COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ELECRONIC PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT OF THE WHOLESALEWATER SERVICE RATES OF LEBANON WATER WORKS CASE NO. 2017-00417

)

)

)

MARION COUNTY WATER DISTRICT CHARLES M. WHITE TESTIMONY ERRATA SHEET

Comes now Marion County Water District ("Marion District"), by counsel, and tenders an Errata Sheet consisting of updated pages for the direct testimony of Mr. Charles M. White, which was originally filed on May 14, 2018. The Errata Sheet includes highlighted corrections to the testimony of Mr. White, including: (1) using the water rate now in effect for calculating the value of the free water provided by the Lebanon Water Works Company ("LWWC") to the City of Lebanon, Kentucky; and (2) using the updated pricing for a 400,000 gallon per day water purchase by LWWC from Campbellsville. These changes are also reflected in the updated Exhibit CMW-6, which is also attached.

This 19th day of June, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Samford L. Allyson Honaker GOSS SAMFORD, PLLC 2365 Harrodsburg Road, Suite B-325 Lexington, Kentucky 40504 (859) 368-7740 david@gosssamfordlaw.com allyson@gosssamfordlaw.com

and

Kaelin G. Reed The Law Office of Mattingly & Nally-Martin, PLLC P.O. Box 678 104 West Main Street Lebanon, Kentucky 40033 (270) 692-1249 kaelin@mattinglylawoffices.com

Counsel for Marion County Water District

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that foregoing electronic filing is a true and accurate copy of the document being filed in paper medium; that the electronic filing was transmitted to the Commission on June 19, 2018; that there are currently no parties that the Commission has excused from participation by electronic means in this proceeding; and that a copy of the filing in paper medium is being hand delivered to the Commission within two business days.

Counsel for Marion County Water District

i	Q.	HAVE YOU ANALYZED THE COSTS OF THE CITY'S WATER AND
2		WHAT HAS THAT ANALYSIS REVEALED?
3	А.	The LWWC stated that they provided the City of Lebanon approximately
4		3,700,000 cubic feet of free water. While there is some trade-off of expenses for
5		treating of sludge and borrowing of equipment, these items do not appear to have
6		been accounted for within the ledger of the LWWC.
7 8		$3,700,000 \ge 7.480519 = 27,677,920$ gallons of water, with 7.480519 being the conversion rate for cubic feet to gallons
9 10		27,677,920 gallons x <u>\$4.4783/1000</u> (Rate Effective 04/16/18) = <u>\$123,950</u> of free water
11]2		<u>\$123,950</u> x 56.24% – <u>\$69,709</u> cost to MCWD, with 56.24% being the calculated percentage of water purchased by MCWD from LWWC
13		With MCWD being the largest customer of LWWC, they, by default, are paying
14		for more than half of the cost of production of the City's water.
15	Q.	HAVE YOU ANALYZED THE COSTS OF LWWC AS DESCRIBED IN
16		EXHIBIT 1-1 AND WHAT HAS THAT ANALYSIS REVEALED?
17	Α.	Our firm has noted the following three points that we believe to be key:
18		1) The prior three years of audited financial statements show an average of
19		\$35,445 of revenues per year collected from customers for penalties and late fees.
20		\$0 of revenue for this category was included in the calculation of the rate increase
21		proposal. MCWD also receives revenues from penaltics and late fees annually.
22		There is minimal fluctuation in the amount of revenues received for this category

1	from year to year. Thus, these revenues are general operating revenues and
2	should have been considered in the proposed rate increase calculation.
3	2) Concerning the purchase contract agreement with Campbellsville Water and
4	Sewer System (See Exhibit CMW-2):
5	a) It is noted that LWWC plans to purchase 400,000 gallons per day when they
6	are only required to purchase 300,000 per day. That results in an additional cost
7	of 27.670 per year to LWWC.
8	b) We have calculated 400,000 per day to be approximately 18% of the usage of
9	LWWC. If this percentage is accurate, then purchased power, pump station and
10	filter plant, and chemical costs should decrease by approximately 18%. However,
11	the cost savings projected by LWWC is only \$29,950 per Exhibit 1-1. This does
12	not seem to be a reasonable amount of savings from the water purchased from
13	Campbellsville Water and Sewer System. Based on the three cost categories
14	noted, an 18% savings would be \$85,051, which is \$55,101 more than what was
15	used in calculation of the rate increase.
16	3) Four Cost Categories That Do Not Appear to Be Reasonable (See Exhibit
17	CMW-1).
18	a) The three year average of Maintenance and Repair Costs is \$128,933. The Per

That's an increase of \$72,567 or 56.3%. With that type of increase, I question

19

20

7

Pro Forma used to calculate the rate increase lists those same costs at \$201,500.

	Testimony of Charles M. Whit Exhibit CMW Page 1 of
REVISED Summary of Findings	, all 2 2 2
Utility Operating Loss Per Pro Forma at Exhibit 1-1	(217,970
Decrease in Depreciation Expense of LWWC - Revised	59,927
Cost of "free" water to the City of Lebanon - Revised	123,950
Ommission of average revenue from penalties and late fees	35,445
	23,443
Cost of additional 100,000 gallons of water per day above the minimum - Revised	57,670
Expeted decrease in variable costs related to	
power, pump station and filter plant, and	25.054
chemical costs - Revised	85,051
Less: Amount of savings listed at Exhibit 1-1	<u>(29,950)</u> 55,101
Costs above the 3 year avereage	
(computed from the audited financial statements) as compared to the Pro Forma at Exhibit 1-1	
a) Maintenance & Repair Costs	72,567
b) Salaries	52,413
c) Outside Services	22,346
d) Miscellaneous Expenses	24,732 172,058
Adjusted Operating Income - Reviewd	

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

PROPOSED ADJUSTMENT OF THE WHOLESALE) WATER SERVICE RATES OF LEBANON WATER) WORKS) CASE NO. 2017-00417

VERIFICATION OF CHARLES M, WHITE

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COUNTY OF MARION

Charles M. White, Certified Public Accountant, White And Company, PSC, on behalf of Marion County Water District, being duly sworn, states that he has supervised the preparation of the Errata to his Direct Testimony in the above-styled matter, that he would respond in the same manner to the questions if so asked upon taking the stand, and that his testimony is true and accurate to the best of his knowledge, information, and belief formed after a reasonable inquiry.

)

)

Charles M. White

The foregoing Verification was signed, acknowledged and sworn to before me this 19^{4} day of June, 2018, by Charles M. White.

NOTARY PUBLIC, Notary # 56685 Commission expiration: