Mike McNalley EVP & CFO East Kentucky Power Cooperative, 859-745-9209 office 859-595-3897 cell michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote: Mike, Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow? Thanks, Mark Mark A. Stallons President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

Owenton, KY 40359

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email:

mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

Debbie Martin

To:

Mike Williams

Cc:

Mark Stallons; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer

Subject:

Re: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Saturday, February 10, 2018 4:12:27 PM

I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633-4163

Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams < mikew@bgenergv.com > wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons < mstallons@owenelectric.com > wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

- 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.
- c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
- d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.
- e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
- g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
 - 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday,

after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

----Original Message----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without

that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

Chris Brewer

Cubicat.

Mark Stallons; Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin

Subject:

RE: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Saturday, February 10, 2018 3:44:18 PM

To All

I'm fine to meet on Monday. I would like to offer that we can meet at our office if the group prefers rather than meeting at EKP. Just let me know the plans.

Chris

From: Mark Stallons [mstallons@owenelectric.com]

Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 9:19 AM

To: Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer

Subject: Fwd: A3 Path Forward

> All,

>

- > Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:
- > 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
- > 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- > a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- > b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.
- > c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
- > d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.
- > e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- > f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
- g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
- > 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.
- > Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI
- > in between Committee Meetings during lunch.
- > Your thoughts,
- > Mark
- >

>

```
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Mark Stallons
> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop>
> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
> Mike,
> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a
more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.
> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I
can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have
thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have
found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25 per
MWh above the PJM market.
> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as
well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
> Thanks,
> Mark
> Mark Stallons
> Sent from my iPhone
>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over time
if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the
first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market
so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>> Mike McNalley
```

```
>> EVP & CFO
>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>> 859-745-9209 office
>> 859-595-3897 cell
>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>
>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:
>>
>> Mike,
>>
>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>> Mark A. Stallons
>> President & CEO
>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>> Owenton, KY 40359
>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>> <image001.jpg>
>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>
>>
>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
```

Mark Stallons

Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer

Subject:

Fwd: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Saturday, February 10, 2018 9:19:36 AM

> All,

- > Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:
- > 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
- > 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- > b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.
- > c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
- > d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.
- > e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- > f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
- Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
- > 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.
- > Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI
- > in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

```
> Your thoughts,
```

> Mark

> >

> ----Original Message----

> From: Mark Stallons

> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>

> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

> Mike,

> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

```
> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a
more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.
> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I
can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have
thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have
found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25 per
MWh above the PJM market.
> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as
well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
> Thanks,
>
> Mark
>
> Mark Stallons
> Sent from my iPhone
>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over time
if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the
first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market
so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>> Mike McNalley
>> EVP & CFO
>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>> 859-745-9209 office
>> 859-595-3897 cell
>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote:
>> Mike,
>>
```

```
>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>> Mark A. Stallons
>> President & CEO
>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>> Owenton, KY 40359
>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>> <image001.jpg>
>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>
>>
>> < Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
```

From: To: Cc:

Carol Wright Mark Stallons

Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject:

Re: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Saturday, February 10, 2018 7:24:40 AM

I think the whole group should be involved. I am fine to include them. We need all the support we can get.

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

```
> On Feb 9, 2018, at 8:06 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
> Tim,
```

> I may be naive, but I am hopeful that our willingness to look at all of our assets, lessen our carbon risk in a financially prudent process and define a path to greater market opportunities for individual systems will entice SK back. EKPC and the other member owners have to give SK something and we can give this if we are willing. I think you are correct they will either say yes or no.

> Mike, are you ok with this? If yes, can you check with Chris and see if he is good with this?

> Or should I reforward this to all of you and add Joni, Chris and Debbie and continue the discussion with the broader group?

>

> Mark Stallons

> Sent from my iPhone

>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:37 PM, Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com> wrote:

>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>

>> I can work with this option as well, but it all revolves around SK being willing to step back. Seems like a yes or no option and I'm not sure how we negotiate off that position. The rest we should be able to work out, if they are willing to move.

>> >> Sent from my iPhone

>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:18 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>> >>>

>>> A11.

>>> Something to think about. If this is something we could accept, then what position do we start with? Or, do we start here and simply hold? I typically start with something less desirable and have several moves before agreeing to a final position.

>>> Mark Stallons

```
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <arolwright@jacksonenergy.com> wrote:
>>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown
senders or unexpected email! ***
>>> Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option.
>>>> Carol
>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons (mstallons@owenelectric.com) wrote:
>>>> Carol.
>>>>
>>>> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all
member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan
Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or
could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM
project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground
hedge that we are already paying for.
>>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@iacksonenergy.com]
>>>> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM
>>>> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>>> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com>
>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward
>>>>>
>>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from
unknown senders or unexpected email! ***
>>>> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others
join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....
>>>>>
>>>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.
>>>>
>>>> Carol
>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <a href="mailto:smooth">mstallons@owenelectric.com</a> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>> All.
>>>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had
several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the
following as a possible path forward:
>>>>>
>>>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle
much worse than Grayson
>>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony,
Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
```

```
>>>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined
                         EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
>>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting
>>>>> 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market
based power available to
                              any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
>>>>> 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident
                identified in the MOU.
peak as
>>>>> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
>>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the
Kentucky Group and
                        purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
>>>>>
>>>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee
Meetings, your thoughts,
>>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>>> From: Mark Stallons
>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
>>>> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop>
>>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
>>>>>
>>>> Mike,
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise
accuracy
>>>>>
>>>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few
weeks.
>>>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I
need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously
dated.
>>>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model.
Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
>>>>>
>>>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units
compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation
and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
>>>>>
>>>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways
do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the
EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
>>>>>
>>>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand,
have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I
have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25
per MWh above the PJM market.
>>>>>
>>>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's
actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we
work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
```

>>>>>

```
>>>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> Mark Stallons
>>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>>
>>>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline
over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So
using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>>>>
>>>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>>>>
>>>>> Mike McNalley
>>>>> EVP & CFO
>>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>> Mike.
>>>>>
>>>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>>>
>>>>> Mark
>>>>>>
>>>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>>>> President & CEO
>>>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>>>>
>>>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>>>>
>>>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are
new, come on up and we'll talk."
>>>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>>>>
>>>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
```

>>>>>

recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Mark Stallons

Re: A3 Path Forward

To:

Tim Sharp

Cc:

Carol Wright; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject:

Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 8:06:46 PM

Tim,

I may be naive, but I am hopeful that our willingness to look at all of our assets, lessen our carbon risk in a financially prudent process and define a path to greater market opportunities for individual systems will entice SK back. EKPC and the other member owners have to give SK something and we can give this if we are willing. I think you are correct they will either say yes or no.

Mike, are you ok with this? If yes, can you check with Chris and see if he is good with this?

Or should I reforward this to all of you and add Joni, Chris and Debbie and continue the discussion with the broader group?

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

- > On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:37 PM, Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com> wrote:
- > *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***
- > I can work with this option as well, but it all revolves around SK being willing to step back. Seems like a yes or no option and I'm not sure how we negotiate off that position. The rest we should be able to work out, if they are willing to move.
- > Sent from my iPhone
- >> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:18 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
- >> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***
- >> >>
- >> All,
- >> Something to think about. If this is something we could accept, then what position do we start with? Or, do we start here and simply hold? I typically start with something less desirable and have several moves before agreeing to a final position.
- >> Mark Stallons
- >> Sent from my iPhone
- >>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <a rank @jacksonenergy.com wrote:
- >>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***
- >>> Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option.

```
>>>
>>> Carol
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons <a href="mailto:smowenelectric.com">mstallons@owenelectric.com</a> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Carol.
>>>>
>>>> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member
owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley
agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully
use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to
EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that
we are already paying for.
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]
>>>> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM
>>>> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>>> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com>
>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward
>>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown
senders or unexpected email! ***
>>>> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others
join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....
>>>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.
>>>>
>>>> Carol
>>>>
>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>
>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <a href="mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com">mstallons@owenelectric.com</a> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> All,
>>>>
>>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had
several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the
following as a possible path forward:
>>>>
>>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle
much worse than Grayson
>>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony,
Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
>>>>
>>>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined
time and present to the
                          EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
>>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting
```

>>>> 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market

>>>> 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident

any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.

costs.

based power available to

peak as identified in the MOU. >>>> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project. >>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above. Kentucky Group and >>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings, your thoughts, >>>>> >>>> Mark >>>> >>>>> >>>> -----Original Message----->>>> From: Mark Stallons >>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM >>>> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop> >>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet >>>>> >>>> Mike, >>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy. >>>>> >>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks. >>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated. >>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis. >>>>> >>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets. >>>> >>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky? >>>>> >>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market. >>>> >>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC. >>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning >>>> Thanks, >>>>> >>>> Mark >>>>> >>>>> >>>> Mark Stallons >>>> Sent from my iPhone

```
>>>>
>>>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using
the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>>> Mike McNalley
>>>>> EVP & CFO
>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote:
>>>>> Mike,
>>>>>
>>>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>>>
>>>>> Thanks,
>>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>>
>>>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>>>> President & CEO
>>>>>
>>>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>>>
>>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are
new, come on up and we'll talk."
>>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> < Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
>>>>>
>>>>
```

Tim Sharp

To: Cc:

>>>

Mark Stallons

CC:

Carol Wright; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 7:37:34 PM

I can work with this option as well, but it all revolves around SK being willing to step back. Seems like a yes or no option and I'm not sure how we negotiate off that position. The rest we should be able to work out, if they are willing to move.

Sent from my iPhone

```
> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:18 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown
senders or unexpected email! ***
> All,
> Something to think about. If this is something we could accept, then what position do we start with? Or, do we
start here and simply hold? I typically start with something less desirable and have several moves before agreeing to
a final position.
> Mark Stallons
> Sent from my iPhone
>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <carolwright@jacksonenergy.com> wrote:
>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown
senders or unexpected email! ***
>> Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option.
>>
>> Carol
>>
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> Carol,
```

>>> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for.

```
>>> Mark
>>>
>>> Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]
>>> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]
>>> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM
>>> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>
```

```
>>> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com>
>>> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward
>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown
senders or unexpected email! ***
>>>
>>> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others
join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....
>>>
>>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.
>>>
>>> Carol
>>>
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> A11.
>>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had
several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the
following as a possible path forward:
>>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle
much worse than Grayson
>>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony,
Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
        Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time
                     EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
>>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting
costs.
>>>>
        1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based
power available to
                        any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
>>>> 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident
                identified in the MOU.
peak as
         S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
>>>> c.
>>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the
Kentucky Group and
                        purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
>>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee
Meetings, your thoughts,
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: Mark Stallons
>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
>>>> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop>
>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
>>>> Mike,
>>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
>>>>
```

```
>>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
>>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I
need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously
dated.
>>>>
>>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without
that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
>>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared
to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and
resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
>>>>
>>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do
we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the
EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
>>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand,
have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I
have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25
per MWh above the PJM market.
>>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions
as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
>>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
>>>>
>>>> Thanks,
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>
>>>> Mark Stallons
>>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>>
>>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>>>
>>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using
the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>>>
>>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>>>
>>>> Mike McNalley
>>>> EVP & CFO
>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:
```

```
>>>> Mike,
>>>>
>>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks,
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>>> President & CEO
>>>>
>>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>>
>>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>>>
>>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> < Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
>>>>
>>>
```

From: Mark Stallons Carol Wright To: Cc: Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward Friday, February 9, 2018 7:20:28 PM Date: Monday after BROC works for me. We can phone in as well. Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone > On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <arolwright@jacksonenergy.com> wrote: > *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** > Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option. > > Carol > Sent from my iPhone >> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote: >> Carol, >> >> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for. >> >> Mark >> >> ----Original Message---->> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com] >> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM >> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> >> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com> >> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward >> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** >> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>>

>> Carol >>

>> Sent from my iPhone

```
>>> All,
>>>
>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had
several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the
following as a possible path forward:
>>>
>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle
much worse than Gravson
>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike,
Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
        Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time
                     EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting
costs.
           Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based
>>>
power available to
                        any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
       2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident
                identified in the MOU.
peak as
>>> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the
                        purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee
Meetings, your thoughts,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Mark Stallons
>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
>>> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>
>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
>>>
>>> Mike.
>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
>>>
>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I
need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously
>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without
that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
```

>>>

```
>>>
>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand,
have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I
have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25
per MWh above the PJM market.
>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions
as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>> Mark
>>>
>>>
>>> Mark Stallons
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>
>>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using
the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>>
>>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>>
>>>> Mike McNalley
>>>> EVP & CFO
>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com</pre>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote:
>>>> Mike,
>>>>
>>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks,
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>>> President & CEO
>>>>
>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>>
>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
```

From: Mark Stallons To: Carol Wright Cc: Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward Date: Friday, February 9, 2018 7:18:59 PM All, Something to think about. If this is something we could accept, then what position do we start with? Or, do we start here and simply hold? I typically start with something less desirable and have several moves before agreeing to a final position. Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone > On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <carolwright@jacksonenergy.com> wrote: > *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown

> Carol
> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons mstallons@owenelectric.com wrote:
>> Carol
> Sent from my iPhone
> Sent from my iPhone
> Sent from my iPhone
> Sent from my iPhone to the mailton of the mail

>> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for.

>> Mark

>> ----Original Message----

>> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]

>> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM

>> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

>> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com>

>> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

>>
>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

>> Carol

```
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
>>>
>>> All.
>>>
>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had
several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the
following as a possible path forward:
>>>
>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle
much worse than Grayson
>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike,
Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
>>> a.
        Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time
                     EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting
costs.
       1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based
>>>
power available to
                        any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
       2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident
peak as
                identified in the MOU.
>>> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the
Kentucky Group and
                        purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee
Meetings, your thoughts,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: Mark Stallons
>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
>>> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>
>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
>>>
>>> Mike,
>>>
>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I
need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously
dated.
>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without
that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
```

```
>>>
>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand,
have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I
have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25
per MWh above the PJM market.
>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions
as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>>
>>>
>>> Mark Stallons
>>> Sent from my iPhone
>>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using
the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows
market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>>
>>>> Mike McNalley
>>>> EVP & CFO
>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote:
>>>>
>>>> Mike,
>>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>>
>>>> Thanks,
>>>>
>>>> Mark
>>>>
>>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>>> President & CEO
>>>>
>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
```

```
>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>>
>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>
>>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>>>
>>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
```

Carol Wright

To:

Mark Stallons

Cc:

Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject:

Re: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 5:54:48 PM

Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option.

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

> Carol,

> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for.

> Mark

> ----Original Message----

> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]

> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM

> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com>

> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

> Carol

> Sent from my iPhone

>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>> >> All,

>>

>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the following as a possible path forward:

>>

>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike,

Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following: >> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement. and present to the >> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting costs. 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based power available to any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power. 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified in the MOU. >> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above. >> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings, your thoughts, >> Mark >> >> >> ----Original Message----->> From: Mark Stallons >> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM >> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop> >> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet >> >> Mike, >> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy. >> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks. >> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated. >> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis. >> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets. >> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky? >> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market. >> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC. >> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

```
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>>
>> Mark Stallons
>> Sent from my iPhone
>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over
time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using
the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market
so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>>>
>>> Mike McNalley
>>> EVP & CFO
>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>
>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote:
>>>
>>> Mike,
>>>
>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>> President & CEO
>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>> <image001.jpg>
>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
```

>>> >>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx> >>> From: To: Mark Stallons Carol Wright

Cc:

Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject:

RE: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 5:46:46 PM

Carol,

SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for.

Mark

----Original Message----

From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]

Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM

To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

- > On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
- > All,

>

- > Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the following as a possible path forward:
- > 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
- > 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- > a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- > b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting costs.
- > 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based power available to any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
- > 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident

```
peak as
                 identified in the MOU.
> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the
Kentucky Group and
                         purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings,
your thoughts,
> Mark
> ----Original Message----
> From: Mark Stallons
> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
> To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>
> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
> Mike,
> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.
> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a
more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.
> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I
can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have
thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have
found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25 per
MWh above the PJM market.
> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as
well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
> Thanks,
> Mark
> Mark Stallons
> Sent from my iPhone
```

```
>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over time
if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the
first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market
so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>> Mike McNalley
>> EVP & CFO
>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>> 859-745-9209 office
>> 859-595-3897 cell
>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>>> wrote:
>>
>> Mike,
>>
>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>> Mark A. Stallons
>> President & CEO
>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>> Owenton, KY 40359
>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>
>> <image001.jpg>
>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>> < Cost Shift 020318, xlsx>
```

Carol Wright Mark Stallons

To: Cc:

Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman

Subject:

Re: A3 Path Forward

Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 5:28:52 PM

Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

- > On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:
- > All,

- > Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the following as a possible path forward:
- > 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
- > 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- > a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting costs.
- 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based power available to any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
- > 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified in the MOU.
- c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.
- > Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings, your thoughts,
- > Mark
- >
- > -----Original Message-----
- > From: Mark Stallons
- > Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM
- > To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley @ekpc.coop>
- > Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet
- > Mike,
- > Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

```
> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.
> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a
more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.
> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I
can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.
> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to
market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting
annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.
> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we
have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA,
house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?
> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have
thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have
found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at $15 to $25 per
MWh above the PJM market.
> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as
well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work
together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.
> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.
> Thanks,
> Mark
> Mark Stallons
> Sent from my iPhone
>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:
>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the $20/MWh savings could decline over time
if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the
first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.
>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market
so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.
>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.
>> Mike McNalley
>> EVP & CFO
>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>> 859-745-9209 office
>> 859-595-3897 cell
>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>
>>
>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>>> wrote:
```

```
>> Mike,
>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Mark
>>
>> Mark A. Stallons
>> President & CEO
>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>
>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>> <image001.jpg>
>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended
recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or
distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the original message.
>>
>>
>> < Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
```

Mark Stallons

To:

Tim Sharp; carolwright@jacksonenergy.com; Mike Williams

Cc:

Alan Ahrman FW: A3 Path Forward

Subject: Date:

Friday, February 9, 2018 5:17:13 PM

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the following as a possible path forward:

- 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson
- 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:
- a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.
- b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting costs.
- 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based power available to any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.
- 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified in the MOU.
 - c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.
- d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings, your thoughts,

Mark

----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley < Michael. McNalley@ekpc.coop>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to

market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley < Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:

> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout. >

> Mike McNalley

> EVP & CFO

> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

> 859-745-9209 office

> 859-595-3897 cell

> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

>

> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons

<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote:

> Mike,

> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

> Thanks,

> Mark

\

> Mark A. Stallons

> President & CEO

```
> Owen Electric Cooperative
> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
> Owenton, KY 40359
>
* Direct Line: 502-563-3500
> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com
> <image001.jpg>
>
"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."
> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>
PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
>
> 

> Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>
```

Mark Stallons

To:

Elaine.Johns@enervision-inc.com; Tim Sharp; Carol Wright; Mike Williams

Subject: Date: FW: Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

Attachments:

Wednesday, February 7, 2018 10:01:07 AM

Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

Carol, Tim & Mike;

Here is the latest A3 load allocations including January.

Mark

From: Mike McNalley [mailto:Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop]

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 9:33 AM **To:** Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

Subject: FW: Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

Mike McNalley
EVP & CFO
East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
859-745-9209 O
859-595-3897 C
Michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop

From: Sally Witt

Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 4:51 PM

To: David Crews < David.Crews@ekpc.coop>; Don Mosier < Don.Mosier@ekpc.coop>; Mike McNalley

<Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

Cc: Julie Tucker < julie.tucker@ekpc.coop>

Subject: Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

Attached is an updated version, including Mike's additional column. Please let me know what changes you have.

Thanks! Sally

EKPC 5% Limit						A3 Allotments, Based on Data Through January 2018							A3 Balances as of January 2018				
Owner-Member Cooperative		MW) for M		Average	5% Limit	Owner-Member Cooperative	Owner-N Feb 2015-	lember Pea		Average	5% Election	15% Election	Owner-Member Cooperative	Allocation		5% Balance	Pro-rata Share of Balance
	Jan 2016	Jan 2017						Jan 2017						%	MW	MW	MW
Big Sandy	89.5	56.9	74.3	73.6	3.7	Big Sandy	89.5	58.8	74.3	74.2	3.7	11.1	Big Sandy	5%	3.7	3.7	2.1
Blue Grass	410.9	324.4	382.2	372.5	18.6	Blue Grass	410.9	324.4	383.2	372.8	18.6	55.9	Blue Grass	5%	18.6	18.6	10.3
Clark	154.0	113.6	139.4	135.7	6.8	Clark	154.0	113.6	140.1	135.9	6.8	20.4	Clark	5%	6.8	6.8	3.8
Cumberland Valley	158.3	109.6	141.3	136.4	6.8	Cumberland Valley	158.3	110.0	141.3	136.5	6.8	20.5	Cumberland Valley	5%	6.8	6.8	3.8
Farmers	136.4	115.9	138.4	130.2	6.5	Farmers	136.8	115.9	138.4	130.3	6.5	19.6	Farmers*	5%	6.5	1.9	1.1
Fleming Mason	196.9	166.9	189.1	184.3	9.2	Fleming Mason	198.0	179.7	189.1	188.9	9.4	28.3	Fleming Mason*	5%	9.4	8.0	4.5
Grayson	85.2	57.6	72.7	71.9	3.6	Grayson	85.2	58.3	72.7	72.1	3.6	10.8	Grayson	5%	3.6	3.6	2.0
Inter-County	171.1	134.1	158.6	154.6	7.7	Inter-County	171.1	134.4	158.6	154.7	7.7	23.2	Inter-County	5%	7.7	7.7	4.3
Jackson	325.6	230.2	293.6	283.2	14.2	Jackson	327.7	232.2	293.6	284.5	14.2	42.7	Jackson*	5%	14.2	11.6	6.5
Licking Valley	88.6	58.7	75.0	74.1	3.7	Licking Valley	88.6	60.6	76.6	75.3	3.8	11.3	Licking Valley	5%	3.8	3.8	2.1
Nolin	211.1	199.1	215.5	208.6	10.4	Nolin	230.4	199.1	216.1	215.2	10.8	32.3	Nolin	5%	10.8	10.8	6.0
Owen	347.4	350.7	423.8	374.0	18.7	Owen	430.9	401.5	447.5	426.6	21.3	64.0	Owen*	5%	21.3	0.0	0.0
Salt River	314.4	262.0	306.4	294.3	14.7	Salt River	316.1	262.0	306.4	294.8	14.7	44.2	Salt River*	5%	14.7	0.0	0.0
Shelby	120.5	99.6	113.9	111.3	5.6	Shelby	120.5	101.6	113.9	112.0	5.6	16.8	Shelby	5%	5.6	5.6	3.1
South Kentucky	458.9	353.4	426.2	412.9	20.6	South Kentucky	458.9	353.4	426.2	412.9	20.6	61.9	South Kentucky*	15%	61.9	3.9	2.2
Taylor	159.4	139.1	157.0	151.8	7.6	Taylor	160.2	139.1	157.0	152.1	7.6	22.8	Taylor	5%	7.6	7.6	4.2
													* indicates project in p	lace or in process.			
Total	3,428.1	2,771.8	3,307.4	3,169.1	158.5	Total	3,537.0	2,844.5	3,335.0	3,238.8	161.9		Total			100.6	55.9

Total projects MW cannot exceed 5% of the 3 year average of EKPC CP, which is currently 158.5 MW.

offsetting

Feb 2015-Jan 2016 Peak Occurred Feb 2015 Feb 2016-Jan 2017 Peak Occurred Jan 2017 Feb 2017-Jan 2018 Peak Occurred Jan 2018

	Noticed I	Projects		
Owner-Member	Project	Notice Given	MW	Deliver
Jackson	Irvine LFGTE		1.6	10/2013
Jackson	Dupree Energy Sys		1.0	3/2015
Farmers	Federal Mogul DG		3.6	2005
Farmers	Glasgow LFGTE		1.0	11/201
Salt River	Lock 7		2.0	2013
Owen	Owen Office		2.0	2016
South Kentucky	PJM/Market	12/2018	58.0	6/2019
Salt River	PJM/Market	2/2018	12.7	9/2019
Owen	PJM/Market	2/2018	19.3	9/2019
Fleming-Mason	LFG PPA	2/2018	1.4	10/201
Total Projects			102.6	
Not to Excee	d 158.5 MW			
Balance			55.9	

Bill Prather

To:

Tim Sharp

Cc:

bsexton@bigsandyrecc.com; Mike Williams; CHRIS BREWER (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com); ted.hampton@cumberlandvalley.coop; jhazelrigg@fme.coop; carol.fraley@graysonrecc.com; jerry@intercountyenergy.net; carolwright@jacksonenergy.com; kkhoward@lyrecc.com; mmiller@nolinrecc.com; Mark Stallons; "Dennis Holt" (dholt@skrecc.com);

debbiem@shelbyenergy.com; bmyers@tcrecc.com; tony.campbell@ekpc.coop

Subject:

Re: EKPC Amendment Three Notification

Date:

Thursday, February 8, 2018 3:53:35 PM

Folks.

Like Tim at Salt River, I wanted to give you a heads up that Farmers has likewise given EKPC notification on the remaining balance of our Amendment 3 rights. Farmers too, is hopeful that we can all determine a path forward that will be beneficial to all sixteen member-owners. We look forward to working together to find common ground that is fair to all. We do not philosophically support where Amendment 3 is currently taking us all, and hope we can find a better way for our sixteen members.

Bill Prather Farmers RECC

On Fri, Feb 2, 2018 at 7:11 AM, Tim Sharp < tisharp@srelectric.com > wrote:

As a courtesy, we want to make you aware that Salt River Electric has given East Kentucky Power notification yesterday of our intention to exercise our Amendment Three rights.

Salt River Electric has given East Kentucky Power notice of our intent to acquire the remaining amount up to 5% of our rolling three year average peak from an alternate source. This alternate source is scheduled to begin on September 1, 2019.

Per Tony Campbell's memo of 1/30/18, Salt River is more than willing to work toward a strategy is more beneficial to all of EKPC's members.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions or concerns.

Tim Sharp

Salt River Electric

William T. Prather
President/CEO
Farmers Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation
504 South Broadway
Glasgow, Kentucky 42141
270-651-2191, ext. 8300
bprather@farmersrecc.com



David Crews

To:

Barry Myers; Bill Prather; Bobby Sexton; Carol Fraley; Carol Wright - Jackson Energy; Chris Brewer - Clark Energy; Debbie Martin; Dennis Holt; Jerry Carter; Joni Hazelrigg; Kerry Howard; Mark Stallons; Mickey Miller; Mike Williams; Ted Hampton; Tim Sharp - Salt River Electric

Subject:

Attachments:

Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

Date:

Wednesday, February 7, 2018 4:05:06 PM Amendment 3 Allotment Jan 2018 for distribution.xlsx

This is the spreadsheet updated with notices received today.

EKPC 5% Limit						A3 Allotments, Based on Data Through January 2018							A3 Balances as of January 2018				
Owner-Member Cooperative	Feb 2015-		Feb 2017-	Average	5% Limit	Owner-Member Cooperative	Feb 2015-		Feb 2017-	Average	5% Election	15% Election	Owner-Member Cooperative	Allocation	MW	5% Balance MW	Pro-rata Share of Balance MW
D:= C== d	Jan 2016 89.5	Jan 2017 56.9	Jan 2018 74.3	73.6	3.7	Big Sandy	Jan 2016 89.5	Jan 2017 58.8	Jan 2018 74.3	74.2	3.7	11.1	Big Sandy	5%	3.7	3.7	1.8
Big Sandy Blue Grass	410.9	324.4	382.2	372.5	18.6	Blue Grass	410.9	324.4	383.2	372.8	18.6	55.9	Blue Grass	5%	18.6	18.6	9.2
						Clark	154.0	113.6	140.1	135.9	6.8	20.4	Clark	5%	6.8	6.8	3.4
Clark	154.0	113.6	139.4	135.7	6.8												
Cumberland Valley	158.3	109.6	141.3	136.4	6.8	Cumberland Valley	158.3	110.0	141.3	136.5	6.8	20.5	Cumberland Valley	5%	6.8	6.8	3.4
Farmers	136.4	115.9	138.4	130.2	6.5	Farmers	136.8	115.9	138.4	130.3	6.5	19.6	Farmers*	5%	6.5	1.9	1.0
Fleming Mason	196.9	166.9	189.1	184.3	9.2	Fleming Mason	198.0	179.7	189.1	188.9	9.4	28.3	Fleming Mason*	5%	9.4	8.0	4.0
Grayson	85.2	57.6	72.7	71.9	3.6	Grayson	85.2	58.3	72.7	72.1	3.6	10.8	Grayson	5%	3.6	3.6	1.8
Inter-County	171.1	134.1	158.6	154.6	7.7	Inter-County	171.1	134.4	158.6	154.7	7.7	23.2	Inter-County	5%	7.7	7.7	3.8
Jackson	325.6	230.2	293.6	283.2	14.2	Jackson	327.7	232.2	293.6	284.5	14.2	42.7	Jackson*	5%	14.2	0.1	0.1
Licking Valley	88.6	58.7	75.0	74.1	3.7	Licking Valley	88.6	60.6	76.6	75.3	3.8	11.3	Licking Valley*	5%	3.8	3.5	1.7
Nolin	211.1	199.1	215.5	208.6	10.4	Nolin	230.4	199.1	216.1	215.2	10.8	32.3	Nolin	5%	10.8	10.8	5.3
Owen	347.4	350.7	423.8	374.0	18.7	Owen	430.9	401.5	447.5	426.6	21.3	64.0	Owen*	5%	21.3	0.0	0.0
Salt River	314.4	262.0	306.4	294.3	14.7	Salt River	316.1	262.0	306.4	294.8	14.7	44.2	Salt River*	5%	14.7	0.0	0.0
Shelby	120.5	99.6	113.9	111.3	5.6	Shelby	120.5	101.6	113.9	112.0	5.6	16.8	Shelby	5%	5.6	5.6	2.8
South Kentucky	458.9	353.4	426.2	412.9	20.6	South Kentucky	458.9	353.4	426.2	412.9	20.6	61.9	South Kentucky*	15%	61.9	3.9	1.9
Taylor	159.4	139.1	157.0	151.8	7.6	Taylor	160.2	139.1	157.0	152.1	7.6	22.8	Taylor	5%	7.6	7.6	3.8
					9								* indicates project in p	lace or in process.			
Total	3,428.1	2,771.8	3,307.4	3,169.1	158.5	Total	3,537.0	2,844.5	3,335.0	3,238.8	161.9		Total			88.8	44.1

Total projects MW cannot exceed 5% of the 3 year average of EKPC CP, which is currently 158.5 MW.

Feb 2015-Jan 2016 Peak Occurred Feb 2015 Feb 2016-Jan 2017 Peak Occurred Jan 2017 Feb 2017-Jan 2018 Peak Occurred Jan 2018

Noticed Projects										
Owner-Member	Project	Notice Given	MW	Delivery						
Jackson	Irvine LFGTE		1.6	10/2013						
Jackson	Dupree Energy Sys		1.0	3/2015						
Farmers	Federal Mogul DG		3.6	2005						
Farmers	Glasgow LFGTE		1.0	11/2015						
Salt River	Lock 7		2.0	2013						
Owen	Owen Office		2.0	2016						
South Kentucky	PJM/Market	12/2018	58.0	6/2019						
Salt River	PJM/Market	2/2018	12.7	9/2019						
Owen	PJM/Market	2/2018	19.3	9/2019						
Fleming-Mason	LFG PPA	2/2018	1.4	10/2018						
Licking Valley	Solar Installation	2/2018	0.3	5/2018						
Jackson	Lock 12	2/2018	1.7	12/2018						
Jackson	Lock 14	2/2018	1.7	12/2019						
Jackson	PJM/Market	2/2018	8.0	9/2019						
Total Projects	114.4									
Not to Even	od 150 5 MM									

Not to Exceed 158.5 MW
Remaining 44.1

From: To:

Carol Wright

Mark Stallons

Cc:

Elaine Johns; Mike Williams; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; CHRIS BREWER

(cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com); Jim Crawford; Missy Moore

Subject:

Re: EKPC A3 Notice

Date:

Wednesday, February 7, 2018 8:54:32 AM

I don't have one, please ask for one. My Board meeting starts at 9:30am.

Thanks,

Carol

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 7, 2018, at 8:48 AM, Mark Stallons mstallons@owenelectric.com wrote:

Elaine,

I received the update from a phone call and have not received an email copy yet. Does anyone else have the February updated A# allocation spreadsheet? If not, I will ask David to forward and send to everyone.

Mark

From: Elaine Johns [mailto:Elaine.Johns@enervision-inc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 12:12 PM

To: Mark Stallons < mstallons@owenelectric.com >

Cc: mikew@bgenergy.com; Tim Sharp <tisharp@srelectric.com>; Carol Wright <carolwright@jacksonenergy.com>; Joni Hazelrigg <jhazelrigg@fme.coop>; Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>>; CHRIS BREWER (<u>cbrewer@clarkenergy.com</u>) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) < cbrewer@clarkenergy.com>; Jim Crawford

Subject: Re: EKPC A3 Notice

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

Ok, can you please send the latest and greatest A3 Allotment spreadsheet?

<<u>ICrawford@cbkylaw.com</u>>; Missy Moore <<u>mmoore@owenelectric.com</u>>

Thanks!

Sent from my IPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 12:09 PM, Mark Stallons < mstallons@owenelectric.com > wrote:

Elaine,

I have revised my notice after confirming with David Crews that Owen's 5% maximum 36 month rolling coincident peak has moved from 18.5MW to 18.9MW as a result of the January 2018 load levels. Please review and advise. I would like to send this update later today.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons
President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359

☎ Direct Line: 502-563-3500 **☎ Mobile**: 502-514-1650

⊠**Email:** mstallons@owenelectric.com

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Spam | Not spam
Forget previous vote
<Letter of Notice -Final.docx>

Elaine Johns

To:

Mark Stallons

Cc:

Mike Williams; Tim Sharp; Carol Wright; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; CHRIS BREWER (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com); Jim Crawford; Missy Moore

Subject:

RE: EKPC A3 Notice

Date:

Tuesday, February 6, 2018 12:50:29 PM

1. Yes, this language is consistent with our RFP requirements:

The Alternate Source will be: (b) interconnected or delivered to EKPC's or another entity's transmission system.

2. Making sure that you have documentation that backs your 18.9MW number – please get Sally's spreadsheet to confirm your MWs. Second alternative (but not ideal) is to document your phone call with David Crews when he provided you with 18.9MW for Owen.

Tim, I'm assuming EKPC has asked you to revise your notice with the specific MWs?

Thanks, Elaine

From: Mark Stallons [mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2018 12:09 PM

To: Elaine Johns < Elaine Johns @enervision-inc.com>

Cc: mikew@bgenergy.com; Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; Carol Wright <carolwright@jacksonenergy.com>; Joni Hazelrigg <jhazelrigg@fme.coop>; Debbie Martin <debbiem@shelbyenergy.com>; CHRIS BREWER (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) <cbrewer@clarkenergy.com>; Jim Crawford <JCrawford@cbkylaw.com>; Missy Moore <mmoore@owenelectric.com>

Subject: EKPC A3 Notice

Elaine,

I have revised my notice after confirming with David Crews that Owen's 5% maximum 36 month rolling coincident peak has moved from 18.5MW to 18.9MW as a result of the January 2018 load levels. Please review and advise. I would like to send this update later today.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons
President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359

☐ Direct Line: 502-563-3500 **☐ Mobile**: 502-514-1650



"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

Spam | Not spam Forget previous vote

Mark Stallons Elaine Johns

To: Cc:

Mike Williams; Tim Sharp; Carol Wright; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; CHRIS BREWER (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com); Jim Crawford; Missy Moore

Subject:

RE: EKPC A3 Notice

Date:

Tuesday, February 6, 2018 12:16:05 PM

Elaine,

I received the update from a phone call and have not received an email copy yet. Does anyone else have the February updated A# allocation spreadsheet? If not, I will ask David to forward and send to everyone.

Mark

From: Elaine Johns [mailto:Elaine.Johns@enervision-inc.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 06, 2018 12:12 PM

To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

Cc: mikew@bgenergy.com; Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; Carol Wright

<carolwright@jacksonenergy.com>; Joni Hazelrigg <jhazelrigg@fme.coop>; Debbie Martin

<debbiem@shelbyenergy.com>; CHRIS BREWER (cbrewer@clarkenergy.com)
(cbrewer@clarkenergy.com) <cbrewer@clarkenergy.com>; Jim Crawford

<JCrawford@cbkylaw.com>; Missy Moore <mmoore@owenelectric.com>

Subject: Re: EKPC A3 Notice

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

Ok, can you please send the latest and greatest A3 Allotment spreadsheet?

Thanks!

Sent from my IPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 12:09 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

Elaine,

I have revised my notice after confirming with David Crews that Owen's 5% maximum 36 month rolling coincident peak has moved from 18.5MW to 18.9MW as a result of the January 2018 load levels. Please review and advise. I would like to send this update later today.

Thanks,

Mark