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Q. Please state your name, business address, and affiliation.
A. Cathy Hinko, P.O. Box 4533, Louisville, KY 40204. I am the Executive Director of the Metropolitan Housing Coalition (MHC).

Q. On whose behalf are you testifying today?
A. My testimony is filed on behalf of MHC.

Q. What is the Metropolitan Housing Coalition?
A. MHC is a nonprofit, nonpartisan membership organization incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky in 1989 and comprised of over 200 individual and organizational members. MHC members include representatives of low-income households, private and non-profit housing developers, service providers, financial institutions, labor unions, faith-based and neighborhood groups, as well as other advocacy groups, advocating in a united voice for fair, safe, and affordable housing in the Metro Louisville area. For over two decades, the MHC has utilized the public and private resources of the Metro Louisville community to provide equitable, accessible housing choices for all persons through advocacy, public education, and through support for affordable housing providers.

Q. Please briefly describe your qualifications.
A. Since obtaining my law degree in 1979, my career has focused on affordable and fair housing. I left the practice of law to manage the Section 8 Housing Certificate and then Voucher Programs for the city of Louisville and Jefferson County, subsequently becoming Executive Director of the Housing Authority of Jefferson County. During that tenure, I became involved with issues of affordable utilities for low-income people and was on the board of the Affordable Energy Corporation (AEC) as they secured grants to
test a modified Percentage of Income Plan. I remain on AEC’s board through the present
day and AEC’s operation of the All Seasons Assurance Program funded through a meter
charge approved by the Public Service Commission.

In 2005, I became director of MHC, an education and advocacy organization on issues
of fair and affordable housing which also operates a lending pool for use by non-profit
developers creating or rehabilitating affordable housing. In 2008, MHC published a
paper that focused on utility costs as part of affordable housing. In 2014, MHC updated
information in that report. In 2013, MHC published How to Lower Utility Costs: A
Guide to Louisville Programs for Energy Efficient Improvements and Resources to Help
Pay a Utility Bill. This guide to resources also included a comparison of utility costs in
Louisville of the years 1998 and 2013. I have added 2018 costs in my testimony. I have
been the lead MHC staff member in advocating for the recommendations of that report.
My work includes convening meetings with the state and local agencies charged with
weatherization work and serving on committees convened by LG&E on both community
input and on energy efficiency.

MHC operates a lending pool of about $750,000 that is for use by non-profit
developers in creating and rehabilitating units that are affordable to low-income
households, with an emphasis on those below 60% of median income. Demand-side
management programs are of paramount importance to MHC, as well as payment
assistance programs, in order to make shelter affordable.

Q. **What is the purpose of your testimony today?**

A. I am testifying on behalf of MHC in the case on the amount of Demand Side
Management (DSM) Charges and the use of the DSM funds. MHC has an interest not
only in affordable cost of utilities and payment assistance programs, but equally in the
energy efficient rehabilitation of current units, and demand-side management as a method
of making shelter affordable to low-income households. Of equal importance to MHC is
that the funds collected from low-income neighborhoods and/or neighborhoods with
concentrations of people in protected categories (as defined for fair housing) are returned
to those neighborhoods.

The second part of my testimony is focused on the failure of Kentucky to keep
pace with the way cost/benefit is measured. We have an intuitive sense that sound
Demand Side Management programs would affect the environment and air quality and
health outcomes, but now we have the ability to quantify those benefits. Given the
notoriously poor health outcomes in Kentucky, we should embrace these new measures.

Q. How important are energy costs for low-income households?
A. This part of the testimony supports Demand Side Management programs that help
low-income people in their homes.

A 1998 national study showed that the average household spends only about 2
percent of their income on electricity whereas low-income households spend about 8
percent of their total income on electricity and very low-income households (those living
at less than half of the federal poverty level) spend 23 percent. See Oppenheim, J.(1998).

However, between 2007 and 2017, the cost of housing for our Metropolitan Statistical
Area, (as published in Fair Market Rents by the United States Department of Housing
and Urban Development) went up 13.29%, adjusted to 2017 dollars using the Consumer
Price Index.
The above chart and the one below are in the 2018 State of Metropolitan Housing Report published by Metropolitan Housing Coalition.

When we look at wages in our area, we see that 23% of the work force earns a wage that would not let them pay rent and utilities at under 30% of their income for a one-bedroom unit and that 38.68% of the work force earns a wage that would not strain them to pay rent and utilities for a two-bedroom unit.
This gap between housing and utility cost (utilities are part of the Fair Market Rent calculation) may explain why Louisville Kentucky had the seventh highest eviction rate (5.3%) of the largest fifty Metropolitan Statistical Areas in the United States. Salvati, Chris. 2017 “Rental Insecurity: the threat of Evictions to America’s Renters”.

Demand Side Management is one way of making sure that families, the elderly and disabled and low-wage workers can be stable by controlling cost. Many of the oldest parts of Louisville are also the areas with highest concentrations of low-income households, households with persons with a disability, households with a female-head and minor children and shockingly segregated by race. The following is the link to the Redlining Project which shows the intentional segregation which puts those in fair housing protected classes in small geographic areas with high density and older housing. https://louisvilleky.gov/government/redevelopment-strategies/redlining-community-dialogue
Investing in these areas to bring down cost is imperative. The following are a series of maps to show what I have just stated.

Poverty is concentrated in western Louisville.
Map 8: Percentage of Population Identifying as Black or African-American
by Census Tracts - Louisville/Jefferson County
2015 ACS 5-Year Estimates
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- R/ECAP Tracts*

*HUD 2017c. "AFFH Data and Mapping Tool" R/ECAP Tracts updated to reflect 2009-2013 5-year American Community Survey data.
Map 11: Percentage of Female-Headed Households, No Husband Present
by Census Tracts - Louisville/Jefferson County
2015 ACS 5-Year Estimates

*IHD 2017c; "AFTH Data and Mapping Tool" R/ECAP Tracts updated to reflect 2009-2013
5-year American Community Survey data.
As seen in the LG&E Response to Metropolitan Housing Coalition’s Second Set of Data Requests Dated February 21, 2098, electricity usage has decreased between 2011 and 2017 in every zip code except 40018. But the most dramatic decreases occurred in wealthier parts of the county. 40291 had a 12% decrease, 40223 had a 14% decrease and 40243 had a 16% decrease compared to 40211’s 8% decrease, 40210 9% decrease and 40212’s 8% decrease. So whether it was the inability of these areas to participate in several of the DSM programs or some other explanation, we see that there is still room for demand-side management in these areas even when only talking about electricity, which is not their primary heating fuel.
LG&E chose to answer only in kWh and not ccf, although my bill always has a
Demand Side Management charge by ccf and is attached as Hinko Direct Testimony.
Exhibit 1. MHC posits that areas of high poverty and racial segregation (and for which
we have zoning maps showing the square footage to be considerably less per residence
than in affluent areas that are 98% white) and where gas heat predominates, are the areas
disproportionately in need of programs lowering energy usage.
Q. The Commission’s review of the proposed decrease in DSM charges and the use of the funds is focused on whether and on what terms approval of the proposals will be “consistent with the public interest.” Are there specific areas that could be addressed by the Commission that would, in your view, make the plan more consistent with the public interest?

A. Yes. Modernizing the cost/benefit analysis to include externalities would be an important step.

Below, the PSC can see the difference in cost of utilities from 1998 to 2018. Things have changed substantially just in cost. Utilities costs have skyrocketed. We know that low income wage earners have not experienced wage growth and we know that old housing areas have not become more efficient. DSM programs are essential.
Updated to 2018:

**ELECTRICITY** (using my person bill which is attached) and comparing 1998 to 2018

1. Basic service charge went from $3.29 in 1998 to $12.25 in 2018
2. Energy charge per kWh is now $0.09382 compared to $0.06237
3. DSM per kWh is now $0.00248 compared to $0.0029
4. Environmental Surcharge is 3.170% compared to 1.140%

**GAS**

5. Basic service charge went from $4.48 1998 to $16.35 in 2018
6. Gas distribution charge went from $0.00119 to $0.363.00
7. Gas supply component went from $0.35602 to $0.39332

And the focus of Louisville itself has changed to include externalities. We now have a Chief Resilience Officer in Louisville government and a Department of Sustainability. Louisville knows that our health and well-being are tied to lowering energy consumption especially for low-income areas.

Attached is a printout of the louisvilleky.gov site for the Urban Heat Island Project, identified as Hinko Direct Testimony Exhibit 2. This project contains many initiatives that would be aided by DSM if externalities could be measured. To quote under the section called “**How does it** (urban heat island) **hurt Louisville Residents**? The additional heat in the urban core leads to costlier utility bills but also serious health issues- it’s a real danger to vulnerable Louisville residents. The hotter air exacerbates the effect of air pollution; Louisvillians with asthma or other respiratory problems in the UHI-affected areas are put at risk.” “We know that too often the ZIP code where you are born can correlate with negative health outcomes. That’s unacceptable”, said Mayor
Greg Fischer. “In addition, the added heat causes citizens and businesses to run their air-
conditioning longer and higher, which drives up energy costs for citizens and
businesses.”

As part of that project neighborhoods are targeted for grass planted, trees planted,
cooling paving and cooling roofs; that list is attached as Hinko Direct Testimony Exhibit
3.

Attached are the maps from the 2017 Health Equity Report from the Center for
Health Equity, part of the Louisville Metro Department of Health and Wellness, showing
the incidence and location of cancer and asthma as well as the impact by race, sex and
age. Those maps are identified as Hinko Direct Testimony Exhibits 4 and 5 respectively.

Failing to include externalities has a disproportionately negative impact on people in fair
housing and public accommodation protected categories and my transgress federal law
using the analysis of the 2015 U.S. Supreme Court decision of Texas Department of
Housing and Community Affairs et al. v. Inclusive Communities Project, Inc., et al., 576
U.S.___.

Neighborhoods that have large numbers of multi-family housing tend to be low-
income and are not only racially concentrated, but are concentrations of people in other
protected classes. Since these neighborhoods have smaller lot sizes, they also are
concentrations of residential users, each paying for utilities. The earlier maps show that
low-income neighborhoods are also where older housing exists with less energy efficient
rehabilitation of homes. So there are a lot of people paying and with high volume usage.

How can this concern be addressed?
MHC believes that distribution of DSM funds should be proportional to the impact on the neighborhood expressed as a percentage of DSM program income received from the neighborhood. More households, each paying the DSM charge, are in low-income neighborhoods. Yet the least amount of energy savings programs are implemented there.

MHC believes that an assessment should be done to determine the amount of money coming from low-income neighborhoods in DSM charges with a concomitant study on where the DSM money is spent. At the least, the public utility should be cognizant of delivering funds in a manner that does not have a disparate impact on minorities, people who are disabled and female headed households with children. The new program that allows people to track usage on their computer seems to ignore the technology gap in low income households. That new program, combined with rebates for appliances beyond the financial capability of low-income people and not used by landlords of lower-rent areas, may result in inequity. Certainly, more study should go into the programs before approval. Had LG&E fully responded to question 9 of MHC’s Second Request for Data to include ccf, we may have had a better picture.

Other programs should be considered as well. MHC works with non-profit developers that do both new construction and rehabilitation of both rental and home ownership units. MHC sees the complexity of funding that goes into making units that have a low cost to end consumers yet these units are energy efficient. A program accessible to non-profit developers could be one more useful financial tool to create units that remain affordable in utility costs. Since air conditioning is a normal part of energy
use (see prior reference to HUD standards), units that have gas heat still will be using
electricity in the summer months for temperature control. And though DSM focuses on
electric usage, the fee still comes from gas consumption, so homes using gas heat are
paying into the DSM fee and the less energy efficient units are paying more.

Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
A. Yes.
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