ATTORNEY GENERAL’S INITIAL DATA REQUESTS TO DOD/FEA

Comes now the intervenor, the Attorney General of the Commonwealth of Kentucky, by and through his Office of Rate Intervention (“Attorney General”), and submits these Initial Data Requests to the United States Department of Defense and all other Federal Executive Agencies [“DoD/FEA”]. These data requests are to be answered by the date specified in the Commission’s Order of Procedure, and in accord with the following:

(1) In each case where a request seeks data provided in response to a staff request, reference to the appropriate request item will be deemed a satisfactory response.

(2) Identify the witness who will be prepared to answer questions concerning each request.

(3) Repeat the question to which each response is intended to refer. The Office of the Attorney General can provide counsel for DoD/FEA with an electronic version of these questions, upon request.

(4) These requests shall be deemed continuing so as to require further and supplemental responses if DoD/FEA receives or generates additional information within the scope of these requests between the time of the response and the time of any hearing conducted hereon.
(5) Each response shall be answered under oath or, for representatives of a public or private corporation or a partnership or association, be accompanied by a signed certification of the preparer or person supervising the preparation of the response on behalf of the entity that the response is true and accurate to the best of that person’s knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

(6) If you believe any request appears confusing, request clarification directly from Counsel for the Office of Attorney General.

(7) To the extent that the specific document, workpaper or information as requested does not exist, but a similar document, workpaper or information does exist, provide the similar document, workpaper, or information.

(8) To the extent that any request may be answered by way of a computer printout, identify each variable contained in the printout which would not be self-evident to a person not familiar with the printout.

(9) If DoD/FEA has objections to any request on the grounds that the requested information is proprietary in nature, or for any other reason, notify the Office of the Attorney General as soon as possible.

(10) As used herein, the words “document” or “documents” are to be construed broadly and shall mean the original of the same (and all non-identical copies or drafts thereof) and if the original is not available, the best copy available. These terms shall include all information recorded in any written, graphic or other tangible form and shall include, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, all reports; memoranda; books or notebooks; written or recorded statements, interviews, affidavits and depositions; all letters or correspondence; telegrams, cables and telex messages; contracts, leases, insurance policies or other agreements; warnings
and caution/hazard notices or labels; mechanical and electronic recordings and all information so stored, or transcripts of such recordings; calendars, appointment books, schedules, agendas and diary entries; notes or memoranda of conversations (telephonic or otherwise), meetings or conferences; legal pleadings and transcripts of legal proceedings; maps, models, charts, diagrams, graphs and other demonstrative materials; financial statements, annual reports, balance sheets and other accounting records; quotations or offers; bulletins, newsletters, pamphlets, brochures and all other similar publications; summaries or compilations of data; deeds, titles, or other instruments of ownership; blueprints and specifications; manuals, guidelines, regulations, procedures, policies and instructional materials of any type; photographs or pictures, film, microfilm and microfiche; videotapes; articles; announcements and notices of any type; surveys, studies, evaluations, tests and all research and development (R&D) materials; newspaper clippings and press releases; time cards, employee schedules or rosters, and other payroll records; cancelled checks, invoices, bills and receipts; and writings of any kind and all other tangible things upon which any handwriting, typing, printing, drawings, representations, graphic matter, magnetic or electrical impulses, or other forms of communication are recorded or produced, including audio and video recordings, computer stored information (whether or not in printout form), computer-readable media or other electronically maintained or transmitted information regardless of the media or format in which they are stored, and all other rough drafts, revised drafts (including all handwritten notes or other marks on the same) and copies of documents as hereinbefore defined by whatever means made.
(11) For any document withheld on the basis of privilege, state the following: date; author; addressee; indicated or blind copies; all persons to whom distributed, shown, or explained; and, the nature and legal basis for the privilege asserted.

(12) In the event any document called for has been destroyed or transferred beyond the control of the organization, state: the identity of the person by whom it was destroyed or transferred, and the person authorizing the destruction or transfer; the time, place, and method of destruction or transfer; and, the reason(s) for its destruction or transfer. If destroyed or disposed of by operation of a retention policy, state the retention policy.

(13) Provide written responses, together with any and all exhibits pertaining thereto, in one or more bound volumes, separately indexed and tabbed by each response, in compliance with Kentucky Public Service Commission Regulations.

(14) “And” and “or” should be considered to be both conjunctive and disjunctive, unless specifically stated otherwise.

(15) “Each” and “any” should be considered to be both singular and plural, unless specifically stated otherwise.
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______________________________
Assistant Attorney General
1. Reference the Direct Testimony of Mr. James T. Selecky (Selecky Direct) generally, including his discussion of Mr. Seelye’s Loss of Load Probability (LOLP) method.
   a. Does Mr. Selecky believe that Mr. Seelye’s LOLP was performed in accordance with the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners’ Electric Utility Cost Allocation Manual? Explain any response.
   b. Does Mr. Selecky believe Mr. Seelye’s LOLP allocated production plant costs to classes using appropriate allocation factors for each of the three rating periods (i.e. peak hours, intermediate or shoulder hours, and base loading hours)?

2. Reference Selecky Direct at 5, lines 9-12, wherein he mentions factors regulators may consider in allocating revenue, such as “economic development.”
   a. Explain what Mr. Selecky means by “economic development.”
   b. Explain, in complete detail, if “economic development” is an item regulators, including the Kentucky Public Service Commission, can consider in setting rates.

3. Reference Selecky Direct, wherein Mr. Selecky discusses the CP methodology he conducted to allocate fixed production costs.
   a. From what data set did Mr. Selecky ascertain his peaks?

4. Reference Selecky Direct, pages 20-22, wherein Mr. Selecky proposes terms and conditions changes to certain tariffs.
   a. Indicate and explain whether Mr. Selecky’s proposals drive or reduce any costs.
   b. If the answer to subpart (a), above, is in the affirmative, explain the level of cost savings or increase, including the computation of same. Include all workpapers related to this request in native format.
   c. Explain what studies or research Mr. Selecky conducted to determine whether or not the proposed terms and conditions changes to certain tariffs drove or reduced any costs.

5. Reference Selecky Direct, page 22, wherein he discusses the demand ratchet provision for class SGSS, and states, “A 100% demand ratchet is punitive and does not reflect the usage diversity for gas customers that utilize the system.”
   a. Explain what Mr. Selecky means when he states the “100% demand ratchet is punitive.”
   b. Explain what Mr. Selecky means by “usage diversity.”
   c. Explain why Mr. Selecky believes usage diversity should be considered in setting rates and what impact a 100% demand ratchet has on recognition of usage diversity.
   a. Provide citations to the emails reviewed by Mr. Selecky where “Mr. Spanos had intended to increase the lives” of Mill Creek 1 and 2, Brown 3 and Ghent 1 and 2.