From:	Carol Wright
Го:	Mark Stallons
Cc:	Debbie Martin; Mike Williams; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 5:53:00 PM

I will be calling into the BROC meeting on Monday and not be there in person.

I can meet after the EKPC Board meeting Tuesday and I do suggest we meet somewhere other than EKPC.

Carol

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:33 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>> wrote:

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633- 4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during

board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners. d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky? The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u><<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email:

mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From:	Elaine Johns
To:	mstallons@owenelectric.com; Tim Sharp; Carol Wright; Mike Williams; debbiem@shelbyenergy.com; Joni
	Hazelrigg; cbrewer@clarkenergy.com
Cc:	<u>mmoore@owenelectric.com; Mary Ellen Cole; Barry Birkett</u>
Subject:	Round 2 Meeting
Date:	Sunday, February 11, 2018 9:35:36 PM

With Round 2 pricing due in thIs Weds., 2/14, we were wondering if a Friday 2/16 afternoon meeting could work?

I have down Blue Grass Board on 2/19. Is there another before then?

Please let me know if you can make a joinme meeting on Friday 2/16 at 2:30pm. If not, let us know what works for you —

Thanks, Elaine

r

Sent from my IPhone

From:	Mike Williams
To:	Mark Stallons
Cc:	Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 3:49:47 PM

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

WINC WIIIIams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>> All,

>>

>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

>>

>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

>> c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
>> d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

>> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

>> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

> g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

>> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

>

>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI >> in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

>

>> Your thoughts, >>

>> Mark

>>

>>

>>

>> ----- Original Message-----

>> From: Mark Stallons

>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

>> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

>>

>> Mike,

>>

>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks. >>

>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

>>

>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

>>

>> Thanks,

>> Mark

>>

>>

>> Mark Stallons

>> Sent from my iPhone >>

>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley </ doi:no.uk/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within/within

>>>

>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

>>>

>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

>>>

>>> Mike McNalley

>>> EVP & CFO

>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

>>> 859-745-9209 office >>> 859-595-3897 cell >>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<<u>mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop</u>> >>> >>> >>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote: >>> >>> Mike, >>> >>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow? >>> >>> Thanks, >>> >>> Mark >>> >>> Mark A. Stallons >>> President & CEO >>> >>> Owen Electric Cooperative >>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 >>> Owenton, KY 40359 >>> >>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500 >>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650 >>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com> >>> <image001.jpg> >>> >>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk." >>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30. >>> >>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or

distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy

>>>

>>>

>>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

all copies of the original message.

From:	Joni Hazelrigg
To:	Mark Stallons
Cc:	Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 6:06:55 PM

I am available after the board meeting on Tuesday also. I plan to attend the S.I. meeting Monday afternoon as well.

Thanks Mark for the spreadsheet and sharing your information.

Joni

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>> All,

>>

>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

>>

>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following: >>

>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

>> c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
>> d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

>> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

>> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

> g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

>> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

>

>

>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI >> in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

>> Your thoughts,

>>

>> Mark

>> >> >>

>> ----- Original Message-----

>> From: Mark Stallons

>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

>> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

>>

>> Mike,

>>

>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy. >>

>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks. >>

>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

>>

>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

>> >>] >>

>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

>> Thanks,

>>

>> Mark

>> >>

>> Mark Stallons

>> Sent from my iPhone

>>

>>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley

>>>

>>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

>>>

>>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

>>>

>>> Mike McNalley

```
>>> EVP & CFO
>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.
>>> 859-745-9209 office
>>> 859-595-3897 cell
>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>
>>>
>>>
>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons
<mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote:
>>>
>>> Mike.
>>>
>>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?
>>>
>>> Thanks,
>>>
>>> Mark
>>>
>>> Mark A. Stallons
>>> President & CEO
>>>
>>> Owen Electric Cooperative
>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400
>>> Owenton, KY 40359
>>>
>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500
>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650
>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>
>>> <image001.jpg>
>>>
>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new,
come on up and we'll talk."
>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.
>>>
```

>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

>>> >>>

>>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

 From:
 Debbie Martin

 To:
 Mark Stallons

 Cc:
 Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer

 Subject:
 Re: A3 Path Forward

 Date:
 Saturday, February 10, 2018 5:55:01 PM

I won't be available on Monday but will be available Tuesday following the board meeting.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633-4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:33 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>> wrote:

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633-4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it

All,

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

up.

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky? 2

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote: Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u><<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From:	Carol Wright
To:	Mark Stallons
Cc:	Debbie Martin; Mike Williams; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 5:53:00 PM

I will be calling into the BROC meeting on Monday and not be there in person.

I can meet after the EKPC Board meeting Tuesday and I do suggest we meet somewhere other than EKPC.

Carol

Sent from my iPad

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:33 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>> wrote:

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633- 4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during

board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners. d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky? The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u><<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email:

mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

Mark Stallons
Debbie Martin
Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Re: A3 Path Forward
Saturday, February 10, 2018 4:33:50 PM

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>> wrote:

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633-4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote: Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with

All,

EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy. I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of

SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u><<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email:

mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

 From:
 Debble Martin

 To:
 Mike Williams

 Cc:
 Mark Stallons; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer

 Subject:
 Re: A3 Path Forward

 Date:
 Saturday, February 10, 2018 4:12:27 PM

I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633- 4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

All,

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday,

after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without

that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

Mike McNalley

EVP & CFO

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

859-745-9209 office

859-595-3897 cell

michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop>

On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u><<u>mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>>> wrote:

Mike,

Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow?

Thanks,

Mark

Mark A. Stallons

President & CEO

Owen Electric Cooperative

8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400

Owenton, KY 40359

* Direct Line: 502-563-3500

*Mobile: 502-514-1650

*Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

<image001.jpg>

"One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk." PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

<Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From:	Chris Brewer
To:	Mark Stallons; Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin
Subject:	RE: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 3:44:18 PM

To All

I'm fine to meet on Monday. I would like to offer that we can meet at our office if the group prefers rather than meeting at EKP. Just let me know the plans.

Chris

From: Mark Stallons [mstallons@owenelectric.com] Sent: Saturday, February 10, 2018 9:19 AM To: Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer Subject: Fwd: A3 Path Forward

> All,

>

> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

>

> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

 > 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
 g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI
 > in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

> Your thoughts,

> Mark

>

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message-----

> From: Mark Stallons

> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

> To: Mike McNalley < Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

> Mike,

>

>

> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

> Thanks,

>

> Mark

> >

>

>

> Mark Stallons

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley Wichael.McNalley@ekpc.coop> wrote:

>>

>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

>>

>>

>> Mike McNalley

>> EVP & CFO >> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. >> 859-745-9209 office >> 859-595-3897 cell >> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop> >> >> >> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote: >> >> Mike, >> >> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow? >> >> Thanks, >> >> Mark >> >> Mark A. Stallons >> President & CEO >> >> Owen Electric Cooperative >> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 >> Owenton, KY 40359 >> >> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500 >> *Mobile: 502-514-1650 >> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com> >> <image001.jpg> >> >> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk." >> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30. >>

>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

>> >>

>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From:	Mark Stallons
To:	Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Debbie Martin; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Fwd: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 9:19:36 AM

> All,

>

> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.
d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

> e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

> f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.
 g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

> 3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

> Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI
 > in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

> Your thoughts,

> Mark

>

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message-----

> From: Mark Stallons

> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

>

> Mike, >

> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

> I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

>

> Thanks, >

> Mark

>

>

>

> > Mark Stallons

> Sent from my iPhone

.

>> On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley

>>

>> Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

>>

>> If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.

>>

>> Mike McNalley

>> EVP & CFO

>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc.

>> 859-745-9209 office

>> 859-595-3897 cell

>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<<u>mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

>>

>>

>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons

 $<\!\!mstallons@owenelectric.com<\!\!mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>\!\!> wrote:$

>>

>> Mike,

>>

>> Here is my simple spreadsheet. What is a good time to call tomorrow? >> >> Thanks, >> >> Mark >> >> Mark A. Stallons >> President & CEO >> >> Owen Electric Cooperative >> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 >> Owenton, KY 40359 >> >> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500 >> *Mobile: 502-514-1650

>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>

>> <image001.jpg> >>

>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are new, come on up and we'll talk."

>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

>>

>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

>> >>

>> <Cost Shift 020318.xlsx>

From:	Carol Wright
То:	Mark Stallons
Cc:	Tim Sharp; Mike Williams; Alan Ahrman
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 7:24:40 AM

I think the whole group should be involved. I am fine to include them. We need all the support we can get.

Carol

Sent from my iPhone

> On Feb 9, 2018, at 8:06 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

> > Tim,

>

> I may be naive, but I am hopeful that our willingness to look at all of our assets, lessen our carbon risk in a financially prudent process and define a path to greater market opportunities for individual systems will entice SK back. EKPC and the other member owners have to give SK something and we can give this if we are willing. I think you are correct they will either say yes or no.

> Mike, are you ok with this? If yes, can you check with Chris and see if he is good with this?

> Or should I reforward this to all of you and add Joni, Chris and Debbie and continue the discussion with the broader group?

>

>

>

> Mark Stallons

> Sent from my iPhone

>

>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:37 PM, Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com> wrote:

>>

>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>

>> I can work with this option as well, but it all revolves around SK being willing to step back. Seems like a yes or no option and I'm not sure how we negotiate off that position. The rest we should be able to work out, if they are willing to move.

>> Sent from my iPhone

>> >> >>

>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 7:18 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>>>

>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>>

>>>

>>> All,

>>>

>>> Something to think about. If this is something we could accept, then what position do we start with? Or, do we start here and simply hold? I typically start with something less desirable and have several moves before agreeing to a final position.

>>>

>>> Mark Stallons

>>> Sent from my iPhone

>>>

>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:54 PM, Carol Wright <carolwright@jacksonenergy.com> wrote:

>>>>

>>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>>>

>>>> Ok. I would be interested in exploring that option.

>>>>

>>>> Carol

>>>> Sent from my iPhone

>>>>

>>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:46 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>>>>>

>>>> Carol,

>>>>>

>>>>> SK gives up 58MW agreement and assigns their contract to EKPC who then makes it available to all member owners. SKY would agree to move from 15% to 5% and most likely keep their 5% share with the Morgan Stanley agreement. The rest of us would have access to the remaining share of the Morgan Stanley agreement or could fully use or expand the 35-70 MW Kentucky Group RFP project. If the Kentucky Group assigned our PJM project to EKPC, then we would not need a financial hedge as we would use EKPC's existing steel in the ground hedge that we are already paying for.

>>>>>

>>>> Mark

>>>>>

>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>>> From: Carol Wright [mailto:carolwright@jacksonenergy.com]

>>>> Sent: Friday, February 09, 2018 5:29 PM

>>>>> To: Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com>

>>>>> Cc: Tim Sharp <tjsharp@srelectric.com>; mikew@bgenergy.com; Alan Ahrman <alanahrman@gmail.com> >>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Path Forward

>>>>>

>>>> *** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! ***

>>>>>

>>>>> Does SK get to keep their 15% while the remaining 15 are limited to 5%? Or are you saying SK let's others join their 58MW group? Confused on that one....

>>>>>

>>>>> I am available Monday after BROC meeting which should be finished around noon.

>>>>>

>>>> Carol

>>>>>

>>>>> Sent from my iPhone

>>>>>

>>>>> On Feb 9, 2018, at 5:17 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com> wrote:

>>>>>>

>>>>> All,

>>>>>>

>>>>> Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you this week and EKPC staff, I suggest the following as a possible path forward:

>>>>>>

>>>>>> 1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

>>>>> 2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and the Board Representation to accomplish the following:

>>>>>>

>>>>> a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

>>>>> b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to more market based power supply without shifting costs.

>>>>> 1. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation, EKPC assigns 161.9 MW of market based power available to any Member Owner who request access to A3 market power.

>>>>> 2. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified in the MOU.

>>>>> c. S KY assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

>>>>> d. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement or the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

>>>>>>

>>>>> Can we discuss either in person or by phone sometime Monday, before, in between or after Committee Meetings, your thoughts,

>>>>>

>>>>> Mark

>>>>>>

.....

>>>>> -----Original Message-----

>>>>> From: Mark Stallons

>>>>> Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

>>>>> To: Mike McNalley <Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop>

>>>>> Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

>>>>>

>>>>> Mike,

>>>>>>

>>>>> Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy.

>>>>>>

>>>>> I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

>>>>>>

>>>>> In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

>>>>>>

>>>>> The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

>>>>>> Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

>>>>> In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

>>>>> The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

>>>>>>

>>>>> I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

>>>>>> >>>>> I can talk about the above anytime this morning. >>>>>> >>>>> Thanks, >>>>>> >>>>> Mark >>>>>> >>>>>> >>>>> Mark Stallons >>>>> Sent from my iPhone >>>>>> >>>>>>> over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted. >>>>> Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings. >>>>>>> >>>>> Mike McNalley >>>>> EVP & CFO >>>>> East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. >>>>> 859-745-9209 office >>>>> 859-595-3897 cell >>>>>> michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop<mailto:michael.mcnalley@ekpc.coop> >>>>>>> >>>>>>> >>>>>> On Feb 5, 2018, at 5:31 PM, Mark Stallons <mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com>> wrote: >>>>>>> >>>>> Mike. >>>>>>> >>>>>> Thanks, >>>>>>> >>>>> Mark >>>>>>> >>>>> Mark A. Stallons >>>>> President & CEO >>>>>>> >>>>>> Owen Electric Cooperative >>>>> 8205 Hwy 127N; PO Box 400 >>>>> Owenton, KY 40359 >>>>>>> >>>>> * Direct Line: 502-563-3500 >>>>> *Mobile: 502-514-1650 >>>>> *Email: mstallons@owenelectric.com<mailto:mstallons@owenelectric.com> >>>>> <image001.jpg> >>>>>>> >>>>>> "One of your goals for the future is for you to identify and solve your own problems. But since you are

new, come on up and we'll talk." >>>>> Source: The One Minute Manager, Page 30.

>>>>>>>

>>>>>> PRIVILEGED OR CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This e-mail message is for the sole use of the intended

recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

From:	Mark Stallons
To:	Debbie Martin
Cc:	Mike Williams; Carol Wright; Tim Sharp; Joni Hazelrigg; Chris Brewer
Subject:	Re: A3 Path Forward
Date:	Saturday, February 10, 2018 4:33:50 PM

All,

I can meet Monday at lunch between Committee Meeting with whoever would like to talk. Meeting Tuesday at Clark after the EKPC board meeting sounds like a very good idea and works with my schedule.

Thanks,

Mark Stallons Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 10, 2018, at 4:12 PM, Debbie Martin <<u>debbiem@shelbyenergy.com</u>> wrote:

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email! *** I like the suggestion of meeting after we have the EKPC board meeting on Tuesday. Also, if agreeable with the group, Chris's idea of meeting someplace other than EKPC may be good.

Debra J. Martin President & CEO Shelby Energy Cooperative Office: (502) 633-4163 Fax: (502) 633-2387

This email message and any attachments are for the sole and confidential use of the intended recipients and may contain proprietary and/or confidential information which may be privileged or otherwise protected from disclosure. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and delete the original message from your computer system and destroy any copies of the message as well as any attachments. Shelby Energy Cooperative cannot guarantee the security of the transmission and assumes no responsibility for intentional or accidental receipt by a third party.

On Feb 10, 2018, at 3:49 PM, Mike Williams <<u>mikew@bgenergy.com</u>> wrote:

Would it be better to wait and see what options are presented during board meeting on Tuesday and we could afterwards or latter in the week to discuss next step?

Thanks, Mike Williams

On Feb 10, 2018, at 9:19 AM, Mark Stallons <<u>mstallons@owenelectric.com</u>> wrote:

Below please find an email string between Mike McNalley and me earlier in the week. Mike & I have had several phone calls as well. Based on conversations with all of you and EKPC the past few weeks, I suggest we consider the following as a possible path forward:

1. We approve S Kentucky's notice - to not approve would invite and basically guarantee a lawsuit battle much worse than Grayson

2. We form a special committee comprised of interested CEO's/Manager's; EKPC key staff including Tony, Mike, Don & David; and Board Representation to accomplish the following:

a. Agree to Identify possible pathways to move our portfolio in a financially prudent manner away from carbon risk assets by a defined time and present to the EKPC Board for further discussion and hopefully an agreement.

b. Provide a pathway for Member Owners to move to an increasing market based alternate source power supply portfolio without shifting costs. I believe we need to do this to give SKY reason to talk. They have spent considerable funds to secure market power and they will not simply give it up.

c. Based on January 2018 Amendment 3 (A3) load Allocation calculations, EKPC agrees to use the Jan 2018 method resulting in 161.9 MW to allocate present and future A3 market based power available to Member Owners.

d. Member Owner's agree that no one can exceed 5% of their cooperative's rolling 36 month coincident peak as identified by A3 and in the MOU and at present totals 161.9 MW.

e. S KY agrees to reduce their A3 percentage to 5% and assigns Morgan Stanley agreement to EKPC as an A3 noticed project.

f. The Kentucky Group of 7 agrees to work with

All,

EKPC and open their RFP process to any EKPC member owner.

g. Member Owner's have a defined x day window to join the S KY Morgan Stanley Agreement up to 58MW or join the Kentucky Group and purchase up to their full 5% allocation as defined above.

3. If item 2 above fails, then we are left with intervention at the PSC and/or moving forward with the Kentucky Group RFP process.

Can we discuss either in person or by phone Monday, after BROC and before SI

in between Committee Meetings during lunch.

Your thoughts,

Mark

-----Original Message-----

From: Mark Stallons

Sent: Wednesday, February 07, 2018 6:11 AM

To: Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>>

Subject: Re: A3 Cost Shift Spreadsheet

Mike,

Thanks for taking a look. Your comments are spot on. I kept it simple and that does compromise accuracy. I have capacity as well as energy pricing in the short term. We should have escalators in the next few weeks.

In regards to a twenty year NPV I have that in a different model with Owen alone. To improve accuracy, I need a more recent or adjusted EKPC financial forecast. I have the 2015 in my files. However, it is obviously dated.

The ES and base rate 2018 EKPC budget numbers by Cooperative is necessary to finish the model. Without that I can not accurately show the impact on a Cooperative basis.

Would also like to see present capacity and energy costs by generating unit for all of EKPC's units compared to market. In addition a range of expected market selling price and revenue, the remaining depreciation and resulting annual regulatory asset cost for each of EKPC's generating assets.

In other words what is the cost of our steel hedge compared to market and what if any possible pathways do we have to lessen our stranded costs. What is our enterprise risk associated with Dems regaining control of the EPA, house and or Senate? What is our risk mitigation plan if Bevin and the Republicans deregulate Kentucky?

The S KY Amendment 3 move highlights a handful of questions that I am sure the EKPC staff understand, have thought about, and have concluded that staying with a steel hedge is our best response. In the last few weeks I have found that most board of directors and CEO's can not explain the value or risk of a steel hedge at \$15 to \$25 per MWh above the PJM market.

I found this simple spreadsheet to be a useful tool to educate my board concerning the impact of

SKy's actions as well as a means to explain the possible outcomes associated with a variety of mitigation strategies. If we work together, I believe we can develop a more accurate model to educate all stakeholders including the PSC.

I can talk about the above anytime this morning.

Thanks,

Mark

Mark Stallons

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 6, 2018, at 5:25 PM, Mike McNalley <<u>Michael.McNalley@ekpc.coop</u>> wrote:

Didn't hear from you today but did see a couple of issues. One is the \$20/MWh savings could decline over time if (a) EKPC continues to lower cost, and/or (b) there are any escalators or Re-openers in the contract. So using the first year savings probably overstates it, and the NPVs should be adjusted.

Second is that there is no capacity cost. I don't know what is being quoted, but I do know that it follows market so could escalate quite dramatically. Might erase all remaining savings.

If you get some time Wed, give me a shout.