## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

T	.1	1. 1		C
In	the	IVI	atter	OT:

THE APPLICATION OF SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION FOR	) Case No. 2018-00050
APPROVAL OF MASTER POWER PURCHASE AND	)
SALE AGREEMENT AND TRANSACTIONS THEREUNDER	)

# SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE OF SHELBY ENERGY COOPERATIVE, INC. TO SOUTH KENTUCKY RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION'S REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

Respectfully submitted,

CRAWFORD & BAXTER, P.S.C. ATTORNEYS AT LAW 523 Highland Avenue P.O. Box 353 Carrollton, Kentucky 41008 Phone: (502) 732-6688

Fax: (502) 732-6920

Attorneys for Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc.

James M. Crawford

Ruth H. Baxter Jake A. Thompson

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 Section 6, the undersigned certifies that consistent with 807 KAR 5:001 Section 4(8)(d)(3), a copy of this document has been electronically served upon the following on this the 30th day of April, 2018:

Ms. Gwen R. Pinson, Executive Director Kentucky Public Service Commission 211 Sower Blvd., P.O. Box 615 Frankfort, Kentucky 40602-0615 Matthew R. Malone, Esq. William H. May, III, Esq. Hurt, Deckard & May, PLLC mmalone@hdmfirm.com bmay@hdmfirm.com

Scott B. Grover, Esq. S. Michael Madison, Esq. Balch & Bingham, LLP <a href="mailto:sgrover@balch.com">sgrover@balch.com</a> mmadison@balch.com

Kent A. Chandler, Esq. Rebecca W. Goodman, Esq. ASSISTANT ATTORNEYS GENERAL Kent.Chandler@ky.gov Rebecca.Goodman@ky.gov

W. Patrick Hauser, Esq. W. PATRIC HAUSER, PSC phauser@barbourville.com

Michael L. Kurtz, Esq. BOEHM, KURTZ & LOWRY mkurtz@bkllawfirm.com

W. Jeffrey Scott, Esq. Brandon M. Music, Esq. W. JEFFREY SCOTT, P.S.C. wjscott@windstream.net

James M. Miller, Esq. R. Michael Sullivan, Esq. SULLIVAN MOUNTJOY, PSC jmiller@smlegal.com msullivan@smlegal.com

John Doug Hubbard, Esq. Jason P. Floyd, Esq. FULTON, HUBBARD & HUBBARD, PLLC jdh@bardstown.com jpf@bardstown.com Clayton O. Oswald, Esq. TAYLOR, KELLER & OSWALD, PLLC coswald@tkolegal.com

Robert Spragens, Jr., Esq. SPRAGENS & HIDGON, P.S.C. rspragens@spragenhigdonlaw.com

David T. Royse, Esq. RANDSDELL ROACH & ROYSE PLLC david@rrrfirm.com

David A. Smart, Esq. Roger R. Cowden, Esq. EKPC David.smart@ekpc.coop Roger.cowden@ekpc.coop

Hon. James M. Crawford

Witness: Debra Martin

### Shelby Energy Cooperative, Inc.

#### Case No. 2018-00050

### South Kentucky Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation

4. Please produce all email communications sent or received by and between any of the Distribution Cooperatives during the period November 28, 2017 through February 23, 2018 that reference South Kentucky (as defined in the instructions) or that relate in any way to Amendment 3, the MOU or the potential or actual exercise of rights by South Kentucky under Amendment 3 and/or the MOU.

Response:

The responding parties object to this request to the extent it seeks production of emails concerning potential intervention in this matter, selection of counsel in this matter, or sharing of costs relating to this matter because those subjects are not relevant to the issues in this case and the information sought is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case; and the parties have not produced emails relevant to these three subjects. The responding parties object to this request to the extent it asks for emails concerning any of the parties pursuing a request for proposals ("RFPs") for a power purchase agreement with an Alternate Source under Amendment 3 and the MOU because this subject is not relevant to the issues in this case and the information sought is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case; but without waiving this objection, the parties state that some of them have pursued an RFP and will provide emails on that subject, but will not provide emails that disclose the identity of any parties responding to the RFP, the information provided by those parties, or documents provided by the consultant retained by them to assist with the RFP process because that information is not relevant to the issues in this case and the information sought is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this case.

Witness: Debra Martin

responding parties also object because the request is overly broad as many, if not all, of the emails that are the subject of this request are irrelevant to this proceeding, and it is unduly burdensome to require the parties to search for and produce these emails. Without waiving these objections, the responding parties provide the following response.

In regard to Shelby Energy's supplemental response to e-mail communications, see Attachment #2A.