RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT GRAYSON, KENTUCKY AUDITED BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT GRAYSON, KENTUCKY Years Ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Independent Auditors' Report | <u>PAGE</u> 1-2 |
|---|--------------------|
| Financial Statements Statements of Net Position | 3. |
| Statements of Revenues, Expenses And Changes in Net Position | 4 |
| Statements of Cash Flows | 5 |
| Notes to the Financial Statements | 6-25 |
| Supplemental Information | |
| Supplemental Information Required by Rural Development | 26 |
| Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability | 27 |
| Schedule of the Pension Contributions | 28 |
| Schedule of Proportionate Share of Net OPEB Liability | 29 |
| Schedule of Contributions-OPEB | 30 |
| Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting an Compliance And Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statement Perf | ormed |
| In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards | 31-32 |
| Management Points Letter and Recommendations | 33-34 |

DONNA J. HENDRIX CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

MEMBER: K.S.C.P.A. A.LC.P.A.

131 E. ELECTRIC AVENUE FLEMINGSBURG, KY 41041 (606)845-5210 550 W. FIRST ST., P.O. BOX 449 MOREHEAD, KY 40351 (606) 784-4451 (606) 784-8224 (FAX)

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Board of Commissioners
Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Kentucky
Grayson, KY 41143

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the business-type activities of Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Kentucky as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018 and December 31, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities of the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Kentucky, as of December 31, 2018, and the respective changes in financial position, and, where applicable, cash flows thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Other Information

The schedule of the District's proportionate share of net pension liability and schedule of District contributions are the responsibility of management and were derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of the District's proportionate shard of net pension liability and schedule of District contributions are fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated July 19, 2019, on our consideration of the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriated operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the basic financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

Donna J. Hendrix, CPA

Donna J. Hendrix, CPA, PSC Morehead, Kentucky July 19, 2019

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT

STATEMENTS OF NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUND DECEMBER 31,

| ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOWS CURRENT ASSETS | 2018 | 2017 |
|---|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - Unrestricted Cash and Cash Equivalents - Restricted | \$ 198,249 257,644 | \$ 251,080 118,859 |
| Accounts receivable Customers, net | 188,097 | 174,463 |
| Other | - | - |
| Unbilled Revenue Receivable | 64,929 | 78,986 |
| KRWFC Sinking Fund | 57,287 | 52,617 |
| Inventory Prepaid Expenses | 12,610 1,100 | 12,610 1,100 |
| TOTAL CURRENT ASSETS | 779,916 | 689,716 |
| PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 770,010 | |
| Nondepreciated Capital Assets: | 9 | |
| Land | 16,558 | . 16,558 |
| Construction In Progress | 448,077 | 71,270 |
| Depreciated Capital Assets: | -1-10 ₁ 011 | 11,210 |
| Utility Plant in Service | 36,049,375 | 35,991,414 |
| Buildings | 95,678 | 95,678 |
| Other Depreciable Equipment | 277,670 | 278,830 |
| Less: Accumulated Depreciation | (15,940,181) | (14,968,653) |
| | | <u> </u> |
| NET PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT | 20,947,176 | 21,485,097 |
| Deferred Outflow of Resources-Pension | 419,791 | 281,322 |
| Deferred Outflow of Resources-OPEB | 100,609 | |
| TOTAL ASSETS AND DEFERRED OUTFLOW OF RESOURCES | \$22,247,492 | \$ 22,456,135 |
| LIABILITIES AND NET POSITION | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 17,189 | \$ 20,196 |
| Accounts payable Accrued Taxes and Benefits | 16,618 | 18,537 |
| Accrued Interest | 22,150 | 22,150 |
| Accrued Wages and Sick Pay | 24,436 | 24,436 |
| Customer deposits | 86,679 | 60,762 |
| Short-term Note Payable KRWFC | - | - |
| Current Portion of Long-Term Debt | 45,000 | 40,000 |
| TOTAL CURRENT LIABILITIES | 212,072 | 186,082 |
| NONCURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Notes Payable | 133,928 | 141,351 |
| Accrued OPED | 441,049 | - |
| Accrued pension | 1,284,157 | 1,145,666 |
| Bonds payable | 7,390,953 | 7,156,600 |
| TOTAL NONCURRENT LIABILITIES | 9,250,087 | 8,443,617 |
| Deferred Inflow of Resources-OPEB | 23,092 | - |
| Deferred Inflow of Resources-Pension | 71,329 | |
| , | | |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES | 9,556,581 | 8,629,699 |
| NET POSITION | | |
| Investment in Capital Assets | 13,377,295 | 14,147,146 |
| Restricted for: | | |
| Capital Projects and Replacement | 227,756 | 102,487 |
| Debt Service | 2,111 | 1,972 |
| Unrestricted TOTAL NET POSITION | (916,253) | (425,170) |
| | \$12,690,910 | \$ 13,826,436 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENSES AND CHANGES

IN NET POSITION PROPRIETARY FUND

for the years ended December 31,

| | 2018 | 2017 |
|---|------------------------|--------------------|
| OPERATING REVENUES Water sales | \$ 2,400,686 | \$ 2,365,094 |
| Service Charges and Other Revenue | په 2,400,000 17,955 | 14,138 |
| Service Charges and Other Revenue | 17,900 | 14,100 |
| Total operating Revenues | 2,418,641 | 2,379,232 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | |
| Salaries & Wages | 634,111 | 624,656 |
| Employee Benefits | 499,794 | 495,042 |
| Office Supplies | 42,157 | 44,455 |
| Accounting and Legal | 26,514 | 24,637 |
| Materials & Supplies | 339,299 | 309,830 |
| Utilities and Telephone | 318,661 | 296,088 |
| Insurance | 51,343 | 25,873 |
| Vehicle Expense | 41,444 | 29,818 |
| Testing Expense | 27,659 | 30,571 |
| - Depreciation and Amortization | 971,528 | 963,617 |
| Bad Debt Expense | 22,245 | 16,28 9 |
| Miscellaneous Expense | 711 | 2,186 |
| Taxes Other than Income | 44,516_ | 44,812 |
| Total operating expense | 3,019,982 | 2,907,875 |
| OPERATING INCOME (LOSS) | (601,340) | (528,643) |
| NON-OPERATING REVENUES (EXPENSES) | | |
| Interest income | 833 | 417 |
| Interest expense | (264,705) | (269,499) |
| DOT project flow through expense | - | (7,070) |
| DOT project flow through income | - | 7,070 |
| Gain on Sale of Assets | | - |
| Net Non-Operating Revenues (Expenses) | (263,872) | (269,083) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE CAPITAL CONTRIBUTIONS | (865,213) | (797,726) |
| Capital Grants | 14,000 | 1,950 |
| Customer Tap Fees | 33,600 | 32,250 |
| oustomer rup i ces | 00,000 | - 02,200 |
| CHANGE IN NET POSITION | (817,613) | (763,526) |
| NET POSITION, BEGINNING OF YEAR, AS PREVIOUSLY REPORTED | 13,826,436 | 14,589,962 |
| EFFECT OF ADOPTION OF GASB 75 | (317,913) | - |
| NET POSITION, BEGINNING OF YEAR RESTATED | 13,508,523 | 14,589,962 |
| NET POSITION, END OF YEAR | \$ 12,690,910 | \$ 13,826,436 |

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT

STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS PROPRIETARY FUND

for the years ended December 31,

| CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | 2018 | 2017 |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| Receipts From Customers Payments to Suppliers Payments to Employees | \$ 2,432,275 (801,559) (1,133,905) | \$2,362,334 (780,028) (939,504) |
| Net Cash (Used) Provided by Operating Activities | 496,810 | 642,801 |
| CASH FLOW FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| KRWFC Sinking Fund | 4,670 | (651) |
| Interest Income | 833 | 417 |
| Net Cash (Used) Provided by Investing Activities | 5,503 | (234) |
| CASH FLOW FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 460.050 | |
| Debt Proceeds | 460,853 | (004, 400) |
| Principal Paid on Capital Debt | (226,500) | (221,423) |
| Purchases of Capital Assets | (433,607) | (84,502) |
| Contributed Capital-Tap Fees | 33,600 | 32,250 |
| Contributed Capital-Grants | 14,000 | 1,950 |
| Interest Paid on Capital Debt | (264,705) | (269,499) |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Capital and Financing Activities | (416,359) | (541,225) |
| NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH | 85,954 | 101,342 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents - At beginning of year | 369,939 | 268,598 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - AT END OF YEAR | \$ 455,893 | \$ 369,939 |
| Reconciliation of Operating Income (Loss) to Net Cash Provid | ed | |
| (Used) by Operating Activities: Operating Income (Loss) Adjustments to Reconcile Operating Income to Net Cash | \$ (601,340) | \$ (528,643) |
| Provided (Used) by Operating Activities: Depreciation Expense and Amortization Expense Change in Assets and Liabilities: | 971,528 | 963,617 |
| Accounts Receivable, Net | 423 | 29,479 |
| Accounts Payable and Other Payables | 100,283 | 149,655 |
| Inventories | 0 | (5,929) |
| Prepaid Expense | <u>-</u> | - |
| Customer Deposits | 25,917 | 34,622 |
| Net Cash Provided (Used) by Operating Activities | \$ 496,810 | \$ 642,801 |

NOTE 1: ORGANIZATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Rattlesnake Ridge Water District (District) is a water utility which serves areas of Carter, Elliot, Lawrence, and Morgan Counties. Its sales are primarily to residential customers. The District is a corporate body set forth in Kentucky Revised Statutes (KRS) 74.070 which was created in November, 1961. The District began operations in 1983. The District is subject to the regulatory authority of the Kentucky Public Service Commission pursuant to KRS 278.040.

The accounting policies of the District conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applicable to governments. The following is a summary of the more significant policies.

The Reporting Entity

The District, for financial purposes, includes all of the funds relevant to the operation of the District. The financial statements presented herein do not include agencies which have been formed under applicable state laws or separate and distinct units of government apart from the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District.

The financial statements of the District would include those of separately administered organizations that are controlled by or dependent on the District. Control or dependence is determined on the basis of financial interdependency, selection of government authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations, accountability of fiscal matters, scope of public service and financing relations.

Based on the foregoing criteria there are no other organizations included in these financial statements.

The District, presented as an enterprise fund, does not apply Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) pronouncements and Accounting Principles Board (APB) opinions issued on or after November 30, 1989.

Enterprise Funds

Enterprise Funds are used to account for operations (a) that are financed and operated in a manner similar to private business enterprise where the intent of the governing body is that the costs (expenses, including depreciation) of providing goods or services to the general public on a continuing basis be financed or recovered primarily through user charges or (b) where the governing body has decided that periodic determination of revenues earned, expenses incurred, and/or net income is appropriate for capital maintenance, public policy, management control, accountability, or other purposes.

The accounting and financial reporting treatment applied to the District is determined by its measurement focus. The financial statements of the District are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus for the proprietary fund financial statements.

For the years ended December 31, 2018 and 2017

Note 1: ORGANIZATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Accounting

The District maintains its accounting records on the accrual basis during the year. The District's financial statements include the operations of all entities for which the District exercises oversight responsibility. Oversight responsibility includes, but is not limited to financial interdependency, selection of the governing authority, designation of management, ability to significantly influence operations, and accountability for fiscal matters.

Inventory

Inventory is maintained at lower of cost or market.

Fixed Assets

Fixed assets purchased are capitalized at the time of purchase. Such assets re recorded at cost. Depreciation is applied on the straight-lint method over the estimated useful life of the asset, using rates determined by reference to Utility Standards Rates (NARUC). Asset lives range from:

| <u>Class</u> | <u>Life</u> |
|-----------------|-------------|
| Lines and tanks | 40 years |
| Building | 31.5 years |
| Pumps | 10 years |
| Equipment | 5-7 years |

The District's capitalization policy is to capitalize expenditures costing more than \$500 with an estimated useful life greater than one year. All other fixed assets are expensed.

Power Costs

The cost of power purchases for pumping water is charged to expense as used.

Income Tax Status

The District is a political subdivision created under Kentucky Revised Statutes 74.012, and as such, is exempt from federal and state income taxes. Accordingly, the financial statement include no provision for income taxes.

Cash Flows

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the District uses the direct method of reporting net cash flow from operating activities, and considers certificates of deposit with a maturity of six months or less to be cash equivalents.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Net Position

Net Position represents the difference between assets and liabilities in the statement of net assets. Net position invested in capital assets, net of related debt consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted when there are legal limitations imposed on their use either through the enabling legislation adopted by the District or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, laws or regulations of other governments. When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the District's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

NOTE 2: RESTRICTED CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENT

The District's restricted cash is composed of the following:

| | <u>2018</u> | <u>2017</u> |
|--------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Construction Accounts | \$ 27,777 | \$14,400 |
| Capital Improvement Account | - | - |
| Bond and Interest Sinking Fund | 2,111 | 1,972 |
| Depreciation Fund | <u>227,756</u> | <u>102.487</u> |
| TOTAL | <u>\$257,644</u> | <u>\$ 118,859</u> |

NOTE 3: CUSTODIAL CREDIT RISK

Custodial credit risk is the risk that is the event of a bank failure, the District's deposits may not be returned to it. The District's cash and cash equivalents consist of checking and savings accounts with local banks. The District does have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. As of December 31, 2018. \$204,589 of the bank balance was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

Uninsured and collateral held by pledging bank \$204,589

NOTE 4: FIXED ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018 was as follows:

| • | Balance | | | | | | Balance |
|---|------------------|-----|-----------|-----|---------|-----|---------------|
| Business-Type Activities | Jan. 1, 2018 | Add | ditions | Ded | uctions | - 1 | Dec. 31, 2018 |
| Cost: | | | • | | | | |
| Land | \$ 16,558 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 16,558 |
| Utility Plant | 10,843,860 | | • | | _ | | 10,843,860 |
| Water System | 24,969,683 | | - | | - | | 24,969,683 |
| Buildings | 95,678 | | _ | | - | | 95,678 |
| Equipment | 177,871 | | _ | | - | | 177,871 |
| Other Depreciable Assets | 278,830 | | 56,799 | | - | | 335,629 |
| Construction in Progress | 71,270 | | 376,807 | | - | | 448,077 |
| Totals at historical cost | 36,453,750 | | 433,606 | | - | | 36,887,356 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: | | | | | | | |
| Plant in Service | 14,384,403 | | 770;422 | | | | - 15,154,825 |
| Other Depreciable Assets | 584,248 | | 201,106 | | - | | 785,354 |
| Total accumulated Depreciation | 14,968,985 | | 971,528 | | - | | 15,940,181 |
| Business-Type Activities Capital Assets - Net | \$ 21,484,766 | \$ | (537,922) | \$ | | \$ | 20,947,175 |

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 was as follows:

| Business-Type Activities | Balance n. 1, 2017 | Additi | ions | Deduct | ions | D | Balance ec. 31, 2017 |
|---|-----------------------|--------|-----------|--------|------|----|-------------------------|
| Cost: | | | | | | | |
| Land | \$ 13,500 | \$ | 3,058 | \$ | - | \$ | 16,558 |
| Utility Plant | 10,843,860 | | - | | - | | 10,843,860 |
| Water System | 24,963,034 | | 6,649 | | - | | 24,969,683 |
| Buildings | 92,152 | | 3,526 | | - | | 95,678 |
| Equipment | 177,871 | | - | | - | | 177,871 |
| Other Depreciable Assets | 278,830 | | _ | | - | | 278,830 |
| Construction in Progress | - | | 71,270 | | - | | 71,270 |
| Totals at historical cost | 36,369,248 | | 84,503 | | | | 36,453,750 |
| Less accumulated depreciation: | | | | | | | |
| Plant in Service | 13,620,255 | - | 764,148 | | | | 14,384,403 |
| Other Depreciable Assets | 384,779 | | 199,469 | | | | 584,248 |
| Total accumulated Depreciation | 14,005,038 | | 963,617 | | - | | 14,968,985 |
| Business-Type Activities Capital Assets - Net | 22,364,209 | | (879,113) |) | - | | 21,484,766 |

NOTE 5: LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

The following is a summary of Notes Payable for the year ended December 31, 2018:

| | Outsta December | | Addi | tions | Red | uctions | | inding alance |
|---|--|---------|----------|---|-------|---|--------------|------------------|
| KADD Lease 3%-5.3%, Total 135,000 dated 4/14/05, due in semi-annual installments,maturity 05/01/25 | | 75,000 | | - | | 5,000 | | 70,000 |
| Corp of Engineers 6.625%, Dated 05/21/84 Maturity: 30 years | | 66,351 | | 0 | | 2,583 | | 63,767 |
| TOTAL NOTES PAYABLE | \$ | 141,351 | \$ | - | \$ | 7,583 | \$ | 133,767 |
| Less Current Maturities | | | | | | | | 12,755 |
| TOTAL | | | | | | | 5 | 121,012 |
| The following is a schedule of long-term det maturities: | | | Principa | al | Inter | est | | |
| | 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024-2028 2029-2030 | | | 12,755 12,938 13,132 13,340 13,561 41,673 26,368 133,767 | | 6,272 5,559 4,835 4,097 3,346 7,237 349 | | |

NOTE 5: LONG-TERM DEBT

The following is a summary of revenue bonds payable for the year ended December 31, 2018. The revenues of the District are pledged as collateral for the following debt:

| | Rate | Original Issue | Maturity Date | Beginning Balance | Additions | Reductions | Ending Balance |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------|------------|------------------------|
| 1989 Series | 5.00% | \$ 195,000 | 1/1/28 | \$ 89,000 | - | 7,000 | \$ 82,000 |
| 1992 Series | 5.00% | 365,000 | 1/1/31 | 202,000 | - | 11,500 | 190,500 |
| 1994 Series A | 4.50% | 434,000 | 1/1/34 | 276,000 | - | 12,000 | 264,000 |
| 1994 Series B | 4.50% | 197,000 | 1/1/34 | 122,000 | - | 5,500 | 116,500 |
| 1995 Series A | 4.50% | 445,000 | 1/1/35 | 287,000 | - | 12,000 | 275,000 |
| 1995 Series B | 4.50% | 100,000 | 1/1/35 | 65,100 | - | 2,600 | 62,500 |
| 2000 Series A | 4.50% | 900,000 | 1/1/40 | 695,000 | | 19,000 | 676,000 |
| 2000 Series B | 4.50% | 425,000 | 1/1/40 | 327,000 | - | 9,000 | 318,000 |
| 2001 Series A | 3.25% | 900,000 | 1/1/41 | 666,000 | - | 20,000 | 646,000 |
| 2001 Series B | 4.50% | 600,000 | 1/1/41 | 46,800 | - | 1,200 | 45,600 |
| 2004 Series A | 4.38% | 1,340,000 | 1/1/44 | 1,125,000 | - | 23,500 | 1,101,500 |
| 2004 Series B | 4.38% | 549,000 | 1/1/44 | 460,500 | - | 9,500 | 451,000 |
| 2008 Series C | Various | 665,000 | 1/1/25 | 370,000 | - | 40,000 | 330,000 |
| 2011 Series A | 2.00% | 1,336,000 | 1/1/52 | 1,226,000 | - | 24,000 | 1,202,000 |
| 2011 Series B | 2.00% | 1,146,000 | 1/1/52 | 1,044,000 | - | 21,500 | 1,022,500 |
| 2015 Series | 3.00% | 200,000 | 1/1/55 | 195,000 | | 3,000 | 192,000 |
| | Less Current M | aturities | | \$ 7,196,400 | \$ - | \$ 221,300 | \$ 6,975,100 45,000 |
| | TOTAL | | · | | | | \$ 6,930,100 |
| | | | | | | | |

| 2019 45.000 243.3 | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| 2019 45,000 245,3 | |
| 2020 227,000 237,8 | 49 |
| 2021 241,700 228,8 | 23 |
| 2022 246,900 219,3 | 34 |
| 2023 256,000 209,4 | 15 |
| 2024-2028 1,296,700 892,6 | 39 |
| 2029-2033 1,332,200 646,7 | 65 |
| 2034-2038 1,290,600 403,4 | 94 |
| . 2039-2043 1,119,000 185,4 | 20 |
| 2044-2048_ 574,000 59,2 | 79 |
| 2049-2053 329,000 11,8 | 65 |
| 2054-2056 17,000 | 83 |
| \$ 6,975,100 \$ 3,338,6 | 15 |

NOTE 6: RESERVES

The Rattlesnake Ridge Water District is required to comply with the bond agreements of all bond issues as follows:

A. SINKING FUND

On or before the 20th day of each month and after the required payment to the Operation and Maintenance Fund Account, the District is required to set aside an amount into a special account known as the "Rattlesnake Ridge Water District, Bond and Interest Sinking Fund". The amount to be set aside and paid into the Sinking Fund each month shall be sums equal to the following amounts:

- 1) A sum equal to one sixth (1/6) of the interest becoming due on the next succeeding interest due date, with respect to all outstanding Bond Issues.
- 2) A sum equal to one twelfth (1/12) of the principal of all such bonds maturing on the next succeeding January 1.

The required balance of the reserve at December 31, 2018 was \$181,300. The District had set aside \$ 2,110 at December 31, 2018 into a restricted account, resulting in the account being under-funded by \$179,189.

B. DEPRECIATION FUND

The District is required to deposit \$2600 each month into the Depreciation Fund account the proceeds from the sale of any equipment no longer usable or needed, fees or charges collected from potential customers to aid in the financing of the cost of extensions, additions and/or improvements to the project, plus the proceeds of any property damage insurance not immediately used to replace damaged or destroyed property. Monies in the Depreciation Fund shall be available and shall be withdrawn and used, upon appropriate certification to Rural Development, for the purpose of paying the cost of constructing replacements, extensions, additions and/or improvements to the project. The required balance at December 31, 2017 was \$808,680. District had set aside \$227,756 at December 31, 2018 into a restrict account, and therefore the account is under-funded by \$580,924.

NOTE 7: DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN

Rattlesnake Ridge Water District is a participating employer of the County Employees' Retirement System (CERS). Under the provisions of Kentucky Revised Statute 61.645, the Board of Trustees of Kentucky Retirement Systems administers the CERS. The plan issues publicly available financial statements which may be downloaded from the Kentucky Retirement Systems website.

Plan-Description - CERS is a cost-sharing-multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers substantially all regular full-time members employed in positions of each participating county, city, and school board, and any additional eligible local agencies electing to participate in the System. The plan provides for retirement, disability, and death benefits to plan members. Retirement benefits may be extended to beneficiaries of plan members under certain circumstances. Cost-of-living (COLA) adjustments-are provided at the discretion of state legislature.

Contributions - For the year ended June 30, 2018, plan members were required to contribute 5% of wages for non-hazardous job classifications. Employees hired after September 1, 2008 were required to contribute an additional 1% to cover the cost of medical insurance that is provided through CERS. Participating employers were required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. Per Kentucky Revised Statute Section 78.545(33), normal contribution and past service contribution rates shall be determined by the Board on the basis of an annual valuation last proceeding the July 1 of a new biennium. The Board may amend contribution rates as of the first day of July of the second year of a biennium, if it is determined on the basis of a subsequent actuarial valuation that amended contribution rates are necessary to satisfy requirements determined in accordance with actuarial basis adopted by the Board. For the year ended June 30, 2018, participating employers contributed 19.18% of each employee's wages. The contributions are allocated to both the pension and insurance trusts. Plan members contributed 14.48% to the pension trust for non-hazardous job classifications. The contribution rates are equal to the actuarially determined rate set by the Board. Administrative costs of Kentucky Retirement System are financed through employer contributions and investment earnings.

Plan members who began participating on, or after, January 1, 2014, were required to contribute to the Cash Balance Plan. The Cash Balance Plan is known as a hybrid plan because it has characteristics of both a defined benefit plan and a defined contribution plan. Members in the plan contribute a set percentage of their salary each month to their own account. Plan members contribute 5% of wages to their own account and 1% to the health insurance fund. The employer contribution rate is set annually by the Board based on an actuarial valuation. The employer contributes a set percentage of each member's salary. Each month, when employer contributions are received, an employer pay credit is deposited to the member's account. Each member's

NOTE 7: DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

account is credited with a 4% employer pay credit. The employer pay credit represents a portion of the employer contribution.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the District contributed \$75,650, or 100% of the required contribution. The contribution was allocated \$74,517 to the CERS pension fund and \$1133 to the CERS insurance fund.

Benefits - CERS provides retirement, health insurance, death and disability benefits to Plan employees and beneficiaries. Employees are vested in the plan after five years' service.

For retirement purposes, employees are grouped into three tiers based on hire date:

| Tier 1 | Participation date Unreduced retirement Reduced retirement | Before September 1, 2008 27 years service or 65 years old At least 5 years service and 55 years old or 25 years service and any age |
|--------|---|---|
| Tier2 | Participation date | September 1, 2008 - December 31, 2013 |
| • | Unredµced retirement | At least 5 years service and 65 years old or age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal to 87+ |
| | Reduced retirement | At least 10 years service and 60 years old |
| Tier3 | Participation date | After December 31, 2013 |
| | Unreduced | At least 5 years service and 65 years old or |
| | retirement | age 57+ and sum of service years plus age equal 87+ Not available |
| | Reduced retirement | |

Cost of living adjustments are provided at the discretion of the General Assembly. Retirement is based on a factor of the number of years' service and hire date multiplied by the average of the highest five years' earnings. Reduced benefits are based on factors of both of these components. Participating employees become eligible to receive the health insurance benefit after at least 180 months of service. Death benefits are provided for both death after retirement and death prior to retirement. Death benefits after retirement are \$5,000 in lump sum. Five years' service is required for death benefits prior to retirement and the employee must have suffered a duty-related death. The decedent's beneficiary will receive the higher of the normal death benefit and \$10,000 plus 25% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay and any dependent child will receive 10% of the decedent's monthly final rate of pay up to 40% for all dependent children. Five years' service is required for nonservice-related disability benefits.

NOTE 7: DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

Pension Liabilities, Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources - At December 31, 2018, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability of \$1,284,157. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total pension liability used to calculate the net pension liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share at June 30, 2017 was .021939 percent, which was an increase of .001 percent from its proportion measured as of June 30, 2016.

For the year ended June 30, 2018, the District recognized pension expense of \$113,348. At December 31, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| | O | eferred utflows of Resources | In | eferred flows sources |
|--|----|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|
| Differences between expected and actual results Changes of assumptions | \$ | 1,593 236,962 | \$ | 32,597 |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on Plan investments | | · | | |
| Changes in proportion and differences between District. | | 15,884 | | |
| contributions and proportionate share of contributions District contributions subsequent to the measurement date | | 52,004 113,348 | | 38,732 |
| Total | \$ | 419,791 | <u>\$</u> | \$ <u>71.329</u> |

The \$419,791 of deferred outflows of resources resulting from the District's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the year ending December 31, 2019. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Year ending June 30, | |
|----------------------|----------------|
| 2019 | \$ 124,656 |
| 2020 | \$ 91,613 |
| 2021 | \$ 35,333 |
| 2022 | \$ (16,489) |

Actuarial Assumptions - The total pension liabilities in the June 30, 2017 actuarial valuations were determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

NOTE 7: DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

Inflation 2.30%

Salary increases 3.05%, average, including inflation

Investment rate of return 6.25%, net of Plan investment expense, including inflation

3.05%, average, including inflation

6.25%, net of Plan investment expense, including inflation

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females). For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, the mortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 1 year for females). For disabled members, the RP-2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2017 valuations were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2013.

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of the regular experience studies prepared every five years. Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumptions including long-term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense and inflation) were developed by the investment consultant for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and then adding expected inflation. The capital market assumptions developed by the investment consultant are intended for use over a 10-year horizon and may not be useful in setting the long-term rate of return for funding pension plans which covers a longer time frame. The assumption is intended to be a long-term assumption and is not expected to change absent a significant change in the asset allocation, a change in the inflation assumption, or a fundamental change in the market that alters expected returns in future years.

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic nominal real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target Allocation | Long – | Ferm Nominal | Real Rate of |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|
| | | | | Return |
| U.S. Equity | | 26.5% | 11.27% | |
| Non-U.S. Equity | | 26.5% | 2.83% | |
| Fixed Income | | 12.0% | 7.69% | |
| Real Return | | 8.0% | 4.00% | |
| Real Estate | | 5.0% | 5.95% | |
| Absolute Return | | 10.0% | 3.96% | |
| Private Equity | | 10.0% | 10.95% | |
| Cash Equivalent | | <u>2.0%</u> | 3.65% | |
| | | 100% | | |

NOTE 7: DEFINED BENEFIT PENSION PLAN (continued)

Discount Rate - The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 6.25 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that local employers would contribute the actuarially determined contribution rate of projected compensation over the remaining 26- year amortization period of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The actuarial determined contribution rate is adjusted to reflect the phase in of anticipated gains on actuarial value of assets over the first four years of the projection period. The discount rate does not use a municipal bondrate.

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate - The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate of 6.25 percent, as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (5.25 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (7.25 percent) than the current rate:

| | | prope shar | strict's ortionate e of net |
|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Discount rate | - | ension ability |
| 1% decrease | 5.25% | \$ | 1,619,603 |
| Current discount rate | 6.25% | \$ | 1,284,157 |
| 1% increase | 7.25% | \$ | 1,003,557 |

Payable to the Pension Plan - At December 31, 2018, the District reported a payable of \$0 for the outstanding amount of contributions to the pension plan required for the year ended December 31, 2018, of which \$0 was allocated to the CERS pension fund. The payable includes only the pension contribution allocation.

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

Plan Description - As more fully described in Note 7, the District participates in the County Employees' Retirement System (CERS). CERS is a cost-sharing multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan that covers substantially all regular full-time members employed in positions of each participating county, city, and school board, and any additional eligible local agencies electing to participate in the System. In addition to retirement benefits, the plan provides for health insurance benefits to plan members (other postemployment benefits or OPEB). OPEB benefits may be extended to beneficiaries of plan members under certain circumstances.

Contributions-As more fully described in Note 7, plan members contribute to CERS for non-hazardous job classifications. For the year ending December 31, 2018, the employer's contribution was 4.70% to the insurance trust. Employees hired after September 1, 2008 were required to contribute to an additional 1% to cover the cost of medical insurance that is provided through GERS. Participating employers were required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. Per Kentucky Revised Statute Section 78.545(33), normal contribution and past service contribution rates shall be determined by the Board on the basis of an annual valuation last proceeding the July 1 of a new biennium. The Board may amend contribution rates as of the first day of July of the second year of a biennium, if it is determined on the basis of a subsequent actuarial valuation that amended contribution rates are necessary to satisfy requirements determined in accordance with actuarial basis adopted by the Board. The contribution rates are equal to the actuarially determined rate set by the Board. Administrative costs of Kentucky Retirement System are financed through employer contributions and investment earnings.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the District contributed \$25,266, or 100% of the required contribution.

Benefits - CERS provides health insurance benefits to Plan employees and beneficiaries. For retirement purposes, employees are grouped into three tiers based on hire date:

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

| Tier 1 | Participation date Insurance eligibility Benefit | Before July 1, 2003 10 years of service credit required Set percentage of single coverage health insurance based on service credit accrued at |
|--------|--|---|
| Tier 1 | Participation date | retirement |
| • | Insurance eligibility Benefit | Before September 1, 2008 but after July 1, 2003 10 years of service credit required |
| | onglointy Bonoin | Set dollar amount based on service credit |
| Tier 2 | Participation date | accrued, increased annually |
| | Insurance | After September 1, 2008 and before December 31, |
| | eligibility Benefit | 2013 15 years of service credit required |
| | | Set dollar amount based on service credit |
| Tier 3 | Participation date | accrued, increased annually |
| | Insurance | After December 31, 2013 |
| | | 15 years of service credit required |
| | | Set dollar amount based on service credit accrued, increased annually |

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

OPEB Liabilities, Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources-At December 31, 2018, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability of \$441,049. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2017, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of that date. The District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the OPEB plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating entities, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share at June 30, 2017 was .021939%.

For the year ended December 31, 2018, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$25,266. At December 31, 2018, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

| | Deferred Outflows of of Resources | Deferred Inflows Resources |
|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Differences between expected and actual results | \$ | \$ 1,225 |
| Changes of assumptions | 95,969 | |
| Net difference between projected and actual earnings on Plan investments | 0 | 20,844 |
| Changes in proportion and differences between District contributions and proportionate share of contributions | 0 | 1,023 |
| District contributions subsequent to the measurement date Total | <u>4,639</u> <u>\$100,609</u> | <u>0</u> \$23,092 |

The \$4,639 of deferred outflows of resources resulting from the District's contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net OPEB liability in the year ending December 31, 2019. This includes an adjustment of \$2,333 related to the implicit subsidy, which is required to be recognized as a deferred outflow of resources. Other amounts reported as deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

| Year ending June | *. | - |
|------------------|----|--------|
| 30, | - | , |
| 2019 | \$ | 12,539 |
| 2020 | \$ | 12,539 |
| 2021 | \$ | 12,539 |
| 2022 | \$ | 12,539 |
| 2023 | \$ | 17,750 |
| 2024 | \$ | 4,970 |

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

Actuarial Assumptions- The total OPEB liability in the June 30, 2017 actuarial valuation was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement:

Inflation 2.30%

Salary increases 3.05%, average, including inflation

Investment rate of 6.25%, net of Plan investment expense, including inflation

return Healthcare trend

Pre - 65: Initial trend starting at 7.25% at January 1, 2019, and gradually decreasing to an ultimate trend rate of 4.05% over a period of 13 years.

Post - 65: Initial trend starting at 5.10% at January 1, 2019, and gradually decreasing to an ultimate trend rate of 4.05% over a period of 11 years.

Mortality rates were based on the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 multiplied by 50% for males and 30% for females). For healthy retired members and beneficiaries, themortality table used is the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 1 year for females). For disabled members, the RP-2000 Combined Disabled Mortality Table projected with Scale BB to 2013 (set back 4 years for males) is used for the period after disability retirement.

The actuarial assumptions used in the June 30, 2017 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period July 1, 2008 - June 30, 2013. The total OPEB liability was rolled-forward from the valuation date (June 30, 2016) to the plan's fiscal year ending June 30, 2017. Subsequent to the actuarial valuation date (June 30, 2016) but prior to the measurement date, and before the required 2019 experience study, the KRS Board of Trustees reviewed investment trends, inflation, and payroll growth historical trends. Based on this review the Board adopted updated actuarial assumptions, which were used in performing the actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2017.

The long-term expected return on plan assets is reviewed as part of the regular experience studies prepared every five years. Several factors are considered in evaluating the long-term rate of return assumptions including long-term historical data, estimates inherent in current market data, and a log-normal distribution analysis in which best-estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected return, net of investment expense and inflation) were developed by the investment consultant for each major asset class. These ranges were combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and then adding expected inflation.

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

The capital market assumptions developed by the investment consultant are intended for use over a 10- year horizon and may not be useful in setting the long-term rate of return for funding pension plans which covers a longer time frame. The assumption is intended to be a long-term assumption and is not expected to change absent a significant change in the asset allocation, a change in the inflation assumption, or a fundamental change in the market that alters expected returns in future years.

The target allocation and best estimates of arithmetic nominal real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

| | | | Long- term |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Asset Class | Target Allocation | Nominal Real Rate |
| | | · | of Return |
| U.S Equity | • | 26.5% | 9.56% |
| Non-U.S. | | 26.5% | 2.84% |
| Equity | | | |
| Fixed Income | | 12.0% | 6.53% |
| Real Return | | 8.0% | 3.68% |
| Real Estate | | 5.0% | 8.99% |
| Absolute Return | | 10.0% | 3.89% |
| Private Equity | | 10.0% | 9.74% |
| Cash Equivalent | | 2.0% | 2.69% |
| Total- | | 100% | |

Discount Rate- The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability was 5.84% for non-hazardous classifications. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that local employers would contribute the actuarially determined contribution rate of projected compensation over the remaining 26-year amortization period of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The discount rate determination used an expected rate of return of 6.25%, and a municipal bond rate of 3.56%, as reported in Fidelity Index's "20-Year Municipal GO AA Index" as of June 30, 2017. However, the cost associated with the implicit employer subsidy was not included in the calculation of the System's actuarial determined contributions, and any cost associated with the implicit subsidy will not be paid out of the System's trusts. Therefore, the municipal bond rate was applied to future expected benefit payments associated with the implicit subsidy.

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate - The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the discount rate as well as what the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current rate:

District's proportionate share of net OPEB

| a transfer of the second second | Discount rate | liabi | <u>litv </u> |
|---------------------------------|---------------|-------|---|
| 1% decrease | 4.84% | \$ | 561,214 |
| Current discount rate | 5.84% | \$ | 441,049 |
| 1% increase | 6.84% | \$. | 341,057 |

WESTERN FLEMING WATER DISTRICT NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS December 31, 2018

NOTE 7: Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)

Sensitivity of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Healthcare Cost Trend Rate - The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using healthcare cost trend rates that are 1-percentage-point lower or 1-percentage-point higher than the current healthcare cost trend rates:

| | District's | |
|--------------------|------------------|---------|
| | proportionate | |
| | share of net OPE | |
| 1% decrease | \$ | 561,214 |
| Current trend rate | \$ | 441,049 |
| 1% increase | . \$ | 341.057 |

OPEB plan fiduciary net position - Detailed information about the OPEB plan's fiduciary net position is available in the separately issued financial report.

Payable to the Pension Plan - At December 31, 2018, the District reported a payable of \$0 for the outstanding amount of contributions to the OPEB plan required for the year ended December 31, 2018. The payable includes only the insurance contribution allocation.

NOTE 8: RISK MANAGEMENT

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft of, damage to, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees; and natural disasters. The District carries commercial insurance for the risks to the extent deemed prudent by district's management.

NOTE 9: CONTINGENCIES

The District had elected to be recognized as a reimbursing employer for state unemployment compensation purposes. Accordingly, the District will become liable for direct payment of unemployment benefits as they become due.

NOTE 10: PENDING LEGAL ISSUES

There is a pending legal issue. The matter is disputed by the District. The outcome is unknown.

NOTE 11: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

There were no subsequent events to report as of July 19, 2019.

NOTE 12: RESTATEMENT OF NET POSITION

Implementation of new accounting standard GASB Statement No.75

During 2018 the District implemented GASB Statement No. 75, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions, which addresses financial reporting for state and local government employers whose employees are provided with other postemployment benefits (OPEB) through defined benefit plans that are covered under Statement No. 74, Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans.

The guidance contained in Statement 75 changed how governments calculate and report the costs and obligations associated with OPEB. Under the new standards, GASB requires that cost-sharing governments report a new OPEB liability, OPEB expense, and OPEB related deferred inflows and outflows of resources based on their proportionate share of the collective amounts for all the governments in the plan. In addition, GASB requires Statement 75 to be applied retroactively, which has resulted in a restatement of beginning net position as follows:

Net position, at beginning of year Beginning net OPEB liability

Net position, at beginning of year, as restated \$13,508,523

\$13,826,436

(317,913)

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION REQUIRED BY RURAL DEVELOPMENT December 31, 2018

Schedule of Insurance in Force

| Insurance Coverage | Amo | unt of Coverage | Expiration <u>Date of Policy</u> |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| General Liability | \$ | 1,000,000 | 4/1/2019 |
| Umbrella Policy | \$ | 1,000,000 | 4/1/2019 |
| Property - Building & Contents | \$ 100% | 7,296,194 6 Co-Insurance | 4/1/2019 |
| Employee Theft | \$ | 1,125,000 | 3/4/2019 |
| Automobile | \$ | 1,000,000 | 4/1/2019 |
| Encroachment Bonds | \$ | 5,000 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Workers Compensation | | Statutory | 12/31/2018 |

Aged Accounts Receivable

A detailed schedule of aged accounts receivable is prepared on a monthly basis. At December 31,2018, accounts receivable were aged as follows:

| | , | <u>Amount</u> |
|--------------|------|---------------|
| Current | * \$ | 187,580 |
| Over 60 Days | | 9,563 |
| Over 90 Days | | 130,052 |
| Total | \$ | 327,196 |

During 2018, the District carried a reserve for possible uncollected accounts of \$139,099.

| Commissioner | Term Expires |
|--|---|
| Bill Gilbert, Chairman Randy Steagall, Secret George Wells, Treasure Steve Ison | 2/1/2021 a 3/1/2020 b 2/8/2020 7/31/2021 |
| Mike Copley | 5/1/2020 |

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET PENSION LIABILITY Last Four Fiscal Years

| | 2018 | 2017 | 2016 . | 2015 |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|
| District's proportion of the net pension liability | 0.021939% | 0.023269% | 0.02327% | 0.02107% |
| District's proportionate share of the net pension | | | | |
| liability (asset) | \$ 1,284,157 | \$ 1,145,666 | \$905,050 | \$ 575,000 |
| District's covered employee payroll | \$ 631,511 | \$ 624,849 | \$ 603,055 | \$613,228 |
| District's share of the net pension liability (asset) as a | * | | | |
| percentage of its covered employee payroll | 203.34% | 183.351% | 150.243% | 93.766% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage | | | | |
| of the total pension liability | 988.26% | 829.35% | 620.12% | 377.81% |

Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2017 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.5% to 6.25%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 2.3%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4% to 2%.

Contractually required employer contributions exclude the portion of contributions paid to CERS but allocated to the insurance fund of the CERS. The above contributions only include those contributions allocated directly to the CERS pension fund.

The measurement date of the net pension liability is one year preceding the fiscal year of the District.

The District's covered payroll reported above is payroll for the corresponding measurement date of the net pension liability and differs from the District's fiscal year payroll, reported on the Schedule of Contributions.

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS: PENSION Last Five Fiscal Years

| | 2018 | | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|---------------|----|---------|----|---------|--|
| Contractually required employer contribution | \$ | 74,517 | \$ | 66,108 | \$ 62,917 | \$ | 76,784 | \$ | 76,732 | |
| Contributions relative to contractually required employer contribution | | 74,517 | | 66,108 | 62,917 | | 76,784 | | 76,732 | |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | • | \$ • | \$ | | | \$ | |
| District's covered employee payroll Employer contributions as a percentage | \$ | 631,511 | \$ | 624,849 | \$ 603,055 | \$ | 613,228 | \$ | 608,801 | |
| of covered-employee payroll | | 11.79% | | 10.58% | 10.43% | | 12.52% | | 12.60% | |

Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2017 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.5% to 6.25%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 2.3%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4% to 2%.

Contractually required employer contributions exclude the portion of contributions paid to CERS but allocated to the insurance fund of the CERS. The above contributions only include those contributions allocated directly to the CERS pension fund.

The District's covered payroll reported above is payroll for the District's corresponding fiscal year and differs from the covered payroll reported on the Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability.

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF PROPORTIONATE SHARE OF THE NET OPEB LIABILITY Last Two Fiscal Years

| | 2018 | 2017 |
|--|------------|-------------|
| District's proportion of the net OPEB liability District's proportionate share of the net OPEB | 0.021939% | 0.00% |
| liability (asset) | \$ 441,049 | \$ 345,946 |
| District's covered employee payroll District's share of the net OPEB liability (asset) as a | \$ 631,511 | \$ 624,849 |
| percentage of its covered employee payroll | 69.84% | 55.36% |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 2877.43% | unavailable |

Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2017 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.5% to 6.25%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 2.3%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4% to 2%.

Contractually required employer contributions exclude the portion of contributions paid to GERS but allocated to the pension fund of the GERS. The above contributions only include those contributions allocated directly to the GERS insurance fund.

The measurement date of the net OPEB liability is one year preceding the fiscal year of the District.

The District's covered payroll reported above is payroll for the corresponding measurement date of the net OPEB liability and differs from the Company's fiscal year payroll, reported on the Schedule of Contributions.

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF CONTRIBUTIONS - OPEB Last Five Fiscal Years

| | 2018 | | 2017 | | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|---|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------------|--|
| Contractually required employer contribution Contributions relative to contractually | \$ | 25,266 | \$ | 24,200 | \$ | 23,152 | \$ | 23,782 | \$ 23,554 | |
| required employer contribution | | 25,266 | | 24,200 | | 23,152 | | 23,782 | 23,554 | |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | | • | | · · | |
| District's covered employee payroll Employer contributions as a percentage | \$ | 631,511 | \$ | 624,849 | \$ | 603,055 | \$ | 613,228 | \$ 608,801 | |
| of covered-employee payroll | | 4.0% | | 3.87% | | 3.83% | | 3.87% | 3.86% | |

Notes:

There were no changes in benefit terms. However, the following changes in assumptions were modified as of the June 30, 2017 valuation:

The assumed investment rate of return was decreased from 7.5% to 6.25%.

The assumed rate of inflation was reduced from 3.5% to 2.3%.

Payroll growth assumption was reduced from 4% to 2%.

Contractually required employer contributions exclude the portion of contributions paid to CERS but allocated to the pension fund of the CERS. The above contributions only include those contributions allocated directly to the CERS OPES fund.

The District's covered payroll reported above is payroll for the District's corresponding fiscal year and differs from the covered payroll reported on the Schedule of Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability.

DONNA J. HENDRIX CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

MEMBER: K.S.C.P.A.

131 E. ELECTRIC AVENUE FLEMINGSBURG, KY 41041 (606)845-5210 550 W. FIRST ST., P.O. BOX 449 MOREHEAD, KY 40351 (606) 784-4451 (606) 784-8224 (FAX)

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Commissioners Rattlesnake Ridge Water District

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards, applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Rattlesnake Ridge Water-District-District as of and for the year ended-December 31, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District District, Kentucky's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated July 19, 2019.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Rattlesnake Ridge Water District District, Kentucky's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Rattlesnake Ridge Water District District, Kentucky's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District District, Kentucky's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Rattlesnake Ridge Water District District, Kentucky's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Donna J. Hendrix, CPA

Donna J. Hendrix, CPA, PSC Morehead, Kentucky July 19, 2019

DONNA J. HENDRIX CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT

MEMBER: K.S.C.P.A.

131 E. ELECTRIC AVENUE FLEMINGSBURG, KY 41041 (606)845-5210 550 W. FIRST ST., P.O. BOX 449 MOREHEAD, KY 40351 (606) 784-4451 (606) 784-8224 (FAX)

Board of Commissioners Rattlesnake Ridge Water District Grayson, Kentucky 41143

In planning and performing my audit of the basic financial statements of Rattlesnake Ridge Water District for the year ended December 31, 2018, I considered the District's internal control structure to determine my auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the general purpose financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control structure.

However, during my audit, I became aware of several matters that are opportunities for increasing operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes my comments and suggestions regarding those matters. This letter does not affect my report dated July 19, 2019, on the financial statements of the Rattlesnake Ridge Water District.

I will review the status of these comments and suggestions with various District personnel, and I will be pleased to discuss them in further detail at your convenience, to perform any additional study of these matters, or to assist you in implementing the recommendations.

Donna J. Hendrix, CPA

DONNA J. HENDRIX, CPA Morehead, Kentucky

July 19, 2019

RATTLESNAKE RIDGE WATER DISTRICT MANAGEMENT POINTS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES Year Ended December 31, 2018

Status of Prior Year Management Points

COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

2017-01 BOND RESERVE/SINKING FUND REQUIREMENTS

Status:

This is still an issue—will be carried to current year issues. 2018-1

Current Year Management Points

2018-1 BOND RESERVE/SINKING FUND REQUIREMENTS

Condition:

The bond agreements with Rural Development contain requirements for regularly setting aside money into separate cash accounts for depreciation reserves and the sinking fund. The District has established the separate accounts; however, the accounts have not been funded in the manner set forth by Rural Development.

Recommendation: The District must resume funding both the sinking fund and depreciation reserve on a monthly basis as required by bond covenants and no withdraws should be made from the reserve account unless authorized.

Response:

The District will make an effort to be in compliance with the bond covenants.