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TVD BROADBAND SERVICES, LLC’S RESPONSES TO THE COMMISSION’S
SECOND REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION TO ALL PARTIES OF RECORD

Item 1) If not already provided in a previous response to a Commission Staff request for information, respond to the following:

a. Provide the monthly Kentucky Universal Service Fund (“KUSF”) forms (“KUSF form”) submitted to the Commission and the Department of Finance and Administration from January 2014 to the present.

b. Explain how the total number of subscriber lines is calculated for the KUSF form when a new customer receives service in the middle of a month.

c. Explain how the total number of subscriber lines is calculated for the KUSF form when a customer leaves in the middle of a month.

d. Explain how the KUSF surcharge remittance is calculated when you experience a bad debt. Explain whether none of the surcharge amount or the full surcharge amount billed to, but not paid by, the customer is remitted.

e. State whether the KUSF surcharge billed to a customer is prorated if the customer has service for less than a full month.
Response)

a. Please see TVD’s Response to the Commission Staff’s Initial Requests for Information to Parties that Received Payment from the KUSF No. 1.

b. Please see TVD’s Response to the Commission Staff’s Initial Request for Information to All Parties No. 2.

c. Please see TVD’s Response to the Commission Staff’s Initial Request for Information to All Parties No. 3.

d. Please see TVD’s Response to the Commission Staff’s Initial Request for Information to All Parties No. 4.

e. TVD has never provided any service, nor does TVD have any plans to begin providing any service. Accordingly, this request does not apply to TVD.

Witness) James Campbell
Item 2) If no KUSF forms have been submitted to the Commission and the Kentucky Department of Finance and Administration from January 2014, to the present, explain why the KUSF forms have not been submitted.

a. If no KUSF forms have been submitted, state whether you collect the KUSF surcharge from your customers.

b. If you do not collect the KUSF surcharge from your customers, explain why the KUSF surcharge has not been collected.

c. If no KUSF forms have been submitted, state whether you remit the KUSF surcharge to the Kentucky Department of Finance and Administration.

d. If you do not remit the KUSF surcharge to the Kentucky Department of Finance and Administration, explain why the KUSF surcharge has not been remitted.

Response) TVD has not submitted any KUSF forms from January 2014 to the present because TVD has never provided any service.

a. TVD has never provided any service. Therefore, TVD has never had any customers from which to collect the KUSF surcharge.

b. TVD does not collect the KUSF surcharge because TVD has never provided service and does not have any customers from which to collect the KUSF surcharge.
c. TVD has never provided any service. Therefore, TVD has never collected or remitted the KUSF surcharge.

d. TVD does not remit the KUSF surcharge because TVD has never provided service.

Witness) James Campbell
Item 3) Explain the anticipated impact, if any, that the FCC’s recent Lifeline Reform Order will have on the provision of Lifeline service in Kentucky, including, but not limited to, verifying eligibility of Lifeline customers; the potential provision of broadband service; and, the impact of the reduction of Federal Universal Service funding for voice service.

Response) The FCC’s recent Lifeline Reform Order will not impact its service, because TVD has never provided any service, including Lifeline service, and does not plan to begin providing service. TVD does not have a position as to what is likely to occur if there is a shift in support from voice service to broadband service because TVD does not provide any service. Therefore, it cannot anticipate how customers would react to support for broadband service.

TVD cannot speak to what decisions Lifeline providers may make. Thus, it cannot anticipate the overall impact the Lifeline Reform Order will have on voice service in Kentucky.

Witness) James Campbell
September 2, 2016

Item 4)  In light of the Lifeline Reform Order, explain how a reduction in the amount of, or elimination of, KUSF support would impact the provision of Lifeline service in Kentucky.

Response) TVD does not provide any service, including Lifeline service. Therefore, a reduction in KUSF support will not affect TVD’s provision of Lifeline service in Kentucky.

TVD cannot speak as to how providers of Lifeline service would react to a reduction in the amount of, or elimination of, KUSF support. Accordingly, TVD cannot provide an opinion as to the overall impact a reduction of, or elimination of, KUSF support would have on the provision of Lifeline service in Kentucky.

Please also see TVD’s Response to Supplemental Request No. 3 Issued to All Parties.

Witness) James Campbell
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CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that I have supervised the preparation of the responses on behalf of TVD
Broadband Services, LLC to the Supplemental Requests for Information of the Commission
Staff upon All Parties and that the responses contained herein are true and accurate to the best of
my knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry.

James Campbell
CFO
TVD Broadband Services, LLC

Date: 9/2/16