COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECTRONIC PROPOSED)	
ADJUSTMENT OF THE WHOLESALE) C	ASE NO. 2017-00417
WATER SERVICE RATES OF)	
LEBANON WATER WORKS)	

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF

HOLLY L. NICHOLAS

FUNDING AND PROJECT ADMINISTRATION SPECIALIST

KENTUCKY ENGINEERING GROUP, PLLC

Filed: June 8, 2018

1		INTRODUCTION
2	Q.	Please state your name, position, and business address.
3	A.	My name is Holly L. Nicholas. I am a Funding and Project
4		Administration Specialist with Kentucky Engineering Group, PLLC. My
5		business address is P.O. Box 1034, 161 North Locust Street, Versailles,
6		Kentucky 40383. A statement of my qualifications and work experience
7		was attached as Appendix A to my Direct Testimony dated March 21,
8		2018.
9	Q.	Have you previously testified before the Public Service Commission?
10	A.	Yes.
11	Q.	Describe your work as Funding and Project Administration
12		Specialist.
13	A.	My work primarily involves the financing of infrastructure projects for
14		water and wastewater utilities. I am responsible for project
15		development, environmental review, rate analyses, and coordination of
16		civil engineering and sanitary projects. I prepare, submit, and track
17		funding application packages to various state and federal agencies, such
18		as the Kentucky Infrastructure Authority and Rural Development.
19	Q.	For what types of water utilities have you worked?

- 1 -

A. I have worked with municipal utilities that served populations ranging in
 size from less than 1,000 to 7,000 persons and with water districts whose
 number of customers ranged from less than 300 customers to almost
 10,000 customers.

5

Q. Do you prepare rate analyses as part of your duties?

A. Yes. One requirement for obtaining funding from some federal and state
lending agencies is proving financial viability. Accordingly, I have
prepared rate analyses in conjunction with numerous federally and state
funded construction projects for water and wastewater utilities.
Additionally, I have prepared several standalone rate studies.

11 **Q.** Did you previously testify in this proceeding?

- A. Yes. I filed Direct Testimony on March 21, 2018. My Direct Testimony
 detailed the rate analysis I performed for Lebanon Water Works
 Company ("Company").
- 15 Q. Is your Direct Testimony still correct?

A. Yes. My Direct Testimony remains correct, with limited updates that I
 will describe in this rebuttal testimony. I hereby incorporate by reference
 my Direct Testimony and the exhibits attached thereto.

Q. Have you reviewed the record in this proceeding, including the
 Direct Testimony of Daren Thompson, the Direct Testimony of

- 2 -

1		Charles White, and all of the responses to the Requests for
2		Information?
3	A.	Yes.
4	Q.	Have you reviewed the Rebuttal Testimony of Daren Thompson?
5	A.	Yes.
6	Q.	Have you reviewed the Depreciation Schedule Worksheet filed with
7		the Rebuttal Testimony of Daren Thompson?
8	A.	Yes.
9	Q.	What is the purpose of your Rebuttal Testimony?
10	A.	The purpose of my Rebuttal Testimony is to present limited adjustments
11		to the Company's Operating Expenses and Revenue Requirements
12		calculation based on known and measurable changes that have occurred
13		and assets placed into service since I conducted the rate analysis in June
14		2017.
15	Q.	Are you sponsoring an exhibit to your testimony?
16	A.	Yes. The adjustments to the Company's Operating Expenses and
17		Revenue Requirements are shown in Exhibit 1, which is attached to my
18		testimony. Each adjustment has a corresponding Reference Letter. I will
19		explain each adjustment.

Q. Please describe the adjustment to "Purchased Water-Cville Water & Sewer" at Reference A.

A. I reduced the purchased water expense from Campbellsville by \$54,020 to account for the reduction in the rate Campbellsville will be charging the Company. This reduction in purchased water expense is due to the recalculation of the water purchase rate from Campbellsville from \$3.36 per 1,000 gallons to \$2.99 per 1,000 gallons. This rate reduction was further explained in the Direct Testimony of Daren Thompson.

9 Q. Please describe the adjustment to "KRS/CERS – Employer Share" at 10 Reference B.

A. A change in state law placed a cap of 12% on the amount the employer
contribution rate to the County Employees Retirement System ("CERS")
may be increased. The increase in the employer contribution rate for FY
2019 is further described in the Rebuttal Testimony of Daren Thompson.
Accordingly, I have updated the Company's contribution amount based
on this known and measurable change.

17 Q. Please describe the adjustment to "Miscellaneous" expense at 18 Reference C.

A. An adjustment to Miscellaneous expense was made to account for theGIS Base Mapping Project and the GIS Asset Management Solution,

- 4 -

1		which were undertaken and completed since the Re	evenue Requirements	
2		were calculated. The GIS Base Mapping Proje	ect will increase the	
3		Company's annual miscellaneous expense by \$16,	667. The Company	
4		will also pay a \$4,000 annual license fee to ESRI a	as a result of the GIS	
5		Asset Management Solution. See pages 24-25 of the Direct Testimony of		
6		Daren Thompson dated March 21, 2018 for a more d	letailed explanation.	
7	Q.	Please describe the adjustment to "Repairs/Main	tenance" expense at	
8		Reference D.		
9	A.	Another adjustment was made to reflect the cost	of ongoing service	
10		contracts with third parties to perform deferred ma	intenance projects at	
11		the Water Treatment Plant and other ongoing service	ces. These contracts	
12		and agreements were entered into after the Revenue	e Requirements were	
13		calculated. These repair and maintenance expenses a	are shown below:	
		Name	Annual Amount	
		Clearwell Cleaning	\$16,075	
		Filter Cleaning	\$19,465	
		Electrical Inspections & Testing	\$10,000	

agreements.

Megger Testing

Sludge Hauling

Total

14

15

16

17

See pages 23-24 of the Direct Testimony of Daren Thompson dated

March 21, 2018 for a more detailed explanation of these contracts and

\$1,500

\$23,400

\$70,440

Q. Please describe the adjustment to "Depreciation Expense" at Reference E.

A. Certainly. There are two major components to the Depreciation Expense
 adjustment: (1) Depreciation Schedule Worksheet; and (2) additional
 assets placed into service since the original Revenue Requirements were
 calculated.

7 Q. Please describe the Depreciation Schedule Worksheet adjustment.

A. The Company created a Depreciation Schedule Worksheet, which
calculates a full year of depreciation for assets placed into service in 2017
and corrects the useful lives of certain assets misclassified. The
Depreciation Schedule Worksheet is further described in the Rebuttal
Testimony of Daren Thompson.

13 Q. Please describe the second component of the Depreciation Expense

- 14 adjustment.
- A. An adjustment was made to add the depreciation expense for all the
 capital projects which have been completed and placed into service since
 the Revenue Requirements were calculated in June 2017. These include:

Project Name	Amount
Marion-Taylor Interconnect	\$63,365
(Campbellsville Transmission Main)	
WTP Filter Rehab	\$8,977
WTP Clearwell	\$25,390
Total	\$97,732

- Q. Please describe the adjustment to "Average Annual Debt P&I
 Payments" at Reference F.
- A. I made this adjustment to reflect the final loan amount for the
 Campbellsville project. The adjustment reflects the total loan amount of
 \$2,261,000.
- 6 Q. Please describe the adjustment to "Debt Coverage Requirement" at
 7 Reference G.
- 8 A. This adjustment reflects the final amount of the Campbellsville loan and
 9 also corrects the debt service coverage, which was originally incorrectly
 10 calculated.
- Q. Please refer to Exhibit 1, page 2. It appears that you have reduced
 the amount of "Revenue Required from Rates" by \$53,400 because
 of "Non-operating Revenue." What is "Non-operating Revenue"?
- A. Non-operating revenue is revenue from a source other than the sale of
 water. In this particular case, the Company received non-operating
 revenue from three (3) sources: (1) tap fees; (2) developer contributions;
 and (3) fees from collecting residential garbage bills for the Marion
 County Fiscal Court.
- 19 Q. Was this \$53,400 reduction made in your original rate analysis?

- 7 -

1	A.	Yes. I reduced the revenue required from rates by \$53,400 in my original
2		rate analysis. I did not make any adjustment to that amount in Exhibit 1.
3	Q.	Explain the source of the tap fees.
4	A.	Each new retail customer who connects to the Company's water system
5		must pay a tap (connection) fee. For $5/8 \ge 3/4$ meters, the amount of the
6		tap fee is \$900.
7	Q.	Explain the fees earned from collecting garbage bills.
8	A.	The Company has an agreement with the Marion County Fiscal Court to
9		bill and collect the monthly garbage fees for residential customers who
10		are also water customers of the Company. The Company retains 5% of
11		the amount collected for providing this service for Fiscal Court. The
12		amount shown on Exhibit 1 (\$11,400) represents the fees earned during
13		the Test Year.
	0	

- 14 Q. How much revenue was earned from each of these three (3) sources
 15 of non-operating revenue?
- 16 A.

Non-operating Revenue	Amount
Tap Fees	\$18,000
Developer Contributions	\$24,000
Fee for Collecting Garbage Bills	\$11,400
Total	\$53,400

17

18 Q. Was any portion of the \$53,400 paid by Marion District?

A. No. The tap fees were paid by the Company's retail customers. The developer fees were paid by persons developing subdivisions within the Company's water service area. All the garbage bills were paid by the Company's retail customers. Therefore, the fees earned from billing and collecting garbage fees are attributable to the Company's retail customers.

7 Q. Did Marion District receive the benefit of these Non-operating
8 Revenues when you calculated the proposed rates?

9 A. Yes. All the Company's customers, including Marion District, received
10 the benefit of these Non-operating Revenues. First, the Company's
11 Revenue Requirements were determined. Then Non-operating Revenue
12 and Interest Income were subtracted to determine the amount of Revenue
13 Required from Rates.

14 **Q.** What do you conclude from Exhibit 1?

A. Based on the information I have today, I would have concluded that the
Company could justify a revenue increase of \$1,164,166, or 44.1%. In
my opinion, the Company has more than justified the proposed revenue
increase of \$798,208.

19 Q. What is your recommendation to the Commission?

1 A. I continue to recommend that the Commission approve the Company's proposed rate increase. The proposed rates are fair, just, and reasonable. 2 The proposed rates do not generate as much revenue as the Company has 3 justified, but the proposed rate adjustment will improve the Company's 4 financial condition, will enable it to meet its debt service requirements, 5 and will produce an annual cash working capital allowance. The 6 proposed rate adjustment, however, will not enable the Company to fully 7 fund its depreciation expense. 8

- 9 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF FLORIDA)) SS: COUNTY OF HERNANDO)

The undersigned, **Holly Nicholas**, being duly sworn, deposes and states that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony, and the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of her information, knowledge, and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this <u>S</u> day of June 2018.

DOMM (SEAL) Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 1014/19

FF924085 Notary ID:



STATE OF FLORIDA, COUNTY OF HERNANDO THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE ME THIS 68/18 Holly Choles by the above PERSON(S) WHO IS PERSONALLY KNOWN TO ME OR WHO HAS PRODUCED FL DRLLC AS IDENTIFICATION AND WHO DID / DID NOT TAKE AN OATH.

EXHIBIT 1

Operating Expenses & Revenue Requirements 06/08/18

	Original Revenue/Expenses	Adjustments	Reference	Revised Revenue/Expenses
Operating Revenues		rajustinents	herefellee	Revenue, Expenses
Sales of Water				
Unmetered water sales	-			-
Metered water sales	2,640,000			2,640,000
Bulk loading stations	-			-
Fire protection revenue	-			-
Sales for resale	-			-
Total sales of water	2,640,000			2,640,000
Other water revenues				
Forfeited discounts	-			-
Miscellaneous service revenues	-			-
Rents from water property	-			-
Other water revenues	-			-
Total other water revenues	-			-
Total Operating Revenues	2,640,000			2,640,000
Operating Expenses				
Purchased Water-Cville Water & Sewer	490,560	(54,020)	(A)	436,540
Savings at WTP	(39,733)			(39 <i>,</i> 733)
Salaries	615,200			615,200
Fringe Benefits - Employee ins	188,400			188,400
KRS/CERS - Employer share	118,000	14,145	(B)	132,145
Payroll taxes	47,100			47,100
Office - Utilities	12,000			12,000
Office - Repairs/Maintenance	33,200			33,200
Office - Supplies	6,100			6,100
Office - Miscellaneous	15,900			15,900
Professional Fees - Legal/Accting/Surveying	65,400			65,400
Postage	10,700			10,700
Director fees	12,600			12,600
Advertisements	900			900
Uniforms/Safety Equipment	10,100			10,100
Continuing Education Expense	6,800			6,800
Membership Dues/Fees	5,000			5,000
Insurance	49,100			49,100
Bad Debts	-			-
Interest on Customer Deposits	1,200			1,200
Donations	400			400
Rental House - Calvary	800			800
Miscellaneous	4,000	20,667	(C)	24,667
Equipment - Repairs/Maintenance	2,000			2,000
Shop - Supplies/Expense	13,100			13,100
Meters - Repairs	60,900			60,900

	20.000			20.000
Mains - Repairs	20,000			20,000
Hydrants - Repairs	16,400			16,400
Tanks - Repairs	10,000			10,000
Tanks - Utilities	4,000			4,000
Lab Equipment/Supplies	2,200			2,200
Lab Testing	18,600			18,600
Vehicle - Fuel	13,800			13,800
Vehicle - Repairs/Maintenance	16,700			16,700
Warehouse Rd- BPS - Repairs/Maintenance	-			-
Power	230,000			230,000
Chemicals	170,000			170,000
Utilities	10,500			10,500
Repairs/Maintenance	38,000	70,440	(D)	108,440
Supplies	8,900			8,900
Lab Equipment	11,000			11,000
Pump Equipment	-			-
Lake - Repairs/Maintenance	1,800			1,800
Buena Vista - Utilities	1,000			1,000
Buena Vista - Supplies	-			-
Buena Vista - Repairs/Maintenance	2,500			2,500
Total Operation and Maintenance Expenses	2,305,127			2,356,359
Depreciation Expense	600,000	206,086	(E)	806,086
Total Operating Expenses	2,905,127			3,162,445
Utility Operating Income	(265,127)			(522,445)
Revenue Requirement Calculation - De	ebt Coverage Meth	od		
Pro Forma Operating Expenses	2,905,127			3,162,445
Dius: Average Appual Debt D&I Dayments	E22 027	21 590	(5)	E62 617
Plus: Average Annual Debt P&I Payments	532,037	31,580	(F)	563,617
Debt Coverage Requirement	63,844	77,060	(G)	140,904
Total Revenue Requirement	3,501,008			3,866,966
Less: Other Operating Revenue	3,501,008 (53,400)			3,866,966 (53,400)
Less: Other Operating Revenue Non-operating Revenue	(53,400)			(53,400)
Less: Other Operating Revenue Non-operating Revenue Interest Income	(53,400) (9,400)			(53,400) (9,400)
Less: Other Operating Revenue Non-operating Revenue Interest Income Revenue Required from Rates	(53,400) (9,400) 3,438,208			(53,400) (9,400) 3,804,166

Reference

(B)

(A) Water purchase rate from Campbellsville was recalculated and subsequently reduced from \$3.36 per 1000 gallons to \$2.99 per 1000 gallons for 400,000 GPD.

Pension Expense (CERS) amount was increased by \$14,145 to a total for FY 2019 of \$132,145.

- (C) GIS Base Mapping Project and the annual licensing fee were added.
- (D) \$70,440 was added to cover on-going service contracts at the WTP.

Depreciation for Campbellsville transmission main, WTP filters, and clearwell have been added to total depreciation amount; adjustments were made to reflect additions from the

- (E) added to total depreciation amount; adjustments were made to reflect additions from the Depreciation Worksheet.
- (F) Final loan amount for Campbellsville project has been adjusted to reflect total loan of \$2,261,000.
- (G) Debt coverage was incorrectly calculated originally plus it needed to include the final amount of the Campbellsville loan.