COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT)Case No.OF ITS ELECTRIC RATES AND FOR)2016-00370CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE))AND NECESSITYAND NECESSITY))andELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE)GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN)ADJUSTMENT OF ITS ELECTRIC AND GAS)2016-00371	In the Matter of: ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY)	
CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE) AND NECESSITY) and) ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE) GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN) Case No.		Case No.
AND NECESSITY) and ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE) GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN) Case No.	OF ITS ELECTRIC RATES AND FOR)	2016-00370
and ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE) GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN) Case No.	CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE)	
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE) GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN) Case No.	AND NECESSITY)	
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN) Case No.	and	
,	ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE)	
ADJUSTMENT OF ITS ELECTRIC AND GAS) 2016-00371	GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN)	Case No.
	,	2016-00371
RATES AND FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)	CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY)	

KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Kentucky Cable Telecommunications Association ("KCTA"), by counsel, hereby

submits the following responses to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information

("Requests").

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Janice Theriot</u> Laurence J. Zielke Janice Theriot Zielke Law Firm, PLLC 1250 Meidinger Tower 462 South 4th Street Louisville, KY 40202 (502) 589-4600

and

Gardner F. Gillespie (application for pro hac vice admission pending) Paul Werner (application for pro hac vice admission pending) Megan Grant (application for pro hac vice admission pending) Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Suite 100 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 747-1900 ggillespie@sheppardmullin.com pwerner@sheppardmullin.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Kentucky Cable Telecommunications Association's Responses to Commission Staff's Initial Requests for Information has been served on all parties of record via hand delivery, facsimile, or electronically this 31st day of March, 2017.

> /s/ Janice Theriot Janice Theriot

KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION CASE NOS. 2016-00370 and 2016-00371

KCTA's Responses to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated March 31, 2017

Responding Witness: Joseph H. Crone III

REQUEST NO. 1:

Refer to the Testimony of Joseph H. Crone III ("Crone Testimony"). For each

provision of the proposed Pole and Structure Attachment Charges ("PSA") tariff to which

KCTA objects, provide the practice currently in place.

RESPONSE:

For the purpose of this Request, KCTA notes that it is responding based on

information provided by Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"), but believes that

other cable operators that comprise KCTA's membership have practices and procedures

in place that are largely consistent with Charter's. KCTA objects to the PSA tariff terms

below and compares them to the practices currently in place:

Issue	PSA Tariff Term	Practice Currently in Place	
Application	The PSA Tariff includes a	The current tariff does not require	
Requirements	number of specific	pole loading analysis for every	
	requirements that Attachment	pole attachment permit application.	
	Customers must meet to apply	See Cable Television Attachment	
	to make attachments to	Charges ("CTAC") Tariff, Term &	
	Kentucky Utility Company	Condition 1. But for the time	
	("KU") and Louisville Gas and	period running up to the proposed	
	Electric Company ("LG&E")	tariff, LG&E only required Charter	
	(together, the "Companies")	to perform engineering analysis of	
	structures. Importantly, these	necessary make ready work for	
	requirements obligate	Charter's planned attachments; KU	
	Attachment Customers to	imposed no such requirement. In	
	conduct pole loading studies as	1 1	
	well as an analysis of make	began requiring Charter to perform	
	ready work and any space or	pole loading analysis for each of	
	engineering issues as part of	its attachment applications; again,	
	every attachment application.	KU still does not require pole	
	See PSA Tariff, Term &	loading. LG&E's new	
	Condition 7(a).	requirements are not necessary and	
		impose obligations in excess of	
		those permitted under the CTAC	
		Tariff. Indeed, it appears that	
		LG&E only began requiring	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

		Charten to nonforme note looding in	
		Charter to perform pole loading in	
		conjunction with its proposal of	
		the PSA Tariff, which inspired	
		LG&E preemptively to shift the	
		burden of pole loading from	
		LG&E to Attachment Customers	
		before the Commission had the	
		opportunity to review and pass on	
		the PSA Tariff. Charter has	
		conformed to LG&E's attachment	
		application requirements only to	
		avoid having its applications	
		· · · ·	
		denied or delayed. LG&E's practice is out of step with the	
		practice of other utilities in	
		1	
		Kentucky – including its sister	
		company, KU. These utilities	
		perform make ready and pole	
		loading engineering analyses	
		themselves as they – and LG&E –	
		have historically done.	
Overlash	Overlash attachments are not	The CTAC Tariff does not	
Attachments	considered a separate	explicitly address overlashing and,	
	attachment and are excluded	accordingly, imposes no conditions	
	from the Companies'	or application requirements for	
	attachment application	overlashing. But LG&E requires	
	procedures only under certain attachment applications for		
	limited conditions. See PSA		
	Tariff, Term & Condition 10. requires Charter to perform ma		
	In most instances of	ready engineering analysis and,	
	overlashing, these conditions	since around October 2016, to	
	will not be satisfied, and	perform pole loading for	
	Attachment Customers will be	overlashing. These requirements	
	required to comply with the	are not necessary and impose	
	PSA Tariff's full blown	obligations in excess of those	
	application procedures,	permitted under the CTAC Tariff.	
	including pole loading, prior to	Charter has complied with them to	
	• • • • •	-	
	installing a small and	date to ensure the timely	
	lightweight attachment that	deployment and expansion of its	
	takes no additional room on a	communications network. Other	
1	utility pole and simply runs	utilities in Kentucky, including	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	along an existing metal strand that has been attached under the regular application process.	KU, do not require Charter to perform make ready engineering and pole loading analyses for overlashing, and most utilities have expedited procedures for applications and permitting for overlashing.
Strand-Mounted Wi-Fi Facilities	Under the proposed tariff, strand-mounted Wi-Fi facilities are wireless attachments subject to full- blown application procedures. <i>See</i> PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 9(b). These attachments, however, are small and lightweight, do not take any pole space, and will not have any significant impact on pole loading unless the structure is at or near its loading capacity.	The current tariff does not address wireless attachments, but does permit "amplifier installations" as part of an existing attachment without additional permitting. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 2. Charter treats its strand- mounted Wi-Fi facilities like amplifier installations because they are about the same size, weight, and occupy similar positions on existing messenger strand. Accordingly, Charter does not submit attachment applications for strand-mounted Wi-Fi access points to LG&E, KU, or any other utilities in Kentucky.
Unauthorized Attachments	To identify "unauthorized attachments," the Companies propose to conduct visual inspections and deem "unauthorized" any attachments they count in the field that exceed the number of permitted attachments in their records for a given attacher. <i>See</i> PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 13; Response to KCTA's First Requests for Information No. 1-20. The PSA Tariff requires Attachment Customers to pay a penalty equal to double the then-current attachment charge	The current tariff allows the Companies to assess unauthorized attachments, and related charges, by determining the difference between the recorded attachment count and the number of attachments observed in the course of visual inspection. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 1. But the Companies may remove unauthorized attachments only after providing notice to the Attachment Customer. <i>See id.</i> In practice, Charter does not have first-hand knowledge of the method the Companies uses to identify unauthorized attachments.

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	for any unauthorized	But the Companies notify Charter
	attachments. See PSA Tariff,	of any unauthorized attachments
	Term & Condition 18. The	through letter or email identifying
	Attachment Customer is also	the specific attachments they deem
	required to submit an	unauthorized. This practice
	application and make any	provides Charter reasonable notice
	required payments within 30	and the ability to make its own
	days of the discovery of the	assessment as to whether or not a
	"unauthorized attachment." If	particular attachment was properly
	the Attachment Customer fails	permitted.
	to do so, the Companies may	permitted.
	remove the attachment at the	
	customer's expense. See id.	
	The problem with the	
	procedure the Companies	
	outline is that it does not	
	provide Attachment Customers	
	the ability to verify whether	
	the Companies' unauthorized	
	attachment findings are correct	
	or to challenge the Companies'	
	designation of any specific	
	attachment as unauthorized, as	
	necessary.	
Service Drops	The proposed tariff imposes	The current tariff permits
	additional onerous conditions	Attachment Customers to install up
	for deployment of service	to "four service drops to be tapped
	drops. Attachment Customers	on cable messenger strand and not
	must make written application	on pole" as part of an attachment.
	to permit service drops that do	CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition
	not meet certain conditions.	2. The CTAC Tariff does not
	See PSA Tariff, Term &	require Attachment Customers to
	Condition 7(i). Service drops	permit service drops. Accordingly,
	are made by construction	in practice, Charter does not
	personnel in the course of	submit applications to LG&E, KU,
	connecting customers to the	or to other utilities in Kentucky to
	cable network and therefore	permit service drops. Charter
	must be made quickly upon	installs drops on existing
	customer request for extension	messenger strand about 2.5 feet
	of service. Because it is often	from the Companies' poles and, in
	not known whether a service	some instances, installs an

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	attachment will be necessary before construction personnel are in the field to connect a customer to the network, adhering to the PSA Tariff's onerous application requirements to permit service drops will severely impair communications Attachment Customers' abilities timely to meet their customers' service needs.	additional attachment through a "helper pole," using a P-hook or a pole top extension fixture – just like those used by telephone companies – in order to connect a customer to Charter's network. Because service drops are smaller, lighter, and have a minimal impact on loading because they run from an attachment or pole to a customer's premise, Charter makes post-installation notice of service drops, and the Companies may inspect the attachment if it so desires. Pre-attachment notice is often infeasible as Charter does not usually know in advance which addresses along a particular route will request service.	
Charges to Attachment	The proposed tariff does not obligate the Companies to	The existing tariff does not clearly address the cost information the	
Customers for	provide any cost information	address the cost information the Companies must provide as part of	
Work LG&E	to back up the charges listed	their invoices. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff,	
Performs	on an invoice to Attachment	Term & Condition 5. In practice,	
	Customers. See PSA Tariff,	the Companies provide no	
	Term & Condition 7(b), 7(d),	supporting documentation for their	
	& 8(g). Without such	invoices and do not break out	
	documentation, Attachment	invoices to show the actual cost of	
	Customers are unable to assess		
	the need for the work	other charges imposed. This has	
	performed under the invoice or	led to situations in which Charter	
	the reasonableness of the	has identified errors where the	
	charges imposed.	Companies billed Charter for	
		unnecessary work. However, because of the scant information	
		the Companies provide in their	
		invoices, Charter cannot determine	
		whether the invoiced amounts are	
		based on actual costs to perform	
		the work for which the Companies	
		charge Charter. Instead, Charter	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

Monitoring & Inspection of Attachment Placement	The PSA Tariff provides the Companies discretion to require monitoring of construction or inspection of construction and to charge Attachment Customers the costs of any monitoring or inspection. <i>See</i> PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 8(g).	must use its best estimates to determine if the charges appear reasonable for work performed. As a practical matter, Charter must pay invoiced amounts – whatever they are – for its projects to proceed. While the Companies intermittently inspect Charter's construction and attachment installations, they do not purport to reserve the right to charge Charter for such inspections. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 8. This practice, unlike the proposed PSA tariff is consistent with Administrative Case No. 251, which provides that utilities must conduct periodic inspections of facilities and additional payment by customers for such inspection is inappropriate. <i>See</i> Administrative Case No. 251, 49 P.U.R.4th 128 (1982). Other utilities in Kentucky also bear the cost of their own periodic inspections.	
Maintaining &	The PSA Tariff not only	The current tariff requires	
Repairing	requires third party Attachment	Attachment Customers to bear the	
Attachments	Customers to repair out of	cost of the out of specification	
	specification attachments	conditions they cause. <i>See</i> CTAC	
	caused by their own	Tariff, Term & Conditions 3-4.	
	construction but also to repair	This policy is consistent with the	
	and pay for damage to their	Commission's guidance, which	
	facilities caused by other	permits pole owners to require	
	attachers, including LG&E and	Attachment Customers to pay the	
	KU. See PSA Tariff, Terms &	costs of repairing substandard	
	Conditions $8(j)$, $8(k)$. The	installations "which are not created	
	PSA Tariff does not contain	by the utility but by the CATV	
	any mechanism to ensure that	operator." Administrative Case	
	the entity that causes an out of	No. 251, 49 P.U.R.4th 128 (1982).	
	specification condition is	In practice, the Companies	
	specification condition is	in practice, the companies	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	responsible for correcting the	dedicate few resources to	
	condition. This is problematic	identifying the attacher responsible	
	because attachments are rarely	for an out of specification	
	installed out of specification	condition. In many cases, when	
	and generally fall out of	LG&E or KU submits a request to	
	specification because of	Charter to perform make ready or	
	activities on the pole by	corrections on its attachments,	
	another attacher, such as when	Charter can easily determine that a	
	another attacher installs	third party or LG&E or KU is	
	additional facilities on a pole	responsible for the out of	
	(such as a street light) or	specification condition, not	
	otherwise moves or adjusts its	Charter. But Charter has	
	attachments.	effectively no recourse to require	
		the attacher that caused the	
		noncompliant condition to bear the	
		cost of the necessary repair work.	
Discretion to	Under the proposed tariff, the	The Companies do not currently	
Deny	Companies reserve discretion	purport to have authority to deny	
Attachment	to deny attachment	attachment applications for any	
Applications	applications for any "good	"good reason." See CTAC Tariff,	
	reason." PSA Tariff, Term &	Terms & Conditions, Preface.	
	Condition 7(c). This term is	Charter is aware of no instances in which an attachment application	
	unjust and unreasonable	which an attachment application	
	because it provides the	was denied for any reason other	
	Companies an open-ended,	than capacity, safety, reliability, or	
	unilateral right to deny	engineering standards, and the	
	Attachment Customers access	Companies submitted no evidence	
	to essential pole structures.	indicating that adhering to this	
		standard has caused problems of	
Construction	The Companies' proposed	any kind. The existing tariff sets forth the	
Requirements &	requirement that attachments	The existing tariff sets forth the standards and specifications	
Guidelines	must be constructed so that the	Attachment Customers must	
Suruemus	utility is "reasonably satisfied"		
	is unworkable and provides	attachments. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff,	
	insufficient notice and	Term & Condition 3. Charter	
	guidance to Attachment	relies on the National Electrical	
	Customers about the applicable	Safety Code, the Companies'	
	construction standards. See	construction standards, and the	
	PSA Tariff, Term & Condition	Commission's guidance, as well as	
	8(b).	its internal specifications in	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

		constructing and maintaining its	
		facilities.	
Billing &	The PSA Tariff permits the	Under the current tariff, the	
Remedy	Companies to remove	Companies may not remove	
Available to	Attachment Customers'		
		attachments where there is a good	
LG&E in the	attachments based on non-	faith billing dispute. Charter has	
Event of a Billing	payment, even in the case of	withheld payment in the past	
Dispute	good faith billing disputes. "If	pending resolution of a billing	
	the Attachment Customer fails	dispute, and the Companies did not	
	to pay all charges and fees	move to remove Charter's	
	billed within six months of the	attachments based on non-	
	bill's issuance, the Company	payment.	
	may remove any or all of		
	Attachment Customer's		
	Attachments." PSA Tariff,		
	Billing.		
Assignment	The PSA Tariff purports to	The CTAC Tariff also limits	
0	require LG&E's and KU's	Charter's ability to assign and	
	permission for internal	transfer its rights under the tariff,	
	corporate reorganizations.	though in slightly different terms	
	"Except as provided in this	as the PSA Tariff. See CTAC	
	Schedule, Attachment	Tariff, Term & Condition 16. But	
	Customer's rights under the	in practice, Charter has not sought	
	Attachment Customer	approval for a transfer or	
	Agreement are non-delegable,		
	non-transferable and non-	Charter has, however, undertaken	
	assignable." PSA Tariff, Term	internal restructurings for which it	
	& Condition 4.	has not provided the Companies	
	a condition 4.	advance notice or obtained	
		permission. Charter provided the	
		Companies notice after the fact,	
		and this procedure caused no harm	
T = == : = =		to them.	
Tagging	"Any Attachments existing as		
	of the date of execution of	a tagging requirement. But	
	Attachment Customer	Charter nevertheless tags its new	
	Agreement shall be tagged	fiber attachments. When Charter	
	within 180 days of the date of	conducts inspections of its	
	the Agreement." PSA Tariff,	facilities, it tags any "untagged"	
	Term & Condition 8(c). The	fiber facilities that it identifies.	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	deadline for tagging is an unusual requirement because it is generally treated as a maintenance issue addressed in the normal course where an untagged attachment is identified by the Attachment Customer or the pole owner.	This is Charter's practice throughout Kentucky. Neither of the Companies have previously sought to impose penalties on Charter for untagged attachments or to impose any time limit on when facilities must be tagged.
Indemnification	The PSA Tariff requires Attachment Customers to indemnify LG&E and KU but denies Attachment Customers the right to select their own counsel and control the defense of the claim. <i>See</i> PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 17. This approach is unjust and unreasonable because the Companies do not necessarily share the same interests as the Attachment Customer in handing and disposing of an indemnifiable claim.	The current tariff imposes extensive indemnification obligations on Attachment Customers but does not afford Attachment Customers the right to assume the defense of and select counsel to defend against any claim for which they may ultimately be responsible. <i>See</i> CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 10. Charter often seeks to intervene in or to assume the defense of claims for which it has an indemnification obligation.

CASE NO. 2016-00371

Response to Commission Staff's Requests for Information Dated March 31, 2017

Responding Witness: Joseph H. Crone III

REQUEST NO. 2:

Refer to the Crone Testimony, page 33. Provide the amount of increase Charter will receive under the proposed PSA tariff. Include in the response the supporting calculations.

RESPONSE:

In addition to other services Charter takes from LG&E and KU, such as lighting, Charter will incur greater costs for electric Power Service. Charter takes secondary Power Service from KU. Under the proposed electric tariff, the Basic Service Charge of \$90 will remain the same as will the per kWh Energy Charge, but the Demand Charge will increase by about eight percent from \$19.05 to \$20.71 in the summer and from \$16.95 to \$18.43 in the winter. *See* KU Application, Tab 5, Filing Requirement 807 KAR 5:001 Section 16(1)(b)(4), Attachment at 14. The Demand Charge makes up the largest portion of the costs Charter incurs in connection with Power Service. While Charter does not have annualized data related to its Power Service costs readily available, Charter estimates that even if its monthly usage only warrants application of the base demand minimum of 50 kWh, Charter's monthly electric Power Service costs will increase by about five percent on average.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	
2)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, **Joseph H. Crone III**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Senior Director of Regional Construction for Charter Communications in the Southern Ohio Region, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and exhibits, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Jøseph H Crone III

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public, in and before said County and State,

this <u>30</u> day of <u>March</u>, 2017.

Jamaro Neuson (SEAL)

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:



TAMARA NEWSOM Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 11-13-2021