

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

AN EXAMINATION BY THE APPLICATION)	
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)	CASE NO.
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY)	2014-00452
FOR THE TWO-YEAR BILLING PERIOD)	
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2012 THROUGH)	
OCTOBER 31, 2014)	

AN EXAMINATION BY THE APPLICATION)	
OF THE FUEL ADJUSTMENT CLAUSE OF)	CASE NO.
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY)	2014-00227
FROM NOVEMBER 1, 2013 THROUGH)	
APRIL 30, 2014)	

RESPONSE OF
KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY
TO
COMMISSION STAFF'S SECOND REQUEST FOR INFORMATION IN
THE COMMISSION'S ORDER
DATED MARCH 4, 2015

FILED: March 20, 2015

VERIFICATION

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY)
) SS:
COUNTY OF JEFFERSON)

The undersigned, **Charles R. Schram**, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he is Director – Energy Planning, Analysis and Forecasting for LG&E and KU Services Company, and that he has personal knowledge of the matters set forth in the responses for which he is identified as the witness, and that the answers contained therein are true and correct to the best of his information, knowledge and belief.



Charles R. Schram

Subscribed and sworn to before me, a Notary Public in and before said County and State, this 20th day of March 2015.

 (SEAL)

Notary Public

My Commission Expires:

JUDY SCHOOLER
Notary Public, State at Large, KY
~~My commission expires July 11, 2018~~
Notary ID # 512743

KENTUCKY UTILITIES COMPANY

**Response to Commission Staff's Second Request for Information
in the Commission's Order Dated March 4, 2015**

Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Question No. 1

Witness: Robert M. Conroy / Charles R. Schram

- Q-1. Refer to KU's response to the February 5, 2015 Request for Information, Item 39, which states that "[t]he Company uses its After-the-Fact Billing process ('AFB') to determine the inter-company transactions and to allocate its highest incremental costs of production (generation fuel cost or purchase power energy cost) to off-system sales for exclusion from recovery in the FAC."
- a. Explain in detail how the "incremental costs of production (generation fuel cost or purchase power energy cost)" are calculated. Include in the response how the incremental cost of each is calculated.
 - b. Refer to the attachment to the response. Given KU's statement that the highest incremental costs of production are allocated to off-system sales, explain how it is possible that the \$/MWh calculated for native load is higher than the \$/MWh calculated for off-system sales during two of the 24 months of the review period.
 - c. Provide a revised attachment to Item 39 which excludes intracompany sales to Louisville Gas and Electric Company from the \$/MWh calculation for off-system sales. Include in the response whether KU believes it is appropriate to include or exclude these intracompany sales in the calculation and the reasons supporting its belief.
 - d. Refer to the revised attachment provided in subpart c. above. If the \$/MWh calculated for native load is still higher than the \$/MWh calculated for off-system sales in any month, explain how this is possible given, KU's statement that the highest incremental costs of production are allocated to off-system sales.
- A-1. To clarify, the allocation of the highest incremental costs of production to off-system sales is performed on an hour-by-hour basis. In other words, the After-the-Fact Billing process ("AFB") is performed every hour of the month. For each hour, the AFB stacks each MW of generation or purchase power from the lowest incremental costs of production (generation fuel cost or purchase power energy cost) to the highest. The highest cost MWs in the hour are allocated to the MWs of off-system sales in that hour. The costs allocated

to off-system sales are summed over the entire month and excluded from recovery through the FAC.

- a. In a given hour, the incremental cost of each MWh generated is computed as the product of the unit's incremental heat rate and the unit's fuel cost. The incremental heat rate is computed as a function of the unit's MW output level and varies by unit and season (winter, spring, summer, or fall). For coal units, the fuel cost is the station's coal inventory cost. For gas units, the fuel cost is the daily cost of gas. The incremental cost for each MWh of purchased power is simply the purchase price.

The incremental cost of generation ("IC") is computed with the following formula: $IC = (a_2 * MW + a_1) * \text{Fuel Cost}$. MW is the unit's output level; a_2 and a_1 are incremental heat rate coefficients that vary by unit and season.

- b. See the response above. The attachment provided in response to Question No. 39 is directly from the Detailed Power Transaction Schedule included in KU's monthly Form B filings. As such, the \$/MWh for both native load and off-system sales are calculated using monthly costs and energy. The assignment of highest incremental cost to off-system sales is made on an *hourly* basis, and therefore it is probable in the current off-system sales market that the *monthly average* cost of making off-system sales may be lower than the *monthly average* cost of service to native load. Over the two year period, off-system sales accounted for less than 1% of available sources, and off-system sales only occur when (1) the sources are available (i.e., not needed to serve native load), and (2) when available sources (i) are lower cost than incremental LG&E sources (in the case of intercompany sales to LG&E) or (ii) clear the market (in the case of third party sales where the selling price exceeds the cost of making the sale). As KU's native load obligations increase in any given hour, the incremental cost of serving that load increases, thereby increasing the average cost to serve native load. As the cost to serve native load increases, the likelihood of incremental generating sources being lower cost than incremental LG&E generating sources or clearing the market decreases, thereby decreasing the amount of off-system sales KU makes over the course of a month. Given these operating conditions, it is entirely reasonable that the average *monthly* cost of serving native load can exceed the average *monthly* cost of making off-system sales, even though the hourly cost of serving native load will *never* exceed the hourly cost of making off-system sales.
- c. See attached. Regardless of whether intracompany sales are included or not, the Company does not believe that it is meaningful to compare the average monthly cost per MWh for native load and off-system sales if such comparison is being used to determine whether off-system sales are allocated the highest cost. As stated above, the Company's AFB is performed on an hour-by-hour basis and stacks each MW from the lowest incremental cost to the highest.
- d. See the response to parts b and c.

Kentucky Utilities Company
 Response to Question No. 1(c)
 Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Nov-12						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 31,813,965 (2)	1,321,149.000 (3)	\$ 24.08			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 10,763,201 (4)	496,586.000 (3)	\$ 21.67			
System Losses		(106,436.819) (5)				
Total	\$ 42,577,166	1,711,298.181	\$ 24.88			
OSS from Generation	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (283) (6)	(11) (6)		\$ 283 (6)	11.000 (6)	\$ - (6)
System Losses	\$ (3) (7)	- (7)		\$ 3 (7)	- (7)	\$ - (7)
	\$ 42,576,880	1,711,287.181	\$ 24.88	\$ 286	11.000	\$ 25.96

Dec-12

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Dec-12						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 39,557,106 (2)	1,488,388.000 (3)	\$ 26.58			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 9,392,454 (4)	456,000.000 (3)	\$ 20.60			
System Losses		(118,384.919) (5)				
Total	\$ 48,949,560	1,826,003.081	\$ 26.81			
OSS from Generation	\$ (8,701) (6)	(359) (6)		\$ 8,701 (6)	359.000 (6)	\$ 24.24 (6)
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (5,385) (6)	(221) (6)		\$ 5,385 (6)	221.000 (6)	\$ 24.36 (6)
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (159) (6)	- (6)		\$ 159 (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
System Losses	\$ (141) (7)	- (7)		\$ 141 (7)	- (7)	\$ - (7)
	\$ 48,935,175	1,825,423.081	\$ 26.81	\$ 14,385	580.000	\$ 24.80

Jan-13

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Jan-13						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 45,849,202 (2)	1,716,825.000 (3)	\$ 26.71			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 9,155,404 (4)	438,500.000 (3)	\$ 20.88			
System Losses		(139,862.660) (5)				
Total	\$ 55,004,606	2,015,462.340	\$ 27.29			
OSS from Generation	\$ (20,018) (6)	(819) (6)		\$ 20,018 (6)	819.000 (6)	\$ 24.44 (6)
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (6,940) (6)	(306) (6)		\$ 6,940 (6)	306.000 (6)	\$ 22.68 (6)
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (2) (6)	- (6)		\$ 2 (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
System Losses	\$ (270) (7)	- (7)		\$ 270 (7)	- (7)	\$ - (7)
	\$ 54,977,376	2,014,337.340	\$ 27.29	\$ 27,230	1,125.000	\$ 24.20

Feb-13

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Feb-13						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 41,799,682 (2)	1,545,667.900 (3)	\$ 27.04			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 8,490,115 (4)	384,425.000 (3)	\$ 22.09			
System Losses		(103,751.874) (5)				
Total	\$ 50,289,797	1,826,341.026	\$ 27.54			
OSS from Generation	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (244) (6)	(10) (6)		\$ 244 (6)	10.000 (6)	\$ - (6)
System Losses	\$ (2) (7)	- (7)		\$ 2 (7)	- (7)	\$ - (7)
	\$ 50,289,551	1,826,331.026	\$ 27.54	\$ 246	10.000	\$ 24.64

Mar-13

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Mar-13						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 48,133,283 (2)	1,785,964.000 (3)	\$ 26.95			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 6,076,378 (4)	247,980.000 (3)	\$ 24.50			
System Losses		(108,886.277) (5)				
Total	\$ 54,209,661	1,925,057.723	\$ 28.16			
OSS from Generation	\$ (12,475) (6)	(442) (6)		\$ 12,475 (6)	442.000 (6)	\$ 28.22 (6)
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (5,275) (6)	(204) (6)		\$ 5,275 (6)	204.000 (6)	\$ 25.86 (6)
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (43) (6)	- (6)		\$ 43 (6)	- (6)	\$ - (6)
System Losses	\$ (178) (7)	- (7)		\$ 178 (7)	- (7)	\$ - (7)
	\$ 54,191,689	1,924,411.723	\$ 28.16	\$ 17,972	646.000	\$ 27.82

Kentucky Utilities Company
 Response to Question No. 1(c)
 Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

		NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh		Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Apr-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 40,426,268	(2) 1,390,092.000	(3) \$ 29.08				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 6,329,568	(4) 244,169.000	(3) \$ 25.92				
System Losses		(5) (87,281.124)					
Total	\$ 46,755,836	1,546,979.876	\$ 30.22				
OSS from Generation	\$ (3,216)	(6) (104)	(6) \$ 30.92		\$ 3,216	(6) 104.000	(6) \$ 30.92
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (1,220)	(6) (52)	(6) \$ 23.47		\$ 1,220	(6) 52.000	(6) \$ 23.47
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (518)	(6) (18)	(6)		\$ 518	(6) 18.000	(6)
System Losses	\$ (49)	(7) -			\$ 49	(7) -	
	\$ 46,750,832	1,546,805.876	\$ 30.22		\$ 5,004	174.000	\$ 28.76
May-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 43,279,583	(2) 1,572,342.000	(3) \$ 27.53				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 5,254,241	(4) 199,271.000	(3) \$ 26.37				
System Losses		(5) (95,089.379)					
Total	\$ 48,533,824	1,676,523.621	\$ 28.95				
OSS from Generation	\$ (139,125)	(6) (4,165)	(6) \$ 33.40		\$ 139,125	(6) 4,165.000	(6) \$ 33.40
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (22,947)	(6) (552)	(6) \$ 41.57		\$ 22,947	(6) 552.000	(6) \$ 41.57
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,346)	(6) -	(6)		\$ 1,346	(6) -	(6)
System Losses	\$ (810)	(7) -			\$ 810	(7) -	
	\$ 48,369,595	1,671,806.621	\$ 28.93		\$ 164,229	4,717.000	\$ 34.82
Jun-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 43,406,170	(2) 1,701,601.000	(3) \$ 25.51				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 4,044,826	(4) 181,209.000	(3) \$ 22.32				
System Losses		(5) (104,415.841)					
Total	\$ 47,450,996	1,778,394.159	\$ 26.68				
OSS from Generation	\$ (158,193)	(6) (5,492)	(6) \$ 28.80		\$ 158,193	(6) 5,492.000	(6) \$ 28.80
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (36,294)	(6) (1,351)	(6) \$ 26.86		\$ 36,294	(6) 1,351.000	(6) \$ 26.86
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,420)	(6) -	(6)		\$ 1,420	(6) -	(6)
System Losses	\$ (972)	(7) -			\$ 972	(7) -	
	\$ 47,254,118	1,771,551.159	\$ 26.67		\$ 196,878	6,843.000	\$ 28.77
Jul-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 48,422,880	(2) 1,762,658.000	(3) \$ 27.47				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 5,062,662	(4) 223,784.000	(3) \$ 22.62				
System Losses		(5) (110,107.109)					
Total	\$ 53,485,542	1,876,334.891	\$ 28.51				
OSS from Generation	\$ (59,530)	(6) (1,973)	(6) \$ 30.17		\$ 59,530	(6) 1,973.000	(6) \$ 30.17
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (115,357)	(6) (4,736)	(6) \$ 24.36		\$ 115,357	(6) 4,736.000	(6) \$ 24.36
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (6,257)	(6) (159)	(6)		\$ 6,257	(6) 159.000	(6)
System Losses	\$ (874)	(7) -			\$ 874	(7) -	
	\$ 53,303,525	1,869,466.891	\$ 28.51		\$ 182,017	6,868.000	\$ 26.50
Aug-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 47,824,212	(2) 1,808,872.000	(3) \$ 26.44				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 4,427,449	(4) 204,357.000	(3) \$ 21.67				
System Losses		(5) (112,125.098)					
Total	\$ 52,251,661	1,901,103.902	\$ 27.48				
OSS from Generation	\$ (26,271)	(6) (783)	(6) \$ 33.55		\$ 26,271	(6) 783.000	(6) \$ 33.55
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (2,559)	(6) (105)	(6) \$ 24.37		\$ 2,559	(6) 105.000	(6) \$ 24.37
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (20)	(6) (219)	(6)		\$ 20	(6) 219.000	(6)
System Losses	\$ (144)	(7) -			\$ 144	(7) -	
	\$ 52,222,667	1,899,996.902	\$ 27.49		\$ 28,994	1,107.000	\$ 26.19

Kentucky Utilities Company
Response to Question No. 1(c)
Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

NATIVE LOAD

OFF SYSTEM SALES

		NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh		Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Sep-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 41,186,819	(2) 1,578,521.000	(3) \$ 26.09				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 3,865,057	(4) 180,211.000	(3) \$ 21.45				
System Losses		(100,114.922)	(5)				
Total	\$ 45,051,876	1,658,617.078	\$ 27.16				
OSS from Generation	\$ (76,710)	(2,005)	(6) \$ 38.26	\$ 76,710	(6) 2,005.000	(6) \$ 38.26	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (1,254)	(40)	(6) \$ 31.36	\$ 1,254	(6) 40.000	(6) \$ 31.36	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ 289	(179)	(6)	\$ (289)	(6) 179.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (388)	-	(7)	\$ 388	(7)		
	\$ 44,973,812	1,656,393.078	\$ 27.15	\$ 78,064	2,224.000	\$ 35.10	
Oct-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 41,555,391	(2) 1,664,766.000	(3) \$ 24.96				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 2,777,132	(4) 140,156.000	(3) \$ 19.81				
System Losses		(101,624.184)	(5)				
Total	\$ 44,332,523	1,703,297.816	\$ 26.03				
OSS from Generation	\$ (320,901)	(11,135)	(6) \$ 28.82	\$ 320,901	(6) 11,135.000	(6) \$ 28.82	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (224,870)	(8,701)	(6) \$ 25.84	\$ 224,870	(6) 8,701.000	(6) \$ 25.84	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (13,758)	(113)	(6)	\$ 13,758	(6) 113.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (2,729)	-	(7)	\$ 2,729	(7)		
	\$ 43,770,266	1,683,348.816	\$ 26.00	\$ 562,257	19,949.000	\$ 28.18	
Nov-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 39,771,973	(2) 1,575,150.000	(3) \$ 25.25				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 6,736,207	(4) 294,187.000	(3) \$ 22.90				
System Losses		(104,474.198)	(5)				
Total	\$ 46,508,180	1,764,862.802	\$ 26.35				
OSS from Generation	\$ (49,718)	(1,744.000)	(6) \$ 28.51	\$ 49,718	(6) 1,744.000	(6) \$ 28.51	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (14,648)	(543.000)	(6) \$ 26.98	\$ 14,648	(6) 543.000	(6) \$ 26.98	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (720)	(203.000)	(6)	\$ 720	(6) 203.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (322)	-	(7)	\$ 322	(7)		
	\$ 46,442,772	1,762,372.802	\$ 26.35	\$ 65,408	2,490.000	\$ 26.27	
Dec-13							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 47,495,663	(2) 1,836,419.000	(3) \$ 25.86				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 6,542,581	(4) 286,782.000	(3) \$ 22.81				
System Losses		(121,250.977)	(5)				
Total	\$ 54,038,244	2,001,950.023	\$ 26.99				
OSS from Generation	\$ (100,462)	(3,519.000)	(6) \$ 28.55	\$ 100,462	(6) 3,519.000	(6) \$ 28.55	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (70,286)	(3,055.000)	(6) \$ 23.01	\$ 70,286	(6) 3,055.000	(6) \$ 23.01	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,813)	(37.000)	(6)	\$ 1,813	(6) 37.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (854)	-	(7)	\$ 854	(7)		
	\$ 53,864,829	1,995,339.023	\$ 27.00	\$ 173,415	6,611.000	\$ 26.23	
Jan-14							
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 62,994,003	(2) 2,052,428.000	(3) \$ 30.69				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 14,279,435	(4) 516,578.000	(3) \$ 27.64				
System Losses		(145,971.563)	(5)				
Total	\$ 77,273,438	2,423,034.437	\$ 31.89				
OSS from Generation	\$ (3,366)	(115.000)	(6) \$ 29.27	\$ 3,366	(6) 115.000	(6) \$ 29.27	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ -	-	(6)	\$ -	(6)		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ -	(56.000)	(6)	\$ -	(6) 56.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (17)	-	(7)	\$ 17	(7)		
	\$ 77,270,055	2,422,863.437	\$ 31.89	\$ 3,383	171.000	\$ 19.78	

Kentucky Utilities Company
 Response to Question No. 1(c)
 Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

	NATIVE LOAD			OFF SYSTEM SALES		
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh
Feb-14						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 49,340,899 (2)	1,657,659.000 (3)	\$ 29.77			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 12,365,260 (4)	450,232.000 (3)	\$ 27.46			
System Losses	(123,136.985) (5)					
Total	\$ 61,706,159	1,984,754.015	\$ 31.09			
OSS from Generation	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ - (6)	(78.000) (6)		\$ - (6)	78.000 (6)	
System Losses	\$ - (7)			\$ - (7)		
	\$ 61,706,159	1,984,676.015	\$ 31.09	\$ -	78.000	\$ -
Mar-14						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 47,373,612 (2)	1,535,594.000 (3)	\$ 30.85			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 13,014,139 (4)	465,976.000 (3)	\$ 27.93			
System Losses	(117,982.944) (5)					
Total	\$ 60,387,751	1,883,587.056	\$ 32.06			
OSS from Generation	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ - (6)	(15.000) (6)		\$ - (6)	15.000 (6)	
System Losses	\$ - (7)			\$ - (7)		
	\$ 60,387,751	1,883,572.056	\$ 32.06	\$ -	15.000	\$ -
Apr-14						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 36,497,401 (2)	1,139,244.000 (3)	\$ 32.04			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 13,652,354 (4)	455,190.000 (3)	\$ 29.99			
System Losses	(94,904.251) (5)					
Total	\$ 50,149,755	1,499,529.749	\$ 33.44			
OSS from Generation	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ - (6)	- (6)		\$ - (6)	- (6)	
System Losses	\$ - (7)			\$ - (7)		
	\$ 50,149,755	1,499,529.749	\$ 33.44	\$ -	-	#DIV/0!
May-14						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 42,832,751 (2)	1,443,242.000 (3)	\$ 29.68			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 8,600,600 (4)	328,808.000 (3)	\$ 26.16			
System Losses	(108,666.518) (5)					
Total	\$ 51,433,351	1,663,383.482	\$ 30.92			
OSS from Generation	\$ (148,775) (6)	(3,432) (6)		\$ 148,775 (6)	3,432.000 (6)	\$ 43.35
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (49,312) (6)	(1,135) (6)		\$ 49,312 (6)	1,135.000 (6)	\$ 43.45
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,386) (6)	- (6)		\$ 1,386 (6)	- (6)	
System Losses	\$ (990) (7)			\$ 990 (7)		
	\$ 51,232,887	1,658,816.482	\$ 30.89	\$ 200,464	4,567.000	\$ 43.89
Jun-14						
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 47,827,708 (2)	1,737,767.000 (3)	\$ 27.52			
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 4,923,012 (4)	207,170.000 (3)	\$ 23.76			
System Losses	(117,230.222) (5)					
Total	\$ 52,750,720	1,827,706.778	\$ 28.86			
OSS from Generation	\$ (80,011) (6)	(2,223) (6)		\$ 80,011 (6)	2,223.000 (6)	\$ 35.99
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (37,286) (6)	(1,429) (6)		\$ 37,286 (6)	1,429.000 (6)	\$ 26.09
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,162) (6)	(2) (6)		\$ 1,162 (6)	2.000 (6)	
System Losses	\$ (586) (7)			\$ 586 (7)		
	\$ 52,631,675	1,824,052.778	\$ 28.85	\$ 119,045	3,654.000	\$ 32.58

Kentucky Utilities Company
 Response to Question No. 1(c)
 Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

	NATIVE LOAD				OFF SYSTEM SALES			
	Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh		Fuel Dollars	mWh	\$/mWh	
Jul-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 48,184,026 (2)	1,723,955.000 (3)	\$ 27.95					
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 5,279,117 (4)	215,997.000 (3)	\$ 24.44					
System Losses		(116,981.065) (5)						
Total	\$ 53,463,143	1,822,970.935	\$ 29.33					
OSS from Generation	\$ (110,916) (6)	(3,183) (6)		\$ 110,916 (6)	3,183.000 (6)	\$ 34.85		
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (28,989) (6)	(908) (6)		\$ 28,989 (6)	908.000 (6)	\$ 31.93		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (946) (6)	(140) (6)		\$ 946 (6)	140.000 (6)			
System Losses	\$ (700) (7)			\$ 700 (7)				
	\$ 53,321,593	1,818,739.935	\$ 29.32	\$ 141,550	4,231.000	\$ 33.46		
Aug-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 50,569,254 (2)	1,833,979.000 (3)	\$ 27.57					
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 4,902,485 (4)	212,198.000 (3)	\$ 23.10					
System Losses		(125,272.112) (5)						
Total	\$ 55,471,739	1,920,904.888	\$ 28.88					
OSS from Generation	\$ (172,313) (6)	(5,593) (6)		\$ 172,313 (6)	5,593.000 (6)	\$ 30.81		
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (43,975) (6)	(1,743) (6)		\$ 43,975 (6)	1,743.000 (6)	\$ 25.23		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,158) (6)	(37) (6)		\$ 1,158 (6)	37.000 (6)			
System Losses	\$ (1,081) (7)			\$ 1,081 (7)				
	\$ 55,253,212	1,913,531.888	\$ 28.87	\$ 218,527	7,373.000	\$ 29.64		
Sep-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 42,374,988 (2)	1,587,074.000 (3)	\$ 26.70					
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 4,606,713 (4)	201,235.000 (3)	\$ 22.89					
System Losses		(109,244.220) (5)						
Total	\$ 46,981,701	1,679,064.780	\$ 27.98					
OSS from Generation	\$ (119,066) (6)	(3,831) (6)		\$ 119,066 (6)	3,831.000 (6)	\$ 31.08		
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (42,201) (6)	(1,535) (6)		\$ 42,201 (6)	1,535.000 (6)	\$ 27.49		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (1,439) (6)	(103) (6)		\$ 1,439 (6)	103.000 (6)			
System Losses	\$ (806) (7)			\$ 806 (7)				
	\$ 46,818,189	1,673,595.780	\$ 27.97	\$ 163,512	5,469.000	\$ 29.90		
Oct-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 37,600,037 (2)	1,537,519.000 (3)	\$ 24.46					
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 3,635,243 (4)	174,086.000 (3)	\$ 20.88					
System Losses		(103,933.602) (5)						
Total	\$ 41,235,280	1,607,671.398	\$ 25.65					
OSS from Generation	\$ (253,247) (6)	(8,055) (6)		\$ 253,247 (6)	8,055.000 (6)	\$ 31.44		
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (82,922) (6)	(2,765) (6)		\$ 82,922 (6)	2,765.000 (6)	\$ 29.99		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (12,491) (6)	(120) (6)		\$ 12,491 (6)	120.000 (6)			
System Losses	\$ (1,681) (7)			\$ 1,681 (7)				
	\$ 40,884,939	1,596,731.398	\$ 25.61	\$ 350,341	10,940.000	\$ 32.02		
Nov-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 45,478,527 (2)	1,624,725.000 (3)	\$ 27.99					
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 7,457,927 (4)	299,165.000 (3)	\$ 24.93					
System Losses		(117,444.673) (5)						
Total	\$ 52,936,454	1,806,445.327	\$ 29.30					
OSS from Generation	\$ (30,759) (6)	(845) (6)		\$ 30,759 (6)	845.000 (6)	\$ 36.40		
OSS from Purchased Power	\$ (9,994) (6)	(321) (6)		\$ 9,994 (6)	321.000 (6)	\$ 31.13		
Split Savings and Adjustments	\$ (711) (6)	(145) (6)		\$ 711 (6)	145.000 (6)			
System Losses	\$ (204) (7)			\$ 204 (7)				
	\$ 52,894,786	1,805,134.327	\$ 29.30	\$ 41,668	1,311.000	\$ 31.78		

Kentucky Utilities Company
 Response to Question No. 1(c)
 Case Nos. 2014-00452 and 2014-00227

Month

	NATIVE LOAD				OFF SYSTEM SALES			
	Fuel Dollars	mWh		\$/mWh	Fuel Dollars	mWh		\$/mWh
Dec-14								
Total Fuel for Generation (1)	\$ 49,198,808	(2) 1,729,891.000	(3)	\$ 28.44				
Total Purchased Power (1)	\$ 5,950,634	(4) 269,492.000	(3)	\$ 22.08				
System Losses		(118,705.868)	(5)					
Total	\$ 55,149,442	1,880,677.132		\$ 29.32				
OSS from Generation	(36,788.90)	(6) (1,261)	(6)		\$ 36,789	(6) 1,261.000	(6)	\$ 29.17
OSS from Purchased Power	(9,119.90)	(6) (346)	(6)		\$ 9,120	(6) 346.000	(6)	\$ 26.36
Split Savings and Adjustments	(361.09)	(6) (44)	(6)		\$ 361	(6) 44.000	(6)	
System Losses	\$ (230)	(7)			\$ 230	(7)		
	\$ 55,102,942	1,879,026.132		\$ 29.33	\$ 46,500	1,651.000		\$ 28.16

(1) Includes, where applicable, the forced outage and non-economy power purchase exclusions.

(2) Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, Section A.

(3) Monthly FAC Form A, page 3 of 5, section A.

(4) Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, section B.

(5) Monthly FAC Form A, page 3 of 5, section B.

(6) Monthly FAC Form B, page 2, sheet 2 of 2.

(7) Monthly FAC Form A, page 2 of 5, section C.