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## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Daniel K. Arbough, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Treasurer for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 2qfe day of $\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## Judy Schooler

Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commlssion Explres 7/11/2022

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY )

COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

The undersigned, Lonnie E. Bellar, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Chief Operating Officer for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this

$\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## Judy Schooler

Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commission Expires 7/11/2022

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY )

The undersigned, Robert M. Conroy, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, State Regulation and Rates, for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 2qte day of $\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Christopher M. Garrett, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Controller for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this fth day of $\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## Judy Schooler

Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commission Expires 7/11/2022

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) <br> COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Elizabeth J. McFarland, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she is Vice President, Customer Services for Louisville Gas and Electric Company and Kentucky Utilities Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which she is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this Sgte day of November 2018.


My Commission Expires:
$10-16-2020$

## VERIFICATION

STATE OF TEXAS

## COUNTY OF TRAVIS

)
) $\mathbf{S S}:$ )

The undersigned, Adrien M. McKenzie, being duly sworn, deposes and says he is President of FINCAP, Inc., that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Adrien M. McKenzie


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $\qquad$ day of November 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## $01 / 17 / 2019$



## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, Gregory J. Meiman, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, Human Resources for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:
Judy Schooler
Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commlssion Expires 7/11/2022

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

The undersigned, William Steven Seelye, being duly sworn, deposes and states that he is a Principal of The Prime Group, LLC, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this LOtL day of $\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:

## Judy Schooler

Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commission Expires 7/11/2022

## VERIFICATION

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) COUNTY OF JEFFERSON

The undersigned, David S. Sinclair, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Vice President, Energy Supply and Analysis for Kentucky Utilities Company and Louisville Gas and Electric Company and an employee of LG\&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.


David S. Sinclair

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this $\qquad$
$\qquad$ 2018.


My Commission Expires:
Judy Schooler
Notary Public, ID No. 603967
State at Large, Kentucky
Commission Expires 7/11/2022

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 1

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-1. Refer to Tab 5 of the application.
a. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 10. Under "Availability"; it states that "Existing Customers with twelve (12)-monthaverage maximum monthly loads exceeding 50 kW who are receiving service under P.S.C. Electric No. 6, Fourth Revision of Original Sheet No. 10 as of February 6, 2009, will continue to be served under this rate at their option." Since P.S.C. Electric No. 6, Fourth Revision of Original Sheet No. 10 has been superseded, state whether this should state, "exceeding 50 kW receiving service under ...."
b. Refer to P.S.C. Electric No. 11, Second Revision of Original Sheet No. 35 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 35 and 35.2. With the removal of the sentence regarding units marked with an asterisk from Original Sheet No. 35, explain why the High Pressure Sodium London and Victorian options on Original Sheet No. 35.2 are marked with an asterisk.
c. Refer to P.S.C. No. 11, Second Revision of Original Sheet No. 35 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 35. Under "Overhead Service," explain why the following was removed from the tariff: "Company will, upon request furnish ornamental poles, of Company's choosing, together with overhead wiring and all other equipment mentioned for basic overhead service."
d. Refer to, P.S.C. Electric No. 11, First Revision of Original Sheet No. 35.3, and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 35.4. Confirm that the only change to numbers 6 and 7 of the "Terms and Conditions" section is that they were moved from Sheet No. 35.3 to Sheet No. 35.4.
e. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 35.2. Explain why five years is a reasonable amount of time to assess the conversion fee to a customer who requests to change from a current functioning non-LED fixture to an LED fixture.
f. Refer to P.S.C. Electric No. 11, Second Revision of Original Sheet No. 35.2 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 35.3. Confirm that the only change to the "Due Date" and "Determination of Energy Consumption" sections is that they were moved from Sheet No. 35.2 to Sheet No. 35.3.
g. Refer to P.S.C. Electric No. 11, First Revision of Original Sheet No.35.3 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 35.4. Explain the reasoning for the removal of the following language from number 6 of the terms and conditions: "that were in service less than twenty years, and requests installation of replacement lighting within 5 years of removal ...."
h. Refer to proposed P.S.C. No. 19, Original Sheet Nos. 40 through 40.25. The entire rate schedule is marked with the (T) margin notation; however, there are portions that are not changing. Provide revised tariff sheets that reflect margin notations for only the portions that are changing. For text that is not changing but is simply being moved to another page due to text being added above it, it is not necessary to mark those changes with a margin notation.
i. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 42. Explain why Rate EVS is being limited to a maximum of ten stations.
j. Refer to P.S.C. Electric No. 11, Original Sheet Nos. 71.1 and 71.2 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 71 . 2 and 71 .3.
(1) Confirm that numbers 7 through 12 of the "General" section, with the exception of number 11, are not new to the tariff.
(2) Confirm that the only change to the "Term of Contract" section is that it was moved from Sheet No. 71.2 to Sheet No. 71.3.
k. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 72.1 through 72.3. The text on these pages are all marked as new; however, there are portions that are not changing from the current tariff. Provide revised tariff sheets that reflect margin notations for only the portions that are changing.
l. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 90.
(1) Under "Term of Contract," explain what would make a franchise agreement, ordinance or other governmental enactment invalid, ineffective, or inapplicable.
(2) Explain the reasoning for the removal of the "Definitions," "Rate," and "Terms and Conditions" sections from the tariff.
m. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 102 and 102.1 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 102 and 102.1.
(1) Under number 4 of the "General" section, indicate whether LG\&E would be willing to remove the following language since it was removed from 807 KAR 5:006 effective January 4, 2013: "except that no refund or credit will be made if Customer's bill is delinquent on the anniversary date of the deposit."
(2) Under number 5 of the "Residential" section, explain how a customer would become a new or greater credit risk.
(3) Confirm that LG\&E is not charging an additional deposit to residential customers whose payment record is satisfactory unless their classification of service changes or the customer requests that their deposit be recalculated pursuant to 807 KAR 5:006, Section 8(1 )(d)3.
n. Refer to proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheets Nos. 106.1 and 106.2. Under b. and c. of "5. Other Line Extensions" and b. of "6. Overhead Line Extensions for Subdivisions." Explain if these mean that no refunds will be given until the 10-year refund period ends. If so, explain why that is more reasonable than giving refunds each year as set forth in 807 KAR 5:041, Section 11 (2)(b) and 807 KAR 5:041, Section 11 (3).
o. Refer to P.S.C. Electric No. 11, Original Sheet No. 106.3 and proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 106.3. Under "Underground Line Extensions, General." Explain why the following was removed from the tariff: "In consideration of Customer's underground service, Company shall credit any amounts due under the contract for each service at the rate of $\$ 50.00$ or Company's average estimated installed cost for an overhead service whichever is greater."
p. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 15.2 and proposed P.S .C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 15.1. Confirm that the only change to the "Due Date of Bill" section is that it was moved from Sheet No. 15.2 to Sheet No. 15.1.
q. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Sixth Revision of Original Sheet No. 20.1 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 20. Confirm that the only change to the "Contract Term" section is that language was moved from Sheet No. 20.1 to Sheet No. 20.
r. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 20.2 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 20. 1. Confirm that the only change to the "Penalty For Failure to Interrupt" section is that language was moved from Sheet No. 20.2 to Sheet No. 20. 1.
s. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 20.3 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 20.2. Confirm that the only change to the number 4 of the "Special Terms and Conditions" section is that language was moved from Sheet No. 20.3 to Sheet No. 20.2.
t. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 20.4 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 20.3. Confirm that the only change to the first paragraph on P.S.C. No. 11, Original Sheet No. 20.4 is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 20.4 to Sheet No. 20.3.
u. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Fifth Revision of Original Sheet No. 21.1 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 21.2. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P .S.C. Gas No. 12, Fifth Revision of Origin al Sheet No. 21.1 is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 21.1 to Sheet No. 21.2.
v. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 30.1 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet 30.2. Confirm that the only change to the "Gas Cost True-up Charge" section is that language was moved from Sheet No. 30.1 to Sheet No. 30.2.
w. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Fifth Revision of Original Sheet No. 30.2 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 30.3. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Fifth Revision of Original Sheet No. 30.2 is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 30.2 to Sheet No. 30.3.
x. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, First Revision of Original Sheet No. 30.3 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 30.4. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, First Revision of Original Sheet No. 30.3 is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 30.3 to Sheet No. 30.4.
y. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 30.4 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 30.5. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, First Revision of Original Sheet No. 30.4 is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 30.4 to Sheet No. 30.5.
z. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 30.8 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 30.9. Confirm that the only change to number 3 of the "Special Terms and Conditions" section is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 30.8 to Sheet No. 30.9.
aa. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 30.9 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 30.10. Confirm that the only change to numbers 5 through 10 of the "Special Terms and Conditions" section is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 30.9 to Sheet No. 30.10.
bb. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.4 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.5. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.4 is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 36.4 to Sheet No. 36.5.
cc. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.5 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 36.6. Confirm that the only change to the bottom of P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.5 is that the language was moved from Sheet No. 36.5 to Sheet No. 36.6.
dd. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11 , Original Sheet No. 36.6 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 36.7. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 36.6 is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 36.6 to Sheet No. 36.7.
ee. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 52.2 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 52.3. Confirm that the only change to number 8 of the "Special Terms and Conditions" section is that it was moved from Sheet No. 52.2 to Sheet No. 52.3.
ff. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 97 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 98. Confirm that the only change to the last two paragraphs of the "Optional Rates" section is that they were moved from Sheet No. 97 to Sheet No. 98.
gg. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 101 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 102. Confirm that the only change to the last paragraph on P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 101, is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 101 to Sheet No. 102.
hh. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 107.1 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 107. Confirm that the only change to the "Additional Service Under Other Rate Schedules" subsection is that it was moved from Sheet No. 107.1 to Sheet No. 107.
ii. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original 108.3 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet No. 108.2. Confirm that the only changes to (3) and (4) under the "Emergency Curtailment" section are that they were changed to c . and d . and moved from Sheet No. 108.3 to Sheet No. 108.2.
jj. Refer to P.S.C. Gas No. 11, Original Sheet No. 108.4 and proposed P.S.C. Gas No. 12, Original Sheet Nos. 108.3 and 108.4. Confirm that the only change to the third paragraph of the "Penalty Charges" section is that some of the language was moved from Sheet No. 108.4 to Sheet No. 108.3

A-1.
a. The Company will modify the language from "exceeding 50 kW who are receiving service under" to "exceeding 50 kW who were receiving service under". This makes it clear the grandfathering provision remains in place.
b. The asterisks are to signify that London and Victorian are the only non-LED lights offered within the LS tariff. See the testimony of Mr. Conroy at page 23. The Company can either remove the asterisks or add a note that the asterisks represents no-LED lights.
c. LG\&E ceased the limited practice of installing overhead services to ornamental poles many years ago; and thus, this provision was removed, as it is no longer necessary.
d. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
e. See the response to Question No. 19, part a.
f. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
g. Regardless of the age of fixture, the cost of removal of the fixture is not factored into the monthly Lighting rate. This provision places those costs on the cost causer requesting removal of those facilities. In the event the light is converted to an LED, the cost of removing the old fixture is incidental because a crew is already onsite to install the new LED, and will not be charged to the customer.
h. Attached are revised tariff sheets 40 through 40.25 depicting the correct margin notations for all proposed modifications.
i. Company believes the Commission is asking about rate EVC on the proposed P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 42. The rate is limited to ten as specified in and consistent with the original filing and approval in Case No. 2015-00355.
j.
(1) Number 11 is not new to the tariff, but Number 12 is. Other than small wording changes and the addition of Number 12, the "General" section remains unchanged.
(2) Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
k. Attached are revised tariff sheets 72.1, 72.2, and 72.3 depicting the correct margin notations for all proposed modifications.
1.
(1) Anything that would make the ordinance, franchise agreement, or governmental enactment void or unenforceable, e.g., a judicial determination of its unlawfulness .
(2) "Definitions," and "Rate" sections were removed since they do not apply to LG\&E franchises. The "Terms and Conditions" section was redundant and therefore, removed.
m.
(1) Company confirms it is willing to remove this language from the tariff.
(2) A customer could have satisfactory credit when first applying for service, and no deposit would be assessed. Thereafter, a customer could be disconnected for non-payment, have payments returned for insufficient funds, or other factors which might increase the customer's risk profile. In those cases, a deposit could be assessed.
(3) Company confirms.
n. Refunds will be provided to the customer who made the original deposit during the year when another customer connects to the requested extension. These refunds will be capped to the original deposited amount and will only be provided for the first 10 years.
o. This was a legacy practice that the Company no longer monitors or implements as the criteria for this rarely exists.
p. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
q. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
r. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
s. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
t. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
u. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
v. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
w. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
x. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
y. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
z. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
aa. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
bb. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
cc. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
dd. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
ee. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
ff. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
gg. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
hh. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
ii. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.
jj. Company confirms the referenced item is neither new nor a change to the tariff; rather it is only a movement of the text.

# Louisville Gas and Electric Company 

P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 40

PSA<br>Pole and Structure Attachment Charges

## APPLICABLE

In all territory served.
AVAILABILITY
Available to the facilities of Governmental units, Educational Institutions, Cable Television System Operators and Telecommunications Carriers as provided below except: (1) facilities of local exchange carriers ("ILECs") with joint use agreements with the Company; (2) facilities subject to a fiber exchange agreement; and (3) Macro Cell Facilities. Nothing in this tariff expands the right to attach to the Company's structures beyond the rights otherwise conveyed by law.

## APPLICABILITY OF SCHEDULE TO CURRENT LICENSE AGREEMENTS

Any Telecommunications Carrier that executed a license agreement permitting attachments to Company's Structures prior to the July 1, 2017 shall be subject to the rates, terms, and conditions of this Pole and Structure Attachment Charges Schedule ("this Schedule") upon expiration or termination of its license agreement. Any Governmental Unit or Educational Institution that executed a license agreement permitting attachments to Company's Structures prior to May 1, 2019 shall be subject to the rates, terms and conditions of this Schedule upon expiration or termination of its license agreement, unless such license agreement provides otherwise.

## DEFINITIONS

"Affiliate" means, with respect to an entity, any entity controlling, controlled by, or under common control with such entity.
"Approved Contractor" means a contractor approved by Company for a particular purpose.
"Attachment" means the Cable or Wireless Facilities and all associated appliances including without limitation any overlashed cable, guying, small splice panels and vertical overhead to underground risers but shall not include power supplies, equipment cabinets, meter bases, and other equipment that impedes accessibility or otherwise conflicts with Company's electric design and construction standards.
"Attachment Customer" means a customer that attaches its facilities to one or more of the Company's Structures and has executed an Attachment Customer Agreement with the Company.
"Contract for Attachment to Company Structures" or "Contract" means the written agreement provided by Company and executed between Attachment Customer and Company incorporating the terms and conditions of this Schedule.

DATE OF ISSUE: September 28, 2018
DATE EFFECTIVE: With Service Rendered On and After November 1, 2018

ISSUED BY: /s/ Robert M. Conroy, Vice President State Regulation and Rates Louisville, Kentucky

Issued by Authority of an Order of the
Public Service Commission in Case No.
2018-00295 dated

# Louisville Gas and Electric Company 

|  | P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 40.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standard Rate $\quad$ PSA |  |
|  |  |

"Business Day" means a calendar day unless it is a Saturday, a Sunday or a legal holiday.
"Cable" means the fiber optic or coaxial cable, or any other type of cable, as well as any messenger wire or support strand.
"Cable television system operator" means a Person who operates a system that transmits television signals, for distribution to subscribers of its services for a fee, by means of wires or cables connecting its distribution facilities with its subscriber's television receiver or other equipment connecting to the subscriber's television receiver, and not by transmission of television signals through the air, and subscription to the system's service is available to the public.
"Communication Space" means the area below the Communication Worker Safety Zone to the limit of allowable NESC clearance, department of transportation or other governmental requirements, and Company's internal construction standards on poles.
"Communication Worker Safety Zone" means the space between the facilities located in the Supply Space and facilities located in the Communications Space on poles.
"Contractor" means any Person employed or engaged by Attachment Customer to perform work or render services upon or in the immediate vicinity of Company's Structures or associated facilities other than Attachment Customer and Attachment Customer's employees.
"Credit Rating" means, with respect to any entity, the rating then assigned to such entity's
"Distribution Pole" means a utility pole supporting electric supply facilities, all of which operate at less than 69 kV , but does not include a non-wood street light pole or a wood street light pole that is not located in a public right-of-way.
"Duct" means a pipe, tube, conduit, manhole, or other structure made for supporting and protecting electric and/or communications wires or cables and in which wires, cables and conduits may be placed for support or protection but excluding (1) any pipe now or previously used for the transmission or distribution of natural gas, (2) any duct system supporting electric supply lines operated at 69 kV or greater, and (3) any vault.
"Educational Institution" means a public or private, non-profit university, college or community college

DATE OF ISSUE: September 28, 2018
DATE EFFECTIVE: With Service Rendered
On and After November 1, 2018
ISSUED BY: Is/ Robert M. Conroy, Vice President
State Regulation and Rates
Louisville, Kentucky
Issued by Authority of an Order of the
Public Service Commission in Case No.
2018-00295 dated

## Louisville Gas and Electric Company

P.S.C. Electric No. 12, Original Sheet No. 40.2

Standard Rate
PSA
Pole and Structure Attachment Charges
"Governmental Unit" means an agency or department of the Federal Government, a department,
N
N agency, or other unit of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, a county or city, special district, or other political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.
"High Volume Application" means an application or applications for Attachments to more than 300 poles or to place Cable or conduit through more than 10 manholes submitted to Company within a 30 -day period.
"Letter(s) of Credit means one or more irrevocable, transferable standby letters of credit issued by a U.S. commercial bank or a foreign bank with a U.S. branch in a form acceptable to the Company. Costs of a Letter of Credit shall be borne by the applicant for such Letter of Credit.
"Macro Cell Facility" means a wireless communications system site that is typically high-power and high-site, and capable of covering a large physical area, as distinguished from a distributed antenna system (DAS), small cell, or WiFi attachment, by way of example. Macro Cell Facilities are typically, but not exclusively, co-located on Transmission Poles and communications monopoles and towers.
"Make Ready Survey" means a survey, in the form prescribed by the Company from time to time, prepared by the Company or an Approved Contractor describing in reasonable detail the makeready engineering requirements, and such other information as the Company may require, for the installation of an Attachment or group of Attachments on a Structure or group of Structures.
"NEC" means the National Electrical Code.
"NESC" means the National Electrical Safety Code.
"Performance Assurance" means collateral in the form of cash, surety bond, Letter(s) of Credit, or other security acceptable to the Company.
"Person" is defined by KRS 278.010(2).
"Service Drop" means a Cable, attached to a pole with a J-hook or other similar hardware that connects the trunk line to an end user's premises.
"Structure" means any Company pole, conduit, duct, or other facility normally used by the Company to support or protect its electric conductors but shall not include (1) any Transmission Pole other than Transmission Poles to which the Company has attached its own electric supply lines operated at less than 69 kV ; (2) any street light pole that is not a wood pole located in a public right-of-way; or (3) any pole that the Company has leased to a third party.
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Supply Space" means the space above the Communications Worker Safety Zone used for the installation of electric supply lines.
"Telecommunications carrier" means a Person who operates a system that (1) transmits by wire or wireless means, between or among points specified by the user, information of the user's choosing without change in the form or content of the information as sent or received, and (2) provides such transmission services for a fee directly to or for the public, or to such classes of users as to be effectively available directly to or for the public.
"Transmission Pole" means any utility pole or tower supporting electric supply facilities designed to operate at 69 kV or greater.
"Wireless Facility" means, without limitation, antennas, risers, transmitters, receivers, and all other associated equipment used in connection with Attachment Customer's provision of wireless communications services and the transmission and reception of radiofrequency signals, but shall not include power supplies, equipment cabinets, meter bases, and other equipment that impedes accessibility or that conflicts with the Company's electric design and construction standards.

## ATTACHMENT CHARGES

\$ 7.25 per year for each wireline pole attachment.
\$ 0.81 per year for each linear foot of duct.
$\$ 36.25$ per year for each Wireless Facility located on the top of a Company pole.
The attachment charge for any other Wireless Facility shall be agreed upon by Attachment Customer and the Company and set forth in a special contract to be filed with the Commission.

## BILLING

All attachment charges for use of Structures will be billed semi-annually based upon the type and number of Attachment Customer's Attachments reflected in Company's records on December 1 and June 1. A bill issued under this Schedule shall be due upon its issuance. Any bill not paid in full within sixty (60) days of its issuance shall be assessed a late payment fee of three (3) percent on the bill's current charges. If Attachment Customer fails to pay all charges and fees billed within six (6) months of the bill's issuance, Company may remove any or all of Attachment Customer's Attachments. In lieu of or in addition to removal of Attachments, Company may exercise any other remedies available under law to address Attachment Customer's failure to make timely payment of any charges assessed under this Schedule.
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## TERM OF SERVICE

An executed contract shall be for a term of ten (10) years and shall thereafter automatically renew for successive one (1) year periods unless Company or Attachment Customer provides the other with written notice of termination at least sixty (60) days prior to the renewal date.

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF ATTACHMENT

Attachments to Company's Structures that do not interfere with the Company's electric service requirements and the Attachments of existing Customers and joint users shall be permitted in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Schedule. The Terms and Conditions set forth in Section 5 of the Company's Electric Service Tariff shall also be applicable to the extent they are not in conflict with or inconsistent with this Schedule's provisions.

1. CONTRACT FOR ATTACHMENT TO COMPANY STRUCTURES

No Attachments shall be made to Company's Structures until Attachment Customer has executed a Contract for Attachment to Company Structures, in a form substantially similar to that which is included at the end of this Schedule.. The Contract shall incorporate the terms and conditions set forth in this Schedule.
2. NO PROPERTY RIGHTS

No use, however extended, of Company Structures shall create or vest in Attachment Customer any right, title or interest in the Structures. A Contract confers only a non-exclusive right to affix and install Attachments to and on Company's Structures. Company is not required to maintain any Structure for a period longer than demanded by its electric service requirements.
3. USE OF COMPANY'S FACILITIES BY OTHERS

Nothing in this Schedule shall affect the rights or privileges previously conferred by Company to others. The rights granted under this Schedule and the Contract shall at all times be subject to such previously conferred privileges and shall not affect the rights or privileges that may be conferred by Company in the future to others.

## 4. TRANSFER OF RIGHTS

Except as provided in this Schedule, Attachment Customer's rights under the Contract are non-delegable, non-transferable and non-assignable. Any delegation, transfer or assignment of any interest created by the Contract or this Schedule without Company's prior written consent is voidable at Company's option. Company shall not unreasonably withhold its consent to Attachment Customer's delegation, transfer or assignment of rights under the Contract upon notice of the delegation, transfer or assignment and if adequate evidence is provided of Transferee's compliance with Term 23 (Insurance) and Term 24 (Performance Assurance).
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Attachment Customer shall not permit a third party to overlash or utilize any Attachment without Company's prior written consent. Company may condition its consent upon such third party's compliance with all provisions of the Contract, this Schedule, and such other terms as Company may reasonably require.
5. COMPANY'S ABANDONMENT OF STRUCTURE

Company shall provide an Attachment Customer with a minimum of 180 days' notice before abandoning a Structure to which Attachment Customer has made an Attachment unless state or local law, easement provisions, or contractual obligations to a third party requires the Structure to be abandoned in a shorter period, in which case Company shall provide as much notice as is reasonably practicable.
6. FRANCHISES AND EASEMENTS

Attachment Customer shall secure at its own expense any right-of-way, easement, license, franchise or permit from any Person that may be required for the construction or maintenance of Attachments by or for Attachment Customer. If requested by Company, Attachment Customer shall submit to Company satisfactory evidence of such right-of-way, easement, license, franchise or permit. Company's approval of Attachments shall not constitute any representation or warranty regarding Attachment Customer's right to occupy or use any public or private right-of-way.

Upon an Attachment Customer's written request, Company may provide to Attachment Customer such non-private information as Company may have regarding the name of the record landowners from which Company obtained easements for Structures. Such information is provided without representation or warranty as to its accuracy or completeness. Company has no obligation to correct or supplement any information so provided. If Company provides assistance to Attachment Customer in obtaining easements or other property rights, Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company's cost of providing such assistance within thirty (30) days of its receipt of an invoice from Company.

Attachment Customer shall indemnify and save harmless Company from all claims, including the expenses incurred by Company to defend itself against such claims, resulting from or arising out of the failure of Attachment Customer to secure any right of way, easement, license, franchise or permit.
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## 7. ATTACHMENT APPLICATIONS AND PERMITS

a. Unless waived by Company, Attachment Customer shall make written application, in the form and manner prescribed by Company for permission to install Attachments on or in any Structure. Each application shall include: (1) in the case of poles, the owner, number and location of all Structures for which license to attach is sought and the amount of space required thereon; (2) in the case of Ducts, the number of linear feet of Duct space and the specific location of each such Duct to be utilized, the amount of requested space, the nature of any changes or inner Duct or Ducts proposed to be installed and any other construction that might be required by the proposed Attachments; (3) the physical attributes of all proposed Attachments; (4) the proposed start date for installation of the Attachments; (5) any issues then known to Attachment Customer regarding space, engineering, access or other matters that might require resolution before installation of Attachments; and (6) proposed make ready drawings. Company may request additional information be included with the application at its reasonable discretion. Company may perform a pole loading study or request Attachment Customer to submit such study based upon a visual inspection or other information held by Company. If Company conducts a visual inspection of the pole to ascertain the need for a pole loading analysis, Company may assess the cost of such inspection to the Attachment Customer. If Company determines a pole loading study is required, no application shall be considered completed until submission of such study. Attachment Customer may perform the pole loading study or request Company to perform the study with cost to be borne by Attachment Customer. Nothing contained herein shall preclude Attachment Customer from submitting a pole loading study with its application without Company performing a visual inspection or otherwise requesting such study to expedite Company's review.
b. Attachment Customer shall be responsible for all costs associated with the application, a Make Ready Survey, engineering analysis, and Company's review of the application. Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company upon presentation of an invoice for such costs. If Attachment Customer does not request Attachments to a Transmission Pole or Duct, Company shall complete a Make Ready Survey within sixty (60) days of its receipt of Attachment Customer's completed application. If Attachment Customer's application requests Attachments to a Transmission Pole or Duct, Attachment Customer and Company shall mutually agree to a time period for completion of a Make-Ready Survey.
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c. Upon completion of the Make Ready Survey, Company shall notify Attachment Customer in writing whether its application for use of Company's Structures has been granted, of any necessary changes to the proposed construction drawings, and the conditions, if any, imposed on the installation or use of Attachments. Company reserves the right to deny access to any Structure based upon lack of capacity, safety, reliability or engineering standards. Company may deny access to Transmission Poles in its discretion for any reason; provided that such denials shall be determined in a nondiscriminatory manner. The following types of Transmission Poles that do not support electric supply lines operated at less than 69kV are not available for Attachments under this Schedule: (1) Transmission Poles that do not support electric supply lines operated at less than 69kV; (2) any Transmission Poles that support electric supply lines operated at 138 kV or above.
d. Within fifteen (15) days of notifying Attachment Customer of the approval of its application, Company shall provide Attachment Customer a written statement of the costs of any necessary Company make-ready work, including but not limited to rearrangement of electric supply facilities and pole change out. Attachment Customer shall indicate its approval of this statement by submitting payment of the statement amount within fifteen (15) days of receipt. If facilities of a third party are required to be rearranged or transferred, Attachment Customer shall coordinate with the third party for such rearrangement or transfer and shall pay the costs related thereto. If Attachment Customer's application requests attachments to a Transmission Pole or Duct, Attachment Customer and Company shall mutually agree to a time period for preparation of a written statement of the costs of any necessary Company make-ready work.
e. If an existing Structure is replaced or a new Structure is erected solely to provide adequate capacity for Attachment Customer's proposed Attachments, Attachment Customer shall pay a sum equal to the actual material and labor cost of the new Structure, as well as any replaced appurtenances, plus the cost of removal of the existing Structure minus its salvage value, within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice. The new Structure shall be Company's property regardless of any Attachment Customer payments toward its cost. Attachment Customer shall acquire no right, title or interest in or to such Structure.
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f. If Company is unable to perform the Make Ready Survey and engineering analysis within
the time period established under Section 7b, Company shall advise Attachment

T Customer and promptly meet with Attachment Customer to develop a mutually agreeable plan of performance.
g. If Company fails to perform the make-ready work within sixty (60) days of receipt of Attachment Customer's payment of the make-ready costs, Attachment Customer may perform such work at its expense using an Approved Contractor, except that Attachment Customer may not perform such work with respect to Transmission Poles or Ducts.. The Approved Contractor shall provide notice to Company at least one week prior to performing any make-ready. During the performance of any make-ready by Approved Contractors, an inspector designated by Company shall accompany the Approved Contractor(s). The inspector, in his or her sole discretion, may direct that work be performed in a manner other than as approved in an application, based on the thenexisting circumstances in the field. The cost of such inspector(s) shall be reimbursed by Attachment Customer within 30 days of receipt of an invoice from Company. Company shall refund any unexpended make-ready fees within 30 days of notice that Attachment Customer has performed the work
h. If Attachment Customer submits to Company within a thirty (30) day period an application or applications for Attachments to more than 300 poles or to place Cable or conduit through more than ten (10) manholes, such application or applications shall be considered a High Volume Application. The provisions set forth in Sections 7b through 7 g that relate to time period and cost-reimbursement of the Company's performance of application review, engineering analysis, and a Make Ready Survey, and the performance of make-ready work, shall not apply to High Volume Applications. Company and Attachment Customer submitting a High Volume Application shall develop a mutually agreeable plan of performance and cost reimbursement for Company's performance of application review, engineering analysis, and a Make Ready Survey, and the performance of make ready work, shall set this plan to writing and shall file it with the Commission as a special contract.
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i. No written application to Company to affix and attach a Service Drop to Company's poles is required but Attachment Customer shall provide notice to Company within sixty (60) days of attachment of such Service Drop. This notice shall include the Service Drop location address (or a description of the location if the address is not available), the date of the attachment, the pole number of the pole to which the service drop is affixed or attached, and a statement as to whether the Service Drop constitutes a new attachment to Company's pole for billing purposes. Any Service Drop affixed to a pole more than six (6) inches above or below a through-bolt shall be considered a separate Attachment for billing purposes. On drop or lift poles only, all Service Drops affixed within one foot of usable space shall be considered a single Attachment for billing purposes. Company may conduct an inspection of any Service Drop Attachments, and Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company within 30 days of presentation of an invoice for such inspections. The provisions of this Pole Structure Attachment Schedule shall not apply to an ILEC service drop if the ILEC has a joint use agreement with the Company and the service drop is located in the area covered by the joint use agreement.
8. CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS AND SPECIFICATIONS
a. Attachment Customer shall not construct or install any Attachments until: (1) Company has approved in writing the design, construction, and installation practices for Attachment Customer's Attachments. (2) all Company make-ready work, if any, has been completed (and, if such make-ready work has been performed by an Approved Contractor pursuant to Section 7 g above, inspected by Company); and (3) any necessary third party rearrangements or transfers have been completed. Any Attachment that fails to comply with this provision shall be deemed an Unauthorized Attachment for purposes of Section 19 of this Schedule
b. All Attachments shall be constructed and installed in a manner reasonably satisfactory to Company and so as not to interfere with Company's present or future use of its Structures. Attachments in Ducts shall not include any splice enclosures or excess cable. Attachment Customer shall maintain, operate and construct all Attachments in such manner as to ensure Company's full and free access to all Company facilities. All Attachments shall conform to Company's electric design and construction standards and applicable requirements of the NESC, NEC, and all other applicable codes and laws. In the event of a conflict, the more stringent standard shall apply.
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c. Attachment Customer shall identify each of its Attachments with a tag, approved in advance by Company, that includes Attachment Customer's name, 24-hour contact telephone number, and such other information as Company may require. All Cable placed by Attachment Customer within a Company-owned or controlled Duct shall be enclosed within Attachment Customer furnished inner-duct and shall be clearly marked and identified as belonging to Attachment Customer at all access points. Service drops do not need to be tagged. Attachment Customer shall tag an Attachment at the time of construction. Any untagged Attachment existing as of the date of execution of the Contract or the effective date of this Schedule, whichever is earlier, shall be tagged by Attachment Customer when Attachment Customer or its agents perform work on the attachment. . If the Company is required to relocate or remove an Attachment or otherwise contact the owner of an Attachment to effect repairs and the Attachment is untagged and cannot be readily identified, any expense incurred by Company to identify the Attachment owner shall be borne by the Attachment Customer. Further, the Company shall be considered to have provided notice to the owner of an untagged Attachment required under Section 16 of this Schedule upon inspecting the Attachment and determining that it is untagged.
d. In the design, installation and maintenance of its Attachments, Attachment Customer shall comply with all Company standards and all federal, state and local government laws, rules, regulations, ordinances, or other lawful directives applicable to the work of constructing and installing the Attachments. All work shall be performed in accordance with the applicable standards of the NESC and the NEC, including amendments thereto adopted. Attachment Customer shall take all necessary precautions, by the installation of protective equipment or other means, to protect all Persons and property of all kinds against injury or damage caused by or occurring by reason of the construction, installation or existence of Attachments.
e. Attachment Customer shall immediately report to Company (1) any damage caused to property of Company or others when installing or maintaining Attachments, (2) any Attachment Customer's failure to meet the requirements set forth in this Schedule for assuring the safety of Persons and property and compliance with laws and regulations of public authorities and standard-setting bodies, and (3) any unsafe condition relating to Company's Structures identified by Attachment Customer.
f. Attachment Customer shall complete installation of its Attachments within sixty (60) days of the later of approval of the application for such Attachments or, if make-ready work is required under such approval, completion of make-ready work, and shall notify Company in writing upon its completion. If Attachment Customer fails to complete the installation within this time period, Company may revoke its permit for the Attachment. Prior to revoking the permit for the Attachment, Company shall provide written notice of the revocation to Attachment Customer. Company may conduct a post-construction inspection of such Attachments. Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company within thirty (30) days of presentation of an invoice for such inspections.
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g. Attachment Customer may use qualified contractors of its own choice to perform work below the Communication Worker Safety Zone. For any work in or above the Communication Worker Safety Zone that Company allows Attachment Customer to perform, Attachment Customer shall use an Approved Contractor who may, at Company's discretion, be required to be accompanied by a Company-designated inspector. For any work in Company's Ducts, Attachment Customer shall use an Approved Contractor, who must be accompanied by a Company-designated inspector. Company shall schedule a Company-designated inspector to accompany an Approved Contractor within fifteen (15) days of its receipt of such request for such inspector. Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company for the actual cost associated with providing inspection services within 30 days of receipt of an invoice.
h. Company may also monitor Attachment Customer's construction and installation of Attachments below the Communication Worker Safety Zone. If the need for a monitor is caused by Attachment Customer's failure to comply with the terms of this Schedule, the Contract, or any applicable law or regulation, Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company for the actual cost of any such monitoring within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice for such cost. For locations where Attachment Customer's construction and installation are within Company underground facilities, Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company for the actual cost associated with providing inspection services within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice.
i. Attachment Customer shall comply with all applicable federal, state, and local laws, rules and regulations with respect to environmental practices undertaken pursuant to the construction, installation, operation and maintenance of its Attachments. Attachment Customer shall not bring, store or utilize any hazardous materials on any Company site without Company's prior express written consent. To the extent reasonably practicable, Attachment Customer shall restore any property altered pursuant to this Schedule or the Contract to its condition existing immediately prior to the alteration. Company has no obligation to correct or restore any property altered by Attachment Customer and bears no responsibility for Attachment Customer's compliance with applicable environmental regulations.
j. If Attachment Customer fails to install any Attachment in accordance with the standards and terms set forth in this Schedule and Company provides written notice to Attachment Customer of such failure, Attachment Customer, at its own expense, shall make necessary adjustments within thirty (30) days of receipt of such notice. Subject to Section 15 of this Schedule, if Attachment Customer fails to make such adjustments within such time period, Company may make the repairs or adjustments, and Attachment Customer shall pay Company for the actual cost thereof plus a penalty of $50 \%$ of actual costs within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice.
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|  | Attachment Customer is responsible for any damage, fines or penalties resulting from any noncompliance with the construction and maintenance requirements and specifications set forth in this Section 8, except when Attachment Customer demonstrates that noncompliance is due to the actions of the Company or another Attachment Customer. Company undertakes no duty to require any specific action by Attachment Customer and assumes no responsibility by requiring such compliance or by requiring Attachment Customer to meet any specifications or to make any corrections, modifications, additions or deletions to any work or planned work by Attachment Customer. |

I. Within fifteen (15) days of completion of the installation of the Attachment, Attachment

## 9. ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR WIRELESS FACILITIES

a. Wireless Facilities Attachments may be attached to Distribution Poles only.
b. Company may require Attachment Customer to furnish with any written application for permission to install a Wireless Facilities Attachment a mock-up of the proposed Attachment.
c. Attachment Customer is solely responsible for ensuring that the radiofrequency ("RF") radiation emitted by its Wireless Facilities, alone and/or in combination with any and all sources of RF radiation in the vicinity, is within the limits permitted under all applicable governmental and industry standard safety codes for general population/uncontrolled exposure. Attachment Customer shall install appropriate signage on the poles to which Wireless Facilities have been attached, to warn line workers or the general public of the presence of RF radiation and the need for precautionary measures. Attachment Customer shall periodically inspect the signage and replace the signage if necessary to ensure that the signage, including text and warning symbols, remains clearly visible.
d. Each Wireless Facility installation shall include a switch that operates to disconnect and de-energize the antenna. In non-emergency circumstances, Company employees or contractors will make reasonable efforts to contact Attachment Customer at a telephone number that Attachment Customer has marked on the Wireless Facility installation to request a temporary power shut-down. Company personnel or those of other entities working on the pole will operate the power disconnect switch to ensure that the antenna is not energized while work on the pole is in progress. In emergency circumstances, Company personnel and those of other entities working on Company poles may accomplish the power-down by operation of the power disconnect switch without advance notice to Attachment Customer.
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e. Attachment Customer is solely responsible for ensuring compliance with all Federal Communication Commission antenna registration requirements, Federal Aviation Administration air hazard requirements, or similar requirements with respect to the location of Attachment Customer's Wireless Facilities on Company's poles.
f. Attachment Customer shall not operate its Wireless Facility in a way that causes interference with Company-owned wireless facilities. Attachment Customer shall, after receiving notice from Company of such interference, immediately cease operating its Wireless Facility until it can be operated without causing such interference
g. All power supplies, equipment cabinets, meter bases and other equipment associated with the Wireless Facilities that are large enough to impede accessibility shall be installed off-pole, consistent with the applicable standards of the NESC, Company standards, and all applicable laws, rules, regulations, ordinances, and other applicable governmental directives.
h. Attachment Customer shall not perform any construction, including but not limited to the initial installation of its Wireless Facilities or any maintenance thereof, above the Communications Space without receiving prior approval from Company as to the design, installation, and construction practices, which approval Company shall not unreasonably withhold.

## 10. OVERLASHING OF CABLE

An Attachment Customer may make an initial overlash of an existing attachment if the overlash is not greater than one-half inch in diameter without any advance notice or application to the Company. No application or advance notice is required for the replacement of an existing cable with a cable that is no greater than one-half inch in diameter. With all other overlashing, Attachment Customer shall provide Company with advance notice to permit Company to visually inspect its Structures to determine the need for a pole loading analysis. For projects involving more than ten (10) spans, the Attachment Customer must provide at least fifteen (15) business days' advance notice. For projects involving ten (10) spans or less, Attachment Customer shall provide at least seven (7) business days' advance notice. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no bundle of Attachment Customer's Cable shall exceed two inches in diameter without Company's express written approval.
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## 11. STRAND-MOUNTED WIRELESS COMMUNICATION DEVICES

A strand-mounted wireless communication device shall be considered part of wireline attachment and not subject to permitting or an additional attachment charge if it is located within the one (1) foot vertical space occupied by Attachment Customer's cable and meets all applicable loading, clearance, and RF emission requirements. Before deploying any strand-mounted wireless communications devices other than strand-mounted wi-fi access points, Attachment Customer shall at least sixty (60) days prior to planned deployment notify Company of the proposed deployment and provide sufficient information regarding the nature of device to permit Company to assess the safety and loadbearing implications of the proposed deployment.

## 12. MAINTENANCE OF ATTACHMENTS AND STRUCTURES

Attachment Customer shall maintain Attachments in safe condition and in good repair, in a manner reasonably suitable to Company and so as not to conflict with any use of Company facilities (including Structures) by Company or any other Person using such facilities pursuant to any license or permit by Company. Attachment Customer shall not interfere with the working use of any other Person's property on or in such facilities or any such property, which may be placed on or near the Structures and other facilities. Company reserves to itself, its successors, Affiliates and assigns, the right to maintain Structures and other Company property and to operate its business and maintain its property in such a manner as will, in its own judgment, best enable it to fulfill its own service requirements. Company shall not be liable to Attachment Customer for any interference with the operation of Attachment Customer's facilities, or loss of business arising in any manner out of the use of Company's Structures or other property.

## 13. NATIONAL JOINT UTILITIES NOTIFICATION SYSTEM

Within thirty (30) days of executing a Contract, and prior to making application for any Attachment, Attachment Customer will join National Joint Utilities Notification System ("NJUNS"), a web-based system developed to improve joint use communication, and will actively participate during the term of service, by entering field information into the NJUNS system within the times required by the system. Should Attachment Customer fail to actively participate in NJUNS and should such failure cause Company to incur expense or liability to others, Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company its expense and indemnify and hold Company harmless from any damages or liability arising out of such failure. If Company at a later date elects to use a different system for purposes of the communication currently facilitated by NJUNS, Company shall notify Attachment Customer at least sixty (60) days in advance of such change and Attachment Customer shall make arrangements to participate in that system.
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| 14. INSPECTIONSIAUDITS |  |
| Company may make periodic inspections for the purpose of determining compliance with this |  |
| Schedule and with the Contract. Neither Company's right to make inspections nor any |  |
| inspection made by Company shall relieve an Attachment Customer of any responsibility, |  |
| obligation or liability assumed under this Schedule. |  | T.

## 15. INTERFERENCE OR HAZARD

If Company notifies Attachment Customer in writing or orally with written confirmation that the Attachment Customer's Attachments or the condition of Attachment Customer's Attachments on or in any Structure (i) interfere with the use of such Structure or the operation of Company facilities or equipment, (ii) constitute a hazard to the service rendered by Company or any other Persons permitted by Company to use such Structures, (iii) cause a danger to employees of Company or other Persons, or (iv) fail to comply with the Company's standards and applicable requirements of the NESC, NEC, and all other applicable codes, laws and regulations, Attachment Customer shall, within a reasonable period, remove, rearrange, repair or change its Attachments as needed or as directed by Company. In the case of any immediate hazard or danger, such period shall not exceed twenty-four (24) hours from Attachment Customer's receipt of such notice. In case of a hazardous condition or other emergency which requires the immediate removal or relocation of the Attachment Customer's Attachments, Company may at Attachment Customer's expense, without prior notice and with no liability therefor, remove or relocate such Attachments; provided however, that Company shall notify Attachment Customer of such action as soon as reasonably possible by any appropriate means, including by telephone.
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## 16. REARRANGEMENT; RELOCATION OF STRUCTURES; NEW STRUCTURES

a. If Attachment Customer's Attachments can be accommodated on or in existing Structures only by rearranging Company facilities, or if because of Attachment Customer's proposed Attachments, Company rearranges or transfers its facilities on or in any facility not owned by it, Attachment Customer shall reimburse Company for the actual expense incurred in making such rearrangement or transfer.
b. Upon forty-five (45) days prior written notice delivered to Attachment Customer, Company may replace, relocate, or remove any Structure and cause the alteration, relocation or removal of any Attachment, consistent with normal operating, maintenance and development procedures and prudent utility practices. In cases of emergency or dangerous situations, Company shall give only as much prior notice as practical under the circumstances. Likewise, in situations where the Company is required to replace, relocate or remove any Structure in less than 45 days by state or local law, easement provisions, contractual obligations to third parties or to meet the Company's obligation to provide electric service to another customer, Company need provide only as much prior notice as reasonably practical under the circumstances, Company shall bear all costs and expenses of any relocation of the Structures not attributable to or caused by Attachment Customer or its Attachments. Attachment Customer shall bear all costs and expenses of any relocation and removal of the Attachments and all costs and expenses attributable to or caused by Attachment Customer or its Attachments. Attachment Customer shall be solely responsible for any losses occasioned by the interruption of Attachment Customer's business or operations and shall indemnify and hold Company harmless in connection with same.
c. Company may reserve space on its poles in accordance with a bona fide development plan for electric service. Company may direct, by written notice to Attachment Customer, that Attachment Customer's attachments in such reserve space may be removed from the Structures. Company shall use reasonable efforts to make space available as close in proximity as possible to the former Structures or to offer Attachment Customer the option to perform make-ready work to create additional space on the Structure in question. Attachment Customer shall make such relocation within sixty (60) days of the Company's request.
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d. In the event a Person other than Attachment Customer applies to make an Attachment to a Structure on which Attachment Customer has placed an Attachment, and such application requires that Attachment Customer rearrange, transfer or relocate its Attachments, then Attachment Customer shall perform such rearrangement, transfer or relocation within sixty (60) days of notice of such need to rearrange, transfer or relocate. Attachment Customer may condition its rearrangement, transfer or relocation upon reimbursement for the cost of such rearrangement, transfer or relocation. In the event Attachment Customer fails to perform such rearrangement, transfer or relocation within the time frame described above, the affected Attachments may be subject to rearrangement, transfer or relocation by the Person whose application necessitated the rearrangement, transfer or relocation to the extent permitted by law.

## 17. REMOVAL OF ATTACHMENT

Attachment Customer may at any time voluntarily remove its Attachments from any Structure, but shall immediately give Company written notice of such removal on Company-prescribed form. Attachment Customer shall bear all cost of removal and any costs that Company incurs as a result of such removal and shall pay such costs within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice. No refund of any amount paid for use of such Structure will result from Attachment Customer's voluntary removal nor shall such voluntary removal affect any other obligation or liability of Attachment Customer under this Schedule or the Contract.

## 18. INDEMNITIES

Attachment Customer shall protect, defend, indemnify and save harmless Company, its Affiliates, their officers, directors, employees and representatives from and against all damage, loss, claim, demand, suit, liability, penalty or forfeiture of every kind and nature, including but not limited to costs and expenses of defending against the same, payment of any settlement or judgment therefor and reasonable attorney's fees that are incurred in such defense, by reason of any claims arising from Attachment Customer's activities under this Schedule, or the Contract, or from Attachment Customer's presence on the Company's premises, or from or in connection with the construction, installation, operation, maintenance, presence, replacement, enlargement, use or removal of any facility of Attachment Customer attached or in the process or being attached to or removed from any Company Structure by Attachment Customer, its employees, agents, or other representatives, including but not limited to claims alleging (1) injuries or deaths to Persons; (2) damage to or destruction of property including loss of use thereof; (3) power or communications outage, interruption or degradation; (4) pollution, contamination of or other adverse effects on the environment; (5) violation of governmental laws, regulations or orders; or (6) rearrangement, transfer, or removal of any third party attachment on, from, or to any Company Structure whether suffered directly by Company itself or indirectly by reason of claims, demands or suits against it by third parties, resulting or alleged to have resulted from Attachment Customer's activities under this Schedule, or the Contract, or from Attachment Customer's presence on
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Company's premises, or from or in connection with the construction, installation, operation, maintenance, presence, replacement, enlargement, use or removal of any facility of Attachment Customer attached or in the process or being attached to or removed from any Company Structure by Attachment Customer, its employees, agents, or other representatives. The indemnity set forth in this section shall include indemnity for any claims arising out of the joint negligence of Attachment Customer and Company; provided however, the indemnity set forth in this section, but not Attachment Customer's duty to defend, shall be reduced to the extent it is established by final adjudication or mutual agreement of Attachment Customer and Company that the liability to which such indemnity applies was caused by the negligence or willful misconduct of Company. If Attachment Customer is required under this provision to indemnify Company, Attachment Customer shall have the right to select defense counsel and to direct the defense or settlement of any such claim or suit.
19. UNAUTHORIZED ATTACHMENTS

If Attachment Customer makes any Attachment that requires Company approval or advance notice under this Schedule or the Contract and has not obtained such approval or provided such advance notice, such Attachment shall be deemed an "Unauthorized Attachment," and shall be presumed to have been affixed to Company Structures for two years or since completion of the most recent audit, whichever is occurring earlier. Attachment Customer shall be liable for attachment charges for this time period. In addition to the attachment charges for the period of unauthorized attachment, Attachment Customer shall pay a penalty for each Unauthorized Attachment in the amount of \$25.00. Attachment Customer shall also submit to Company an application for approval of the Unauthorized Attachment within thirty (30) days of the attachment's discovery. If Attachment Customer fails to submit the required applications or fails to timely remit any necessary payments to Company in connection with the application process (including but not limited to any make-ready fees necessary to accommodate the Unauthorized Attachments), Company may remove any or all such Unauthorized Attachments at Attachment Customer's expense.

## 20. DEFAULT

a. If Attachment Customer fails to pay any undisputed fee required, perform any material obligations undertaken or satisfy any warranty or representation made under the Contract comply with any of the provisions of this rate schedule or default in any of its obligations under this Schedule, including Section 5 of the Company's Electric Tariff, and shall fail within thirty (30) days after written notice from Company to correct such default or non-compliance, Company may, at its option, terminate the license covering the Structures to which such default or non-compliance is applicable; remove, relocate or rearrange at Attachment Customer's expense the Attachments to which the default or non-compliance relates; or decline to permit additional Attachments until the failure or default is cured. Company shall give written notice to Attachment Customer of said termination. In the event of material or repeated default, Company may terminate the Contract and recover from Attachment Customer all costs and expenses incurred as a result of related to the defaults. No refund
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## 21. TERMINATION

Attachment Customer may terminate a Contract by providing the other written notice of termination at least sixty (60) days prior to the end of the term of service.

Upon termination, Attachment Customer shall remove all Attachments from Structures and other Company property within 180 days. Attachment Customer shall bear all costs of such removal and shall exercise precautions to avoid damage to all Persons and to facilities of Company and other parties in so removing Attachments and assumes all responsibility for all damage it causes. If Attachment Customer's Attachments and other property are not removed within 180 days of termination of this Agreement, unless the time is extended by mutual agreement, Company may remove Attachment Customer's Attachments without liability and Attachment Customer shall pay Company the cost of such removal within thirty (30) days of receipt of an invoice.

Company may terminate a Contract without liability to Attachment Customer, upon giving sixty (60) days advance written notice to Attachment Customer that it has a reasonable belief that Company's performance under the Contract would be illegal under applicable law or regulation or under any order or ruling issued by the PSC, or any other federal, state or local agency having regulatory jurisdiction over Company and same cannot be cured by Company without unreasonable expense or without materially and substantially altering the terms and conditions of the Contract; or that termination is required to preserve Company's rights under any franchise, right-of-way, permit, easement or other similar right which is material and substantial to Company's business or operations. In the event of such termination, Company and Attachment Customer shall pay and perform obligations that have arisen prior to the effective date of termination, but shall not be obligated to pay and perform obligations, which arise after the effective date of termination.

## 22. WAIVER

Failure by Company to enforce or insist upon compliance with any of the terms or conditions of this Schedule or the Contract shall not constitute a general waiver or relinquishment of any such terms or conditions, but the same shall be and remain at all times in full force and effect.

## 23. INSURANCE

a. Throughout the term of service and so long as Attachment Customer's Attachments are on or in Company Structures, Attachment Customer shall, at its own expense, maintain and carry in full force and effect insurance that meets at least the following requirements (these minimum limits should not be deemed to replace Attachment Customer's full obligation under this Schedule or the Contract):
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(1) Workers' Compensation and Employer's Liability Policy, which shall include: (a)

Workers' Compensation (Coverage A); Employers Liability (Coverage B) with minimum limits of $\$ 1,000,000$ Bodily Injury by Accident, each Accident, $\$ 1,000,000$ Bodily Injury by Disease, each Employee; (c) Thirty (30) Day Cancellation Endorsement; and (d) Form All States Endorsement.
(2) Commercial General Liability Policy, which shall have minimum limits of $\$ 1,000,000$ each occurrence; \$1,000,000 Products/Completed Operations Aggregate each occurrence; \$1,000,000 Personal and Advertising Injury each occurrence, in all cases subject to $\$ 2,000,000$ in the General Aggregate for all such claims, and including: (a) Thirty (30) Day Cancellation Endorsement; (b) Blanket Written Contractual Liability to the extent covered by the policy against liability assumed by Company under the Attachment Customer Agreement; (c) Broad Form Property Damage; and (d) General Aggregate Limit - Per Project Endorsement (CG2503); (e) Include Additional Insured Endorsement GC 2010 or CG2037, or its equivalent; and (f) Insurance for liability arising out of blasting, collapse, and underground damage (deletion of $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{U}$ Exclusions).
(3) Commercial Automobile Liability Insurance covering the use of all owned, nonowned, and hired automobiles, with a bodily injury, including death, and property damage combined single minimum limit of $\$ 1,000,000$ each occurrence.
(4) Umbrella/Excess Liability Insurance with minimum limits of $\$ 5,000,000$ per occurrence; $\$ 5,000,000$ aggregate, to apply to employer's liability, commercial general liability, and commercial automobile liability; including: (a) "Follow Form" provisions; and (b) Note that Total Limits can be met by any combination of primary and umbrella/excess policies.
(5) Aircraft Public Liability - Required at all times when there will be use of any type of fixed wing, rotor, or any type aircraft to perform any work required under this Schedule or the Contract. Aircraft Public Liability Insurance covering such aircraft whether owned, non-owned, leased, hired or assigned with a combined single minimum limit for bodily injury and property damage of $\$ 5,000,000$ including passenger liability coverage.
(6) Drones - Required at all times if any Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) will be used by Contractor or Subcontractor in performing the work required under this Schedule or the Contract, Drone Liability Insurance covering such aircraft whether owned, nonowned, leased, hired or assigned with a ccurrence combined single limit for bodily injury, property damage N and personal injury.
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(7) Professional Liability - To the extent the work required under this Schedule or the $\quad \mathrm{T}$ Contract includes any professional services that falls within a professional liability T exclusion from the policy provided under Section 23a.(2). Coverage required with limits of Five Million Dollars $(\$ 5,000,000)$ per claim and Five Million Dollars I
$(\$ 5,000,000)$ in the aggregate, which insurance shall be on a claims made basis. Policy to remain in force continuously for three (3) years or an extended discovery period will be exercised for a period of three (3) years beginning from the time the services under this contract are completed.
b. Attachment Customer shall require its Contractors and subcontractors to provide and maintain the same insurance coverage as required of Attachment Customer.
c. Except with regard to workers' compensation and professional liability, each policy required under this schedule shall name Company and all its Affiliates as an additional insured and shall waive rights of subrogation against Company, and all its Affiliates, and Company's insurance carrier(s). All policies shall be primary and non-contributory. Condition applies to Attachment Customer and its Contractors and Subcontractors.
d. All policies shall be written by insurance companies that are either satisfactory to Company or have an A.M. Best Rating of not less than "A-, VII". These policies shall not be materially changed or canceled except with thirty (30) days written notice to Company from Attachment Customer and the insurance carrier. Attention: Manager, Project Manager - Third Party Attachments, LG\&E and KU Services Company, P.O. Box 32020, Louisville, Kentucky 40232.
e. Company may request a summary of coverage of any of required policies or endorsements; but is not obligated to review any of Attachment Customer's certificates of insurance, insurance policies, or endorsements, or to advise Attachment Customer of any deficiencies in such documents. Company's receipt or review of such documents shall not relieve Attachment Customer from or be deemed a waiver of Attachment Customer's obligations to maintain insurance as provided. Attachment Customer shall provide a summary of coverage within (thirty) 30 days of its request by the Company.
f. Attachment Customer shall provide Certificates of Insurance to Company for each policy of insurance required above and evidence the items noted hereafter: (1) Each Certificate shall properly identify the certificate holder as Company; (2) Under no circumstances shall Attachment Customer begin any work (or allow any Subcontractor to begin any work) prior to submitting Certificate(s) (evidencing the required insurance of Contractor or Subcontractor, as applicable) acceptable to Company. Company retains the right to waive this requirement at its sole discretion; (3) Certificate shall evidence (thirty) 30 days prior notice of cancellation; (4) Certificate shall verify additional insured status on all

T

T
T
T
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|  | coverage including the endorsements required by Section 23a.(2); (5) Certificate shall | N |
|  | erify Blanket Waiver of subrogation - All policies of insurance shall include waivers of | N |
|  | ubrogation, under subrogation or otherwise, against Company. Except where not | N |
|  | applicable by law; (6) Certificate shall verify Primary/Non-contributory wording in favor of | N |
|  | Company; and (7) Certificate shall identify policies which are written on a Claims Made | N |
|  | coverage form and state the retro date. | N |
|  | Attachment Customer shall notify Company, prior to the commencement of any work | T/N |
|  | pursuant to this rate Schedule or the Contract, of any threatened, pending and/or paid | N |
|  | off claims to third parties, individually or in the aggregate, which otherwise affects the | N |
|  | availability of the limits of such coverage(s) inuring to Company's benefit. | N |
|  | Attachment Customer shall provide notice of any accidents, occurences, or claims | N |
|  | involving Attachment Customer's Attachment or Attachment Customer's work under this | N |
|  | Schedule and the Contract to the LKS Manager, Risk Management at LG\&E and KU | N |
|  | Services Company, P.O. Box 32030, Louisville, Kentucky 40232. | N |
| i. | Each policy of insurance required to be maintained by Attachment Customer under this | N |
|  | Section 23 (except the Workers' Compensation and Employer's Liability Policy) shall | N |
|  | cover all losses and claims of Attachment Customer regardless of whether they arise | N |
|  | directly to Attachment Customer or indirectly through Subcontractors (e.g., Attachment | N |
|  | Customer's CGL policy must cover Attachment Customer and additional insureds | N |
|  | against negligent acts of a Subcontractor, etc.). Section 23 only represents minimum | N |
|  | insurance requirements; it does not mitigate or reduce liability required by the indemnity | N |
|  | provisions in this Schedule or the Contract. Nor should it be deemed to be the full | N |
|  | responsibility of the contractor or subcontractor for liability. Attachment Customer is | N |
|  | responsible for their subcontractor's insurance meeting the requirements of Section 23 | N |
|  | of this Schedule. | N |
|  | Attachment Customer may elect not to comply with sections (a) through (i) of this | T |
|  | Section 23 if it provides proof of equivalent levels of self-insurance and: | T |
|  | 1. Attachment Customer has been in business at least three (3) years and has a corporate credit rating or a senior unsecured rating of at least Baa2 (Moody's) or BBB (Standard \& Poor's); or | T |
|  | 2. Attachment Customer has been in business at least three years, and provides its most recent audited financial statements to Company which demonstrates that Attachment Customer meets standards that are at least equivalent to the standards underlying the credit ratings of Baa2 (Moody's) or BBB (Standard and Poor's); or, | T |
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|  | 3. A corporate entity affili set out in (1) or (2) acceptable to Com obligations associate | Attachment Customer ("G nd Guarantor provides a the corporate affiliate tachment Customer's use | or") meets the criteria guarantee (in a form uarantee all financial many's Structures.) | T <br>  <br>  |
| 24. PERFORMANCE ASSURANCE |  |  |  |  |
| a. Attachment Customer shall furnish Performance Assurance in the following amounts to guarantee the payment of any sums which may become due for attachment charges, inspections, or work performed by the Company under this Schedule or the Contract, including the removal of attachments upon termination of the Contract by any of its provisions: |  |  |  | T/N $N$ $N$ $N$ $N$ $N$ |
|  | Number of Attachments | Amount per Attachment | Maximum Total | N |
|  | 1-5,000 | \$20/Attachment | \$100,000 | 1 |
|  | 5,001-10,000 | \$10/Attachment | \$150,000 | 1 |
|  | 10,001+ | \$5/Attachment | \$1,000,000 | 1 |

The above-stated amounts are incremental. By way of example, 7,500 Attachments would require Performance Assurance in the amount of $\$ 125,000$ ( $\$ 20$ per Attachment for the first 5000 Attachments; \$10 per Attachment for the next 2,500 Attachments); 15,000 Attachments would require Performance Assurance in the amount of \$175,000 (\$20 per Attachment for the first 5000 Attachments; \$10 per Attachment the next 5,000 Attachments; and \$5 per Attachment for the last 5,000 Attachments).

The amount of the Performance Assurance shall be calculated by the Company annually based on the Attachment Customer's then-existing number of Attachments. Attachment Customer shall provide the Performance Assurance within 30 days of its request by the Company.

If Attachment Customer proposes to attach a Wireless Facility or Facilities to a Structure, Attachment Customer shall post a Performance Assurance in the amount of \$1,500 for each pole to which a wireless attachment is attached. The amount of the Performance Assurance shall not be reduced upon completion of installation or other event.

In the event the Customer provides Performance Assurance in the form of a surety bond or Letter of Credit, each bond or Letter of Credit shall contain the provision that it shall not be terminated prior to six (6) months after Company's receipt of written notice of the desire of the bonding or insurance company, or bank, to terminate such bond or Letter of Credit. Company may waive this requirement if an acceptable replacement is received before the six (6) months has ended. Upon termination of such surety bond or Letter of
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Credit, , Company shall request Attachment Customer to immediately remove its Cables, Wireless Facilities, Attachments and all other facilities from Company Structures. If Attachment Customer should fail to complete the removal of all of its facilities from Company's Structures within thirty (30) days after receipt of such request, then Company may remove Attachment Customer's facilities at Attachment Customer's expense and without liability for any damage to Attachment Customer's facilities.

Each surety bond shall be issued by an entity having a minimum A.M. Best rating of Aand/or Letter of Credit shall be issued by an entity having a minimum Credit Rating of Aby S\& P or A3 by Moody's at the time of issuance and at all times the relevant bond is outstanding.
b. Attachment Customer may elect not to provide a Performance Assurance if:

1. Attachment Customer has been in business at least one (1) year and has a corporate credit rating or a senior unsecured rating of at least Baa2 (Moody's) or BBB (S\&P's); or
2. Attachment Customer has been in business at least one (1) year, and provides its most recent audited financial statements to Company which demonstrates that Attachment Customer meets standards that are at least equivalent to the standards underlying the credit ratings of Baa2 (Moody's) or BBB (S\&P's); or,
3. A corporate affiliate of Attachment Customer ("Guarantor") meets the criteria set out in (1) or (2) above, and Guarantor provides a written guarantee (in a form acceptable to Company, that the corporate affiliate will guarantee all financial obligations associated with Attachment Customer's use of Company's Structures).

Annually, upon the Company's request, an Attachment Customer electing not to provide Performance Assurance under one of the options in c. above shall provide Company with such information as Company requires to determine whether Attachment Customer remains eligible to make such election.
25. CERTIFICATION OF NOTICE REQUIREMENTS

Attachment Customer's highest ranking officer located in Kentucky shall certify under oath on or before January 31 of each year that the Attachment Customer has complied with all notification requirements of this Schedule. The certification shall be in the form prescribed by Company from time to time, and Company shall provide the current version of such form on or after January 1 of each year. If Attachment Customer does not have an officer located in Kentucky, then the certification shall be provided by the officer with responsibility for Attachment Customer's operations in Kentucky.
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## 26. NOTICES

Any notice, or request, required by this Schedule or the Attachment Customer Agreement shall be deemed properly given if sent overnight by nationally recognized overnight courier, sent by certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, or sent by telecopier with confirmed receipt, to Company's and Attachment Customer's designated representative The designation of the representative to be notified, his address and/or telecopier number may be changed at any time by similar notice.

## 27. LIENS

To the extent permitted by law, in the event any construction lien or other encumbrance shall be placed on the Attachments as a result of the actions or omissions of Attachment Customer or its Contractor, Attachment Customer shall promptly, in accordance with applicable laws, discharge such lien or encumbrance without cost or expense to Company. Attachment Customer shall indemnify Company for any and all actual damages that may be suffered or incurred by Company in discharging or releasing said lien or encumbrance.

## 28. FORCE MAJEURE

In the event Attachment Customer or Company is delayed in or prevented from performing any of its respective obligations under an Attachment Customer Agreement or this Schedule due to acts of God, war, riots, civil insurrection, acts of the public enemy, strikes, lockouts, acts of civil or military authority, government shutdown, fires, floods, earthquakes, storms and other major disruptive events, fiber, cable or other material failures, shortages or unavailability, delay in delivery not resulting from its failure to timely place orders therefor, lack or delay in transportation, or due to any other causes beyond its reasonable control, then such delay or nonperformance shall be excused.
29. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY

IN NO EVENT SHALL COMPANY OR ANY OF ITS REPRESENTATIVES BE LIABLE UNDER A CONTRACT OR THIS SCHEDULE TO ATTACHMENT CUSTOMER FOR CONSEQUENTIAL, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, PUNITIVE OR ENHANCED DAMAGES, LOST PROFITS OR REVENUES OR DIMINUTION IN VALUE, ARISING OUT OF, OR RELATING TO, OR IN CONNECTION WITH ACONTRACT OR THIS SCHEDULE, REGARDLESS OF (A) WHETHER SUCH DAMAGES WERE FORESEEABLE, (B) WHETHER OR NOT COMPANY WAS ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES AND (C) THE LEGAL OR EQUITABLE THEORY (CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE) UPON WHICH THE CLAIM IS BASED. THE LIMITATIONS SET FORTH IN THIS SECTION 29 SHALL NOT APPLY TO DAMAGES OR LIABILITY ARISING FROM THE GROSSLY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF COMPANY IN PERFORMING ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER AN ATTACHMENT CUSTOMER AGREEMENT OR THIS SCHEDULE.
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On and After November 1, 2018
ISSUED BY: /s/ Robert M. Conroy, Vice President State Regulation and Rates Louisville, Kentucky

Issued by Authority of an Order of the Public Service Commission in Case No. 2018-00295 dated

## Louisville Gas and Electric Company

P.S.C. No. 12, Original Sheet No. 72.1

## Standard Rate Rider

SSP
Solar Share Program Rider

## PROGRAM DESCRIPTION

The Solar Share Program is an optional, voluntary program that allows customers to subscribe to capacity in the Solar Share Facilities. Each Solar Share Facility will have an approximate directcurrent (DC) capacity of 500 kW and will be available for subscription in nominal 250 W (quarterkW) DC increments. Each subscribing customer ("Subscriber") may subscribe capacity up to an aggregate amount of 500 kW DC, though no Subscriber may subscribe more than 250 kW DC in any single Solar Share Facility.

There are two mutually exclusive options for subscribing to each increment of capacity.
Option 1: Capacity Subscribed by Paying Only the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge
For capacity subscribed by paying the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge, the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge will be included on the Subscriber's bill for the first billing period in which the subscribed capacity achieves commercial operation.

A customer choosing to pay the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge may transfer subscribed capacity between the customer's own accounts or may assign subscribed capacity to another customer. Once assigned, the assigning customer forfeits all rights to the assigned capacity.

A customer who ceases taking service from Company will have 60 calendar days to assign subscribed capacity to another customer within Company's service area. Any capacity such a customer does not assign within 60 days of ceasing to take service will be forfeited and made available to other customers under Option 2: Capacity Subscribed by Paying Only the Monthly Solar Capacity Charge.

Option 2: Capacity Subscribed by Paying Only the Monthly Solar Capacity Charge
For capacity subscribed by paying the Monthly Solar Capacity Charge, the Solar Capacity Charge will be included on the Subscriber's bill beginning with the bill for the first billing period in which the subscribed capacity achieves commercial operation.

Monthly subscriptions of less than 50 kW DC will not require a contract; however, a customer may not reduce or cancel a monthly subscription earlier than 12 months from the date of the customer's most recent change to the customer's monthly subscription level. Therefore, a customer subscribing monthly less than 50 kW has a 12-month commitment from the date of the customer's initial monthly subscription or initial solar facility commercial operation, whichever is later, and may have a longer commitment if the customer subsequently increases monthly subscribed capacity (which a customer may do at any time) or if the customer chooses to decrease but not cancel the monthly subscription after the initial 12 months. Monthly subscriptions of 50 kW DC or more require a 5 -year contract with Company.
$\qquad$

## Louisville Gas and Electric Company

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Standard Rate Rider | SSP.C. No. 12, Original Sheet No. 72.2 |

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS

D

1. Individual subscriptions are available in nominal 250 W DC (quarter-kW) increments.
2. Customer may subscribe as much solar capacity as desired up to an aggregate amount of 500 kW DC (nominal). No customer may subscribe more than 250 kW DC (nominal) in any single Solar Share Facility.
3. All One-Time Solar Capacity Charges are non-refundable.
4. Subject to the restrictions above, Company will fill subscriptions as capacity in the Solar Share Facilities becomes available, and will fill subscriptions in the chronological order in which the subscriptions were made. A Subscriber whose subscription the Company can fulfill only partially may either accept the available capacity and await additional capacity, or decline the partial fulfillment, allowing the next awaiting Subscriber(s) to accept the available capacity. Accepting or declining available capacity will not affect a Subscriber's place in the queue of Subscribers awaiting capacity.
5. Customers may not owe any arrearage prior to participating in the Solar Share Program.
6. Subscribers' pro-rata share of the AC electricity produced by the Solar Share Facilities will be determined on a billing-cycle basis. The corresponding Solar Energy Credit will be calculated and appear on the Subscriber's bill.
7. Unless constrained by contract (see Term of Contract below), Subscriber may decrease or terminate a monthly subscription any time after 12 months following the date of the most recent change to Subscriber's monthly subscription capacity at any time.
8. Unless constrained by contract (see Term of Contract below) or condition \#2 above, Subscriber may also increase monthly subscribed capacity at any time.
9. Subscriptions made by paying the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge may be transferred between a Subscriber's accounts no more than once per billing period (Solar Energy Credit values do not transfer between accounts or customers). A subscription transfer between a Subscriber's accounts takes effect in the billing period following the billing period in which the Subscriber requests the transfer. A Subscriber may transfer a subscription at any time prior to or including 60 calendar days after the Subscriber terminated service on the account to which the subscription attached. If the Subscriber whose account has been terminated does not transfer the subscription within 60 calendar days, the Subscriber forfeits the subscription.

## DATE OF ISSUE: $\quad$ September 28, 2018

DATE EFFECTIVE: With Service Rendered On and After November 1, 2018

ISSUED BY: /s/ Robert M. Conroy, Vice President State Regulation and Rates Louisville, Kentucky

Issued by Authority of an Order of the
Public Service Commission in Case No.
2018-00295 dated

## Louisville Gas and Electric Company

P.S.C. No. 12, Original Sheet No. 72.3

Standard Rate Rider
SSP
Solar Share Program Rider

## TERMS AND CONDITIONS (continued)

10. Capacity subscribed by paying the Monthly Solar Capacity Charge is not transferrable or assignable between customers.
11. Capacity subscribed by paying the One-Time Solar Capacity Charge may be assigned between customers, but only within the same Company service territory, at any time prior to or including 60 calendar days after the assigning Subscriber terminated service on the account to which the subscription attached. Once assigned, the assigning customer loses all rights regarding future credits and the ability to subsequently assign the capacity; those rights become the rights of the assignee upon assignment. Assigned capacity cannot be reassigned by the assignee to any other Customer, including the Customer who originally subscribed the assigned capacity. For all purposes other than the Solar Energy Credit, all capacity assignments become effective immediately upon assignment. For the purpose of the Solar Energy Credit, the assignor will receive Solar Energy Credits for the entire billing period in which the assignment occurs; the assignee will receive Solar Energy Credits beginning in the first billing period following the assignment.
12. Unused Solar Energy Credit value is not transferrable between customers or customer accounts. Therefore, a Subscriber's closing a customer account terminates any unused Solar Energy Credit value associated with that account.
13. Participants in SSP are required to have an advanced meter capable of collecting and communicating at least 15 minute interval data.

T TNNN
14. All Renewable Energy Credits ("RECs") related to energy produced by subscribed portions of the Solar Share Facilities will be retired.
15. Use of any images of the Solar Share Facilities or use any other of Company's intellectual property requires Company licensing prior to use.
16. Service will be furnished under Company's Terms and Conditions except as provided herein.

## TERM OF CONTRACT

Subscriptions of 50 kW DC or more will require a five (5) year non-transferrable, non-assignable contract between Subscriber and Company.

DATE OF ISSUE: September 28, 2018
DATE EFFECTIVE: With Service Rendered
On and After November 1, 2018
ISSUED BY: /s/ Robert M. Conroy, Vice President
State Regulation and Rates
Louisville, Kentucky
Issued by Authority of an Order of the
Public Service Commission in Case No.
2018-00295 dated

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 2

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-2. Refer to the Direct Testimony of Robert M. Conroy (Conroy Testimony), page 7, lines 6-18.
a. Provide the Edison Electric Institute's Typical Bills and Average Rates Report Winter 2018.
b. Explain how LG\&E's proposed rates will compare to the average residential electric rates of other investor-owned electric utilities.
c. Provide a list of all Kentucky electric utility customer charges and energy rates for the residential class. Include LG\&E's current rates and proposed rates.

A-2.
a. The relevant portions of the requested report are attached. The regional comparison includes the states of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.
b. Using Edison Electric Institute's Typical Bills and Average Rates Report Winter 2018 and the Residential Proposed Increase of $8.10 \%$ at an average 917 kWh (from Schedule M \& N), the LG\&E residential average for the 12 month ending 12/31/17 rate of 9.42 cents/kWh will increase to an estimated 9.88 cents $/ \mathrm{kWh}$. This estimated residential average rate for LG\&E is still well below the Residential Average for USA of 12.54 cents/kWh of all electric utilities.
c. See attached for a compilation of the requested information based on what is available thru the PSC or individual company websites.

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
20162017

Mid-Atlantic
New Jersey
Atlantic City Electric Company

|  | generation | 10.07 | 9.80 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | transmission | 1.41 | 1.43 |
|  | delivery | 6.20 | 6.62 |
|  | total rate | 17.67 | 17.84 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 8.85 | 8.46 |
|  | transmission | 0.46 | 0.46 |
|  | delivery | 3.64 | 4.39 |
|  | ctc | 0.41 | 0.31 |
|  | total rate | 13.36 | 13.62 |
| Public Service Electric \& Gas Company |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 11.50 | 11.17 |
|  | delivery | 4.80 | 4.81 |
|  | ctc | 0.00 | -0.01 |
|  | total rate | 16.30 | 15.96 |
|  | total rate |  |  |
|  |  | 16.98 | 16.35 |

Average For New Jersey

| generation | 10.43 | 10.07 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| transmission | 0.76 | 0.76 |
| delivery | 4.61 | 4.93 |
| ctc | 0.17 | 0.12 |
| total rate | 15.57 | 15.47 |

total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 15.74

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/3120162017
New York
Central Hudson Gas \& Electric Corporation
total rate ..... $16.48 \quad 17.04$
Consolidated Edison Company of New York
total rate ..... $24.91 \quad 25.34$
LIPA
generation ..... $\begin{array}{ll}7.23 & 10.14\end{array}$
delivery ..... $11.77 \quad 9.73$
total rate ..... 18.9919 .87
National Grid (Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation)
total rate ..... $13.10 \quad 13.62$
New York State Electric \& Gas Corporation
total rate ..... 11.3911 .89
Orange \& Rockland Utilities, Inc.
total rate ..... 19.8421 .01
Rochester Gas \& Electric Corporation
total rate ..... $12.72 \quad 13.52$
Average For New York
generation ..... $7.23 \quad 10.14$
delivery ..... $11.77 \quad 9.73$
total rate 17.73 ..... 18.26
total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) ..... 17.40

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 20162017 |
| West Penn Power Company |  |
| generation | $6.66 \quad 6.40$ |
| delivery | $4.46 \quad 5.22$ |
| total rate | 11.1211 .62 |
| Average For Pennsylvania |  |
| generation | $6.80 \quad 6.45$ |
| transmission | $1.06 \quad 1.12$ |
| delivery | $5.85 \quad 6.48$ |
| ctc | $0.18 \quad 0.11$ |
| total rate | 13.2813 .61 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 13.87 |
| Average For Mid-Atlantic |  |
| generation | 8.208 .32 |
| transmission | $0.94 \quad 0.99$ |
| delivery | $6.13 \quad 6.34$ |
| ctc | $0.17 \quad 0.12$ |
| total rate | 15.5915 .89 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 15.62 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
2016 ..... 2017
Michigan
AEP (Indiana Michigan Power combined MI rate areas)
generation ..... $7.68 \quad 8.06$
delivery ..... $3.14 \quad 3.22$
total rate ..... 10.8211 .28
Consumers Energy
total rate ..... $15.36 \quad 15.83$
DTE Electric Company
total rate ..... $15.60 \quad 15.52$
Northern States Power Company (WI)total rate$12.27 \quad 12.61$
Upper Peninsula Power Company
total rate ..... 24.50
We Energies (formerly Wisconsin Electric)
total rate ..... $15.98 \quad 15.71$
Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
total rate ..... $11.38 \quad 12.67$
Average For Michigan
generation ..... 7.68 ..... 8.06
delivery ..... 3.14 ..... 3.22
total rate ..... $15.30 \quad 15.54$
total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) ..... 15.20

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average For East North Central

| generation | 6.33 | 6.19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| transmission | 1.02 | 1.26 |
| delivery | 5.34 | 5.49 |
| total rate | 13.12 | 13.30 |
| s, coops, etc.) | 13.05 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
20162017

## South Atlantic

| Delmarva Power |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| generation | 7.88 | 8.41 |
| transmission | 1.02 | 1.18 |
| delivery | 4.68 | 4.31 |
| total rate | 13.59 | 13.90 |
| Average For Delaware |  |  |
| generation | 7.88 | 8.41 |
| transmission | 1.02 | 1.18 |
| delivery | 4.68 | 4.31 |
| total rate | 13.59 | 13.90 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 13.42 |  |
| District of Columbia |  |  |
| Potomac Electric Power Company |  |  |
| generation | 7.48 | 7.32 |
| transmission | 0.58 | 0.57 |
| delivery | 4.17 | 4.30 |
| total rate | 12.23 | 12.19 |
| Average For District of Columbia |  |  |
| generation | 7.48 | 7.32 |
| transmission | 0.58 | 0.57 |
| delivery | 4.17 | 4.30 |
| total rate | 12.23 | 12.19 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 12.29 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 $2016 \quad 2017$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maryland |  |
| Baltimore Gas \& Electric Company |  |
| generation | $8.54 \quad 7.71$ |
| transmission | 0.951 .10 |
| delivery | $5.15 \quad 5.06$ |
| total rate | 14.6313 .86 |
| Delmarva Power |  |
| generation | $8.08 \quad 8.04$ |
| transmission | $0.90 \quad 0.88$ |
| delivery | $6.15 \quad 5.99$ |
| total rate | 15.1414 .92 |
| Potomac Edison Company |  |
| ( generation | $6.76 \quad 6.62$ |
| transmission | $0.40 \quad 0.40$ |
| delivery | 3.984 .05 |
| total rate | 11.1411 .07 |
| Potomac Electric Power Company |  |
| generation | $8.08 \quad 7.50$ |
| transmission | 0.710 .66 |
| delivery | $5.87 \quad 6.53$ |
| total rate | 14.6614 .69 |
| Average For Maryland |  |
| generation | $8.11 \quad 7.52$ |
| transmission | $0.80 \quad 0.86$ |
| delivery | $5.26 \quad 5.36$ |
| total rate | 14.1813 .75 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 14.22 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 $2016 \quad 2017$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| North Carolina |  |
| Dominion North Carolina Power |  |
| total rate | 10.4710 .86 |
| Duke Energy Carolinas |  |
| total rate | 10.3610 .19 |
| Duke Energy Progress, Inc. |  |
| total rate | $10.78 \quad 10.37$ |
| Average For North Carolina |  |
| total rate | 10.5410 .29 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 11.05 |
| South Carolina |  |
| Duke Energy Carolinas |  |
| total rate | 10.9310 .59 |
| Duke Energy Progress, Inc. |  |
| total rate | 10.0111 .20 |
| South Carolina Electric \& Gas Company |  |
| total rate | 14.7014 .89 |
| Average For South Carolina |  |
| total rate | $12.61 \quad 12.74$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 12.65 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 20162017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi |  |
| Entergy Mississippi, Inc. |  |
| total rate | 8.169 .46 |
| Mississippi Power Company |  |
| total rate | 12.7013 .24 |
| Average For Mississippi |  |
| total rate | $9.38 \quad 10.48$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.47 |
| Tennessee |  |
| AEP (Kingsport Power Rate Area) |  |
| total rate | $8.49 \quad 9.18$ |
| Average For Tennessee |  |
| total rate | $8.49 \quad 9.18$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.41 |
| Average For East South Central |  |
| total rate | 11.1411 .79 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.86 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Residential Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hawaii | 2016 | 2017 |  |
| Hawaii | total rate |  |  |
| Hawaii Electric Light Company | total rate | 31.52 | 34.20 |
| Hawaiian Electric Company | total rate | 26.07 | 28.22 |
| Maui Electric Company (Lanai) | total rate | 33.52 | 35.87 |
| Maui Electric Company (Maui) | total rate | 28.49 | 30.64 |
| Maui Electric Company (Molokai) | total rate | 32.70 | 35.57 |
| Average For Hawaii | 27.38 | 29.64 |  |

## Average For Hawaii

| total rate | 27.38 | 29.64 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| , coops, etc. $)$ | 27.47 |  |

## Average For USA

| generation | 7.65 | 7.69 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| transmission | 1.44 | 1.59 |
| delivery | 5.83 | 6.02 |
| ctc | 0.25 | 0.12 |
| total rate | 12.93 | 13.28 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 12.54 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  |  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 <br> New York | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 2017 |  |  |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
2016 ..... 2017
East North Central
Illinois
Ameren Illinois Rate Zone I (formerly CIPS)

| generation | 5.72 | 5.28 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| delivery | 3.04 | 3.14 |
| total rate | 8.76 | 8.42 |

## Ameren Illinois Rate Zone II (formerly CILCO)

| generation | 5.98 | 5.58 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| delivery | 3.14 | 3.17 |
| total rate | 9.12 | 8.75 |

Ameren Illinois Rate Zone III (formerly IP)
generation $\quad 5.79 \quad 5.45$
delivery $\quad 2.96 \quad 3.12$

| total rate | $8.75 \quad 8.57$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Commonwealth Edison Company

| generation | 5.58 | 5.85 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| delivery | 3.48 | 3.68 |
| total rate | 9.06 | 9.53 |

Commonwealth Edison Company - Unbundled
delivery $\quad 2.51 \quad 2.63$

MidAmerican Energy
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { total rate } & 7.62 \quad 8.21\end{array}$
MidAmerican Energy Company (Delivery Service)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { delivery } & 2.45 \quad 2.27\end{array}$

## Average For Illinois

| generation | 5.63 | 5.74 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| delivery | 2.82 | 2.96 |
| total rate | 8.89 | 9.06 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 9.04 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/3120162017
Michigan
AEP (Indiana Michigan Power combined MI rate areas)
generation ..... $7.56 \quad 8.07$
delivery ..... 2.02 ..... 2.03
total rate ..... 9.5810 .10
Consumers Energy
total rate ..... 12.1412 .68
DTE Electric Company
total rate ..... $10.01 \quad 10.17$
Northern States Power Company (WI)
total rate ..... 11.0410 .85
Upper Peninsula Power Company
total rate ..... 18.04
We Energies (formerly Wisconsin Electric)
total rate ..... $14.51 \quad 14.57$
Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
total rate ..... $11.47 \quad 12.69$
Average For Michigan
generation ..... $7.56 \quad 8.07$
delivery ..... $2.02 \quad 2.03$
total rate ..... 10.8211 .20
total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) ..... 10.64

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 $2016 \quad 2017$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Average For Ohio |  |
| generation | $6.40 \quad 6.21$ |
| transmission | 1.061 .10 |
| delivery | 3.093 .06 |
| total rate | 11.3910 .31 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 9.98 |
| Wisconsin |  |
| Madison Gas \& Electric Company |  |
| total rate | 11.4211 .85 |
| Northern States Power Company (WI) |  |
| total rate | 10.0310 .33 |
| Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company |  |
| total rate | 13.3913 .39 |
| Superior Water, Light \& Power Company |  |
| total rate | 8.859 .43 |
| We Energies (formerly Wisconsin Electric) |  |
| total rate | 11.6011 .64 |
| Wisconsin Public Service Corporation |  |
| total rate | 9.329 .32 |
| WP\&L |  |
| total rate | 11.2011 .22 |
| Average For Wisconsin |  |
| total rate | 10.8510 .95 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.77 |
| Average For East North Central |  |
| generation | $6.00 \quad 6.02$ |
| transmission | $\begin{array}{ll}1.06 & 1.10\end{array}$ |
| delivery | $2.93 \quad 2.99$ |
| total rate | 10.4810 .59 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 9.97 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
20162017

## South Atlantic

| Delaware |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Delmarva Power | generation | 7.16 | 6.46 |
|  | transmission | 0.75 | 0.73 |
|  | delivery | 2.51 | 2.63 |
|  | total rate | 10.42 | 9.82 |
| Average For Delaware | generation |  |  |
|  | transmission | 7.16 | 6.46 |
|  | delivery | 0.75 | 0.73 |
|  | total rate | 2.51 | 2.63 |
| District of Cor all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.42 | 9.82 |  |
| Potomac Electric Power Company | 10.09 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Average For District of Columbia

| generation | 7.44 | 6.99 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| transmission | 0.52 | 0.49 |
| delivery | 4.21 | 4.47 |
| total rate | 12.17 | 11.95 |

total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 11.72

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates


Edison Electric Institute Conroy

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 $2016 \quad 2017$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maryland |  |
| Baltimore Gas \& Electric Company |  |
| generation | $7.33-3.35$ |
| transmission | $0.64 \quad 0.75$ |
| delivery | $3.10 \quad 3.19$ |
| total rate | 11.0710 .29 |
| Delmarva Power |  |
| generation | 7.057 .53 |
| transmission | $0.71 \quad 0.71$ |
| delivery | $4.14 \quad 4.55$ |
| total rate | 11.9112 .79 |
| Potomac Edison Company |  |
| generation | $6.30 \quad 6.95$ |
| transmission | 0.320 .33 |
| delivery | $3.10 \quad 3.16$ |
| total rate | $9.72 \quad 10.44$ |
| Potomac Electric Power Company |  |
| generation | $7.16 \quad 6.71$ |
| transmission | $0.48 \quad 0.45$ |
| delivery | 4.224 .45 |
| total rate | 11.8611 .61 |
| Average For Maryland |  |
| generation | $7.15 \quad 6.61$ |
| transmission | $0.57 \quad 0.62$ |
| delivery | $3.47 \quad 3.62$ |
| total rate | 11.2510 .87 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.99 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 20162017 |
| Virginia |  |
| AEP (Appalachian Power Rate Area) |  |
| generation | 5.865 .89 |
| transmission | $\begin{array}{ll}1.33 & 1.37\end{array}$ |
| delivery | $\begin{array}{ll}1.73 & 1.75\end{array}$ |
| total rate | 8.929 .01 |
| Dominion Virginia Power |  |
| total rate | $7.60 \quad 7.55$ |
| Old Dominion Power Company |  |
| total rate | $9.35 \quad 9.71$ |
| Average For Virginia |  |
| generation | $5.86 \quad 5.89$ |
| transmission | 1.331 .37 |
| delivery | 1.731 .75 |
| total rate | $7.74 \quad 7.69$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 7.94 |
| West Virginia |  |
| AEP (Appalachian Power Rate Area) |  |
| total rate | $9.05 \quad 9.26$ |
| AEP (Wheeling Power Rate Area) |  |
| total rate | $8.97 \quad 9.19$ |
| Monongahela Power Company |  |
| total rate | $9.40 \quad 9.65$ |
| Potomac Edison Company |  |
| total rate | 9.1210 .20 |
| Average For West Virginia |  |
| total rate | $9.18 \quad 9.51$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 9.37 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Average For South Atlantic | 2016 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |  |
|  | generation |  |  |
|  | transmission | 6.79 | 6.42 |
|  | delivery | 0.81 | 0.85 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 3.41 | 3.57 |  |
|  | total rate | 8.85 | 8.97 |
|  | 9.25 |  |  |

## East South Central

| Alabama |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alabama Power Company | total rate | 11.55 | 12.12 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) |  |  |  |
| total rate | 11.55 | 12.12 |  |
| Kentucky |  | 11.11 |  |
| AEP (Kentucky Power Rate Area) | total rate | 11.89 | 12.10 |
| Duke Energy Kentucky | total rate | 7.70 | 7.36 |
| Kentucky Utilities Company | total rate | 9.71 | 10.13 |
| Louisville Gas \& Electric Company | total rate | 9.46 | 9.77 |
| Average For Kentucky | total rate | 9.60 | 9.84 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 <br> 20162017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mississippi |  |
| Entergy Mississippi, Inc. |  |
| total rate | $7.65 \quad 8.84$ |
| Mississippi Power Company |  |
| total rate | 9.8210 .32 |
| Average For Mississippi |  |
| total rate | $8.45 \quad 9.38$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 9.58 |
| Tennessee |  |
| AEP (Kingsport Power Rate Area) |  |
| total rate | $8.57 \quad 9.68$ |
| Average For Tennessee |  |
| total rate | $8.57 \quad 9.68$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.19 |
| Average For East South Central |  |
| total rate | 10.1510 .70 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.20 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Commercial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hawaii |  |  |
| Hawaii |  |  |
| Hawaii Electric Light Company |  |  |
| total rate | 29.57 | 32.29 |
| Hawaiian Electric Company |  |  |
| total rate | 22.70 | 25.00 |
| Maui Electric Company (Lanai) |  |  |
| total rate | 36.32 | 39.02 |
| Maui Electric Company (Maui) |  |  |
| total rate | 28.39 | 30.42 |
| Maui Electric Company (Molokai) |  |  |
| total rate | 33.91 | 37.04 |
| Average For Hawaii |  |  |
| total rate | 24.44 | 26.74 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 24.64 |  |
| Average For Hawaii |  |  |
| total rate | 24.44 | 26.74 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 24.64 |  |
| Average For USA |  |  |
| generation | 7.00 | 7.36 |
| transmission | 1.44 | 1.44 |
| delivery | 3.70 | 3.76 |
| ctc | 0.30 | 0.21 |
| total rate | 10.60 | 10.82 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 10.44 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
2016 ..... 2017
Mid-Atlantic
New Jersey
Atlantic City Electric Company

| generation | 20.63 | 16.42 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| transmission | 1.13 | 0.94 |  |
|  | delivery | 3.13 | 2.98 |
|  | total rate | 24.90 | 20.34 |

Jersey Central Power \& Light Company

| generation | $6.61 \quad 6.13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| transmission | 0.40 | 0.35 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

delivery ..... $2.12 \quad 2.56$
ctc ..... $0.36 \quad 0.29$
total rate ..... $9.48 \quad 9.33$
Public Service Electric \& Gas Company

| generation | 8.42 | 7.93 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| delivery | 2.31 | 2.23 |
| ctc | 0.00 | -0.01 |
| total rate | 10.73 | 10.15 |

Rockland Electric Company
total rate ..... $14.82 \quad 14.08$
Average For New Jersey

| generation | 8.91 | 8.10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| transmission | 0.59 | 0.49 |
| delivery | 2.33 | 2.42 |
| ctc | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| total rate | 11.27 | 10.60 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12 20162017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| New York |  |
| Central Hudson Gas \& Electric Corporation |  |
| total rate | 9.8610 .52 |
| Consolidated Edison Company of New York |  |
| total rate | 16.6817 .18 |
| National Grid (Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation) |  |
| total rate | $5.05 \quad 5.57$ |
| New York State Electric \& Gas Corporation |  |
| total rate | $6.39 \quad 6.57$ |
| Orange \& Rockland Utilities, Inc. |  |
| total rate | $8.36 \quad 7.24$ |
| Rochester Gas \& Electric Corporation |  |
| total rate | 9.8210 .90 |
| Average For New York |  |
| total rate | 6.396 .76 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 5.99 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

| Pennsylvania |  | 12 Months Ending 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Duquesne Light Company |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 4.99 | 5.21 |
|  | transmission | 0.77 | 1.16 |
|  | delivery | 1.43 | 1.33 |
|  | total rate | 7.18 | 7.70 |
| Metropolitan Edison Company |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 5.84 | 6.77 |
|  | delivery | 0.90 | 1.00 |
|  | ctc | 0.10 | 0.01 |
|  | total rate | 6.84 | 7.78 |
| PECO Energy |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 4.87 | 4.73 |
|  | transmission | 0.48 | 0.26 |
|  | delivery | 1.61 | 1.75 |
|  | total rate | 6.96 | 6.74 |
| Pennsylvania Electric Company |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 6.39 | 7.13 |
|  | delivery | 1.04 | 1.16 |
|  | ctc | 0.26 | 0.25 |
|  | total rate | 7.69 | 8.54 |
| Pennsylvania Power Company |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 4.21 | 7.43 |
|  | delivery | 0.37 | 0.41 |
|  | total rate | 4.58 | 7.84 |
| PPL Utilities Corp. |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 4.10 | 3.82 |
|  | transmission | 0.83 | 1.05 |
|  | delivery | 0.32 | 0.37 |
|  | total rate | 5.25 | 5.24 |
| UGI Utilities, Inc. |  |  |  |
|  | generation | 5.99 | 5.62 |
|  | transmission | 0.22 | 0.22 |
|  | delivery | 2.08 | 2.24 |
|  | total rate | 8.29 | 8.08 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/3 20162017 |
| :---: | :---: |
| West Penn Power Company |  |
| generation | 4.692 .69 |
| delivery | $0.56 \quad 0.61$ |
| total rate | $5.25 \quad 3.30$ |
| Average For Pennsylvania |  |
| generation | 4.954 .37 |
| transmission | $0.59 \quad 0.55$ |
| delivery | $0.70 \quad 0.76$ |
| ctc | $0.18 \quad 0.12$ |
| total rate | $6.38 \quad 5.94$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 6.94 |
| Average For Mid-Atlantic |  |
| generation | $6.54 \quad 6.00$ |
| transmission | $0.59 \quad 0.53$ |
| delivery | $0.97 \quad 1.03$ |
| ctc | $0.16 \quad 0.11$ |
| total rate | $7.64 \quad 7.28$ |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 7.03 |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

|  | 12 Months Ending 12/31$2016 \quad 2017$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indiana |  |  |
| AEP (Indiana Michigan Power) |  |  |
| total rate | 6.36 | 6.49 |
| Duke Energy Indiana |  |  |
| total rate | 6.89 | 7.27 |
| Indianapolis Power \& Light Company |  |  |
| total rate | 8.28 | 8.73 |
| Northern Indiana Public Service Company |  |  |
| total rate | 6.80 | 7.37 |
| Southern Indiana Gas \& Electric Company |  |  |
| total rate | 7.33 | 7.85 |
| Average For Indiana |  |  |
| total rate | 7.05 | 7.45 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 6.99 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
20162017

## Average For Ohio

| generation | 5.35 | 5.86 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transmission | 0.78 | 0.74 |
| delivery | 0.81 | 0.82 |
| total rate | 6.74 | 7.10 |

total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 7.00

## Wisconsin

Madison Gas \& Electric Company

Northern States Power Company (WI)
total rate $7.55 \quad 8.23$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { total rate } & 7.59 \quad 7.74\end{array}$
Northwestern Wisconsin Electric Company
total rate $\quad 9.24 \quad 9.39$
Superior Water, Light \& Power Company
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { total rate } & 6.66 \quad 7.06\end{array}$
We Energies (formerly Wisconsin Electric)
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { total rate } & 8.26 \quad 8.25\end{array}$
Wisconsin Public Service Corporation
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { total rate } & 6.00 \quad 6.00\end{array}$
WP\&L
total rate $\quad 7.96 \quad 7.81$
Average For Wisconsin
total rate $\quad 7.58 \quad 7.57$
total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 7.52

## Average For East North Central

| generation | 5.31 | 5.77 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transmission | 0.78 | 0.74 |
| delivery | 1.02 | 1.06 |
| total rate | 7.13 | 7.38 |
| total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) | 6.95 |  |

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates

12 Months Ending 12/31
20162017

## South Atlantic

## Delaware

Delmarva Power

| generation | 6.46 | 6.96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transmission | 0.62 | 0.57 |
| delivery | 0.86 | 0.91 |
| total rate | 7.94 | 8.44 |

## Average For Delaware

| generation | 6.46 | 6.96 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| transmission | 0.62 | 0.57 |
| delivery | 0.86 | 0.91 |
| total rate | 7.94 | 8.44 |

total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 8.10

## District of Columbia

Potomac Electric Power Company
delivery
1.28
1.28

## Average For District of Columbia

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { delivery } & 1.28 & 1.28
\end{array}
$$

total for all utilities (IOUs, munis, coops, etc.) 8.80

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates


Edison Electric Institute Conroy

## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



## Average Rates

(in cents/kilowatthour)

## Industrial Average Rates



|  | Residential Rates in Effect September 2018 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Investor-Owned / Rural Electric Cooperative | Last Rate Impacting Case <br> Numbers | REC (if applicable) |  | Customer Charge |  | esidential Rate per kWh |
| 1 | American Electric Power (Kentucky Power) | 10 | 2017-00179 |  | \$ | 14.00 | \$ | 0.098197 (3) |
| 2 | Big Sandy RECC | REC | 2017-00374 | East Ky. | \$ | 21.25 | \$ | 0.087560 |
| 3 | Blue Grass Energy Cooperative | REC | 2017-00008 | East Ky. | \$ | 16.50 | \$ | 0.082810 |
| 4 | Clark Energy Cooperative, Inc. | REC | 2017-00009 | East Ky. | \$ | 12.43 | \$ | 0.089920 |
| 5 | Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. | REC | 2017-00010 | East Ky. | \$ | 12.00 | \$ | 0.085000 |
| 6 | Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. | 10 | 2017-00321 |  | \$ | 11.00 | \$ | 0.095357 (4) |
| 7 | Farmers RECC | REC | 2017-00011 | East Ky. | \$ | 14.00 | \$ | 0.086289 |
| 8 | Fleming-Mason RECC | REC | 2017-00012 | East Ky. | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 0.081830 |
| 9 | Gibson EMC (formerly Hickman-Fulton Counties) | REC |  | TVA | \$ | 23.50 | \$ | 0.079640 |
| 10 | Grayson RECC | REC | 2017-00013 | East Ky. | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 0.106580 |
| 11 | Inter-County RECC | REC | 2017-00014 | East Ky. | \$ | 8.97 | \$ | 0.091710 |
| 12 | Jackson Energy Coop. Corp. | REC | 2017-00015 | East Ky. | \$ | 16.44 | \$ | 0.095910 |
| 13 | Jackson Purchase Energy Corporation | REC | 2013-00384 | Big Rivers | \$ | 12.45 | \$ | 0.100780 |
| 14 | Kenergy Corp. | REC | 2015-00312 | Big Rivers | \$ | 18.20 | \$ | 0.102038 |
| 15 | Kentucky Utilities Company (Current) | 10 | 2018-00034 |  | \$ | 12.25 | \$ | 0.090470 |
| 16 | Kentucky Utilities Company (Proposed) | 10 | 2018-00294 |  | \$ | 16.13 | \$ | 0.095520 |
| 17 | Licking Valley RECC | REC | 2017-00016 | East Ky. | \$ | 14.00 | \$ | 0.092002 |
| 18 | Louisville Gas and Electric Company (Current) | 10 | 2018-00034 |  | \$ | 12.25 | \$ | 0.093820 |
| 19 | Louisville Gas and Electric Company (Proposed) | 10 | 2018-00295 |  | \$ | 16.13 | \$ | 0.094200 |
| 20 | Meade County RECC | REC | 2013-00231 | Big Rivers | \$ | 17.40 (2) | \$ | 0.097665 |
| 21 | Nolin RECC | REC | 2017-00017 | East Ky. | \$ | 13.50 | \$ | 0.090220 |
| 22 | Owen Electric Cooperative, Inc. | REC | 2017-00018 | East Ky. | \$ | 20.00 | \$ | 0.082450 |
| 23 | Pennyrile Electric | REC |  | TVA | \$ | 23.40 | \$ | 0.073480 |
| 24 | Salt River Electric Coop. Corp. | REC | 2017-00019 | East Ky. | \$ | 8.84 | \$ | 0.077040 |
| 25 | Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc. | REC | 2017-00020 | East Ky. | \$ | 15.00 | \$ | 0.088410 |
| 26 | South Kentucky RECC | REC | 2017-00021 | East Ky. | \$ | 12.82 | \$ | 0.082940 |
| 27 | Taylor County RECC | REC | 2017-00022 | East Ky. | \$ | 9.82 | \$ | 0.079680 |
| 28 | Tri-County Electric | REC |  | TVA | \$ | 18.00 | \$ | 0.099000 |
| 29 | Warren RECC | REC |  | TVA | \$ | 18.80 | \$ | 0.074900 |
| 30 | West Kentucky RECC | REC |  | TVA | \$ | 23.40 | \$ | 0.101100 |

(1) Typical Bills are based on Customer Charge, Base Rates, FAC, DSM and ECR factors only. No other applicable charges have been included.
(2) Based on Meade County RECC Residential Customer Charge of $\$ 0.572$ per Day multiplied by 365 days / 12 months (Per Order dated April 25,2014 in Cas
(3) AEP Rate with combinded Energy and Capacity Charge
(4) Fuel Charge imbeded in Base Res Rate is Combined with Res Tariff Rate
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Attachment to Response to PSC-2 Question No. 2(c)
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## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 3

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-3. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 15, lines 1-5.
a. Explain how LG\&E is training customer service representatives to handle customer inquiries about the infrastructure and variable components of the energy charge on the tariff sheets as compared to how the customer is actually billed. Provide all materials and support documents.
b. Confirm that on the customer's monthly bill, the energy charge will be the total kWh charge and not the two components.

A-3.
a. For Customer Representatives, training material will be developed and delivered via the most appropriate channels for this material including but not limited to eLearning, classroom training and the use of references in our online knowledgebase. The training development will begin closer to the implementation of the new rates to incorporate any revisions that may occur. Delivery of the training will be "just in time" and will fully align with the KPSC Order issued in this case.
b. Yes, as discussed in the testimony of Mr. Conroy at page 16, lines 15-18, the energy charge on the monthly bill will reflect the total kWh charge and not the two components.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 4

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-4. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 22, lines 1-12.
a. Explain why a customer must have a load of 10 MVA or more.
b. Explain why LG\&E is limiting this offering to 50 MW for each company.

A-4.
a. Green Tariff Option \#3 is targeted at customers who desire utility scale renewable options (hence 10 MW or more) that will support adding new renewable resources to the grid.
b. As Mr. Conroy states in his testimony on page 22, lines 10-12, "The Companies propose to limit this offering to 50 MW for each of the Companies, i.e., no more than 100 MW total, which should be absorbable in the Companies’ system without material integration issues."

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 5

## Responding Witness: John K. Wolfe

Q-5. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 23, lines 20-21.
a. Provide an itemized list of each type of existing fixtures and pole in LG\&E's inventory.
b. Provide an estimated date of when LG\&E is projecting the inventory to be exhausted.

A-5.
a. See attached.
b. LG\&E's inventory of street lights are continually restocked to minimum allowable levels based on historical annual usage. LG\&E plans to stop ordering non-LED fixtures that have moved to RLS upon approval of this proceeding. Remaining non-LED lighting inventory will be used to perform repair and maintenance on in-service lights until the inventory is depleted. LG\&E estimates it will deplete this inventory in approximately one year. Once the inventory is depleted, LED lighting materials will be used to replace existing in-service lights that fail or need repair.

| LG\&E Lighting Inventory |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outdoor Lighting Fixtures | Type | Wattage | Lumens | Outdoor Lighting Poles |
| ACORN | HPS | 70w | 5,800 | 10' Smooth Black Alum Pole |
| ACORN | HPS | 100w | 9,500 | 12' Smooth Black Alum Pole |
| ACORN | HPS | 150w | 16,000 | 10' Fluted Black Alum Pole |
| COBRA | HPS | 150w | 16,000 | 16' Bronze Alum Round Pole |
| COBRA | HPS | 250w | 28,500 | 16' Bronze Al Square Pole |
| COBRA | HPS | 400w | 50,000 | 28' Black Alum Round Pole |
| COBRA | LED | 150w Eq | 6,850 | 30' Bronze Alum Round Pole |
| COBRA | LED | 250w Eq | 14,750 | 30' Alum Davit |
| COBRA | LED | 400w Eq | 25,500 | 35' Wood Pole |
| COLONIAL | HPS | 70w | 5,800 |  |
| COLONIAL | HPS | 100w | 9,500 |  |
| COLONIAL | HPS | 150w | 16,000 |  |
| COLONIAL | LED | 100w Eq | 5,500 |  |
| CONTEMPORARY | HPS | 150w | 16,000 |  |
| CONTEMPORARY | HPS | 250w | 28,500 |  |
| CONTEMPORARY | HPS | 400w | 50,000 |  |
| CONTEMPORARY | MH | 350w | 32,000 |  |
| DIRECTIONAL | HPS | 150w | 16,000 |  |
| DIRECTIONAL | HPS | 400w | 50,000 |  |
| DIRECTIONAL | MH | 350w | 32,000 |  |
| LONDON | HPS | 70w | 5,800 |  |
| LONDON | HPS | 100w | 9,500 |  |
| OPEN BOTTOM | HPS | 100w | 9,500 |  |
| OPEN BOTTOM | LED | 100w Eq | 5,250 |  |
| VICTORIAN | HPS | 70w | 5,800 |  |
| VICTORIAN | HPS | 100w | 9,500 |  |

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 6

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Counsel

Q-6. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 25, lines 20-21. Explain if Rate PSA applies to public and private K-12 schools.

A-6. The current Rate PSA applies only to cable television system operators and certain telecommunication carriers, as defined therein. The Company proposes to expand the applicability of Rate PSA to "Governmental Units" and "Educational Institutions."

As the proposed Rate PSA defines "educational institution" as "a public or private, non-profit university, college or community college," public and private K-12 schools would not qualify as an educational institution and would not be eligible under the proposed Rate PSA for attachment services as an educational institution.

County and independent school districts own, operate, and manage Kentucky's public elementary and secondary schools. These districts are political subdivisions or agencies of the state. See, e.g,, Rose v. Council for Better Education, 790 S.W.2d 186 (Ky. 1989); Board of Education v. Board of Education, 458 S.W.2d 6 (Ky. 1970). As such, they would be eligible for attachment service under the proposed Rate PSA as a governmental unit, which the Rate PSA defines as "any agency or department of the Federal Government, a department, agency or other unit of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, a county or city, special district or other political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Kentucky."

As a private elementary or secondary school would not meet the definition of educational institution or government unit, it would not be eligible for attachment service under the proposed Rate PSA. It could, however, request such service through a special contract.

If the Commission determines that attachment service should also be made available to private elementary and secondary schools under Rate PSA, the proposed definition of "educational institution" would require revision.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 7

## Responding Witness: John K. Wolfe

Q-7. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 26, lines 7-9.
a. Provide a comparison of the average license agreement pole attachment fee with the current pole attachment fee.
b. Provide the number of license agreements.

A-7.
a. LG\&E's Governmental Unit and Educational Institution licensees pay attachment fees according to various fee structures. Most paid a one-time fee based on the aerial footage of their attachments, rather than an annual, perattachment fee. Among licensees who pay an annual attachment fee, the attachment fee is already the same rate as the attachment fee offered under Rate PSA.
b. LG\&E has six license agreements with Governmental Units and Educational Institutions

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 8

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / John K. Wolfe

Q-8. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 28, lines 17-23 and page 29, lines 1-4. State whether there is a limit on how many times a specific pole attachment can be audited over a specific amount of time.

A-8. Rate PSA does not limit the number of times a pole attachment may be audited over a specific period of time. LG\&E intends to perform such audits not more frequently than every five years for the purposes set forth in Term and Condition No. 14, such that each pole is audited no more than once in a five-year period.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 9

Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / John K. Wolfe

Q-9. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 29, lines 5-14.
a. Explain how LG\&E arrived at the $\$ 25$ per attachment penalty amount.
b. Explain why it is reasonable for LG\&E to presume that the unauthorized attachment period would be two years.
c. State how many times in the last two years LG\&E has had to remove an unauthorized attachment.

A-9.
a. LG\&E currently has no means to discourage unauthorized attachments. While Rate PSA currently permits LG\&E to remove such attachments, that remedy is not practical as it deprives the unauthorized attacher's customers, who are likely to also be LG\&E customers, of the service provided by the unauthorized attachment. LG\&E sought a penalty amount substantial enough to deter unauthorized attachments without being excessive.

In light of the penalties that other regulatory bodies permit for unauthorized attachments, the proposed penalty, which is approximately 3.5 times the annual attachment fee of $\$ 7.25$, is not excessive. The Oregon Public Utilities Commission permits pole owners to assess a fee no greater than five times the current annual rental fee per pole if the unauthorized attachment is reported by the attachment owner to the pole owner and is accompanied by a permit application or is discovered through a joint inspection between the pole owner and attachment owner and accompanied by a permit application. If the pole owner discovers an unauthorized attachment during an inspection in which the attachment owner declined to participate, a penalty of $\$ 100$ per pole plus five times the current annual rental fee per pole is permissible. Or. Admin. R. 860-028-0140 (2018). The Federal Communications Commission has found the Oregon approach to be reasonable. See Implementation of Section 224 of the Act: A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, Docket No. 07-245, GN Docket No. 09-51, Report and Order and Order on Reconsideration, 26 FCC Rcd 5240 (2011).
b. In The Adoption of a Standard Methodology for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole Attachments, Administrative Case No. 251 (Ky. PSC Sep. 17, 1982), the Commission found that it was appropriate for purposes of determining the amount of a penalty for unauthorized attachments to assume that an unauthorized attachment was made the day after the utility conducted its last inspection. The same assumption can be used to determine how long an unauthorized attachment has been attached to a Company structure for purposes of determining any unpaid attachment charges. Since the Company will not conduct pole audits more frequently than every five years, this assumption would support the use of a five-year period. KRS 278.225, however, limits the assessment of any unbilled charges to two years from the date of discovery of the attachment and would permit LG\&E to bill the owner of the unauthorized attachment only for two years of attachment fees or for the period from the date of the last audit to the date of discovery, whichever is less. The presumption is a rebuttable presumption. The attachment owner will be provided the opportunity to produce evidence that the period of unauthorized attachment was less.
c. LG\&E has not removed any unauthorized attachments subject to Rate PSA in the last two years.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 10

Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / John K. Wolfe

Q-10. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 29, lines 22 and 23 and page 30, lines 1-3. Explain why an attachment customer should have to pay more than the cost of repairs.

A-10. The 50 percent surcharge contained in Term and Condition No. 8(j) applies to work that LG\&E performs to correct an improperly installed attachment. An improperly installed attachment is an attachment that fails to comply with the standards of the National Electrical Safety Code, the Company's published standards, or those established by local or state law. The Company will perform the work only after the Attachment Customer has been provided with written notice of the violation and 30 days from receipt of that notice to correct the noted violations.

The proposed surcharge is intended to provide an incentive for Attachment Customers to install their facilities in accordance with all applicable codes and standards and to timely correct any improper and non-conforming installations. By allowing an Attachment Customer 30 days in which to correct the violation, the Company has sought to strike a reasonable balance between protecting system safety and reliability and providing an Attachment Customer a reasonable opportunity to make the required corrections. It is also intended to deter an Attachment Customer from using KU as a de facto contractor for correction of safety violations that would strain limited resources best devoted to LG\&E's gas and electric business.

The 50-percent surcharge is consistent with Commission precedent which allows for charges in excess of cost where an Attachment Customer fails to comply with tariff provisions. In The Adoption of a Standard Methodology for Establishing Rates for CATV Pole Attachments, Administrative Case No. 251 (Ky. PSC Sep. 17, 1982), for example, the Commission found that tariffs for pole attachments could "provide for 'make-ready' charges for unauthorized attachments not to exceed twice the charges which would have been imposed if the attachment had been properly authorized." Id. at 5 .
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 11

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-11. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 35, line 19.
a. Provide the cost of the advanced meter.
b. Explain if the cost of this meter has been included in the cost for the Solar Share subscription.
A-11.
a. The cost of the meter depends on the type of meter needed by the customer which currently ranges from $\$ 117.70$ to $\$ 307.09$. For the Louisville Gas \& Electric customers currently expected to enroll in Solar Share the average cost of the AMI meter would be $\$ 140.44$.
b. No. The cost has not been included for Solar Share as the meter can provide benefits beyond just net billing for Solar Share.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 12

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-12. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 41, lines 1-8. Explain why LG\&E needs at least six months' notice to process requests for gas transportation service in a timely fashion and ensure that a new customer is placed on the correct rate.

A-12. LG\&E is proposing to add the following language to both Rate FT (Sheet No. 30) and Rider TS-2 (Sheet No. 51): "A new customer is responsible for presenting its request to Company for service hereunder at least six (6) months prior to first receiving natural gas from Company under any of Company's rate schedules." The purpose of the additional language is to clarify the current language regarding new customers seeking transportation service under either Rate FT or Rider TS-2. The current language (to which LG\&E is not proposing a change) provides that "new customers who have no historical gas consumption" may be allowed "to begin service hereunder prior to the November 1 date specified for existing customers." However, the current tariffs do not specify any notice period or lead time applicable to new customers.

Existing customers must provide notice to LG\&E by March $31^{\text {st }}$ to begin taking service the following November $1^{\text {st }}$. This represents a seven (7) month notice period. Existing customers must also demonstrate that they meet the minimum volume requirement to qualify for service under either Rate FT or Rider TS-2. Those requirements are in place for existing customers in order to prevent cost shifting to non-transportation gas customers (e.g., residential and commercial) and to enable LG\&E to ensure that facilities (for example telemetry) and contractual arrangements required to implement transportation service are in place before the customer begins gas transportation service.

LG\&E is adding the six month request period for new customers who are not yet taking gas service from LG\&E for reasons similar to those discussed above. The six month period for new customers enables LG\&E to collect and evaluate information provided by the customer to determine if the customer qualifies for the gas transportation service requested. It allows time to ensure that facilities (for example telemetry) and contractual arrangements required to implement transportation service are in place when the customer begins taking gas service. It allows the Company to more accurately estimate the customer's projected net
revenue for application of its Main Extension Rules. Importantly, customers need to know early in their planning process if a contribution towards facilities will be required prior to LG\&E's installing facilities. For example, a customer's projected net revenue assuming Rate FT would be different than a customer's projected net revenue assuming Rate IGS.

The proposed notice period ensures that customers are placed on the correct gas service when they initially start service, and the required facilities are installed. Importantly, no existing customer will be affected by the clarification.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 13

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-13. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 42, lines 4-7. Explain the reason for the change to require Rate DGGS customers who also are provided service under Rider TS-2 to provide at least two hours' notice of changes in the hourly rates of gas consumption.

A-13. LG\&E is proposing to add the following language to Rider TS-2 Sheet 51.4: "Company may require customers served under Rate DGGS and provided with gas transportation service through this rider to provide notice of not less than two (2) hours of changes in the hourly rates of gas consumption."

Customers served under Rate DGGS use natural gas to generate electricity. Customers under Rate DGGS who have a generation load that qualifies for Rider TS-2 are large customers. Gas-fired generation loads can be highly unpredictable. Based on LG\&E's experience in providing gas transportation services, it is difficult for customers to anticipate and accurately schedule gas matching their requirements.

Gas transportation services require gas supplies to be scheduled for delivery to LG\&E a day in advance -- which may not align with the customer's need for natural gas to generate electricity. Requiring the customer to provide a two hour notice of the change in its hourly rate of gas consumption can help LG\&E better manage the daily and hourly imbalances that can be expected to occur when gas deliveries to LG\&E by the customer do not match gas consumption by the customer. Adequate notice is designed to help LG\&E maintain system reliability.

No customers taking service under Rate DGGS currently have or qualify for Rider TS-2 gas transportation service. Therefore, no existing customer would be affected by this change.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 14

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-14. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 43, lines 2-3. Explain who will pay for the stranded costs if the five year contract is not met by the customers.

A-14. Tariff provisions including written contractual arrangements and financial guaranties are provided for in Rider SFC to ensure that qualifying customers will be required to and be able to repay any amounts extended under Rider SFC (Standard Facility Contribution). As a result of these provisions, service under this rider will not give rise to stranded costs. Specifically, any customer qualifying for service under Rider SFC must enter into a written contract with LG\&E specifying that the customer shall be contractually obligated to repay amounts extended pursuant to Rider SFC. Additionally, Rider SFC includes provisions in the CREDITWORTHINESS section of Rider SFC to ensure that the qualifying customer will have the financial ability to meet its contractual obligations. These creditworthiness provisions include requirements that the customer must provide at LG\&E's request an irrevocable letter of credit or other assurance that will provide for any repayment of customer's obligation under Rider SFC if the customer fails to make the contractually required payments.
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# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 15

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-15. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 43, lines 5-10. Explain the rationale behind each of the limitations that would allow LG\&E to decline service to a customer.

A-15. The AVAILABILITY section of Rider SFC (Standard Facility Contribution) contains the following limitations regarding the provision of service under that rider:

1. Total main extension costs subject to this rider are limited to $\$ 4,000,000$ per calendar year. Because LG\&E is providing service under this rider for the first time, LG\&E is unsure of the response by customers to its availability. As a result, LG\&E has limited the amount to be extended per calendar year to $\$ 4,000,000$. The $\$ 4,000,000$ cap is approximately $0.5 \%$ of the LG\&E's gas business rate base.
2. The amount available to an individual customer under Rider SFC is limited to $\$ 2,000,000$. This limit is designed to ensure that a single customer does not use the full annual cap of $\$ 4,000,000$ on one extension, thereby providing for the potential for multiple customers to use Rider SFC in a given year.
3. LG\&E is not obligated to provide service to customers requiring amounts less than $\$ 500,000$. The purpose of this threshold is to limit the use of Rider SFC to main extensions large enough in size to provide the potential to significantly extend the gas system. Longer extension provide more opportunity to add additional customers to the extension that would increase throughput and benefit existing customers.
4. Facilities that are likely to become obsolete prior to the end of the five-year contract term are not eligible for service under Rider SFC. This limitation prevents the use of the SFC Rider for "transient" type requests that are not likely to maintain on-going gas use.
These limits are all intended to reduce potential risk under the tariff and to maximize the potential for load growth that would benefit all customers.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 16

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-16. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 44, lines 16-19.
a. Explain how the estimated annual net revenue will be guaranteed.
b. Explain what happens if the annual net revenue does not meet the estimated amount.

A-16.
a. In the event that the customer's gas use does not generate the estimated net revenue amount, the customer is contractually obligated to reimburse LG\&E for the difference between the actual net revenue and the estimated net revenue.
b. See the response to part a.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 17

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-17. Refer to the Conroy Testimony, page 48, lines 9-16.
a. Provide the terms and conditions of the FLEX Program.
b. Explain why these terms and conditions are not in LG\&E's tariff.

A-17.
a. The Fixed and Limited Income Extension ("FLEX") program was included in the Stipulation and Recommendation filed in Case No. 2009-00549. This program was established to effectively allow eligible customers to pay their bills after they receive their monthly Social Security or pension checks. Exhibit 7 of the Stipulation and Recommendation as noted included the objective, proposal, and eligibility and requirements for the FLEX Program.
b. The Commission issued an Order on July 30, 2010 in the case noted in part a above. On page 35 of the Order under the section title Findings on Stipulation, the following was stated:

As noted above, LG\&E's FLEX OPTION, described in detail in Exhibit 7 to the stipulation, will be continued. Upon questioning from the Commission at the hearing on June 8, 2010, LG\&E indicated that it preferred that the FLEX OPTION not be made a part of the tariff, so as to enable LG\&E the flexibility to make improvements to the program. The Commission will honor this request; however, before any change can be made to the FLEX OPTION, an informal conference with the Commission staff must be held whereby the rationale for the proposed change must be explained and justified to the satisfaction of the staff. The Commission appreciates the willingness of LG\&E to develop and implement this plan which benefits its customers and does not want to limit the ability of LG\&E to make necessary changes.

Each month the Companies provide an update to the Commission on the number of customers enrolled in the program.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 18

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-18. Refer to the Direct Testimony of William Steven Seelye, page 2, lines 7-12. Provide any differences between the current LOLP COSS and the LOLP COSS filed with the 2016 rate case.

A-18. There are no differences between the LOLP methodology that was used to prepare the LOLP COSS filed in 2016 as compared to the LOLP COSS methodology filed in this proceeding.

Any differences in the LOLP allocation factors between the two COSS are a result of differences in the input data for the LOLP calculations such as class loads, system loads, and generating unit characteristics including forced outage rates.
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Question No. 19
Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye / John K. Wolfe
Q-19. Refer to Seelye Testimony, page 38, line 19.
a. Explain why five years was chosen as the time period to pay the LED Conversion Fee.
b. Explain if the light is replaced, with the old light go back into inventory to be installed later for another customer.

A-19.
a. The Company considered an amortization period from three to five years, which is consistent with the amortization periods that have been used for amortization of regulatory assets of similar magnitude. An amortization period of five years, rather than three years, was chosen to minimize the impact on customers choosing to replace currently functioning non-LED fixtures with LED fixtures. An amortization period of three years would have resulted in a higher Conversion Fee.
b. Because non-LED lights will no longer be offered for new or replacement lighting installations, it is anticipated that non-LED fixtures will be scrapped whenever they are replaced with LED fixtures at the customers' request. The Company does not believe that there is a resale market for non-LED fixtures that have been replaced with LED fixtures.
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Question No. 20

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-20. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 17, Table 2. Also refer to Mr. Seelye's Testimony in Case No. 2016-00371, page 12, Table 3. Explain why the percent of customer related fixed costs has decreased from 22.9 percent to 22.2 percent.

A-20. The primary reasons identified for the decrease in the percent of customer related fixed costs are: (i) the impact that the income tax reduction had on fixed-cost components of the rate and (ii) the lower relative increase in customer-related costs compared to the increase in production-related costs, which are demand-related. In general, the lower income tax rate had a downward impact on all fixed-cost components of the rates (including customer-related costs) which are largely driven by carrying costs related to physical assets.
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Question No. 21

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-21. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 41, lines 20-22. Provide support for the proposed increase of 2.97 percent for LG\&E.

A-21. The 2.97 percent factor was the increase, as applied to the non-LED rate component charges, necessary to produce the targeted 2.65 percent overall increase in revenue for the Lighting Service (LS) and Restricted Lighting Service (RLS) schedules, as shown in Table 1 of Mr. Seelye's testimony at page 8.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 22

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-22. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 45, lines 1-16.
a. Provide support for changing to the net billing compensation mechanism to 15minute intervals.
b. Explain if a solar share customer must convert to an AMI meter.

A-22.
a. Net billing provides several benefits to the customer beyond the current BuyAll Sell-All (BASA) model used in Solar Share. First, net billing incentivizes customer self-consumption coincident with solar generation. Under net billing when a kWh is self-consumed within the 15 -minute interval, it is compensated at the full retail rate versus the current BASA which includes a net export sell rate at less than retail rate. Second net billing economically encourages customers to size their solar subscription to minimize solar generation in excess of their self-consumption. Thus, net billing helps to place solar share on a level playing field with customer-owned solar panels for generation up to the customer's energy usage.
b. Yes, as a condition of service under the SSP a customer must agree to have an advanced meter.
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Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 23

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / William Steven Seelye

Q-23. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 46, lines 1-9. Provide the average time it takes to fully charge a car.

A-23. Different vehicles charge at different speeds and have battery sizes that vary widely between 9 and 335 miles of electric range. As such, a vehicle on empty would require roughly $1-15$ hours for a full charge. At a Level 2 charging station, like the ones being deployed for the Company's Electric Vehicle Charging program, the average vehicle adds about 11.5 miles of range per hour of charging and spends 1 hour and 46 minutes at a charging station per session.
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Question No. 24

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-24. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 46, lines 11-15 and page 47, lines 1-7. Confirm that under Rider EVSE-R, the customer will pay for the electric energy in a separate bill.

A-24. The Customer will pay the kWh energy charges on the same bill. Their energy consumption will be an accumulation of their normal kWh and that of the charging station. The EVSE-R monthly fees will be listed as a separate line item on the same bill.
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Question No. 25

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy

Q-25. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 57. Provide a comparison of the bill for an LG\&E customer taking service under Rate FT at the current rates and at the proposed rates at various usage blocks.

A-25. See Tab 67 (Schedule N - Gas, page 7 of 13) of the Filing Requirements for the typical bill comparison under present and proposed rates at a range of usage levels for a Rate FT customer.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 26

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / William Steven Seelye

Q-26. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 62, lines 10-16.
a. Explain why a five-year period was used.
b. Explain who will pay for the stranded costs if the five year contract is not met by the customers

A-26.
a. A five-year payment period was selected to give customers an alternative to making an upfront cash payment while limiting the Company's exposure. The Company considered a payment period of five and ten years but selected a fiveyear payment period to limit its financial exposure.
b. See the response to Question No. 14.
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Question No. 27

## Responding Witness: Elizabeth J. McFarland / William Steven Seelye

Q-27. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, page 66, lines 8-22 and page 67 lines 1-7.
a. Provide an itemize list of any expenses LG\&E incur when processing a late payment.
b. Explain if these expenses will still occur if the late charge is waived.

A-27.
a. There are no incremental expenses incurred when processing a late payment. Late payment charges are automatically processed and applied to customer accounts by the customer billing system.
b. Not applicable. See the response to part a.
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Question No. 28

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-28. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, pages 102-103. Here, Mr. Seelye explains that the cash working capital methodology proposed by LG\&E in this case is the same Lead/Lag methodology approved by the Virginia State Corporation Commission.
a. Provide a comparative analysis between the Lead/Lag methodology proposed by LG\&E in this proceeding to the methodology proposed by Atmos in Case No. 2015-00343. ${ }^{1}$ Include in this analysis detailed explanations for any differences between the two methodologies.
b. Provide a comparative analysis between the Lead/Lag methodology proposed by LG\&E in this proceeding to the methodology proposed by KentuckyAmerican Water and accepted by the Commission in Case No. 2012-00520. ${ }^{2}$ Include in this analysis detailed explanations for any differences between the two methodologies.

A-28.
a. Based on a review of the testimony and workpapers filed by Atmos in Case No. 2015-00343, it does not appear that a lead/lag study was submitted in that proceeding. Attachment 1 of Atmos's Filing Requirement (FR_16(8)(b), Attachment 1) indicates that the 1/8th O\&M Method for Cash Working Capital was utilized. The Companies reviewed workpapers and responses to data requests submitted by Atmos in Case No. 2015-00343 and could not find where Atmos had submitted a lead/lag study.

In his testimony filed in Case No. 2015-00343, Atmos’s witness Gregory K. Waller states: "The components of rate base are: net plant in service, construction work in progress, cash working capital calculated using the $1 / 8$ O\&M expense method, plus an allowance for other working capital items consisting of materials and supplies, gas stored underground, and prepayments,

[^0]less customer advances for construction and deferred income taxes." (Testimony of Gregory K. Waller, at p. 7.)
b. The Companies reviewed the testimony, exhibits and responses to data requests filed by Kentucky-American Water Company ("KY-Amer") in Case No. 201200520 supporting its lead/lag study. Based on the information that was filed by KY-Amer, the Companies could only perform a high-level review of KYAmer's lead/lag study. However, the methodology for calculating expense lead days, as supported by Exhibit 37, Schedule B-5.2 of KY-Amer’s Filing Requirement, and as described in the Direct Testimony submitted by Linda C. Bridwell, generally appears to be the same as used by the Company. Specifically, Schedule B-5.2 breaks out the expense categories similarly to KU and LG\&E. Also, similar to LG\&E and KU, KY-Amer assumed zero lead days for accrual items such as depreciation expenses, amortization expenses, deferred income taxes. The methodology for calculating revenue lag days also appears to be similar. Differences the Companies observed are potential lead/lag day differences for pension and OPEB, uncollectibles, and net income. The Companies included working capital for pension and OPEB as a balance sheet item in rate base (see Schedule B-5.2 filed with the Companies' Application). The Companies included uncollectibles expense in the determination of lead/lag results but did not include net income in the determination of lead/lag results. Also, the Companies included pass-through items, (i.e., school tax, sales tax, and franchise fees) in their lead/lag studies; however, KY-Amer did not appear to include these pass-through items in its lead/lag study.
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Question No. 29

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-29. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, Exhibit WSS-4. Provide cost support for the following:
a. Total Installed Cost
b. Fixed Carrying Charge
c. Annual Carrying Cost

A-29.
a. See attachment being provided in Excel format. The breakdown of costs for Overhead LED lights can be found in the tab labeled "Overhead Lights", and the costs for Underground LED Lights can be found in the tab labeled "Underground Lights".
b. See attachment being provided in Excel format. The determination of the fixed carrying charges can be found in the tab labeled "Fixed Carrying Cost".
c. Annual Carrying Cost is the product of Total Installed Cost and Fixed Carrying Charge.

# The attachment is being provided in a separate file in Excel format. 
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Question No. 30

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-30. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, Exhibit WSS-5. Provide cost support for the following:
a. Pole allocation factor.
b. Depreciation Rate.

A-30.
a. See the Excel attachment to the response to Question No. 29, parts a and b. The pole allocation factor is calculated in the tab labeled "Maintenance \& NBV".
b. The depreciation rate is based on recovering the remaining book value of the original light over a 5 -year period. Therefore, the depreciation rate would be determined by $100 \% / 5$ years $=20 \%$.
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Question No. 31

## Responding Witness: Robert M. Conroy / William Steven Seelye

Q-31. Refer to the Seelye Testimony, Exhibit WSS-6. Also, refer to the Tariff Filing 2018-00372 ${ }^{3}$ regarding the Revised Solar Share Program Tariff submitted by KU/LG\&E pursuant to Case No. 2016-00274. ${ }^{4}$ The total cost for LG\&E and KU is estimated to be $\$ 136,392$ in the instant case and $\$ 150,988$ in Tariff Filing 201800372. Reconcile this difference.

A-31. See the testimony of Mr. Seelye at page 44, lines 9-13. WSS-6 reflects the latest estimated cost of the solar facilities. Tariff Filing 2018-00372 maintained the original estimated capital costs and was made specifically to remove the administration fee and update rates to reflect the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

[^1]
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Question No. 32

## Responding Witness: William Steven Seelye

Q-32. Refer to the Seeley Testimony, Exhibit WSS-7.
a. Provide support for the estimated investment per unit.
b. Explain why fixed charges are estimated to be 20.88 percent of the investment.
c. Provide support for the O\&M costs.
d. Provide support for the charge point cost.

A-32. See attachment being provided in Excel format. Note that a correction has been made to the spreadsheet to determine the rates for EVSE. In the rates shown in Exhibit WSS-7 for EVSE, the FAC, OSS, and ECR mechanisms were inadvertently included. The rates for EVSE determined in the attached spreadsheet have been revised to exclude these adjustment factors. These rate updates do not impact the revenue deficiency because there are no customers projected to take service under Rate EVSE.
a. The support for the estimated investment per unit is included in the tab labeled "Costs Reference".
b. The fixed charges are calculated in the tab labeled "WACC - Carrying Charges".
c. O\&M costs are calculated in the tab labeled "Costs Reference".
d. The support for the Charge Point cost is included in the tab labeled "Costs Reference".

# The attachment is being provided in a separate file in Excel format. 
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Question No. 33

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Elizabeth J. McFarland / William Steven Seelye

Q-33. Refer to WSS-17.
a. Refer to page 1 of 2 .
(1) Explain how US Bank/MUFG charges LG\&E for returned checks/ACH.
(2) Explain how returned checks/ACH are processed by LG\&E.
(3) Also refer to Case No. 2008-00252, ${ }^{5}$ application, SLC Exhibit 5. Explain why the labor portion of the returned check/ACH charge has gone from $\$ 5.58$ in Case No. 2008-00252 to $\$ 0.12$ in this case.
b. Refer to page 2 of 2 . Explain how the "Monthly carrying charge per pulse per meter per month" of $\$ 24.55$ was calculated.

A-33.
a.
(1) US Bank invoices LG\&E monthly for the various bank service fees which include fixed fees for the Monthly Maintenance service of Returned Checks ( $\$ 2.50$ per month) and the electronic ACH Return Report (\$1.00 per month), and per-item fees for the following services: Returned Checks (\$2.00), Returned Check Email Notice (\$2.25), Returned Check Email Images (\$2.00), Unauthorized ACH Returns (\$6.00), ACH Returned Items (\$1.00), and Electronic Notification of ACH Returns (\$0.25). Note that not all of these per-item fees apply to every returned check/ACH.

MUFG automatically deducts returned check fees from LG\&E's bank account each month and charges LG\&E a fixed fee for the Monthly Maintenance service of Web Returned Images ( $\$ 5.00$ per month) and peritem fees for the following services for returned checks: Special Data Entry

[^2](\$0.30), Transmission Base Charge (\$5.00), Deposited Items ReturnedChargeback (\$2.50), and Web Returned Images (\$0.50). MUFG does not process ACHs. Note that not all of these per-item fees apply to every returned check/ACH.
(2) Returned checks/ACH are processed in one of two ways - manually or automated. The majority of returned items are processed automatically.
(3) Labor costs have declined as more returns are processed via automated methods.
b. See attached. The monthly carrying charges used to determine the meter pulse charge are based on the levelized carrying charge rate for property with an Average Service Life (ASL) of 5 years applied to the current and replacement cost of the electronic pulse data collection equipment. The estimated replacement cost is determined using a 5-Year R3 Iowa type survivor curve.
Louisville Gas \& Electric Company
Present Value of Replacement Plant as a Percentage of Original Cosi


$3.06 \%$
$\$ 801.63$
24.55
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## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 34

## Responding Witness: David S. Sinclair

Q-34. Refer to the Direct Testimony of David S. Sinclair (Sinclair Testimony), page 8, lines 5-8. Confirm that there is no material difference in what was provided as a result of the final Order in Case No. 2017-00441. ${ }^{6}$

A-34. There is no material difference between the DSM assumptions used to prepare the 2019 load forecast and the final order. While the PSC eliminated the KSBA DSM program from the final ruling, this program was projected to only reduce total load by approximately 7 GWh annually in 2019 and 2020.

[^3]
## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 35

## Responding Witness: Gregory J. Meiman

Q-35. Refer to the Direct Testimony of Gregory J. Meiman (Meiman Testimony) page 5, lines $17-19$. Mr. Meiman states that two independent studies have illustrated that LG\&E's compensation and benefits package is competitive in the utility market. Provide any studies comparing LG\&E's compensation and benefits package to the general Louisville area.

A-35. As indicated in testimony, LG\&E believes it is competitive in compensation and benefits when compared to the utility market. As a general matter, the Company does not attempt to benchmark against specific municipal markets. However, the benefits and compensation studies utilized comparator groups that included a number of Kentucky entities.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 36

## Responding Witness: Gregory J. Meiman

Q-36. Refer to the Meiman Testimony, page 12, line 14 . Confirm that the TIA plan includes executives.

A-36. Any expense related to executive incentive compensation is excluded from the revenue requirement.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 37

## Responding Witness: Gregory J. Meiman

Q-37. Refer to the Meiman Testimony, page 27, lines 19-21. Confirm that LG\&E does not contribute to dental insurance.

A-37. Employees and the Company contribute to the cost of dental insurance. See the response to PSC 1-66, Attachment 5.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 38
Responding Witness: Lonnie E. Bellar
Q-38. Refer to the Direct Testimony of Daniel K. Arbough, page 5, lines 3-18.
a. Provide the increase in the number of employees KU/LG\&E forecast to hire during the forecast year.
(1) Provide support for each forecasted hire.
(2) Provide the estimated cost for each hire.

A-38.
a. There is not an increase in headcount for KU or LKS during the forecasted period. There is a net increase of 7 employees for LG\&E in the forecasted period. Generation will be increasing the number of employees at the Trimble County Generating Station by eight (8) during the forecast year; this is offset by a decrease in a different line of business. We do not allocate headcount between companies; we only allocate dollars. The amounts show in part (2) reflect total estimated costs of hiring which will then be allocated between the companies.
(1) The Trimble County Generating Station (Station) anticipates hiring four (4) Operations Station Helpers in the summer of 2019. There are twelve (12) Operators on each shift ( 4 shifts), and the Station plans to increase that number to thirteen (13) per shift. The main function of an Operators is to place equipment in and out of service as needed and to monitor all equipment and systems to ensure proper operation. The Operators accomplish this by monitoring the equipment remotely through the use of computers and by periodically checking each piece of equipment locally during their shift for any abnormalities in operation. The addition of new equipment and systems to meet government mandated environmental regulations is making it necessary to increase the number of Operators to ensure that this equipment if being operated correctly and monitored sufficiently. During the past few years, equipment such as the Unit 1 Pulse Jet Fabric Filter, Dry Sorbent Injection system and Mercury Control systems have been added. During 2019, new systems such as Unit’s 1\&2

Flyash Transfer Stations to support the Coal Combustion Residual Transport (CCRT) System and Process Water Ponds with associated pumping stations to support the Process Water System (PWS) will be placed in service. Additionally, during the past few years, the run time for the six Combustion Turbines at the Station has increased. The additional Operators will be used to perform the necessary functions to safely operate and monitor the additional equipment.

The Station also plans to add four (4) Mechanical Repair Technicians, two in 2019 and two in 2020 to maintain the above mentioned additional equipment. With the CCRT system and PWS being commissioned, a substantial amount of new structures and equipment will need to be maintained by the Station's Maintenance staff. The CCRT system will consist of a gypsum dewatering facility, a gypsum storage building, four storage tanks, two flyash storages silos, four transfer towers and a variety of electrical and mechanical equipment. This system alone will include 25 pumps, 4 blowers, 4 exhausters, 3 air compressors, 10 conveyors, 5 agitators, 2 vacuum belts, 106 motors and a significant amount of other associated equipment. The transport portion of the CCRT system that will convey the CCR materials up to the new landfill includes a 1,200 ton per hour, 1.2 mile long pipe conveyor. This conveyor goes to a new transport building and truck load out system at the new landfill. The PWS includes an additional 48 pumps, 20 agitators, 74 motors, 2 air compressors, 4 filter presses and numerous other tanks, receivers, piping, valves and other associated equipment.
(2)

| Position Description | Anticipated <br> Hire Date | Forecast Year <br> Estimated Cost |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- |
| Operations Station Helper | $6 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ 88,993$ |
| Operations Station Helper | $6 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ ~ 68,993$ |
| Operations Station Helper | $7 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ 63,200$ |
| Operations Station Helper | $7 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ ~ 63,200$ |
| Mechanical Repair Technician | $6 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ 82,920$ |
| Mechanical Repair Technician | $6 / 1 / 2019$ | $\$ 82,920$ |
| Mechanical Repair Technician | $4 / 1 / 2020$ | $\$ 8,716$ |
| Mechanical Repair Technician | $4 / 1 / 2020$ | $\$ 87716$ |

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 39

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-39. Refer to the Direct Testimony of Adrien M. McKenzie (McKenzie Testimony), page 7, line 27. Provide examples of unrepresentative financial inputs and describe the possible impact of an unrepresentative financial input on LG\&E.

A-39. Based on a series of very restrictive assumptions, DCF theory reduces the actions, opinions, and expectations of all investors down to a dividend yield and growth component, with the only observable parameter being the market price of the stock. This masks the underlying complexities that accompany any attempt to distill every facet of investors' expectations into a single growth estimate. There is no direct link between this model and bond yields (historical, current, or expected), Federal Reserve policies, relative risk perceptions, or any other data input from the capital markets or the economy. As a result, it is not possible to pinpoint the exact mechanism by which capital market conditions or other considerations are translated into unrepresentative inputs. As FERC concluded in Opinion No. 551, "a direct causal analysis linking specific capital market conditions to particular inputs or assumptions of the DCF model is not necessary." Ass'n of Businesses Advocating Tariff Equity v. Midcontinent Indep. Sys. Operator, Inc., Opinion No. 551, 156 FERC $\mathbb{C} 61,234$ (2016) at P 125. Nevertheless, one example of an unrepresentative financial input would be a growth rate estimate that is not representative of the expectations that investors have built into the observable prices of utility common stocks. As FERC has concluded, "any DCF analysis may be affected by potentially unrepresentative financial inputs to the DCF formula." Coakley v. Bangor Hydro-Elec. Co., Opinion No. 531, 147 FERC $\mathbb{I} 61,234$ at P 144 (2014) at P 41. As a result, it is crucial to critically evaluate the results of all quantitative methods used to estimate the cost of equity and employ multiple approaches soundly applied.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 40

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-40. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 15, lines 7-13. Provide any updates from Moody's regarding utility ratings.

A-40. Mr. McKenzie is not aware of any published updates from Moody's concerning industry-wide ratings in the utility sector.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 41

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-41. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 18, lines 16-22. Reconcile the 2017-2021 capital expenditure plan of $\$ 2.7$ billion with the proposed capital expenditures.

A-41. The source of the amount quoted in the McKenzie testimony was the 2016 10-K (the referenced Moody's report is dated October 27, 2017), and is based on the 2017 business plan. The application in this case is based on the 2019 business plan. The amounts shown in the $10-\mathrm{K}$ also include expenditures for jurisdictions other than Kentucky.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 42

Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-42. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 19, lines 6-8. Standard \& Poor's characterizes LG\&E's capital expenditure programs as a significant financial risk. Explain why LG\&E would choose to engage in such an aggressive capital expenditure program instead of a steady, less aggressive plan so as to not risk the company's credit ratings and maintain the ability to attract capital and fund these projects in an effective manner.

A-42. The Company does not agree with the assertion in the data request that its capital program is aggressive. The plan allows the Company to maintain financial ratios consistent with its strong investment grade credit ratings and attract capital at attractive interest rates.

Bond ratings from Standard \& Poor's (S\&P) take into account many factors with financial risk being one. The most recent S\&P report is attached. While the Company does have a "Significant" Financial Risk Profile as shown on page 2, it combines that with an "Excellent" Business Risk Profile. As discussed on pages 4 through 13 of Exhibit DKA-4 to my direct testimony, the Business Risk Profile is a function of risks such as industry risk of competition, cyclicality, operating efficiency, and the regulatory environment. As shown on page 6 of the attachment, if the Company did not have the Excellent Business Risk Profile it could not maintain its Significant Financial Risk Profile and retain its a- anchor rating. The Company also issues secured debt allowing it to achieve a bond rating of A from S\&P and issue debt at attractive interest rates.

The ability to retain its strong credit rating with the Significant Financial Risk Profile allows the Company to complete the required environmental capital projects and to upgrade the reliability of its network while keeping rates low. This provides the Company the opportunity to meet the demands of our customers and the environmental regulators.

## RatingsDirect ${ }^{*}$
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## Summary:

## Louisville Gas \& Electric Co.



## Rationale

## Business Risk: Excellent

- Vertically integrated electric and natural gas distribution utility.
- Operates under a generally constructive and credit-supportive regulatory framework in Kentucky.
- Limited service territory and midsized customer base.


## Financial Risk: Significant

- Core credit ratios support a significant financial risk profile assessment using moderate financial benchmarks compared to the typical corporate issuer.
- Elevated capital expenditure program, with focus on distribution infrastructure investment and environmental compliance spending, leading to negative discretionary cash flow.
- Balanced capital structure supports overall credit profile.


## Outlook: Stable

The stable rating outlook on Louisville, Ky.-based Louisville Gas \& Electric Co. (LG\&E) reflects the rating outlook on its parent, PPL Corp. (PPL), because S\&P Global Ratings views LG\&E as a core subsidiary of its parent.

The stable outlook on PPL is based on the company's excellent business risk profile that we view at the upper end of the range and significant financial risk profile, which is at the lower end of the range. Under our base-case scenario we expect that funds from operations (FFO) to debt will range from $13 \%-14 \%$ while debt to EBITDA will remain elevated at over 5 x .

## Downside scenario

We could lower the ratings on PPL and its subsidiaries, including LG\&E, if core credit ratios weaken such that FFO to debt is below $13 \%$ on a consistent basis over the next 12 to 18 months, while maintaining the current level of business risk.

## Upside scenario

Given our assessment of business risk and our base-case scenario for financial performance, we do not anticipate higher ratings during the outlook period. However, higher ratings would largely depend on PPL achieving FFO to debt of more than $18 \%$ on a consistent basis over the next 12 to 18 months, while maintaining the current level of business risk.

## Our Base-Case Scenario

Assumptions

- Gross margin growth is primarily driven by anticipated base rate increases and the timely recovery of planned environmental compliance costs.
- Elevated capital spending of about $\$ 600$ million annually for the next few years, mainly for distribution infrastructure investment and upgrading generation to comply with environmental regulations.
- Discretionary cash flow to remain negative due to higher capital expenditures and dividends.
- All debt maturities are refinanced.


## Key Metrics

|  | 2016A | 2017E | 2018E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FFO/debt (\%) | 25.5 | $21-23$ | $21-23$ |
| Debt/EBITDA (x) | 3.4 | About 3.5 | About 3.5 |

A--Actual. E—Estimate. FFO—Funds from operations.

# Case No. 2018-00295 

## Company Description

LG\&E operates in and around Louisville, Ky., where it provides electricity service to 400,000 customers and natural-gas distribution service to 320,000 customers.

## Business Risk: Excellent

We assess LG\&E's business risk profile based primarily on the company's regulated integrated electric utility and natural gas distribution operations under the generally constructive regulatory framework in Kentucky.

LG\&E has limited scale, scope, and diversity, serving a customer base of about 400,000 electric and about 320,000 natural gas customers in Louisville. The customer base consists largely of residential and commercial customers, insulating the company from fluctuations in demand and providing stability to the company's cash flows. Our assessment also accounts for the modest operating diversity of the company due to its electric and natural gas operations.

The company has about 3,000 megawatts (MW) of generation capacity, which has higher operating risk than transmission and distribution (T\&D) operations. The company has been upgrading its coal-fired generation plants to comply with environmental regulations. While the capital costs of these upgrades are significant, spending can be recovered through an environmental cost recovery mechanism, which limits regulatory lag and is supportive of the credit profile. Under the regulation of the Kentucky Public Service Commission (PSC), the company benefits from other mechanisms such as a gas line tracker and a pass-through fuel cost mechanism. These mechanisms increase the stability of the company's returns.

Moreover, the company's low-cost coal-fired generation and efficient operations contribute to overall competitive rates for customers.

## Financial Risk: Significant

Under our base-case scenario, we project that LG\&E's FFO to debt will range from $21 \%-23 \%$ and debt to EBITDA will remain about 3.5 x. Over the next few years, we expect credit measures to benefit from the company's use of regulatory mechanisms to recover its invested capital. Our assessment also includes recently approved rate case outcomes that increased electric rates by about $\$ 57$ million and gas rates by about $\$ 7$ million.

We assess LG\&E's financial risk profile as significant using moderate financial benchmarks compared to the typical corporate issuer, accounting for the company's low-risk regulated electric T\&D and natural gas distribution operations, which are partially offset by relatively higher-risk regulated generation.

## Liquidity: Adequate

We assess LG\&E's liquidity as adequate to cover its needs over the next 12 months. We expect that the company's liquidity sources will exceed its uses by 1.1 x or more, the minimum threshold for this designation under our criteria and that the company will also meet our other requirements for such a designation.

We view LG\&E as having well-established and solid bank relationships, the ability to absorb high-impact, low-probability events without the need for refinancing, and a satisfactory standing in credit markets.

Additionally, we expect that LG\&E's liquidity will benefit from stable cash flow generation, a $\$ 500$ million revolving credit facility, sufficient liquidity support provided by the parent to meet ongoing needs, and manageable debt maturities over the next few years.

## Principal Liquidity Sources

## Principal Liquidity Uses

- Minimal cash balance assumed;
- Revolving credit facility of $\$ 500$ million; and
- Cash FFO of about $\$ 550$ million.
- Debt maturities of about $\$ 200$ million;
- Maintenance capital expenditure of about $\$ 550$ million; and
- Common stock dividends of about $\$ 145$ million.


## Group Influence

We assess LG\&E as a core subsidiary of parent PPL Corp. because it is highly unlikely to be sold, is integral to the group's overall strategy, possesses significant management commitment, is a major contributor to the group, and is closely linked to the parent's reputation. Moreover, there are no meaningful insulation measures in place that protect LG\&E from its parent. As a result, the issuer credit rating on LG\&E is 'A-', in line with the group credit profile of 'a-'.

## Ratings Score Snapshot

## Corporate Credit Rating

A-/Stable/A-2

## Business risk: Excellent

- Country risk: Very low
- Industry risk: Very low
- Competitive position: Excellent


## Financial risk: Significant

- Cash flow/Leverage: Significant


## Anchor: a-

## Modifiers

- Diversification/Portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile : a-

- Group credit profile: a-
- Entity status within group: Core (no impact)


## Issue Ratings

The short-term rating on LG\&E is A-2, based on our issuer credit rating of 'A-'.

## Recovery Analysis

LG\&E's first-mortgage bonds benefit from a first-priority lien on substantially all of the utility's real property owned or subsequently acquired. Collateral coverage of over $1.5 x$ supports a recovery rating of ' $1+$ ' and an issue rating one notch above the issuer credit rating.

## Related Criteria

- Criteria - Corporates - General: Reflecting Subordination Risk In Corporate Issue Ratings, Sept. 21, 2017
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - Utilities: Key Credit Factors For The Regulated Utilities Industry, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- Criteria - Corporates - Utilities: Collateral Coverage And Issue Notching Rules For '1+' And '1' Recovery Ratings On Senior Bonds Secured By Utility Real Property, Feb. 14, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities And Insurers, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009
- Criteria - Insurance - General: Hybrid Capital Handbook: September 2008 Edition, Sept. 15, 2008

Business And Financial Risk Matrix

| Business Risk Profile | Financial Risk Profile |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minimal | Modest | Intermediate | Significant | Aggressive | Highly leveraged |
| Excellent | aaa/aa+ | aa | $a+/ a$ | a- | bbb | bbb-/bb+ |
| Strong | aa/aa- | $a+/ a$ | a-/bbb+ | bbb | bb+ | bb |
| Satisfactory | a/a- | bbb+ | bbb/bbb- | bbb-/bb+ | bb | b+ |
| Fair | bbb/bbb- | bbb- | bb+ | bb | bb- | b |
| Weak | bb+ | bb+ | bb | bb- | b+ | b/b- |
| Vulnerable | bb- | bb- | bb-/b+ | b+ | b | b- |
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# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 43

Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-43. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 26, lines 12-19.
a. According to the October 26, 2018 publication of Value Line, Issue 11, Sempra Energy has announced an acquisition for lnfraREIT. Provide an explanation for including Sempra Energy in the proxy group.
b. Explain why MGE Energy, Inc., was not included in the proxy group.

A-43.
a. While participation in a merger or acquisition transaction may warrant eliminating a firm from a proxy group, this determination should be based on an evaluation of the extent to which the specific transaction leads to distortion in the inputs used to apply the quantitative methods used to estimate the cost of equity. For example, in certain cases securities analysts such as Value Line indicate that their projections will not include the impact of the transaction until after it is finalized, whereas observable stock prices already account for investors' expectations of the transaction's impact on growth expectations. This can lead to a mismatch between the stock prices and growth rates used to apply the DCF model. In the case of InfraREIT, while the acquisition is certainly noteworthy, the $\$ 1.275$ billion purchase price represents only approximately 4\% of Sempra Energy's market capitalization and approximately $3.3 \%$ of total capital. Given the relatively small size of the transaction in relation to Sempra Energy, there is no indication that this would warrant excluding Sempra Energy from the proxy group. Investors recognize that utilities are routinely engaged in a variety of transactions, including asset sales and purchases and the spin-off or acquisition of business lines or subsidiaries, and securities analysts' routinely factor such events into their forecasts. Absent evidence that such transactions are undermining the reliability of the quantitative approaches used to estimate the cost of equity, there is no basis to exclude the company at issue from the proxy group.
b. MGE Energy, Inc. was not included in the proxy group because it does not have published corporate credit ratings from S\&P or Moody's.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 44

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-44. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 33, line 6. Explain the ongoing regulatory risks that utilities are facing.

A-44. Utilities face ongoing regulatory risks related to their ability to recover prudently incurred costs to provide service, on time and in full, and earn investors' required return on the capital employed. Such risks include the degree of transparency, predictability, and consistency of the regulatory process; the degree to which the regulatory framework considers financial integrity and credit quality; the degree of regulatory independence and insulation from political intervention; the ability of tariff-setting procedures to allow timely recovery of operating and capital costs; the degree of flexibility to allow recovery of unexpected costs; and capital support during construction to alleviate funding and cash flow pressure during periods of capital investment.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 45

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-45. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 47. Provide an update to the average Moody's monthly yields for Baa utility bonds.

A-45. The most recent average monthly yields on Baa Utility bonds available to Mr. McKenzie are shown in the table below:

|  | Baa |
| :---: | :---: |
| May | $4.71 \%$ |
| Jun. | $4.71 \%$ |
| Jul. | $4.67 \%$ |
| Aug. | $4.64 \%$ |
| Sep. | $4.74 \%$ |
| Oct. 2018 | $4.91 \%$ |
| Average | $4.73 \%$ |

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 46

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-46. Provide the most current ROE awarded by each respective regulatory agency and the date of the award for the proxy group of gas and electric utilities or for the utility subsidiary if the proxy group member is a holding company.

A-46. Mr. McKenzie did not conduct a study of the ROEs allowed by each respective regulatory agency for the proxy group in the course of preparing his direct testimony in this case; nor was such a study necessary to support his conclusions and recommendations. However, information regarding allowed rates of return for the proxy firms is reported by Value Line, with the Value Line reports relied on in the preparation of Mr. McKenzie’s testimony being provided in his workpapers in response to DOD Question 3. Additional information regarding allowed ROEs for the proxy companies is generally reported in their respective SEC Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ reports, which are publicly available at:
https://www.sec.gov/edgar/searchedgar/legacy/companysearch.html.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 47

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-47. Refer to the McKenzie Testimony, page 63. Provide the most recent awarded ROEs as published by RRA.

A-47. See attached

# RRA Regulatory Focus Major Rate Case Decisions January - September 2018 

The average ROE authorized electric utilities was $9.64 \%$ in rate cases decided in the first three quarters of 2018, somewhat below the 9.74\% average for cases decided in calendar-2017. There were 37 electric ROE determinations in the first nine months of 2018 versus 53 in the full year 2017. This data includes several limited-issue rider cases. Excluding these cases from the data, the average authorized ROE was $9.59 \%$ in rate cases decided in the first nine months of 2018, somewhat below the $9.68 \%$ average for the full year 2017. The difference between the ROE averages including rider cases and those excluding the rider cases is largely driven by ROE premiums of up to 200 basis points approved by the Virginia State Corporation Commission in riders related to certain generation projects (see the Virginia Commission Profile).

The average ROE authorized gas utilities was $9.62 \%$ in cases decided during the first three quarters of 2018 versus $9.72 \%$ in full-year 2017. There were 26 gas cases that included an ROE determination in the first nine months of 2018, versus 24 in full-year 2017. RRA notes that the 2017 data includes an $11.88 \%$ ROE determination for an Alaska utility. Absent this "outlier," the 2017 gas ROE average is $9.63 \%$.

In the first nine months of 2018, the median authorized ROE in all electric utility rate cases was $9.7 \%$, up from $9.6 \%$ from full-year 2017. For gas utilities, the median authorized ROE in cases decided in the first nine months of 2018 was $9.55 \%$, versus $9.6 \%$ in 2017.

Over the last several years, the persistently low-interest-rate environment has put downward pressure on authorized ROEs. As shown in the graph below, the annual average ROE has generally declined since 1990 and has been below 10\% for electric utilities since 2014 and below 10\% for gas utilities since 2011.

After a busy 2017, when more than 130 cases were decided, there were 84 electric and gas cases in which a decision was rendered in the first three quarters of 2018, including cases where no ROEs were specified. With over 85 rate cases pending, 55 of which are likely to be decided by year end, 2018 is shaping up to be another busy year for regulators. Rate case activity has been quite robust, with more than 100 cases decided in several of the last full calendar years.

Authorized return on equity (\%)
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Data compiled Oct. 10, 2018.
YTD = year-to-date, through Sept. 30, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence
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Average electric and gas authorized ROEs and number of rate cases decided


Data compiled Oct. 10, 2018.
YTD = year-to-date, through Sept. 30, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence
Increased costs associated with environmental compliance, generation and delivery infrastructure upgrades and expansion, renewable generation mandates and employee benefits argue for the continuation of an active rate case agenda over the next few years. In addition, the need to address the impacts of the federal tax reform is causing rate case agendas to be more active than previously expected.

In addition, rising interest rates could also contribute to increased rate case activity. If the U.S. Federal Reserve, or the Fed, continues its policy initiated in 2015 to gradually raise the federal funds rate, utilities will likely face higher capital costs and need to initiate rate cases to reflect the higher capital costs in rates.

In September 2018, the Fed raised the benchmark federal funds rate by a quarter point, bringing the rate to a target range of $2.00 \%$ to $2.25 \%$. The latest hike was the third increase in 2018 and the eighth since the Fed's tightening cycle began in 2015. One more hike is anticipated in December 2018, and as the U.S. economy continues to expand and labor markets remain strong, the Fed is expected to continue to gradually raise the federal fund rates in 2019.

## A more granular look at ROE trends

The discussion thus far has looked broadly at trends in authorized ROEs; the sections that follow provide a more granular view based upon the types of proceedings/decisions in which these ROEs were established.

RRA has observed that there can be significant differences between the ROE averages from one subcategory of cases to another.

As a result of electric industry restructuring, certain states unbundled electric rates and implemented retail competition for generation. Commissions in those states now have jurisdiction only over the revenue requirement and return parameters for delivery operations.

Comparing electric vertically integrated cases versus delivery-only proceedings, RRA finds that the annual average authorized ROEs in vertically integrated cases typically are about 30 to 70 basis points higher than in delivery-only cases, arguably reflecting the increased risk associated with ownership and operation of generation assets.

For vertically integrated electric utilities, the average ROE authorized was $9.69 \%$ in cases decided during the first three quarters of 2018 versus $9.8 \%$ for cases decided in calendar-2017. For electric distribution-only utilities, the average ROE authorized in the first three quarters of 2018 was $9.38 \%$ versus $9.43 \%$ in all of 2017.

Average authorized electric ROEs


Settlements have frequently been used to resolve rate cases over the last several years, and in many cases, these settlements are "black box" in nature and do not specify the ROE and other typical rate case parameters underlying the stipulated rate change. However, some states preclude this type of treatment, and so, settlements must specify these values if not the specific adjustments from which these values were derived.

For both electric and gas cases, RRA has found no discernible pattern in the average authorized ROEs in cases that were settled versus those that were fully litigated. In some years, the average authorized ROE was higher for fully litigated cases, in others, it was higher for settled cases, and in a handful of years, the authorized ROE was similar for both fully litigated and settled cases.

Over the last several years, the annual average authorized ROEs in electric cases that involve limited-issue riders was typically at least 70 basis points higher than in general rate cases, driven by the ROE premiums authorized in Virginia. Limited-issue rider cases in which an ROE is determined have had extremely limited use in the gas industry.

Average authorized electric ROEs, settled versus fully litigated cases


Data compiled Oct. 10, 2018.
YTD = year-to-date, through Sept. 30, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence

Average authorized gas ROEs, settled versus fully litigated cases


[^4]YTD = year-to-date, through Sept. 30, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence

The table on page 6 shows the average ROE authorized in major electric and gas rate decisions annually since 1990 and by quarter since 2014, followed by the number of observations in each period. The tables on page 7 indicate the composite electric and gas industry data for all major cases, summarized annually since 2004 and by quarter for the past six quarters.

Included in the tables beginning on page 8 of this report are comparisons, since 2006, of average authorized ROEs for settled versus fully litigated cases, general rate cases versus limited issue rider proceedings and vertically integrated cases versus delivery-only cases.

The individual electric and gas cases decided in 2018 are listed on pages 10 and 11, with the decision date shown first, followed by the company name, the abbreviation for the state issuing the decision, the authorized rate of return, or ROR, the ROE and the percentage of common equity in the adopted capital structure. Next, we indicate the month and year in which the adopted test year ended, whether the commission utilized an average or a year-end rate base and the amount of the permanent rate change authorized. The dollar amounts represent the permanent rate change ordered at the time decisions were rendered. Fuel adjustment clause rate changes are not reflected in this study.

The simple mean is utilized for the return averages. In addition, the average equity returns indicated in this report reflect the ROEs approved in cases that were decided during the specified time periods and are not necessarily representative of either the average currently authorized ROEs for utilities industrywide or the returns actually earned by the utilities.

Please note: In an effort to align data presented in this report with data available in S\&P Global Market Intelligence's online database, earlier historical data provided in previous reports may not match historical data in this report due to certain differences in presentation, including the treatment of cases that were withdrawn or dismissed.
© 2018 S\&P Global Market Intelligence. All rights reserved. Regulatory Research Associates is a group within S\&P Global Market Intelligence, a division of S\&P Global (NYSE:SPGI). Confidential Subject Matter. WARNING! This report contains copyrighted subject matter and confidential information owned solely by S\&P Global Market Intelligence (SPGMI). Reproduction, distribution or use of this report in violation of this license constitutes copyright infringement in violation of federal and state law. SPGMI hereby provides consent to use the "email this story" feature to redistribute articles within the subscriber's company. Although the information in this report has been obtained from sources that SPGMI believes to be reliable, SPGMI does not guarantee its accuracy.
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ROEs authorized January 1990 - September 2018

| Year | Period | Electric utilities |  |  | Gas utilities |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Average ROE (\%) | Median <br> ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average <br> ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations |
| 1990 | Full year | 12.70 | 12.77 | 38 | 12.68 | 12.75 | 33 |
| 1991 | Full year | 12.54 | 12.50 | 42 | 12.45 | 12.50 | 31 |
| 1992 | Full year | 12.09 | 12.00 | 45 | 12.02 | 12.00 | 28 |
| 1993 | Full year | 11.46 | 11.50 | 28 | 11.37 | 11.50 | 40 |
| 1994 | Full year | 11.21 | 11.13 | 28 | 11.24 | 11.27 | 24 |
| 1995 | Full year | 11.58 | 11.45 | 28 | 11.44 | 11.30 | 13 |
| 1996 | Full year | 11.40 | 11.25 | 18 | 11.12 | 11.25 | 17 |
| 1997 | Full year | 11.33 | 11.58 | 10 | 11.30 | 11.25 | 12 |
| 1998 | Full year | 11.77 | 12.00 | 10 | 11.51 | 11.40 | 10 |
| 1999 | Full year | 10.72 | 10.75 | 6 | 10.74 | 10.65 | 6 |
| 2000 | Full year | 11.58 | 11.50 | 9 | 11.34 | 11.16 | 13 |
| 2001 | Full year | 11.07 | 11.00 | 15 | 10.96 | 11.00 | 5 |
| 2002 | Full year | 11.21 | 11.28 | 14 | 11.17 | 11.00 | 19 |
| 2003 | Full year | 10.96 | 10.75 | 20 | 10.99 | 11.00 | 25 |
| 2004 | Full year | 10.81 | 10.70 | 21 | 10.63 | 10.50 | 22 |
| 2005 | Full year | 10.51 | 10.35 | 24 | 10.41 | 10.40 | 26 |
| 2006 | Full year | 10.32 | 10.23 | 26 | 10.40 | 10.50 | 15 |
| 2007 | Full year | 10.30 | 10.20 | 38 | 10.22 | 10.20 | 35 |
| 2008 | Full year | 10.41 | 10.30 | 37 | 10.39 | 10.45 | 32 |
| 2009 | Full year | 10.52 | 10.50 | 40 | 10.22 | 10.26 | 30 |
| 2010 | Full year | 10.37 | 10.30 | 61 | 10.15 | 10.10 | 39 |
| 2011 | Full year | 10.29 | 10.17 | 42 | 9.92 | 10.03 | 16 |
| 2012 | Full year | 10.17 | 10.08 | 58 | 9.94 | 10.00 | 35 |
| 2013 | Full year | 10.03 | 9.95 | 49 | 9.68 | 9.72 | 21 |
|  | 1st quarter | 10.23 | 9.86 | 8 | 9.54 | 9.60 | 6 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 9.83 | 9.70 | 5 | 9.84 | 9.95 | 8 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 9.87 | 9.78 | 12 | 9.45 | 9.33 | 6 |
|  | 4th quarter | 9.78 | 9.80 | 13 | 10.28 | 10.20 | 6 |
| 2014 | Full year | 9.91 | 9.78 | 38 | 9.78 | 9.78 | 26 |
|  | 1st quarter | 10.37 | 9.83 | 9 | 9.47 | 9.05 | 3 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 9.73 | 9.60 | 7 | 9.43 | 9.50 | 3 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 9.40 | 9.40 | 2 | 9.75 | 9.75 | 1 |
|  | 4th quarter | 9.62 | 9.55 | 12 | 9.68 | 9.75 | 9 |
| 2015 | Full year | 9.85 | 9.65 | 30 | 9.60 | 9.68 | 16 |
|  | 1st quarter | 10.29 | 10.50 | 9 | 9.48 | 9.50 | 6 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 9.60 | 9.60 | 7 | 9.42 | 9.52 | 6 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 9.76 | 9.80 | 8 | 9.47 | 9.50 | 4 |
|  | 4th quarter | 9.57 | 9.58 | 18 | 9.68 | 9.73 | 10 |
| 2016 | Full year | 9.77 | 9.75 | 42 | 9.54 | 9.50 | 26 |
|  | 1st quarter | 9.87 | 9.60 | 15 | 9.60 | 9.25 | 3 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 9.63 | 9.50 | 14 | 9.47 | 9.60 | 7 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 9.66 | 9.60 | 5 | 10.14 | 9.90 | 6 |
|  | 4th quarter | 9.73 | 9.60 | 19 | 9.68 | 9.55 | 8 |
| 2017 | Full year | 9.74 | 9.60 | 53 | 9.72 | 9.60 | 24 |
|  | 1st quarter | 9.75 | 9.90 | 13 | 9.68 | 9.80 | 6 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 9.54 | 9.50 | 13 | 9.43 | 9.50 | 7 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 9.63 | 9.70 | 11 | 9.69 | 9.60 | 13 |
| 2018 | Year-to-date | 9.64 | 9.70 | 37 | 9.62 | 9.55 | 26 |

[^5]|  | Period | ROR (\%) | Number of observations | ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Common equity to total capital (\%) | Number of observations | Rate change amount (\$M) | Number of observations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Electric utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Full year | 8.71 | 20 | 10.81 | 21 | 46.96 | 19 | 1,806.3 | 29 |
| 2005 | Full year | 8.44 | 23 | 10.51 | 24 | 47.34 | 23 | 936.1 | 31 |
| 2006 | Full year | 8.32 | 26 | 10.32 | 26 | 48.54 | 25 | 1,318.1 | 39 |
| 2007 | Full year | 8.18 | 37 | 10.30 | 38 | 47.88 | 36 | 1,405.7 | 43 |
| 2008 | Full year | 8.21 | 39 | 10.41 | 37 | 47.94 | 36 | 2,823.2 | 44 |
| 2009 | Full year | 8.24 | 40 | 10.52 | 40 | 48.57 | 39 | 4,191.7 | 58 |
| 2010 | Full year | 8.01 | 62 | 10.37 | 61 | 48.63 | 57 | 4,921.9 | 78 |
| 2011 | Full year | 8.00 | 43 | 10.29 | 42 | 48.26 | 42 | 2,595.1 | 56 |
| 2012 | Full year | 7.95 | 51 | 10.17 | 58 | 50.69 | 52 | 3,080.7 | 69 |
| 2013 | Full year | 7.66 | 45 | 10.03 | 49 | 49.25 | 43 | 3,328.6 | 61 |
| 2014 | Full year | 7.60 | 32 | 9.91 | 38 | 50.28 | 35 | 2,053.7 | 51 |
| 2015 | Full year | 7.38 | 35 | 9.85 | 30 | 49.54 | 30 | 1,891.5 | 52 |
| 2016 | Full year | 7.28 | 41 | 9.77 | 42 | 48.91 | 41 | 2,332.1 | 57 |
|  | 1st quarter | 6.97 | 15 | 9.87 | 15 | 47.95 | 15 | 1,028.3 | 24 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 7.11 | 9 | 9.63 | 14 | 48.77 | 9 | 597.0 | 19 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 7.43 | 5 | 9.66 | 5 | 49.63 | 5 | 558.6 | 10 |
|  | 4 th quarter | 7.32 | 19 | 9.73 | 19 | 49.51 | 19 | 563.8 | 24 |
| 2017 | Full year | 7.18 | 48 | 9.74 | 53 | 48.90 | 48 | 2,747.7 | 77 |
|  | 1st quarter | 6.89 | 13 | 9.75 | 13 | 48.89 | 13 | 592.6 | 14 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 6.78 | 13 | 9.54 | 13 | 47.94 | 13 | 372.4 | 18 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 7.10 | 11 | 9.63 | 11 | 51.15 | 11 | 269.2 | 13 |
| 2018 | Year-to-date | 6.91 | 37 | 9.64 | 37 | 49.23 | 37 | 1,234.2 | 45 |
| Gas utilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Full year | 8.51 | 23 | 10.63 | 22 | 45.81 | 22 | 306.0 | 33 |
| 2005 | Full year | 8.24 | 29 | 10.41 | 26 | 48.40 | 24 | 465.4 | 35 |
| 2006 | Full year | 8.44 | 17 | 10.40 | 15 | 47.24 | 16 | 392.5 | 23 |
| 2007 | Full year | 8.11 | 31 | 10.22 | 35 | 48.47 | 28 | 645.3 | 43 |
| 2008 | Full year | 8.49 | 33 | 10.39 | 32 | 50.35 | 32 | 700.0 | 40 |
| 2009 | Full year | 8.15 | 29 | 10.22 | 30 | 48.49 | 29 | 438.6 | 36 |
| 2010 | Full year | 7.99 | 40 | 10.15 | 39 | 48.70 | 40 | 776.5 | 50 |
| 2011 | Full year | 8.09 | 18 | 9.92 | 16 | 52.49 | 14 | 367.0 | 31 |
| 2012 | Full year | 7.98 | 30 | 9.94 | 35 | 51.13 | 32 | 264.0 | 41 |
| 2013 | Full year | 7.43 | 21 | 9.68 | 21 | 50.60 | 20 | 498.7 | 40 |
| 2014 | Full year | 7.65 | 27 | 9.78 | 26 | 51.11 | 28 | 544.2 | 48 |
| 2015 | Full year | 7.34 | 16 | 9.60 | 16 | 49.93 | 16 | 494.1 | 40 |
| 2016 | Full year | 7.08 | 28 | 9.54 | 26 | 50.06 | 26 | 1,263.8 | 59 |
|  | 1st quarter | 7.20 | 2 | 9.60 | 3 | 51.57 | 3 | 71.0 | 9 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 7.27 | 5 | 9.47 | 7 | 49.15 | 5 | 85.3 | 13 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 7.07 | 8 | 10.14 | 6 | 46.58 | 7 | 128.6 | 17 |
|  | 4th quarter | 7.43 | 9 | 9.68 | 8 | 52.30 | 9 | 125.8 | 15 |
| 2017 | Full year | 7.26 | 24 | 9.72 | 24 | 49.88 | 24 | 410.7 | 54 |
|  | 1st quarter | 7.14 | 5 | 9.68 | 6 | 51.05 | 6 | 198.0 | 9 |
|  | 2nd quarter | 7.08 | 7 | 9.43 | 7 | 50.83 | 6 | 73.8 | 11 |
|  | 3 rd quarter | 6.86 | 15 | 9.69 | 13 | 48.55 | 15 | 272.8 | 20 |
| 2018 | Year-to-date | 6.97 | 27 | 9.62 | 26 | 49.61 | 27 | 544.6 | 40 |

[^6]Electric authorized ROEs: 2006 - September 2018
Settled versus fully litigated cases

| Year | All cases |  |  | Settled cases |  |  | Fully litigated cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average ROE (\%) | Median <br> ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median <br> ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median <br> ROE (\%) | Number of observations |
| 2006 | 10.32 | 10.23 | 26 | 10.26 | 10.25 | 11 | 10.37 | 10.12 | 15 |
| 2007 | 10.30 | 10.20 | 38 | 10.42 | 10.33 | 14 | 10.23 | 10.15 | 24 |
| 2008 | 10.41 | 10.30 | 37 | 10.43 | 10.25 | 17 | 10.39 | 10.54 | 20 |
| 2009 | 10.52 | 10.50 | 40 | 10.64 | 10.62 | 16 | 10.45 | 10.50 | 24 |
| 2010 | 10.37 | 10.30 | 61 | 10.39 | 10.30 | 34 | 10.35 | 10.10 | 27 |
| 2011 | 10.29 | 10.17 | 42 | 10.12 | 10.07 | 16 | 10.39 | 10.25 | 26 |
| 2012 | 10.17 | 10.08 | 58 | 10.06 | 10.00 | 29 | 10.28 | 10.25 | 29 |
| 2013 | 10.03 | 9.95 | 49 | 10.12 | 9.98 | 32 | 9.85 | 9.75 | 17 |
| 2014 | 9.91 | 9.78 | 38 | 9.73 | 9.75 | 17 | 10.05 | 9.83 | 21 |
| 2015 | 9.85 | 9.65 | 30 | 10.07 | 9.72 | 14 | 9.66 | 9.62 | 16 |
| 2016 | 9.77 | 9.75 | 42 | 9.80 | 9.85 | 17 | 9.74 | 9.60 | 25 |
| 2017 | 9.74 | 9.60 | 53 | 9.75 | 9.60 | 29 | 9.73 | 9.56 | 24 |
| 2018 YTD | 9.64 | 9.70 | 37 | 9.55 | 9.62 | 20 | 9.75 | 9.73 | 17 |

General rate cases versus limited-issue riders

|  | All cases |  |  |  |  | General rate cases |  |  |  | Limited issue riders |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Vertically integrated cases versus delivery-only cases

| Year | All cases |  |  | Vertically integrated cases |  |  | Delivery only cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations |
| 2006 | 10.32 | 10.23 | 26 | 10.63 | 10.54 | 15 | 9.91 | 10.03 | 10 |
| 2007 | 10.30 | 10.20 | 38 | 10.50 | 10.45 | 26 | 9.86 | 9.98 | 10 |
| 2008 | 10.41 | 10.30 | 37 | 10.48 | 10.47 | 26 | 10.04 | 10.25 | 9 |
| 2009 | 10.52 | 10.50 | 40 | 10.66 | 10.66 | 28 | 10.15 | 10.30 | 10 |
| 2010 | 10.37 | 10.30 | 61 | 10.42 | 10.40 | 41 | 9.98 | 10.00 | 17 |
| 2011 | 10.29 | 10.17 | 42 | 10.33 | 10.20 | 28 | 9.85 | 10.00 | 12 |
| 2012 | 10.17 | 10.08 | 58 | 10.10 | 10.20 | 39 | 9.75 | 9.73 | 12 |
| 2013 | 10.03 | 9.95 | 49 | 9.95 | 10.00 | 31 | 9.37 | 9.36 | 9 |
| 2014 | 9.91 | 9.78 | 38 | 9.94 | 9.90 | 19 | 9.49 | 9.55 | 13 |
| 2015 | 9.85 | 9.65 | 30 | 9.75 | 9.70 | 17 | 9.17 | 9.07 | 6 |
| 2016 | 9.77 | 9.75 | 42 | 9.77 | 9.78 | 20 | 9.31 | 9.33 | 12 |
| 2017 | 9.74 | 9.60 | 53 | 9.80 | 9.65 | 28 | 9.43 | 9.55 | 14 |
| 2018 YTD | 9.64 | 9.70 | 37 | 9.69 | 9.77 | 19 | 9.38 | 9.35 | 9 |

[^7]Gas average authorized ROEs: 2006 - September 2018
Settled versus fully litigated cases

|  | All cases |  |  | Settled cases |  |  | Fully litigated cases |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations |
| 2006 | 10.40 | 10.50 | 15 | 10.26 | 10.20 | 7 | 10.53 | 10.80 | 8 |
| 2007 | 10.22 | 10.20 | 35 | 10.24 | 10.18 | 22 | 10.20 | 10.40 | 13 |
| 2008 | 10.39 | 10.45 | 32 | 10.34 | 10.28 | 20 | 10.47 | 10.68 | 12 |
| 2009 | 10.22 | 10.26 | 30 | 10.43 | 10.40 | 13 | 10.05 | 10.15 | 17 |
| 2010 | 10.15 | 10.10 | 39 | 10.30 | 10.15 | 12 | 10.08 | 10.10 | 27 |
| 2011 | 9.92 | 10.03 | 16 | 10.08 | 10.08 | 8 | 9.76 | 9.80 | 8 |
| 2012 | 9.94 | 10.00 | 35 | 9.99 | 10.00 | 14 | 9.92 | 9.90 | 21 |
| 2013 | 9.68 | 9.72 | 21 | 9.80 | 9.80 | 9 | 9.59 | 9.60 | 12 |
| 2014 | 9.78 | 9.78 | 26 | 9.51 | 9.50 | 11 | 9.98 | 10.10 | 15 |
| 2015 | 9.60 | 9.68 | 16 | 9.60 | 9.60 | 11 | 9.58 | 9.80 | 5 |
| 2016 | 9.54 | 9.50 | 26 | 9.50 | 9.50 | 16 | 9.61 | 9.58 | 10 |
| 2017 | 9.72 | 9.60 | 24 | 9.68 | 9.60 | 17 | 9.82 | 9.50 | 7 |
| 2018 YTD | 9.62 | 9.55 | 26 | 9.61 | 9.60 | 15 | 9.63 | 9.50 | 11 |

General rate cases versus limited issue riders

|  | All cases |  |  | General rate cases |  |  | Limited issue riders |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations | Average ROE (\%) | Median ROE (\%) | Number of observations |
| 2006 | 10.40 | 10.50 | 15 | 10.40 | 10.50 | 15 | - | - | 0 |
| 2007 | 10.22 | 10.20 | 35 | 10.22 | 10.20 | 35 | - | - | 0 |
| 2008 | 10.39 | 10.45 | 32 | 10.39 | 10.45 | 32 | - | - | 0 |
| 2009 | 10.22 | 10.26 | 30 | 10.22 | 10.26 | 30 | - | - | 0 |
| 2010 | 10.15 | 10.10 | 39 | 10.15 | 10.10 | 39 | - | - | 0 |
| 2011 | 9.92 | 10.03 | 16 | 9.91 | 10.05 | 15 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 1 |
| 2012 | 9.94 | 10.00 | 35 | 9.93 | 10.00 | 34 | 10.40 | 10.40 | 1 |
| 2013 | 9.68 | 9.72 | 21 | 9.68 | 9.72 | 21 | - | - | 0 |
| 2014 | 9.78 | 9.78 | 26 | 9.78 | 9.78 | 26 | - | - | 0 |
| 2015 | 9.60 | 9.68 | 16 | 9.60 | 9.68 | 16 | - | - | 0 |
| 2016 | 9.54 | 9.50 | 26 | 9.53 | 9.50 | 25 | 9.70 | 9.70 | 1 |
| 2017 | 9.72 | 9.60 | 24 | 9.72 | 9.60 | 24 | - | - | 0 |
| 2018 YTD | 9.62 | 9.55 | 26 | 9.62 | 9.60 | 25 | 9.50 | 9.50 | 1 |
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## Electric utility decisions

| Date | Company | State | ROR <br> (\%) | ROE <br> (\%) | Common equity as \% of capital | Test year | Rate base | Rate change amount (\$) | Footnotes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/18/18 | Kentucky Power Company | KY | 6.44 | 9.70 | 41.68 | 2/17 | Year-end | 12.3 | B |
| 1/31/18 | Public Service Company of Oklahoma | OK | 6.88 | 9.30 | 48.51 | 12/16 | Year-end | 75.5 | R |
| 2/2/18 | Interstate Power and Light Company | IA | 7.49 | 9.98 | 49.02 | 12/16 | Average | 130.0 | B, I |
| 2/6/18 | Mississippi Power Company | MS | 6.62 | 8.58 | 50.45 | 12/18 | Average | - | B, LIR, 1 |
| 2/9/18 | Delmarva Power \& Light Company | MD | - | - | - | 9/17 | - | 13.4 | B, D |
| 2/9/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 7.21 | 10.20 | 50.23 | 3/19 | Average | -6.0 | LIR,2 |
| 2/14/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 7.21 | 10.20 | 50.23 | 3/19 | Average | -11.5 | LIR,3 |
| 2/20/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 7.21 | 10.20 | 50.23 | 3/19 | Average | -24.6 | LIR, 4 |
| 2/21/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 6.71 | 9.20 | 50.23 | 3/19 | Average | 0.2 | LIR,5 |
| 2/23/18 | Duke Energy Progress, LLC | NC | 7.09 | 9.90 | 52.00 | 12/16 | Year-end | 194.0 | B |
| 2/27/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 7.20 | 11.20 | 50.23 | 3/19 | Average | 14.9 | LIR, 6 |
| 3/12/18 | ALLETE (Minnesota Power) | MN | 7.06 | 9.25 | 53.81 | 12/17 | Average | 12.0 | I |
| 3/15/18 | Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation | NY | 6.53 | 9.00 | 48.00 | 3/19 | Average | 160.0 | B, D, Z |
| 3/20/18 | Georgia Power Company | GA | - |  | - | 12/18 |  | -50.0 | LIR, 7 |
| 3/29/18 | Consumers Energy Company | MI | 5.89 | 10.00 | 40.89 | 9/18 | Average | 72.3 | I,R,* |
| 2018 | 1st quarter: averages/total |  | 6.89 | 9.75 | 48.89 |  |  | 592.6 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |  | 14 |  |
| 4/2/18 | Appalachian Power Company | VA | - | - | - | - | - | - | LIR, 8 |
| 4/12/18 | Indiana Michigan Power Company | MI | 5.76 | 9.90 | 36.38 | 12/18 | Average | 49.1 | * |
| 4/13/18 | Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. | KY | 6.83 | 9.73 | 49.25 | 3/19 | Average | 8.4 |  |
| 4/18/18 | Connecticut Light and Power Company | CT | 7.09 | 9.25 | 53.00 | 12/16 | Average | 124.7 | B, D, Z |
| 4/18/18 | DTE Electric Company | MI | 5.34 | 10.00 | 36.84 | 10/18 | Average | 74.4 | $\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{R}$, * |
| 4/26/18 | Public Service Company of Colorado | CO | - | - | - | - | - | - | , |
| 4/26/18 | Avista Corporation | WA | 7.50 | 9.50 | 48.50 | 12/16 | Average | 10.8 |  |
| 5/8/18 | Kentucky Utilities Company | VA | - | - | - | 12/16 | - | 1.8 | B |
| 5/10/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 6.71 | 9.20 | 50.23 | 6/18 | - | 2.8 | LIR,10 |
| 5/16/18 | Appalachian Power Company | VA | - | - | - | 6/19 | - | 1.0 | LIR,11 |
| 5/23/18 | Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company, Inc. | IN | - | - | - | 10/17 | Year-end | 1.9 | LIR |
| 5/30/18 | Indiana Michigan Power Company | IN | 5.51 | 9.95 | 35.73 | 12/18 | Year-end | 153.4 | B, $Z$ |
| 5/30/18 | Northern Indiana Public Service Company | IN | - | - | - | 11/17 | Year-end | 12.6 | LIR |
| 5/31/18 | Potomac Electric Power Company | MD | 7.03 | 9.50 | 50.44 | 12/17 | - | -15.0 | B, D |
| 6/14/18 | Central Hudson Gas \& Electric Corporation | NY | 6.44 | 8.80 | 48.00 | 6/19 | Average | 19.7 | B, D, Z |
| 6/19/18 | Oklahoma Gas and Electric Company | OK | - | - | - | 9/17 | - | -64.0 | B,12 |
| 6/22/18 | Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. | HI | 7.57 | 9.50 | 57.10 | 12/17 | Average | -0.6 | B, I |
| 6/22/18 | Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | NC | 7.35 | 9.90 | 52.00 | 12/16 | Year-end | -13.0 | B, R |
| 6/28/18 | Emera Maine | ME | 7.18 | 9.35 | 49.00 | 12/16 | Average | 4.5 | D |
| 6/29/18 | Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc. | HI | 7.80 | 9.50 | 56.69 | 12/16 | Average | -0.1 | B, I |
|  | 2nd quarter: averages/total |  | 6.78 | 9.54 | 47.94 |  |  | 372.4 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 13 | 13 | 13 |  |  | 18 |  |
| 7/3/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 6.71 | 9.20 | 50.23 | 8/19 | Average | 3.3 | LIR, 13 |
| 7/3/18 | Virginia Electric and Power Company | VA | 7.21 | 10.20 | 50.23 | 8/19 | Average | -11.1 | LIR,14 |
| 7/10/18 | Duke Energy Florida, LLC | FL | - | - | - | - | - | 200.5 | B, LIR, Z, 15 |
| 7/25/18 | Atlantic City Electric Company | NJ | - | - | - | 12/18 | - | - | D,16 |
| 8/8/18 | Potomac Electric Power Company | DC | 7.45 | 9.53 | 50.44 | 12/17 | - | -24.1 | B, D |
| 8/21/18 | Delmarva Power \& Light Company | DE | 6.78 | 9.70 | 50.52 | 12/17 | - | -6.9 | B, D, I |
| 8/24/18 | Narragansett Electric Company | RI | 6.97 | 9.28 | 50.95 | 6/17 | Average | 28.9 | B, D, Z, |
| 8/31/18 | Appalachian Power Company | WV | - | - | - | 12/17 | - | 91.6 | B, LIR, 17 |
| 9/5/18 | Southwestern Public Service Company | NM | 6.85 | 9.10 | 51.00 | 6/18 | Year-end | 8.1 |  |
| 9/14/18 | Wisconsin Power and Light Company | WI | 7.09 | 10.00 | 52.00 | 12/20 | Average | 0.0 | B,18 |
| 9/20/18 | Madison Gas and Electric Company | WI | 7.10 | 9.80 | 56.06 | 12/20 | Average | -8.0 | B |
| 9/26/18 | Otter Tail Power Company | ND | 7.64 | 9.77 | 52.50 | 12/18 | Average | 7.4 | B, I |
| 9/26/18 | Dayton Power and Light Company | OH | 7.27 | 10.00 | 47.52 | 5/16 | Date Certain | 29.8 | B, D |
| 9/27/18 | Westar Energy, Inc. | KS | 7.06 | 9.30 | 51.24 | 6/17 | Year-end | -50.3 | B |
| 2018 | 3rd quarter: averages/total |  | 7.10 | 9.63 | 51.15 |  |  | 269.2 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 11 | 11 | 11 |  |  | 13 |  |
| 2018 | YTD: averages/total |  | 6.91 | 9.64 | 49.23 |  |  | 1,234.2 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 37 | 37 | 37 |  |  | 45 |  |

[^9]Data compiled Oct. 10, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence

Gas utility decisions

| Date | Company | State | ROR (\%) | ROE (\%) | Common equity as \% of capital | Test year | Rate base | Rate change amount | Footnotes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1/24/18 | Indiana Gas Company, Inc. | IN | - | - | - | 6/17 | Year-end | 8.4 | LIR,19 |
| 1/24/18 | Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company, Inc. | IN | - | - | - | 6/17 | Year-end | 1.3 | LIR,19 |
| 1/31/18 | Northern Illinois Gas Company | IL | 7.26 | 9.80 | 52.00 | 12/18 | Average | 93.5 | R |
| 2/21/18 | Missouri Gas Energy | MO | 7.20 | 9.80 | 54.16 | 12/16 | Year-end | 15.2 |  |
| 2/21/18 | Spire Missouri Inc. | MO | 7.20 | 9.80 | 54.16 | 12/16 | Year-end | 18.0 |  |
| 2/27/18 | Atmos Energy Corporation | KS | - | - | - | 9/17 | - | 0.8 | LIR,20 |
| 2/28/18 | Northern Utilities, Inc. | ME | 7.53 | 9.50 | 50.00 | 12/16 | Average | -0.1 |  |
| 3/15/18 | Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation | NY | 6.53 | 9.00 | 48.00 | 3/19 | Average | 45.5 | $B, Z$ |
| 3/26/18 | Pivotal Utility Holdings, Inc. | FL | - | 10.19 | 48.00 | 12/18 | - | 15.3 | B, Z, I |
| 2018 | 1st quarter: averages/total |  | 7.14 | 9.68 | 51.05 |  |  | 198.0 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 5 | 6 | 6 |  |  | 9 |  |
| 4/26/18 | Avista Corporation | WA | 7.50 | 9.50 | 48.50 | 12/16 | Average | -2.1 |  |
| 4/27/18 | Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. | NH | 6.80 | 9.30 | 49.21 | 12/16 | Year-end | 8.1 | Z, I |
| 5/2/18 | Northern Utilities, Inc. | NH | 7.59 | 9.50 | 51.70 | 12/16 | Year-end | 0.9 | B, Z, I |
| 5/3/18 | Atmos Energy Corporation | KY | 7.41 | 9.70 | 52.57 | 3/19 | Average | -1.9 |  |
| 5/10/18 | CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. | MN | 7.12 | - | - | 9/18 | Average | 3.9 | B, I |
| 5/15/18 | Atlanta Gas Light Company | GA | - | - | 55.00 | 12/18 | - | -16.0 | B |
| 5/29/18 | MDU Resources Group, Inc. | MT | - | 9.40 | - | - | - | 1.0 | B |
| 5/30/18 | Baltimore Gas and Electric Company | MD | 6.69 | - | - | 12/23 | - | 68.0 | LIR, Z, 21 |
| 6/6/18 | Liberty Utilities (Midstates Natural Gas) Corp | MO | - | 9.80 | - | 6/17 | Year-end | 4.6 | B |
| 6/14/18 | Central Hudson Gas \& Electric Corporation | NY | 6.44 | 8.80 | 48.00 | 6/19 | Average | 6.7 | $B, Z$ |
| 6/19/18 | Black Hills Kansas Gas Utility Company, LLC | KS | - | - | - | 2/18 | Year-end | 0.6 | LIR |
|  | 2nd quarter: averages/total |  | 7.08 | 9.43 | 50.83 |  |  | 73.8 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 7 | 7 | 6 |  |  | 11 |  |
| 7/16/18 | Black Hills Northwest Wyoming Gas Utility Company, LLC | WY | 7.75 | 9.60 | 54.00 | 6/17 | Year-end | 1.0 | B |
| 7/20/18 | Cascade Natural Gas Corporation | WA | 7.31 | 9.40 | 49.00 | 12/16 | Average | -2.9 | B |
| 8/15/18 | Virginia Natural Gas, Inc. | VA | 6.86 | 9.50 | 48.74 | 8/19 | Average | 3.2 | LIR,22 |
| 8/21/18 | Delta Natural Gas Company, Inc. | KY | - | - | - | 12/17 | Year-end | 2.2 | LIR,23 |
| 8/22/18 | Northern Indiana Public Service Company | IN | - | - | - | 12/17 | Year-end | 14.2 | LIR,24 |
| 8/24/18 | Narragansett Electric Company | RI | 7.15 | 9.28 | 50.95 | 6/17 | Average | 17.4 | $B, Z$ |
| 8/28/18 | Consumers Energy Company | MI | 5.86 | 10.00 | 40.91 | 6/19 | Average | 10.6 | B,* |
| 9/5/18 | Indiana Gas Company, Inc. | IN | - | - | - | 12/17 | Year-end | 9.8 | LIR,25 |
| 9/5/18 | Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company, Inc. | IN | - | - | - | 12/17 | Year-end | 2.2 | LIR,26 |
| 9/11/18 | CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. | AR | 4.69 | - | 31.52 | 9/19 | Year-end | 5.1 | B,* |
| 9/13/18 | DTE Gas Company | MI | 5.56 | 10.00 | 38.30 | 9/19 | Average | 9.0 | * |
| 9/14/18 | Wisconsin Power and Light Company | WI | 6.97 | 10.00 | 52.00 | 12/18 | Average | 0.0 | B,27 |
| 9/19/18 | Northern Indiana Public Service Company | IN | 6.50 | 9.85 | 46.88 | 12/18 | Year-end | 107.3 | B, Z |
| 9/19/18 | Bay State Gas Company | MA | - | - | - | - | - | - | 28 |
| 9/20/18 | Madison Gas and Electric Company | WI | 7.10 | 9.80 | 56.06 | 12/20 | Average | 4.1 | B,Z |
| 9/26/18 | MDU Resources Group, Inc. | ND | 7.24 | 9.40 | 51.00 | 12/18 | Average | 2.5 | B, I |
| 9/26/18 | Piedmont Natural Gas Company, Inc. | SC | 7.60 | 10.20 | 53.00 | 3/18 | Year-end | -13.9 | $B, M$ |
| 9/26/18 | South Carolina Electric \& Gas Co. | SC | 8.05 | - | 49.83 | 3/18 | Year-end | -19.7 | M |
| 9/28/18 | Boston Gas Company | MA | 7.01 | 9.50 | 53.04 | 12/16 | Year-end | 100.8 |  |
| 9/28/18 | Colonial Gas Company | MA | 7.18 | 9.50 | 53.04 | 12/16 | Year-end | 17.8 |  |
| 9/28/18 | Columbia Gas of Maryland, Incorporated | MD | - | - | - | 12/19 | Average | 2.0 | B, LIR,29 |
| 2018 | 3rd quarter: averages/total |  | 6.86 | 9.69 | 48.55 |  |  | 272.8 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 15 | 13 | 15 |  |  | 20 |  |
| 2018 | YTD: averages/total |  | 6.97 | 9.62 | 49.61 |  |  | 544.6 |  |
|  | Observations |  | 27 | 26 | 27 |  |  | 40 |  |

YTD = year-to-date, through Sept. 30, 2018.
Data compiled Oct. 10, 2018.
Source: Regulatory Research Associates, an offering of S\&P Global Market Intelligence

## Footnotes

A Average.
B Order followed stipulation or settlement by the parties. Decision particulars not necessarily precedent-setting or specifically adopted by the regulatory body.

CWIP Construction work in progress.
D Applies to electric delivery only.
DCt Date-certain rate base valuation.
E Estimated.
F Return on fair value rate base.
Hy Hypothetical capital structure utilized.
I Interim rates implemented prior to the issuance of final order, normally under bond and subject to refund.
LIR Limited-issue rider proceeding.
M "Make-whole" rate change based on return on equity or overall return authorized in previous case.
R Revised.
Te Temporary rates implemented prior to the issuance of final order.
Tr Applies to transmission service.
U Double leverage capital structure utilized.
YE Year-end.
Z Rate change implemented in multiple steps.

* Capital structure includes cost-free items or tax credit balances at the overall rate of return.

1 Decision adopted a company filing specifying a $\$ 99.3$ million plant-specific retail revenue requirement. According to the company, this results in an annual rate reduction of approximately $\$ 26.8$ million.

2 Rate change was approved under Rider R, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in the Bear Garden power plant.

3 Rate change was approved under Rider W, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in the Warren County generation facility.

4 Rate change was approved under Rider S, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in the Virginia City Hybrid Energy Center.

5 Rate change was approved under Rider GV, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in the Greensville County generation facility.

6 Rate change was approved under Rider B, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers the costs associated with the conversion of the Altavista, Hopewell and Southampton Power Stations to burn biomass fuels.

7 Reduction ordered to the nuclear construction cost recovery tariff associated with the company's two new units being built at its Vogtle plant.

8 Proposed acquisition of the Beech Ridge II and Hardin wind generation facilities, and an associated rider was rejected. No initial revenue requirement had been proposed.

9 Rate case dismissed.

# Attachment to Response to PSC-2 Question No. 47 

10 Rate change was approved under Rider DSM, which is the mechanism through which the company is permitted to collect a cash return on demand-side management program costs.

11 Rate change was approved under Rider RAC-EE, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in energy efficiency programs.

12 ROE to be used for certain riders and AFUDC purposes is $9.5 \%$.
13 Rate change was approved under Rider US-2, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in three utility-scale solar facilities: Scott Solar, Whitehouse Solar and Woodland Solar.

14 Rate change was approved under Rider BW, which is the mechanism through which the company recovers its investment in the Brunswick Power Station.

15 Rate change pertains to the company's Citrus County CC natural gas plant that is nearing completion.
16 Case was dismissed without prejudice.
17 Rate change was approved under the company's joint expanded net energy cost proceeding.
18 Decision freezes electric rates at 2017 levels for 2018 and 2019.
19 Case established the rates to be charged to customers under the company's compliance and system improvement adjustment, or CSIA, mechanism, which includes both federally mandated pipeline-safety initiatives and projects that are permitted under the state's transmission, distribution and storage system improvement charge, or TDSIC, statute.

20 Reflects updates to the company's gas system reliability surcharge rider since its most recent base rate case.
21 Rate change was approved under the company's Strategic Infrastructure Development and Enhancement, or STRIDE, rider.

22 Case involves the company's investment made underVirginia Steps to AdvanceVirginia Energy infrastructure program.
23 Case involves the company's pipe replacement program rider.
24 Case involves company's TDSIC rate adjustment mechanism.
25 Case involves the company's CSIA mechanism and projects that are permitted under the state's TDSIC statute.
26 Pertains to investments made under the company's CSIA mechanism and projects that are permitted under the state's TDSIC statute.

27 Freezes gas rates at 2017 levels for 2018 and 2019.
28 Rate case withdrawn.
29 Case relates to the company's investment in its STRIDE program.
30 Rate change was approved under the company's infrastructure replacement and improvement surcharge, or IRIS, rider through which the company recovers costs associated with its STRIDE plan.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 48

## Responding Witness: Adrien M. McKenzie

Q-48. Provide any updates to the ROE models.
A-48. Mr. McKenzie has not performed any updates to the application of the quantitative analyses included in his direct testimony.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 49

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-49. Refer to Schedule B-2.2 Electric, page 1, line 10 and page 2, line 10, and Exhibit LEB-6. Explain how meters removed from rate base for DSM is the same amount in the base and forecast period if LG\&E projects additions during the forecast period.

A-49. The additions during the forecasted period were inadvertently included in the DSM communication account instead of the DSM meters account. The additions can be seen in the DSM communication account that is also excluded from base rates.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 50

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-50. Refer to Schedule B-5.2 Electric, page 4 of 6, lines 13 and 20. Confirm that "Major Storm Damage Expense" does not include amounts proposed to be included in a regulatory asset.

A-50. Confirmed.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 51

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-51. Refer to Schedule B-6 Electric, page 2 of 2, line 3. Provide monthly account balances for the accounts included in Deferred Income Taxes.

A-51. See attached. The Company utilized the pro rata method for its rate base calculation.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ELECTRIC <br> Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income <br> As of April 30, 2020 <br> Reg 1.167(I)-(h)(6)ii (Dollars)

## Line

## No.

Projected Accumulated Deferred Taxes at April 30, 2019

Projected Accumulated Deferred Taxes at April 30, 2020

Increase in Accumulated Deferred Taxes for the forward year

## Monthly Increase/Decrease

Balance April 30, 2019
May 1-31, 2019 \$

June 1-30, 2019

July 1-31, 2019

August 1-31, 2019
September 1-30, 2019
October 1-31, 2019

November 1-30, 2019
December 1-31, 2019

January 1-31, 2020

February 1-28, 2020

March 1-31, 2020

April 1-30, 2020

Pro rata Balance April 30, 2020

## Amount

\$
964,044,343

999,295,240
\$ 35,250,896

Proration

| $2,937,575$ | $335 / 365$ | $2,696,130$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2,937,575$ | $304 / 365$ | $2,446,638$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $274 / 365$ | $2,205,193$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $243 / 365$ | $1,955,700$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $213 / 365$ | $1,714,256$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $182 / 365$ | $1,464,763$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $151 / 365$ | $1,215,271$ |
| $2,937,575$ | $123 / 365$ | 989,922 |
| $2,937,575$ | $92 / 365$ | 740,430 |
| $2,937,575$ | $62 / 365$ | 2498,985 |
| $2,937,575$ | $31 / 365$ | 8,493 |
| $2,937,575$ | $1 / 365$ |  |



# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 52

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-52. Refer to Schedule B-6 Gas, page 2 of 2, line 3. Provide monthly account balances for the accounts included in Deferred Income Taxes.

A-52. See attached. The Company utilized the pro rata method for its rate base calculation.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY GAS

Accumulated Deferred Taxes on Income
As of April 30, 2020
Reg 1.167(I)-(h)(6)ii
(Dollars)

| Line <br> No. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | Projected Accumulated Deferred Taxes at April 30, 2019 |  |
| 2 | Projected Accumulated Deferred Taxes at April 30, 2020 |  |
| 3 | Increase in Accumulated Deferred Taxes for the forward year |  |
|  |  | Monthly Increase/Decrease |
| 4 | Balance April 30, 2019 |  |
| 5 | May 1-31, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 6 | June 1-30, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 7 | July 1-31, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 8 | August 1-31, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 9 | September 1-30, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 10 | October 1-31, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 11 | November 1-30, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 12 | December 1-31, 2019 | 444,888 |
| 13 | January 1-31, 2020 | 444,888 |
| 14 | February 1-28, 2020 | 444,888 |
| 15 | March 1-31, 2020 | 444,888 |
| 16 | April 1-30, 2020 | 444,888 |
| 17 | Pro rata Balance April 30, 2020 |  |

## Amount

\$ 230,300,607
235,639,266
\$ 5,338,659

Proration
$\$ 230,300,607$
408,322

370,537

333,971

296,186

259,620

182/365

151/365
123/365

92/365

62/365

31/365

1/365

221,835

184,050
149,921

112,136

75,570
37,785

1,219
$\$ \quad 232,751,759$


## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 53

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-53. Refer to Schedule D-1 Electric, page 2 of 9, lines 32-33 and page 3 of 9, line 58. Provide the eight-year average of major planned overhauls for the base period and the forecast period.

A-53. The eight-year average of major planned overhauls for the base period and the forecast period are as follows:

| FERC | Base Year <br> Eight-Year Average |  | Test Year <br> Eight-Year Average |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 512 | $\$$ | $7,236,559$ | $\$$ | $7,450,878$ |
| 513 | $\$$ | $5,454,144$ | $\$$ | $5,788,495$ |
| 554 | $\$$ | 150,142 | $\$$ | 302,193 |

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 54

Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Lonnie E. Bellar

Q-54. Refer to Schedule D-1 Electric, page 4 of 9.
a. Refer to line 61. Provide intercompany purchased power and OVEC costs for the base period and the forecast period.
b. Refer to line 73. Explain the term "depancaking expense."

A-54.
a.

|  | Base <br> Period | Forecast Period |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | \$ |
| Intercompany purchased power | 5,579,300 | 7,337,483 |
| OVEC - Energy Charges | 13,296,040 | 13,534,023 |
| OVEC - Demand Charges | 21,503,975 | 27,272,357 |
| Bluegrass Generation Co., LLC ${ }^{1)}$ - Energy Charges | 1,299,981 | - |
| Bluegrass Generation Co., LLC ${ }^{1)}$ - Demand Charges | 10,482,608 | - |
| Market Purchases | 10,988 | 919,112 |
| Purchased Power SCH D-1 | 52,172,892 | 49,062,975 |

${ }^{1)}$ a.k.a East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., tolling agreement ends April 30, 2019
b. "Depancaking costs" are expenses resulting from the application of the Merger Mitigation Depancaking ("MMD") mechanism in LG\&E and KU’s FERC-filed Rate Schedule 402. Under MMD, transmission charges for the combined transmission system of LG\&E and KU for exports to MISO are waived for certain municipalities, reducing transmission revenues paid by those municipal customers. For imports of electricity from a source in MISO for delivery to load interconnected to the LG\&E and KU transmission system, certain municipalities are billed for LG\&E and KU transmission charges but LG\&E and KU are obligated to credit to those municipal customers the MISO
transmission charges associated with the delivery of the electricity to the MISOLG\&E/KU border. This typically results in a net payment to those municipal customers because the MISO transmission charges exceed the LG\&E and KU transmission charges.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 55

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-55. Refer to Schedule D-1 Electric, page 6 of 9, line 90.
a. Provide a monthly breakdown of this account for the forecast period.
b. Explain if LG\&E has executed new contracts to replace those expiring in May 2019. If so, provide the contract terms. If not, state when contracts are expected to be executed.

A-55.
a. See Attachment to Tab 56 Sch. C-2.2: Electric Sheet 4 of 4 Line 73 of the Filing Requirement.
b. A new contract has not been executed. A new contract is expected to be executed by June 1, 2019.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 56

## Responding Witness: Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-56. Refer to Schedule D-1 Electric, page 7 of 9, line 114.
a. Describe LG\&E's current practice for "educating customers on their energy choices and ways to reduce their usage through energy efficiency" and how that differs from the forecast period.
b. Explain why Informational and Instructional Advertising for energy efficiency and customer conservation is not included in the "Customer Education and Public Information" portion of LG\&E's Demand Side Management program.

A-56.
a. The Companies educate customers on ways to reduce their usage through energy efficiency with mass media campaigns in the Customer Education and Public Information Program (CEPI) as part of the current Demand Side Management Program (DSM). The CEPI program under DSM is designed to drive customer engagement and participation in the approved DSM programs to achieve DSM goals. In the forecast period, although the DSM programs are reduced, the Companies are maintaining their commitment to educate customers on their energy choices and ways to reduce their energy usage through energy efficiency tips that they can self-implement.
b. The Customer Education and Public Information portion of the Company's Demand Side Management program is set to expire on December 31, 2018 in accordance with the Companies’ application in Case No. 2017-00441 and the Commission's Order issued October 5, 2018. Therefore, future costs associated with customer education on energy efficiency and conservation will be included in base rates.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 57

Responding Witness: Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-57. Refer to Schedule D-1 Gas, page 5 of 7, line 86.
a. Describe LG\&E's current practice for "educating customers on their energy choices and ways to reduce their usage through energy efficiency" and how that differs from the forecast period.
b. Explain why Informational and Instructional Advertising for energy efficiency and customer conservation is not included in the "Customer Education and Public Information" portion of LG\&E's Demand-Side Management program.

A-57.
a. The Companies educate customers on ways to reduce their usage through energy efficiency with mass media campaigns in the Customer Education and Public Information Program (CEPI) as part of the current Demand Side Management Program (DSM). The CEPI program under DSM is designed to drive customer engagement and participation in the approved DSM programs to achieve DSM goals. In the forecast period, although the DSM programs are reduced, the Companies are maintaining their commitment to educate customers on their energy choices, ways to reduce their energy usage through energy efficiency tips that they can self-implement, and natural gas public awareness.
b. The Customer Education and Public Information portion of the Company's Demand Side Management program is set to expire on December 31, 2018 in accordance with the Companies' application in Case No. 2017-00441 and the Commission's Order issued October 5, 2018. Therefore, future costs associated with customer education on energy efficiency and conservation will be included in base rates

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 58

Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Robert M. Conroy

Q-58. Refer to Schedule J-1 Electric. The jurisdictional adjusted capital increases approximately $\$ 164.5$ million from the base period to the forecasted period. Provide an itemized list of each adjustment that comprises the increase, justification of the adjustment, and reference to the application supporting this adjustment. For increases associated with a capital project, include whether or not a Certificate of Public Convenience has been or will be filed and the case number or expected filing date, as applicable.

A-58. Changes in capitalization cannot be tracked to individual items as capitalization is impacted by normal operating activities, capital expenditures, and financing activities. The Company does not project finance individual projects and does not assign specific financing transactions to individual projects. Each source of capital (i.e. debt and equity) is used to fund all projects. The Company has obtained the necessary Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity ("CPCN"s) required for the construction of its facilities except those considered to be ordinary extensions of its existing systems in the usual course of business and do not require a CPCN in compliance with 807 KAR 5:001 Section 15(3) .

The Companies have reviewed all of the new projects contained in the Companies' applications. All appear to be ordinary extensions. There are no known certificates or service of other utilities with which the proposed projects could interfere. Any replacement of existing facilities or equipment due to a facility's obsolesce or deterioration, for safety or reliability reasons, or which is part of an ongoing replacement program was not considered a wasteful duplication of facilities. This position is consistent with prior Commission decisions that have permitted the replacement of existing equipment and facilities without requiring a CPCN. ${ }^{7}$ It is also consistent the Commission's position that individual replacements and

[^10]improvement projects should be assessed individually and not collectively or cumulatively. ${ }^{8}$ The Companies did not find any of the proposed capital expenditures to constitute a material capital outlay.

[^11]
## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 59

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Robert M. Conroy

Q-59. Refer to Schedule J-1 Gas. The jurisdictional adjusted capital increases approximately $\$ 44.6$ million from the base period to the forecasted period. Provide an itemized list of each adjustment that comprises the increase, justification of the adjustment, and reference to the application supporting this adjustment. For increases associated with a capital project, include whether or not a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity has been or will be filed and the case number or expected filing date, as applicable.

A-59. See the response to Question No. 58.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 60

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-60. Refer to the application, Exhibit J, Schedule J-2 Electric. Provide support for the forecasted short-term interest rates.

A-60. The short-term interest rate projections are based on the one-month LIBOR forward curve as of June 30, 2018. The Company has assumed a $.10 \%$ spread above LIBOR comprised of a $.05 \%$ credit spread and a commercial paper dealer fee of $.05 \%$. See attached for the further detail.
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REFORECAST


| Mar 2019 | Apr 2019 May 2019 | Jun 2019 | Jul 2019 | Aug 2019 | Sep 2019 | Oct 2019 | Nov 2019 | Dec 2019 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2.75 \%$ | $2.84 \%$ | $2.91 \%$ | $2.98 \%$ | $3.04 \%$ | $3.10 \%$ | $3.15 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | $3.26 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ |
| $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| $2.85 \%$ | $2.94 \%$ | $3.01 \%$ | $3.08 \%$ | $3.14 \%$ | $3.20 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ | $3.30 \%$ | $3.36 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ |

REFORECAST


| Jan 2020 | Feb 2020 | Mar 2020 | Apr 2020 | May 2020 | Jun 2020 | Jul 2020 | Aug 2020 | Sep 2020 | Oct 2020 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3.34 \%$ | $3.38 \%$ | $3.38 \%$ | $3.39 \%$ | $3.43 \%$ | $3.46 \%$ | $3.42 \%$ | $3.45 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ |
| $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| $3.44 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | $3.49 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ | $3.56 \%$ | $3.52 \%$ | $3.55 \%$ | $3.58 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ |

[^12]| Nov 2020 | Dec 2020 | Jan 2021 | Feb 2021 | Mar 2021 | Apr 2021 May 2021 | Jun 2021 | Jul 2021 | Aug 2021 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3.53 \%$ | $3.56 \%$ | $3.59 \%$ | $3.62 \%$ | $3.65 \%$ | $3.68 \%$ | $3.71 \%$ | $3.74 \%$ | $3.68 \%$ | $3.69 \%$ |
| $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3.63 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ | $3.69 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ | $3.75 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ |


| Sep 2021 | Oct 2021 | Nov 2021 | Dec 2021 | Jan-22 | Feb-22 | Mar-22 | Apr-22 | May-22 | Jun-22 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3.71 \%$ | $3.74 \%$ | $3.76 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ | $3.83 \%$ | $3.86 \%$ | $3.88 \%$ | $3.91 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ |
| $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ | $0.10 \%$ |
| $3.81 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $3.86 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ | $3.91 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ | $3.98 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $4.03 \%$ |

REFORECAST


REFORECAST

2019-2023 Plan Interest Rate Assumptions - Based off of June 30, 2018 Forward Rates

| Short-Term Rates | Calculation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| LIBOR | Explanation |  |
| Commercial Paper 1 Mo | LIBOR + 10bps |  |
|  |  | 5bps is base on Forward Curve at 06/30/2018 date |
|  |  |  |
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| Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover | Jul-18 | Aug-18 | Sep-18 | Oct-18 | Nov-18 | Dec-18 | Jan-19 | Feb-19 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E <br> Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $2.19 \%$ | $2.28 \%$ | $2.31 \%$ | $2.43 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $2.59 \%$ | $2.70 \%$ | $2.78 \%$ |
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Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover

|  | Nov-19 | Dec-19 | Jan-20 | Feb-20 | Mar-20 | Apr-20 | May-20 | Jun-20 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $3.36 \%$ | $3.40 \%$ | $3.44 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | $3.48 \%$ | $3.49 \%$ | $3.53 \%$ | $3.56 \%$ |  |
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Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover

|  | Jul-20 | Aug-20 | Sep-20 | Oct-20 | Nov-20 | Dec-20 | Jan-21 | Feb-21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E <br> Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $3.52 \%$ | $3.55 \%$ | $3.58 \%$ | $3.60 \%$ | $3.63 \%$ | $3.66 \%$ | $3.69 \%$ | $3.72 \%$ |

Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover

|  | Mar-21 | Apr-21 | May-21 | Jun-21 | Jul-21 | Aug-21 | Sep-21 | Oct-21 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $3.75 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ | $3.78 \%$ | $3.79 \%$ | $3.81 \%$ | $3.84 \%$ |  |  |

Case No. 2018-00295
Attachment to Response to PSC-2 Question No. 60
12 of 16
Arbough
Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover

| Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover | Nov-21 | Dec-21 | Jan-22 | Feb-22 | Mar-22 | Apr-22 | May-22 | Jun-22 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $3.86 \%$ | $3.89 \%$ | $3.91 \%$ | $3.93 \%$ | $3.96 \%$ | $3.98 \%$ | $4.01 \%$ | $4.03 \%$ |  |

Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover

| Short-term finance Interest \& Rollover | Jul-22 | Aug-22 | Sep-22 | Oct-22 | Nov-22 | Dec-22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KY Base Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LG\&E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest Rate - STD (Commercial Paper) | $4.02 \%$ | $4.03 \%$ | $4.06 \%$ | $4.08 \%$ | $4.10 \%$ | $4.12 \%$ |
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# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 61

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-61. Refer to the application, Exhibit J, Schedule J-2 Gas. Provide support for the forecasted short-term interest rates.

A-61. See the response to Question No. 60.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 62

Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-62. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_8_Electric at tab "DEFTAX B" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. Describe how the values in Column B for the ADIT (cells B7, B8, and B9) were projected and calculated.
b. Describe how the values in Column B for the Investment Tax Credit (cells B1, B12, and B13) were projected and calculated.
c. Provide workpapers and spreadsheets with all formulas intact demonstrating how the amounts in tab "DEFTAXB" were calculated.

A-62.
a. The values in column B (cells B7, B8, and B9) represent the ending "above-the-line" net ADIT balance inclusive of the net regulatory tax liability for deferred taxes primarily attributable to excess ADIT as of $12 / 31 / 2018$. Refer to the "Taxes" section in Filing Requirement Section 16(7)(c), Item A, pages 13-14, for a description of how deferred taxes are projected and calculated.
b. The values in column B (cells $\mathrm{B} 11, \mathrm{~B} 12$, and B 13 ) represent the ending unamortized investment tax credit balances as of $12 / 31 / 2018$. These amounts are projected and calculated based on amortization schedules for existing investment tax credits.
c. See attachment being provided in Excel format.

# The attachment is being provided in a separate file in Excel format. 

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information
Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 63

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-63. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "DEFTAX F" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. State whether the amounts included in tab "DEFTAX F" for "Total Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes" represents the net of all of LG\&E's deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities and, if not, explain what those amounts represent..
b. Describe how the starting values in Column 8 for the ADIT (cells B7, B8, and B9) were projected and calculated.
c. State whether the monthly amounts of ADIT shown on the spreadsheet for May 2019 through April 2020 were calculated using the pro rata method described in 26 C.F. R. § 1.167(1)-1(h)(6), and if so describe how the pro rata calculation was applied (e.g., was the pro rata method applied to the sum of the monthly changes, was it applied to the monthly changes for each account represented in the totals, was it applied to some accounts but not others, what ratios were used for each month).
d. If the pro rata method described in 26 C.F.R. § 1.167(1)-1(h)(6) was not used to calculate the monthly amounts of ADIT shown on the spreadsheet for May 2019 through April 2020, then describe how those amounts were projected and calculated.
e. Provide a spreadsheet identifying every deferred tax asset account and every deferred tax liability account for April 2019 included in the totals in cells B7, B8, and B9 and providing the projected amount in each account for April 2019. If the sum of the projected amounts in those accounts does not equal the values represented in cells B7, B8, and B9, explain the discrepancy.
f. Provide a spreadsheet identifying and providing the projected amount of every deferred tax asset account and every deferred tax liability account for May 2019 through April 2020 if any amounts in those accounts were included in the amounts of ADIT shown for May 2019 through April 2020 in lines 7 through
9. If the sum of the projected amounts in those accounts does not equal the values for each month in lines 7,8 , and 9 , explain the discrepancy.
g. Explain how the " 13 month Average" values for ADIT in Column O were projected and calculated. If the pro rata method was used, describe how the pro rata calculation was applied (e.g., was the pro rata method applied to the sum of the monthly changes for all accounts, was it applied to the monthly changes for each account represented in the totals, was it applied to some accounts but not others, what ratios were used for each month).
h. Explain how the monthly amounts for the Investment Tax Credit were projected and calculated.
i. Provide workpapers and spreadsheets with all formulas intact demonstrating how the amounts in tab "DEFTAX F" were calculated.

A-63.
a. Yes. The amounts in tab "DEFTAX F" for "Total Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes" represent the net of all "above-the-line" deferred tax assets and liabilities inclusive of the net regulatory tax liability for deferred taxes primarily attributable to excess ADIT.
b. The starting values in row 8 (cells B7, B8, and B9) represent the ending "above-the-line" ADIT balance inclusive of the net regulatory tax liability for deferred taxes primarily attributable to excess ADIT as of $4 / 30 / 2019$. Refer to the "Taxes" section in Filing Requirement Section 16(7)(c), Item A, pages 13-14, for a description of how deferred taxes are projected and calculated.
c. The monthly amounts of ADIT shown on the spreadsheet for May 2019 through April 2020 represent the projected ending ADIT balances inclusive of the net regulatory tax liability for deferred taxes primarily attributable to excess ADIT. The pro rata method was applied to the beginning and ending net ADIT balance in aggregate, not by individual account. See attachment to responses to Question Nos. 51 and 52.
d. See response to Question No. 63(c).
e. See attachment to response to Question No. 62(c). No discrepancies exist.
f. See attachment to response to Question No. 62(c). No discrepancies exist.
g. The " 13 month Average" values for ADIT in Column O represent the pro rata ADIT balance as of 4/30/2020. See response to Question No. 63(c).
h. These amounts represent unamortized investment tax credit balances. They are projected and calculated based on amortization schedules for existing investment tax credits.
i. See attachment to response to Question No. 62(c).

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 64

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-64. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "Sch-B-6" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. State whether the phrase "Forecast Period Total Company" is referring to total electric or total electric and gas (note that it corresponds to the "13 month Average" value in tab "DEFTAX F" for electric only).
b. Explain LG\&E's justification for the adjustment to deferred income taxes in tab "Sch-B-6".

A-64.
a. The amounts are total electric.
b. As noted in footnote (a), the Adjustments amount on Schedule B-6 for Line No. 3 Deferred Income Taxes reflects the ECR and DSM deferred income tax amounts. Other rate mechanism amounts not included in base rates are removed.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 65

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-65. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "ECR DEFTAX" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. Describe what the values in row 2 represent.
b. Describe how the values in row 2 were projected and calculated for the period from April 2019 through April 2020.
c. Explain why the "13MOAVG" value in tab "ECR DEFTAX" was calculated by adding the values for each month beginning April 2019 and ending April 2020 and dividing the sum of those values by 13 but the " 13 month Average" values in tab "DEFTAX F" were not calculated in that manner.

A-65.
a. The values in row 2 represent monthly ADIT balances related to ECR projects which are eliminated from base rates through a pro forma adjustment.
b. The values in row 2 were projected and calculated based on book versus tax depreciation differences on ECR assets.
c. The ECR mechanism does not utilize the pro rata method as monthly ending balances are utilized. The "13MOAVG" value in tab "DEFTAX F" was calculated using the pro rata method.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 66

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-66. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "DSM DEFTAX" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. Describe what the values in row 10 represent.
b. Describe how the values in row 10 were projected and calculated for the period from April 2019 through April 2020.
c. Explain why the "13MOAVG" value in tab "DSM DEFTAX" was calculated by adding the values for each month beginning April 2019 and ending April 2020 and dividing the sum of those values by 13 but the "13 month Average" values in tab "DEFTAX F" were not calculated in that manner.

A-66.
a. The values in row 10 represent monthly ADIT balances related to DSM projects which are eliminated from base rates through a pro forma adjustment.
b. The values in row 10 were projected and calculated based on book versus tax depreciation differences on DSM assets.
c. The DSM mechanism does not utilize the pro rata method as monthly ending balances are utilized. The "13MOAVG" value in tab "DEFTAX F" was calculated using the pro rata method.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 67

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-67. Refer to Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Gas at tab "GLT DEFTAX" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53.
a. Describe what the values in row 3 represent.
b. Describe how the values in row 3 were projected and calculated for the period from April 2019 through April 2020.
c. Explain why the "13MOAVG" value in tab "GLT DEFT AX" was calculated by adding the values for each month beginning April 2019 and ending April 2020 and dividing the sum of those values by 13, but the "13 month Average" values in tab "DefTax F" of Att_LGE_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Gas were not calculated in that manner.

A-67.
a. The values in row 3 represent monthly ADIT balances related to GLT projects which are eliminated from base rates through a pro forma adjustment.
b. The values in row 3 were projected and calculated based on book versus tax depreciation differences on GLT assets.
c. The GLT mechanism does not utilize the pro rata method as monthly ending balances are utilized. The "13MOAVG" value in tab "DEFTAX F" was calculated using the pro rata method.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 68

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-68. Refer to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) order issued on April 27, 2018, in the matter involving Midcontinent Independent System Operator, Inc., ${ }^{12}$ and others in which FERC determined that the "two-step averaging methodology" used to calculate ADIT in a future test period for ratemaking purposes resulted in unfair and unreasonable rates.
a. State whether LG\&E used the "two-step averaging methodology" referred to by FERC or any similar method in which a second averaging step was applied to ADIT balances calculated using the pro rata method to calculate its ADIT balance or any portion thereof in the future test year.
b. If LG\&E did use a "two-step averaging methodology" to calculate its ADIT balance for the future test period, explain how LG\&E applied the methodology and why LG\&E contends that the methodology it used is reasonable.

A-68.
a. The Company did not use the two-step averaging methodology.
b. Not applicable.

[^14]
# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 69

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-69. State whether LG\&E used the "with or without" method to determine the extent to which net operating loss carryforwards (NOL carryforwards) should be attributed to accelerated depreciation of utility property in a given tax year. If so, describe how LG\&E applies the "with or without" method. If not, describe how LG\&E determines the extent to which NOL carryforwards are attributable to accelerated tax depreciation of utility property in a given tax year.

A-69. The Company used the "with or without" method to determine the extent to which net operating loss carryforwards (NOL carryforwards) should be attributed to accelerated depreciation of utility property in a given tax year.

The Company calculated the NOL carryforward amount by removing the accelerated tax depreciation (Bonus depreciation and Modified Accelerated Cost Recovery System depreciation) deduction for the year and replacing it with the straight-line (book) depreciation deduction. The Company then compared the federal taxable income without accelerated depreciation to with accelerated depreciation.

The result of using the "with or without" method was the NOL carryforward was caused by accelerated depreciation.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 70

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-70. State whether and, if so, describe how LG\&E allocates NOL carryforwards generated in a particular tax year amongst specific utility properties that were depreciated in an accelerated manner for tax purposes during that year.

A-70. The Company does not allocate NOLs to specific utility properties.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 71

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-71. If NOL carryforwards are generated in a particular tax year by the accelerated depreciation of multiple public utility properties, describe how LG\&E allocates the use of any portion of those NOL carryforwards to reduce tax expense in future years amongst those properties to determine the extent to which the remaining NOL carryforwards should be attributed to the accelerated depreciation of each such property.

A-71. The Company does not allocate the NOL carryforwards among properties.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 72

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-72. If LG\&E generated \$500,000 in NOL carryforwards in Year 1 and $\$ 500,000$ in NOL carryforwards in Year 2 (both arising from accelerated tax depreciation of utility property) and used $\$ 400,000$ in NOL carryforwards in Year 3 to reduce tax expense, describe how LG\&E would al locate the use of the NOL carryforwards amongst the NOL carryforwards generated in Year 1 and Year 2. State whether LG\&E's allocation of the NOL carryforwards would be different if the NOL carryforwards generated in Year 1 did not arise from accelerated tax depreciation.

A-72. In this hypothetical example the Company would utilize $\$ 400,000$ of NOL carryforwards arising from Year 1 to offset the taxable income in Year 3. The Company's NOL carryforward utilization is solely dependent on taxable income and all excess ADIT attributable to NOL carryforwards are considered protected.

The Company however projects that the remaining NOL carryforward will be entirely utilized in the forward period.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 73

## Responding Witness: Christopher M. Garrett

Q-73. Describe how LG\&E treats ADIT and excess ADIT arising from accelerated tax depreciation of public utility property for ratemaking purposes when the property that gave rise to the ADIT or excess ADIT is removed from service before the ADIT or excess ADIT is amortized (i.e., before the property is fully depreciated), and explain the bases for that treatment. State whether LG\&E treats deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities arising from accelerated tax depreciation of public utility property in the same manner for ratemaking purposes when public utility property, the depreciation of which generated the assets and liabilities, is taken out of service. If LG\&E does not treat them in the same manner, explain how and why the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are treated differently.

A-73. The Company removes both the ADIT and excess ADIT balances in the year that the assets are retired. The Company recognizes either a tax gain or loss at the time of retirement resulting in the associated temporary difference attributable to the asset fully reversing.

The Company treats deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities in the same manner.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 74

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-74. State whether LG\&E included any penalties or fines pursuant to KRS 367.4917 in the base or forecasted period. If so, provide the location of these amounts.

A-74. There are no penalties/fines pursuant to KRS 367.4917 included in the base or forecasted period. There have been actual penalties in July - October of the forecasted based period; however, all penalties and fines are located in account 426.3 and, therefore, excluded from the revenue requirement calculation.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 75

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Christopher M. Garrett / William Steven Seelye

Q-75. Refer to LG\&E's Responses to Staff's First Request, Item 13.b. The 10-year average ratio of actual to budgeted capital construction (slippage factors) for 2008 through 2017 is 97.153 percent for the Non-Mechanism Capital Construction Projects.
a. Assuming all other factors are unchanged, recalculate LG\&E Electric's forecasted revenue requirement, rate base, capital structure and cost-of-service study to take into account the use of a slippage factor of 97.153 for all monthly Non-Mechanism Capital Construction Projects expenditures beginning July 1, 2018, through the end of the forecasted period, April 30, 2020.
b. Assuming all other factors are unchanged, recalculate LG\&E-Gas's forecasted revenue requirement, rate base, capital structure, and cost-of-service study to take into account the use of a slippage factor of 97.153 for all monthly NonMechanism Capital Construction Projects expenditures beginning July 1, 2018, through the end of the forecasted period, April 30, 2020.
c. Provide copies of all workpapers, state all assumptions, and show all calculations used to determine the effect of the slippage factor to each forecasted element of revenue requirement, rate base, and cost-of-service study.
d. Provide copies of all schedules, supporting calculations, and documentation requested in Item 1.c in Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and unprotected, and all rows and columns fully accessible.

A-75. As stated in the response to PSC 1-13, LG\&E did not recognize a Slippage Factor for capital additions in either the base period or the forecasted test period. The requested calculations of the slippage factor of $97.153 \%$ for LG\&E on capital projects that are recovered in base rates demonstrate the reasonableness of LG\&E’s accuracy in projecting capital additions. Given the reasonable accuracy demonstrated with years of being both over and under budget, the need to apply a Slippage Factor does not exist and the Commission should decline to do so for the reasons identified in LG\&E’s response to PSC 1-13.
a. The impact on the LG\&E Electric revenue requirement for the forecasted test year is a reduction of $\$ 1,304,937$.
b. The impact on the LG\&E Gas revenue requirement for the forecasted test year is a reduction of $\$ 432,475$.
c. The assumptions used, except for the application of the slippage factor requested, have not changed from those contained in the written direct testimony of Daniel K. Arbough and David S. Sinclair and provided in the Filing Requirement Section 16(7)(c). For copies of all workpapers see the attachments being provided in Excel format in part d.
d. See the attachments being provided in Excel format.

## The attachments are being provided in separate files in Excel format.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY 

## Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 76

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-76. Refer to LG\&E's Responses to Staff's First Request, Item 17.
a. For each construction project that is projected to be completed and placed into service during the forecasted period beginning January 1, 2019, through the beginning of the forecasted test period provide the information requested in the table below separately for electric and gas operations.

| Line |  | Description of Project | Estimated Date | Estimated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project No. |  | Projected to be | Cost at |
| No |  |  | Placed in Service | Completion |

b. For each construction project that is projected to be completed and placed into service during the forecasted test period ending April 30, 2020, provide the information requested in the table below separately for electric and gas operations.

| Line | Project No. Description of Project |  | Estimated Date | Estimated | 13-Month Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Projected to be | Cost at | Cost at |
|  |  |  | Placed in Service | Completion | Completion |

c. Provide copies of the schedules requested in Items 2.a and 2.b in Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and unprotected, and all rows and columns fully accessible.

A-76.
a. See attachment being provided in Excel format.
b. See attachment being provided in Excel format.
c. See the response to parts a. and b.

## The attachments are being provided in separate files in Excel format.

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 77

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-77. Refer to LG\&E's Responses to Staff's First Request, Item 18.
a. For each construction project that is projected to be included in the Construction Work In Progress as of the forecasted test period ending April 30, 2020, provide the information requested in the table below separately for electric and gas operations.

b. Provide copies of the schedule requested in Items 3.a in Excel spreadsheet format with formulas intact and unprotected, and all rows and columns fully accessible.

A-77.
a. See attachment being provided in Excel format.
b. See the response to part a.

## The attachments are being provided in separate files in Excel format.

# LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY <br> Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information <br> Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 78

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough

Q-78. Provide a comparison of LG\&E's monthly gas and electric operating budgets to the actual results, by account, for each of the following calendar years: 2013 through 2017. The response shall include comparisons for the following major expense categories. Provide, for each yearly account variance that exceeds five percent, a detailed explanation for the variance.
a. Production Expense;
b. Transmission Expense;
c. Distribution Expense;
d. Customer Accounts Expense;
e. Customer Service and Informational Expense; and
f. Administrative and General Expense.

A-78. LG\&E and KU are operated as a single system and there are some periods where one of the companies is over budget in an account while the other company is under budget as the needs of the system are balanced.

While the response compares actuals to budget, it is important to note that on a combined basis over the five-year period from 2013 to 2017 actual O\&M spent is $\$ 10$ million above what has been collected in rates from customers.

The attached file provides the budgeted and actual amounts by FERC account and variances exceeding $5 \%$ of the budget and $\$ 1$ million are explained. Totals are provided for the major expense categories as well.
a-f. See attached.







|  | Actuals |  |  |  |  | Budget |  |  |  |  | Inc/ ( Deer) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Account | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 |
| Customer Accounts Expense | 17,734,692 | 20,936,923 | 19,420,584 | 20,226,946 | 21,471,482 | 23,063,864 | 18,973,985 | 20,997,742 | 20,724,529 | 23,210,448 | 5,329,172 | $(1,962,938)$ | 1,577,157 | 497,583 | 1,738,966 |
| 901 | 1,966,345 | 1,969,592 | 2,210,573 | 2,020,813 | 2,195,901 | 1,642,175 | 1,676,247 | 1,835,696 | 2,157,750 | 2,204,670 | (324,170) | (293,345) | $(374,876)$ | 136,937 | 8,769 |
| 902 | 4,118,140 | 4,325,256 | 4,350,516 | 4,250,539 | 4,304,292 | 4,347,949 | 4,256,142 | 4,504,320 | 4,398,843 | 4,539,034 | 229,809 | (69,114) | 153,805 | 148,304 | 234,742 |
| 903 | 9,777,398 | 10,094,566 | 10,72, 183 | 11,901,457 | 12,580,632 | 11,230,297 | 10,472,917 | 11,149,748 | 11,009,040 | 13,470,628 | 1,452,899 | 378,352 | 447,565 | (892,417) | 889,996 |
| 904 | 1,606,387 | 4,502,269 | 2,158,583 | 2,039,855 | 2,384,747 | 5,114,600 | 2,172,100 | 3,434,000 | 3,158,895 | 2,993,817 | 3,508,213 | $(2,330,169)$ | 1,275,417 | 1,119,041 | 609,070 |
| 905 | 266,421 | 45,240 | $(1,270)$ | 14,281 | 5,910 | 728,842 | 396,579 | 73,977 |  | 2,300 | 462,421 | 351,338 | 75,247 | $(14,281)$ | $(3,610)$ |
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## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 79

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-79. Refer to LG\&E_PSC_1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "PIS B" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53. In the base period LG\&E added $\$ 1,064,876$ to account E370.00 Meters. Provide an itemized schedule describing each type of meter purchased, the number of meters purchased, the purpose of the meters purchased, and the total cost of each meter type.

A-79. See itemized schedule of meter purchases below. KU and LG\&E purchase a variety of meters with a wide range of unit costs. The table below is a summary level of types of meters and the associated average costs.

LG\&E Base Year

| Purpose | Item | Avg. Unit Cost | Count | Total \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Business <br> Replace retired meters, address new business and support meter testing programs. | Single Phase Meters | \$19 | 3,240 | \$62,280 |
|  | Three Phase Meters | \$92 | 888 | \$81,720 |
|  | Time of Day Meters | n/a | - | \$0 |
|  | AMR (drive by) Meters | \$40 | 800 | \$32,000 |
|  | Transformers | \$145 | 170 | \$24,650 |
|  | Meter equipment, test boards, scanners, other | n/a | n/a | \$459,069 |
|  | Labor | n/a | n/a | \$403,717 |
| AMS Opt-In | Advanced Meters | \$1 | 1,440 | \$1,440 |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | \$1,064,876 |

## LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY

# Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information Dated November 13, 2018 

Case No. 2018-00295
Question No. 80

## Responding Witness: Daniel K. Arbough / Elizabeth J. McFarland

Q-80. Refer to LG\&E_PSC_ 1-53_Sch_B_Electric at tab "SCH B-2.3 F" produced in response to Staff's First Request, Item 53. In the forecasted test period LG\&E added $\$ 1,385,540$ to account E370.00 Meters. Provide an itemized schedule describing each type of meter purchased, the number of meters purchased, the purpose of the meters purchased, and the total cost of each meter type.

A-80. See itemized schedule of meter purchases below. KU and LG\&E purchase a variety of meters with a wide range of unit costs. The table below is a summary level of types of meters and the associated average costs.

LG\&E Test Year

| Purpose | Item | Avg. Unit Cost | Count | Total \$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal Business | Single Phase Meters | \$19 | 3,890 | \$75,410 |
| Replace retired | Three Phase Meters | \$80 | 1,224 | \$97,584 |
| meters, address | Time of Day Meters | \$580 | 670 | \$388,600 |
| new business and | AMR (drive by) Meters | \$45 | 1,120 | \$50,400 |
| support meter | Transformers | \$128 | 495 | \$63,450 |
| testing programs. | Meter equipment, test boards, scanners, other | n/a | n/a | \$73,100 |
|  | Labor | n/a | n/a | \$636,996 |
| AMS Opt-In | Advanced Meters | n/a | n/a | \$0 |
|  |  | TOTAL |  | \$1,385,540 |
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