
From: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 3:34 PM
To: Debbie Martin
Cc: Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons
Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <debbiem@shelbyenergy.com> wrote:

***** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *****

I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

*Debra J. Martin
President & CEO
Shelby Energy Cooperative
Office: (502) 633- 4163
Fax: (502) 633-2387*

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <mikew@bgenergy.com> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks,
Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:
 - a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
 - b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.
 - c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
 - d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.
 - e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

- f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
- g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley
<Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve

accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike
McNalley
<Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>
wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did
see a couple of issues. One is the
\$20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower
cost, and/or (b) there are any
escalators or Re-openers in the
contract. So using the first year
savings probably overstates it, and
the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity
cost. I don't know what is being
quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite
dramatically. Might erase all
remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a
shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative,
Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:
michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark
Stallons

<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>
wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons
President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative
8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR
CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or

distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From: Debbie Martin <debbiem@shelbyenergy.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 3:12 PM
To: Mike Williams
Cc: Mark Stallons; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

*Debra J. Martin
President & CEO
Shelby Energy Cooperative
Office: (502) 633- 4163
Fax: (502) 633-2387*

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <mikew@bgenergy.com> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks,
Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks , I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

- a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.
- c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
- d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.
- e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
- g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROCC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons
Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>
Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley
<Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley
EVP & CFO
East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
859-745-9209 office
859-595-3897 cell
michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons
President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative
8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE:

This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From: Mike Williams <mikew@bgenergy.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 2:50 PM
To: Mark Stallons
Cc: Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer
Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks,
Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>> All,
>>

>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks , I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

>>

>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

>>

>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

>> c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

>> d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

>> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

>> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

> g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

>>

>> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

>

>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and

>> before SI in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

>

>> Your thoughts,

>>

>> Mark

>>

>>

>>

>> -----Original Message-----

>> From: Mark Stallons

>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

>> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

>>

>> Mike,

>>

>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

>>

>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

>>

>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

>>

>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

>>

>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

>>

>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

>>

>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

>>

>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

>>

>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

>>

>> Thanks,

>>

>> Mark

>>

>>

>> Mark Stallons

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:

>>>

>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

>>>

>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

>>>

>>> Mike McNalley
>>> EVP & CFO
>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>
wrote:
>>>
>>> Mike,
>>>
>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>>
>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>> President & CEO
>>>
>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>
>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>> *Email:
>>> mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>
>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on
up and we'll talk."
>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>
>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s)
and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is
prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the
original message.
>>>
>>>
>>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From: Mike Williams <mikew@bgenenergy.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 2:50 PM
To: Mark Stallons
Cc: Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer
Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks,
Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>> All,
>>

>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks , I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

>>

>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

>>

>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

>> c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

>> d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

>> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

>> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

> g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

>>

>> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

>

>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROCC and before SI in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

>

>> Your thoughts,

>>

>> Mark

>>

>>

>>
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: Mark Stallons
>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
>> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>
>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
>>
>> Mike,
>>
>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
>>
>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
>>
>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.
>>
>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
>>
>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
>>
>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
>>
>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.
>>
>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKY's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
>>
>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>>
>> Mark Stallons
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>
>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>
>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>
>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>