# **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY**

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC EXAMINATION BY THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE MECHANSIMS OF KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY FOR THE SIX-MONTH BILLING PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 2017

CASE NO. 2018-00051

)

)

)

)

)

# AMENDED TESTIMONY OF DEREK A. RAHN MANAGER, REVENUE REQUIREMENT COS LG&E AND KU SERVICES COMPANY

Filed: April 20, 2018

## VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ) ) SS: COUNTY OF JEFFERSON )

The undersigned, **Derek A. Rahn**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Manager - Revenue Requirement COS for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.

Derek A. Rahn

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County

and State, this <u>10th</u> day of <u>April</u> 2018.

deschorte (SEAL) Notary Public

My Commission Expires: JUDY SCHOOLER Notary Public, State at Large, KY My commission expires July 11, 2018 Notary ID # 512743 1

## Q. Please state your name, title, and business address.

- A. My name is Derek A. Rahn. I am the Manager, Revenue Requirement COS for Kentucky
  Utilities Company ("KU" or "Company") and Louisville Gas and Electric Company
  ("LG&E") and an employee of LG&E and KU Services Company, which provides
  services to LG&E and KU (collectively "Companies"). My business address is 220 West
  Main Street, Louisville, Kentucky, 40202.
- 7 Q. Have you previously filed testimony in this proceeding?
- 8 A. Yes. I submitted Direct Testimony on March 14, 2018.

9 Q. What is the purpose of your Amended Testimony and data responses?

- A. The purpose of my testimony is to present corrected information to my previously filed
  testimony, as well as corrected responses and attachments to Question No. 1, Question
  No. 2, Question No. 3, Question No. 5, and Question No. 6. As noted in the response
  to Question No. 6, there is no impact to the average residential bill that was previously
  presented in the Company's data responses.
- 15 Q. What created the need to amend these responses?
- A. It has come to my attention that the attachment to Question No. 3, which provided the
  calculations for deferred tax balances submitted in the monthly filings for the six
  months under review, contained incorrect balances for July and August 2017. The
  calculations for these two months did not include the depreciation changes approved in
  the last rate case which were effective July 2017.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In the Matter of: Electronic Application of Kentucky Utilities Company for an Adjustment of its Electric Rates and for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Case No. 2016-00370, Order dated June 22, 2017.

1 **Q**. Are any other responses affected by this corrected information? 2 A. Yes. Since deferred tax balances are subtracted from rate base, the Company is 3 updating the rate base amounts, the revised rate of return, the Retail E(m), and the 4 over/under recovery in the attachments to Questions No. 1, 2, 5, and 6. 5 Q. Are there corrections to information provided in the monthly filings during the 6 billing period under review? 7 A. Yes. The corrected monthly ECR mechanism filings for the expense months July and 8 August of 2017 are reflected in Exhibit DAR-2 of this Amended Testimony. 9 Q. As a result of these corrections for the billing period under review, is an adjustment 10 to the revenue requirement necessary? 11 Yes. KU experienced an over-recovery of \$341,879 (rather than \$346,028) for the billing A. 12 period ending October 31, 2017. KU's amended response to Question No. 2 of the 13 Commission Staff's Request for Information shows the calculation of the over-recovery. 14 An adjustment to the revenue requirement is necessary to reconcile the collection of past 15 surcharge revenues with actual costs for the billing period under review. 16 **Q**. What kind of adjustment is KU proposing in this case as a result of the operation of

## 17 the environmental surcharge during the billing period?

A. KU is proposing that the over-recovery be distributed in one month following the
Commission's Order in this proceeding. Specifically, KU recommends the Commission
approve a decrease to the Environmental Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$341,879
for one month, to occur in the second full billing month following the Commission's
Order in this proceeding. This method is consistent with the method of implementing

1		previo	ous over- or under- recovery positions in prior ECR review cases.									
2	Q.	What	What is the bill impact on a residential customer for the proposed distribution of the									
3		over-r	recovery?									
4	A.	As not	ted above and as reflected in my original testimony in this proceeding, the inclusion									
5		of the	distribution reflecting the over-recovery position in the determination of the ECR									
6		billing	factor will decrease the billing factor by approximately 0.28% for one month. For									
7		a resid	dential customer using an average of 1,048 kWh per month, the impact of the									
8		adjuste	adjusted ECR billing factor would be a decrease of approximately \$0.29 for one month.									
9	Q.	What	is your recommendation to the Commission in this case with this Amended									
10		Testin	nony?									
11	A.	KU m	akes the following recommendations to the Commission in this case:									
12		a)	The Commission accepts the corrections in July and August Expense Months on									
13			ES Forms 1.00, 1.10, 2.00, and 2.10 as reflected in Exhibit DAR-2 of this									
14			Amended Testimony;									
15		b)	The Commission should approve the proposed decrease to the Environmental									
16			Surcharge Revenue Requirement of \$341,879 for one month to occur in the									
17			second full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding;									
18		c)	The Commission should determine the environmental surcharge amount for the									
19			six-month billing period ending October 31, 2017 to be just and reasonable;									
20		d)	The Commission should approve the use of an overall rate of return on capital of									
21			8.90% for all projects, using a return on equity of 9.70%, beginning in the second									
22			full billing month following the Commission's Order in this proceeding, and as									

originally filed on March 14, 2018;
 e) The Commission should approve the proposed ES Forms as reflected in Exhibit
 DAR-1, as originally filed March 14, 2018
 Q. Does this conclude your Amended Testimony?
 A. Yes.

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

## Net Jurisdictional E(m) and Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor For the Expense Month of July 2017

## **GROUP 1 (Total Revenue)**

Group 1 E(m) ES Form 1.10, line 16	=	<mark>\$</mark>	1,337,696
Group 1 ES Billing Factor ES Form 1.10, line 18	=		2.67%
GROUP 2 (Net Revenue)			
Group 2 E(m) ES Form 1.10, line 16	=	\$	1,957,122
Group 2 ES Billing Factor ES Form 1.10, line 18	=		<b>4.00%</b>

Effective Date for Billing: September billing cycle beginning August 30, 2017

Submitted by:

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement

Date Submitted: August 18, 2017

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and

Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Month of July 2017

## Calculation of Total E(m)

E(m) = [(RB / 12) (12)]	ROR+(RC	DR - DR(TR/(1-TR)))] + OE - BAS + BR, where
RB	=	Environmental Compliance Rate Base
ROR	=	Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base
DR	=	Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR	=	Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE	=	Pollution Control Operating Expenses
BAS	=	Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales
BR	=	Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

			Pre-2016 Environmental Compliance Plans			016 Environmental Compliance Plans
(1) I	RB	=	\$	1,050,361,053	\$	20,177,730
(2) I	RB / 12	=	\$	87,530,088	\$	1,681,478
(3) (	(ROR + (ROR - DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=		10.35%		10.35%
(4) (	OE	=	\$	5,086,595	\$	70,991
(5) I	BAS	=	\$	-		Not Applicable
(6) I	BR	=	\$	(3,423)		Not Applicable
(7) I	E(m) (2) $x (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)$	=	\$	14,142,536	\$	245,024
(8)	Total $E(m) = sum of Pre-2016 E(m) + 2016 E(m)$	=	\$	14,387,560	-	

# Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

I

(9)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	88.78%
(10)	Jurisdictional E(m) = Total E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio [(8) x (9)]	=	\$ 12,773,276
(11)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2016-00437	=	\$ -
(12)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	\$ -
(13)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	=	\$ 9,478,458
(14)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional $E(m) = [(10) + (11) + (12) - (13)]$	=	\$ 3,294,818

# Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

		GROUP	1 (Total Revenue)	GROUP 2 (Net Revenue)		
(15)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=	40.60%		59.40%	
(16)	Group E(m) [(14) x (15)]	= \$	1,337,696	\$	1,957,122	
(17)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12 Months Ending with the Current Expense Month ES Form 3.00	= \$	50,068,011	\$	48,977,102	
(18)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(16) \div (17)]$	=	2.67%		4.00%	

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of July 2017

Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base

	Pr	Pre-2016 Environmental Compliance Plan			201	mpliance Plan		
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$	1,297,895,871			\$	3,122,112		
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC		101,095,470				8,173,282		
Subtotal			\$	1,398,991,341			\$	11,295,394
Additions:								
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	\$	133,070						
Less: Allowance Inventory Baseline		-						
Net Emission Allowance Inventory		133,070						
Cash Working Capital Allowance		3,244,894				-		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance - Active Stations <sup>1</sup>					\$	7,939,238		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance - Retired Stations <sup>1</sup>						1,249,889		
Subtotal			\$	3,377,964			\$	9,189,127
Deductions:								
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$	73,266,242			\$	26,618		
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes	1	278,742,010				280,173		
Pollution Control Deferred Investment Tax Credit					-	- 1		
Subtotal			\$	352,008,252			\$	306,791
Environmental Compliance Rate Base			\$	1,050,361,053			\$	20,177,730

### Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses

	Pre-2016 Environmental Compliance Plan			2016 Environmental Compliance P			
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense		\$	2,280,620		\$	-	
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense			2,647,682			6,189	
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant			157,991			409	
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs						-	
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs - Active Stations						45,275	
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs - Retired Stations						19,118	
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	302						
Add KU Current Month TC2 Emission Allowance Expense reported on ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	-						
Less Monthly Emission Allowance Expense in base rates	-						
Net Recoverable Emission Allowance Expense			302				
Monthly Surcharge Consultant Fee			-			-	
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee			-			-	
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense		\$	5,086,595		\$	70,991	

### Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

	1 · · ·	ronmental liance Plan
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$	24,744
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)		(28,167)
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$	(3,423)

#### Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales

	Total	Amount in	Net
	Proceeds	Base Rates	Proceeds
	(1)	(2)	(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$-	\$ -	\$-
Scrubber By-Products Sales	-	-	-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$-	\$-	\$-

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

ES FORM 2.10

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

### For the Month Ended: July 31, 2017

(1)		(2)	(3)	Γ	(4)		(5)	(6)		(7)		(8)	(9)
Description		Eligible Plant In Service	Eligible Accumulated Depreciation		CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC		Eligible Net Plant In Service	Unamorti: ITC as of 7/31/201		Deferred Tax Balance as of 7/31/2017		Monthly Depreciation Expense	Monthly Property Tax Expense
				İ			(2)-(3)+(4)				T		
				Î							T		
2009 Plan:													
Project 28 - Brown 3 SCR	\$	100,046,293	\$ 10,411,958		-	\$	89,634,335	\$		\$ 27,102,247		220,936	\$ 11,255
Project 29 - ATB Expansion at E.W. Brown Station (Phase II)	\$	19,347,703	\$ 1,875,353			\$	17,472,350	\$		\$ 5,408,947			\$ 2,218
Project 30 - Ghent CCP Storage (Landfill- Phase I)	\$	328,375,527	\$ 20,959,623		20,416,806	\$	327,832,710	\$	-	\$ 65,038,064			\$ 41,142
Project 31 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP)	\$	9,031,671	\$ 1,090,481	\$		\$	7,941,190	\$	-	\$ 903,935			\$ 1,006
Project 32 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I)	\$	3,760,136	\$ 187,456			\$	66,243,314	\$	-	\$ 704,708			\$ 449
Project 33 - Beneficial Reuse	\$	4,193,823	\$ 409,862	\$	-	\$	3,783,961	\$	-	\$ 870,482	\$	8,033	\$ 479
Subtotal	s	464,755,153	\$ 34,934,733	\$	83,087,440	s	512,907,859	s		\$ 100,028,383	\$	976,378	\$ 56,548
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	Ť	,,		1 <sup>-</sup>	,,	-		Ŧ			Ť	,,	
from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
									_				
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$	464,755,153	\$ 34,934,733	\$	83,087,440	\$	512,907,859	\$	-	\$ 100,028,383	\$	976,378	\$ 56,548
2011 Diser													
2011 Plan:		107 002 604	e 2,100,400		10 701 201	¢	117 516 405	¢		e 20 6 47 022		228 542	6 12.074
Project 29 - Brown Landfill (Phase I)	\$	107,003,604	\$ 2,188,490				117,516,495	\$	- 1	\$ 20,647,933			\$ 13,274
Project 34 - E.W. Brown Station Air Compliance	s	84,655,448	\$ 3,349,980			\$	81,305,468	\$		\$ 18,723,017			\$ 10,747
Project 35 - Ghent Station Air Compliance	\$	641,481,666	\$ 32,793,040	\$	5,306,648	\$	613,995,275	\$	- 1	\$ 139,342,676	5 \$	1,252,973	\$ 77,421
Subtotal	\$	833,140,718	\$ 38,331,509	\$	18,008,030	\$	812,817,238	\$		\$ 178,713,626	5 \$	1,671,304	\$ 101,443
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	1	, .,		Ľ	- / /	Ľ.							
from implementation of 2011 Plan	s	_	s -	\$	_	\$	-	\$		s -	\$	-	\$ -
	Ť.,		Ť			Ť		Ť					Ť
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$	833,140,718	\$ 38,331,509	\$	18,008,030	\$	812,817,238	\$	- 2	\$ 178,713,626	5 \$	1,671,304	\$ 101,443
									20				
2016 Plan:													
Project 36 - Brown Landfill (Phase II)	\$	-	s -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -
Project 37 - Ghent 2 WFGD Improvements	\$	2,772,037	\$ 22,557	\$	301,532		3,051,012	\$	-	\$ 244,427	\$	5,498	\$ 319
Project 38 - Supplemental Mercury Control	\$	-	s -	\$	1,070,522	\$	1,070,522	\$	-	S -	\$	-	\$ 46
Project 40 - Ghent New Process Water Systems	\$	-	\$ -	\$	1,484,828	\$	1,484,828	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 0
Project 41 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	\$	350,074	\$ 4,061	\$	5,024,134	\$	5,370,148	\$		\$ 35,746	\$	691	\$ 44
Project 42 - Brown New Process Water Systems	\$	-	\$ -	\$	292,265	\$	292,265	\$		\$ -	\$	-	\$ 0
Subtotal	S	3,122,112	\$ 26,618	S	8,173,282	S	11,268,775	S	_	\$ 280,173	\$	6,189	\$ 409
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	۱Ť	5,122,112	- 20,010	1	0,170,202	L ,	11,200,775	-		200,173	1	5,109	- 407
from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	s -	\$	-	\$ -
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$	3,122,112	\$ 26,618	\$	8,173,282	\$	11,268,775	\$	- 1	\$ 280,173	\$	6,189	\$ 409
Nat Total All Disease	·	1 201 017 092	¢ 72 002 971	¢	100 269 751	¢	1 226 002 972	¢		270 022 192	¢	2 652 971	¢ 150 400
Net Total - All Plans:	\$	1,301,017,982	\$ 73,292,861	\$	109,268,751	\$	1,336,993,873	\$	- 33	\$ 279,022,183	\$	2,653,871	\$ 158,400

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52% Note 2: Project 29 as approved in the 2009 ECR Plan recovers costs associated with the Brown Aux Pond (Phase II). In the 2011 Plan, Project 29 was amended to recover costs associated with the conversion

of the Brown Main Ash Pond to the Brown Landfill (Phase I)

# KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

## Net Jurisdictional E(m) and Jurisdictional Environmental Surcharge Billing Factor For the Expense Month of August 2017

## **GROUP 1 (Total Revenue)**

Group 1 E(m) ES Form 1.10, line 16	= \$	1,207,688
Group 1 ES Billing Factor ES Form 1.10, line 18	=	2.43%
GROUP 2 (Net Revenue)		
Group 2 E(m) ES Form 1.10, line 16	= \$	1,789,801
Group 2 ES Billing Factor ES Form 1.10, line 18	=	3.63%

Effective Date for Billing: September billing cycle beginning September 29, 2017

Submitted by:

Title: Manager, Revenue Requirement

Date Submitted: September 19, 2017

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Calculation of Total E(m) and

Jurisdictional Surcharge Billing Factor

For the Expense Month of August 2017

## Calculation of Total E(m)

E(m) = [(RB / 12) (n/2)]	ROR+(RC	DR - DR(TR/(1-TR))) = OE - BAS + BR, where
RB	=	Environmental Compliance Rate Base
ROR	=	Rate of Return on the Environmental Compliance Rate Base
DR	=	Debt Rate (both short-term and long-term debt)
TR	=	Composite Federal & State Income Tax Rate
OE	=	Pollution Control Operating Expenses
BAS	=	Total Proceeds from By-Product and Allowance Sales
BR	=	Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

			Pre	e-2016 Environmental Compliance Plans	2	2016 Environmental Compliance Plans		
(1)	RB	=	\$	1,049,324,598	\$	28,249,859		
(2)	RB / 12	=	\$	87,443,717	\$	2,354,155		
(3)	(ROR + (ROR - DR) (TR / (1 - TR)))	=		10.35%		10.35%		
(4)	OE	=	\$	5,066,609	\$	76,438		
(5)	BAS	=	\$	-		Not Applicable		
(6)	BR	=	\$	4,241		Not Applicable		
(7)	E(m) (2) x (3) + (4) - (5) + (6)	=	\$	14,121,275	\$	320,094		
(8)	Total $E(m) = sum of Pre-2016 E(m) + 2016 E(m)$	=	\$	14,441,369	1			

## Calculation of Adjusted Net Jurisdictional E(m)

(9)	Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio for Expense Month ES Form 3.10	=	88.87%
(10)	Jurisdictional E(m) = Total E(m) x Jurisdictional Allocation Ratio [(8) x (9)]	=	\$ 12,834,045
(11)	Adjustment for (Over)/Under-collection pursuant to Case No. 2016-00437	=	\$ -
(12)	Prior Period Adjustment (if necessary)	=	\$ -
(13)	Revenue Collected through Base Rates	=	\$ 9,836,556
(14)	Adjusted Net Jurisdictional $E(m) = [(10) + (11) + (12) - (13)]$	=	\$ 2,997,489

# Calculation of Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors

		GROU	P 1 (Total Revenue)	GROUP 2 (Net Reven		
(15)	Revenue as a Percentage of 12-month Total Revenue ending with the Current Month ES Form 3.00	=	40.29%		59.71%	
(16)	Group E(m) [(14) x (15)]	= \$	1,207,688	\$	1,789,801	
(17)	Group R(m) = Average Monthly Group Revenue for the 12 Months Ending with the Current Expense Month ES Form 3.00	= \$	49,659,379	\$	49,261,047	
(18)	Group Environmental Surcharge Billing Factors $[(16) \div (17)]$	=	2.43%		3.63%	

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT

Revenue Requirements of Environmental Compliance Costs For the Expense Month of August 2017

Determination of Environmental Compliance Rate Base

	Pre-2016 Environmental Compliance Plan			20	16 Environment	al Co	mpliance Plan	
Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$	1,296,708,457			\$	3,122,112		
Eligible Pollution CWIP Excluding AFUDC		105,072,115				15,522,186		
Subtotal			\$	1,401,780,572			\$	18,644,298
Additions:								
Inventory - Emission Allowances per ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	\$	132,782						
Less: Allowance Inventory Baseline		-						
Net Emission Allowance Inventory		132,782						
Cash Working Capital Allowance		3,221,457				-		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance - Active Stations <sup>1</sup>					\$	8,657,896		
Net Unamortized Closure Cost Balance - Retired Stations <sup>1</sup>						1,314,418		
Subtotal			\$	3,354,239			\$	9,972,314
Deductions:								
Accumulated Depreciation on Eligible Pollution Control Plant	\$	75,865,600			\$	32,808		
Pollution Control Deferred Income Taxes		279,944,613				333,945		
Pollution Control Deferred Investment Tax Credit					1			
Subtotal			\$	355,810,213			\$	366,753
Environmental Compliance Rate Base			\$	1,049,324,598			\$	28,249,859

### Determination of Pollution Control Operating Expenses

	Pre-2016 Environme	ental Con	pliance Plan	2016 Environmen	tal Complia	nce Plan
Monthly Operations & Maintenance Expense		\$	2,269,269		\$	-
Monthly Depreciation & Amortization Expense			2,639,205			6,189
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Eligible Plant			157,848			409
Monthly Taxes Other Than Income Taxes - Closure Costs						-
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs - Active Stations						49,546
Amortization of Monthly Closure Costs - Retired Stations						20,295
Monthly Emission Allowance Expense from ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	287					
Add KU Current Month TC2 Emission Allowance Expense reported on ES Form 2.31, 2.32, 2.33 and 2.34	-					
Less Monthly Emission Allowance Expense in base rates	-					
Net Recoverable Emission Allowance Expense			287			
Monthly Surcharge Consultant Fee			-			-
Construction Monitoring Consultant Fee			-			-
Total Pollution Control Operations Expense		\$	5,066,609		\$	76,438

### Determination of Beneficial Reuse Operating Expenses

	 onmental iance Plan
Total Monthly Beneficial Reuse Expense	\$ 33,608
Adjustment for Beneficial Reuse in Base Rates (from ES Form 2.61)	(29,367)
Net Beneficial Reuse Operations Expense	\$ 4,241

#### Proceeds From By-Product and Allowance Sales

	Total	Amount in	Net
	Proceeds	Base Rates	Proceeds
	(1)	(2)	(1) - (2)
Allowance Sales	\$-	\$ -	\$-
Scrubber By-Products Sales	-	-	-
Total Proceeds from Sales	\$-	\$-	\$-

Note 1: The net unamortized closure cost balance is comprised of CCR closure cost expenditures less accumulated amortization, accumulated deferred income taxes and amount in base rates.

ES FORM 2.10

## KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE REPORT Plant, CWIP & Depreciation Expense

### For the Month Ended: August 31, 2017

(1)		(2)	(3)	Т	(4)		(5)	(6)	Т	(7)	(8)	(9)
Description		Eligible Plant In Service	Eligible Accumulated Depreciation		CWIP Amount Excluding AFUDC		Eligible Net Plant In Service	Unamortized ITC as of 8/31/2017		Deferred Tax Balance as of 8/31/2017	Monthly Depreciation Expense	Monthly Property Tax Expense
				Ť			(2)-(3)+(4)					
				Ť		İ	× / × / × /		Ť			
2009 Plan:									1			
Project 28 - Brown 3 SCR	\$	100,046,293	\$ 10,632,89		\$ 2,777	\$	89,416,176	\$ -	\$	27,280,410	\$ 220,936	\$ 11,255
Project 29 - ATB Expansion at E.W. Brown Station (Phase II)	\$	19,347,703	\$ 1,918,04		\$ -	\$	17,429,657	\$ -	\$	5,441,327	\$ 42,693	
Project 30 - Ghent CCP Storage (Landfill- Phase I)	\$	328,375,527	\$ 21,648,24		\$ 20,510,852		327,238,135	\$ -	\$	65,241,905	\$ 688,621	\$ 41,142
Project 31 - Trimble County Ash Treatment Basin (BAP/GSP)	\$	9,031,671	\$ 1,099,18	~ I '	\$ -	\$	7,932,485	\$ -	\$	914,831	\$ 8,705	
Project 32 - Trimble County CCP Storage (Landfill - Phase I)	\$	3,760,136	\$ 194,84		\$ 66,479,861	\$	70,045,151	\$ -	\$	706,311	\$ 7,390	\$ 449
Project 33 - Beneficial Reuse	\$	4,193,823	\$ 417,89	6	\$ -	\$	3,775,927	\$ -	\$	871,288	\$ 8,033	\$ 479
Subtotal	\$	464,755,153	\$ 35,911,11	1 3	\$ 86,993,489	\$	515,837,531	\$ -	\$	100,456,072	\$ 976,378	\$ 56,548
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	1	. ,,				Ľ						
from implementation of 2009 Plan	\$	(1,187,413)	\$ (41,15	9)	\$ -	\$	(1,146,255)	\$ -	\$	(236,626)	\$ (1,311)	\$ (143)
Net Total - 2009 Plan:	\$	463,567,739	\$ 35,869,95	3 3	\$ 86,993,489	\$	514,691,276	\$ -	\$	100,219,445	\$ 975,067	\$ 56,405
				Т					1			
2011 Plan:												
Project 29 - Brown Landfill (Phase I)	\$	107,003,604	\$ 2,412,70	7 :	\$ 12,746,690	\$	117,337,587	\$ -	\$	20,707,784	\$ 224,217	\$ 13,274
Project 34 - E.W. Brown Station Air Compliance	\$	84,655,448	\$ 3,536,92	7 :	\$ -	\$	81,118,520	\$ -	\$	18,796,598	\$ 186,947	\$ 10,747
Project 35 - Ghent Station Air Compliance	\$	641,481,666	\$ 34,046,01	3	\$ 5,331,936	\$	612,767,589	\$ -	\$	140,220,785	\$ 1,252,973	\$ 77,421
Subtotal	s	833,140,718	\$ 39,995,64	7	\$ 18,078,625	s	811,223,696	\$ -	S	179,725,167	\$ 1,664,138	\$ 101,443
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting	, ¢	000,110,710	\$ 57,775,01	ĹĽ	0 10,070,020	Ŷ	011,220,070	Ŷ	Ŷ	119,125,101	¢ 1,001,150	• 101,115
from implementation of 2011 Plan	s		s -		s -	\$	-	s -	Is		\$ -	\$ -
	1°		Ŷ		•	Ψ		Ť	1			Ψ
Net Total - 2011 Plan:	\$	833,140,718	\$ 39,995,64	7	\$ 18,078,625	\$	811,223,696	\$ -	\$	179,725,167	\$ 1,664,138	\$ 101,443
2016 Plan:											-	
Project 36 - Brown Landfill (Phase II)	•		¢		\$ 24,067	\$	24,067	\$	s		¢	\$
Project 37 - Ghent 2 WFGD Improvements	ŝ	2,772,037	\$ 28,05		\$ 305,156		3,049,138	ф С	¢ ¢	293,119	\$ 5,498	\$ 319
Project 38 - Supplemental Mercury Control	ŝ	2,772,037	\$ 20,05 \$		\$ 1,093,910	ŝ	1,093,910	ф с	e e	275,117	\$ 5,478	\$ 46
Project 40 - Ghent New Process Water Systems	ŝ		с –	- I '	\$ 6,681,071	ŝ	6,681,071	ф с	ŝ		φ •	\$ 40 \$ 0
Project 41 - Trimble County New Process Water Systems	¢	350,074	\$ 4,75	- T.	\$ 7,097,344	ŝ	7,442,666	\$ -	s	40,827	\$ 691	\$ 44
Project 41 - Thinble County New Process Water Systems	0 6	550,074	э 4,73 с		\$ 320,638	\$	320,638	а с	ې د	40,827	\$ 071 ¢	\$ 44 \$ 0
110jeet 42 - Blown New 110eess water Systems	φ	_	φ -		φ <u>520,050</u>	φ	520,050	ф —			φ -	\$ U
Subtotal	\$	3,122,112	\$ 32,80	8 3	\$ 15,522,186	\$	18,611,490	\$ -	\$	333,945	\$ 6,189	\$ 409
Less Retirements and Replacement resulting						1						
from implementation of 2016 Plan	\$	-	\$ -	1	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Net Total - 2016 Plan:	\$	3,122,112	\$ 32,80	8 3	\$ 15,522,186	\$	18,611,490	\$ -	\$	333,945	\$ 6,189	\$ 409
											2	
Net Total - All Plans:	\$	1,299,830,569	\$ 75,898,40	7 3	\$ 120,594,301	\$	1,344,526,463	\$ -	\$	280,278,558	\$ 2,645,394	\$ 158,257

Note 1: Trimble County projects for the 2009 Plan are proportionately shared by KU at 48% and LG&E at 52% Note 2: Project 29 as approved in the 2009 ECR Plan recovers costs associated with the Brown Aux Pond (Phase II). In the 2011 Plan, Project 29 was amended to recover costs associated with the conversion

of the Brown Main Ash Pond to the Brown Landfill (Phase I)