

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

THE 2018 INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN)	
OF LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC)	
COMPANY AND KENTUCKY UTILITIES)	CASE NO. 2018-00348
COMPANY)	

SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE OF
LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY
AND
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
TO ATTORNEY GENERAL'S SUPPLEMENTAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
DATED NOVEMBER 25, 2019

FILED: JUNE 17, 2020

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
)
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Stuart A. Wilson**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director, Energy Planning, Analysis & Forecasting for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.



Stuart A. Wilson

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 9th day of June 2020.



Notary Public

Notary Public, ID No. 603967

My Commission Expires:

7/11/2022

**Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company
Response to Attorney General's Supplemental Request for Information
Dated November 25, 2019**

Case No. 2018-00348

Question No. 1

Witness: Stuart A. Wilson / Counsel

Q-1. Refer to the Companies' response to AG DR 1-3(c). Provide the responses to the referenced RFP.

A-1. **Response (December 17, 2019)**

The Commission's scope in an IRP proceeding is limited to the processes used in the IRP by the utility to prepare the resource assessment and adequacy under review. The Commission's role under 807 KAR 5:058 is limited to addressing procedural issues and not substantive issues. As discussed on page 5-6 of Volume I of the IRP, the Companies evaluate all market available alternatives before committing to a particular course of action. The adequacy of the Companies' evaluation of the market alternatives is considered in connection with a request for a certificate of public convenience and necessity and not in the course of reviewing the Companies' processes for load forecasting and resource assessment. The IRP under review in this case was filed on October 19, 2018 and does not reference this RFP or rely upon it for any of the least-cost, most reasonable options considered in the 2018 resource planning process.

As indicated in the response to AG 1-3 (c) the Companies' anticipate a filing in January 2020 that will commit to particular course of action based upon the results of RFP. Negotiations associated with the anticipated particular course of action are on-going and not yet complete. Disclosure even with confidential protection can possibly impair the Companies' negotiations at this time. The Companies will supplement this response at that time.

A-1. **Supplemental Response (June 17, 2020)**

The Companies received and evaluated responses from 16 companies.

The information requested is confidential and is being provided under seal pursuant to a petition for confidential protection.