COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

The Application of Duke Energy Kentucky,) Inc., for (1) a Certificate of Public) Convenience and Necessity Authorizing) the Construction of an Advanced Metering) Infrastructure; (2) Request for Accounting) Treatment; and (3) All Other Necessary) Waivers, Approvals, and Relief.)

Case No. 2016-00152

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

PEGGY LAUB

ON BEHALF OF

DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE	1
II.	DISCUSSION	3
Ш.	CONCLUSION	9

PEGGY A. LAUB DIRECT

PAGE

I. INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

1	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.	
2	A.	My name is Peggy A. Laub. My business address is 139 East Fourth Street, Cincinnati,	
3		Ohio 45202.	
4	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?	
5	А.	I am employed by Duke Energy Business Services LLC, (DEBS) an affiliate service	
6		company of Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., (Duke Energy Kentucky or Company) as	
7		Director, Rates and Regulatory Planning. DEBS provides various administrative and	
8		other services to Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc., (Duke Energy Kentucky or the Company)	
9		and other affiliated companies of Duke Energy Corporation (Duke Energy).	
10	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGOUND AND	
11		PROFESSIONAL EXPERIENCE.	
12	А.	I received a Bachelor of Business Administration Degree with a major in accounting	
13		from the University of Cincinnati. I began my career with The Cincinnati Gas & Electric	
14		Company in the Accounting Department 1981. I worked in various departments	
15		including Tax, Regulated Business Unit's financial group and Fixed Assets. In May	
16		2006, following the merger with Duke Energy Corporation, I transferred to the Midwest	
17		US Franchised Electric & Gas accounting group. In November 2008, I transferred to the	
18		Midwest wholesale accounting group as Manager of Wholesale and Bulk Power	
19		Marketing accounting. In May 2010, I transferred to the Rate Department and to my	
20		current position as Director, Rates & Regulatory Planning in the Ohio/Kentucky Rate	
21		Department.	

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR DUTIES AS DIRECTOR OF RATES AND REGULATORY PLANNING.

A. As Director of Rates and Regulatory Planning, I am responsible for the preparation of
 financial and accounting data used in Duke Energy Kentucky and Duke Energy Ohio,
 Inc. retail rate filings and changes in various other rate recovery mechanisms.

6 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC 7 SERVICE COMMISSION (COMMISSION)?

8 A. Yes. I have previously testified in a number of cases before this and other regulatory
9 commissions.

10 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

11 The purpose of my testimony is to support the Company's proposal to deploy an A. 12 Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI) for its electric and combination electric and natural gas operations and to install an Automated Meter Reading (AMR) solution for the 13 Company's natural gas-only customers (Metering Upgrade). This Metering Upgrade will 14 15 be deployed to all Duke Energy customers with the exception of large commercial and 16 industrial customers who already have some form of an advanced meter. I discuss how 17 the Company will finance the initiatives, the likely rate impacts to customers, and the 18 accounting treatment Duke Energy Kentucky is requesting for the Metering Upgrade, 19 including the depreciation rates related to the electric meters, and gas modules along with 20 the accounting treatment of the old metering equipment and associated inventories.

PEGGY A. LAUB DIRECT 2

II. DISCUSSION

1	Q.	PLEASE BRIEFLY SUMMARIZE THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION IN THIS		
2		PROCEEDING.		
3	Α.	Duke Energy Kentucky is requesting approval through a Certificate of Public		
4		Convenience and Necessity (CPCN) to implement a Metering Upgrade throughout its		
5		entire service territory. The Company is also requesting specific accounting treatment		
6		and necessary waivers to enable the Metering Upgrade deployment and anticipated		
7		benefits.		
8	Q.	WILL THE CONSTRUCTION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF THE METERING		
9		UPGRADE MATERIALLY IMPACT DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY'S		
10		FINANCIAL CONDITION?		
11	Α.	Yes. The financial impact of the Metering Upgrade for the gas and electric businesses		
12		will materially affect Duke Energy Kentucky's financial condition, especially if the		
13		requested accounting treatment is not approved. Duke Energy Kentucky intends to		
14		eventually recover the costs of the Metering Upgrade through future base rate		
15		proceedings.		
16	Q.	WHAT ARE THE ESTIMATED COSTS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF THE		
17		METERING UPGRADE?		
18	A.	Based upon information provided by Mr. Schneider, the total estimated cost of		
19		construction and deployment of the Metering Upgrade for electric and gas operations is		
20		approximately \$38 million for electric and \$11 million for natural gas with a combined		
21		total project cost of approximately \$49 million.		

1 Q. HOV

HOW IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING TO FINANCE THE METERING

2 UPGRADE CONSTRUCTION?

- A. The Company is proposing to finance the Metering Upgrade through continuing
 operations and, if necessary, through debt issuances.
- 5 Q. WILL THERE BE AN IMMEDIATE IMPACT TO CUSTOMER RATES WITH
 6 THE METERING UPGRADE?
- 7 A. No. While the Company will seek to include the cost of the Metering Upgrade in its rates
 8 at some point, the Company is not seeking such authority in this application.
- 9 Q. WHAT IS THE EXPECTED USEFUL LIFE OF THE NEW ELECTRIC METERS
 10 AND WHAT DEPRECIATION RATE DO YOU PROPOSE FOR THESE NEW
 11 METERS?
- 12 A. The expected life is 15 years, and the Company proposes to use a depreciation rate that is
- 13 based on this expected useful life. Because this is a new type of meter that was not
- 14 included in the Company's depreciation study in Case No. 2006-072, the Company is
- 15 requesting the approval of a new depreciation rate of 6.67%. This rate is based on the
- 16 expected 15 year life which is consistent with the life used in other jurisdictions and what
- 17 this Commission has approved for similar types of advanced metering deployments in
- 18 recent years.
- 19

I am aware of this Commission approving similar service lives for AMI

20 deployments for Kenergy¹.

¹ See e.g. In the Matter of Application of Kenergy Corp. For an Approval Issuing A Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to Install an Automated Metering and Infrastructure System, Case No. 2014-00376, (Order at 7) (February 24, 2015) approving a 15 year life; In the Matter of the Application of Nolin Electric Cooperative Corporation for an Order pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001 and KRS 278.020 Requesting the Granting of a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Install an AMI System, Case No. 2014-00436 (Order at 12) (February 13, 2015) approving a 12 year depreciable life.

1 Q. WHAT IS THE EXPECTED USEFUL LIFE OF THE NEW GAS MODULES AND 2 WHAT DEPRECIATION RATE DO YOU PROPOSE FOR THESE NEW 3 MODULES?

A. The Company's expected life for these gas modules is 9 years, and the Company
proposes to use a depreciation rate that is based on that expected useful life. Because this
is a new type of communication equipment that was not included in the Company's
depreciation study submitted as part of base natural gas rates in Case No. 2009-2022, the
Company is requesting the approval of a new depreciation rate of 11.11%. This rate is
based on the expected 9 year life. This equipment will be included in FERC Account
397, Communication equipment.

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE USEFUL LIFE PROPOSED FOR THE ELECTRIC METERS AND THE NATURAL GAS MODULES?

14 The reason for a nine year useful life proposed for the natural gas meter modules is that A. 15 due to the Company's natural gas meter testing protocols, the Company already replaces 16 its residential natural gas meters on a nine-to-ten year cycle in compliance with 17 Commission regulations. The Company attempts to change its meters out slightly earlier 18 than the ten year limitation contained in 807 KAR 5:022, Section 8(5) so as not to 19 inadvertently exceed the ten year cycle. Similarly, non-residential meters are tested and 20 replaced in the field every five years. The Company's natural gas meters are tested in a 21 temperature controlled environment at Duke Energy Kentucky's meter testing facility, 22 and not tested in the field. So to test a meter, the Company must pull it out of service, 23 install a new meter in its place in order to maintain a customer's service, and take the old

5

1 meter back to the Company's meter testing facility. These meters may or may not be 2 placed back into service based upon age and the cost of refurbishing versus purchasing 3 new meters. Gas meters purchased by the Company going forward will come with the 4 module already attached. So detaching the modules for potential re-deployment is not 5 necessary and could potentially damage the devices making them not fit for use.

6 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ACCOUNTING TREATMENT DUKE ENERGY 7 KENTUCKY IS REQUESTING IN THIS APPLICATION.

8 A. Duke Energy Kentucky is requesting approval of the depreciable lives that I just described 9 and for the creation of a regulatory asset(s) for the existing metering equipment and 10 inventories that will be replaced. The existing metering equipment includes the electro-11 mechanical meters currently in use as well as the metering and related equipment that were 12 deployed as part of the Company's 2007 advanced metering pilot deployment. Duke Energy 13 Kentucky witness Donald L. Schneider, Jr. discusses that equipment in his direct testimony 14 (treatment of mechanical meters being replaced and 2007 AMI pilot) and treatment for old 15 gas modules being replaced.

Summarization of DEK Meter Assets subject to Regulatory Asset Request		
Total Electric and Common Meters (as of March/16)	\$ 9,416,254	
Less: Commercial and Industrial Meters not in scope	(467,837)	
Add: Electric meters in inventory	622,429	
Add: Gas modules in inventory	52,216	
Adjusted Total Undepreciated Amount	\$9,623,062	

Q. WHY IS DUKE ENERGY KENTUCKY REQUESTING THIS ACCOUNTING TREATMENT?

- A. Duke Energy Kentucky is requesting this treatment to allow for the opportunity to request
 recovery of these expenses in a future rate case.
- 5

Q. WHY ARE THESE COSTS APPROPRIATE FOR DEFERRAL?

- A. It is my understanding that there are four categories of costs that the Commission will
 consider in granting a deferral request. These four categories include the following:
- 8 (1) An extraordinary, nonrecurring expense which could not have reasonably been
 9 anticipated in the utility's planning;
- 10 (2) an expense resulting from a statutory or administrative directive;
- 11 (3) an expense in relation to an approved industry initiative; or
- 12 (4) an extraordinary or nonrecurring expense that over time will result in a saving
- 13 that fully offsets the cost.
- The costs associated with the early retirement of the traditional electric meters and write-off of the existing electromechanical electric meters and gas modules inventories would qualify as an extraordinary or nonrecurring expense that overtime will result in a saving that fully
- 17 offsets the cost.
- 18 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN.
 - I LEASE EAI LAIN.
- 19 A. One key driver of the savings from the Metering Upgrade is the fact that Duke Energy 20 Kentucky will be able to significantly reduce the current O&M expense with having to 21 deploy personnel to manually read each and every mechanical electric and natural gas meter 22 in the Company's service territory. Duke Energy Kentucky is a combination electric and 23 natural gas utility. Although the Company does have distinct gas and electric customers, the

1 majority of our Kentucky customers are combination, meaning that Duke Energy Kentucky 2 provides both services. For those combination customers, their electric and natural gas 3 accounts are on the same billing cycles which means their meters are read on the same day 4 and by the same meter reading technician. If Duke Energy Kentucky were to only 5 implement the AMI solution for its electric services, then it would still have to conduct a 6 "walk-up" meter read for all of its natural gas customers, thereby continuing to incur a 7 significant expense. Therefore, by implementing the automated meter reading solution for 8 natural gas customers contemporaneously with the electric solution, Duke Energy Kentucky is able to better manage its overall deployment costs and reduce the monthly meter reading 9 10 expense. Over time, this savings will exceed the up-front costs of deploying this automated solution, ultimately saving customers money. Additionally, as shown in the cost-benefit 11 12 analysis provided in Don Schneider's testimony, customer savings through the customer 13 feedback (Prius Effect) and reduced non-technical line losses provide benefits that will 14 accrue to both customers and to the Company. The anticipated savings to the company and customers, over time, will offset the project and ongoing costs associated with the 15 16 Duke Energy Kentucky therefore requests a regulatory asset for the deployment. 17 undepreciated asset value of electric meters removed from service and associated 18 inventories since much of the savings will accrue to customers, rather than to the Company 19 itself.

1	Q.	WHAT JOURNAL ENTRIES WILL DUKE ENERGY K	ENTUCKY MAKE TO	
2		EFFECT THE DEPRECIATION AND ACCOUNTING DEF	ERRALS?	
3	А.	Duke Energy Kentucky proposed the following entry to retire the meters replaced as part		
4		of the proposed Metering Upgrade and to write off the inventor	y of the traditional meters	
5		and old gas modules:		
6		Debit FERC Acct. 108 Accumulated Depreciation	\$3,570,183	
7		Debit FERC Act 182.2 Unrecovered Plant	\$9,623,062	
8		Credit FERC Plant Acct. 101 (FERC Plant Acct. 370 Meters)	\$12,518,600	
9		Credit FERC Acct. 154 Inventory	\$674,645	
		III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>		
10	0	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR PRE-FILED DIRECT TH	STIMONV?	

11 A. Yes.

VERIFICATION

STATE OF OHIO)	
)	SS:
COUNTY OF HAMILTON)	

The undersigned, Peggy A. Laub, Director, Rates and Regulatory Planning, being duly sworn, deposes and says that she has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the foregoing testimony are true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information and belief.

Peggy Aland Affiant

Subscribed and sworn to before me by Peggy A. Laub on this 25th day of April, 2016.

Adelm. Frisch NOTARY PUBLIC My Commission Expires: 1/5/2019

ADELE M. FRISCH Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires 01-05-2019