

Licking Valley Rural Electric Cooperative
Case No. 2016-00174
Commission Staff's Third Request for Data

12. Refer to Licking Valley's response to Staff's Second Request, Item 26, and to the application in Case No. 2016-00169,¹ Exhibit 8. Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. identified the sales tax audit costs as an item that is normally excluded for ratemaking purposes. Explain why the allocated sales tax cost should be treated differently in this instant case.

Response: James Adkins

Upon further review, Licking Valley should have removed the \$15,896.39 for rate-making purposes from this application.

¹ Case No. 2016-00169, Application of Cumberland Valley Electric, Inc. for a General Adjustment of Rates.