that could not provide test results, provide any previous test results of the water utility's master meters or those from the wholesale provider from any previous date.

Response:

Please see attached.

ltem 15 Page 2 of 5 Witness: Grant Cooper



Branch Office 740 Enterprise Drive Lexington, KY 40510 Phone: (859)255-0852

CITCO Large Meter Test Record

Fax: (859)259-1171

Customer Name: Cawood, Ky.

Date: 4-29-19

Make: Badger Compound

Serial #: 92053746

3"

Location: Cawood Elementary

Meter Tester Information:

Make: Sensus

Type: V2

Serial #: Tester3

Size: 3"

Last Tested: 07-19-2018

Test As Found

		Residual			Result	Curve	Meter
	Flow Rate	Pressure	Meter	Prover	%=(A/B)x100		Accuracy
HIGH	150/500	70	440	500	88.00%	100.80%	88.70%
MED	15/100	85	50	100	50.00%	100.80%	50.40%
LOW	.50/10	95	6	10	60.00%	100.10%	60.06%
	Average of Tests As Found:						66.399

Result: FAILED

Oxygen: 20.8

Installed:

Michael Shipley (Card# W1370)

Size	High Flow	AWWA Standard	Mid Flow	AWWA Standard	Minimum Flow	AWWA Standard	TURBINE
1.5"	90 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	120 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
3"	275 gpm for 600 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	20 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	8 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
4"	500 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	20 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	15 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
6 <mark>"</mark>	1100 gpm for 2500 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	40 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	30 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
8"	1500 gpm for 4000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	100 gpm for 100 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.25 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
3"	150 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.50 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
4"	200 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	25 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.75 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
6 <mark>"</mark>	500 gpm for 1000 gal	9 <mark>7% - 103%</mark>	35 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	1.5 gpm for 100 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
8 <mark>"</mark>	600 gpm for 2000 gal	9 <mark>7% - 103%</mark>	45 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	2 gpm for 100 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND

REPLACED WITH NEW METER ON 6/01

Item 15 Page 3 of 5 Witness: Grant Cooper



Branch Office 740 Enterprise Drive Lexington, KY 40510 Phone: (859)255-0852

Fax: (859)259-1171

CITCO Large Meter Test Record

Customer Name: Cawood, Ky.

Date: 4-29-19

Make: Sensus Omni C2

Serial #: 76479726

4"

Location: Harlan?

Meter Tester Information:

Make: Sensus

Type: V2

Serial #: Tester3

Size: 3"

Last Tested: 07-19-2018

Test As Found

		Residual			Result	Curve	Meter
	Flow Rate	Pressure	Meter	Prover	%=(A/B)x100		Accuracy
HIGH	200/500	65	512	515	99.42%	100.50%	99.91%
	25/100	75	110	110	100.00%	100.70%	100.47%
LOW	.75/10	90	10	10.1	99.01%	99.50%	98.51%
	Average of Tests As Found:						99.639

Result: PASSED Oxygen: 20.8

Installed: 1.5" x 6" nipple, 1.5" ball valve

Michael Shipley (Card# W1370)

<u>Size</u>	High Flow	AWWA Standard	Mid Flow	AWWA Standard	Minimum Flow	AWWA Standard	TURBINE
1.5"	90 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	120 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
3"	275 gpm for 600 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	20 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	8 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
4"	500 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	20 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	15 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
6"	1100 gpm for 2500 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	40 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	30 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
8"	1500 gpm for 4000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	100 gpm for 100 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.25 gpm for 10 gal	95% - <mark>101%</mark>	COMPOUND
3"	150 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.50 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
4"	200 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	25 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.75 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
6"	500 gpm for 1000 gal	97% - 103%	35 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	1.5 gpm for 100 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
<mark>8"</mark>	600 gpm for 2000 gal	97% - 103%	45 gpm for 100 gal	90% - <mark>103%</mark>	2 gpm for 100 gal	95% - <mark>101%</mark>	COMPOUND

Item 15 Page 4 of 5 Witness: Grant Cooper



Branch Office 740 Enterprise Drive Lexington, KY 40510 Phone: (859)255-0852

Fax: (859)259-1171

CITCO Large Meter Test Record

Customer Name: Cawood, Ky.

Date: 4-29-19

Make: Badger 170

Serial #: 17844277

2"

Location: Laurels

Meter Tester Information:

Make: Sensus

Type: V2

Serial #: Tester3

Size: 3"

Last Tested: 07-19-2018

Test As Found

		Residual			Result	Curve	Meter
	Flow Rate	Pressure	Meter	Prover	%=(A/B)x100		Accuracy
HIGH	120/300	70	321	326	98.47%	100.90%	99.35%
LOW	4/100	85	112	114	98.25%	100.50%	98.74%
	Average of Tests As	Found:					99.04

Result: PASSED

Oxygen: 20.8

Installed: 1" x 6" nipple, 1" ball valve

Michael Shipley (Card# W1370)

<u>Size</u>	High Flow	AWWA Standard	Mid Flow	AWWA Standard	Minimum Flow	AWWA Standard	TURBINE
1.5"	90 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	120 gpm for 300 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	10 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	4 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
3"	275 gpm for 600 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	20 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	8 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
4"	500 gpm for 1000 gal	98. <mark>5% - 101.5%</mark>	20 gpm for 1000 gal	98. <mark>5% - 101.5%</mark>	15 gpm for 100 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
6"	1100 gpm for 2500 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	40 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	30 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
8"	1500 gpm for 4000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	50 gpm for 1000 gal	98.5% - 101.5%	TURBINE
2"	100 gpm for 100 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.25 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
3"	150 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	15 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.50 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
4"	200 gpm for 500 gal	97% - 103%	25 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	.75 gpm for 10 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
6"	500 gpm for 1000 gal	97% - 103%	35 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	1.5 gpm for 100 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND
8"	600 gpm for 2000 gal	97% - 103%	45 gpm for 100 gal	90% - 103%	2 gpm for 100 gal	95% - 101%	COMPOUND

Item 15 Page 5 of 5 Witness: Grant Cooper



No adjustments needed.

The C.I.Thornburg Co., Inc. YOUR FIRST CHOICE IN WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRIBUTOR

YOUR FIRST CHOICE IN WATER AND WASTEWATER DISTRIBUTOR
740 Enterprise Drive
Lexington, KY 40510
1-800-999-3484

	Sensor Calibration Sheet	<					
Date: 5-9-19	Sheet:	11	of _	1			
Specific Work to be Performed: up to 65" H2O	Cleaned Hi and Lo venturi sensing lines, zero checked good, applied pressure						
-							
CONTACT NAME	Ronnie						
OWNER	Cawood WTP						
JOB	Raw Water D.P. Meter						
LOCATION	WaterTreatment Plant						
MFG.	Siemens						
DEVICE ID	Sitrans P						
PART/MODEL NO.	model #D-76181						
PRODUCT CODE							
Factory Calibration Range	0 - 250"H2O						
WARRANTY	YES NO	Other: new unit rep	lacemer	nt.			
NOTES/COMMENTS:							
If Ronnie can find Flow vs inches o	f water curve documentation I will check 2 more	points on the curve	and veri	fy URV.			
Zero before and after 0.01"H2O	URV = 65" H2O @ 400 GPM						
65" H2O = 400 GPM	LRV = 0 GPM						

Item 16
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

16. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 19. Provide the total number of customer meters that are greater than ten years old that a water utility currently has in service, if any, and provide any previous tests for each of these meters. If the meter has not been tested, please state in the affirmative and state why it has not been tested.

Response:

Cawood currently has 268 meters that are more than ten years old. Cawood has been changing 75 meters per month and will continue until all of 268 meters have been changed out. According to a February 2019 visit from Commission Staff, Erin Donges, Cawood has changed 181 meters since this process began.

Item 17
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Requests for Information contained in Appendix C to the Commission's Order entered March 12, 2019

17. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 23. For water utilities that do not utilize supervisory control and data acquisition (SCADA) technology within its system, state the reasons why the water utility does not utilize SCADA technology within its system.

Response:

Please see the Response to Item 23 to Commission Staff's First Request for Information.

Item 18
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

18. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 23. For water utilities that do not utilize telemetry within its system, state the reasons why the water utility does not utilize telemetry within its system.

Response:

Please see the Response to Item 23 of Commission Staff's First Request for Information.

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041

Requests for Information contained in Appendix C to the Commission's Order entered March 12, 2019

- 19. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 26.
 - a. For water utilities that currently utilize master meter zones in leak detection, state how the data from the zone meters is used to reduce water loss and whether the water utility has a sufficient number of zone meters to monitor its entire system.
 - b. For water utilities that currently do no utilize master meter zones in leak detection, state with specific detail whether doing so would assist in the water utility's water loss reduction efforts or why it would not.

Response:

Cawood's process for leak detection is as follows:

There is a pit at each tank and a flow meter is placed on the pipe going out to the customers' lines. Cawood then gets the flow rate from the flow meter and calculates how many customers are on that particular tank. Cawood then takes the rate of flow that should be on the tank and the actual rate of flow to find the unknown flow rate. Once the unknown flow rate is known, Cawood begins to close off valves to section off the system, starting with the main lines. Cawood then watches the flow meter to see if the flow rate decreases. If the flow rate does not decrease, then Cawood will move to the next tank. Once the decrease in flow rate is detected, Cawood goes back and does the branch lines in between the valve and the flow meter. This is the process to section off lines to determine main line and service line leaks. Cawood also uses a listening device to listen to every meter in a section where a high flow rate is detected. This has aided in detecting several leaks. This technique was taught to Cawood personnel by Kentucky Rural Water Association.

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 20. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 31.
 - a. Provide the approximate hourly rate for the water utility's general manager/superintendent for the calendar years 2017 and 2018 utilizing actual hours worked, or if by salary by dividing the monthly salary by the standard 173.3 hours worked per month.
 - b. Provide the job title and job description for the general manager/superintendent from the water utility's handbook, if such a handbook exists. If the water utility does not currently have a handbook, provide the job title and a detailed job description for the general manager/superintendent that includes job duties.

- a. The general manager's hourly rate for 2017 was \$15.75 (using the standard 173.3 hours worked since this is a salaried position). Using the same method, the 2018 general manager hourly rate is \$19.75 since it continues to be a salaried position.
- b. Please see attached.

ADMINISTRATIVE DIVISION

Class Title: Manager

<u>Characteristics of the Class:</u> This is technical and administrative work involving a sophisticated level of direction in the management of the District's public works program. The person in this position plans, supervises and coordinates the activities of the District in accordance with Board policy and is directly responsible to the Board.

Essential Job Function: Supervises and coordinates activities of District personnel. Plans and coordinates projects including research, general layout, cost estimates, statistical data and related requirements of developing projects. Studies proposed projects, deeds, easement, and contract documents as necessary in the process of implementing a project. Listens to and answers complaints and requests of citizens and citizen groups. Work with the District Board in reference to financial planning, budgeting, personnel administration, policy development, long term planning and related administrative functions. Conducts employee performance evaluations, job training, etc., including safety and equipment operation. Coordinates activities with the various city public works operations in the county as needed. Does related work required.

Job Related Physical Activity Requirement: This position involves work requiring the employee to exert in excess of 50 pounds of force occasionally and less force frequently to move objects. Physical activity related to this position may include climbing, stooping, kneeling, crouching, reaching, standing, walking, grasping, feelings, talking, hearing, and repetitive motion. Visually inspect work being performed and prepare reports. An employee in this position will be exposed to indoor and outdoor environmental conditions including extreme heat and cold; subject to noise fumes, odors, gases, poor ventilation, oils and grease; An employee in this position could "reasonably anticipate" facing contract with potentially infectious materials.

<u>Special Knowledge, Skills and Abilities:</u> Through knowledge of the principles and practices of operation of water distribution system; ability to develop and understand working plans and coordinate work; ability to supervise and get along well with the public and co-workers; ability to plan financially for long term projects of the District; good physical condition.

Acceptable Experience and Training: Considerable experience in personnel administration, including supervision of employees; However, any combination of education and experience sufficient to demonstrate the required special knowledge, skills and abilities will be considered. The employee is required to obtain the appropriate class of distribution license in accordance with state regulations. Possess a valid Kentucky driver's license. Hold the necessary plant certification (II-A) and distribution license certifications.

Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

- 21. Refer to the water utility's response to the march 12 Order, Item 35. For water utilities that have not mapped their distribution area for service lines and connections, provide specific detail of the process of how the water utility locates its service lines and connections.
 - a. State the process for water utility responses to 811 calls for line locates.
 - b. Provide an approximate date of completion of the water utility to map their entire distribution system for service lines and connections.

- a. Cawood takes the call from 811 and proceeds to get a map of the system in that area. Cawood then goes to the premises and marks the line. Cawood is currently working on obtaining a line locating device to aid in this procedure.
- Cawood is currently working on GPS mapping of valves and service lines.
 Cawood has already put into place maps of the lines themselves.

Item 22 Page 1 of 1 Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Requests for Information contained in Appendix C to the Commission's Order entered March 12, 2019

22. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 37a. For water utilities that have not requested prosecution of water theft (a.k.a. theft of services) by either the county attorney or commonwealth attorney's office, state the reasons why such requests have not been made.

Response:

Please see Response 37 a to Commission Staff's First Request for Information.

Item 23
Page 1 of 3
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

23. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 38. For a water utility that has stated in the affirmative that a leak adjustment is permitted, provide the current leak adjustment rate and applicable tariff page from the water utility's tariff on file with the Commission.

Response:

Please see attached.

Item 23 Page 2 of 3 Witness: Grant Cooper

Form for filing Rate Schedules	For Cawood
	Community, Town or City
	P.S.C. NO. 2
	2nd revised SHEET NO. 2
Cawood Water District	CANCELLING P.S.C. NO. 2
Name of Issuing Corporation	1st revised SHEET NO. 2
CLASSIFICATI	ON OF SERVICE
	RATE PER UNIT
a. Multiple Users on One Meter. Who occupants (of different rental units) of property, is houses, mobile home parks, trailer parts, or of served by a single water meter, the rates and occupant shall be computed by dividing the registered by such single meter by the number through such meter and then applying the resurate schedule set out above to arrive at the moccupant. Each tenant or occupant shall be owner or operator of the property has agree responsible for and pay the full amount of the tot property. In no event shall the monthly bill a occupant be less than the minimum water rate state.	including duplexes, apartment other multi-unit premises, are discharges to each tenant or number of gallons of water of customers being served all thus obtained to the water controlly bill for each tenant or billed separately unless the sed with the District to be tall monthly water bill for such applicable to each tenant or
An account billing adjustment will be made	vater District's employee. The consistent with their average of Kentucky and the past 12 months. This
DATE OF ISSUE June 25, 1998	DATE EFFECTIVE August 1, 1998
ISSUED BY Waltewfulch	TITLE Chairman
Issued by authority of an Order of the Pu	blic Service Commission of Kentucky

Item 23 Page 3 of 3 Witness: Grant Cooper

LEAK ADJUSTMENT

UNDER 65			
TOTAL \$ AMOUNT OF LEAK	\$ 100.00		
TOTAL 12 MONTH BILL	\$ 600.00	12 MONTHS	\$ 50.00
AVERAGE BILL AMOUNT	\$ 50.00	2	\$ 25.00
TOTAL LEAK ADJUSTMENT	\$ 25.00 \$	100.00	\$ (75.00)
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR CUSTOMER TO PAY	\$ (75.00)		
OVER 65			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF LEAK	\$ 100.00		
TOTAL 12 MONTH BILL	\$ 600.00	12 MONTHS	\$ 50.00
AVERAGE BILL AMOUNT	\$ 50.00		
TOTAL AMOUNT FOR CUSTOMER TO PAY	\$ 50.00		

Item 24
Page 1 of 1
Witness: Grant Cooper

Cawood Water District Case No. 2019-00041 Commission Staff's Second Request for Information issued May 3, 2019

24. Refer to the water utility's response to the March 12 Order, Item 44. For utilities that responded that they currently do not have flushing equipment, state whether its board of commissioners or directors has ever discussed the purchase of flushing equipment to improve the water utility's system. Provide any applicable board minutes as an attachment to this request.

Response:

Please see the Response to Item 44 to Commission Staff's First Request for Information.