COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:		
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF KENTUCKY))	
UTILITIES COMPANY FOR AN ADJUSTMENT))	Case No.
OF ITS ELECTRIC RATES AND FOR)	2016-00370
CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE)	
AND NECESSITY)	
and		
ELECTRONIC ADDITION OF LOUISVILLE		
ELECTRONIC APPLICATION OF LOUISVILLE))	
GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY FOR AN)	Case No.
ADJUSTMENT OF ITS ELECTRIC AND GAS)	2016-00371
RATES AND FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC))	
CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY))	

KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION'S RESPONSES TO COMMISSION STAFF'S INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION

Kentucky Cable Telecommunications Association ("KCTA"), by counsel, hereby submits the following responses to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information ("Requests").

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Janice Theriot

Laurence J. Zielke Janice Theriot Zielke Law Firm, PLLC 1250 Meidinger Tower 462 South 4th Street Louisville, KY 40202 (502) 589-4600

and

Gardner F. Gillespie (application for pro hac vice admission pending)
Paul Werner (application for pro hac vice admission pending)
Megan Grant (application for pro hac vice admission pending)
Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP 2099 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 100
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 747-1900
ggillespie@sheppardmullin.com
pwerner@sheppardmullin.com
mgrant@sheppardmullin.com

ATTORNEYS FOR THE KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Kentucky Cable

Telecommunications Association's Responses to Commission Staff's Initial Requests for

Information has been served on all parties of record via hand delivery, facsimile, or
electronically this 31st day of March, 2017.

/s/ Janice Theriot
Janice Theriot

KENTUCKY CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION CASE NOS. 2016-00370 and 2016-00371

KCTA's Responses to Commission Staff's Initial Request for Information Dated March 31, 2017

Responding Witness: Joseph H. Crone III

REQUEST NO. 1:

Refer to the Testimony of Joseph H. Crone III ("Crone Testimony"). For each provision of the proposed Pole and Structure Attachment Charges ("PSA") tariff to which KCTA objects, provide the practice currently in place.

RESPONSE:

For the purpose of this Request, KCTA notes that it is responding based on information provided by Charter Communications, Inc. ("Charter"), but believes that other cable operators that comprise KCTA's membership have practices and procedures in place that are largely consistent with Charter's. KCTA objects to the PSA tariff terms below and compares them to the practices currently in place:

Issue	PSA Tariff Term	Practice Currently in Place	
Application	The PSA Tariff includes a	The current tariff does not require	
Requirements	number of specific	pole loading analysis for every	
	requirements that Attachment	pole attachment permit application.	
	Customers must meet to apply	See Cable Television Attachment	
	to make attachments to	Charges ("CTAC") Tariff, Term &	
	Kentucky Utility Company	Condition 1. But for the time	
	("KU") and Louisville Gas and	period running up to the proposed	
	Electric Company ("LG&E")	tariff, LG&E only required Charter	
	(together, the "Companies")	to perform engineering analysis of	
	structures. Importantly, these	necessary make ready work for	
	requirements obligate	Charter's planned attachments; KU	
	Attachment Customers to	imposed no such requirement. In	
	conduct pole loading studies as	or around October 2016, LG&E	
	well as an analysis of make	began requiring Charter to perform	
	ready work and any space or	pole loading analysis for each of	
	engineering issues as part of	its attachment applications; again,	
	every attachment application.	KU still does not require pole	
	See PSA Tariff, Term &	loading. LG&E's new	
	Condition 7(a).	requirements are not necessary and	
		impose obligations in excess of	
		those permitted under the CTAC	
		Tariff. Indeed, it appears that	
		LG&E only began requiring	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	T		
		Charter to perform pole loading in	
		conjunction with its proposal of	
		the PSA Tariff, which inspired	
		LG&E preemptively to shift the	
		burden of pole loading from	
		LG&E to Attachment Customers	
		before the Commission had the	
		opportunity to review and pass on	
		the PSA Tariff. Charter has	
		conformed to LG&E's attachment	
		application requirements only to	
		avoid having its applications	
		denied or delayed. LG&E's	
		practice is out of step with the	
		practice of other utilities in	
		Kentucky – including its sister	
		company, KU. These utilities	
		perform make ready and pole	
		loading engineering analyses	
		themselves as they – and LG&E –	
		have historically done.	
Overlash	Overlash attachments are not	The CTAC Tariff does not	
Attachments	considered a separate	explicitly address overlashing and,	
	attachment and are excluded	accordingly, imposes no conditions	
	from the Companies'	or application requirements for	
	attachment application	overlashing. But LG&E requires	
	procedures only under certain	<u> </u>	
	limited conditions. See PSA	* *	
	Tariff, Term & Condition 10.	\mathcal{E}	
	In most instances of	ready engineering analysis and,	
	overlashing, these conditions		
	will not be satisfied, and	perform pole loading for	
	Attachment Customers will be	overlashing. These requirements	
	required to comply with the	are not necessary and impose	
	PSA Tariff's full blown	obligations in excess of those	
	application procedures,	permitted under the CTAC Tariff.	
	including pole loading, prior to	Charter has complied with them to	
	installing a small and	date to ensure the timely	
	lightweight attachment that	deployment and expansion of its	
	takes no additional room on a	communications network. Other	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	along an existing metal strand	KU, do not require Charter to	
	that has been attached under	perform make ready engineering	
	the regular application process.	and pole loading analyses for	
		overlashing, and most utilities	
		have expedited procedures for	
		applications and permitting for	
		overlashing.	
Strand-Mounted	Under the proposed tariff,	The current tariff does not address	
Wi-Fi Facilities	strand-mounted Wi-Fi	wireless attachments, but does	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	facilities are wireless	permit "amplifier installations" as	
	attachments subject to full-	part of an existing attachment	
	blown application procedures.	without additional permitting. See	
	See PSA Tariff, Term &	CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition	
	Condition 9(b). These	2. Charter treats its strand-	
	attachments, however, are	mounted Wi-Fi facilities like	
	small and lightweight, do not	amplifier installations because they	
	take any pole space, and will	are about the same size, weight,	
	not have any significant impact	and occupy similar positions on	
	on pole loading unless the	existing messenger strand.	
	structure is at or near its	Accordingly, Charter does not	
	loading capacity.	submit attachment applications for	
	rouding capacity.	strand-mounted Wi-Fi access	
		points to LG&E, KU, or any other	
		utilities in Kentucky.	
Unauthorized	To identify "unauthorized	The current tariff allows the	
Attachments	attachments," the Companies	Companies to assess unauthorized	
11ttuciiiiciitis	propose to conduct visual	attachments, and related charges,	
	inspections and deem	by determining the difference	
	"unauthorized" any	between the recorded attachment	
	attachments they count in the	count and the number of	
	field that exceed the number of	attachments observed in the course	
	permitted attachments in their	of visual inspection. See CTAC	
	records for a given attacher.	Tariff, Term & Condition 1. But	
	See PSA Tariff, Term &	the Companies may remove	
	Condition 13; Response to	¥ ***	
	=	•	
	-	1	
	-	-	
		l G	
	KCTA's First Requests for Information No. 1-20. The PSA Tariff requires Attachment Customers to pay a penalty equal to double the then-current attachment charge	unauthorized attachments only after providing notice to the Attachment Customer. See id. In practice, Charter does not have first-hand knowledge of the method the Companies uses to identify unauthorized attachments.	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

Response to Commission Staff's Requests for Information Dated March 31, 2017

for any unauthorized attachments. See PSA Tariff. Term & Condition 18. The Attachment Customer is also required to submit an application and make any required payments within 30 days of the discovery of the "unauthorized attachment." If the Attachment Customer fails to do so, the Companies may remove the attachment at the customer's expense. See id. The problem with the procedure the Companies outline is that it does not provide Attachment Customers the ability to verify whether the Companies' unauthorized attachment findings are correct or to challenge the Companies' designation of any specific attachment as unauthorized, as necessary.

But the Companies notify Charter of any unauthorized attachments through letter or email identifying the specific attachments they deem unauthorized. This practice provides Charter reasonable notice and the ability to make its own assessment as to whether or not a particular attachment was properly permitted.

Service Drops

The proposed tariff imposes additional onerous conditions for deployment of service drops. Attachment Customers must make written application to permit service drops that do not meet certain conditions. See PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 7(i). Service drops are made by construction personnel in the course of connecting customers to the cable network and therefore must be made quickly upon customer request for extension of service. Because it is often not known whether a service

The current tariff permits Attachment Customers to install up to "four service drops to be tapped on cable messenger strand and not on pole" as part of an attachment. CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 2. The CTAC Tariff does not require Attachment Customers to permit service drops. Accordingly, in practice, Charter does not submit applications to LG&E, KU, or to other utilities in Kentucky to permit service drops. Charter installs drops on existing messenger strand about 2.5 feet from the Companies' poles and, in some instances, installs an

CASE NO. 2016-00371

Response to Commission Staff's Requests for Information Dated March 31, 2017

attachment will be necessary before construction personnel are in the field to connect a customer to the network, adhering to the PSA Tariff's onerous application requirements to permit service drops will severely impair communications Attachment Customers' abilities timely to meet their customers' service needs.

additional attachment through a "helper pole," using a P-hook or a pole top extension fixture – just like those used by telephone companies – in order to connect a customer to Charter's network. Because service drops are smaller, lighter, and have a minimal impact on loading because they run from an attachment or pole to a customer's premise, Charter makes post-installation notice of service drops, and the Companies may inspect the attachment if it so desires. Pre-attachment notice is often infeasible as Charter does not usually know in advance which addresses along a particular route will request service.

Charges to Attachment Customers for Work LG&E Performs

The proposed tariff does not obligate the Companies to provide any cost information to back up the charges listed on an invoice to Attachment Customers. *See* PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 7(b), 7(d), & 8(g). Without such documentation, Attachment Customers are unable to assess the need for the work performed under the invoice or the reasonableness of the charges imposed.

The existing tariff does not clearly address the cost information the Companies must provide as part of their invoices. See CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 5. In practice, the Companies provide no supporting documentation for their invoices and do not break out invoices to show the actual cost of materials, labor, overhead, and other charges imposed. This has led to situations in which Charter has identified errors where the Companies billed Charter for unnecessary work. However, because of the scant information the Companies provide in their invoices. Charter cannot determine whether the invoiced amounts are based on actual costs to perform the work for which the Companies charge Charter. Instead, Charter

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	T	
Monitoring & Inspection of Attachment Placement	The PSA Tariff provides the Companies discretion to require monitoring of construction or inspection of construction and to charge Attachment Customers the costs of any monitoring or inspection. See PSA Tariff, Term & Condition 8(g).	must use its best estimates to determine if the charges appear reasonable for work performed. As a practical matter, Charter must pay invoiced amounts – whatever they are – for its projects to proceed. While the Companies intermittently inspect Charter's construction and attachment installations, they do not purport to reserve the right to charge Charter for such inspections. See CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition 8. This practice, unlike the proposed PSA tariff is consistent with Administrative Case No. 251, which provides that utilities must conduct periodic inspections of facilities and additional payment by customers for such inspection is inappropriate. See Administrative Case No. 251, 49 P.U.R.4th 128 (1982). Other utilities in Kentucky also bear the cost of their own periodic inspections.
Maintaining & Repairing Attachments	The PSA Tariff not only requires third party Attachment Customers to repair out of specification attachments caused by their own construction but also to repair and pay for damage to their facilities caused by other attachers, including LG&E and KU. See PSA Tariff, Terms &	The current tariff requires Attachment Customers to bear the cost of the out of specification conditions they cause. See CTAC Tariff, Term & Conditions 3-4. This policy is consistent with the Commission's guidance, which permits pole owners to require Attachment Customers to pay the costs of repairing substandard
	Conditions 8(j), 8(k). The PSA Tariff does not contain any mechanism to ensure that the entity that causes an out of specification condition is	installations "which are not created by the utility but by the CATV operator." Administrative Case No. 251, 49 P.U.R.4th 128 (1982). In practice, the Companies

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	responsible for correcting the	dedicate few resources to	
	condition. This is problematic	identifying the attacher responsible	
	because attachments are rarely	for an out of specification	
	installed out of specification	condition. In many cases, when	
	and generally fall out of	LG&E or KU submits a request to	
	specification because of	Charter to perform make ready or	
	activities on the pole by	corrections on its attachments,	
	another attacher, such as when	Charter can easily determine that a	
	another attacher installs	third party or LG&E or KU is	
	additional facilities on a pole	responsible for the out of	
	(such as a street light) or	specification condition, not	
	otherwise moves or adjusts its	Charter. But Charter has	
	attachments.	effectively no recourse to require	
		the attacher that caused the	
		noncompliant condition to bear the	
		cost of the necessary repair work.	
Discretion to	Under the proposed tariff, the	The Companies do not currently	
Deny	Companies reserve discretion	purport to have authority to deny	
Attachment	to deny attachment	attachment applications for any	
Applications	applications for any "good	"good reason." See CTAC Tariff,	
	reason." PSA Tariff, Term &		
	Condition 7(c). This term is	Charter is aware of no instances in	
	unjust and unreasonable	which an attachment application	
	because it provides the	was denied for any reason other	
	Companies an open-ended,	than capacity, safety, reliability, or	
	unilateral right to deny	engineering standards, and the	
	Attachment Customers access	Companies submitted no evidence	
	to essential pole structures.	indicating that adhering to this	
	_	standard has caused problems of	
		any kind.	
Construction	The Companies' proposed	The existing tariff sets forth the	
Requirements &	requirement that attachments	standards and specifications	
Guidelines	must be constructed so that the	Attachment Customers must	
	utility is "reasonably satisfied"		
	is unworkable and provides	attachments. See CTAC Tariff,	
	insufficient notice and	Term & Condition 3. Charter	
	guidance to Attachment	relies on the National Electrical	
	Customers about the applicable	ole Safety Code, the Companies'	
	construction standards. See	construction standards, and the	
	PSA Tariff, Term & Condition	Commission's guidance, as well as	
	8(b).	its internal specifications in	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

		constructing and maintaining its	
		facilities.	
Billing &	The PSA Tariff permits the	Under the current tariff, the	
Remedy	Companies to remove	Companies may not remove	
Available to	Attachment Customers'	attachments where there is a good	
LG&E in the	attachments based on non-	faith billing dispute. Charter has	
Event of a Billing	payment, even in the case of	withheld payment in the past	
Dispute	good faith billing disputes. "If	pending resolution of a billing	
_	the Attachment Customer fails	dispute, and the Companies did n	
	to pay all charges and fees	move to remove Charter's	
	billed within six months of the	attachments based on non-	
	bill's issuance, the Company	payment.	
	may remove any or all of		
	Attachment Customer's		
	Attachments." PSA Tariff,		
	Billing.		
Assignment	The PSA Tariff purports to	The CTAC Tariff also limits	
	require LG&E's and KU's	Charter's ability to assign and	
	permission for internal	transfer its rights under the tariff,	
	corporate reorganizations.	though in slightly different terms	
	"Except as provided in this	as the PSA Tariff. See CTAC	
	Schedule, Attachment	Tariff, Term & Condition 16. But	
	Customer's rights under the	in practice, Charter has not sought	
	Attachment Customer	approval for a transfer or	
	Agreement are non-delegable,		
	non-transferable and non-	Charter has, however, undertaken	
	assignable." PSA Tariff, Term	internal restructurings for which it	
	& Condition 4.	has not provided the Companies	
		advance notice or obtained	
		permission. Charter provided the	
		Companies notice after the fact,	
		and this procedure caused no harm	
		to them.	
Tagging	"Any Attachments existing as	The CTAC Tariff does not include	
	of the date of execution of	a tagging requirement. But	
	Attachment Customer	Charter nevertheless tags its new	
	Agreement shall be tagged	fiber attachments. When Charter	
	within 180 days of the date of	=	
	the Agreement." PSA Tariff,	facilities, it tags any "untagged"	
	Term & Condition 8(c). The	fiber facilities that it identifies.	

CASE NO. 2016-00371

	deadline for tagging is an	This is Charter's practice	
	unusual requirement because it	throughout Kentucky. Neither of	
	is generally treated as a	the Companies have previously	
	maintenance issue addressed in	sought to impose penalties on	
	the normal course where an	Charter for untagged attachments	
	untagged attachment is	or to impose any time limit on	
	identified by the Attachment	when facilities must be tagged.	
	Customer or the pole owner.		
Indemnification	The PSA Tariff requires	The current tariff imposes	
	Attachment Customers to	extensive indemnification	
	indemnify LG&E and KU but	obligations on Attachment	
	denies Attachment Customers	Customers but does not afford	
	the right to select their own	Attachment Customers the right to	
	counsel and control the	assume the defense of and select	
	defense of the claim. See PSA	counsel to defend against any	
	Tariff, Term & Condition 17.	claim for which they may	
	This approach is unjust and	ultimately be responsible. <i>See</i>	
	unreasonable because the	CTAC Tariff, Term & Condition	
	Companies do not necessarily	10. Charter often seeks to	
	share the same interests as the	intervene in or to assume the	
	Attachment Customer in	defense of claims for which it has	
	handing and disposing of an	an indemnification obligation.	
	indemnifiable claim.		

CASE NO. 2016-00371

Response to Commission Staff's Requests for Information Dated March 31, 2017

Responding Witness: Joseph H. Crone III

REQUEST NO. 2:

Refer to the Crone Testimony, page 33. Provide the amount of increase Charter will receive under the proposed PSA tariff. Include in the response the supporting calculations.

RESPONSE:

In addition to other services Charter takes from LG&E and KU, such as lighting, Charter will incur greater costs for electric Power Service. Charter takes secondary Power Service from KU. Under the proposed electric tariff, the Basic Service Charge of \$90 will remain the same as will the per kWh Energy Charge, but the Demand Charge will increase by about eight percent from \$19.05 to \$20.71 in the summer and from \$16.95 to \$18.43 in the winter. *See* KU Application, Tab 5, Filing Requirement 807 KAR 5:001 Section 16(1)(b)(4), Attachment at 14. The Demand Charge makes up the largest portion of the costs Charter incurs in connection with Power Service. While Charter does not have annualized data related to its Power Service costs readily available, Charter estimates that even if its monthly usage only warrants application of the base demand minimum of 50 kWh, Charter's monthly electric Power Service costs will increase by about five percent on average.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	SS.
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	55.

The undersigned, **Joseph H. Crone III**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is the Senior Director of Regional Construction for Charter Communications in the Southern Ohio Region, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony and exhibits, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge, and belief.

Jøseph H. Crone III

Jamas Newson (SEAL)
Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

